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#### Abstract

MOLA (Museum of London Archaeology) was commissioned by CgMs Heritage to undertake an archaeological trial trench evaluation at Jack's Green, Kings Cliffe, Northamptonshire in August and September 2018. This work followed an earlier geophysical survey of the proposed development area. A low density of archaeological remains were encountered including a probable Iron Age pit alignment on the line of the proposed access track and part of an Iron Age enclosure. An extensive irregular boundary ditch of uncertain date and two undated parallel boundary ditches or possible trackway drainage ditches were also recorded. A low number of pits and postholes were also recorded that were likely to be of Iron Age date were also present although direct dating evidence was limited.


## INTRODUCTION

MOLA was commissioned by CgMs Heritage, on behalf of GP Planning and clients Rockingham Forest Park Ltd, to undertake archaeological trial trench evaluation at Jack's Green, Kings Cliffe, Northamptonshire (Fig 1; NGR TL 0381 9747). The archaeological evaluation is part of a suite of conditioned works for a proposed holiday lodge park development (planning reference 14/02225/FUL).The work was carried out in August and September 2018.

The Archaeological evaluation was required in accordance with paragraph 128 and 132 of the National Planning Policy Framework (DCLG 2012). It was conducted in accordance with an approved Written Scheme of Investigation (MOLA 2018).

MOLA is a Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA) registered organisation, and all works were undertaken according to the CIfA Code of Conduct (CIfA 2014a) and in accordance with current best archaeological practice as defined in the CIfA's Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (CIfA 2014b), the EAA document Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (Gurney 2003), and the procedural document Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE) (HE 2015a).


## 2 BACKGROUND

### 2.1 Location, geology and topography

The development site is located to the south of the Roman road between Wansford and Kings Cliffe, Northamptonshire (TL 0383197470 Fig 1) and covers an area of c6ha. It lies on a gentle south to south-east facing slope between the 65 m and 70 m contours. The access track to the north lies at a similar elevation on a north-easterly slope, at one poi nt running across the head of a small dry valley. The British Geological Survey records the bedrock of the survey area to be Blisworth Limestone (formerly referred to as Great Oolite), capped in places by Blisworth Clay. On the highest part of the survey area these strata are concealed beneath glacial till (BGS 2018).

The present day topography is generally a low undulating landscape of shallow dry valleys and broad ridges. The area is bounded to the east by an area of uneven ground where low ridges reflect the extent of the ironstone quarrying in the area. Deeper adits have been left where ironstone and clays have been removed from seams at over 9 m deep. To the south is farmland of Apethorpe parish and to the west farmland in the area of what was the former RAF Kings Cliffe Airfield.

### 2.2 Historical and archaeological background

The following historic background contains selected summarised data extracted from the Heritage Assessment compiled in 2015 by CgMs (Dawson 2015).

## Prehistoric

There are undated crop marks, including probable prehistoric field boundaries and droveways parallel to Apethorpe road (NHER 2872, 2873). These do not fall into the development area and are possibly part of a prehistoric landscape south of Apethorpe Road. More prehistoric activity is likely north of Kings Cliffe Road recorded in crop marks as ditches and a possible enclosure to the west (NHER2827/HNER 2831)
Along the access road possible prehistoric enclosures as well as iron working evidence were identified from aerial photographs and investigated in a geophysical survey and trial trench evaluation (NHER2826/0/1, 2826/0/4, 2826/0/6; ENN 12971, 14640). Iron Age settlement activity was recorded at Shortwood Farm (NHER 8266).

## Roman

The Wansford to Kings Cliffe Road originates in the Roman period (NHER2870). Settlement, funerary monuments and ironworking have all been recorded in the vicinity from this period.

## Saxon, medieval and early post-medieval

In the Saxon and early medieval period the proposed development area lay on the boundary between the townships of Yarwell, Nassignton and Sulehay. Within the development area are traces of surviving ridge and furrow (NHER6111). Outside the proposed development area is the remains of the Parochial Forest (NHER 2253). Parallel ditches related to the forest may extend into the Eastern margin of the proposed development area (NHER2253)

## Late post medieval and modern

Historical Ordinance Survey maps suggest that the development area comprised open farmland within retained forested areas in the late post medieval period.

In 1942 the Ministry of Defence constructed RAF Kings Cliffe airfield. The proposed development site occupies part of the eastern periphery of the airfield, which was in use till 1959 when it reverted to agricultural land. Within the development area a

Callender Hamilton T2 hangar was formerly situated. The technical sites and hangars have been $r$ azed, though some structure bases, perimeter track and ancillary structures are still present. The airfield is famous for its association with Glenn Miller who played his last hangar concert here on 3rd October 1944. A rebuilt memorial to him was erected on the site of the former T2 Hangar within the proposed development area (Dawson, 2015).

## Previous work

A magnetometer survey was carried out in 2018 (Walford 2018) and identified anomalies indicative of enclosures and boundaries in the southern open area and anomalies indicative of palaeochannels and hi ghly magnetically enhanced activity adjacent to the northern access track.

## 3. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The main objective of the trial trench evaluation was to record the location, extent, date, character, condition, significance, and quality of any surviving archaeological remains. The evaluation specifically aimed to characterise:

- the date, nature, significance and ex tent of activity or occupation in the development site;
- the potential relationship of any remains found to the surrounding contemporary landscapes;
- the potential for the recovery of finds to assist in the development of artefact studies within the region;
- the potential for palaeo-environmental remains to determine local environmental conditions, including the presence/absence of palaeosoils, palaeochannels, and buried land surfaces;
- to understand the character of deposits, their formation within cut features, and the site formation processes generally;
- the impact of the proposed works upon any surviving archaeological remains;
- to inform any future decisions by the planning authority regarding approaches to archaeological preservation, conservation and mitigation.

Specific regional research frameworks were also assessed (Knight et al 2012, Cooper 2006).

## 4. EXCAVATION METHODOLOGY

The proposed development site was subject to trial trench evaluation comprising 15 trenches of varying length and width (Table 1) targeting both geophysical anomalies and potential 'blank' areas (Fig 2). A brief summary of the results is included in Table 1 and discussed in detail in Section 5.

