

DRAWINGS REGISTER

DRAWINGS REGISTER

SITE CODE
MGF20

SHEET NUMBER
1 OF 1

Drawing No.	Description and Context Nos.	Scale	By	Date
1	Section (E facing) [4] (5)	1:10	BL	7.1.21
2	N facing section of [6] (7)	1:10	BL	"
3	E facing section of [8] (9)	"	"	"
4	E facing section of [15] (16)	"	"	"
5	N facing section of [17] (12)	"	"	"
6	SE facing of representative sect.	"	DE	7/1/21

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

PHOTOGRAPH REGISTER

PHOTOGRAPH REGISTER

SITE CODE		SHEET NUMBER			
MGF20		1 OF 4			
Photo No.	Description and Context/Feature Nos.	Scale	Facing	By	Date
1	Working shot	-	S	DK	04.01.21
2	Working shot	-	SW	↓	↓
3	Working shot	-	NW		
4	Working shot	-	NW		
5	Working shot	-	NE		
6	Working shot	-	NW		
7	Working shot no board	-	NW		
8	Working shot	-	N		
9	Working shot	-	N		
10	Working shot	-	NW		
11	Working shot	-	NW		
12	Working shot	-	NW		
13	Workingshot/modern pottery	-	-		
14	Working shot/modern pottery	-	-		
15	Working shot	2x1m	S		
16	Working shot	2x1m	NW	↓	↓
17	Working shot	2x1m	SW		
18	Working shot		SE		
19	Working shot		W		
20	W facing section of [4]	0.30m	E		
21	Working shot		E		
22	Working shot		E		
23	Working shot		W		
24	Working shot		N		

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

PHOTOGRAPH REGISTER

PHOTOGRAPH REGISTER

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

SITE CODE		SHEET NUMBER			
MGF20 Nixon Grange Farm		2 OF 4			
Photo No.	Description and Context/Feature Nos.	Scale	Facing	By	Date
25	Working shot	-	N	DK	6.1.21
26	Working shot	-	NE	↓	↓
27	Working shot		N	↓	↓
28	E facing section of [4]	0.3m	N	BL	7.1.21
29	" " no board	"	"	↓	↓
30	E facing section of [4], full	0.3m	W	↓	↓
31	" " no board	"	"	↓	↓
32	N facing section of [6], full	0.3m	S	↓	↓
33	" " No board	"	"	↓	↓
34	N facing section of [6], close up	0.3m	S	↓	↓
35	" " No board	"	"	↓	↓
36	E facing section of [8] close up	0.3m	W	↓	↓
37	" " No board	"	"	↓	↓
38	N facing section of [6], full	"	"	↓	↓
39	" " close up	"	"	↓	↓
40	E facing section of [4], full	"	"	↓	↓
41	E facing section of [4], close up	"	"	↓	↓
42	" " full	"	"	↓	↓
43	N facing section of [11]	"	S	↓	↓
44	N facing section of [11], full	"	S	↓	↓
45	" " no board	"	"	↓	↓
46	" " "	"	"	↓	↓
47	" " close up	"	"	↓	↓
48	" " no board	"	"	↓	↓

PHOTOGRAPH REGISTER

PHOTOGRAPH REGISTER

SITE CODE #MGE20	MIXON GRANGE FARM	SHEET NUMBER 3 OF 4			
----------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------	--	--	--

Photo No.	Description and Context/Feature Nos.	Scale	Facing	By	Date
49	E facing section of [11], full	0.3m	W	BL	7.2.20
50	" " no board	0.3m	W		
51	" "	"	"		
52	" " close up	"	"		
53	" " no board	"	"		
54	" "	"	"		
55	" " no board	"	"		
56	Suspected mineshaft [13]	1m	overhead		
57	" " no board	"	"		
58	" "	"	"		
59	" "	"	"		
60	Site section (SE facing)	1m	NW		
61	" " no board	"	"	↓	
62	Full view of feature # 10.	2x1m	SW	DK	
63	" "	"	"		
64	" "	"	"		
65	" "	"	"		
66	" "	"	"		
67	" "	"	"		
68	" "	"	"		
69	" "	"	"		
70	" "	"	"		
71	" "	"	"		
72	" "	"	"	↓	↓

