The London Cable Car: An Archaeological and Geoarchaeological Investigation Report

Planning Application Number: 10/3022/F National Grid Reference Number: TQ 3974 8010 (centre) AOC Project No: 32001 Site Code: CAC11 Date: January 2012



ARCHAEOLOGY

HERITAGE

CONSERVATION

The London Cable Car:

An Archaeological and Geoarchaeological Investigation Report

On Behalf of:	MACE Ltd MACE Compound South Station Site East Park Side Off Edmund Halley Way London SE10 0JF
National Grid Reference (NGR):	TQ 3974 8010 (centre)
AOC Project No:	32001
Prepared by:	Chris Clarke, Helen MacQuarrie and Paul Mason
Illustration by:	Jonathan Moller
Date of Report:	January 2012

This document has been prepared in accordance with AOC standard operating procedures.Authors: Chris Clarke, Helen Macquarrie
and Paul MasonDate: January 2012Approved by: Melissa MelikianDate: January 2012Draft/Final Report Stage: FinalDate: January 2012

Enquiries to:	AOC Archaeology Group Unit 7 St Margarets Business Centre Moor Mead Road Twickenham TW1 1JS	
		020 8843 7380 020 8892 0549 london@aocarchaeology.com



Contents

	Page
List of illustrations	ii
List of Plates	
1. Introduction	
2. Planning Background	
3. Geology and Topography	2
4. Archaeological and Historical Background	2
5. Aims of the Investigation	2
6. Scope of Works and Strategy	
7. Methodology	4
8. Results	4
9. Finds	7
10. Conclusion	
11. Recommendations for Further Work	7
12. Publication and Archive Deposition	8
Bibliography	8
Appendix A – Context Register	14
Appendix B – Enviromental Archaeolgical Analysis	15
Appendix C – OASIS Form	

List of illustrations

Figure 1 – Site Location Figure 2 – Detailed Site Plan Figure 3 – Watching Brief Area Plan and Sample Section

List of Plates

Plate 1 – General view of the foreshore area, looking south-west

Plate 2 - Detailed view of the exposed survey area, looking east

Plate 3 - Post-excavation view of watching brief area, looking north-west

Non-Technical Summary

AOC Archaeology Group were commissioned by URS Scott Wilson, on behalf of their client MACE Ltd, to undertake a programme of archaeological investigation and geoarchaeological analysis for the site of the London Cable Car which will link the London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich over the River Thames.

The geoarchaeological analysis followed an assessment of borehole core samples which had identified the presence of peat and alluvial clay sequences spanning the Mesolithic, Neolithic and Bronze Age periods. Subsequent analysis of these samples has permitted a detailed reconstruction of spatial and temporal changes in the local environment, and allowed quantification of the relationships between vegetation succession, relative sea-level change, climate change and human activity in this area of the Lower Thames Valley.

In addition, a watching brief was undertaken during the excavation of foundations for the cable car's North Tower and a walkover survey was conducted on the Thames foreshore in the vicinity of the South Tower. Neither piece of work identified archaeological finds or remains.

As a result of the geoarchaeological analysis, an enhanced programme of radiocarbon dating and subsequent publication in a suitable academic journal is recommended.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 This report presents the results of a programme of archaeological and geoarchaeological work associated with the Thames Cable Car, London (Planning Application No: 10/3022/F; Figure 1).
- 1.2 The work comprised the environmental archaeological analysis of two previous borehole samples, a rapid walkover survey of the southern foreshore in the vicinity of the South Tower Main and a watching brief/environmental evaluation undertaken whilst excavations are made for foundations of the North Tower Main (Figure 2).
- 1.3 The borehole samples selected for analysis were taken from the north (NTBH03) and south (SSBH1C) sides of the river. The walkover survey was conducted on the southern foreshore of the Thames, to the west of North Greenwich Underground Station in the Borough of Greenwich (NGR TQ 3957 7988). The watching brief site was at Clyde Wharf, which is situated to the immediate south-west of the Royal Victoria Dock within the Borough of Newham (NGR TQ 3986 8028). It is currently occupied by a construction compound associated with the Olympic development.
- 1.4 The fieldwork and subsequent analysis was undertaken accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) prepared by AOC Archaeology (AOC 2011) and approved by Greater London Archaeology Advisory Service (GLAAS). All tasks were undertaken by a team of suitably qualified archaeologists.

2. Planning Background

- 2.1 The local planning authorities for the London Cable Car are Greenwich and Newham Borough Councils. The South Tower Main is in the former borough while the North Tower Main falls within the latter. Archaeological advice is provided by Mark Stevenson (Greenwich) and Adam Single (Newham) of the Greater London Archaeology Advisory Service (GLAAS).
- 2.2 Planning permission was been granted for the erection of a cable car, extending for a length of 1,100m over the River Thames from North Greenwich Peninsula to Royal Victoria Dock (Application No 10/3022/F). This includes two storey stations at either end, supporting towers and a gondola storage building. The footprints of each tower cover an area measuring *c*.10m in diameter and will be constructed using piled foundations capped with reinforced concrete. A programme of archaeological work was stipulated as a condition of this planning consent (Condition 23).
- 2.3 There are no World Heritage Sites, Scheduled Monuments, Conservation Areas, Registered Parks and Gardens or Registered Battlefields within the site boundaries of, or in close vicinity to, the site. However, the watching brief site falls within an Archaeology Priority Area (APA) which includes the entire area surrounding the Royal Victoria Dock and extends to the borough boundary, halfway across the river.
- 2.4 Geoarchaeological work was undertaken whilst boreholes and test pits were excavated along the route of the cable car (Mott MacDonald 2011, Green et al 2011). Deep deposits of made ground were noted across most of the site, however, in the vicinity of the North Tower Main a lesser degree of truncation was noted and deep deposits of alluvium and peat overlay the river terrace gravels.
- 2.5 As a result of the geoarchaeological works, a requirement for further archaeological investigation in the form of a watching brief on the site of the North Tower Main was identified. In addition, a rapid walk over survey of the southern foreshore in the vicinity of the South Tower Main was also required.
- 2.6 This report details the results of the three investigations. It had been prepared in accordance with current best archaeological practice and local and national standards and guidelines:

- English Heritage Management of Archaeological Projects (MAP 2) (EH 1991)
- Institute for Archaeologists Code of Conduct (IfA 2010).
- Department for Communities and Local Government Planning Policy Statement (PPS) 5: Planning for the Historic Environment (DCLG 2010).
- Museum of London Archaeological Site Manual (1994).
- Museum of London A Research Framework for London Archaeology (Mol 2002).

3. Geology and Topography

- 3.1 The watching brief site and the location of borehole NTBH03 lay adjacent to the northern bank of the River Thames; however, the natural topography in this location has been significantly altered by the construction of the docks. The site is currently used as a construction worker's compound. The walkover survey was undertaken on the southern foreshore of the Thames and borehole SSBH1C was located a short distance to the south-west.
- 3.2 The drift geology of the site comprises River Terrace Gravels overlying London Clay and Upper Chalk (Mott MacDonald 2011). Recent geoarchaeological work indicates that the River Terrace Gravels are overlain with deposits of peat and alluvium (Green et al 2011).

4. Archaeological and Historical Background

- 4.1 The following background information is paraphrased from the Written Scheme of Investigation produced by Mott MacDonald for the earlier phase of geoarchaeological work (Mott MacDonald 2011) and the interim report produced as a result of that work (Green et al 2011).
- 4.2 Investigations of the Holocene alluvial deposits at localities close to the present site have revealed a reasonably consistent stratigraphic sequence on the north side of the river. In a series of boreholes located immediately to the south of the Royal Victoria Dock the, surface of the River Terrace Gravel was overlain by a sequence of organic clays and silts in which a peat horizon was present either resting directly on the underlying gravel or separated from it by organic silts and clays. The onset of peat accumulation was radiocarbon dated to the late Mesolithic with peat formation continuing for much of the later prehistoric period. The peat was overlain by alluvial deposits.
- 4.3 Prehistoric artefacts found in the vicinity of the site include palaeolithic hand axes and a Bronze Age palstave from the Royal Victoria Dock. Neolithic and early Iron Age material has been found on the Thames foreshore in this area.
- 4.4 There is little evidence for Roman activity in close vicinity to the development site.
- 4.5 Both sides of the river were occupied by marshland in the medieval period, although drainage and land reclamation may have begun by the 14th century.
- 4.6 The Royal Victoria Docks were constructed on the north side of the river between 1850 and 1855, followed by the Royal Albert Docks in 1880 and King George V Dock in 1912-21.
- 4.7 The Greenwich Peninsular was marsh/agricultural land until heavily industries were established on the site in the mid 19th century.
- 4.8 The dockland area was subject to heavy bombing during the Second World War.

5. Aims of the Investigation

5.1 The aims of the environmental archaeological analysis are set out in Appendix B.

- 5.2 The aims of the archaeological watching brief and walkover survey were defined as being:
 - To establish the presence/absence of archaeological remains within the site.
 - To assess the ecofactual and environmental potential of any archaeological features and deposits.
 - To determine the extent of previous truncations of the archaeological deposits.
 - To make available to interested parties the results of the investigation.
- 5.3 The specific aims of the archaeological watching brief were defined as being:
 - To identify and record the upper sequence of alluvial deposits in the area impacted by the pile cap excavations.
 - To gather palaeoenvironmental and artefactual evidence from these deposits.
- 5.4 The specific aims of the walkover survey were defined as being:
 - To recover artefactual evidence in the vicinity of the area of impact on the low tide zone of the Thames foreshore.
- 5.5 The final aim was to make public the results of the investigation, subject to any confidentiality restrictions.

6. Scope of Works and Strategy

- 6.1 The watching brief was conducted whilst the pile cap for the North Tower Main was excavated. The walkover survey was intended to be undertaken over the area that will be truncated by the foundations of the South Tower Main (however, see below).
- 6.2 The monitoring, recording, survey and reporting conforms to current best archaeological practice and local and national standards and guidelines:
 - English Heritage Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Watching Brief (1994).
 - English Heritage Environmental Archaeology: A guide to the theory and practice of methods, from sampling and recovery to post-excavation (EH 2002).
 - English Heritage Management of Archaeological Projects (MAP 2) (EH 1991)
 - English Heritage Understanding the Archaeology of Landscapes: A Guide to Good Recording Practice (2007)
 - Institute for Archaeologists Standards and Guidance and Guidelines for Finds Work (IfA 2008a).
 - Institute for Archaeologists Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Watching Brief (IfA 2008b).
 - Institute for Archaeologists Code of Conduct (IfA 2010).
 - Museum of London Archaeological Site Manual (Third Edition) (MoL 1994).
 - Museum of London A Research Framework for London Archaeology (Mol 2002).
 - RESCUE & ICON First Aid for Finds (RESCUE & ICON 2001).
 - United Kingdom Institute for Conservation Conservation Guidelines No.2 (UKIC 1983).
 - United Kingdom Institute for Conservation Guidance for Archaeological Conservation Practice (UKIC 1990).
- 6.3 Insurances, copyright and confidentiality and standards are defined in the WSI (AOC 2011).
- 6.4 A unique site code for the project (CAC11) was been obtained from London Archaeological Archive Resource Centre (LAARC) and was used as the site identifier for all records produced.
- 6.5 The watching brief and walkover survey were undertaken by a Project Supervisor, Surveyor and Assistant Archaeologist under the overall direction of Paul Mason, Project Manager. The watching brief was be monitored by Jane Sidell, Archaeology Advisor, GLAAS.

7. Methodology

Environmental Analysis

7.1 The methodology for the environmental archaeological analysis is presented below in Appendix B.

Walkover Survey

- 7.2 A rapid walkover survey based upon English Heritage Level 1 methodologies (EH 2007) was intended to have been undertaken within a 20m radius of the South Tower Main on the southern foreshore of the Thames. Despite timetabling the survey to coincide with low tide, only a small part of the intended area could be safely accessed and the majority of the survey was carried out to the south-west of the tower's footprint.
- 7.3 The survey comprised the following:
 - A visual scan of the study area for artefacts.
 - A written summary of observations and salient features.
 - The annotation of topographic features onto existing maps and plans.
 - The production of a metrically accurate site plan, to include the location of artefacts at a suitable scale (1:500, 1:250, 1:100).
 - A photographic record of the study area.

Watching brief

- 7.4 Observations were made during the mechanical excavation of the North Tower Main pile cap. ` Machining was undertaken with a flat bladed bucket (toothless), and in horizontal spits.
- 7.5 Machining ceased just above the level of the alluvial horizon and subsequent recording was undertaken in accordance with the methodologies set out in the WSI (AOC 2011).

8. Results

8.1 Enviromental Analysis (see Appendix B)

- 8.1.1 Geoarchaeological investigation highlighted thick Peat and Alluvium sequences at the North Tower Main (<NTBH03>) and South Station (<SSBH1C>) with potential to reconstruct the environmental history of the site and its environs, and for identifying evidence of human activity. These borehole core samples therefore underwent an environmental archaeological assessment. The results of this assessment indicated that deposition commenced around 10,500 cal yr BP and continued until at least ca. 3000 cal yr BP in <NTBH03>, equating to deposition during the Mesolithic, Neolithic and Bronze Age cultural periods (Green et al 2011). The results of the archaeobotanical assessment (pollen, waterlogged wood and waterlogged seeds) indicated that during the deposition of the basal Peat, the local environment was first dominated by grasses and sedges with pine and birch woodland, prior to a transition towards alder dominated fen. The alder dominated fen remained dominant through the main Peat horizon. On the dryland, mixed oak-lime woodland dominated throughout the majority of the sequence. The results of the diatom assessment showed that frustules were present (generally in low concentrations) in certain samples, and these have the potential to reconstruct the hydrological history of the site. Insects and Mollusca were noted in limited concentrations during the bulk sample assessment.
- 8.1.2 Following the results of the environmental archaeological assessment, both sequences (<NTBH03> and <SSBH1C>) were recommended for high resolution analysis, incorporating (1) further organic

matter determinations; (2) further radiocarbon dating; (3) pollen; (4) diatoms; (5) waterlogged plant macrofossils (seeds and wood) and (6) insects.

- 8.1.3 The aim of this analysis was to carry out a fuller investigation of the local and regional environments of the Holocene Peat and Alluvium, in order to permit a detailed reconstruction of spatial and temporal changes in the local environment, and to allow quantification of the relationships between vegetation succession, relative sea-level change, climate change and human activity in this area of the Lower Thames Valley. The main findings of the analysis are as follows:
- 8.1.4 The pre-Holocene topography of the Cable Car site and the sequence of Holocene sedimentation reflect conditions that are widely recorded in the valley of the estuarine Thames. The numerous boreholes put down within the site make possible a reasonably reliable reconstruction of the main features of the sub-surface topography and of the sequence of Holocene sedimentation.
- 8.1.5 The combined sedimentological (geoarchaeological) records indicate that during the Early to Middle Holocene, the undulating surface of the 'Shepperton Gravel' was progressively buried beneath Alluvium and Peat deposits of the River Thames. The main period of peat formation around 6000 cal yr BP resulting from continued postglacial sea level rise. Peat formation continued until sometime around c. 3000 cal BP. This surface was overlain by an Upper Alluvium of estuarine origin, and probably reflects a rise in the rate of relative sea level rise. The peat recorded at the Cable Car site is undoubtedly part of the same biogenic sequence, the Tilbury III stage of Devoy's scheme.
- 8.1.6 The results of the analysis indicate large similarities in the archaeobotanical record between the <NTBH03> sequence and that previously recorded at West Silvertown. However, there are some large differences in the height and date at which various sediments/vegetation changes were recorded.
- 8.1.7 The biostratigraphical (zooarchaeological and archaeobotanical) records indicate that during the period of peat formation, there were specific important changes in both the wetland and dryland vegetation cover. Firstly, the decline of elm woodland, secondly the colonisation and decline of yew woodland, and thirdly and apparent expansion of lime woodland.
- 8.1.8 No definitive indications of human activity were recorded on the site, but the following aspects were noted as potentially significant: (1) the presence of a topographic high towards the north west of borehole <NTBH03>, which may have been suitable for human activity, and (2) changes in the vegetation composition on the dryland around the time of peat inundation (c. 3000 cal yr BP).

8.2 Walkover Survey

- 8.2.1 On the 1st September 2011, AOC Archaeology undertook a Level 1 walkover survey at the site of South Tower Main, part of Thames Cable Car project, located in the Borough of Greenwich at National Grid Reference TQ 3957 7988 (Figure 2, Area 1). The walkover survey consisted of the visual survey of a 20m radius area forming the footprint for the south cable car tower.
- 8.2.2 The area in question was inspected on the morning low tide and located using an EDM. Due to the location of the proposed tower on the mean low water line of the foreshore, in combination with the tidal range experienced that morning, meant only a limited proportion of the survey area was exposed, preventing a full inspection taking place. No features of interest were observed within the area exposed and no finds were collected.
- 8.2.3 Both the survey area and the length of foreshore incorporating the survey area, consisted of thick mud (Plates 1 and 2), derived from being on the interior of the river bend at Greenwich. Due to the river moving at a slower velocity on the interior of bends, a greater quantity of silt falls out of

suspension and is deposited on the foreshore. This would imply that any features of archaeological interest potentially located within the study area may well be buried under a significant depth of mud and silt.



Plate 1. General view of the foreshore area, looking south-west



Plate 2. Detailed view of the exposed survey area, looking east

8.3 Watching Brief

- 8.3.1 On the 23rd September 2011, AOC Archaeology undertook a watching brief during the excavation of a pile cap for the North Tower Main, part of Thames Cable Car project, located in the Borough of Newham at National Grid Reference TQ 3986 8028 (Figure 2, Area 2).
- 8.3.2 The scope of works included the archaeological monitoring of a 13.5m x 13.5m area which will form the pile cap for the North Tower Main (Figure 3; Plate 3). The works required the excavation of the site to a depth of 1.00m below the current tarmac ground surface (100). Prior to arrival the tarmac slab had been broken and Unexploded Ordnance probing across the area.
- 8.3.3 The earliest deposits observed were a sequence of alluvial clays (102) and (104) and sand (103), visible in the base of a sump dug to drain water from the foundation trench (Figure 3). The excavation was confined to an area of a 0.5m by 1.5m in the south-west corner of the trench. The up-cast deposits were inspected for organic and artefactual remains; no remains were noted. This was the sole area which required the impact of the *in situ* alluvial sequence.