Table 1: Trench disposition and results

| Trench | Length (m) | Width (m) | Area | Target | Results | Match to survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20 | 3.6 | Access Track | Possible pits | 3 pits | Good |
| 2 | 40 | 1.8 | Access <br> Track | Possible industrial archaeological remains | No archaeology | Poor |
| 3 | 45 | 1.8 | Access <br> Track | Possible palaeochannel | Paleaochannel | Good |
| 4 | 50 | 1.8 | Southern site | Possible boundaries/enclosures | 3 parallel ditches | Generally good |
| 5 | 15 | 1.8 |  | Possible boundary ditch | 1 ditch | Good |
| 6 | 50 | 1.8 |  | Possible enclosure | Enclosure ditches | Good |
| 7 | 50 | 1.8 |  | Possible ditches | Geological variation | Good |
| 8 | 50 | 1.8 |  | Possible enclosures | Geological variation | Good |
| 9 | 35 | 1.8 |  | Possible enclosures | Geological variation | Good |
| 10 | 50 | 1.8 |  | Possible boundary ditch | Boundary ditch | Good |
| 11 | 50 | 1.8 |  | Possible ditches | Geological variation | Good |
| 12 | 50 | 1.8 |  | Possible boundaries | Boundary ditches, geological variation | Good |
| 13 | 25 | 1.8 |  | Possible trackway | Possible trackway | Good |
| 14 | 50 | 1.8 |  | Possible trackway | Possible trackway | Good |
| 15 | 50/25 | 1.8 |  | Possible boundaries/enclosures | Possible trackway | Good |

All trench locations were positioned using Leica Viva Global Positioning System (GPS) survey equipment using SMARTNET real-time corrections, operating to a 3D tolerance of $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ and were scanned with a Cable Avoidance Tool (CAT) prior to excavation.
Machine excavation was undertaken under the direction of an ex perienced archaeologist. Trenches were excavated by machine using a 1.8 m wide toothless ditching bucket to reveal archaeological remains or, where these were absent, the undisturbed geological horizon. The spoil generated during the trial trenching was mounded away from the edges of each trench and scanned by eye and by metal detector for finds recovery.
Each trench was cleaned sufficiently to enhance the definition of features, unless it was certain that there are no archaeological remains present. All archaeological features were investigated.

All archaeological deposits encountered during the course of evaluation were fully recorded, following standard fieldwork procedures (MOLA 2014). All archaeological deposits were given a s eparate context number. Deposits were described on MOLA standard Trial Trench Logs to include details of the context, its relationships and interpretation. The record was compiled under NHER and Site Code: ENN109101.
Archaeological features were plotted on trench plans at a scale of 1:50. Sections or profiles through features were drawn at a scale of 1:10 or 1:20 as appropriate. All levels were related to Ordnance Datum.

|  | Archaeology | Excavated trench |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 100m | Geology | Site location |
| - [ | Geological test pit | - Underground service |
| Contains Ordnance Survey data. © Crown Copyright 2018. All rights reserved. Licence Number 100047514 | Geology (evaluation) | - Pipeline / cable |

The photographic archive comprised high resolution (12 megapixels or greater) digital photography. Overall shots of the site were taken after backfilling. Overall shots of each trench were taken together with detailed shots of individual features. All photographs, where appropriate, included a suitable photographic scale.
Samples were taken for environmental analysis after identifying suitable contexts for sampling as outlined by Historic England (Campbell et al 2011), and subsequently processed at MOLA.

## 5 THE EXCAVATED EVIDENCE

### 5.1 General stratigraphy

The sequence of soils varied little across the site, comprising subsoil and topsoil above the weathered geological horizon. The natural substrate comprised frost fractured limestone with silt, gravel and clay pockets noted.
A thin subsoil was recorded in all of the excavated trenches with the exception of Trench 1. It comprised mid orange-brown, hard clay silt with frequent small, subangular limestone fragments. It was between 0.05 m and 0.28 m deep.
Topsoil in the western part of the site was loose dark brown clay silt with moderate limestone fragments measuring between 0.26 m and 0.35 m deep on average.
All features were cut into the natural substrate and were overlain by the subsoil, unless otherwise stated. Full context and deposit descriptions and depths are recorded in Appendix 1, a representative section is shown at figure 3.


General site stratigraphy looking south-east Fig 3

### 5.2 The archaeological remains

Archaeological features were encountered in 10 of the 15 excavated trenches (Trenches 1,4 to 7,10 and 12 t 015 ); the anomalies encountered in the magnetometer survey in Trench 2 turned out to be geological anomalies and solution hollows and Trench 3 contained a palaeochannel that corresponded with the geophysical anomaly indicating a previous meander of a stream channel and the remaining three trenches were blank (Fig 2; Table 1). The features comprised mainly ditches and pits. Density levels were low in most trenches and corresponded well with
the geophysical survey results. Where dated, the features belong to the Iron Age, although the undated possible trackway or parallel boundary ditches may be medieval or later in date. A number of features were isolated and undated but were likely to be Iron Age in origin. The remains are described below by likely period.

## Palaeochannel (Trench 3)

Trench 3 was positioned to investigate a possible palaeochannel representing a meander in a stream course (Fig 2) identified in the geophysical survey (Walford 2018). A darker band in the substrate was identified in the centre of the trench that corresponded well with the projected line of the channel (Fig 4). It was not clearly defined and was likely to be of some antiquity. The available mapping dating from the late 19th century shows no stream course in the vicinity.


Palaeochannel in central portion of Trench 3, looking north-east, Fig 4

## Probable pit alignment (Trench 1)

Double width Trench 1 targeted as uspected pit alignment identified by the magnetometer survey (Walford 2018). The trench fully uncovered one small pit and partially two much larger ones (Figs 5, 6 and 7). The pits were aligned north-east to south-west and similar anomalies were recorded in the survey both to the north and south within the proposed access corridor.
The partially exposed southern-most pit [105] was subcircular in plan, and was truncated almost to its flat base. It measured 2.59 m wide and 0.25 m deep. The lower fill represented a gradual accumulation of relatively unmodified substrate and contained two fragments of non-diagnostic animal bone. The upper fill had a higher humic content and contained six sherds of pottery likely to date from later middle Iron Age (3rd to 2nd centuries BC) in association with a small amount of non-diagnostic fired clay and ten fragments of non-diagnostic animal bone.

Pit [107] was fully exposed in the trench and located between the two other pits. It was elliptical in plan, with gradually sloping sides and an uneven base measuring 0.89 m wide and 0.16 m deep. It contained two sherds of Iron Age pottery.



Probable pit alignment in Trench 1, looking north, Fig 6
Pit [111] was partially obscured by the baulk. It had $g$ ently sloping sides and a concave base and measured 2.13 m wide and 0.36 m deep. The two lower fills were relatively unmodified, but the upper fill contained a higher humic content and frequent limestone pieces. Three sherds of non-diagnostic Iron Age pottery were recovered from the pit as well as a small amount of animal bone including some sheep/goat bones.