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

PHOTOGRAPH REGISTER

PHOTOGRAPH REGISTER

SITE CODE	SHEET NUMBER
MGF 20	4 OF 4
MIXON CRANKE FARM	

Photo No.	Description and Context/Feature Nos.	Scale	Facing	By	Date		
73	Full view of feature 10	2x1m	SW	DK	7.1.12		
74	Depth of main shaft ^{modern post hole} working shot	-	-	↓	↓		
75	Working shot	-	NW				
76	Working shot	-	NW				
77	Working shot	-	NW				
78	Working shot	-	NW				
79	Working shot	-	NW				
80	Working shot	-	NW				
81	Working shot	-	NW				
82	Working shot	-	NW				
83	Working shot	-	NW				
84	Working shot	-	NW				

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES



Context Register

Site code: MGF '20		Site Name: Mixon Grange Farm			Accession No:				
Context No	Type	Description	Issued by:	Date	Area: Plan	Sheet	Section:	Sheet	Checked
1	deposit feature	trench	BL	7.1.21			6	1	DK
2	deposit	charcoal / colluvium	BL	"			6	"	"
3	deposit	Natural	"	"			-	"	"
4	cut	cut of foundation trench [4]	"	"			1	"	"
5	Fill	Fill of cut 4	"	"			1	"	"
6	cut	cut of foundation trench [6]	"	"			2	"	"
7	Fill	Fill of [6]	"	"			2	"	"
8	cut	cut of foundation trench [8]	"	"			3	"	"
9	Fill	Fill of cut [8]	"	"			3	"	"
10	Feature	Feature Number	"	"			4	"	"
11	cut	cut of foundation trench	"	"			4 5	"	"
12	Fill	Fill of [11]	"	"			5 5	"	"
13	cut	cut of feature ^{P/h} . feature	"	"			N/A	"	"
14	Fill	Fill of [13]	"	"			6+7	"	"
15	cut	cut of ditch in ^{same} same [of 11]	DK	"			4	"	"
16	Fill	Fill of cut [15] same as [12]	DK	"			4	"	"
					Sheet: 1 of 1				

ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE REGISTER

SITE CODE: MGF 20 SITE NAME: MIX ON CRANGE FARM SHEET NUMBER 1 OF 1

Sample Number	Context Number	Sample Volume (L)	Percentage of Entire Context	Provisional Date	Feature Type/ Context Description/ General Notes
1	(5)	10	<5%		Fill of foundation trench for mud wall house.
2	(7)	20	<5%		" "
3	(9)	20	<5%		" "
4	(12)	20	<5%		" "

ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE REGISTER
© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET


SITE CODE MUF20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (1)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	Across site
	2	fine
	3	brownish black
	4	Silt
	5	SS
	6	Small stones
	7	Machine

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Topsoil. Fine, brownish black silt. Small stone inclusions.



Drawing Nos. DRAW 6	Levels Highest digital 397.56m Lowest 397.23m	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
		Lithics <input type="checkbox"/>	Pot <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Photographs		Metal <input type="checkbox"/>	CBM <input type="checkbox"/>			
Digital 1-5		Bone <input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/>			
Slide		Glass <input type="checkbox"/>	Leather <input type="checkbox"/>			
Print		Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Wood <input type="checkbox"/>			

Interpretation
Topsoil

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL
	Date 3.1.21
	Checked By VE
	Date 10/1/21