- 8.3.4 Overlying the upper alluvium deposit was up to 0.40m of modern made ground (101) overlain by a 0.60m-thick surface of tarmac (100).
- 8.3.5 Two areas of truncation (in the north-west of the site) were noted at the excavation level which were associated with the footings that previously occupied the site.
- 8.3.6 The *in situ* alluvial deposits appeared to be contaminated with a petrochemical-type substance.
- 8.3.7 No archaeological features or deposits were observed as a result of the groundworks.



Plate 3. Post excavation view of watching brief area, looking north-west

9. Finds

9.1 No finds were collected during the course of the archaeological investigation.

10. Conclusion

- 10.1 The environmental archaeological investigation of the Cable Car site has enhanced knowledge and understanding of the environmental history of this part of the Lower Thames Valley.
- 10.2 The location of the South Tower Main on the mean low water line of the foreshore precluded the walkover survey of most of the area of impact. No archaeological finds or features were identified in the vicinity of the tower.
- 10.3 As a result of the watching brief undertaken on the site of the North Tower Main, the upper sequence of alluvial deposits was recorded where exposed in a small sump pit. No archaeological finds or features were identified.

11. Recommendations for Further Work

11.1 It is recommended that following the results of the palaeoenvironmental analysis, further radiocarbon dating is carried out from select locations in both boreholes as a consequence of some of the anomalous results thus far recorded. These should be targeted on the following from borehole <NTBH03>: one date from the basal sediments to clarify the determination of 10,740-10,510 cal BP; one determination on the peak in Pinus pollen to clarify its age; one date from the top of the lower Peat (highest organic matter content) to clarify its age, and one determination on the peak in lime pollen towards the top of LPAZ BH3-4. From borehole <SSBH1C>, determinations should be made on the peak in lime towards the top of LPAZ BH1C-1 to clarify its age and that of determination 3450-3270 cal BP. In addition to the specific reasons provided, these determinations will allow better

comparison with neighbouring records. It is also recommended that the results from this study be summarised for publication.

12. Publication and Archive Deposition

- 12.1 Copies of this report will be issued to the client, Newham and Greenwich Borough Councils, Adam Single and Mark Stevenson (GLAAS), the appropriate Historic Environment Record and the local studies library on the understanding that it will become a public document after an appropriate period of time.
- 12.2 A synthesised report detailing the findings of the environmental analysis will be submitted to a relevant professional journal for publication. Further dissemination could also include a press release, appropriate signage during the works, displays for local libraries and public talks.
- 12.3 The site archive will comprise all artefacts, environmental samples and written and drawn records. It is to be consolidated after completion of the whole project, with records and finds collated and ordered as a permanent record. The archive will be prepared in accordance with Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long-term storage (UKIC 1990)
- 12.4 On completion of the project AOC will liaise with the developer/landowner and discuss arrangements for the archive to be deposited with LAARC. Following completion of the fieldwork (as appropriate) the site archive will be security copied and a copy deposited with the National Archaeological Record (NAR).
- 12.5 An OASIS form has been completed (Appendix C) and an electronic copy of this report will be deposited with the Archaeological Data Service (ADS).
- 12.6 AOC is committed to the public engagement with archaeology. Should the opportunity be forthcoming for the institution of an appropriate outreach policy then AOC will enter a dialogue with all parties to discuss how this could proceed and be resourced.

13. Bibliography

- AOC Archaeology (2011), Thames Cable Car, London: A Written Scheme of Investigation for an Archaeological Watching Brief.
- DCLG (2010), Planning Policy Statement PPS5: Planning and the Historic Environment.
- English Heritage (1991), Management of Archaeological Projects (MAP2).
- English Heritage (1994), Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Watching Brief.
- English Heritage (2002), *Environmental Archaeology: A guide to the theory and practice of methods, from sampling and recovery to post-excavation.*
- English Heritage (2007), Understanding the Archaeology of Landscapes: A Guide to Good Recording Practice.
- Green, C P, Batchelor C R, and Young, D S (2011), A Report on the Geoarchaeological Borehole Investigations and Deposit Modelling on the Thames Cable Car route, London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (interim).
- Institute for Archaeologists (2008a), Standards and Guidance and Guidelines for Finds Work.

Institute for Archaeologists (2008b), Standard and Guidance for an Archaeological Watching Brief.

Institute for Archaeologists (2010), Code of Conduct.

Mott MacDonald (2011), Thames Cable Car: Archaeological/Geoarchaeolgical Written Scheme of Investigation for Evaluation


Museum of London (1994), Archaeological Site Manual (3rd ed).

Museum of London (2002), A Research Framework for London Archaeology.

RESCUE & ICON (2001), First Aid For Finds (3rd ed).

United Kingdom Institute for Conservation (1983), Conservation Guidelines No 2.

United Kingdom Institute for Conservation (1990), *Guidance for Archaeological Conservation Practice.*







Figure 1: Site Location





Locations of Analysed Boreholes

Figure 2: Detailed Site / Watching Brief Location Plan



THE LONDON CABLE CAR: AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT




Figure 3: Watching Brief Area (Area 2): Plan (1:125) & Sample Section (1:40)



Appendices

Appendix A – Context Register

CAC11	Context Register	
Context No.	Context Description/Index code	Depth
100	Tarmac	0.60m
101	Made ground	0.40m
102	Alluvial clay	0.20m
103	Sand	0.40m
104	Alluvial clay	0.70m+

Appendix B – Enviromental Archaeolgical Analysis

A REPORT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF BOREHOLES COLLECTED FROM THE LONDON CABLE CAR ROUTE, LONDON BOROUGHS OF NEWHAM AND GREENWICH (site code: CAC11)

C.R. Batchelor, D.S. Young, C.P. Green, P. Austin, N. Cameron & S. Elias Quaternary Scientific (QUEST), School of Human and Environmental Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 227, Reading, RG6 6AB, UK

INTRODUCTION

This report summarises the findings arising out of the environmental archaeological analysis undertaken by Quaternary Scientific (University of Reading) in connection with the proposed Cable Car development in the London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (National Grid Reference: spanning TQ 40111 80696 (north) to 39478 79745 (south); site code: CAC11). The site spans Bugsby's Reach of the tidal River Thames between the North Greenwich 'peninsula' (meander core) on the right (south) bank and the Royal Victoria Dock on the left (north) bank. The site itself is divided into five main areas in which geotechnical investigations (test pits, window samples, cable percussion boreholes and rotary boreholes) were recently carried out by Soil Mechanics on behalf of Mott MacDonald, as follows: (1) the North Station (NS); (2) the North Intermediate Tower (NIT); (3) the North Tower (NT); (4) the South Tower (ST), and (5) the South Station (SS) (Figure 2). In addition two overwater boreholes were put down as part of a future potential tunnel project within the course of the River Thames (TU).

These geotechnical works were monitored by Quaternary Scientific and integrated with existing records as part of a geoarchaeological investigation carried out to create a model of the depositional history of the site (Green *et al.*, 2011; Figures 3 to 5; Tables 1 & 2; Appendices 1 & 2). The resultant model included 36 borehole records and revealed London Clay bedrock (Unit 1) overlain by the Shepperton Gravel. The gravel surface formed a relatively level surface on the south bank of the Thames (-2.25m to -3.45m OD), but was deeper and more undulating to the north (between -2.50m and -5.88m OD), and with one borehole indicating a much higher surface of +1.55m OD. Resting on the Shepperton Gravel was an alternating sequence of Alluvium (Units 3a and 3b) and Peat (Unit 4); in some cases,

multiple units of Peat were recorded. Each sequence was truncated to various depths by a Made Ground, sometimes >10m thick and cutting into the Shepperton Gravel.

The geoarchaeological investigation also highlighted sequences at the North Tower (<NTBH03>) and South Station (<SSBH1C>) that contained thick sequences of Peat and Alluvium with potential to reconstruct the environmental history of the site and its environs, and for identifying evidence of human activity (Figures 2, 3 and 6). These borehole core samples therefore underwent an environmental archaeological assessment (Batchelor et al., 2011). The results of this assessment indicate that deposition commenced around 10,500 cal yr BP and continued until at least ca. 3000 cal yr BP in <NTBH03>, equating to deposition during the Mesolithic, Neolithic and Bronze Age cultural periods. The results of the archaeobotanical assessment (pollen, waterlogged wood and waterlogged seeds) indicate that during the deposition of the basal Peat, the local environment was first dominated by grasses and sedges with pine and birch woodland, prior to a transition towards alder dominated fen. The alder dominated fen remained dominant through the main Peat horizon. On the dryland, mixed oak-lime woodland dominated throughout the majority of the sequence. The results of the diatom assessment show that frustules were present (generally in low concentrations) in certain samples, and these have the potential to reconstruct the hydrological history of the site. Insects and Mollusca were noted in limited concentrations during the bulk sample assessment.

The chronology and provisional vegetation history indicated by the <NTBH03> sequence was identified as being similar to that made at the nearby West Silvertown site located approximately 500m to the east (Figure 1; Wilkinson et al., 2000). One of the key exceptions to this is that the Holocene sedimentary sequence at <NTBH03> commences approximately 3m deeper and 2000 years later than that at West Silvertown. In addition, Ulmus (elm) and Taxus (yew) represent an important component of the West Silvertown pollen sequence but are not recorded at the new site. The decline of elm, and colonisation and decline of yew represent significant vegetation changes during the Neolithic cultural period that are recorded at a number of sites along the course of the Lower Thames Valley, but particularly on the north bank of the river and in the Newham area (Batchelor, 2009; Gifford and Partners, 2001; Scaife, 2001; Wilkinson et al., 2000; Jarrett, 1996; Divers, 1995; Tamblyn, 1994). The absence/limited concentration of pollen recorded at the new site is therefore significant to the mapping of ancient woodland along the course of the Thames. Furthermore, the cultural periods represented in the <NTBH03> correlate with archaeological discoveries made in the nearby vicinity including: (1) a prehistoric structure (possible trackway) at Fort Street, Silvertown (Wessex Archaeology, 2000; Figure 1), and (2) Mesolithic and Bronze Age flints,

pottery and debris at the Royal Docks Community School (Holder, 1998; Figure 1). Therefore, whilst no anthropogenic indicators were recorded during the assessment stage, the proximity of these sites, suggests there is potential to trace human activity during the environmental archaeological analysis stage.


In South Station borehole <SSBH1C>, deposition occurred between at least *ca*. 5500 and 3500 cal yr BP equating to the Neolithic and Bronze Age cultural periods. The results of the archaeobotanical assessment (pollen, waterlogged wood and waterlogged seeds) indicate that the local wetland environment comprised alder dominated fen, with a transition towards wetter, more open conditions towards the top of the Peat. On the dryland, the surface was occupied by mixed oak-lime dominated woodland, which also declined towards the top of the sequence, and more open conditions indicated. The results of the diatom assessment show that frustules were present (generally in low concentrations) in certain samples, and these have the potential to reconstruct the hydrological history of the site. Insects were noted in limited concentrations during the bulk sample assessment.

Elm pollen was recorded more frequently in the <SSBH1C> sequence, and a tentative identification of yew pollen was made at -2.14 to -2.15m OD. No strong *Taxus* pollen signal has been recorded within this area of the Thames (nor have any trees or macrofossil remains). The new record is therefore significant for mapping the former distribution of yew on the floodplain surface in this area of the Lower Thames Valley. Furthermore, there are few environmental archaeological records from this area, and thus analysis on the <SSBH1C> sequence would add to our knowledge and understanding of the general palaeoenvironmental conditions. Some of the nearest archaeological remains recorded on this side of the river were a Bronze Age wooden trackway(s) within the Peat at two sites on Bellot Street (Branch *et al.*, 2005; McLean, 1993; Philp, 1993). However, the potential for finding archaeological remains at the new site within the Peat is probably limited due to the frequent truncation and contamination of sequences noted during the geoarchaeological fieldwork (Green *et al.*, 2011).

Following the results of the environmental archaeological assessment, both sequences (<NTBH03> and <SSBH1C>) were recommended for high resolution analysis, incorporating (1) further organic matter determinations; (2) further radiocarbon dating; (3) pollen; (4) diatoms; (5) waterlogged plant macrofossils (seeds and wood) and (6) insects. These investigations will provide a detailed reconstruction of the environmental history of each site, and elucidate evidence for human activity and sea level change. The investigations also provide the opportunity to increase knowledge and understanding of the distribution of

ancient woodland across these areas of the Lower Thames Valley. The following report outlines the results of the environmental archaeological analysis.

THE LONDON CABLE CAR: AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT



South Station), London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich and other nearby locations: (2) Bryan Road (Tucker, 1993); (3) Atlas 1995); (13) Beckton 3D (Meddens, 1996; Truckle, 1996); (14) A13 Woolwich Manor Way (Gifford and Partners, 2001); (15) Beckton Alp (18) East Beckton District Centre (Jarrett, 1996); (19) East Ham FC (Scaife, 2001); (20) Albert Dock (Spurrell, 1889); (21) Royal Albert (10) Greenwich Industrial Estate (Morley, 2003); (11) Royal Docks Community School (Holder, 1998); (12) Beckton Nursery (Divers, (Truckle and Sabel, 1994); (16) Golfers' Driving Range (Batchelor, 2009; Carew *et al.*, 2009); (17) Beckton Tollgate (Tamblyn, 1994); Wharf (Lakin, 1998); (4) Preston Road (Branch *et al.*, 2007); (5) East India Docks (Pepys, 1665); (6) Bellot Street (Branch *et al.*, 2005); Figure 1: Location of (1) the Cable Car route ((A) North Station; (B) North Intermediate Tower; (C) North Tower; (D) South Tower; (E) (7) 72-88 Bellot Street (McLean, 1993; Philp, 1993); (8) Silvertown (Wilkinson et al., 2000); (9) Fort Street (Wessex Archaeology, 2000); Dock (Batchelor, 2009); (22) Albert Road (Spurr *et al.*, 2001); (23) North Woolwich Pumping Station (Sidell, 2003) THE LONDON CABLE CAR: AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT




Figure 2: Detailed plan of the Cable Car route, London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11).

areas Ų Ũ Ivertown 1 surrounding Royal Victoria Dock Exhibition Con + 6m and and a Mud and route Lyle Park Car Cable NTDSON the BH25 TUBH02 NTBH03 WILL
STBH02 NTBH03 WILL
STBH02 NTTP03A NTRP04 NTBH02B14a B8 è from NITBH08 B **NITBH04X** B3 04A B14 BH14A STBN04 BH24A SSDSI NITB/H09E **J13A STBH03** VTBH01 SSDS04 BH16A **NITBH09** BH16 BH18 BH18 BH19 BH17A B6 8H locations BH5 BH20 SSDS03 BH24 BH21 ð BH22A BH7 A6 borehole BH26 BH33 - B290 BH32 BGS BH29 BH22 and Blackwall Victoria Deep Wat Delta geotechnical laving/ 2m P ... Figure 꿍

AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

THE LONDON CABLE CAR:

PAGE 22 | www.aocarchaeology.com _

© AOC Archaeology 2012

THE LONDON CABLE CAR: AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT





Figure 4: Transect map of selected boreholes along the Cable Car route, London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11; see Figure 5)







METHODS

Field investigations

Geotechnical borehole monitoring

Sub-surface investigations of the North Station (NS), North Intermediate Tower (NIT), North Tower, South Tower (ST), and South Station (SS) areas of the site by Soil Mechanics between February and April 2011 provided the opportunity to monitor and record the sediments from fifty-six geotechnical boreholes and test-pits (Figure 2, Appendix 1) which were obtained to various specified depths below surface. Quaternary Scientific visited the site to monitor and record the Holocene deposits from select geotechnical boreholes only (NSBH01, NITBH02, NTBH01, SSBH03). The remaining boreholes/test-pits were not recorded as they were either too closely located to other monitored geotechnical boreholes or were unlikely to penetrate deep enough to reach the Holocene alluvium. However, the geotechnical logs were retrieved for subsequent use in the deposit modelling process.

Each of the selected boreholes was recorded in the field using standard procedures for recording unconsolidated sediment and peat, noting the physical properties (colour), composition (gravel, sand, clay, silt and organic matter), peat humification and inclusions (e.g. artefacts) (Troels-Smith, 1955). The procedure involved: (1) recording the physical properties, most notably colour using a Munsell Soil Colour Chart, but occasionally dryness; (2) recording the composition, including moss peat (Turfa bryophytica; Tb), wood peat (Turfa lignosa; Tl), herbaceous peat (Turfa herbacea; Th), completely disintegrated organic matter (Substantia humosa; Sh), gravel (Grana glareosa; Gg), fine sand (Grana arenosa; Ga), silt (Argilla granosa; Ag) and clay (Argilla steatoides); (3) recording the degree of peat humification, and (4) recording the boundary changes e.g. sharp or diffuse. The results of the field based descriptions are provided in Appendix 2.

Geoarchaeological borehole retrieval

Following completion of the geotechnical borehole monitoring, two boreholes from the north and south bank of the River Thames were selected for further laboratory-based palaeoenvironmental investigations adjacent to boreholes NTBH01 and SSBH03 (<NTBH03> and <SSBH1C>). These boreholes were specifically chosen as they contained significant thicknesses of Holocene alluvium and peat. This transect provides the potential to identify evidence of change or continuity through time and to establish whether any significant spatial variability exists on either side of the River in this area of the floodplain. U100 core samples were retrieved by Soil Mechanics Limited with a cable percussion rig. At each location, the boreholes extended down to the Gravel. All samples were wrapped and labelled with the depth and orientation, and returned to the University of Reading for cold storage.

Deposit modelling

In the preparation of the deposit model, 153 borehole and test pit logs were examined from an area centred on NGR TQ 3975 8010. Logs were obtained from British Geological Survey archives (97) and from various drilling campaigns specifically associated with the investigation of the Cable Car site (56), including the two palaeoenvironmental boreholes (<NTBH03> and <SSBH1C>) (see Appendix 1 and Figure 3 for details). To develop the deposit model, 36 borehole logs were selected to form a transect extending from NGR TQ 39860 79560 on the south side of the river to NGR TQ 40170 80720 on the north side of the river (selected boreholes are displayed in Figure 4). Thirteen of the boreholes are located on the south side of the river, including palaeoenvironmental borehole <SSBH1C>. The criteria for inclusion in the deposit model were (a) proximity to the transect line; and (b) borehole penetration through the full sequence of surviving Holocene alluvial deposits. In practice all but three of the selected boreholes extend down to the bedrock London Clay.