## Sub-rectangular enclosure (Trench 6)

Trench 6 was positioned to investigate geophysical anomalies indicative of a subrectangular enclosure. Two ditches, one w ith evidence for modification and maintenance were recorded in the trench (Figs 8 and 9).

Shallow, irregular ditch [610] aligned north-west to south-east formed the northern arm of the enclosure, and had steep sloping sides and a flattish base, measuring 0.48 m wide and 0.19 m deep. It contained two sherds of non-diagnostic Iron Age pottery and t hirty-four fragments of animal bone weighing 210 g , mostly nondiagnostic but with elements from cattle, horse and sheep or goat present. Although the numbers were low, this feature produced the largest assemblage by weight and count of bone.

At the southern end of the trench a recut ditch, likely to represent an internal subdivision within the overall enclosure was recorded. Ditch [614] terminated to the north-west within the trench and had s teep sides and a c oncave base measuring greater than 1.12 m wide by 0.48 m deep. It contained three fragments of animal bone including cattle bone. Similar sized later ditch [607] and its recut [605]/[611] cut across it on the same alignment without terminating and were likely to be direct replacements (Fig 9). Eight sherds of middle to late Iron Age pottery, including some scored wares were recovered from the latest ditch in the sequence as well as eight fragments of animal bone non-identifiable to species.

## Section 35



Looking west

Section 37




Section 12



## Southern boundary (Trenches 4, 5, 10 and 12)

A slightly irregular, and possibly segmented, east to west aligned linear anomaly turning to the north at its west end was recorded in the geophysical survey and investigated in four of the trenches. It was identified in all four trenches in the expected position (Figs 2, 8 and 10). A single sherd of abraded Romano-British grey ware pottery was recovered from the ditch in Trench 10.


Adjacent boundary ditches [408] and [406], looking south, Fig 11
The main east to west section of the boundary ditch was recorded in Trenches 5, 10 and 12 (Figs 8 and 10 and 12), cutting through the shallow subsoil. The ditch measured between 1.1 m and 2.05 m in width and between 0.34 and 0.52 m deep, with a broadly consistent eroded v-shaped profile. The soil sample (S1) recovered from the ditch in Trench 10 contained generally low volumes of cereal remains with a number of weed seeds indicative of cultivated land. The presence of relatively high numbers of snail shells from species keen on grassland and hedgerows might indicate a long lived, grassed over boundary ditch associated with a non-extant hedgeline providing a suitably shady environment.

At the western end of Trench 4, the north-north-west to south-south-east aligned ditch [406] was V-shaped with slightly eroded upper edges and a concave base, measuring 1.69 m wide and 0.48 m deep (Figs 8 and 11). This ditch was likely to form part of the north-north-west aligned return of the southern boundary. Two similar U-shaped ditches were recorded to its east [408] and [410], one of which was not identified in the geophysical survey. Both may be as sociated with the main boundary (Fig 8). Sixteen fragments of unidentified animal bone were recovered from the fill of the central ditch [408].


Boundary ditch [1205] in Trench 12, looking east, Fig 12

## Possible trackway/parallel ditches (Trenches 12-15)

Two parallel linear anomalies aligned north-east to south-west were recorded in the survey over a maximum distance of 210 m spaced approximately 3 to 5 m apart at the north-east end and splaying wider to the south-west (Figs 2, 10, 13 and 14). These anomalies were investigated in four of the trenches where ditches were identified in the expected positions; no datable material was recovered from the ditches, however these ditches were also recorded cutting the remnant subsoil. Although identified as a possible trackway there was no evidence for erosion or rutting between the ditches and they may represent parallel boundary ditches.
The north-western ditch [1216], [1305], [1410] and [1506] was generally steep sided with evidence for erosion to its upper sides and a concave base and measured between 1.22 and 1.44 m wide and between 0.32 and 0.5 m deep. The soil sample (S2) recovered from ditch [1506] contained low numbers of both cereal and weed seeds, however moderately high numbers of grassland and hedge snails were present.

The south-eastern ditch [1309], [1407] and [1508] had a similar slightly eroded Ushaped profile and measured between 1.15 and 1.45 m wide and between 0.28 and 0.30 m deep. A single flint scraper was recovered from ditch [1407].


Section 32
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Looking north-east

Scale 1:25
Parallel boundaries/possible trackway ditches in Trench 15
Fig 14

## Other features

## Pits

Undated hearth pit [509] (Figs 8 and 15) was located towards the southern end of Trench 5 close to posthole [507]. It was steep sided with a flat base and measured 0.65 m wide and 0.18 m deep, and a layer of large flattish cobbles showing signs of heat affection had been laid in the pit within a charcoal rich fill. One fragment of animal bone was recovered from the fill.


Hearth pit [509] showing heat affected stones, looking east, Fig 15
Circular pit [1007] was located towards the north-western end of Trench 10 (Fig 10), and had a broad shallow, flat based profile. It measured 1.38 m wide by 0.18 m deep and contained five sherds of non-diagnostic Iron Age pottery and six fragments of animal bone including cattle bone.

## Postholes

A single undated posthole [412] was recorded in the eastern end of Trench 4 (Fig 8). It was $U$-shaped, measuring 0.35 m wide by 0.14 m deep.

Undated circular posthole [507] was recorded to the north of pit [509] in Trench 5 (Fig 8). It had near vertical sides and a flat base and measured 0.44 m wide by 0.20 m deep. Seven non-diagnostic animal bone fragments were recovered from the fill.
Three postholes [705], [707] and [709] were recorded in Trench 7 in the centre of the trench on an east to west alignment (Fig 8). They were all shallow with varying Ushaped profiles, measuring between 0.22 and 0.43 m wide and 0.08 and 0.15 m deep. None were dated, however, posthole [709] contained three fragments of animal bone.

Undated oval posthole [1005] was located 5 m to the north-west of pit [1007] in Trench 10 (Fig 10). It had an eroded U -shaped profile and measured 0.45 m wide by 0.12 m deep.

A cluster of four undated sub-oval postholes [1207], [1209], [1211] and [1213] were recorded in Trench 12 (Figs 10 and 16). They were shallow and irregular measuring approximately 0.4 m at their widest and between 0.02 m and 0.13 m deep.