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

SITE CODE MGF20	Area Code Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (2)																																				
DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)		1 <i>Across site</i> 2 <i>Fine</i> 3 <i>Orangey brown</i> 4 5 <i>Silty</i> 6 7 <i>Machine</i> 8																																					
CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation		/																																					
Truncated?		Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?																																					
Root Penetration?		Is the deposit a laminae?																																					
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)		Has the deposit been created in a single episode?																																					
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?		Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?																																					
Is the upper surface compacted?		Is there evidence of waterlogging?																																					
Is the deposit sealed?		Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?																																					
Context Description <i>Subsoil. Finely textured, orangey brown silt.</i>																																							
Stratigraphic Relationships																																							
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%;">Above</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Below</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Cut by</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Cuts</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Filled by</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Fill of</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Same as</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Within</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(1)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within	(1)									(2)									(3)								
	Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within																															
(1)																																							
(2)																																							
(3)																																							
Drawing Nos. <i>N/A.</i> Photographs Digital <i>60 + 61</i> Slide Print		Levels Highest <i>digital 397.23m</i> Lowest <i>396.85m</i>																																					
Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>		Other Pot <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>																																					
SMF Nos. <input type="checkbox"/>		Samples <input type="checkbox"/>																																					
Interpretation <i>Subsoil / colluvium.</i>																																							
Checked Interpretation																																							
		Initials <i>BL</i> Date <i>8.1.21</i> Checked By <i>yc</i> Date <i>10/1/21</i>																																					

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE MGF20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. (3)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) - WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	Across site
	2	Medium
	3	dark orangy brown/green
	4	
	5	silty clay
	6	
	7	mattock.

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of water logging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Natural. Medium textured, dark orangy brown / green silty clay. + in parts shale



Drawing Nos. N/A Photographs Digital Slide 62-73 Print	Levels digital Highest 9.6.85m Lowest: -	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
		Lithics	Pot			
		Metal	CBM			
		Bone	Hazelnut			
		Glass	Leather			
		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation
Natural


Checked Interpretation	Initials BL
	Date 8.1.21
	Checked By DE
	Date 10/1/21

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET

SITE CODE MGF '20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Cut	CONTEXT NO. [4]
	Feature No. 10		
DEPOSIT / FILL			
1. Dimensions of context		1	
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)		2	
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY		3	
4. Wet Munsell Number		4	
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)		5	
6. Inclusions		6	
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)		7	
CUT			
1. Shape in plan		1 Linear	
2. Corners		2 Yes	
3. Dimensions / depth		3 Depth = , w=0.40m, d=0.33m	
4. Break of slope - top		4 Sharp	
5. Sides		5 Steep	
6. Break of slope - bottom		6 Sharp	
7. Base		7 Flat	
8. Orientation		8 E/W	
Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	No	Is the deposit a laminae?	No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	Yes
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	No	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	No
Is the upper surface compacted?	No	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	No

Context Description **foundation trench.**
 The cut of a ~~linear~~ feature aligned E/W, ~~part of~~ one wall of a suspected structure. Sharp break of slope both top and bottom, with a flat base.




Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwg 2	Levels DIGITAL Highest 393.98m Lowest 393.65m	Finds Lithics [] [] [] Metal [] [] [] Bone [] [] [] Glass [] [] [] Coarse Stone [] [] [] Pot [] [] [] CBM [] [] [] Hazelnut [] [] [] Leather [] [] [] Wood [] [] []	Other	SMF Nos.	Samples
Photographs Digital 20, 28-31, Slide 40-42, Print 62-73					

Interpretation
Foundation trench for mud wall building, c. late 18th Century.

Checked Interpretation [Signature]	Initials BL
	Date 8.1.21
	Checked By [Signature]
	Date 10/1/21

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features




SITE CODE MGF '20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Fill	CONTEXT NO. (5)
	Feature No. 10		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 l = , w = 0.40m , d = 0.33m
	2 medium
	3 medium greenish brown
	4 N/A
	5 silty clay
	6 N/A
	7 mattock

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? No
Root Penetration? No	Is the deposit a laminae? No
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Yes
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? No	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? No
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No

Context Description
 The medium textured, medium greenish brown fill of [4]. Made up of silty clay and likely to have been created in a single episode.