Despite the care taken in the evaluation and selection of the records incorporated in the deposit model, the reliability of the model is affected by the quality of the stratigraphic records which in turn is affected by the nature of the sediments and/or their post-depositional disturbance during previous stages of development on the site. In particular, it is important to recognise that several separate sets of boreholes are represented, put down at different times, by different companies and recorded using different descriptive terms, and subject to differing technical constraints in terms of recorded detail, including the exact levels of the stratigraphic boundaries. The two palaeoenvironmental boreholes described below represent the most detailed record of the Holocene sediment sequence for which accurate height and lithostratigraphic information are available.

In general in the borehole logs it is possible to recognise consistently up to four Holocene sediment units forming Units 3-5 in the present account:

- (Unit 6) Made Ground
- (Unit 5) Upper Alluvial Silts & Clays
- (Unit 4) Peat
- (Unit 3) Lower Alluvial Deposits
 - (Unit 3b) Silts & Clays

(Unit 3a) Sands

(Unit 2)	Sand & Gravel (Shepperton Gravel)
(Unit 1)	London Clay

Detailed laboratory-based lithostratigraphic descriptions

The retrieved boreholes were recorded in the laboratory using standard procedures for recording unconsolidated sediment and peat, noting the physical properties (colour), composition (gravel, sand, clay, silt and organic matter), peat humification and inclusions (e.g. artefacts) (Troels-Smith, 1955). The procedure involved: (1) cleaning the samples with a spatula or scalpel blade and distilled water to remove surface contaminants; (2) recording the physical properties, most notably colour using a Munsell Soil Colour Chart, but occasionally dryness; (3) recording the composition, including moss peat (Turfa bryophytica; Tb), wood peat (Turfa lignosa; Tl), herbaceous peat (Turfa herbacea; Th), completely disintegrated organic matter (Substantia humosa; Sh), gravel (Grana glareosa; Gg), fine sand (Grana arenosa; Ga), silt (Argilla granosa; Ag) and clay (Argilla steatoides); (4) recording the degree of peat humification, and (5) recording the boundary changes e.g. sharp or diffuse. The results of the laboratory-based descriptions are provided in Tables 1 and 2, Figure 6.

Organic matter determinations

One hundred and fifteen sub-samples from borehole <NTBH03> and twenty-eight subsamples from borehole <SSBH1C> were taken for determination of the organic matter content (Tables 3 and 4; Figure 6). These records were important as they can identify increases in organic matter possibly associated with more terrestrial conditions. The organic matter content was determined by standard procedures involving: (1) drying the sub-sample at 110^oC for 12 hours to remove excess moisture; (2) placing the sub-sample in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 2 hours to remove organic matter (thermal oxidation), and (3) reweighing the sub-sample obtain the 'loss-on-ignition' value (see Bengtsson and Enell, 1986).

Radiocarbon dating

In borehole <NTBH03>, sub-samples of *Alnus* sp. waterlogged wood/catkins were extracted from the top and base of the main peat, and base of the lower peat. In addition, one sub-sample of waterlogged seeds (*Rumex/Polygonum* sp.) from the base of the organic-rich sequence. Three sub-samples of wood were extracted from the top, middle (both *Alnus* sp twig) and base (unidentified twig) of the peat in borehole <SSBH1C> for radiocarbon dating. All four samples were submitted for AMS radiocarbon dating to Beta Analytic INC, Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory, Florida, USA. The results have been calibrated using OxCal v4.0.1 Bronk Ramsey (1995,

2001 and 2007) and IntCal04 atmospheric curve (Reimer *et al.*, 2004). The results are displayed in Figure 6 and Table 5.

Pollen analysis

Twenty six sub-samples from borehole <NTBH03> and twenty sub-samples from borehole <SSBH1C> were extracted for pollen analysis. The pollen was extracted as follows: (1) sampling a standard volume of sediment (1ml); (2) adding two tablets of the exotic clubmoss *Lycopodium clavatum* to provide a measure of pollen concentration in each sample; (3) deflocculation of the sample in 1% Sodium pyrophosphate; (4) sieving of the sample to remove coarse mineral and organic fractions (>125 μ); (5) acetolysis; (6) removal of finer minerogenic fraction using Sodium polytungstate (specific gravity of 2.0g/cm³); (7) mounting of the sample in glycerol jelly. Each stage of the procedure was preceded and followed by thorough sample cleaning in filtered distilled water. Quality control is maintained by periodic checking of residues, and assembling sample batches from various depths to test for systematic laboratory effects. Pollen grains and spores were identified using the University of Reading pollen type collection and the following sources of keys and photographs: Moore *et al* (1991); Reille (1992). The analysis procedure consisted of scanning the prepared slides, and recording the pollen grains and spores, until a maxximum count of 300 total land pollen was achieved. (Figures 7 & 8).

Diatom assessment/analysis

Eighteen sub-samples from borehole <NTBH03> and ten sub-samples from borehole <SSBH1C> were extracted for the assessment/analysis of diatoms. The diatom extraction involved the following procedures (Battarbee *et al.*, 2001):

- 1. Treatment of the sub-sample (0.2g) with Hydrogen peroxide (30%) to remove organic material and Hydrochloric acid (50%) to remove remaining carbonates
- 2. Centrifuging the sub-sample at 1200 for 5 minutes and washing with distilled water (4 washes)
- Removal of clay from the sub-samples in the last wash by adding a few drops of Ammonia (1%)
- 4. Two slides prepared, each of a different concentration of the cleaned solution, were fixed in mounting medium of suitable refractive index for diatoms (Naphrax)

Duplicate slides each having two coverslips were made from each sample and fixed in Naphrax for diatom microscopy. The coverslip with the most suitable concentration of the sample preparation was selected for diatom evaluation. A large area of this coverslip was scanned for diatoms at magnifications of x400 and x1000 under phase contrast illumination using a Leica microscope. Diatom counting and analysis followed standard techniques

(Battarbee *et al.* 2001). Diatom floras and taxonomic publications were consulted to assist with diatom identification; these include Hendey (1964), Werff & Huls (1957-1974), Hartley *et al.* (1996), Krammer & Lange-Bertalot (1986-1991) and Witkowski *et al.* (2000). Diatom species' salinity preferences are discussed in part using the classification data in Denys (1992), Vos & de Wolf (1988, 1993) and the halobian groups of Hustedt (1953, 1957: 199), these salinity groups are summarised as follows:

- 1. Polyhalobian: >30 g l⁻¹
- 2. Mesohalobian: 0.2-30 g l⁻¹
- 3. Oligohalobian Halophilous: optimum in slightly brackish water
- 4. Oligohalobian Indifferent: optimum in freshwater but tolerant of slightly brackish water
- 5. Halophobous: exclusively freshwater
- 6. Unknown: taxa of unknown salinity preference.

Diatom data were plotted using the 'C2' program (Juggins 2003). The results are displayed in Tables 6 and 7, and Figure 9.

Macrofossil extractions

A total of twenty small bulk samples from borehole <NTBH03> and twenty-two small bulk samples from borehole <STBH1C> were extracted for the recovery of macrofossil remains including waterlogged plant macrofossils, waterlogged wood and insects. The extraction process involved the following procedures: (1) removing a sample up to 10cm in thickness; (2) measuring the sample volume by water displacement, and (3) processing the sample by wet sieving using 300µm and 1mm mesh sizes.

Waterlogged plant macrofossil analysis (seeds & wood)

Identifications of the waterlogged seeds have been made using modern comparative material and reference atlases (Cappers *et al.* 2006, Schoch *et al.* 2004). A minimum of 10 waterlogged fragments per sample were identified. The attributes and general quality of fragment preservation was noted. Preparation and examination of fragments follows standard practices as described in Hather (2000). Waterlogged wood fragments were thin sectioned using a hand held razor blade and mounted on a slide. Wood charcoal fragments were pressure fractured and supported in a sand bath. Following preparation both forms of wood remains were examined at magnifications of up to x400. Specific attributes and features recorded during examination were the diameter of any twig wood and, as a means of determining relative maturity, the number of growth rings. Nomenclature follows Stace (2005), and the results are displayed in Tables 8 & 9.

Insect analysis

Identifications of the insect remains were made under a low powered stereo-microscope, and the identified (Tables 10). Identification and interpretation was based on modern comparative material and reference atlases (e.g. Kloet and Hincks, 1964-77; Kenward *et al.* 1986; Duff, 2008).

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL FIELD INVESTIGATIONS AND DEPOSIT MODELLING

The results of the fieldwork monitoring are displayed in Appendix 1. In the borehole monitored within the North Station (NSBH01), Made Ground was recorded down to a depth of -3.25m OD followed by blue-grey alluvium with dark brown pockets of peat and including fragments of wood. Sands and gravels commenced below -4.80m OD. The borehole within the North Intermediate Tower (NITBH02) was monitored down to a depth of 10m and was still within Made Ground. No further monitoring was carried out on this borehole, although the geotechnical borehole log, indicate that the Made Ground continued to a depth of 14.50m before reaching London Clay. The borehole from the North Tower (<NTBH01>) contained a very small amount of Made Ground (1.20m) overlying a thick sequence of alluvium including two substantial horizons of wood peat. Sands and gravels were encountered at -5.84m OD. This sequence was selected for further laboratory-based palaeoenvironmental investigations, and was re-cored as borehole <NTBH03> (a detailed description of which is provided in Table 1 and Figure 6). The borehole from the South Station (SSBH03) contained a thick horizon of contaminated Made Ground (5.20m) overlying alluvium from 0.14m OD and peat from -0.86 to -2.81m OD. Sands and Gravels were recorded below this. As a result of this ca. 2m thick horizon of peat, neighbouring borehole location <SSBH1C> was selected for laboratory based palaeoenvironmental investigations (displayed in Table 2 and Figure 6). As outlined within the introduction and methodology, these records were integrated with other geotechnical records to provide the following model of depositional history (Figure 5).

The London Clay bedrock (Unit 1) was recorded in 27 of the boreholes. It slopes down evenly on the south side of the river from -8.86m OD in borehole B4 to a maximum depth of - 18.8m OD in the middle of the Thames channel in borehole B3, rising within the channel on its north side in borehole N11 to -8.39m OD. On the north side of the river the bedrock surface is uneven between -6.37m OD in borehole B8 and -8.72m OD in borehole B13.

The Shepperton Gravel (Unit 2) was recorded in all but one of the boreholes. On the south side of the river the surface of the gravel is rather uniformly between -2.25m OD (borehole

6a) and -3.45m (borehole 13a). It falls to -5.0m OD in borehole B1, but the gravel in borehole B1 is overlain by 3 feet (0.99m) of sand with a surface at -4.0m OD, and this sand may be part of the Shepperton Gravel rather than part of the overlying Holocene deposits. Within the river channel the gravel surface is at lower levels from *ca*. -5.0m OD (borehole N11) to just below -10.0m OD in the middle of the channel in borehole N12.

On the north side of the river the gravel has been heavily truncated in the seven boreholes in the vicinity of the Royal Victoria Dock. In six of the remaining nine boreholes on the north side of the river, the surface of the gravel, between -4.4m OD (borehole B24) and -5.88m OD (borehole BH03), is generally lower than it is on the south side of the river by about 2m. This difference resembles the situation recorded by Gibbard (1994, Fig.41) in a transect extending from the Greenwich area across the Thames into the Isle of Dogs. This transect shows the surface of the Shepperton Gravel in the Isle of Dogs, on the north side of the river, at least 2m below the level on the Greenwich side of the river. However in the present area of investigation there are two boreholes on the north side of the river (boreholes B19 and B22) in which the surface of the Shepperton Gravel is recorded at about the same level as it occurs on the south side of the river – between -2.5m OD and -3.0m OD, and in borehole B8 the surface of the gravel is recorded at +1.55m OD. In addition, previous investigations carried out by Wilkinson et al. (2000) to the ca. 500m to the east of the North Intermediate Tower also records the Shepperton Gravel surface around -2.50m OD, above which sediments dated to 12,800-11,690 accumulated. This suggests the presence here of a gravel 'high', broadly comparable in terms of elevation to the Bermondsey and Horseleydown gravel 'highs' (eyots) upstream in the Southwark area. These variations in the level of the surface of the Shepperton Gravel are consistent with observations elsewhere in the Thames valley. They indicate that at the beginning of the Holocene, the surface of the Shepperton Gravel formed the valley floor of the River Thames and was characterised by gravel bars generally elongated approximately parallel with the valley axis and separated by channels in which finer-grained sediments are often preserved. The relief on this surface is generally from 2.0m to 4.0m and exceptionally up to 6m.

Overlying the Shepperton Gravel in all the boreholes is a sequence of Holocene alluvial deposits. In 18 of the boreholes this sequence includes a peat unit (Unit 4). In six cases (boreholes SSBH02D, SSBH03, B29a, B8, SSDS04, NSBH01) the peat rests directly on the underlying gravel. In the remaining twelve boreholes the peat rests on the Lower Alluvium (Unit 3), either on sand (Unit 3a) (8 boreholes) or on alluvial silts and clays (Unit 3b) (4 boreholes). The Lower Alluvium, whether sand or silts and clays, is generally less than a

metre thick (median value 0.6m). In borehole <NTBH03> the Lower Alluvium was a wellbedded tufa-rich sand with common detrital plant and mollusc remains.

Where peat is present it usually forms a single horizon varying in thickness from 2.43m in borehole NTBH02 on the north side of the river to 0.92m in borehole B4 on the south side (average for 11 boreholes with a single untruncated peat horizon: 1.60m, median 1.53m). The upper surface of these untruncated single peat horizons is at levels between -0.66m OD and -3.0m OD (average 1.06m, median 0.98m). The lowest level at which these single peat units are recorded is -4.84m OD in borehole NTBH02. In four boreholes, all on the north side of the river (B8, NTBH01, <NTBH03>, B24) two organic-rich/peat horizons are present. Two of these boreholes (NTBH01 and <NTBH03>) were immediately adjacent to one another and recorded closely similar alluvial sequences with a lower organic-rich deposit between -4.74m OD and -5.84m OD in Borehole NTBH01 and at a similar level in <NTBH03>. The greater part of this lower unit is therefore at a level below the lowest level at which the base of the single peat horizons was encountered.

In borehole B24 a lower peat horizon occupies a level (-1.6 to -4.0m OD) similar to the single peat horizons recorded elsewhere in the transect, but the Holocene sequence in borehole B24 includes an upper peat at a higher level, between +2.9m OD and +0.8m OD. Peat is also recorded in borehole B8 at a similar level where two thin peat horizons are present between +3.37m OD and +1.55m OD.

In the 11 boreholes with untruncated peat horizons and in all four of the boreholes with two peat horizons, the uppermost peat is overlain by the Upper Alluvium (Unit 5). Where this unit has been examined in detail in boreholes <SSBH1C> and <NTBH03>, it is a grey to olive coloured well-sorted silt with some evidence of soil forming processes in its upper part and scattered finely-divided detrital plant remains generally present. It is everywhere overlain by Made Ground and has undoubtedly been truncated in some places. However in fourteen boreholes the contact with the Made Ground is at a level between 3.33m OD (Borehole 13a) and 0.57m OD (Borehole <SSBH1C>) (average 1.77m OD, median 1.70m OD). A natural floodplain level close to 1.75m OD therefore seems likely.

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE ORGANIC MATTER CONTENT DETERMINATIONS

The results of the organic matter content determinations for <NTBH03> (Table 3; Figure 6) indicate low values within the basal sand and gravel (<10%), before increasing slightly within the overlying units of silty, sandy organic-rich deposits (up to 25%) that continue to -4.80m

OD. Within the overlying Peat, values reach up to 60% at -4.35m OD. Above these units was a thick sequence of alluvial silts and sands to -3.28m OD, which had a reflective low organic matter content (<6%). The overlying main peat unit spanned from -3.28m to -1.90m OD and contained values ranging between *ca*. 45% and 70% organic, with a peak at -2.70m OD. The final alluvial silts and clays were generally no more than 10% organic-rich.

The results of the <SSBH1C> organic matter determinations (Table 4; Figure 6) indicate low values within the basal sand and gravel (<4%) before gradually increasing from 15% to 33% through the overlying alternating units of peat and alluvium at -2.48m OD. Through the main peat (-2.48m to -0.93m OD) however, organic matter values varied between 40% and 70%, analogous to those recorded in <NTBH03>. The final units of alluvium and made ground contained generally limited organic matter content values of <10%.

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE RADIOCARBON DATING

Borehole <NTBH03>

Four radiocarbon determinations were made on samples taken from borehole <NTBH03>. Identified waterlogged seeds of *Rumex/Polygonum* sp. from the silty sandy peat at the base of the <NTBH03> sequence (-5.63m to -5.68m OD) were radiocarbon dated to 10,740-10,510 cal BP (8790-8560 cal BC). At -4.68 to -4.76m OD a radiocarbon determination was made on *Alnus* sp. waterlogged wood which returned an age of 6850-6670 cal BP (4900-4720 cal BC). Above this, a radiocarbon determination was made on *Alnus* sp. catkins at -3.18 to -3.28m OD. This provided an age of 6290-6030 cal BP (4340-4080 cal BC). Finally, *Alnus* sp. waterlogged wood from the top of the peat (-1.95m to -2.00m OD) was radiocarbon dated to 3030-2870 cal BP (1080-920 cal BC). The δ 13C (‰) values are consistent with that expected for material from peat sediments, and there is no evidence for mineral or biogenic carbonate contamination. These results therefore indicate alluvial deposition and peat accumulation took place at the site between at least the Early Mesolithic and Late Bronze Age/Early Iron Age cultural periods.