Posthole cluster in Trench 12, looking north, Fig 16
A single undated sub-oval posthole [1307] was recorded between the parallel ditches [1305] and [1309] in Trench 13. It was truncated almost to its flat base, measuring 0.55 m wide by 0.11 m deep.

## 6 THE FINDS

### 6.1 Flint by Yvonne Wolframm-Murray

One end scraper was recovered as a residual find from undated ditch [1407], it measures 25 mm long and 23 mm wide. The flake was abruptly retouched on the proximal end and bot $h$ lateral edges, giving the scraper a s quare outline with its straight edges. The distal end has some post-depositional edge damage. The raw material is a dark grey-brown vitreous flint with a thick mid grey cortex. The flint probably came from a local gravel depopsit.

The scraper is not directly dateable but the technological characteristics suggest a broadly Neolithic to early Bronze Age date.

### 6.2 Iron Age and Romano-British pottery <br> by Adam Sutton

Twenty-seven sherds of pottery, weighing 240 g , were recovered. The vast majority of this pottery is likely to be Iron Age with a single sherd of abraded Romano-British pottery also present.

Scored ware was identified in fill (604) within enclosure ditch [605] and fill (610) in ditch terminal [611], which suggests a m iddle-to-late Iron Age date. In the two examples from fill (610), scoring was of a type more akin to combing than to scoring with a single-pronged implement, and this is generally seen as a late trait dating to Chapman's 'Late Iron Age' phase (first century BC: Chapman forthcoming). However, the scored sherd from fill (604) has the oblique lattice scoring typical of Chapman's 'Later Middle Iron Age' phase (250/200-100 BC); a lack of grog-tempered fabrics in the assemblage as a whole (including the scored sherds from fill (610)) indicates an earlier date than the first century BC/AD.

Aside from the single Roman sherd from fill (1008) in boundary ditch [1010], all other pottery finds were featureless body sherds in shelly fabrics of varying coarseness. The finer shelly wares from fill (604) all appeared to have been burnished. While this
means that (apart from contexts (604), (610), and (1008)) none of the contexts yielding pottery could be dat ed with any precision, the uniformity of the fabrics between those contexts producing scored wares and those producing only shelly body sherds may suggest that all of the non-Roman pottery was roughly contemporary, probably dating to the later part of the middle Iron Age; third-to-second centuries BC .

Fill (1008) in ditch [1010] produced one abraded rim sherd from a Roman greyware vessel. The rim appears to be everted, but cannot be assigned to a type for the purpose of precise dating. Greywares are common throughout the Roman period from the first to fourth centuries AD.

Table 2. Iron Age \& Roman pottery. * = fabric code derived from Northamptonshire Roman fabric series.

| Fill | Cut | Feature | Trench | Fabric | Sherd count | Weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(104)$ | $[105]$ | Pit | 1 | Fine shell | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | Medium shell | 5 | 24 |
| $(106)$ | $[105]$ | Pit | 1 | Fine shell | 2 | 4 |
| $(109)$ | $[111]$ | Pit | 1 | Fine shell | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | Medium shell | 2 | 6 |
| $(604)$ | $[605]$ | Ditch | 6 | Fine shell | 4 | 12 |
| $(610)$ | $[611]$ | Ditch terminal | 6 | Medium shell | 2 | 14 |
| $(616)$ | $[617]$ | Ditch | 6 | Medium shell | 2 | 147 |
| $(1006)$ | $[1007]$ | Pit | 10 | Fine shell | 2 | 1 |
| (1008) | $[1010]$ | Ditch | 10 | Medium shell | 4 | 10 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | 2 | 14 |

6.3 Fired clay by Rob Atkins

Three undiagnostic small fragments of fired clay weighing 15 g were recovered from fill (104) in pit [105]. They are all in a mixed buff to orange sandy fabric with a grey core.

## 7 FAUNAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE

### 7.1 Faunal remains by Sander Aerts

A total of 551 grams of animal bone were recovered by hand from eleven features from six of the fifteen trenches during the evaluation. No animal remains were retrieved from the environmental samples. The animal bone was analysed to assess the species assemblage, preservation and taphonomy.
The remains were quantified using the NISP method (number of identified fragments), implying that identification was attempted on all fragments with diagnostic features. Mammal remains smaller than 1 cm were not quantified. Identifications took place using the MOLA Northampton reference collection. Due to the similarities in sheep and goat skeletal morphologies, they are grouped together as ovicaprids. Unidentifiable remains were attributed to size categories Large Mammal (LM), i.e. horse and cattle, and Medium Mammal (MM), i.e. ovicaprids, pig, large dog, where possible.

The animal bone was found to be poorly preserved; only 12 out of 106 fragments were identifiable. The results are summarised in Table 3. The assemblage is low in diversity. The only identified taxa include common domesticates cattle, ovicaprids and a single horse pelvic fragment from (616), fill of ditch [617]. The majority of the cattle and ovicaprid remains comprise long bone fragments and loose teeth. One unfused phalanx fragment from (508), fill of pit [509] resembles that of an ovicaprid, and forms the only evidence for juvenile animals on the site.

Due to the high level of fragmentation and brittle/flaky state of the surface of the bones, it was not possible to establish the presence of butchering and/or gnawing marks.

The poor preservation and small amount of identifiable material limit the research value of this assemblage. No further work is required.
Table 3: NISP quantification of the hand collected animal remains

| Fill | Cut | Feature | Trench | Cattle | Horse | Ovicaprid | LM | MM | Indet | Count | Wt. (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 | [105] | Pit |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 33 |
| 106 | [105] | Pit | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 13 |
| 109 | [111] | Pit | 1 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 7 | 11 | 26 |
| 407 | [408] | Ditch | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 11 | 16 | 75 |
| 506 | [507] | Posthole | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| 508 | [509] | Pit | 5 |  |  | $1 ?$ |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 608 | [609] | Tree throw | 6 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 5 | 10 |
| 610 | [611] | Ditch Terminal | 6 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 49 |
| 612 | [614] | Ditch Terminal | 6 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 97 |
| 616 | [617] | Ditch | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |  | 24 | 34 | 210 |
| 708 | [709] | Posthole | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 1006 | [1007] | Pit | 10 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 | 26 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106 | 551 |

### 7.2 Environmental remains by Sander Aerts

Two environmental soil samples of 101 itres each were taken to assess the macrofossil assemblages. Both samples were processed using the siraf flotation method. The residue was collected in a 1 millimetre mesh, the flots were retrieved in a 500 m icron mesh. All remains were analysed using a low-power binocular microscope (10x-40x magnification).
The results are summarised in Table 4. A small assemblage of cultivated charred cereal grains was observed. The grains were in a poor state of preservation, allowing only for one individual from (1008), fill of ditch [1010] to be narrowed down to being wheat or barley (Triticum/Hordeum sp.). Wild plants included goosefoot (Chenopodium sp.) in both samples, and a few seeds of field pennycress (Thlaspi arvense) from (1008). This annual weed species is commonly found on cultivated land.

