



PROJECT LMS 19	Project Code	Date	Field/Area No.	TRENCH NO. 6
	Grid Ref	Parish		

Contact details of Landowner
and Tenant

1. Geology e.g. boulder clay, gravel, alluvium, sandstone etc	clay
2. Soil type e.g. stony brown earth	Dark brown layered silt
3. Weather light, precipitation, wind, temperature	Overcast, almost dry
4. Stage in agric. Cycle / Land Use e.g. ploughed, sprouting crop, harrowed, stubble / pasture, woodland, moorland	ploughed
5. Crop type (if applicable)	
6. Depth of root penetration	0.25m
7. Agricultural history of the field (if applicable)	






Topsoil Finds: <i>No finds</i> Lithics: Pottery: Glass: Metal: Other:	Geomorphological Description:
--	-------------------------------



Context No.	Brief Description of Feature	Period	Finds
6001	Topsoil		
6002	Subsoil		
6003	Natural		
604	Plow scar		
605	Fill of plow scar		

Other Information
Trench runs NNE-SSW

Initials and Date SB 05/11/2019	Checked By and Date SB 10/11/19
------------------------------------	------------------------------------



SITE CODE <i>M914</i>	Area Code Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) <i>Lager</i>	CONTEXT NO. <i>6001</i>									
DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)		1 <i>Access trench</i>	2 <i>Medium</i>	3 <i>Dark black brown dark brownish grey</i>	4 <i>-</i>	5 <i>clayey silt</i>	6 <i>Occ. small stones, R+SK + etc</i>	7 <i>flackine</i>				
CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
Truncated? <i>NO</i>	Root Penetration? <i>Yes, mostly by weeds</i>	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? <i>Yes</i>		Is the deposit a laminate? <i>NO</i>		Has the deposit been created in a single episode? <i>NO</i>		Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? <i>N/A</i>				
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) <i>NO observed</i>	Is the upper surface compacted? <i>NO</i>	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? <i>Yes</i>		Is there evidence of waterlogging? <i>NO</i>		Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? <i>NO</i>						
Context Description												
Stratigraphic Relationships					Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
<pre> graph TD 600[600] --- 601[601] </pre>					601							
Drawing Nos. <i>17</i>			Levels Highest Lowest:			Finds			Other	SMF Nos	Samples	
Photographs						Lithics	Pot					
Digital <i>✓ 1257 - 1260</i>						Metal	CBM					
Slide						Bone	Hazelnut					
Print						Glass	Leather					
						Coarse Stone	Wood					
Interpretation <i>Topsoil.</i>												
Checked Interpretation												
Initials <i>SB</i> Date <i>05/11/2014</i> Checked By Date												

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

SITE CODE 601	Area Code Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Layer	CONTEXT NO. 601a																										
DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)		1 Across trench 2 Medium 3 med greyish Brown 4 - 5 Silty clay 6 Rare small to med 50+50+R stones 7 Machine																											
CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8																											
Truncated? No		Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? no																											
Root Penetration? Minimal		Is the deposit a laminate? No																											
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Not observed		Has the deposit been created in a single episode? no																											
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? n/a		Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? yes																											
Is the upper surface compacted? No		Is there evidence of waterlogging? No																											
Is the deposit sealed? yes		Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? no																											
Context Description																													
Stratigraphic Relationships																													
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px; text-align: center;">600</td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px; text-align: center;">601</td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px; text-align: center;">602</td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> </tr> </table>			600			601					602		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">Above</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Below</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Cut by</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Cuts</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Filled by</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Fill of</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Same as</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Within</th> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">602</td> <td style="text-align: center;">601</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within		602	601					
		600																											
	601																												
		602																											
Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within																						
	602	601																											
Drawing Nos. 17 Photographs Digital ✓ 1257 - 1200 Slide Print	Levels Highest Lowest:	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Other Pot <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos Samples																									
Interpretation Subsoil.																													
Checked Interpretation																													
Initials SB Date 05/11/2019 Checked By SB Date 11/11/2019																													

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET


SITE CODE LMS19	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Layer	CONTEXT NO. 6023
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	Always fresh
	2	fine
	3	Red brownish yellow
	4	-
	5	clay
	6	Moderate SE-SS stones; big vertical
	7	in sizes; * not excavated
	8	

