EVALUATION TRENCH RECORD SHEET

PROJECT	Project Code	Date	Field/Area No.	TRENCH NO.
LMS19	Grid Ref	Parish	Phase 1	1

Contact details of Landowner

and Tenant

1. Geology e.g. boulder clay, gravel, alluvium, sandstone etc	CLAY
2. Soil type e.g. stony brown earth	DARK BROWN CLAYEY SILT OCC SM TO MED
3. Weather light, precipitation, wind, temperature	OVERCAST SUB ROUND TO SUB ANG STONES
4. Stage in agric. Cycle / Land Use e.g. ploughed, sprouting crop, harrowed, stubble / pasture, woodland, moorland	BROWNFIELD SITE
5. Crop type (if applicable)	/
6. Depth of root penetration	0.2m
7. Agricultural history of the field (if applicable)	-

Sketch section of trench (including show depth of topsoil and any other deposits)



Topsoil Finds:	Geomorphological Description:
Lithics:	GENTLY UNDULATING CLAY SUBSTRATE
Pottery:	
Glass:	
Metal:	
Other:	

Stratigraphic Relationships

(101)

(102)

(103)

Context No.	Brief Description of Feature	Period	Finds
101	TOPSOIL	/	NONE
102	SUB SOIL	/	NONE
103	NATURAL	/	NONE

Other Information No archaeology present. Contains lots of rooting.

Initials and Date	Checked By and Date
CT	30.9.19

SB 07/10/2019

Photos # 06-07

Rep Sec photos 21-22



Habitat

101

1

102

1

103

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(101)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH + 0.2m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 ✓
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYEY SILT
6. Inclusions	6 OCC SM TO MED SUB ROUND TO SUB ANG STONES
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	YES
Root Penetration?	LOTS	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	WORM	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	YES
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	NO	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description: TOPSOIL. COVERED WITH GRASS IN THIS AREA. ABUNDANT ROOT PENETRATION BY TREE VERY CLOSE TO THE N.

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
102							

Drawing Nos. 004	Levels	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs 21-22	Highest SEE GPS	Lithics, Metal, Bone, Glass, Coarse Stone; Pot, CBM, Hazelnut, Leather, Wood			
Digital	Lowest: DATA				
Slide					
Print					

Interpretation: FORMED BY POST-MED PLOUGHING.

Initials CT Date 30.9.19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SD Date 03/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(102)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH x 0.25m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 LIGHT BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 MOD SM TO MED SUB ROUND TO SUB ANG STONES
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	NO
Root Penetration?	YES	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	WORM	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	YES
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	YES	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description SUBSOIL

Stratigraphic Relationships

(101)
(102)
(103)

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
103	101						

Drawing Nos. 004

Photographs 21-22

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest SEE GPS DATA

Lowest:

Finds

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

Other

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation FORMED BY POST-MED PLOUGHING.

Initials CT

Date 30.9.19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SD

Date 07/10/2019

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(103)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH × UNKNOWN
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 FINE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 LIGHT YELLOWISH BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 FREQUENT MED SUB ANG STONES
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	NO
Root Penetration?	SOME	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	WORM	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	YES
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	YES	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description NATURAL

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
(104)	102	/	/	/	/	/	/

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
–	Highest SEE GPS DATA	Lithics	Pot		/	
Photographs 21-22, 06-07	Lowest:	Metal	CBM			
Digital		Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide		Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation PROBABLY SUPERFICIAL DEPOSIT OVERLYING THE BEDROCK LAID DOWN BY GLACIERS UP TO 2 MILLION YEARS AGO

Initials CT
Date 1·10·19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB
Date 07/10/2019

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

EVALUATION TRENCH RECORD SHEET

PROJECT	Project Code	Date	Field/Area No.	TRENCH NO.
LMS19	Grid Ref	1.10.19	PHASE 1	2
		Parish		

Contact details of Landowner

and Tenant

1. Geology e.g. boulder clay, gravel, alluvium, sandstone etc	CLAY
2. Soil type e.g. stony brown earth	—
3. Weather light, precipitation, wind, temperature	LIGHT RAIN
4. Stage in agric. Cycle / Land Use e.g. ploughed, sprouting crop, harrowed, stubble / pasture, woodland, moorland	—
5. Crop type (if applicable)	—
6. Depth of root penetration	—
7. Agricultural history of the field (if applicable)	—

Sketch section of trench (including show depth of topsoil and any other deposits)



Topsoil Finds:	Geomorphological Description:
Lithics:	LIGHT BROWN CLAY WITH OCC MED SUB ANG TO SUB ROUND ROCKS
Pottery:	
Glass:	
Metal:	
Other:	

Stratigraphic Relationships

See levelled Base

(201)

(202)

(203)

Context No.	Brief Description of Feature	Period	Finds
(201)	CONCRETE		
(202)	HARDCORE		
(203)	NATURAL CLAY Mod. drain. pipe		
(204)	CONCRETE		
(205)	PIPE Natural clay		

Other Information

No archaeology present.

