CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM'19	T1	Deposit	(101)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 D= 0.13m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 Fine
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 Very dark Brown
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 Clay silt
6. Inclusions	6 /
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 Mechanical

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	Very Likely
Root Penetration?	Yes	Is the deposit a laminate?	No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc?*)	No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	No
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc?*		Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	Yes
Is the upper surface compacted?	No	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	No	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	Yes

Context Description

Top-soil

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
102	-Air-	/	/	/	/	/	T1

Drawing Nos.	Levels 1.1+1.2	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest 6.P.5	Lithics	Pot		
Digital		Metal	CBM		
Slide	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut		
Print		Glass	Leather		
		Coarse Stone	Wood		

Interpretation

Top-soil

Initials AT

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRW'19	T1	Deposit	(102)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 D= 0.16m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 Fine
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 Mottled geyish Brown
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 /
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 Clay silt
6. Inclusions	6 charcoal
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 Mechanic

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Yes	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	No	Is the deposit a laminate?	No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc?*)	NO	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	No
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc?*		Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	Yes
Is the upper surface compacted?	NO	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	NO
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	

Context Description

-Subsoil-

- 2 Land drains were found at the northern end / ceramic drains
- Presence of flakes of charcoal

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
103	101	Land Drains	/	/	/	/	T1

Drawing Nos. 1	Levels 1.1 + 1.2	Finds / Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest GPS	Lithics	Pot		
Digital		Metal	CBM		
Slide	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut		
Print		Glass	Leather		
		Coarse Stone	Wood		

Interpretation

- Subsoil -

Initials A.T

Date 14/6/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRN'19	T1		(103)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 D= 0.06m+
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 Medium
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 Mottled mid yellowish brown
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 Clay-silt
6. Inclusions	6 /
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 Mechanic

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? No
Root Penetration? No	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?) No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? No
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Yes
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? No	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

- Natural geology -

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
/	102	/	/	/	/	/	T1

Drawing Nos. 1

Photographs

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels 1.1 + 1.2

Highest G.P.S

Lowest:

Finds / Other

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation

- Geological Past -

Initials A.T

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	CUT	[104]

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5
6. Inclusions	6
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1 LINEAR
2. Corners	2 N/A
3. Dimensions / depth	3 L- 1.8m, W- 0.30m, D- N/A
4. Break of slope - top	4 N/A - NOT EXCAVATED
5. Sides	5 " "
6. Break of slope - bottom	6 " "
7. Base	7 " "
8. Orientation	8 NE-SW

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest	Lithics	Pot		/	/
Digital		Metal	CBM			
Slide	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut			
Print		Glass	Leather			
		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

CONSTRUCTION CUT FOR LAND DRAIN - LIKELY 19th - 20th CENTURY.

Initials JD

Date

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

STRUCTURE RECORDING SHEET

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	MASONRY	[105]

Context Description

Type and size of materials
Finish
Coursing/Bond
Direction of Faces
Foundation

- CERAMIC LAND DRAIN SEGMENTS 0.25m x 0.09m x 0.09m
- NO BONDING MATERIAL
- CYLINDRICAL SEGMENTS.

Stratigraphic Relationships

[106]
[105]
[104]

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos. —

Photographs

Digital —

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest

Lowest:

Finds

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

Other

SMF Nos /

Samples /

Interpretation

CERAMIC LAND DRAIN SEGMENTS. LIKELY 19th - 20th CENTURY. PLACED WITHIN CONSTRUCTION TRENCH [104].

Initials JD

Date 14/08/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	FILL	(106)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L- 1.8m, W- 0.30m, D- N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
—		Lithics		Pot	/	/
Photographs	Highest	Metal		CBM		
Digital —		Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

BACKFILL OF CONSTRUCTION TRENCH (105) for [104]. DATE UNCERTAIN BUT LIKELY 19th - 20th CENTURY.

Initials JD
Date 14/08/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	CUT	[107]

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5
6. Inclusions	6
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1 LINEAR
2. Corners	2 N/A
3. Dimensions / depth	3 N/A – NOT EXCAVATED
4. Break of slope - top	4 "
5. Sides	5 "
6. Break of slope - bottom	6 "
7. Base	7 "
8. Orientation	8 E-W

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
—	Highest	Lithics		Pot		
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital —	Lowest:	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

CONSTRUCTION CUT FOR LAND DRAIN - LIKELY 19th – 20th CENTURY.