However, the radiocarbon determinations made within Borehole <NTBH03> are of note, particularly when compared with those made at West Silvertown (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2000). At West Silvertown, the surface of the Shepperton Gravel was recorded around -2.50m OD, above which sediments dated to 12,800-11,690 accumulated. This depth is approximately 3m higher than within borehole <NTBH03> above which sediments of 10,740-10,510 cal BP (approximately 1000-2000 years younger) accumulated. In addition, the two dates at -4.68 to -4.76m OD (6850-6670 cal BP) and -3.18 to -3.28m OD (6290-6030 cal BP) are of interest as

they are separated by *ca*. 1.5m yet both date within 600 years of each other. It is considered likely that the lower of these two dates is likely to be incorrect, but for unknown reasons.

Borehole <SSBH1C>

Alnus waterlogged wood from the peat at the base of <SSBH1C> (-3.01 to -3.06m OD) was radiocarbon dated to 5580-5310 cal BP (3630-3360 cal BC). At -2.01 to -2.08m OD a radiocarbon determination was made on *Alnus* sp. waterlogged wood which returned an age of 3450-3270 cal BP (1500-1320 cal BC). The top of the peat at -0.98 to -1.03m OD was radiocarbon dated to 3380-3210 cal BP (1430-1260 cal BC). The δ 13C (‰) values are consistent with that expected for Peat sediment, and there is no evidence for mineral or biogenic carbonate contamination. These results therefore indicate alluvial deposition and peat accumulation took place at the site between at least the Neolithic and Late Bronze Age cultural periods.

However, the radiocarbon determinations at -2.01 to -2.08m OD (3450-3270 cal BP) and -0.98 to -1.03m OD (3380-3210 cal BP) are less than 100 years different despite being separated by 1m of peat. An average accumulation rate for peat is often considered to be 1m per 1000 years, and thus it appears that one of these results is incorrect. On the basis of other radiocarbon determinations from the base of the main Peat within the Lower Thames Valley, it appears most likely that the lowermost determination is incorrect, although the reasons for this are unclear.




Figure 6: Results of the <NTBH03> and <SSBH1C> lithostratigraphic analysis, incorporating lithostratigraphy and organic matter content plotted with associated radiocarbon dates

Table 1: Results of the laboratory-based lithostratigraphic description of borehole
NTBH03, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code:
CAC11)

Depth (m OD)	Composition
2.75 to 1.55	Made Ground
1.55 to 1.18	2.5Y4/1 dark grey with black flecks; very well sorted silt; massive;
	common detrital plant remains increasingly common downward; no acid reaction.
1.18 to 1.05	Olive brown silt; common detrital plant remains.
1.05 to 0.10	No retrieval
0.10 to 0.05	Irregular mass of plant-rich silt.
0.05 to -0.22	5Y3/1 very dark grey passing down gradually to 2.5Y4/4 olive brown, black flecks; very well sorted silt; massive passing down to blocky/crumby; root channels common in lower olive brown part; common root remains; vivianite as small (<1mm) white crystal clusters; strong acid reaction.
-0.22 to -0.45	Grey silt oxidising to olive brown with black flecks.
-0.45 to -0.54	5Y4/1 dark grey and 2.5Y4/4 olive brown; very well sorted silt; blocky/crumby; scattered root channels and root remains; scattered detrital plant remains; moderate acid reaction; well-marked transition to:
-0.54 to -0.75	Gley 1.4/1 dark grey; very well sorted silt; massive; scattered detrital plant remains; no acid reaction.
-0.75 to -0.95	Olive brown silt.
-0.95 to -1.37	Gley 1.4/1 dark grey with Fe staining on structural surfaces; very well sorted silt; massive; scattered detrital plant remains; vivianite as small (<1mm) white crystal clusters and coating some structural surfaces.
-1.37 to -1.45	Grey silt with Fe stained structural surfaces.
-1.45 to -1.82	5Y4/1 dark grey; very well sorted silt; massive; detrital plant remains increasingly common downward; wood debris increasingly common downward; no acid reaction.
-1.82 to -1.95	Peat
-1.95 to -2.34	Peat with round wood (up to 40mm Ø).
-2.34 to -2.45	Woody peat.
-2.45 to -2.80	Peat with common wood debris.
-2.80 to -2.95	Woody peat.
-2.95 to -3.16	Peat with wood debris; well-marked transition to:
-3.16 to -3.26	Mixture of wood-rich silt and peat in large (80mm) interpenetrating masses; very sharp contact with:
-3.26 to -3.37	5Y4/1 dark grey; silt and silty fine sand; unevenly bedded – alternations of silt and silty fine sand with individual beds 2-3mm thick; root channels with scattered <i>in situ</i> vertical root remains; scattered detrital plant remains; moderate acid reaction.
-3.37 to -3.45	Organic silty sand.
-3.45 to -3.90	5Y4/1 dark grey; well sorted silt and fine sand; bedded – alternations of silt and silty fine sand with individual beds varying from 2-10mm thick;

r	
	root channels with scattered in situ vertical roots; scattered detrital plant
	remains; small piece of round wood(10mm $Ø$); weak acid reaction.
-3.90 to -3.95	organic silty sand.
-3.95 to -4.07	5Y3/2 dark olive grey; well sorted slightly silty fine sand; massive;
	scattered broken mollusc shell; strong acid reaction; very sharp inclined
	contact with:
-4.07 to -4.15	Mass of wood - ?root wood; very sharp horizontal contact with:
-4.15 to -4.30	5Y4/1 dark grey; silt and silty fine sand; unevenly bedded - alternations of
	silt and silty fine sand with individual beds varying from 2-10mm thick;
	scattered detrital plant remains.
-4.30 to -4.45	Peat
-4.45 to -4.68	Black with white vivianite flecks; well humified peat; lenses of blue
	vivianite; very sharp contact with:
-4.68 to -4.80	5Y4/2 olive grey; fine to medium tufa-rich sand; massive; scattered
	detrital plant remains; scattered broken mollusc shell; strong acid
	reaction.
-4.80 to -4.95	Organic-rich sediment
-4.95 to -5.45	No retrieval
-5.45 to -5.68	Dark brown to black; wet mixture of organic-rich sediment, silt and wood
	debris becoming firmer and more sandy downward; gradual transition to:
-5.68 to -5.88	5Y3/1 very dark grey to black; silty fine sand with bed of tufa-rich coarser
	sand at -5.81 to -5.83m OD; horizontally bedded; common detrital plant
	remains; scattered broken mollusc shell; strong acid reaction; well-
	marked transition to:
-5.88 to -5.90	Silty sandy gravel
-5.90 to -5.95	Sandy gravel/gravelly sand.

Table 2: Results of the laboratory-based lithostratigraphic description of borehole <SSBH1C>, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

Depth (m OD)	Composition
5.72 to 1.02	Made ground
1.02 to 0.83	5Y4/2 olive grey to black with black flecks; well sorted gritty silt; massive;
	root channels; Charcoal; CBM; coal dust; piece of coke (50mm) at 0.84m
	OD; no acid reaction; well-marked transition to:
0.83 to 0.67	5Y4/2 olive grey; well sorted silt; coarse bedding with horizontal partings
	marked by laminated plant material; scattered root channels and root
	remains; charcoal; CBM; no acid reaction.
0.67 to 0.52	Olive silty clay
0.52 to 0.18	5Y4/2 olive grey with black patches and flecks; very well sorted silt;
	massive; common Fe-coated root channels and common root remains;
	faunal burrows; scattered detrital plant remains; moderate acid reaction.
0.18 to 0.02	Olive silty clay
0.02 to -0.37	5Y4/3; very well sorted silt; massive; root channels; no acid reaction;

THE LONDON CABLE CAR: AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

tarry contamination coating structural and other surfaces.		
Olive silty clay with tarry contamination		
5Y4/3 olive with black flecks; very well sorted silt becoming slightly peaty		
below -0.72m OD with wood debris; massive; root channels and		
scattered root remains; scattered detrital plant remains; no acid reaction;		
tarry contamination coating structural and other surfaces.		
peat with branch wood		
10YR2/2 very dark brown; peat with common wood debris		
peat with branch wood		
10YR2/2 very dark brown; peat with round wood (up to 35mm Ø).		
10YR2/2 very dark brown; incoherent mixture of peat and round wood		
(up to 40mm \emptyset) - ? drilling spoil; sharp contact with:		
10YR2/2 very dark brown; peat; horizontal laminations.		
Woody peat with contorted partings of grey silt.		
5Y3/2 dark olive grey; very well sorted silt with irregular inclusion of peat		
between -2.68m and -2.81m OD.		
Dark olive silt with scattered wood debris.		
Peat with common wood debris; uneven sharp contact with:		
5Y4/1 dark grey; very well sorted fine sand; no acid reaction; sharp		
contact with:		
2.5Y4/4 olive brown; slightly silty sandy gravel of sub-angular and well-		
rounded flint clasts (up to 40mm).		

Table 3: Results of the borehole <NTBH03> organic matter determinations, LondonCable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

Depth (m OD)		Organic matter
From	То	content (%)
1.46	1.45	8.09
1.14	1.13	9.80
-0.14	-0.15	9.37
-0.45	-0.46	11.20
-0.77	-0.78	7.12
-1.09	-1.10	6.19
-1.45	-1.46	7.90
-1.63	-1.64	14.87
-1.84	-1.85	29.32
-1.88	-1.89	32.90
-1.91	-1.92	21.21
-1.95	-1.96	22.48
-2.01	-2.02	57.67
-2.09	-2.10	28.83
-2.13	-2.14	22.08
-2.17	-2.18	54.13

Depth (m OD)		Organic matter
From	То	content (%)
-4.03	-4.04	5.10
-4.07	-4.08	4.32
-4.11	-4.12	11.83
-4.15	-4.16	2.56
-4.19	-4.20	5.07
-4.23	-4.24	3.42
-4.27	-4.28	7.35
-4.29	-4.30	4.77
-4.31	-4.32	41.67
-4.35	-4.36	57.06
-4.38	-4.39	56.72
-4.41	-4.42	38.57
-4.45	-4.46	15.41
-4.48	-4.49	13.45
-4.53	-4.54	7.16
-4.55	-4.56	6.52

THE LONDON CABLE CAR:
AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

-2.21	-2.22	51.67
-2.25	-2.26	39.79
-2.29	-2.30	28.49
-2.33	-2.34	33.54
-2.35	-2.36	48.06
-2.39	-2.40	31.50
-2.42	-2.43	31.19
-2.45	-2.46	46.29
-2.49	-2.50	46.92
-2.53	-2.54	44.27
-2.57	-2.58	35.72
-2.61	-2.62	39.42
-2.65	-2.66	64.76
-2.69	-2.70	72.00
-2.73	-2.74	58.50
-2.77	-2.78	83.33
-2.81	-2.82	83.05
-2.89	-2.90	43.40
-2.90	-2.91	55.09
-2.92	-2.93	47.61
-2.95	-2.96	51.51
-3.01	-3.02	40.92
-3.05	-3.06	39.57
-3.09	-3.10	46.27
-3.13	-3.14	59.86
-3.17	-3.18	24.59
-3.21	-3.22	17.45
-3.25	-3.26	35.61
-3.29	-3.30	4.70
-3.33	-3.34	4.44
-3.37	-3.38	3.66
-3.40	-3.41	3.14
-3.49	-3.50	3.70
-3.57	-3.58	2.80
-3.65	-3.66	2.64
-3.73	-3.74	2.49
-3.81	-3.82	2.34
-3.89	-3.90	3.08
-3.92	-3.93	5.56
-3.95	-3.96	4.47
-3.99	-4.00	4.47

-4.57	-4.58	4.98
-4.59	-4.60	39.45
-4.61	-4.62	5.20
-4.63	-4.64	4.75
-4.65	-4.66	17.41
-4.67	-4.68	21.28
-4.69	-4.70	21.20
-4.71	-4.72	22.92
-4.73	-4.72	21.46
-4.75	-4.74	13.08
-4.77	-4.78	12.98
-4.79	-4.80	13.12
-4.81	-4.82	15.79
-4.83	-4.84	14.29
-4.85	-4.86	7.95
-4.87	-4.88	6.61
-4.89	-4.90	15.96
-4.09	-4.90	27.08
-4.92	-4.93	20.24
-4.96	-4.97	10.31
-5.45	-5.46	10.31
-5.47		
-5.49	-5.50	6.00
	-5.52	9.11
-5.53	-5.54	11.40
-5.55	-5.56	12.48
-5.57	-5.58	13.37
-5.59	-5.60	11.92
-5.61	-5.62	9.15
-5.63	-5.64	9.03
-5.65	-5.66	5.62
-5.67	-5.68	14.42
-5.69	-5.70	14.03
-5.71	-5.72	17.32
-5.73	-5.74	13.52
-5.75	-5.76	11.97
-5.77	-5.78	10.78
-5.79	-5.80	5.39
-5.81	-5.82	5.28
-5.83	-5.84	6.61
-5.85	-5.86	7.66
-5.87	-5.88	7.52
-5.89	-5.90	8.36

Depth (m OD)		Organic matter
From	То	content (%)
0.90	0.89	31.22
0.74	0.73	17.60
0.58	0.57	7.83
0.42	0.41	10.30
0.26	0.25	5.84
0.10	0.09	9.52
-0.07	-0.08	6.78
-0.23	-0.24	6.31
-0.39	-0.40	5.75
-0.55	-0.56	6.37
-0.71	-0.72	9.78
-0.87	-0.88	28.84
-1.03	-1.04	9.44
-1.19	-1.20	7.65

Table 4: Results of the borehole <ssbh1c> organic matter determinations, London</ssbh1c>
Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

Depth	(m OD)	Organic matter
From	То	content (%)
-1.35	-1.36	50.36
-1.59	-1.60	42.12
-1.67	-1.68	42.65
-1.83	-1.84	67.04
-1.99	-2.00	46.63
-2.15	-2.16	42.10
-2.31	-2.32	57.01
-2.51	-2.52	24.53
-2.63	-2.64	37.13
-2.79	-2.80	16.26
-2.91	-2.92	15.72
-3.11	-3.12	3.08
-3.27	-3.28	1.87
-3.38	-3.39	1.07

Table 5: Results of the borehole <NTBH03> and <SSBH1C> radiocarbon dating, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

dicelimicii (site code. CACII)						
Laboratory code	Borehole	Material and location	Depth	Uncalibrated	Calibrated age BC/AD	δ13C
/ Method	number		(m OD)	radiocarbon years	(BP) (2-sigma, 95.4%	(%)
				before present (yr BP)	probability)	
Beta-301323	<ntbh03></ntbh03>	Alnus sp. waterlogged wood at	-1.95 to -2.00	2840 ± 30	1080-920 cal BC	-27.4
		top of highest Peat			(3030-2870 cal BP)	
SUERC-37743	<ntbh03></ntbh03>	Alnus sp. catkins at base of	-3.18 to -3.28	5395 ± 30	4340-4080 cal BC	-27.3
(GU26330)		main Peat			(6290-6030 cal BP)	
SUERC-37826	<ntbh03></ntbh03>	Alnus sp. waterlogged wood	-4.68 to -4.76	5925 ± 35	4900-4720 cal BC	-28.7
(GU26332)		within lower Peat			(6850-6670 cal BP)	
Beta-301234	<ntbh03></ntbh03>	Alnus sp. waterlogged wood at	-5.63 to -5.68	9400 ± 50	8790-8560 cal BC	-26.2
		base of lowest Peat			(10,740-10,510 cal BP)	
Beta-301231	<ssbh1c></ssbh1c>	Alnus sp. waterlogged wood at	-0.98 to -1.03	3080 ± 40	1430-1260 cal BC	-27.8
		top of highest Peat			(3380-3210 cal BP)	
SUERC-37825	<ssbh1c></ssbh1c>	Alnus sp. waterlogged wood	-2.01 to -2.08	3145 ± 35	1500-1320 cal BC	-27.7
(GU26331)		midway through main Peat			(3450-3270 cal BP)	
Beta-301232	<ssbh1c></ssbh1c>	Rumex/Polygonum sp. seeds	-3.01 to -3.06	4680 ± 40	3630-3360 cal BC	-29.4
		at base of lowest Peat			(5580-5310 cal BP)	

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE POLLEN ANALYSIS

Results of the borehole <NTBH03> pollen analysis

The pollen-stratigraphic diagram (Figure 7) has been divided into five Local Pollen Assemblage Zones (LPAZ's BH3-1 to BH3-5). Pollen concentration and preservation was variable throughout the sequence, but particularly in LPAZ's BH3-4 and BH3-5.

LPAZ BH3-1; -5.86m to ca. -5.25m OD; centred on 10,740-10,510 cal BP

Poaceae - Cyperaceae - Pinus - Betula

This zone is characterised by high values of herbaceous pollen taxa dominated by Poaceae (*ca.* 60%) and Cyperaceae (*ca.* 10%), with sporadic occurrences of other taxa including *Artemisia*, Lactuceae, *Rumex* undifferentiated, *Ranunculus* type, *Galium* type and *Mentha* type. Tree and shrub pollen are dominated by *Pinus* (10%) and *Betula* (5%), with increasing occurrences of *Alnus*, *Quercus*, *Tilia*, *Ulmus*, *Fraxinus*, *Corylus* type and *Salix* (all from absence/near absence to *ca.* 5%). Aquatic taxa were present throughout and in reasonable concentrations, dominated by *Typha latifolia* (5%) with *Sparganium* type (1%). Spores of *Dryopteris* type and *Polypodium vulgare* were present in limited concentrations (<3%).

LPAZ BH3-2; ca. -5.25m to -4.43m OD; centred on 6850-6670 cal BP

Pinus – Corylus type – Poaceae – Cyperaceae

This zone is characterised by very high values of *Pinus* which decline through the zone (75% to <20%). This is reflected by rising *Corylus* type values (from 5% to 25%), with *Alnus*, *Quercus*, *Salix* (all <10%), *Tilia*, *Ulmus*, *Fraxinus*, *Betula* and *Sambucas nigra* (all <5%). Herbaceous taxa remain dominated by Poaceae and Cyperaceae (both up to 20%) with sporadic occurrences of Asteraceae, Lactuceae, Caryophyllaceae and *Ranunculus* type. Aquatic taxa are represented by *Sparganium* type which is present throughout the zone. Spores (including *Dryopteris* type and *Pteridium aquilinum*) are present throughout (*ca*. 5%).