Initials and Date	Checked By and Date
CT	4.10.19

Rep Sec cligi : 17-18



N

concrete 201
1
pipe Backfill 203
1
pipe 204
1
hardcore 202
1
nat. clay 205

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(201)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH * 0.1M
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 COARSE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 LIGHT GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 -
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CONCRETE
6. Inclusions	6 MOD SM SUB ROUND TO SUBANG STONES
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	YES
Root Penetration?	NO	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	NO	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	PROBABLY
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	NO
Is the upper surface compacted?	YES	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	NO	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description: CONCRETE LAYER FORMING FLOOR OF BUILDING FROM LADY MANNERS SCHOOL. LAID OVER HARDCORE.

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
/	(201)	/	/	/	/	/	/

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
006	Highest SEE	Lithics		Pot		
Photographs 17-18	GIS	Metal		CBM		
Digital	Lowest: DATA	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation: REPRESENTS CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING

Initials ET
Date 4.10.19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB
Date 07/10/2019

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	R02

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH x 0.45m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 COARSE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 LIGHT GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 RUBBLE
6. Inclusions	6 –
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	NO
Root Penetration?	NO	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	NO	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	PROBABLY
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	NO
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	SOME
Is the deposit sealed?	YES	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description RUBBLE / HARDCORE DEPOSIT UNDER CONCRETE.

Stratigraphic Relationships

(201)
(202)
(203)

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
203 (202)	201 (203)	/	/	/	/	/	/

Drawing Nos. 006

Photographs 17 - 18

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest SEE GPS DATA

Lowest:

Finds

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

Other

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation

REPRESENTS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING IN ASSOCIATION WITH LADY MANNERS SCHOOL

Initials CT

Date 4/10/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB

Date 07/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	Dep	203

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 7@0.5m / average thickness depth 0-27-m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 Coarse
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 Mid greyish Brown
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 n/a
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 Sandy silt
6. Inclusions	6 Very frequent med size Rtsk stones
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	well sorted 7. Machine

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	No	Is the deposit a laminate?	No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	Yes
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Distinct	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	No
Is the upper surface compacted?	Probably	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	Human deliberate deposit

Context Description

Coarse backfill covering mod. pipe 204

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
204 202	203						

Drawing Nos. 006	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs 17-18	Highest: see section	Lithics		Pot		
Digital	Lowest: 006	Metal		CBM		
Slide		Bone		Hazelnut		
Print		Glass		Leather		
		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

Backfill covering pipe (204)

Initials SB
Date 07/10/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB
Date 07/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	Dep	204

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 n/a
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5
6. Inclusions	6
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 Machine

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Modern drainage pipe

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
202	203						

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
006	See Section	Lithics		Pot		
Photographs 17-18	Highest	Metal		CBM		
Digital	006	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

Mod. drainage & pipe (post-1940) ass. with the use of school

Initials SB
Date 07/10/2019
Checked By SB
Date 07/10/2019

Checked Interpretation

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(205)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH × UNKNOWN
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 FINE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 LIGHT BROWN WITH OCC MED
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 SUB ANG TO SUB ROUND STONES
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	NO
Root Penetration?	NO	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	WORM	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	YES
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	YES	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description NATURAL CLAY

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
	202						

Drawing Nos. 006

Photographs 17-18

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest SEE GPS

Lowest: DATA

Finds

Lithics		Pot	Other
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone	✓	Wood	

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation NATURAL CLAY OVERLYING BEDROCK PROBABLY LAID DOWN BY GLACIERS IN ICE AGE CONDITIONS UP TO 2 MILLION YEARS AGO

Initials CT

Date 4/10/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB

Date 02/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

EVALUATION TRENCH RECORD SHEET

PROJECT	Project Code	Date 1·10·19	Field/Area No.	TRENCH NO.
LMS19	Grid Ref	Parish	PHASE 1	3

Contact details of Landowner

and Tenant

1. Geology e.g. boulder clay, gravel, alluvium, sandstone etc	BOULDER CLAY
2. Soil type e.g. stony brown earth	/
3. Weather light, precipitation, wind, temperature	PERSISTENT DRIZZLE
4. Stage in agric. Cycle / Land Use e.g. ploughed, sprouting crop, harrowed, stubble / pasture, woodland, moorland	/
5. Crop type (if applicable)	/
6. Depth of root penetration	/
7. Agricultural history of the field (if applicable)	UNKNOWN