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

STRUCTURE RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type	CONTEXT NO.
BRM19	Feature No.	MASONRY	108

Context Description
Type and size of materials
Finish
Coursing/Bond
Direction of Faces
Foundation

- CERAMIC LAND DRAIN SEGMENTS 0.25m x 0.09m x 0.09m
- NO BONDING MATERIAL
- CYLINDRICAL SEGMENTS

Stratigraphic Relationships

		(109)		
		108		
		[107]		

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.
—

Photographs
Digital —
Slide
Print

Levels
Highest
Lowest:

Finds / Other

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

SMF Nos: /

Samples: /

Interpretation

CERAMIC LAND DRAIN SEGMENTS. LIKELY 19th - 20th CENTURY. PLACED WITHIN CONSTRUCTION TRENCH [104]

Initials VD
Date 14/08/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	FILL	(109)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L- 1.8m, W- 0.30m, D - N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 –
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
–	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital –	Lowest:	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

BACKFILL OF CONSTRUCTION TRENCH [107]. DATE UNCERTAIN BUT LIKELY 19th – 20th CENTURY

Initials JD
Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(201)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-30m+, W-2m+, D-0.20m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYISH SILT
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
2	Highest	Lithics	Pot		1	1
Photographs		Metal	CBM			
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide		Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

TOPSOIL

Initials JD
Date 04/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(202)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-30m+, W-2m+, D-0.05m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYISH SAND
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
2 Photographs	Highest	Lithics	Pot		1	1
Digital		Metal	CBM			
Slide	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut			
Print		Glass	Leather			
		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

SUBSOIL

Initials JD
Date 27/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(203)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L- 30m+, W- 2m+, D- N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SANDY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 —

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
2	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal	/	CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

NATURAL

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRN 19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(301)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L - 30m+, W - 2m+, D - 0.21m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYISH SILT
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
3, 19	Highest	Lithics	Pot		/	/
Photographs		Metal	CBM			
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide		Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

TOPSOIL

Initials JD

Date 17/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(302)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L - 30m+, W - 2m+, D - 0.09m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 -
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SANDY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 -
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

TRUNCATED BY CULVERTS [304] + [308]

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
3, 19	Highest	Lithics	Pot		/	/
Photographs		Metal	CBM			
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide		Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

SUBSOIL

Initials: AD
Date: 17/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(303)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L - 30m+, W - 2m+, D - N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SANDY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 10 % LARGE SILTSTONE BOULDERS
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 —

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
3	Highest	Lithics	Pot		/	/
Photographs		Metal	CBM			
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide		Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

NATURAL

Initials JD
Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRN '19	Feature No.	CUT	[304]

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5
6. Inclusions	6
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1 LINEAR
2. Corners	2 N/A
3. Dimensions / depth	3 L- 2m+, W-0.56m, D- 0.27m
4. Break of slope - top	4 SHARP
5. Sides	5 VERTICAL
6. Break of slope - bottom	6 SHARP
7. Base	7 FLAT
8. Orientation	8 E-W

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.
19, 20
Photographs
Digital
Slide
Print

Levels
Highest
Lowest:

Finds / Other

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation
CONSTRUCTION CUT FOR CULVERT. POSSIBLY POST-MEDIEVAL. MAY FORM PART OF A NETWORK OF CULVERTS DEDICATED TO DRAINAGE.

Initials JD
Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	MASONRY	305

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L=2m+, W-0.56m, D-0.27m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 COARSE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 -
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTSTONE
6. Inclusions	6 -
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MATTOCK

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.
19, 20
Photographs
Digital
Slide
Print

Levels
Highest
Lowest:

Finds / Other

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

SMF Nos

Samples

Interpretation
STONES FORMING STRUCTURE OF CULVERT [304]. NO BINDING MATERIAL. TWO-THREE COURSES, ONE BRICK THICK. ROUGHLY SHAPED STONES CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS.

Initials JD
Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '14	Feature No.	80 FILL	(306)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-2m+, W- 0.56m-D,- 0.10m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MATTOCK

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
19, 20	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	/	Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass	(	Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

FILL AROUND STONES (305). FILL CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. DELIBERATE BACKFILL AS PART OF WATER-TIGHTING. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD

Date 14/06/14

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	FILL	(307)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L- 2m+, W- 0.74m, D- 0.20m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILT
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 TROWEL

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
19	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

FILL OF CULVERT [304]. FILL CREATED BY USE AS SEDIMENT BUILDS UP OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. FILL CAUSED EVENTUAL DISUSE OF CULVERT. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD
Date 11/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	CUT	[308]

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5
6. Inclusions	6
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1 LINEAR
2. Corners	2 N/A
3. Dimensions / depth	3 L - 2m+, W- , D - N/A
4. Break of slope - top	4 N/A - NOT EXCAVATED
5. Sides	5 N/A - " "
6. Break of slope - bottom	6 N/A - " "
7. Base	7 N/A - " "
8. Orientation	8 E-W

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos. N/A

Photographs

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest

Lowest:

Finds / Other

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass	/	Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

SMF Nos: /

Samples: /

Interpretation

CONSTRUCTION CUT FOR CULVERT. POSSIBLY POST-MEDIEVAL. MAY FORM PART OF A NETWORK OF CULVERTS DEDICATED TO DRAINAGE.