Both samples were rich in shell fragments from terrestrial snails. These genera include garden snails Cepaea, door snail Clausilia, and m inute Vertigo snails, amongst other common taxa. These snails are typical for damp, vegetated habitats, and can often be found in grasslands, hedgerows and in leaf litter.

Table 4: Summary of the environmental remains from fills (1008) and (1305)

| Sample | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 1008 | 1505 |
| Cut | 1010 | 1506 |
| Type | Ditch | Ditch |
| Crop plants |  |  |
| Triticum/Hordeum sp. | A | - |
| Cereal grains indet. | B | A |
| Wild plants |  |  |
| Chenopodium sp. | B | A |
| Thlaspi arvense | A | - |
| Indet. | B | B |
| Snails | C | B |
| Cepaea sp. | - | A |
| Clausilia sp. | B |  |
| Cochlicopa sp. | B | B |
| Helicidae sp. | A | - |
| Vertigo sp. | B | B |
| Zonitidae sp. | C | C |
| Snails indet. |  |  |

Key: $A=1-3, B=4-19, C=20-50$ individuals.

## 8 DISCUSSION

The results of the evaluation at Jack's Green, Kings Cliffe have broadly corroborated the results of the previous geophysical survey with a high incidence of matching archaeological features to geophysical anomalies. Some linear and curvilinear anomalies were positively identified as geological variations in the limestone substrate and some glacial till cover as seen in Trench 2 (Fig 2) and a number of small discrete features were identified that would have produced an insufficient variation in the magnetic signature to be discerned in the survey. Overburden depths were generally shallow with little or no genuine subsoil present, presumably due to limited historic agricultural exploitation of the area within the forested landscape.

### 8.1 Iron Age pit alignment and enclosure

Five probable large pits were identified in the geophysical survey in the northern part of the site and the presence of two of these plus a smaller, shallower pit were confirmed during the evaluation. Although shallow and badly eroded these pits contained $40 \%$ of the very small pottery assemblage for the whole site and $22 \%$ of the faunal remains, therefore they are genuine features and likely to be the basal remains of pits forming part of a north-north-east to south-south-west pit alignment. It was likely to have been constructed in the earlier Iron Age, by analogy to better dated examples in the region, with the pits becoming infilled in the middle to later Iron Age.
The rectilinear enclosure in the southern area, investigated in Trench 6 was the primary focus for Iron Age activity within the area. Of the remaining pottery recovered, 37\% came from these enclosure ditches in association with just over $40 \%$ of the poorly preserved animal bone assemblage. The pottery recovered from these ditches was slightly better dated than the remainder of the assemblage and has been assigned to
the later middle Iron Age. The majority of this enclosure lies beyond the development area to the north-west within the woodland.
The small number of mostly undated pits and postholes recorded to the south of the enclosure are likely to be of a similar date and may be indicative of unenclosed exploitation of the area, indicative of the early to middle Iron Age period.

### 8.2 Southern boundary and parallel boundaries/trackway

Neither the southern boundary nor the parallel boundaries/trackway were convincingly dated. The southern boundary contained one sherd of abraded Romano-British pottery and the parallel ditches contained one residual flint scraper. Both features were recorded as cutting the subsoil, which might indicate a later medieval or post-medieval date, however, the subsoil as recorded is extremely thin and appears to be the upper eroded geological horizon rather than the remnant of a pos t-Romano-British agricultural soil, therefore it is not good evidence for a late origin. Extant drainage ditches were observed in the woodland to the north of the parallel boundaries/trackway on a broadly similar alignment (Fig 17) that might represent a continuation of these ditches but a link between the two could not be confirmed.


Ditch within woodland to north of parallel boundaries, looking south-west, Fig 17
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APPENDIX: CONTEXT INVENTORY


| Trench No | Length, width \& alignment |  | Height of grou surface | Height of natural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 40m x 1.8m E-W |  | 68.11 m aOD | 67.63 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type Feature \& type | Description |  | Dimensions | Artefacts/ Samples |
| 201 | Topsoil | Loose, mid-brown occasional stones |  | 0.25 m deep <br> 0.36 m deep <br> 0.34 m deep | - |
| 202 | Subsoil | Mid-orange brown sand, occasional stones |  | 0.28 m deep <br> 0.08 m deep <br> 0.17 m deep | - |
| 203 | Geo | Glacial, geological clay |  | 0.18 m deep 0.26 m deep | - |
| 204 | Natural | Natural white and yellow shale |  | 0.06 m deep | - |


| Trench No | Length, width \& alignment |  | Height of ground surface | Height of natural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 45m x 1.8m NE-SW |  | 70.56 m aOD | 70.08 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type Feature \& type | Description |  | Dimensions | Artefacts/ Samples |
| 301 | Topsoil | Loose, mid-grey brown silt, occasional small stones |  | 0.26 m deep <br> 0.28 m deep <br> 0.31 m deep | - |
| 302 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-orange brown silty clay, occasional small stones |  | 0.31 m deep <br> 0.09 m deep <br> 0.21 m deep | - |
| 303 | Natural | Hard, light yellowish grey clay, occasional small stones |  | - | - |


| Trench <br> No | Length, width <br> lignment |  | Height of ground <br> surface | Height of natural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 50m x 1.8m E-W | 68.21 m aOD | 67.70m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 401 | Topsoil | Loose, mid-grey brown silt, | 0.38 m deep | - |
|  |  | occasional small stones | 0.30 m deep |  |
| 402 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-yellow brown chalk | 0.30 m deep |  |
|  |  |  | 0.18 m deep | - |
| 403 |  |  | 0.14 m deep |  |