Sketch section of trench (including show depth of topsoil and any other deposits)



Topsoil Finds: /

Lithics:

Pottery:

Glass:

Metal:

Other:

Geomorphological Description: LIGHT YELLOWISH BROWN CLAY WITH MODERATE SM TO MED SUB ROUND TO SUB ANG STONES, GENTLY UNDULATING

Stratigraphic Relationships

(301)

(302)

(303)

Context No.	Brief Description of Feature		Period	Finds
(301)	CONCRETE	S. 003	MODERN	
(302)	HARDCORE	S. 003	MODERN	
(303)	NATURAL CLAY	S. 003	/	

Other Information TRENCH CUT SHORT IN THE NW END DUE TO LARGE TREE.

Initials and Date	Checked By and Date
CT	SB - 07/10/

1·10·19 2019



TR3 SKETCH PLAN

Trench photos

08 - 12

Rep Sec photos

15 - 16

Matrix

301 ts

302 ss

303 nat

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(301)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH +
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 COARSE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 LIGHT GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CONCRETE
6. Inclusions	6 –
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	YES
Root Penetration?	NO	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	NO	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	YES
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	NO
Is the upper surface compacted?	YES	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	NO	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description: CONCRETE FOOTPRINT OF DEMOLISHED BUILDING.

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
		/	/	/	/	/	/

Drawing Nos. 003

Photographs 15-16

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest SEE GPS DATA

Lowest:

Finds

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

Other

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation: REPRESENTS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING FOR LADY MANNERS SCHOOL.

Initials CT

Date 1.10.19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB

Date 04/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(302)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH X
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 COARSE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 LIGHT GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 STONE
6. Inclusions	6 –
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	NO
Root Penetration?	NO	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	NO	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	YES
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	NO
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	MAYBE
Is the deposit sealed?	YES	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description: RUBBLE DEPOSIT UNDER CONCRETE (301)

Stratigraphic Relationships

(301)
(302)
(303)

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
(301)	(303)	/	/	/	/	/	/

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
003	Highest SEE GPS	Lithics, Metal, Bone, Glass, Coarse Stone; Pot, CBM, Hazelnut, Leather, Wood			
Photographs 15-16	Lowest: DATA				
Digital					
Slide					
Print					

Interpretation: RUBBLE USED TO FILL IN BRICK FOUNDATIONS BEFORE CONCRETE OVERLAY

Initials CT
Date 1.10.19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB
Date 07/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS 19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(303)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH X UNKNOWN
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 ~~CONTAINING~~ FINE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 LIGHT YELLOWISH BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 SM TO MED SUB ROUND TO SUB ANG STONES
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	NO
Root Penetration?	NO	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	WORM ?	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	YES
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	YES	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description NATURAL CLAY

Stratigraphic Relationships

(302)

(303)

[redacted]

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
[redacted]	302	\	\	\	\	\	\

Drawing Nos. 003

Photographs 15-16

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest SEE GPS

Lowest: DATA

Finds

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

Other

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation SUPERFICIAL DEPOSIT OVERLYING NATURAL BEDROCK LIKELY DEPOSITED UP TO 2 MILLION YEARS AGO BY GLACIERS IN ICE AGE CONDITIONS

Initials CT

Date 1.10.19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB

Date 07/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

EVALUATION TRENCH RECORD SHEET

PROJECT	Project Code	Date	Field/Area No.	TRENCH NO.
LMS19	Grid Ref	1.10.19	PHASE 1	4
		Parish		

Contact details of Landowner

and Tenant

1. Geology e.g. boulder clay, gravel, alluvium, sandstone etc	BOULDER CLAY
2. Soil type e.g. stony brown earth	DARK BROWN CLAYEY SILT
3. Weather light, precipitation, wind, temperature	RAIN
4. Stage in agric. Cycle / Land Use e.g. ploughed, sprouting crop, harrowed, stubble / pasture, woodland, moorland	—
5. Crop type (if applicable)	—
6. Depth of root penetration	0.1M
7. Agricultural history of the field (if applicable)	—

Sketch section of trench (including show depth of topsoil and any other deposits)



Topsoil Finds:

Lithics:

Pottery:

Glass:

Metal:

Other:

Geomorphological Description:

MED BROWN CLAY FREQUENT MED TO LARGE SUB ROUND TO SUB ANG STONES.