Drawing Nos. Sheet, dwg 1 Photographs Digital 20, 28-31, Slide 40-42, Print 62-73	Levels DIGITAL Highest 393.98m Lowest: 393.65m	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Finds</th> <th>Other</th> <th>SMF Nos</th> <th>Samples</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td> <td></td> <td>Pot</td> <td></td> <td rowspan="5"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td> <td></td> <td>CBM</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td> <td></td> <td>Hazelnut</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td> <td></td> <td>Leather</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td> <td></td> <td>Wood</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples	Lithics		Pot			Metal		CBM		Bone		Hazelnut		Glass		Leather		Coarse Stone		Wood	
			Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples																					
Lithics		Pot																										
Metal		CBM																										
Bone		Hazelnut																										
Glass		Leather																										
Coarse Stone		Wood																										

Interpretation
 Fill of foundation trench for mud wall building.

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL
	Date 8.1.21
	Checked By
	Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

Sketch Plan / Section

See [4]


SITE CODE MGF 20	Area Code Feature No. 10	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Cut	CONTEXT NO. [6]
----------------------------	------------------------------------	--	---------------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
---	---------------------------------

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 linear 2 Yes 3 l = , w = 0.37, d = 0.34 4 Sharp 5 steep 6 Sharp 7 flat 8 N/S
---	---

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminae?
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
The cut of a foundation trench, aligned N/S, one wall of a suspected structure. Sharp break of slope at top and bottom and a flat base.



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwg 2	Levels Highest: 394.31m Lowest: 394m	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Other Pot <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs Digital 32-35, 38, 39 Slide 62-73 Print					

Interpretation
Cut of foundation trench, for mud wall building. c. late 18th century.

Checked Interpretation	Initials: BL Date: 8.1.21 Checked By: [Signature] Date: 10.1.21
-------------------------------	--

Sketch Plan / Section

see [4]


SITE CODE MGF 20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Fill	CONTEXT NO. (7)
	Feature No. 10		

DEPOSIT / FILL	1 $l =$ $w = 0.37, d = 0.34$
1. Dimensions of context	2 medium
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	3 medium greenish brown
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	4
4. Wet Munsell Number	5 silty clay
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	6
6. Inclusions	7 mattock.
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	

CUT	1
1. Shape in plan	2
2. Corners	3
3. Dimensions / depth	4
4. Break of slope - top	5
5. Sides	6
6. Break of slope - bottom	7
7. Base	8
8. Orientation	

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? No
Root Penetration? No	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? YES
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? No	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? No
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? YES	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No

Context Description
 The medium textured, medium greenish brown fill of foundation trench [6], made up of silty clay and likely deposited in a single episode.



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwg # 2 Photographs Digital 32-35, 38, 39, Slide 62-73 Print	Levels digital Highest 394.51m Lowest 394.00m	Finds Lithics Metal Bone Glass Coarse Stone Pot CBM Hazelnut Leather Wood	Other SMF Nos	Samples 2
--	---	---	------------------	--------------

Interpretation
 Fill of foundation trench for mud wall building.

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL Date 8.1.21 Checked By DK Date 10.1.21
------------------------	---

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

Sketch Plan / Section

see [4]


SITE CODE MGF 20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Cut	CONTEXT NO. [8]
	Feature No. 10		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	Linear / wall base
	2	Yes
	3	L = , w = 0.50m, d = 0.27m
	4	Sharp
	5	steep
	6	Sharp
	7	Flat
	8	E/W

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
 The cut of a foundation trench aligned E/W, one wall of a suspected mud wall structure. Sharp break of slope both top and bottom and a flat base.



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwg # 3 Photographs Digital 36, 37 Slide 62-73 Print	Levels Highest Lowest	Findings Lithics Metal Bone Glass Coarse Stone	Other	SMF Nos	Samples

Interpretation
 Foundation trench for mud wall building, c. Late 18th century.