| 404 | Fill | Fill of [406]. Mid-grey brown clay/silty clay. Compact. Frequent chalk inclusions. | 1.42 m wide <br> 0.32 m deep | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 405 | Fill | Fill of [413]. Compact, light yellow-brown <br> chalk. <br> Redeposited? | 0.65 m wide <br> 0.12 m deep | - |
| 406 | Cut | Cut of linear, steep, U-shaped base. N/NW-S/SE. | 1.69 m wide 0.48 m deep | - |
| 407 | Fill | Fill of [408]. Compact, midgrey brown clay, frequent chalky inclusions. | 0.70 m wide 0.31 m deep | - |
| 408 | Cut | Cut of linear, concave base, steep sides, N/NW-S/SE | 0.70 m wide 0.31 m deep | - |
| 409 | Fill | Fill of [410]. Fill of [410]. Compact, mid-grey brown clay. Frequent chalky inclusions | 1.04 m wide 0.39m deep | - |
| 410 | Cut | Cut of linear, blunt base, steep to moderate sides. N/NWS/SE. | 1.04 m wide 0.39m deep | - |
| 411 | Fill | Fill of [412]. Friable, mid-grey brown silt. Some rooting or disturbance and chalky inclusions. | 0.35 m wide <br> 0.14 m deep | - |
| 412 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Shallow, steep sides. Some slumping on W. | 0.35 m wide <br> 0.14 m deep | - |
| 413 | Cut | Cut of linear/drain? Cuts (404). Shallow, concave, moderate sides. | 0.65 m wide 0.12 m deep | - |
| 414 | Fill of 406 | Natural silting layer, mid brown sandy clay. | 1.50 m wide 0.20 m deep | - |


| Trench <br> No |  <br> alignment | Height of ground <br> surface | Height of natural |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 15m x 1.8m NW-SE | 68.55 m aOD | 68.17 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 501 | Topsoil | Loose, mid to dark grey brown <br> silt-loam, occasional small <br> stones | 0.21 m deep <br> 0.34 m deep <br> 0.28 m deep | - |
| 502 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-brown orange silty <br> chalk | 0.09 m deep <br> 0.14 m deep | - |
| 503 | Natural | Hard, light yellowish-white <br> chalk with frequent stones | - | 0.10 m deep |


| 504 | Fill | Fill of [505]. Loose, mid-brown <br> slightly clayey silt with frequent <br> limestones | 1.47 m wide <br> 0.49 m deep | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 505 | Cut | Linear, NE-SW, moderate to <br> straight sides, U-shaped, <br> concave | 1.47 m wide <br> 0.49 m deep | - |
| 506 | Fill | Fill of [507]. Dark greyish- <br> brown silt, loose, occasional <br> charcoal, frequent small to <br> medium stones | 0.45 m wide <br> 020 m deep | Pottery, <br> bone |
| 507 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Circular, <br> steep, flattish base | 0.45 m wide <br> 020 m deep | - |
| 508 | Fill | Fill of [509]. Dark grey-brown <br> silt, loose, frequent stones, <br> small to very large, frequent <br> charcoal | 0.65 m wide | Bone |
| 509 | Cut | Cut of pit/posthole. Sub- <br> circular, steep, flattish base | 0.65 m wide <br> 0.18 m deep | - |


| Trench No | Length, width \& |  | Height of ground surface | Height of natural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 50m x 1.8m NE-SW |  | 68.84 m aOD | 68.39 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type Feature \& type | Description |  | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 601 | Topsoil | Loose, dark grey brown silty loam with occasional small to medium sized stones |  | 0.39 m deep <br> 0.18 m deep <br> 0.25 m deep | - |
| 602 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-orange brown silty chalk with occasional stones |  | 0.13 m deep <br> 0.26 m deep <br> 0.08 m deep | - |
| 603 | Natural | Hard, light yellow/white chalk with frequent small to large stones |  |  | - |
| 604 | Fill | Fill of [605]. Firm, light redbrown silty clay, moderate small stones. Fill of ditch. |  | 0.35 m wide <br> 0.15 m deep | - |
| 605 | Cut | Cut of gully. Cut by [611] and [614]. Shallow, gentle sides, Ushaped base |  | 0.35 m wide <br> 0.15 m deep | - |
| 606 | Fill | Fill of [607]. Natural, erosional deposit of ditch terminus. Midbrown grey, frequent subangular stones. |  | 0.50 m wide <br> 0.178 m deep | Pottery, bone |


| 607 | Cut | Filled by (606) and (615). Terminates just W of [617] and [614]. Roman/Iron Age. Possible enclosure or boundary. | 0.75 m wide <br> 0.24 m deep | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 608 | Fill | Natural silt of tree throw | 0.50 m wide <br> 0.12 m deep | Bone |
| 609 | Cut | Cut of tree throw | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \text { wide } \\ 0.12 \mathrm{~m} \text { deep } \end{array}$ | - |
| 610 | Fill | Fill of [611]. Dark grey-brown silt. Fill of ditch terminus. Contains frequent small to large angular to sub-angular stones. | 0.49 m wide <br> 0.14 m deep | - |
| 611 | Cut | Ditch terminus truncated by [614], [607] and [609]. Possible boundary or enclosure. | 0.49 m wide <br> 0.14 m deep | - |
| 612 | Fill | Fill of [614]. Firm, mid-blue grey sandy clay. Backfill of [614]. Frequent angular and sub-angular stones. | 0.34 m wide <br> 0.16 m deep | - |
| 613 | Fill | Fill of [614]. Basal fill of ditch. Moderate sub-angular/angular stones. Mid-grey-blue silty clay | 0.30 m wide <br> 0.06 m deep | - |
| 614 | Cut | Cut of ditch. Terminus cut by [611]. <br> Possible boundary/enclosure. Moderate sloping sides with U-shaped base. Mod/sharp B.O.S. | 0.34 m wide <br> 0.24 m deep | - |
| 615 | Fill | Fill of [607]. Natural silt, silty clay fill. Dark blue grey. | 0.25 m wide <br> 0.19 m deep | Pottery |
| 616 | Fill | Fill of [617]. Mid-grey blue silty clay. Silt deposit of [617]. Moderate angular ands ubangular stones. | 0.48 m wide <br> 0.19 m deep | - |
| 617 | Cut | Cut of shallow, irregular pit with irregular base. | 0.48 m wide <br> 0.19 m deep | - |
| 618 | Deposit | Clay geo band, most likely glaciation solution gully. | - | - |