LPAZ BH3-3; -4.43m to -3.16m OD; 6850-6670 to 6290-6030 cal BP

Alnus – Quercus – Corylus type

This zone is characterised by high values of tree and shrub pollen dominated by *Alnus* (40%) with *Quercus* (20%), *Corylus* type (10%), *Pinus*, *Tilia*, *Ulmus*, *Fraxinus* (all <5%), *Betula*, *Hedera*, *Salix* (<2%) and sporadic occurrences of *Taxus*/cf *Taxus*. Herb pollen values are low, dominated by Poaceae, Cyperaceae and *Chenopodium* type (all ca. 5%) with Asteraceae, *Artemisia* and *Armeria maritima* A/B (<1%). Aquatic taxa were present throughout the zone in low concentrations, including *Sparganium* type and *Typha latifolia* (<1%). Spore values were very low (<2%) including *Dryopteris* type, *Polypodium vulgare* and *Pteridium aquilinum*.

LPAZ BH3-4; -3.16m to -2.43m OD; 6290-6030 to <3030-2870 cal BP


Alnus – Quercus – Corylus type

This zone is a similar assemblage to that of LPAZ BH3-3, but is characterised by a decline in *Ulmus* (from 5% to <3%) at the base of the zone, with *Fraxinus* and *Quercus*, reflected by gradually increasing *Tilia* values. *Taxus* also occurred sporadically through the zone. The herbaceous pollen assemblage was characterised by a decline in *Chenopodium* type and Poaceae pollen values, whilst aquatics declined to absence. *Dryopteris* type and *Polypodium vulgare* spores increased through the zone.

LPAZ BH3-5; -2.43m to -1.81m OD; centred on 3030-2870 cal BP

Alnus – Quercus – Corylus type


This zone is characterised by very low pollen concentrations, and an apparent gradual decline in tree and shrub pollen values. *Alnus* continues to dominate (30%) with *Quercus* (20%) and *Corylus* type (10%). *Pinus* values increase with *Salix*, whilst *Tilia*, *Ulmus*, cf *Taxus*, *Fraxinus*, *Hedera* and *Sambucas nigra* were all noted. The herbaceous pollen assemblage was dominated by Poaceae and Cyperaceae (5%) with Lactuceae and *Chenopodium* type (<5%). Aquatic taxa included *Menyanthes trifoliata* and *Sparganium* type, whilst spores included *Pteridium aquilinum* and *Dryopteris* type.



THE LONDON CABLE CAR:




© AOC Archaeology 2012 | PAGE 44 | www.aocarchaeology.com



THE LONDON CABLE CAR:





THE LONDON CABLE CAR:



© AOC Archaeology 2012 | PAGE 46 | www.aocarchaeology.com

Interpretation of the borehole <NTBH03> pollen analysis

The results of the pollen-stratigraphical analysis indicate that during LPAZ BH3-1, grasses (Poaceae) and (Cyperaceae) dominated the wetland environment, with other herbaceous and aquatic taxa such as bulrush (*Typha latifolia*), bur-reed (*Sparganium* type), buttercup/water crowfoot (*Ranunculus* type), water mint (*Mentha* type), mugwort (*Artemisia*), meadowsweet (*Filipendula* type) and docks/sorrels (*Rumex* undifferentiated). Woodland taxa included birch (*Betula*) and pine (*Pinus*) which were present throughout, whilst alder (Alnus), oak (*Quercus*), lime (*Tilia*), elm (*Ulmus*), ash (*Fraxinus*), e.g. hazel (*Corylus* type) and willow (*Salix*) appeared and increased towards the end of the zone. Where these woodland taxa were growing is more difficult to establish. Birch and Pine produce high quantities of pollen which are well documented for travelling long distances, and thus may have travelled some distance from source as opposed to originating from the wetland. Alder and willow most likely grew on the wetland when they appeared, whilst ash, hazel, oak, elm and hazel may have grown either within a wetland community, or on the dryland with lime (and probably birch and pine).

During LPAZ BH3-2, the pollen-stratigraphical analysis indicates a transition to a local environment dominated by pine, whilst grasses, sedges bur-reed and bulrush indicate the continuance of wetland habitats. The speed of this environmental transition is unknown due to the unfortunate lack of core retrieval between -4.95 and -5.45m OD. Within the upper half of the zone however, pine steadily declines and is gradually replaced by other trees and shrubs such as alder and willow which would have grown on the wetland, possibly with ash, hazel, elm and hazel. However, these latter tree taxa may also have grown on the dryland with lime, oak and some pine.

The transition to LPAZ BH3-3 is characterised by the further development of alder-dominated carr woodland on the wetland. The surface of the wetland was also populated by trees, shrubs and plants typical of marsh/fen environments such as willow, grasses, sedges, burreed and purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*). A grain of possible cereal pollen was also noted within the stratigraphic sequence, but because of its occurrence within a wetland context combined with its age, and isolated occurrence, its relation to human activity cannot be ascertained. In addition, grains with a similar morphology to that of cereal pollens are also known to be produced by wetland grasses such as *Glyceria* (e.g. Andersen, 1979). LPAZ BH3-3 is also strongly characterised by, the combined occurrence of herbaceous pollen taxa such as *Armeria maritima* types A & B (thrift), *Chenopodium* type (e.g. *Suaeda maritima* – annual seablite), Asteraceae (sea daisy) and *Erodium* (storksbill) which indicate an estuarine

influence during this period. On the dryland, mixed deciduous-coniferous woodland continued to dominate.

During LPAZ BH3-4, alder dominated woodland continued to expand on the wetland, most likely in response to a renewed period of peat formation. This transition occurs in tandem with the decline of estuarine indicators such as *Chenopodium* type and *Armeria maritima*, which suggests a decreasing tidal influence at the site. This period is also characterised by a decline in *Ulmus* (elm) pollen at the beginning of the zone, which represents the decline of elm within the dryland, and possibly wetland woodland community. The elm decline is well recorded in pollen diagrams across the British Isles representing the large-scale decline of elm populations across during the Early Neolithic. At <NTBH03>, the decline occurs around 6290-6030 cal BP which is within the range of dates calculated for the British Isles by Parker *et al* (2002) where the reduction in pollen is recorded as commencing between 6343 and 6307 and continuing until 5420 and 5290 cal BP. Multiple reasons have been put forward for cause of the decline in elm populations, including disease and human activity. However, it is of note that at <NTBH03>, the decline occurs at the same time as wetland expansion.

Following the decline of elm, sporadic grains of *Taxus* (yew) pollen are recorded, most likely representing its growth nearby on the wetland surface within the alder dominated woodland. Yew is commonly recorded as a component of the wetland woodland along the Lower Thames Valley during the Neolithic cultural period, and the significance of the new findings from borehole <NTBH03> are discussed in more detail below. Finally, an unusual feature of the new pollen-stratigraphic diagram is the increase of *Tilia* (lime) percentage values towards the top of the zone. Unlike most arboreal taxa, the pollen from lime is entomophilous (insect pollinated), and thus does not travel far from source. Therefore the high concentrations of lime suggest that it was growing nearby on areas of dryland during this period.

During final LPAZ BH3-5, the vegetation history is difficult to reconstruct as a consequence of poor pollen preservation and concentration. However, it appears that alder carr woodland began to decline from the wetland surface. On the dryland, it appears that lime woodland declined, with only occasional grains of *Tilia* pollen recorded. The lime decline is another well-documented vegetation change across the British Isles. In this case, the date of the decline is less contemporaneous, ranging in date from 6420-6200 cal BP at Saham Mere (Bennett, 1988) to 1520-1000 cal BP at Epping Forest (Baker *et al.*, 1978). However, the majority of dates (including those of the Lower Thames Valley) range from 5000 to 3000 cal BP, equating to the Late Neolithic-Bronze Age cultural periods. Three hypotheses have been put forward for the cause of the decline in *Tilia*: (1) climatic cooling (Godwin, 1956); (2) soil

deterioration due to waterlogging and peat formation (paludification; Waller, 1994a); and (3) human induced land clearance (Turner, 1962); these are discussed further below.

Results of the borehole <SSBH1C> pollen analysis

The pollen-stratigraphic diagram (Figure 8) has been divided into three Local Pollen Assemblage Zones (LPAZ's BH3-1 to BH3-5). Pollen concentration and preservation was better than in borehole <NTBH03>, but still declined within the uppermost zone.

LPAZ BH1C-1; -3.02m to -2.16m OD; 5580-5310 to 3450-3270 cal BP

Alnus –Quercus – Tilia

This zone is characterised by high values of tree and shrub pollen dominated by *Alnus* (40%) with *Quercus* (20%), *Tilia* (10% increasing to <35% at the top of the zone), *Corylus* type (10%), *Pinus*, *Ulmus*, *Fraxinus*, *Betula*, *Hedera*, *Salix* (<5%) with sporadic occurrences of Rosaceae *Taxus* and *Sambucas nigra* (<1%). Herbaceous taxa were dominated by Cyperaceae, Poaceae, *Chenopodium* type (<3%) with *Artemisia* and Lactuceae (<1%) and sporadic occurrences of Asteraceae, *Plantago* type and Caryophylllaceae. Aquatic pollen values were very low comprising only *Sparganium* type (<2%), whilst *Pteridium aquilinum*, *Dryopteris* type and *Polypodium vulgare* spores were present throughout (all <5%).

LPAZ BH1C-2; -2.16m to -1.20m OD; 3450-3270 to 3380-3210 cal BP

Alnus – Quercus – Corylus type

This zone is characterised by changes in the arboreal pollen assemblage. *Alnus* values increase to ca. 50% whilst *Tilia* values decline to ca. 5%. *Taxus* also occurs regularly in the lower half of the zone (<5%) before declining to absence. All other tree, shrub and herbaceous pollen values remain unchanged from LPAZ BH1C-1. Aquatic pollen values remain very low and sporadic, but with a greater diversity of taxa including *Potamogeton* type, *Typha latifolia, Menyanthes trifoliata* and *Sparganium* type. Spore taxa are dominated by *Dryopteris* type and *Polypodium vulgare*, whilst *Pteridium aquilinum* declines.

LPAZ BH1C-3; -1.20m to -0.82m OD; centred on 3380-3210 cal BP

Alnus – Poaceae – Chenopodium type

This zone is characterised by a decline in tree pollen values including *Alnus*, *Tilia*, *Ulmus* and *Fraxinus*. *Pinus* values increase to >5%, whilst other tree and shrub taxa remain unchanged from LPAZ BH1C-2. Herbaceous pollen values increase dominated by Poaceae, Cyperaceae, Lactuceae, *Chenopodium* type and *Sinapis* type (all 5-10%), with Astereae, cf *Cereale* type, *Plantago* type, Caryophyllaceae and Apiaceae (<2%). Aquatic pollen values are low but continuous, dominated by *Sparganium* type with *Typha latifolia*. Spore taxa

comprise Pteridium aquilnum, Dryopteris type (both 5%) with Polypodium vulgare (<2%) and

а	single	occurrence	of	Sphagnum.
---	--------	------------	----	-----------






Figure 8: Pollen-stratigraphic diagram for borehole <SSBH1C>, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)






Figure 8: Pollen-stratigraphic diagram for borehole <SSBH1C>, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

Interpretation of the borehole <SSBH1C> pollen analysis

During LPAZ BH1C-1, the wetland was dominated by alder and willow woodland with a ground flora of sedges, grasses, and various herbs and aquatics such as daisies, pinks (Caryophyllaceae), dandelions (Lactuceae) and bur-reed. Elm, birch, ash, hazel and ivy (*Hedera*) may also have grown on the wetland within this woodland community, but could equally have grown on the dryland with oak and lime. The low concentrations of elm throughout the diagram, suggest that this sequence post-dates the well-documented elm decline (e.g. Parker *et al.*, 2000). The concentrations of lime pollen towards the top of this zone are also important, since such high values suggest that areas of dryland woodland were growing nearby, or even at the site of deposition.

The transition to LPAZ BH1C-2 is characterised by the decline of lime and increase of alder pollen values. This transition was most likely caused by wetland expansion, since it follows the development of peat within the lithostratigraphic record. The zone is also characterised by an increase in yew pollen percentages, indicating its colonisation on the peat surface within the alder dominated woodland.

During the final period LPAZ BH1C-3, the pollen stratigraphic record indicates the decline of woodland on both the wetland and dryland surfaces. On the wetland, alder dominated woodland declines, as grasses, sedges and various herbaceous plants expand, most likely in response to wetter conditions. Indeed, the increase of taxa such as *Chenopodium* type, may suggest the growth of saline taxa nearby to the site (e.g. *Suaeda maritima* – annual seablite) and the influence of estuarine conditions. On the dryland, mixed deciduous woodland (dominated by oak and lime) declines as herbaceous taxa increase. The presence of cf *Cereale* type, *Centaurea nigra*, *Sinapis* type and possibly *Chenopodium* type (e.g. *Chenopodium* album - fat hen), may be indicative of clearance for agricultural purposes. Whether the decline of wetland and dryland woodland is linked is uncertain, but does seem to be a common feature of woodland within pollen-stratigraphic diagrams from the Lower Thames Valley (discussed further below).

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE DIATOM ASSESSMENT

Eighteen sub-samples from borehole <NTBH03> and ten sub-samples from borehole <SSBH1C> were extracted for the assessment/analysis of diatoms. Of these samples, 10 samples from borehole <NTBH03> and five samples from <SSBH1C> contained no remains suitable for identification (Tables 6 & 7). The very poor preservation, absence or low numbers of diatoms in the majority of the slides prepared from the two London Cable Car Route borehole sequences, and the probable over-representation of robust taxa, can be attributed to taphonomic processes. The loss of diatom assemblages may be the result of silica dissolution caused by factors such as high sediment alkalinity, very high acidity, the under-saturation of sediment pore water with dissolved silica, cycles of prolonged drying and rehydration, exposure of sediment to the air, or physical damage to diatom valves from abrasion or wave action (e.g. Flower 1993; Ryves *et al.* 2001).

However, diatom assemblages suitable for percentage diatom analysis were present in four samples from <NTBH03>, whilst five samples from <SSBH1C> and four samples from <NTBH03> were suitable for a detailed assessment. The diatom species recorded in the selected samples for which percentage diatom counting was not possible are shown in Tables 6 & 7 along with their halobian classifications. Figure 9 presents diatom species and summary halobian group diagrams for the slides prepared that have diatom assemblages suitable for percentage diatom analysis.

<NTBH03>

No identifiable diatom valves or valve fragments were identified in the slides from the base of the <NTBH03> sequence between at -5.77 and -4.25m OD. The diatom assemblages analysed from -4.21m OD, -4.12m OD and -4.03m OD (Figure 9, Table 6) are dominated by polyhalobous taxa, which at -4.21m OD and -4.03m OD comprise 66% to 74% of the diatom assemblages. The most common of the marine taxa in the three samples are *Rhaphoneis surirella, Rhaphoneis amphiceros, Rhaphoneis minutissima, Cymatosira belgica* and *Paralia sulcata.* Mesohalobous taxa such as *Cyclotella striata* and *Nitzschia navicularis* are present in lower numbers. The cumulative total of mesohalobous taxa is 13% and 15% at -4.21m OD and at -4.03m OD respectively. There are low numbers of oligohalobous indifferent diatoms with totals of only 2% to 5% respectively at these depths. The diatom assemblages here represent marine-brackish conditions.

Diatom numbers are extremely low and the assemblages are very poorly preserved at - 3.17m OD and -3.01m OD. With the possible exception of a fragment of the polyhalobous to

mesohalobous species *Pseudopodosira westii* at -3.01m OD the diatom fragments were not identifiable to the generic or species level.

The diatom slides counted from -1.92m OD and from -1.81m OD (Figure 9) are dominated by mesohalobous taxa which comprise 49% to 50% of the total flora. The most common mesohalobous diatom is the estuarine planktonic species Cyclotella striata. A number of mesohalobous benthic taxa are also present; these include Nitzschia punctata, Nitzschia granulata and Nitzschia navicularis. At -1.92m OD and -1.81m OD the polyhalobous and polyhalobous to mesohalobous diatom groups comprise approximately 20% and less than 5% of the assemblages respectively. The most common marine diatoms are Paralia sulcata with Cymatosira belgica, Rhaphoneis spp. and Trachyneis aspera are also present. The polyhalobous to mesohalobous diatom Actinoptychus undulatus is present. There are also relatively high percentages of oligonalobous indifferent taxa in these slides, increasing from 13% to 22% of the total diatoms. The freshwater taxa include *Cocconeis disculus*, *Cocconeis* placentula, Fragilaria brevistriata, Fragilaria construens var. venter, Fragilaria lapponica, Fragilaria pinnata, Gyrosigma attenuatum and Synedra ulna. The Fragilaria taxa have wide salinity tolerance ranges. The diatom assemblages found in the upper part of <NTBH03> (Figure 9), like those assemblages analysed lower down in the sequence indicate that the sedimentary environment was a tidal one. The relative increase in mesohalobous species, particularly estuarine plankton along with the increase in the number of oligonalobous indifferent diatoms, and the decrease in allochthonous polyhalobous diatoms may indicate a more stable sedimentary environment with reduced inputs of diatoms from the outer estuary. In the top slide from <NTBH03> (-1.68m OD) the mesohalobous benthic species Nitzschia navicularis was recorded (Table 6).

In summary, Diatoms are poorly preserved in the majority of slides examined from the <NTBH03> sequence. All of the samples analysed are consistent with an estuarine environment. The changes in the proportions of allochthonous marine diatoms, planktonic and benthic estuarine taxa, and oligohalobous indifferent diatoms tolerant of higher salinities suggest changes in the aquatic environment from the base to the top of the sequence (Figure 9). These changes may indicate a more stable sedimentary environment, with smaller inputs of diatoms from the outer estuary in the top samples that were analysed. However, the diatom assemblages at -1.92m OD and -1.81m OD continue to represent tidal environments.


<SSBH1C>

None of the samples from <SSBH1C> were suitable for percentage diatom counting. The results of semi-quantitative counting are shown in Table 7. In the top three samples with diatomaceous remains (-0.68m OD, -0.82m OD and -2.50m OD), the most common diatom is the benthic mesohalobous species *Nitzschia navicularis*. The polyhalobous planktonic diatom *Paralia sulcata* is also present at -0.82m OD. A fragment that is probably from *Nitzschia navicularis* is also present on the slide from -2.59m OD. The estuarine planktonic species *Cyclotella striata* is present at -3.02m OD with polyhalobous *Rhaphoneis* spp. A fragment of the heavily silicified, robust oligohalobous indifferent diatom *Synedra acus* is also present at -3.02m OD. The diatoms present throughout the <SSBH1C> sequence represent brackish-marine, estuarine conditions. However, the quality of diatom preservation is extremely poor and no further interpretation of these diatom assemblages is possible.