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL
	Date 8.1.21
	Checked By DC
	Date 10/1/21

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

Sketch Plan / Section

See [4]


SITE CODE MCIF 20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Fill	CONTEXT NO. (9)
	Feature No. 10		

DEPOSIT / FILL	1 L = , w = 0.50m, d = 0.27m
1. Dimensions of context	2 medium
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	3 medium greenish brown
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	4 N/A
4. Wet Munsell Number	5 silty clay
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	6 charcoal
6. Inclusions	7 mattock
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	

CUT	1
1. Shape in plan	2
2. Corners	3
3. Dimensions / depth	4
4. Break of slope - top	5
5. Sides	6
6. Break of slope - bottom	7
7. Base	8
8. Orientation	

Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	No	Is the deposit a laminate?	No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	Yes
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	No	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	No
Is the upper surface compacted?	No	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	No

Context Description
 The fill of foundation trench [8], medium textured, medium greenish brown silty clay. Few charcoal inclusions.



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwg# 3 Photographs Digital 36, 37, Slide 62-73 Print	Levels digital Highest: 393.80m Lowest: 393.56m	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	Other	SMF Nos.	Samples 3
--	---	---	-------	----------	---------------------

Interpretation
 Fill of foundation trench for mudwalled house, c. late 18th century

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL
	Date 7.1.21
	Checked By PE
	Date 10/1/21

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

Sketch Plan / Section

See [4]

SITE CODE MUF 20	Area Code Feature No. 10	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Feature	CONTEXT NO. 10																				
DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)		1 2 3 4 5 6 7																					
CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8																					
Truncated?		Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?																					
Root Penetration?		Is the deposit a laminate?																					
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)		Has the deposit been created in a single episode?																					
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?		Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?																					
Is the upper surface compacted?		Is there evidence of waterlogging?																					
Is the deposit sealed?		Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?																					
Context Description This feature is thought to represent an 18th century mud walled building. It includes contexts [4], (5), [6], (7), [8], (9), [11], (12).																							
Stratigraphic Relationships																							
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Above</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Below</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Cut by</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Cuts</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Filled by</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Fill of</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Same as</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Within</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </table>														Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within		
Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within																
Drawing Nos.		Levels		Findings		Other		SMF Nos		Samples													
Photographs <u>Digital</u> 63-72. Slide Print		Highest Lowest:		Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>		Pot <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>																	
Interpretation																							
Checked Interpretation																							
										Initials: BL Date: 8.1.21 Checked By: DK Date: 10/1/21													

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE MGF20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Cut	CONTEXT NO. [11]
	Feature No. 10		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	/
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 Linear
	2 Yes
	3 L = , w = 0.63 , d = 0.48m
	4 sharp
	5 steep
	6 sharp
	7 flat
	8 E/W & N/S, E/W

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biurbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
 The cut of a linear feature representing the construction of a mud walled structure. The corner of the structure, aligned NS and EW. Sharp break of slope top and bottom, steep sides and flat base.



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwgs 4+5 Photographs Digital 49-55, Slide 62-73 Print	Levels Highest digital 393.66m Lowest: 393.19m	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Other Pot <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Samples <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Interpretation
 Foundation trench for mudwalled building, c. late 18th century.

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL
	Date 8.1.21
	Checked By BL
	Date 10/1/21

Sketch Plan / Section

See [4]


SITE CODE MGE20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Fill	CONTEXT NO. (12)
	Feature No. 10		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 L = w=0.68, d=0.48m
	2 medium
	3 medium greyish brown
	4
	5 Silty clay
	6 None
	7 Mattock

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8

Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	No	Is the deposit a laminae?	No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	Yes
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	No	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	No
Is the upper surface compacted?	No	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	No

Context Description
 The fill of [11], foundation trench for mud walled building. Medium textured, medium greyish brown silty clay.



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwg 4+5 Photographs Digital 49-55, Slide 62-73 Print	Levels digital Highest 393.66m Lowest 393.19m	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Other Pot <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos. <input type="checkbox"/>	Samples 4

Interpretation
 Fill of foundation trench for mudwalled building, c. 18th Century.

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL
	Date 8-1-21
	Checked By V2
	Date 10/1/21

Sketch Plan / Section

[see 4]


SITE CODE MC20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) cut	CONTEXT NO. [13]
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 round
	2 No
	3 L=0.45, w=0.38, d=0.63
	4 Sharp
	5 steeper steep
	6 sharp
	7 flat
	8 N/A

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biorturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Cut representing a possible modern posthole. Sharp break of slope both top and bottom and a flat base.