| Trench No | Length, width \& alignment | \& $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height of groun } \\ & \text { surface }\end{aligned}$ 而 | Height of natural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ E-W | 68.82 m aOD | 68.39 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 701 | Topsoil | Loose, dark grey-brown silty loam with occasional small to medium stones | 0.31 m deep <br> 0.30 m deep <br> 0.40 m deep | - |
| 702 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-orange brown silty chalk with occasional small to medium stones | 0.06 m deep <br> 0.10 m deep <br> 0.10 m deep | - |
| 703 | Natural | Hard, light yellow/white chalk with frequent small to large stones | - | - |
| 704 | Fill | Fill of [705]. Loose, dark greybrown silt with occasional charcoal, frequent small stones | 0.24 m wide <br> 0.08 m deep | - |
| 705 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Circular, moderate incline, flat base, very small | 0.24 m wide <br> 0.08 m deep | - |
| 706 | Fill | Fill of [707]. Loose, dark greybrown silt with occasional charcoal, frequent small stones | 0.07 m wide <br> 0.11 m deep | - |
| 707 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Circular, steep sides, flat base | 0.25 m wide <br> 0.15 m deep | - |
| 708 | Fill | Fill of [709]. Loose, mid-brown silt with occasional small stones and bone | 0.42 m wide <br> 0.12 m deep | Bone |
| 709 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Circular, moderate incline, flattish base | 0.42 m wide <br> 0.12 m deep | - |
| 710 | Fill | Post-pipe of [709]. Fill slightly darker than (706). | 0.18 m wide <br> 0.15 m deep | - |


| Trench No | Length, width \&alignment |  | Height of ground surface | Height of natural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 50m x 1.8m NE-SW |  | 69.53 m aOD | 69.04 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description |  | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 801 | Topsoil | Loose, dark brown loamy silt with occasional small to medium stones |  | 0.29 m deep <br> 0.29 m deep <br> 0.27 m deep | - |
| 802 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-yellow brown silty chalk with frequent limestones |  | 0.00 m deep <br> 0.14 m deep <br> 0.29 m deep | - |
| 803 | Natural | Hard, light yellow brown chalk with frequent large limestones |  | - | - |
| 804 | Fill | Fill of [805]. Moderately compact, mixed reddish-brown dark silty clay, frequent charcoal. Deliberate backfill |  | 0.94 m wide <br> 0.15 m deep | - |
| 805 | Cut | Cut of ditch terminus. Shallow, straight, moderate edges and flattish base |  | 0.94 m wide <br> 0.15 m deep | - |


| Trench No | Length, width \&alignment , |  | Height of ground surface | Height of natural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 35m x 1.8m E-W |  | 70.16 m aOD | 69.56 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description |  | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 901 | Topsoil | Loose, dark grey-brown silt with frequent small to medium stones |  | 0.35 m deep <br> 0.30 m deep <br> 0.30 m deep | - |
| 902 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-yellow brown silty clay with occasional medium stones |  | 0.36 m deep <br> 0.26 m deep <br> 0.20 m deep | - |
| 903 | Natural | Hard, mid-yellow brown clay with frequent chalk flecks |  | - | - |


| Trench <br> No |  <br> alignment | Height of ground <br> surface | Height of natural |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 50m x 1.8m NW-SE | 68.43 m aOD | 68.06 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 1001 | Topsoil | Loose, dark grey-brown silt <br> with frequent small stones | 0.28 m deep <br> 0.24 m deep | - |


|  |  |  | 0.26m deep |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1002 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-yellow brown silty chalk with frequent small to large stones | 0.00 m deep <br> 0.11 m deep <br> 0.00 m deep | - |
| 1003 | Natural | Hard, light yellow brown chalk with frequent small to large limestones | - | - |
| 1004 | Fill | Fill of [1005]. Loose, midbrown silt with occasional small stones | 0.45 m wide <br> 0.13 m deep | Pottery |
| 1005 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Circular, moderate incline, concave, Ushaped | 0.45 m wide <br> 0.13 m deep | - |
| 1006 | Fill | Fill of [1007]. Loose, midbrown silt with occasional small stones, chalk | 1.38 m wide <br> 0.18 m deep | Pottery, bone |
| 1007 | Cut | Cut of pit. Sub-circular, gradual incline, U-shaped, flattish base | 1.38 m wide <br> 0.18 m deep | - |
| 1008 | Fill | Fill of [1010]. Loose, mid-grey brown silt with occasional small to medium limestones (more towards bottom) | 1.44 m wide <br> 0.23 m deep | Pottery <br> Small find 1 |
| 1009 | Fill | Fill of [1010]. Friable, mid-grey brown clayey silt with occasional small to medium limestones | 2 m wide 0.29 m deep | - |
| 1010 | Cut | Cut of ditch. Linear/curvilinear, E-W, U-shaped, moderate incline, concave | 2 m wide 0.52 m deep | - |


| Trench <br> No |  <br> alignment | Height of ground <br> surface | Height of natural |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 m} \mathbf{x ~ 1 . 8 m ~ E - W ~}$ | 67.50 m aOD | 67.11 m aOD |  |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description |  | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 1101 | Topsoil | Loose, dark grey brown silt <br> with occasional small stones | 0.30 m deep <br> 0.30 m deep <br> 0.27 m deep | - |  |
| 1102 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-yellow brown silty <br> chalk with frequent limestone | 0.09 m deep <br> 0.13 m deep <br> 0.13 m deep | - |  |
| 1103 | Natural | Hard, light yellow brown chalk <br> with frequent large limestones | - | - |  |


| Trench No | Length, width \& alignment , | \& $\begin{array}{l}\text { Height of } \\ \text { surface }\end{array}$ | Height of natural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | $50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{S}$ | 68.52 m aOD | 68.16 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 1201 | Topsoil | Loose, dark grey-brown silt with frequent small stones | 0.29 m deep <br> 0.28 m deep <br> 0.33 m deep | - |
| 1202 | Subsoil | Hard, light yellow brown silty chalk with frequent medium to large limestone | 0.03 m deep <br> 0.07 m deep <br> 0.09 m deep | - |
| 1203 | Natural | Hard, light yellow brown chalk with frequent large limestones | - | - |
| 1204 | Fill | Fill of ditch. Loose, mid to light brown, stones and pe bbles (30-40\%) | 1.09m wide 0.35 m deep | - |
| 1205 | Cut | Cut of ditch. E-W. Linear, symmetrical, concave base | 1.09 m wide 0.35 m deep | - |
| 1206 | Fill | Natural silting of posthole [1207]. Firm, mid-grey brown silty clay | 0.39 m wide <br> 0.09m deep | - |
| 1207 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Northern posthole of four. U-shaped base and concave sides | 0.39 m wide <br> 0.09 m deep | - |
| 1208 | Fill | Fill of posthole [1209]. Natural silting of western posthole. Firm, mid-grey brown sandy silts | 0.52 m wide <br> 0.14 m deep | - |
| 1209 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Moderate edges, U-shaped base | 0.52 m wide <br> 0.14 m deep | - |
| 1210 | Fill | Fill of [1211]. Natural silting. Firm, mid-reddish brown, occasional stones | 0.45 m wide <br> 0.08 m deep | - |
| 1211 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Eastern posthole of four. Irregular edges and shallow | 0.45 m wide <br> 0.08 m deep | - |
| 1212 | Fill | Fill of [1213]. Natural silting, firm, grey brown, occasional stones | 0.42 m wide <br> 0.04 m deep | - |
| 1213 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Shallow, moderately sloped, straight edges and irregular base | 0.42 m wide <br> 0.04 m deep | - |
| 1214 | Fill | Fill of [1216]. Natural silting, light yellow brown, moderate stones | 1.40 m wide <br> 0.26 m deep | - |