Table 6: Results of the <NTBH03> detailed diatom assessment, London Cable CarLondon Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

		~	~		-	~	6			-	•		(0	6	
	-1.68 to -1.69	3.01 to -3.02	-3.17 to -3.18	3.36	-4.12 to -4.13	-4.21 to -4.22	-4.25 to -4.26	-4.34 to -4.35	-4.56 to -4.57	-4.63 to -4.64	-4.68 to -4.69	-4.74 to -4.75	-4.85 to -4.86	5.65 to -5.66	5.76 to -5.77
	to	to	to.	to.	to.	to 10	to 10	to 10	5 5	to.	to to	to.	to.	to .	to to
Diatom Taxon/	.68	.01	.17	.35	.12	21	.25	.34	.56	.63	.68	.74	.85	.65	.76
Sample Depth (m) OD	7	-3	-3	ې ۲	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	-4	-4	- 2	- 2
Polyhalobous															
Cymatosira belgica					1										
Paralia sulcata	2														
Podosira stelligera	1														
Rhaphoneis amphiceros/surirella					3										
Rhaphoneis surirella					3										
Polyhalobous to Mesohalobous															
Pseudopodosira westii	1	cf			1										
Mesohalobous															
Caloneis westii					1										
Cyclotella striata	2				2										
Nitzschia punctata	cf														
Nitzschia granulata	1														
Nitzschia navicularis	2				2										
Oligohalobous Halophilous															
Navicula cincta					1										
Oligohalobous Indifferent															
Ellerbeckia arenaria	1														
Synedra ulna	1														
Unknown Salinity Group															
Inderminate centric sp.	1	1													
Inderminate pennate sp.			1												

Thalassiosira sp.	1							
Unknown naviculaceae	1	cf						



THE LONDON CABLE CAR:

Figure 9: Diatom percentage diagram for borehole <NTBH03>, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)




Figure 9: Diatom percentage diagram for borehole <NTBH03>, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

THE LONDON CABLE CAR: AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

© AOC Archaeology 2012 | PAGE 59 | www.aocarchaeology.com

Diatom Taxon/ Sample Depth (m) OD999 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th> </th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th>									1	1	1
PolyhalobousIIIIIIIParalia sulcata11IIIIIRhaphoneis amphiceros/surirella1IIIIIIPolyhalobous to MesohalobousIIIIIIIIPseudopodosira westiiIIIIIIIIIMesohalobousIIIIIIIIIIIOligohalobous IndifferentIIIIIIIIIIINitzschia navicularisIIIIIIIIIIIIInderminate centric sp.IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII <tdi< td="">Unknown diatom fragmentIIIIIIII<tdi< td=""><tdi< td=""><tdi<< th=""><th>Distant Tayon/</th><th>Ģ</th><th>8 to -0.69</th><th>2 to -0.83</th><th>-2.4</th><th>to -2.5</th><th>9 to -2.60</th><th>8 to -2.69</th><th>-2.8</th><th>to -2.</th><th>2 to -3.03</th></tdi<<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<>	Distant Tayon/	Ģ	8 to -0.69	2 to -0.83	-2.4	to -2.5	9 to -2.60	8 to -2.69	-2.8	to -2.	2 to -3.03
PolyhalobousIIIIIIIParalia sulcata11IIIIIRhaphoneis amphiceros/surirella1IIIIIIPolyhalobous to MesohalobousIIIIIIIIPseudopodosira westiiIIIIIIIIIMesohalobousIIIIIIIIIIIOligohalobous IndifferentIIIIIIIIIIINitzschia navicularisIIIIIIIIIIIIInderminate centric sp.IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII <tdi< td="">Unknown diatom fragmentIIIIIIII<tdi< td=""><tdi< td=""><tdi<< th=""><th></th><th>0.5</th><th>0.6</th><th>0.8</th><th>2.4</th><th>2.5</th><th>2.5</th><th>2.6</th><th>2.8</th><th>2.9</th><th>3.0</th></tdi<<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<></tdi<>		0.5	0.6	0.8	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0
Paralia sulcata111111Rhaphoneis amphiceros/surirella11111Polyhalobous to Mesohalobous11111Pseudopodosira westiicf1111Mesohalobouscf11111Mesohalobouscf11111Nitzschia navicularis221111Oligohalobous Indifferent221cf11Synedra ulna1111111Inderminate centric sp.1111111Unknown diatom fragment1111111		1	1	-	T.	T	T	Т.	Т.	· · ·	Т.
Rhaphoneis amphiceros/surirellaImage: construct of the second	Polyhalobous										
Polyhalobous to MesohalobousImage: constraint of the sector o	Paralia sulcata			1							
Pseudopodosira westiicfcfaaaaMesohalobousaaaaaaaCyclotella striataaaaaaaaaNitzschia navicularis221cfaaaaOligohalobous IndifferentaaaaaaaaaSynedra ulnaaaaaaaaaaaaaaUnknown Salinity Groupaaa <td< td=""><td>Rhaphoneis amphiceros/surirella</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></td<>	Rhaphoneis amphiceros/surirella										1
MesohalobousImage: Cyclotella striataImage: Cyclotella striataIma	Polyhalobous to Mesohalobous										
Cyclotella striataImage: constraint of the string of the stri	Pseudopodosira westii			cf							
Nitzschia navicularis221cf1Oligohalobous IndifferentSynedra ulna1Unknown Salinity Group1Inderminate centric sp111-1Unknown diatom fragment111-11	Mesohalobous										
Oligohalobous IndifferentIIIIIISynedra ulnaIIIIIIIIUnknown Salinity GroupIIIIIIIIIInderminate centric sp.IIIIIIIIIInderminate pennate sp.IIIIIIIIIUnknown diatom fragmentIIIIIIIII	Cyclotella striata										1
Synedra ulnaImage: Constraint of the synthetic of	Nitzschia navicularis		2	2		1	cf				
Unknown Salinity GroupImage: Second seco	Oligohalobous Indifferent										
Inderminate centric sp.111Inderminate pennate sp1Unknown diatom fragment11-	Synedra ulna										1
Inderminate pennate sp.Image: Constraint of the sp.Image: Constraint of the sp.Image: Constraint of the sp.Unknown diatom fragment1111	Unknown Salinity Group										
Unknown diatom fragment 1 1 1 1	Inderminate centric sp.			1		1					
	Inderminate pennate sp.										1
Unknown naviculaceae	Unknown diatom fragment		1	1							1
	Unknown naviculaceae			1							

Table 7: Results of the <SSBH1C> detailed diatom assessment, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

RESULTS OF THE WATERLOGGED PLANT MACROFOSSIL ANALYSIS (SEEDS AND WOOD)

The results of the borehole <SSBH1C> and <NTBH03> waterlogged macrofossil (seeds and wood) analysis are displayed in Tables 8 and 9.

Results and interpretation of the waterlogged seed analysis

Borehole <NTBH03>

The majority of the samples in borehole <NTBH03> are dominated by tree and shrub taxa including *Alnus glutinosa* (alder), *Sambucus nigra/racemosa* (elder), *Rubus* sp. (e.g. bramble), *Corylus avellana* (hazel) and cf. *Quercus robur/Corylus avellana* (oak/hazel). Herbaceous taxa are common throughout, including *Ranunculus repens* (creeping buttercup), *Lycopus europaeus* (gypsywort), *Rumex/Polygonum* sp. (dock/sorrel/knotweed), Apiaceae (carrot family), cf Juncus sp. (rush), *Solanum* sp. (nightshade) and indeterminate Poaceae (grass family). The aquatic taxon *Sparganium erectum* (bur-reed) is present in the uppermost sample (-1.86 to -1.95m OD). The assemblage in borehole <NTBH03> is thus indicative of a fen carr dominated by alder and hazel with an understorey of elder, bramble and herbaceous taxa. Standing water is indicated by the presence of bur-reed in the uppermost sample (-1.86 to -1.95m OD). A notable exception is the lowermost sample in the sequence (-5.63 to -5.68m OD), dominated entirely by the herbaceous taxa *Rumex* or *Polygonum* sp. (dock/sorrel/knotweed).

Borehole <SSBH1C>

The assemblage through the sequence in borehole <SSBH1C> is dominated by tree and shrub taxa including *Alnus glutinosa* (alder), *Corylus avellana* (hazel), *Rubus* sp. (e.g. bramble) and *Sambucus nigra/racemosa* (elder). Herbaceous taxa were present and included *Ranunculus* cf. *repens* (cf. creeping buttercup), *Polygonum* sp. (knotweed), *Lycopus europaeus* (gypsywort), *Solanum* sp. (nightshade) and cf. *Carex* sp. (sedge). Below ca. - 1.33m OD the assemblage is composed entirely of alder, hazel, bramble, creeping buttercup and knotweed, indicative of a fen carr dominated by alder with an understorey of bramble and herbaceous taxa. There is some evidence for wetter conditions above *ca.* -1.33m OD, indicated by the presence of bur-reed (often found growing in permanent shallow water) and gypsywort (found in marshes and fens or by streams and ditches). *Solanum dulcamara* (nightshade) can be found in woody areas and in damp areas on the banks of swamps. The assemblage above *ca.* -1.33m OD is thus indicative of a fen carr dominated by alder with an understorey of bramble, herbaceous and aquatic taxa growing in or near permanent standing water.

Results and interpretation of the waterlogged wood analysis

Of the 342 fragments examined across both boreholes <NTBH03> (Table 8) and <SSBH1C> (Table 9) 220 were identified as *Alnus glutinosa* (alder), 13 fragments were identified as *Fraxinus excelsior* (ash), 1 fragment as *Salix/Poplus* sp. (willow/poplar) and 1 fragment as *Corylus avellana* (hazel). The majority of the wood identified derived from either twig wood or round wood from small branches. The remaining fragments, including bark fragments, could not be identified and were recorded as indeterminate (107 fragments). No identifiable wood fragments were recorded from the basal organic-rich sediment in borehole <NTBH03>.

The contents of the samples examined are suggestive of accumulated natural debris, comprising bark, twigs and small branches in the location of both boreholes. Alder was consistently the most abundant taxon identified which suggests that it was the principle source of woody debris throughout the period represented by the deposits. The abundance of alder suggests the presence of alder woodland, alongside other taxa tolerant of, or favouring wet soil; in this instance the occasional presence of ash, willow/poplar and hazel. The quality of preservation was generally good. Bark fragments were noticeably larger, and more robust, than twig and round wood fragments – most of which were small and more fragile. Almost all of the wood examined, and the 3 fragments of charcoal, contained fungal hyphae, in some instances in considerable quantities, suggesting prolonged decay at the time of burial.

Table 8: Results of the waterlogged plant macrofossil (seeds) assessment of borehole <NTBH03>, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

Depth (m)VolumeWaterlogged seedseteMaterlogged seedsete1.6 (m.02)(m)Materlogged seeds $Gorrmon nameGorrmon nameG$	Newham and	Greenwic	Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)						
	Depth	Volume	Waterlogged	seeds			Waterlogge	poow p	
1100Rubus sp. Rubus sp.e.g. bramble3Ahnus glutinosa-1010Rubus sp.e.g. bramble6641Raunoucus nigrafracemosaeleter29ypsywort2110Sparganium erectumbur reedi2Ahnus glutinosa4110Sparganium erectumbur reedi2Ahnus glutinosa4110Sambucus nigrafracemosaeleter1Ahnus glutinosa1110Raunoulis repense.g. bramble1Ahnus glutinosa11111Ahnus glutinosaeleter1Ahnus glutinosa111111Raunoulis repenseleter1Ahnus glutinosa1111111Raunoulis repenseleter1Ahnus glutinosaalder211111Ahnus glutinosaeleter1Ahnus glutinosaalder31112Ahnus glutinosaeleter1Ahnus glutinosaalder31113eletere.g. bramble1hindeleterminate21113eletere.g. bramble1hindeleterminate21114eleteralderalderalderalderalderalderalderalderalderalder14fubus sp.e.g. bramble	(m OD)	(ml)	Latin name	Common name	Quantity	Latin name	Common name	Quantity	Comments
Sambucus nigra/racemose elder 6 Fauntculus repens creeping buttercup 6 Spanbucus nigra/racemose ereeping buttercup 6 Lycopas europeeus gypsywort 2 Lycopas europeeus gypsywort 2 Lycopas europeeus gypsywort 2 Sombucus nigra/racemose elder 1 B00 Rubus sp. elder 17 B00 Rubus sp. elger 1 B00 Rubus sp. elger 3 B00 Rubus sp. elger 1 B00	-1.86 to -1.95	1100	Rubus sp.	e.g. bramble	Э	Alnus glutinosa	1	10	
Ranurculus repens creeping buttercup 6 Sparganium erectum bur reed 2 Unidentified apysywort 2 Unidentified - - B00 Rauvus nigra/racemosa elder 1 B00 Rubus sp. e-g. bramble 17 Ainus glutinosa - B00 Rubus sp. ereeping buttercup 2 - 1 B00 Rubus sp. ereeping buttercup 2 - 1 B00 Rubus sp. ereeping buttercup 2 - 1 B00 Rubus sp. ereeping buttercup 2 - 2 B00 Rubus sp. ereeping buttercup 2 - 3 B00 Rubus sp. e.g. bramble 1 - 3 B00 Rubus sp. e.g. bramble 1 - - 3 B00 Rubus sp. e.g. bramble 1 - - - - - B00<			Sambucus nigra/racemosa	elder	9				
Sparganium erectum Lycopus europaeusbur reed2 2 vinicentifiedbur reed2 2 2 $(1 - 1)^{1/2}$ 300 $1 - 1^{1/2}$ $1 - 1^{1/2}$ $1 - 1^{1/2}$ $1^{1/2}$ $1^{1/2}$ $1^{1/2}$ $1^{1/2}$ $1^{1/2}$ $1^{1/2}$ $1^{1/2}$ 800 $Rubus sp.e.91^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}800Rubus sp.e.91^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^{1/2}1^$			Ranunculus repens	creeping buttercup	9				
Lycopus europaeus bunidentifiedgypsywort21Anus glutinosa (C14)alder4300Sambucus nigra/racemosa eldere.g. bramble1Alnus glutinosa14800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble17Alnus glutinosaalder77800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble17Alnus glutinosaalder77800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble17Alnus glutinosaalder77800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Alnus glutinosaalder77800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Alnus glutinosaalder77800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Alnus glutinosaalder77800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Alnus glutinosaalder17800Pundesennasalderalder1777800Pundesennasalderalder1777800Pundesennasalder111177800Pundesennaalder1111111800Pundesennaalder11111111800Pundesenna1Pundeterminate111111111800Pundesen2Pundeterminate2111<			Sparganium erectum	bur reed	2				
Unidentified-2111300Sambucus nigra/racemosaelder1Alnus glutinosaelder4800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble17Alnus glutinosaalder77800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble17Alnus glutinosaalder77800Rubus sp.creeping buttercup2Indeterminate-37800Rubus sp.creeping buttercup1Alnus glutinosaalder77600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Fraxinus excelsiorash21600Pubus sp.e.g. bramble1Fraxinus excelsiorash21600Pubus sp.e.g. bramble1Fraxinus excelsiorash21700Alnus glutinosaalder31700111700111700111700117001170011700117001 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Lycopus europaeus</td> <td>gypsywort</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			Lycopus europaeus	gypsywort	2				
300Sambucus nigrafacemosa a leterelder1 $Alnus glutinosa (C14)$ alder 4 800Rubus sp. Raunculus repens Sambucus nigrafacemosa b ushe.g. bramble17 1 $Indeterminate11800Rubus sp.Raunculus repensSambucus nigrafacemosab ushe.g. bramble17Alnus glutinosaa lader111111800Rubus sp.c t. Juncus sp.to t. Juncus sp.e.g. bramble11Alnus glutinosaa lader211600Rubus sp.c t. Juncus sp.e.g. bramble1III2111600Rubus sp.c t. Juncus sp.e.g. bramble1III$			Unidentified	1	2				
800 $Rubus sp.$ $e.g. bramble17Indeterminate 1800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble17Alnus glutinosaalder7800Ranunculus repense.g. bramble17Alnus glutinosaalder7600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Alnus glutinosaalder7600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Fraxinus excelsionash2600 Alnus glutinosaalder2600 Alnus glutinosaalder3700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 -$	-1.95 to -2.00	300	Sambucus nigra/racemosa	elder	-	Alnus glutinosa (C14)	alder	4	Including x1 & twigwood
800 $Rubus sp.$ $e.g. bramble17Indeterminate 1800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble17Alnus glutinosaalder78nunculus repenscreeping buttercup2Indeterminate 3Sambucus nigariacemosaelder1Indeterminate 3600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Fraxinus excelsiorash2600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Indeterminate 2600 Alnus glutinosaalder2600 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 -<$									ca. 3 years old x4
800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble17Ahus glutinosaalder7 $Ranucuus repenscreeping buttercup2Indeterminate3Sambucus nigra/racemosaelder1Fraxinus excelsiorash2Sambucus nigra/racemosaelder1Fraxinus excelsiorash2Sambucus nigra/racemosae.g. bramble1Fraxinus excelsiorash2600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Fraxinus excelsiorash2600Alnus glutinosaalder3700Alnus glutinosaalder1700Alnus glutinosaalder3700Alnus glutinosaalder1700Alnus glutinosaalder1700Alnus glutinosaalder1700Alnus glutinosaalder1700700700700700700<$						Indeterminate	ı	~	Bark
Rarunculus repens or funcuous nigra/racemosa of Juncus sp.creeping buttercup2Indeterminate-3600 $efterof Juncus sp.1Fraxinus excelsiorindeterminateash23600-e.g. bramble1Fraxinus excelsiorindeterminateash23600Alnus glutinosaindeterminatealder77700Alnus glutinosaindeterminatealder77700Alnus glutinosaindeterminatealder77700Alnus glutinosaindeterminatealder77700Alnus glutinosaindeterminatealder77700Alnus glutinosaalderalder77700Alnus glutinosaalderalder7770027002700700700700700$	-2.00 to -2.15	800	Rubus sp.	e.g. bramble	17	Alnus glutinosa	alder	7	x3 bark fragments
Sambucus nigrafacemosa of $Juncus sp.$ elder tush1Praxinus excelsior tushash2600 $Puhus sp.$ e.g. bramble1 $Fraxinus excelsiortubut sp.ash2600- tubus sp.e.g. bramble1Praxinus excelsiortubut sp.ash2600- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.600- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.700- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.700- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.600Rubus sp.- sp. tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.- tubus sp.600Rubus sp.- tubus sp.$			Ranunculus repens	creeping buttercup	2	Indeterminate	ı	3	
cf. Juncus sp.tushtushtushtushtushtushtush 600 $E.g. huncus sp.$ $e.g. hramble1Fraxinus excelsiorash2600 600 700 700 700 100Alnus glutinosaalder3 400Alnus glutinosaalder3 -$			Sambucus nigra/racemosa	elder	-				
600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1 <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> ash2600Minus glutinosaalder3700Minus glutinosaalder3700Minus glutinosaalder3700Minus glutinosaalder3700Minus glutinosaalder3700Minus glutinosaalder37007700Minus glutinosaalder3700Minus glutinosaalder3700Minus glutinosaalder3800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Minus glutinosaalder8400Nunus sp.e.g. bramble5Minus glutinosa800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Minus glutinosaalder58800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Minus glutinosaalder58800Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Minus glutinosa1800Rubus sp.ssssssss800Rubus sp. <td></td> <td></td> <td>cf. Juncus sp.</td> <td>rush</td> <td>7</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			cf. Juncus sp.	rush	7				
(600) $ Ahus glutinosa$ $ 8$ (600) $ Ahus glutinosa$ $alder$ 3 700 $ Ahus glutinosa$ $alder$ 3 700 $ Ahus glutinosaalder3700 Ahus glutinosaalder3250 400Ahus glutinosaalder3 400Ahus glutinosaalder3 Abiaceae Ahus sp.e.g. bramble1 Ahus sp.e.g. bramble5Ahus glutinosaalder Ahus sp. Ahus sp. Ahus sp. Ahus sp. Ahus sp.$	-2.15 to -2.25	600	Rubus sp.	e.g. bramble	-	Fraxinus excelsior	ash	7	I
(600) $ Alnus glutinosaalder3700 Alnus glutinosaalder3700 Alnus glutinosaalder3700 Alnus glutinosaalder3250 400Alnus glutinosaalder3 400Alnus glutinosaalder3 400Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1 600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Alnus glutinosaalder2 600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Alnus glutinosaalder2400 600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Alnus glutinosaalder2400 600Rubus sp. 400 600 -$						Indeterminate	I	ø	Hardwood, x4 bark
600 $ -$ <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>fragments</td></th<>									fragments
7007700Alnus glutinosaalder1700Alnus glutinosaalder1250Alnus glutinosaalder325066400Alnus glutinosaalder36400Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1600Rubus sp.carrot family2Alnus glutinosaalder8610Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Alnus glutinosaalder8600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Alnus glutinosa84600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Alnus glutinosa844002540025	-2.25 to -2.34	600	-	1	ı	Alnus glutinosa	alder	3	I
700Anus glutinosaalder125062506400Anus glutinosaalder36400Anus glutinosaalder3400Anus glutinosaalder3400Anus glutinosaalder1600Rubus sp.carrot family2Anus glutinosaalder8600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Anus glutinosaalder840024005Anus glutinosa8400240022						Indeterminate	ı	7	x3 bark fragments
250-cf Fraxinus excelsionash325062506400Alnus glutinosaalder3400Alnus glutinosaalder3400Alnus sp.e.g. bramble1Apiaceaecarrot family2Alnus glutinosaalder8600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Alnus glutinosaalder840024005	-2.34 to -2.45	700	I	1	I	Alnus glutinosa	alder	~	1
250 - Indeterminate - 6 250 - - - 6 6 400 Ahus glutinosa alder 3 - - - 6 400 Ahus glutinosa alder 3 - - - - - 400 Ahus sp. e.g. bramble 1 -						cf Fraxinus excelsior	ash	3	I
250 $ 400$ Ahus glutinosaalder 3 $ 400$ Ahus glutinosae.g. bramble 1 $ 600$ Rubus sp.e.g. bramble 5 Ahus glutinosaalder 8 600 Rubus sp.e.g. bramble 5 Ahus glutinosaalder 8 400 $ -$						Indeterminate	ı	9	x6 bark fragments
400 Alnus glutinosa alder 3 -	-2.45 to -2.53	250	1	1	1	1	1	I	1
Rubus sp.e.g. bramble1Apiaceaecarrot family2600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5700 </td <td>-2.56 to -2.63</td> <td>400</td> <td>Alnus glutinosa</td> <td>alder</td> <td>Э</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>I</td>	-2.56 to -2.63	400	Alnus glutinosa	alder	Э	1	1	1	I
Apiaceaecarrot family2Anus glutinosaalder8600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Alnus glutinosaalder8400Anus glutinosaalder5			Rubus sp.	e.g. bramble	-				
600Rubus sp.e.g. bramble5Alnus glutinosaalder840022			Apiaceae	carrot family	2				
400 - - Alnus glutinosa alder 5	-2.63 to -2.72		Rubus sp.	e.g. bramble	5	Alnus glutinosa	alder	8	I
400 - Alnus glutinosa alder						Indeterminate	I	2	x2 bark fragments
	-2.72 to -2.80	400	-	ı	1	Alnus glutinosa	alder	5	