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	MASONRY	[309]

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L- 2m+, W- , D- N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 COARSE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTSTONE
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 N/A - NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
N/A	Highest	Lithics		Pot		
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

STONES FORMING STRUCTURE OF CULVERT [308] - NO BONDING MATERIAL. ROUGHLY SHAPED STONES CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS.

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	FILL	(310)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L - 2m+, W - , D - N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 –
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 N/A - NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
N/A	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass	/	Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

FILL AROUND STONES (309). FILL CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. DELIBERATE BACKFILL AS PART OF WATER-TIGHTING. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD

Date 12/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	FILL	(311)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-2m+, W-, D-N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 -
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILT
6. Inclusions	6 -
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 N/A - NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
N/A		Lithics	Pot		1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal	CBM			
Digital		Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide	Lowest:	Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

FILL OF CULVERT [308]. FILL CREATED BY USE AS SEDIMENT BUILDS UP OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. FILL CAUSED EVENTUAL DISUSE OF CULVERT. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD

Date 24/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRW19	T4	Deposit	(401)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 D= 0.15m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 Fine
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 Very dark Brown
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 Clay silt
6. Inclusions	6 /
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 Mechanic

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Possibly
Root Penetration? Yes	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?) No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? No
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Yes
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? No	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

- Top soil -

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
(402)	-Air-	/	/	/	/	/	T4

Drawing Nos. 4

Photographs

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels 4.1 + 4.2 G.P.S

Highest

Lowest:

Finds ~~Other~~

Lithics			Pot
Metal			CBM
Bone			Hazelnut
Glass			Leather
Coarse Stone			Wood

~~SME~~ Nos

~~Samples~~

Interpretation

- Top soil -

Initials A.T

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRW'19	T4	Deposit	(402)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 D=0.17m+
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 Medium
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 Mid yellowish Brown
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 Clay silt
6. Inclusions	6 /
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 Mechanic

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? No
Root Penetration? No	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?) No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? No
Is the upper surface (distinct) graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Yes
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No

Context Description

- Natural Geology -

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
/	(401)	/	/	/	/	/	T4

Drawing Nos. 4

Photographs

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels 4.1 + 4.2

Highest G.P.S

Lowest:

Finds		Other /	SMF Nos	Samples
Lithics	Pot			
Metal	CBM			
Bone	Hazelnut			
Glass	Leather			
Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

- Geological Past -

Initials A.T

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(501)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-30m², W-7m², D-0.27m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 -
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYISH SILT
6. Inclusions	6 -
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos. 5

Photographs

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest

Lowest:

Finds		Other
Lithics		Pot
Metal	1	CBM
Bone		Hazelnut
Glass	1	Leather
Coarse Stone		Wood

SMF Nos: 1

Samples: 1

Interpretation

TOPSOIL

Initials: JD

Date: 12/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	~~503~~ (502)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-30m+, W-2m+, D-0.19m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.
~~535~~

Photographs

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest

Lowest:

Finds		Other
Lithics		Pot
Metal		CBM
Bone		Hazelnut
Glass		Leather
Coarse Stone		Wood

SMF Nos /

Samples /

Interpretation

SUBSOIL

Initials JD

Date 17/05/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BLM '19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	~~503~~ (505)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-30 mt, W-2mt, D - N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID ~~YELLOWISH~~ BROWNISH YELLOW
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SANDY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 10% SILTSTONE BOULDERS
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 N/A

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
885		Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal		CBM		
Digital		Bone	/	Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone	/	Wood		

Interpretation

NATURAL

Initials JD
Date 14/08/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(601)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-30m+, W-2m+, D-0.15m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYISH SILT
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
6	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	1	Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

TOPSOIL

Initials JD
Date 27/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRN 19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(603)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L - 30m+, W - 2m+, D - N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SANDY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 –
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 –

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
6		Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal	/	CBM		
Digital		Bone	/	Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass	/	Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