| 1215 | Fill | Fill of [1216]. Basal deposit. <br> Primary deposition, light yellow <br> brown | 0.35 m wide <br> 0.05 m deep | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1216 | Cut | Cut of trackway that is stepped <br> on S-side | 1.40 m wide <br> 0.31 m deep | - |


| Trench <br> No | Length, width \& alignment |  | Height of ground surface | Height of natural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 25m x 1.8m NW-SE |  | 68.31 m aOD | 67.91 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type Feature \& type | Description |  | Dimensions | Artefacts/ Samples |
| 1301 | Topsoil | Loose, dark grey brown loamy silt, frequent small stones |  | 0.32 m deep <br> 0.36 m deep <br> 0.30 m deep | - |
| 1302 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-yellow brown silty chalk with frequent limestone |  | 0.10 m deep <br> 0.12 m deep <br> 0.03 m deep | - |
| 1303 | Natural | Hard, light yellow/white chalk with frequent large limestones |  | - | - |
| 1304 | Fill | Fill of [1305]. Friable, mid-grey brownish silt-clay, frequent chalk |  | 1.15 m wide <br> 0.20 m deep | - |
| 1305 | Cut | Cut of linear. Concave with moderate sloping sides, NESW |  | 1.15 m wide 0.45 m deep | -- |
| 1306 | Fill | Fill of [1307]. Loose, dark grey brown silt (loamy?) |  | 0.53 m wide <br> 0.07m deep | - |
| 1307 | Cut | Cut of posthole. Shallow, almost flat base, angling up at edges |  | 0.53 m wide 0.07 m deep | - |
| 1308 | Fill | Fill of [1309]. Loose, mid-grey brown silt-clay, occasional chalk |  | 1.55 m wide 0.15 m deep | - |
| 1309 | Cut | Cut of linear. Shallow, concave base with moderate sides, NESW |  | 1.55 m wide 0.28m deep | - |
| 1310 | Fill | Lower fill of [1305]. 50/50 midgrey brown silt and yellowwhite chalk, compact, redeposited? |  | 0.87 m wide 0.25m deep | - |
| 1311 | Fill | Lower fill of [1309]. Loose, mid-grey brown silt-clay, frequent chalk and stones. |  | 1.12 m wide 0.13 m deep | - |


| Trench No | Length, width \&alignment |  | Height of ground surface | Height of natural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 50m x 1.8m NW-SE |  | 68.56 m aOD | 68.22 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description |  | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |
| 1401 | Topsoil | Loose, dark grey brown loamy silt, frequent small stones |  | 0.31 m deep 0.30m deep 0.28m deep | - |
| 1402 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-orange brown silty chalk, frequent limestones |  | 0.03 m deep <br> 0.06 m deep <br> 0.05 m deep | - |
| 1403 | Natural | Hard, light yellow/white chalk with frequent large limestones |  | - | - |
| 1404 | Deposit | Natural deposit in depression in (1403). Mid-grey brown silt/ loamy silt, loose, some small stones and chalk |  | 1.40 m wide <br> 0.12m deep | - |
| 1405 | Fill | Upper fill of [1407]. Friable clayey silt, mid-grey brown with occasional chalk flecks |  | 1.42 m wide 0.15 m deep | - |
| 1406 | Fill | Lower fill of [1407]. 50/50 midgrey brown silt and yellow/white chalk, compact |  | 0.85 m wide <br> 0.17 m deep | - |
| 1407 | Cut | Cut of ditch. NE-SW, slightly irregular, gradually sloping sides and concave base |  | 1.42 m wide 0.32 m deep | - |
| 1408 | Fill | Upper fill of [1410]. Friable mid-grey brown clayey silt with frequent chalk flecks and chalk deposits |  | 1.45 m wide <br> 0.30m deep | - |
| 1409 | Fill | Upper fill of [1410]. Friable, mid-grey brown clayey silt, occasional chalk, lighter than (1408) |  | 0.95 m wide <br> 0.20 m deep | - |
| 1410 | Cut | Cut of NE-SW aligned ditch. Irregular with steep, stepped sides and flat base |  | 1.45 m wide 0.50 m deep | - |


| Trench <br> No |  <br> alignment | Height of ground <br> surface | Height of natural |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 m} \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ NW-SE <br> $\mathbf{2 5 m} \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ SW-NE | 68.22 m aOD | 67.78 m aOD |  |
| Context | Context type <br> Feature \& type | Description | Dimensions | Artefacts/ <br> Samples |


| 1501 | Topsoil | Loose, dark grey brown loamy <br> silt, occasional small stones | 0.32 m deep <br> 0.27 m deep <br> 0.30 m deep | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1502 | Subsoil | Hard, mid-yellow brown silty <br> chalk with frequent small to <br> large stones | 0.07 m deep <br> 0.12 m deep <br> 0.37 m deep | - |
| 1503 | Natural | Hard, light white/yellow chalk <br> with frequent large limestones | - | - |
| 1504 | Fill | Geological fill, compact, <br> reddish brown, stone (20-30\%) | - | - |
| 1505 | Fill | Friable, mid to light brown silty <br> clay, chalk and stone (50-60\%) | 1.35 m wide | - |
| 1506 | Cut | Linear, NE-SW, asymmetrical, <br> concave base | 1.35 m wide <br> 0.50 m deep | - |
| 1507 | Fill | Friable, mid-grey brown silty <br> clay, chalk and stone ( $\sim 40 \%)$ | 1.14 m wide <br> 0.27 m deep | - |
| 1508 | Cut | Linear, NE-SW, concave base, <br> moderate sides | 1.14 m wide <br> 0.27 m deep | - |
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