© AOC Archaeology 2012 | PAGE 63 | www.aocarchaeology.com

					Indeterminate		5	x10 bark fragments.
-2.82 to -2.92	1050	1	1	1	Alnus glutinosa	alder	10	1
-2.98 to -3.08	550	Lycopus europaeus	gypsywort	-	Alnus glutinosa	alder	6	1
		Alnus glutinosa catkin	alder	44	cf Fraxinus excelsior	ash	, -	
		Alnus glutinosa fruit	alder	ი ი				
		Corylus avellana nut shell	hazel (fragments)	40 40				
		Sambucus nigralracemosa	elder	10				
		Rubus sp.	e.g. bramble	4				
		Rumex/Polygonum sp.	dock/sorrel/knotweed	2				
		cf. Ranunculus repens	creeping buttercup					
-3.08 to -3.18	800	Alnus glutinosa catkin	alder	21	Alnus glutinosa	alder	6	
		cf. Quercus robur/Corylus	oak/hazel	12	Indeterminate	ı	-	
		avellana nut shell						
		(fragments)						
		Rubus sp.	bramble	ю				
		Solanum sp.	nightshade	-				
-3.18 to -3.28	500	Alnus glutinosa catkin	alder	11	Alnus glutinosa	alder	4	1
		Alnus glutinosa fruit	alder	7 5	Indeterminate		9	x6 bark fragments.
		cf. Corylus avellana nut	hazel	-				
-4.24 to -4.31	006	Alnus glutinosa catkin	Alder	18	Alnus glutinosa	alder	7	
		Ranunculus repens	creeping buttercup	9	Indeterminate	ı	ი	x3 bark fragments
		cf. Poaceae	grass family	-				
		Lycopus europaeus	gypsywort	-				
		Unidentified		-				
-4.31 to -4.45	125	Ranunculus repens	creeping buttercup	2	Alnus glutinosa	alder	5	-
					Indeterminate		5	x5 bark fragments
-4.68 to -4.76	200	Lycopus sp.	gypsywort	-	Alnus glutinosa	alder	2	
		Unidentifiable (fragment)	-	1	Indeterminate	I	3	x3 bark fragments
-4.80 to -4.95	1300	cf. Apiaceae	carrot family	2	I	ı	ı	
		cf. Juncus sp.	rush	1				
-5.53 to -5.62	400	Alnus glutinosa catkin	alder	6	I	ı	ı	I
		Alnus glutinosa fruit	alder	6				

© AOC Archaeology 2012 | PAGE 64 | www.aocarchaeology.com

		Rumex/Polygonum sp.	dock/sorrel/knotweed	18				
		Ranunculus cf. repens	creeping buttercup	~				
	-	Lycopus europaeus	gypsywort	15				
	-	Apiaceae	carrot family	, -				
		Unidentifiable (fragments)	ı	З				
-5.63 to -5.68 200		Rumex/Polygonum sp.	sp. dock/sorrel/knotweed	15	Indeterminate	1	5	
		(C14)						

Table 9: Res Boroughs of	sults of th Newham	Table 9: Results of the waterlogged plant macrofossil (seeds and Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)	rofossil (seeds and CAC11)		wood) assessment of borehole <ssbh1c>, London Cable Car London</ssbh1c>	<ssbh1c>, Lor</ssbh1c>	ndon Cable	Car London
Depth	Volume	Waterlogged seeds	seeds			Waterlogged wood	d wood	
(m OD)	(ml)	Latin name	Common name	Quantity	Latin name	Common name	Quantity	Comments
-0.78 to -0.88	550	1	1		Alnus glutinosa	alder	10	Including x1 twig: <i>ca.</i> 2 years; <i>ca.</i> 3mm diameter
-0.88 to -0.98	1100	Rubus sp. Sambucus nigra/racemosa Alnus glutinosa catkin Ranunculus repens Lycopus europaeus Sparganium erectum Unidentified	bramble elder alder creeping buttercup gypsywort bur reed	- 0 0 4 4	Alnus glutinosa	alder	10	
-0.98 to -1.03	100	<i>Rubus</i> sp. <i>Ranunculus</i> cf. <i>repens</i> <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> Unidentified	e.g. bramble creeping buttercup alder -	- m m -	Alnus glutinosa (C14) Indeterminate	alder -	5 3	- Bark
-1.03 to -1.09	300	None			Alnus glutinosa	alder	10	I
-1.09 to -1.14	400	Unidentified	1	~	Alnus glutinosa Indeterminate	alder -	9 +	- x1 bark fragment
-1.14 to -1.24	500	<i>Rubus</i> sp. <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> catkin <i>Corylus avellana</i> nut shell (fragments)	e.g. bramble alder hazel	, ∞ ω	Alnus glutinosa Charcoal fragments Alnus glutinosa cf Fraxinus excelsior	alder alder ash	10 2 1	
-1.24 to -1.33	950	Alnus glutinosa catkin Rubus sp. cf. Corylus avellana Polygonum sp. Lycopus europaeus Solanum sp. cf. Carex sp.	alder bramble hazel knotweed gypsywort nightshade sedge		Alnus glutinosa Corylus avellana Indeterminate		ر ک ح	- x4 bark fragments

	1		1	I	x5 bark fragments.		I	1	including x1 bark fragment	1	x1 hardwood, x3 bark fragments.	1	1	x5 bark fragments	Including x4 twig wood.	x2 bark fragments.						x10 bark fragments.	1		I	I	Including. x1 twig: ca. 3	years; ca. 8mm	diameter. Verv narrow growth	rings (7+).
	10		4	-	5		2	2	9	5	5	2	~	7	80	2					10	10	5		10	10	3		2	
	alder		alder	willow/poplar	ı		alder	ash	1	alder	I	alder	ash		alder	I					alder	I	alder		alder	alder	alder		ash	
	Alnus glutinosa)	Alnus glutinosa	cf Salix/Populus sp.	Indeterminate		Alnus glutinosa	cf Fraxinus excelsior	Indeterminate	Alnus glutinosa	Indeterminate	Alnus glutinosa	Fraxinus excelsior	Indeterminate	Alnus glutinosa	Indeterminate					Alnus glutinosa	Indeterminate	Alnus glutinosa		Alnus glutinosa	Alnus glutinosa	Alnus glutinosa		Fraxinus excelsior	
-	10	19	6	. 		1	I					7		-	-	-		. 		~	2	~	~		~	5	5	, -		
	alder	alder	alder	cf. creeping	buttercup	1	I					bramble	creeping buttercup		e.g. bramble	alder	alder	cf. creeping	buttercup	knotweed	alder	e.g. bramble	hazel		bramble	alder	alder	cf. creeping	buttercup	
Unidentified	Alnus glutinosa fruit	i	Alnus glutinosa catkin	(0)		Unidentified	1			1		Rubus sp.	Ranunculus cf. renens		Rubus sp.	Alnus glutinosa fruit	catkin	Ranunculus cf. repens		Polygonum sp.	Alnus glutinosa catkin	Rubus sp.	Corylus avellana nut shell	(fragment)	Rubus sp.	Alnus glutinosa catkin	Alnus glutinosa catkin	Ranunculus cf. repens		
	1200		450				800			600		1400			500						500	550	200		800	800	350			
	-1.33 to -1.48		-1.48 to -1.60				-1.60 to -1.70			-1.70 to -1.82		-1.82 to -1.98			-2.01 to -2.08						-2.09 to -2.15	-2.15 to -2.22	-2.26 to -2.32		-2.32 to -2.41	-2.41 to -2.48	-2.50 to -2.56			

© AOC Archaeology 2012 | PAGE 67 | www.aocarchaeology.com

					Indeterminate	1	5	x1 hardwood, x4 bark
								fragments.
-2.58 to -2.66 800	800	Alnus glutinosa catkin	alder	2	Alnus glutinosa	alder	5	1
					Indeterminate	ı	5	x5 bark fragments
-2.75 to -2.85 700	700	Rubus sp.	bramble	1	Alnus glutinosa	alder	6	1
					Fraxinus excelsior	ash	-	ı
-3.01 to -3.06 200	200	1	-		Alnus glutinosa (C14)	alder	5	
-3.01 to -3.06	200	1	1			alder		5

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE INSECT ANALYSIS

<NTBH03>

Following extraction and rapid assessment, insect remains were recorded in only three samples (Table 11).

-1.86 to -1.95m OD

This sample yielded only one identifiable species, the rove beetle *Lesteva longelytrata* which lives in wet mosses by streams and in bogs.

-2.54 to -2.34m OD

This sample yielded five identifiable taxa. The only water beetle is *Hydraena britteni*. This beetle lives in both running and standing water with grasses and mosses. The other specimens could only be identified to the genus level. The ground beetle genus *Trechus* includes species that live in damp habitats, dry open ground, and meadows. The rove beetle genus *Olophrum* includes species that live in damp habitats, leaf litter, mosses, and various riparian habitats. The rove beetle genus *Atheta* includes species that live in damp to wet leaf litter. Species of the dung beetle genus *Aphodius* mostly feed on herbivore dung.

-3.08 to -3.17m OD

This sample yielded two rove beetle specimens that could only be identified to the genus level. The rove beetle genus *Olophrum* includes species that live in damp habitats, leaf litter, mosses, and various riparian habitats. Many species of the rove beetle genus *Tachinus* live in rotting vegetation or fungi.

<SSBH1C>

Following extraction and rapid assessment, insect remains were recorded in eight samples (Table 10).

-0.88 to -0.98m OD

This sample contained just two identified taxa, the water scavenger beetle *Hydraena britteni* and the riparian rove beetle *Stenus*. The former lives in grassy streams in fens and bogs. The latter is a group of beetles that live along the margins of running and standing water.

-1.24 to -1.33m OD

The aquatic element of this small faunal assemblage includes three taxa: the water scavenger beetles *Cercyon* and *Hydraena britteni*. Most species of *Cercyon* live in shallow standing water with rich aquatic vegetation. *Hydraena britenni* lives in both running and

standing water with grasses and mosses. The aquatic leaf beetles in the genus *Plateumaris* mostly live in shallow water reed swamp environments. The rove beetles *Arpedium quadrum* and *Lesteva longelytrata* both live in wet vegetation. The former is found in swamps and damp leaf litter and the latter live in wet mosses by streams and in bogs. The riparian rove beetle *Stenus* is a group of beetles that live along the margins of running and standing water. *Lesteva longelytrata* lives in wet mosses by streams and in bogs. The weevil *Kissophagus hederae* feeds under the bark of *Hedera* (ivy) and has been found in alder carr habitats. The other two taxa are both associated with dung and rotting vegetation. These include the rove beetle *Oxytelus sculptus* and the dung beetle *Aphodius*. Many species of *Aphodius* feed on herbivore dung.

-1.33 to -1.48m OD

This sample contained just five identified taxa. The water scavenger beetle *Hydraena britteni* lives in grassy streams in fens and bogs. *Lesteva longelytrata* is a rove beetle that lives in wet mosses by streams and in bogs. Most species of the rove beetle genus *Atheta* live in damp to wet leaf litter. The riparian rove beetle *Stenus* is a group of beetles that live along the margins of running and standing water. The bark beetle *Leperisinus fraxini*, as the name implies, lives under the bark of ash trees.

-1.82 to -1.91m OD

This sample yielded only one identifiable insect, the water scavenger beetle *Coelostma orbiculare*. This species lives in well vegetated standing water in fens and marshes. The only other fossil identified was the remains of the water flea *Daphnia*. These live in pools, ponds and lakes.

-2.30 to -2.41m OD

The only taxon identified from this sample is the water scavenger beetle *Hydraena riparia*. This species lives in both running and standing water where there is rich vegetation.

-2.41 to -2.48m OD

This sample yielded only two identifiable species, the water scavenger beetle *Hydraena britteni* and the weevil *Tachyerges stigma*. The former lives in grassy streams in fens and bogs. The latter is found on the banks of swamps, fens and bogs, where it feeds on hazel, birch, and willows.

-2.58 to -2.66m OD

This sample yielded only one identifiable species, the ground beetle *Pterostichus minor*. This predator is found in damp habitats, such as marshes, bogs and fens.