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description



Drawing Nos. Photographs Digital <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1257-1260 Slide Print	Levels Highest Lowest:	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
		Lithics <input type="checkbox"/>	Pot <input type="checkbox"/>			
		Metal <input type="checkbox"/>	CBM <input type="checkbox"/>			
		Bone <input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/>			
		Glass <input type="checkbox"/>	Leather <input type="checkbox"/>			
		Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Wood <input type="checkbox"/>			

Interpretation
clay natural, contains large stones, probably bedrock

Checked Interpretation	Initials SB
	Date 5-11-19
	Checked By SB
	Date 11/11/19

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE LMS13	Area Code Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Cut	CONTEXT NO. 604
---------------------------	--------------------------	---	---------------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
---	---------------------------------

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 linear 2/ n/a 23 0.3 2.8 / 0.3m depth - unknown 3 4 n/a 5 n/a 6 n/a 7 n/a 8 E-W
---	--

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biolurbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Plough scar, not excavated



Drawing Nos. Photographs 1218-1219 Digital Slide Print	Levels Highest Lowest:	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Other Pot <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos	Samples
---	-------------------------------------	--	--	----------------	----------------

Interpretation
Not excavated plough scar. Investigated to confirm but not fully excavated.
Relationship with subsoil uncertain.

Checked Interpretation	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>Initials</td><td>60</td></tr> <tr><td>Date</td><td>04/10/2019</td></tr> <tr><td>Checked By</td><td>SB</td></tr> <tr><td>Date</td><td>11/11/19</td></tr> </table>	Initials	60	Date	04/10/2019	Checked By	SB	Date	11/11/19
Initials	60								
Date	04/10/2019								
Checked By	SB								
Date	11/11/19								

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET


SITE CODE <i>LM519</i>	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) <i>Fill</i>	CONTEXT NO. <i>605</i>
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td><td><i>2.5 / 0.3m thickness - ?</i></td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td><i>Medium</i></td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td><i>Dark grey</i></td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td><i>-</i></td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td><i>clayey silt</i></td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td><i>Medium sorted rounded + SR stones</i></td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td></td></tr> </table>	1	<i>2.5 / 0.3m thickness - ?</i>	2	<i>Medium</i>	3	<i>Dark grey</i>	4	<i>-</i>	5	<i>clayey silt</i>	6	<i>Medium sorted rounded + SR stones</i>	7	
1	<i>2.5 / 0.3m thickness - ?</i>														
2	<i>Medium</i>														
3	<i>Dark grey</i>														
4	<i>-</i>														
5	<i>clayey silt</i>														
6	<i>Medium sorted rounded + SR stones</i>														
7															

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td></td></tr> </table>	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8	
1																	
2																	
3																	
4																	
5																	
6																	
7																	
8																	

Truncated? <i>No</i>	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? <i>No</i>
Root Penetration? <i>Not observed</i>	Is the deposit a laminate? <i>No</i>
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) <i>not observed</i>	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? <i>Yes</i>
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? <i>distinct</i>	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? <i>No</i>
Is the upper surface compacted? <i>No</i>	Is there evidence of waterlogging? <i>No</i>
Is the deposit sealed? <i>Yes</i>	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? <i>No</i>

Context Description
Homogenous fill of plough sec.



Drawing Nos. <i>1318-1319</i>	Levels Highest Lowest:	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs		Lithics <input type="checkbox"/>	Pot <input type="checkbox"/>		
Digital		Metal <input type="checkbox"/>	CBM <input type="checkbox"/>		
Slide		Bone <input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/>		
Print		Glass <input type="checkbox"/>	Leather <input type="checkbox"/>		
		Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Wood <input type="checkbox"/>		

Interpretation
Plough sec fill, assoc. with 1st cultivation

Checked Interpretation	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>Initials</td><td><i>JB</i></td></tr> <tr><td>Date</td><td><i>04/10/2014</i></td></tr> <tr><td>Checked By</td><td><i>JB</i></td></tr> <tr><td>Date</td><td><i>21/11/19</i></td></tr> </table>	Initials	<i>JB</i>	Date	<i>04/10/2014</i>	Checked By	<i>JB</i>	Date	<i>21/11/19</i>
Initials	<i>JB</i>								
Date	<i>04/10/2014</i>								
Checked By	<i>JB</i>								
Date	<i>21/11/19</i>								


© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

PROJECT LMS-19	Project Code	Date: 7/11/19	Field/Area No.	TRENCH NO. T7
	Grid Ref	Parish		

Contact details of Landowner and Tenant

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Geology e.g. boulder clay, gravel, alluvium, sandstone etc | Boulder clay |
| 2. Soil type e.g. stony brown earth | Dark-mid bluish/greyish Brown stony deposit |
| 3. Weather light, precipitation, wind, temperature | Rainy - cloudy Grass |
| 4. Stage in agric. Cycle / Land Use e.g. ploughed, sprouting crop, harrowed, stubble / pasture, woodland, moorland | |
| 5. Crop type (if applicable) | |
| 6. Depth of root penetration | Topsoil |
| 7. Agricultural history of the field (if applicable) | Ridge and furrow |



Topsoil Finds: <u>non</u>	Geomorphological Description: Relatively thin but <u>distinct</u> subsoil
Lithics:	
Pottery:	
Glass:	
Metal:	
Other:	

Stratigraphic Relationships

<input type="checkbox"/>	(F01)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	(F02)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	(F03)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Context No.	Brief Description of Feature	Period	Finds
(F01)	Topsoil	Unknown	None
(F02)	Subsoil	"	"
(F03)	Natural	"	"

Other Information

Trench contains no archaeology
Top to natural 0.32m Photos: 1265-1268

Initials and Date AT 7/11/19	Checked By and Date SB 08/11/2019
---------------------------------	--------------------------------------

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES


SITE CODE LMS_19	Area Code Feature No. T.7	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (701)
----------------------------	------------------------------	---	-----------------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 D = 0.19m 2 Fine 3 Dark blackish Brown 4 5 Clay silt 6 No 7 Mechanic
---	--

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
---	--------------------------------------

Truncated? NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? YES
Root Penetration? YES	Is the deposit a laminate? NO
Biurbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc)? YES	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? <u>NO</u>	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? YES
Is the upper surface compacted? NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging? NO
Is the deposit sealed? NO	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? YES

Context Description
Topsoil across the site



Drawing Nos. 21	Levels 21.1 21.2	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Finds</th> <th>Other</th> <th>SMP Nos</th> <th>Samples</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td> <td></td> <td>Pot</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td> <td></td> <td>CBM</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td> <td></td> <td>Hazelnut</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td> <td></td> <td>Leather</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td> <td></td> <td>Wood</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMP Nos	Samples	Lithics		Pot			Metal		CBM			Bone		Hazelnut			Glass		Leather			Coarse Stone		Wood			Photographs 1303-04 Digital ✓ 1265-1268 Slide Print
Finds		Other	SMP Nos	Samples																													
Lithics		Pot																															
Metal		CBM																															
Bone		Hazelnut																															
Glass		Leather																															
Coarse Stone		Wood																															


Interpretation
- Topsoil -

Checked Interpretation	Initials A.T Date 6/11/19 Checked By SJ Date 11/11/2019
------------------------	--

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

Sketch Plan / Section

east-north-east face section



SITE CODE LMS-19	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (702)
	Feature No. T7		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 D= 0.13m 0.13m
	2 Fine
	3 Dark reddish Brown
	4
	5 Occasional sub angular stones ↗ ↘
	6 Silty clay
	7 Mechanic


CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8

Truncated? NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? NO
Root Penetration? NO	Is the deposit a laminate? NO
Biolurbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? NO
Is the upper surface (circled) graded, uneven etc? NO	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Yes
Is the upper surface compacted? NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging? NO
Is the deposit sealed? Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? Yes

Context Description

- The subsoil is relatively thin and visible in this trench.

- Much more visible/prominent at the north-west area of the site.