Stratigraphic Relationships



See reverse

Context No.	Brief Description of Feature	Period	Finds
(401)	TOPSOIL		
(402)	SUBSOIL		
(403)	NATURAL		
(404)	CONCRETE		
(405)	HARDCORE		
(406)	CONCRETE		
(407)	HARDCORE		
(408)	PIPE		

Other Information THIS TRENCH WAS SHORTENED DUE TO THE PRESENCE OF A PYLON. THIS WAS UNFORTUNATELY A VERY MESSY TRENCH DUE TO TREE & CONCRETE

Initials and Date 4.10.19

Checked By and Date SB 07/10/2019

(409) PIPE

Trench photos : 23-27

Rep sec photos 19-20



CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(401)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH X 0.2M
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYEY SILT
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	YES
Root Penetration?	# TREE	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	WORM	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	YES
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	NO	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description TOPSOIL

Stratigraphic Relationships

(401)

(402)

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
402	NO (402)	/	/	/	/	/	/

Drawing Nos. 005	Levels	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs 19-20	Highest SEE GPS	Lithics, Metal, Bone, Glass, Coarse Stone; Pot, CBM, Hazelnut, Leather, Wood			
Digital	Lowest: DATA				
Slide					
Print					

Interpretation TOPSOIL FORMED BY POST-MED PLOUGHING

Initials CT

Date 4.10.19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB

Date 07/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(402)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH x 0.8m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 MOD SM TO MED SUBROUND
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE TO SUB ANG STONES

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	NO
Root Penetration?	YES	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	WORM	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	YES
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	NO	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description

SUBSOIL

Stratigraphic Relationships

(401)
(402)
(403)

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
(401)	(403)	/	/	/	/	/	/

Drawing Nos. 005

Photographs 19 - 20

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest SEE

Lowest: GPS DATA

Finds

Lithics		Pot	Other
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone	/	Wood	

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation

FORMED BY POST MED PLOUGHING

Initials CT

Date 4.10.19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB

Date 07/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(403)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 EXTENT OF TRENCH + UNKNOWN
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 FINE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 SM TO MED SUB ROUND TO SUB ANG STONES
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXC

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	N/A	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	NO
Root Penetration?	NO	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc?*)	NO	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc?*	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	YES
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	YES	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description NATURAL CLAY

Stratigraphic Relationships

(402)

(403)

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
(402)	402	/	/	/	/	/	/

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest SEE GPS	Lithics	Pot			
Digital		Metal	CBM			
Slide	Lowest: DATA	Bone	Hazelnut			
Print		Glass	Leather			
		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation NATURAL CLAY OVERLYING BEDROCK PROBABLY DEPOSITED BY GLACIERS IN ICE AGE CONDITIONS UP TO 2 MILLION YRS AGO

Initials CT

Date 4/10/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB

Date 04/10/2019

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(404) = (406)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 5M @ N END & 5.3M @ S END X
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 COARSE 2M X 0.1M
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 LIGHT GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CONCRETE
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	YES
Root Penetration?	NO	Is the deposit a laminate?	NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	NO	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	YES
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	DISTINCT	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	NO
Is the upper surface compacted?	YES	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	NO	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	NO

Context Description CONCRETE

Stratigraphic Relationships

(404) = (406)

(405) (407)

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
405	\	\	\	\	\	406	\

Drawing Nos. No \

Photographs \

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest

Lowest:

Finds		Other
Lithics		Pot
Metal		CBM
Bone		Hazelnut
Glass		Leather
Coarse Stone		Wood

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation

Concrete assoc. with school and use of school

Initials CT

Date 07/10/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB

Date 07/10/19

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	Dep	405

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 Across NE + SW end of trench
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 brownish brown depth 0.6m
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 Dep. consists predominantly of subrounded
6. Inclusions	6 SA stones.
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	No	Is the deposit a laminate?	No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	Yes
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Distinct	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	No
Is the upper surface compacted?	Probably	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	No

Context Description

Hardcore underlying concrete level of school

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
403	404	\	\	\	\	407	

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest	Lithics	Pot			
Digital		Metal	CBM			
Slide	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut			
Print		Glass	Leather			
		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

Hardcore deposit, probably used for levelling land comparable land prior to the construction of Lady Manners School

Initials SB
Date 02/10/14

Checked Interpretation

Checked By SB
Date 02/10/14

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
LMS19	Feature No.	Dep	406

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 Across NE + SW end of trench 4
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 ~~Grey-light brown~~ n/a
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 ~~Coarse~~ n/a
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 n/a
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 n/a
6. Inclusions	6 Mature
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Concrete

Stratigraphic Relationships

406

407

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
407						404	

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds			Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest	Lithics		Pot			
Digital		Metal		CBM			
Slide	Lowest:	Bone		Hazelnut			
Print		Glass		Leather			
		Coarse Stone		Wood			

Interpretation

Concrete - use of [illegible] school

Initials SMS
Date 07/10/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date 27/10/2019

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features