NATURAL

Initials	JD
Date	14/06/19
Checked By	
Date	

Checked Interpretation

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BEM 19	Feature No.	CUT	[604]

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / ~~silt~~ / clay)	5
6. Inclusions	6
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1 LINEAR
2. Corners	2 —
3. Dimensions / depth	3 L-2mT, W- , D-N/A (NOT EXCAVATED)
4. Break of slope - top	4 N/A - NOT EXCAVATED
5. Sides	5 N/A - NOT EXCAVATED
6. Break of slope - bottom	6 N/A - NOT EXCAVATED
7. Base	7 N/A - NOT EXCAVATED
8. Orientation	8 NE-SW

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
N/A		Lithics	Pot		1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal	CBM			
Digital		Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide	Lowest:	Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

CONSTRUCTION CUT FOR CULVERT. POSSIBLY POST-MED. MAY FORM PART OF A NETWORK OF CULVERTS DEDICATED TO DRAINAGE.

Initials JD

Date

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	MASONRY	605

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-2m+, W- m, D- N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 COARSE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTSTONE
6. Inclusions	6 –
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.
N/A

Photographs

Digital

Slide

Print

Levels

Highest

Lowest:

Finds / Other

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass	/	Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

SMF Nos: /

Samples: /

Interpretation

STONES FORMING STRUCTURE OF CULVERT [604]. NO BONDING MATERIAL. ROUGHLY SHAPED STONES. CREATED AS PART OF THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. POSSIBLY POST-MED

Initials

Date 14/08/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	FILL	(606)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L- 2m+, W- m, D - N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
N/A		Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal		CBM		
Digital		Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

FILL AROUND STONES (605) - FILL CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. DELIBERATE BACKFILL AS PART OF WATER-TIGHTENING AND STRUCTURAL INTEGRETY. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JP

Date 14/08/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	FILL	(607)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L- 2m+, W- N, D- N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 FINE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWNISH GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILT
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
N/A		Lithics	1	Pot	1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal		CBM		
Digital		Bone	1	Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

FILL OF CULVERT [604]. FILL CREATED BY USE AS SEDIMENT BUILDS-UP OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. FILL CAUSED EVENTUAL DISUSE OF CULVERT. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD
Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(701)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L- 30m+, W-2m+, D- 0.16m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYISH SILT
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
7	Highest	Lithics	Pot		/	/
Photographs		Metal	CBM			
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide		Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

TOPSOIL

Initials JD
Date 12/08/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BAM 19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(702)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L- 30mt, W- 6mt, D- 0.09m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MACHINE

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
7	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	/	Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

SUBSOIL

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM'19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(703)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L - 30m+, W - 2m+, D - N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWNISH YELLOW
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SANDY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 10% SILTSTONE BOULDERS
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 —

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
1		Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal		CBM		
Digital		Bone	/	Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

NATURAL

Initials JD
Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	CUT	[704]

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5
6. Inclusions	6
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1 LINEAR
2. Corners	2 N/A
3. Dimensions / depth	3 L - 2.0m+, W - 0.69m, D - 0.43m
4. Break of slope - top	4 SHARP
5. Sides	5 CONCAVE
6. Break of slope - bottom	6 GRADUAL
7. Base	7 FLAT
8. Orientation	8 NE - SW

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
17, 18		Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal		CBM		
Digital		Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

CONSTRUCTION CUT FOR CULVERT. POSSIBLY POST-MED MAY FORM PART OF A NETWORK OF CULVERTS DEDICATED TO DRAINAGE. CONTEMPORARY WITH [709].

Initials JP

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	MASONRY	[705]

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L - 2.0m+, W - 0.48m, D - 0.48m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 COARSE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID GREY
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTSTONE
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 TROWEL + MATTOCK

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
17, 18		Lithics	Pot		1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal	CBM			
Digital		Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide	Lowest:	Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

STONES FORMING STRUCTURE OF CULVERT [704]. NO BONDING MATERIAL. THREE COURSES, ONE STEIN THICK. ROUGHLY SHAPED STONES - CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	FILL	(706)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-20m+, W-0.69m, D-0.15m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 40% MEDIUM SILSTONE FRAGMENTS
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 TROWEL + MATTOCK

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
17, 18	Highest	Lithics	Pot		/	/
Photographs		Metal	CBM			
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	Hazelnut			
Slide		Glass	Leather			
Print		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation

FILL AROUND STONES [705]. FILL CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. DELIBERATE BACKFILL AS PART OF WATER-TIGHTING AND STRUCTURAL INTEGRETY. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(707)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-20m+, W-0.69m, D-0.06m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM TEXTURED
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID YELLOWISH ORANGE
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 MATTOCK + TROWEL

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
17, 18	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

SEALING DEPOSIT OVER CULVERT [704]. CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS FOR WATER-TIGHTING AND PREVENTING SOIL FROM ENTERING. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	FILL	(708)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L-2.0m+, W-0.0.15m, D-0.26m
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 FINE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK GREYISH BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYISH SILT
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 TROWEL

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
17, 18	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal	/	CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

FILL OF CULVERT [704]. FILL CREATED BY USE AS SEDIMENT BUILDS-UP OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. FILL CAUSED EVENTUAL DISUSE OF CULVERT, POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD
Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	CUT	[709]

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3
4. Wet Munsell Number	4
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5
6. Inclusions	6
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1 LINEAR
2. Corners	2 N/A
3. Dimensions / depth	3 L-2m+, W-0.69m, D-N/A
4. Break of slope - top	4 N/A - FEATURE NOT EXCAVATED
5. Sides	5 " "
6. Break of slope - bottom	6 " "
7. Base	7 " "
8. Orientation	8 N-S

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
N/A		Lithics	1	Pot	1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal		CBM		
Digital		Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass	1	Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

CONSTRUCTION CUT FOR CULVERT. POSSIBLY POST-MED. MAY FORM PART OF A NETWORK OF CULVERTS DEDICATED TO DRAINAGE.

Initials JP

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

[710]

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM '19	Feature No.	MASONRY ~~CUT~~	[709]

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 L- 2m+ , W- 0.69m , D- N/A 2 COARSE 3 MID GREY 4 – 5 SILTSTONE 6 – 7 NOT EXCAVATED
CUT 1.Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.
~~P~~ N/A
Photographs
Digital
Slide
Print

Levels
Highest
Lowest:

Finds / Other

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

SMF Nos: /

Samples: /

Interpretation
STONES FORMING STRUCTURE OF CULVERT [709]. NO BONDING MATERIAL. ROUGHLY SHAPED STONES. CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. POSSIBLY POST-MED

Initials: D
Date: 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	FILL	(711)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L - 2m+, W - N/A m, D - N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 —
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 SILTY CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 —
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
N/A		Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs	Highest	Metal		CBM		
Digital		Bone		Hazelnut		
Slide	Lowest:	Glass	/	Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

FILL AROUND STONES (710). FILL CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. DELIBERATE BACKFILL AS PART OF WATER-TIGHTING AND STRUCTURAL INTEGRETY. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	DEPOSIT	(712)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 2m², w- 0.69m, D- N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 MEDIUM
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 MID YELLOWISH ORANGE
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAY
6. Inclusions	6 –
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships

(713)
(712)
(711)

Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.
N/A

Photographs
Digital
Slide
Print

Levels
Highest
Lowest:

Finds / Other

Lithics		Pot	
Metal		CBM	
Bone		Hazelnut	
Glass		Leather	
Coarse Stone		Wood	

SMF Nos: 1

Samples: 1

Interpretation
SEALING DEPOSIT OVER CULVERT [709]. CREATED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION PROCESS FOR SOIL + WATER-TIGHTING. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials: JD
Date: 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By
Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface)	CONTEXT NO.
BRM 19	Feature No.	FILL	(713)

DEPOSIT / FILL	
1. Dimensions of context	1 L - 2m+, W - N/A, D - N/A
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	2 FINE
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	3 DARK GREYISH BROWN
4. Wet Munsell Number	4 –
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	5 CLAYISH SILT
6. Inclusions	6 –
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	7 NOT EXCAVATED

CUT	
1.Shape in plan	1
2. Corners	2
3. Dimensions / depth	3
4. Break of slope - top	4
5. Sides	5
6. Break of slope - bottom	6
7. Base	7
8. Orientation	8

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole *etc*?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven *etc*?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description

Stratigraphic Relationships



Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within

Drawing Nos.	Levels	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
N/A	Highest	Lithics		Pot	1	1
Photographs		Metal		CBM		
Digital	Lowest:	Bone	/	Hazelnut		
Slide		Glass		Leather		
Print		Coarse Stone		Wood		

Interpretation

FILL OF CULVERT [709]. FILL CREATED BY USE AS SEDIMENT BUILDS-UP OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. FILL CAUSED EVENTUAL DISUSE OF CULVERT. POSSIBLY POST-MED.

Initials JD

Date 14/06/19

Checked Interpretation

Checked By

Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features