Drawing Nos. 21	Levels 21, 22	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Pot Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Leather Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Wood	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs 1265-1268	Highest				
Digital X303-1304	Lowest:				
Slide					
Print					

Interpretation **Subsoil**

Checked Interpretation	Initials A.T
	Date 6/11/19
	Checked By ATB
	Date 23/11/2019

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE LMS-19	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (703)
	Feature No. T.7		


DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 Not applicable
	2 Fine
	3 Dark-mid bluish/greyish Brown
	4 /
	5 Clay amount
	6 Frequent amount of subangular stones
	7

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8

Truncated? NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? NO
Root Penetration? NO	Is the deposit a laminate? NO
Biurbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) NO	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, (never) etc? NO	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? YES
Is the upper surface compacted? NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging? NO
Is the deposit sealed? YES	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? /

Context Description

- Same as 803
- The natural backfill is higher in the area that's why you have high amount of boulders / limestone fragments



Drawing Nos. 21	Levels 21.1 21.2	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Finds</th> <th>Other</th> <th>SMF Nos</th> <th rowspan="2">Samples</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td> <td></td> <td>Pot</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td> <td></td> <td>CBM</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td> <td></td> <td>Hazelnut</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td> <td></td> <td>Leather</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td> <td></td> <td>Wood</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples	Lithics		Pot		Metal		CBM		Bone		Hazelnut		Glass		Leather		Coarse Stone		Wood	
Finds			Other	SMF Nos	Samples																						
Lithics		Pot																									
Metal		CBM																									
Bone		Hazelnut																									
Glass		Leather																									
Coarse Stone		Wood																									
Photographs 1303-1304	Highest																										
Digital 1265-1268	Lowest:																										
Slide																											
Print																											

Interpretation **Natural clay / Geological Part**

Checked Interpretation	Initials AT
	Date 6/11/19
	Checked By 210
	Date 08/11/2019


© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

PROJECT LMS-19	Project Code	Date 7/11/19	Field/Area No.	TRENCH NO. T8
	Grid Ref	Parish		

Contact details of Landowner and Tenant

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Geology e.g. boulder clay, gravel, alluvium, sandstone etc | Boulder Clay |
| 2. Soil type e.g. stony brown earth | Dark greyish / bluish brown with occasional fragments of limestone |
| 3. Weather light, precipitation, wind, temperature | Rainy |
| 4. Stage in agric. Cycle / Land Use e.g. ploughed, sprouting crop, harrowed, stubble / pasture, woodland, moorland | Cross |
| 5. Crop type (if applicable) | Topsoil |
| 6. Depth of root penetration | Ridge and furrow |
| 7. Agricultural history of the field (if applicable) | |



Topsoil Finds: <u>None</u>	Geomorphological Description: - undulating surface - Bluish colour suggest water accumulation -> waterlogged environment.
Lithics:	
Pottery:	
Glass:	
Metal:	
Other:	

Stratigraphic Relationships

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	801	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	802	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	803	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Context No.	Brief Description of Feature	Period	Finds
(801)	Topsoil	Unknown	None
(802)	Subsoil	"	"
(803)	Natural	"	"

Other Information Trench photo 1201-1207.

No archaeology

Initials and Date AT 7/11/19	Checked By and Date SB 11/11/2019
---------------------------------	--------------------------------------

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET


SITE CODE LMS_19	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (801)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	D = 0.25m
	2	Fine
	3	Dark Murkish Brown
	4	/
	5	clayey silt
	6	No
	7	Mechanic

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	Yes	Is the deposit a laminate?	No
Bloturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Yes	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	No
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?		Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	Yes
Is the upper surface compacted?	No	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	No	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	Yes

Context Description
- Topsoil -



Drawing Nos. 270	Levels 21.1 21.2	Finds	Other /	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs 1266-97	Highest	Lithics	Pot		
Digital 1261-1264	Lowest:	Metal	CBM		
Slide		Bone	Hazelnut		
Print		Glass	Leather		
		Coarse Stone	Wood		


Interpretation
Topsoil.

Checked Interpretation	Initials A.T
	Date 6/11/19
	Checked By SP
	Date 20/11/2019

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

Sketch Plan / Section



CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE LMS-19	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (802)
	Feature No. T8		


DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	D = 0.24m
	2	
	3	Mottled dark yellowish Brown
	4	
	5	Clay
	6	Occasional fragments of limestone
	7	mechanic

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated? NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes
Root Penetration? NO	Is the deposit a laminate? NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) NO	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? NO
Is the upper surface distinct, graded/uneven etc? NO	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Yes
Is the upper surface compacted? NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging? NO
Is the deposit sealed? Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? Yes

Context Description

~~...~~ - Plastic in texture
~~...~~ - Different from (702).