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwg #6+7 Photographs Digit 75-84 Slide Print	Levels Highest digit 393.49m Lowest: 392.84m	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
		Lithics <input type="checkbox"/>	Pot <input type="checkbox"/>			
		Metal <input type="checkbox"/>	CBM <input type="checkbox"/>			
		Bone <input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/>			
		Glass <input type="checkbox"/>	Leather <input type="checkbox"/>			
		Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Wood <input type="checkbox"/>			

Interpretation
possible modern posthole.

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL
	Date 8.12.21
	Checked By BL
	Date 10/1/21

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE MUF20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Fill	CONTEXT NO. (14)
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 $t=0.45, w=0.35, d=0.63$
	2 medium
	3 dark grey
	4
	5 clay
	6 large stones
	7 mattock

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8

Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	No	Is the deposit a laminae?	No
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	No Yes
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	No	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	No
Is the upper surface compacted?	No	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	No

Context Description
**Medium textured, dark grey clay fill
 of posthole with large, irregular stone
 inclusions**



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwg# 6+7 Photographs Digital 56-59, Slide 74 Print	Levels digitated Highest 393.4m Lowest 392.84m	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
		Lithics	Pot			
		Metal	CBM			
		Bone	Hazelnut			
		Glass	Leather			
		Coarse Stone	Wood			

Interpretation
Fill of modern posthole.

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL Date 8.1.21 Checked By VE Date 18/1/21
------------------------	---


SITE CODE MGF20	Area Code Feature No. 10	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Cut	CONTEXT NO. [15]
---------------------------	-----------------------------	---	------------------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
---	---------------------------------

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 linear 2 yes 3 L = , w = 0.63 , d = 0.48m 4 sharp 5 steep 6 sharp 7 flat 8 shallow & N/S, E/W
---	---

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit laminated?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
The cut of a linear feature representing the foundation construction of a ~~mudwalled~~ structure. The corner of the structure, aligned NS and EW. Sharp break of slope top and bottom, steep sides and flat base.



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwgs 4 Photographs Digital 49-55, Slide 62-73 Print	Levels Highest digital 393.65m Lowest: 393.40.	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Finds</th> <th>Other</th> <th>SMF Nos</th> <th>Samples</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td> <td></td> <td>Pot</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td> <td></td> <td>CBM</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td> <td></td> <td>Hazelnut</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td> <td></td> <td>Leather</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td> <td></td> <td>Wood</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples	Lithics		Pot			Metal		CBM			Bone		Hazelnut			Glass		Leather			Coarse Stone		Wood			
Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples																													
Lithics		Pot																															
Metal		CBM																															
Bone		Hazelnut																															
Glass		Leather																															
Coarse Stone		Wood																															

Interpretation
Foundation trench for mudwalled building, c. late 18th century.

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL Date 8.1.21 Checked By DE Date 10/1/21
------------------------	---


SITE CODE MCF20	Area Code	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Fill	CONTEXT NO. (16)
	Feature No. 10		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	L = 1m⁺, w = 0.83, d = 0.96m
	2	medium
	3	medium greenish brown
	4	
	5	Silty clay
	6	None
	7	Mattock

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	No	Is the deposit a laminate?	No
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	No	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	Yes
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	No	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	No
Is the upper surface compacted?	No	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	No

Context Description
 The fill of **[15]**, ^{Poss.} foundation trench for ~~found~~ ~~built~~ a building. Medium textured, medium greenish brown silty clay.



Drawing Nos. Sheet 1, dwg 4 Photographs Digital 49-55, Slide 62-73 Print	Levels digital Highest: 393.65m Lowest: 393.40m	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos.	Samples 4

Interpretation
 Fill of foundation trench ^[15] for ~~found~~ ~~built~~ building, c. 18th Century.

Checked Interpretation	Initials BL
	Date 8.1.21
	Checked By
	Date