Drawing Nos. 20	Levels 20.1 20.2	Findings Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Pot Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Leather Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Wood	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest				
Digital 1206-27, 1261-1267	Lowest:				
Slide					
Print					

Interpretation **- Subsoil -**

Checked Interpretation	Initials A.T
	Date 6/11/19
	Checked By 313

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE LMS-19	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (803)
	Feature No.		


DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 D=
	2 Fine
	3 Dark-greyish-bluish brown
	4
	5 Clay
	6 occasional medium size angular boulders of limestone
	7

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8

Truncated? no	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? no
Root Penetration? no	Is the deposit a laminae? no
Biurbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) no	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? no
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? no	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? yes
Is the upper surface compacted? no	Is there evidence of waterlogging? maybe
Is the deposit sealed? yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? no

Context Description

- undulating surface
- very plastic in texture
- no features are cut into it
- Bluish colour suggests waterlogged environment -> This makes some ~~stone~~ or clay does not absorb water easily.



Drawing Nos. 20	Levels 20.1 20.2	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Pot Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Leather Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Wood	Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Samples <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	Highest				
Digital 1206-97, 1261-1264	Lowest:				
Slide					
Print					

Interpretation **Clay deposit -> natural Geology**

Checked Interpretation	Initials A.T
	Date 6/11/19
	Checked By 310


© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

PROJECT LMS-19	Project Code	Date 7/11/19	Field/Area No.	TRENCH NO. T9
	Grid Ref	Parish		

Contact details of Landowner and Tenant

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Geology e.g. boulder clay, gravel, alluvium, sandstone etc | Boulder clay |
| 2. Soil type e.g. stony brown earth | Mid yellowish brown not particularly stony soil |
| 3. Weather light, precipitation, wind, temperature | Rainy |
| 4. Stage in agric. Cycle / Land Use e.g. ploughed, sprouting crop, harrowed, stubble / pasture, woodland, moorland | |
| 5. Crop type (if applicable) | Grass |
| 6. Depth of root penetration | Just in the topsoil |
| 7. Agricultural history of the field (if applicable) | Ridge + furrow |



Topsail Finds: None	Geomorphological Description: Relatively flat boulder clay land
Lithics:	
Pottery:	
Glass:	
Metal:	
Other:	


Stratigraphic Relationships

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		905					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		904					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		903					

Context No.	Brief Description of Feature	Period	Finds
901	Topsoil	Unknown	None
902	Subsoil	"	"
903	Natural	"	"
904	Furrow	Medieval	"
905	Fill of Furrow	"	"

Other Information **Trench shots: 1269-1272**
Trench contains NW-SE running furrow

Initials and Date AT 7/11/19	Checked By and Date SB 11/11/2019
--	---



901 ts
 |
 902 ss
 |
 905 to furrow
 |
 [904] furrow
 |
 903 nab.

SITE CODE L45-19	Area Code Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (901)
----------------------------	--------------------------	--	-----------------------------


DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td><td>D = 0.13m</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>Fine</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>Dark Blackish Brown.</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>Clay silt</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>/</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>Mechanic</td></tr> </table>	1	D = 0.13m	2	Fine	3	Dark Blackish Brown.	4		5	Clay silt	6	/	7	Mechanic
1	D = 0.13m														
2	Fine														
3	Dark Blackish Brown.														
4															
5	Clay silt														
6	/														
7	Mechanic														

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td></td></tr> </table>	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8	
1																	
2																	
3																	
4																	
5																	
6																	
7																	
8																	

Truncated? NO	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes
Root Penetration? Yes	Is the deposit a laminate? NO
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm mole etc?) (M)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? NO
Is the upper surface (distinct) graded, uneven etc? (M)	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Yes
Is the upper surface compacted? NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging? NO
Is the deposit sealed? NO	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? Yes

Context Description

*- Topsoil
- No evidence of archaeology.*



Drawing Nos. 16	Levels 16.1 16.2	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Finds</th> <th>Other</th> <th>SMF Nos</th> <th>Samples</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Pot</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>CBM</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Hazelnut</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Leather</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Wood</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples	Lithics	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pot			Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	CBM			Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut			Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leather			Coarse Stone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood			
Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples																													
Lithics	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pot																															
Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	CBM																															
Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut																															
Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leather																															
Coarse Stone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood																															
Photographs	Highest																																
Digital 1284-85, 1269-1272	Lowest:																																
Slide																																	
Print																																	

Interpretation

Topsoil

Checked Interpretation	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>Initials</td><td>A.T</td></tr> <tr><td>Date</td><td>06/11/19</td></tr> <tr><td>Checked by</td><td>SB</td></tr> <tr><td>Date</td><td>11/11/19</td></tr> </table>	Initials	A.T	Date	06/11/19	Checked by	SB	Date	11/11/19
Initials	A.T								
Date	06/11/19								
Checked by	SB								
Date	11/11/19								

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE LNS_19	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (9012)
	Feature No. T.9		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	D = 0.17
	2	Fine
	3	Dark greyish Brown.
	4	
	5	Silly clay
	6	occasional peg of limestone
	7	Mechanic


CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	No	Is the deposit a laminate?	No
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)		Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	No
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	No	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	Yes
Is the upper surface compacted?	No	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	Potentially

Context Description

- Subsoil / not very distinct

- no archaeology



Drawing Nos. 16	Levels	Findings	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest	Lithics <input type="checkbox"/>	Pot <input type="checkbox"/>		
Digital 1284-85, 269-1272	Lowest:	Metal <input type="checkbox"/>	CBM <input type="checkbox"/>		
Slide		Bone <input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/>		
Print		Glass <input type="checkbox"/>	Leather <input type="checkbox"/>		
		Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Wood <input type="checkbox"/>		

Interpretation


- Subsoil -

Checked Interpretation	Initials AT
	Date 6/11/19
	Checked By SB
	Date 11/11/19

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

Sketch Plan / Section




SITE CODE LM5-19	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (903)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	Not applicable
	2	Plastic - Fine
	3	Mid yellowish Brown
	4	
	5	Clay
	6	occasional inclusions of sub-angular fragments of limestone
	7	

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated? no	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? no
Root Penetration? no	Is the deposit a laminate? no
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) no	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? no
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? no	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Yes
Is the upper surface compacted? no	Is there evidence of waterlogging? no
Is the deposit sealed? no	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? no/maybe

Context Description
natural clay deposit



Drawing Nos. 16	Levels 16.1 16.2	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Other Pot <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos <input type="checkbox"/>	Samples <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	Highest				
Digital 1284-85, 1269-1272	Lowest:				
Slide					
Print					

Interpretation
Geological post-natural.

Checked Interpretation	Initials A.T
	Date 6/11/19
	Checked By SB
	Date 11/11/2018

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

SITE CODE LMS_19	Area Code Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Fill Cut	CONTEXT NO. [904]						
DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)		1 2 3 4 5 6 7							
CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation		1 linear 2 3 D = 0.14m w = 1.10m L = 4 Gentle 5 Moderate 6 Gentle 7 Flatish 8 N-NW-SE							
Truncated?		Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?							
Root Penetration?		Is the deposit a laminate?							
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)		Has the deposit been created in a single episode?							
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?		Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?							
Is the upper surface compacted?		Is there evidence of waterlogging?							
Is the deposit sealed?		Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?							
Context Description - The feature extends beyond the trench, only the north-west part is visible. - It appears to be a wide shallow linear running N-NW-SE. - The borrow is not visible in the trench so I reckon that it extends south-west towards the flat football ground.									
Stratigraphic Relationships									
<pre> graph TD 905 --- 904 904 --- 903 905 -.-> Cuts 903 </pre>									
		Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
					903				
		903	905	/	/	905	/	/	T.9
Drawing Nos. 15+16 Photographs Digital 1279-83, 1269-1272 Slide Print		Levels 15.16 15.2 Highest Lowest:		Finds Lithics Metal Bone Glass Coarse Stone		Other Pot CBM Hazelnut Leather Wood		SMF Nos Samples	
Interpretation Farrow -> medieval cultivation system.									
Initials A.T Date 6/11/19									
Checked Interpretation Checked By J.B. Date 11/11/19									

Sketch Plan / Section