		J (10) F (.						5			
		<ntbh03></ntbh03>	H03>					<sse< th=""><th><ssbh1c></ssbh1c></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></sse<>	<ssbh1c></ssbh1c>			
	-1.86	-2.54	-2.82	-3.08	-1.24	-0.88	-1.24	-1.33	-1.82	-2.30	-2.41	-2.58
	to -	to -	to -	to -	to -	to -	to -	to -	to -	to -		to -
Depth (m OD)	1.95	2.34	2.95	3.17	1.33	0.98	1.33	1.48	1.91	2.41	2.48	2.66
COLEOPTERA												
Carabidae												
Trechus sp.		~										
Pterostichus minor (Gyll.)												~
Hydrophilidae												
Coelostma orbiculare F.									~			
Cercyon sp.					-							
Hydraenidae												
Hydraena britteni Joy		~			-	-	~	~			~	
Hydraena ripariaKug.										1		
Staphylinidae												
Arpedium quadrum (Grav.)					1							
Lesteva longelytrata (Goeze)	1				2		1	1				
Olophrum sp.		1		1								
<i>Tachinus</i> sp.				1								
Oxytelus sculptus Grav.					1							
<i>Atheta</i> sp.		1						1				
Stenus spp.					1	1	1	2				
Scarabaeidae												
Aphodius sp.		2			1							
Chrysomelidae												
Plateumaris sp.					1							
Curculionidae												

Table 10: Results of the insect analysis, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

ges stigma (Germ.) ges stigma (Germ.) agus hederae t) 1 t) 1 t) 1 t) 1 tinus cf. fraxini (Panz 1 fERA 1 data 1 ata 1 <					-	-		-	-	-	-	-		
I (Germ.) I (Germ.) hederae 1 ini (Panz 1 ini (Panz 1 ini 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>														
I (Germ.) I (Germ.) hederae 1 ini (Panz 1 ini (Panz 1 ini 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>														
h (Germ.) hederae ini (Panz			~											
h (Germ.) hederae ini (Panz		-												
(O						Ļ			Ļ			Ļ		
(O														
(O														
(O														
	Tachyerges stigma (Germ.)	Kissophagus hederae (Schmitt)	Leperisinus cf. fraxini (Panz	HEMIPTERA	Lygaeidae	Genus et sp. Indet	TRICHOPERA	Limnephilidae	Genus et sp indet	HYMENOPTERA	Formicidae	Gen et sp indet	CRUSTACEA	

DISCUSSION

Landscape evolution

Several distinct phases of landscape evolution can be recognised along the Cable Car route during Late Glacial and Holocene times. At the base of the sequence overlying the London Clay, a thick unit of sand and gravel is recorded across the site representative of a high energy braided river system (the Shepperton Gravel), laid down on the valley floor of the River Thames at the end of the Late Glacial period (Marine Isotope Stage 2, Late Devensian, ca. 16,000 to 11,500 cal BP). The valley floor was characterised by longitudinal gravel bars separated by channels in which some finer grained deposition of sand and silt had also taken place (Bridgland et al., 1995; Gibbard, 1994, 1995). The relief on this surface is generally around 2-3m and across much of the southern side of the River Thames on the Cable Car route lies uniformly between -2.25m and -3.45m OD. In the centre of the River Thames, this surface rather unsurprisingly decreases to below -10m OD; however, on the north bank of the river, the gravel surface is more variable generally ranging between -2.5m and nearly -6.00m OD. These variations are arranged such as to suggest a topographic high point (gravel 'eyot'), broadly comparable to that of the Bermondsey and Horseleydown gravel 'highs' (eyots) in the area of the North Intermediate Tower. This gravel island appears to continue to the west, with a similar height of -2.5m recorded within Wilkinson et al's (2000) borehole at West Silvertown. In addition, investigations even further west (see Figure 1) at the Royal Docks Community School (Holder, 1988) and Royal Albert Dock (Batchelor, 2009) indicate a gravel surface above -2.5m OD, whilst to the north and south of these sites, the Gravel surface decreases to between -3.5m OD (e.g. Golfers Driving Range; Batchelor, 2009) and -5.0m OD (e.g. North Woolwich Pumping Station; Sidell, 2003). The sequence from borehole <NTBH03> therefore appears to represent a sequence from a deep channel which borders a gravel high point.

During the Early Holocene, the main course of the River Thames probably began to be confined to a single meandering channel, and the surface of the Shepperton Gravel was progressively buried beneath Alluvium or Peat under the influence of generally rising relative sea level. Sedimentation largely occurred earliest within deeper areas of the Shepperton Gravel, and migrated upwards and outwards. Therefore, the earliest record of Holocene sediment is in borehole <NTBH03> where accumulation began above -5.88m OD (sometime before 10,740-10,510 cal BP). However, this date is between *ca.* 1000 and 2000 years later than accumulation began at West Silvertown (12,800-11,690 cal BP; Wilkinson *et al.*, 2000), an occurrence which is not entirely understood, since accumulation at West Silvertown began at a much higher elevation than in <NTBH03>, and the vegetation history suggested by the new record is analogous to it and sequences such as Bramcote Green (Thomas and Rackham, 1996). One possible explanation is that the sediment recorded at the base of the <NTBH03> is not entirely *in situ*, having been derived from further upstream, or from the banks of the channel. However, this explanation also seems floored as the

pollen assemblage is in stratigraphic order. This conundrum is only likely to be solved by additional radiocarbon dates.

Above this, in borehole <NTBH03>, tufa-rich sand deposits accumulated prior to a brief period of semi-terrestrial peat accumulation and renewal of inorganic sedimentation. This horizon of Peat was dated to 6850-6670 cal BP, which once again seems unlikely since the pollen assemblage indicates the dominance of pine woodland just prior to this, which at West Silvertown was an event dated between 12,080-11230 and 11,035-10,290 cal BP. It is possible therefore that there is a hiatus before and after deposition of the tufa-rich sands and peat accumulation; however, as with the lowermost radiocarbon determination, it is likely that only additional radiocarbon dates can provide clarification. Within these lowermost units from borehole <NTBH03>, diatoms were unfortunately absent and thus the hydrological history is uncertain, but in the inorganic sediment that capped the first Peat horizon, pollen and diatom remains indicate an estuarine influence and the nearby growth of saline taxa.

In both boreholes analysed, the Shepperton Gravel and lower generally inorganic Alluvium is overlain by a thick horizon of Peat, representative of a transition to sediment accumulation in a semi-terrestrial environment. Across all the Cable Car boreholes, this horizon varied in thickness from 2.43m in borehole NTBH02 on the north side of the river to 0.92m in borehole B4 on the south side, with an average thickness of 1.60m. The combined programme of radiocarbon dating suggests that Peat formation probably commenced between 5000 and 6000 cal BP and continued until around 3000 cal BP. However, the date of initiation varied reflecting the surface of the underlying topography, and probably distance from the river. Unfortunately, there once again appears to be an unexplained radiocarbon dating discrepancy, this time in borehole <SSBH1C>. However, the initiation of peat accumulation in the Lower Thames Valley is generally attributed to hydrological changes consequent of continually rising sea level, and this is likely to also be the case within sequences along the Cable Car route. The archaeobotanical and zooarchaeological records also indicate that the peat formed in a largely freshwater environment, which is analogous to other sites in this area of the Lower Thames Valley at the time.

The cessation of Peat formation around 3000 cal BP was followed by the accumulation of the 'Upper Alluvium'. This date is analogous to other sites in the Lower Thames Valley, although the difference in elevation for the top of the peat between boreholes <SSBH1C> and <NTBH03> is >1m. The cessation of peat formation is attributed to an increase in the rate of relative sea level rise which outstripped peat formation causing inundation. Archaeobotanical and zooarchaelogical remains provide strong evidence that the site came under estuarine influence at this time.

Vegetation history

As discussed above, the radiocarbon-dated palaeoenvironmental records from the London Cable Car are not entirely contemporaneous and thus do not contain the same record of vegetation history. Borehole <NTBH03> contains the longest record of vegetation history, spanning from at least 10,740-10,510 to 3030-2870 cal BP, which incorporates the Mesolithic to Bronze Age cultural periods. Borehole <SSBH1C> contains a shorter sequence from spanning from 5850-5310 to 3380-3210 cal BP, which equates to the Neolithic to Bronze Age cultural periods.

The radiocarbon dated palaeoenvironmental record from borehole <NTBH03> indicates that LPAZ BH3-1 can be equated to the Early Mesolithic, although radiocarbon dates from nearby West Silvertown would suggest an earlier Late Devensian date. During this period, grasses, sedges and other herbaceous taxa typical of grasslands dominated the wetland environment with birch and pine woodland either growing nearby, or as part of the regional vegetation. This assemblage is indicative of cold weather conditions, however, towards the latter half of the zone, increases in trees such as alder, lime and elm suggest a transition towards warmer conditions. Despite the difference in chronology, the new record shares two particular characteristics with the West Silvertown sequence: (1) the lack of juniper (Juniperus) pollen, and (2) the early occurrence of alder. Juniperus has been recorded in other Late Glacial deposits from the London region such as Bramcote Green (Thomas and Rackham, 1996) and Enfield Lock (Chambers et al., 1996). Scaife (in Wilkinson et al., 2000), suggest that this absence may be due to the rapid occurrence of pine within the Silvertown region. Similarly, in previous studies Lower Thames Valley pollen analytical studies (e.g. Devoy, 1979), alder is considered unlikely to have been growing within the region until at least 8500 BP. However, this now seems unlikely due to the occurrence of alder pollen and seeds in both <NTBH03> and at West Silvertown from shortly after 10,740-10,510 cal BP (Thomas and Rackham, 1996), together with the recording of earlier alder macrofossils at Bramcote Green and Ponders End in the Lea Valley (Godwin, 1964; Reid, 1916), as well as earlier occurrences recorded within other lowland wetland environments across southern England (e.g. Waller, 1993; 1998).

The transition to LPAZ BH3-2 is characterised by the dominance of pine, an occurrence not reflected in the plant macrofossil record, but can only be representative of onsite woodland. As discussed above, this peak was dated to 6850-6670 cal BP (Late Mesolithic/Early Neolithic), a date far later than the Early Holocene age recorded at West Silvertown (between 12,080-11230 and 11,035-10,290 cal BP) and other sites in London such as Bramcote Green (Thomas and Rackham, 1996) for this occurrence. Above this, the new archaeobotanical record indicates a transition towards alder and willow woodland dominating the floodplain vegetation cover with a range of brambles, grasses, sedges, ferns and aquatics. This assemblage of plants is indicative of a damp

surface incorporating standing water habitats such (e.g. ponds). On the nearby dryland, mixed deciduous woodland and hazel shrubland dominated with lime and oak, and probably ash, elm, birch and pine. These warmth-loving trees, especially elm and lime, became established during a period of Early Holocene climatic amelioration, forming a mixed deciduous forest ecosystem. This forest would have been present throughout the Lower Thames Valley, and probably formed excellent areas for human occupation during the Mesolithic/Neolithic cultural periods, with rich plant and animal resources, including hazel nuts and acorns, and probably *Cervus elaphus* (red deer) and *Bos primigenius* (auroch) (see Thomas and Rackham, 1996; Sidell *et al.*, 2002).

LPAZ BH3-4 is approximately contemporaneous with LPAZ's BH1C-1 and 2. In both sequences, this equates to the main period of Peat accumulation which spans from between *ca*. 6000 to *ca*. 3000 cal BP (Neolithic to Bronze Age cultural periods). During this period, alder continued to dominate on the wetland, whilst mixed deciduous woodland grew on the dryland. However, this period incorporates three important events: (1) the decline of elm (recorded in the <NTBH03> sequence only; (2) the colonisation and decline of yew, and (3) a peak in lime values.

The elm decline

The radiocarbon-dated pollen-stratigraphical record from <NTBH03> indicates a pronounced decline in elm woodland at *ca.* 6290-6030 cal BP, whilst the sequence from <SSBH1C> post-dates the decline. This broadly synchronous, pan-European event was arguably the most significant change in woodland composition and structure during around this time, and started in the British Isles between *ca.* 6343 and 6307 cal yr BP (a period of 36 years), and ended between *ca.* 5420 and 5290 cal yr BP (Parker *et al.*, 2002). The reasons for the decline of elm have been of great debate over the years with the following hypotheses made: (1) climate change to cooler conditions (e.g. Smith, 1981); (2) soil deterioration due to e.g. Mesolithic burning (Peglar and Birks, 1993), or waterlogging and peat formation (paludification; Waller, 1994a); (3) competitive exclusion (e.g. Huntley and Birks, 1983; Peglar and Birks, 1993); (4) human interference with natural vegetation (e.g. Scaife, 1988; Lamb and Thompson, 2005), and (5) Dutch elm disease (e.g. Perry and Moore, 1987; Girling, 1988). The two most strongly argued causes for the decline are human interference with natural vegetation succession and Dutch elm disease, with a combination of the two, the most likely cause.

The argument for human activity centres on the fact that the decline in *Ulmus* pollen is contemporaneous with the transition from the Mesolithic to Neolithic cultural period and is often accompanied by palynological and/or coleopteran evidence for temporary episodes of clearance for cultivation and animal husbandry (e.g. Scaife, 1988; Wilkinson, 1988; Girling and Grieg, 1985). However, the evidence for a human caused decline is circumstantial with no definitive

archaeological proof for the exploitation of *Ulmus* (Garbett, 1981; Rasmussen, 1989a,b), and arguments that the human population at this time would have been too small to cause a long-term reduction in woodland (e.g. Moe and Rackham, 1992).

Elm disease is caused by the fungus *Ophiostoma* (*Ceratocystis*) *ulmi* which is carried by the beetle *Scolytus scolytus*. The discovery of these insects at or near to a decline in elm pollen at sites such as Hampstead Heath (Girling, 1988; Girling and Grieg, 1985) and Red Moss of Candyglirach near Aberdeen (Clark and Edwards, 2004) is widely regarded as strong indication that disease was the main cause of the Neolithic elm decline. Further support for this hypothesis has been provided by the discovery of microscopic anatomical features in elm wood analogous to those found in modern diseased trees (Rasmussen and Christensen, 1997), and by rapid, large-scale declines in elm in both recent and Middle Holocene pollen-stratigraphic records (Perry and Moore, 1987; Peglar and Birks, 1993). However, despite the support for this hypothesis conclusive evidence for a disease-caused Holocene decline remains absent.

It is for this reason that the elm decline is considered most likely to have been caused by the interaction of human activity and disease. Whether farming facilitated the spread of the disease by creating opportunities for its easier transmission through woodland (for example the pollarding of elm branches would have produced cuts within the tree, reducing its natural defences, and allowing the direct attack of insects; Austin, pers comm.), or whether disease created woodland glades suitable for cultivation, or pastoralism, may have varied spatially.

The latest evidence from the Lower Thames Valley are two new records of the presence of *Scolytus scolytus* at Horton Kirby and Old Seager Distillery (Batchelor *et al.*, in prep). Both sites also contain elm pollen and waterlogged wood, whilst the latter site contains flint artefacts. These are unique sites, and when combined with other sites in the Lower Thames Valley, suggest that human activity was the initial factor allowing the spread of disease (Batchelor *et al.*, in prep). However, within the <NTBH03> sequence, the decline coincides with peat accumulation, and thus at this site it is more likely to be paludification (the expansion of wetland; Waller, 1994a) that caused the decline of elm. The expansion of wetland onto areas of former dry ground causing the retreat of elm away from the site of deposition.

The colonisation and decline of yew

The pollen-stratigraphic records indicate that yew became a component of the alder dominated wetland woodland in the nearby vicinity of both boreholes after the elm decline. At both sites, the concentration of pollen is low, and no waterlogged wood was recorded. However, there are a number of species specific factors that may result in a limited pollen concentration despite its onsite or nearby growth. Firstly, there is some debate as to the relationship between yew pollen

values and the quantity of trees they represent (e.g. Andersen, 1970, 1973, 1975; Bradshaw, 1981; Mitchell, 1988). Secondly the tree does not reach sexual maturity until it is 70 years of age; therefore the expansion of yew within the new pollen-stratigraphic record will lag behind its colonisation of the floodplain, and its presence will go undetected if it dies before this time, as at some sites (e.g. Seel, 2001). Thirdly, the tree is dioecious, and therefore its presence may go completely unrecorded (Thomas and Polwart, 2003). However, several other sites have also demonstrated the growth of yew-alder dominated woodland at this time in the Lower Thames Valley (e.g. Seel, 2001, Branch *et al.,* in prep, Batchelor, 2009), as well as elsewhere in the British Isles (Godwin, 1940; Waller, 1994b), Ireland (Mitchell, 1990) and continental Europe (Deforce and Bastiaens, 2007). In particular, yew wood and high pollen concentration have been recorded at a number of sites in the Newham area, although the recording of pollen at <SSBH1C> represents a new finding from this area of the Lower Thames Valley.

The growth of yew on peat at this time is important for two reasons:

- 1. *Palaeoecology*. The modern day ecology of yew is for dry and basic conditions such as chalk downland and limestone geology (Thomas and Polwart, 2003). Its occurrence on peat during the Middle Holocene is therefore somewhat surprising.
- Culture. Yew is of great cultural significance and has been utilised from the Palaeolithic through to the modern day. The prehistoric importance of yew is demonstrated by its use in: (i) creating weapons and tools such as spears, swords, bows, knives and musical pipes (e.g. Clark, 1963; Coles *et al.*, 1978; Gowen, 2004, Sheridan, 2005), and (ii) constructing trackways, platforms and boats (Coles and Hibbert, 1968; Coles *et al.*, 1978; Wright *et al.*, 1965, 2001).

Recent investigations aimed at increasing our knowledge and understanding of the palaeoecology of yew indicate that it colonised and declined from the wetland surface between 5000 and 4000 cal BP, with very few occurrences of pollen or waterlogged wood being recorded outside this time-frame (Batchelor, 2009). This frequent occurrence is therefore another reason why the radiocarbon date from the base of the Peat in borehole <SSBH1C> is considered to be incorrect. These same investigations also indicate that a dry peat surface was almost certainly required to enable the growth of yew on the Lower Thames Valley peat surface, however, more favourable climatic conditions, and likely areas of human disturbance may have influenced the colonisation of yew on the peat surface. The decline of yew was often related to wetter peat surface conditions, most likely caused by continually rising RSL. It was also considered likely that human activity had a far greater influence on the decline of yew, than on its expansion; it is notable that the decline occurs at the transition from the Neolithic to Bronze Age. A return towards a more continental climate may also have contributed to the yew decline from the wetland (Batchelor, 2009).

The new records from the Cable Car project largely support the existing model. The initial expansion of yew occurred at a time when the other lithostratigraphic and bioarchaeological records indicate a transition towards drier conditions, and a more mature wetland woodland community. Similarly, a decline in organic matter content contemporaneous with the fall in *Taxus* pollen values suggests increased inundation may have led to the departure of yew from the floodplain surface. There are no indicators to suggest that human activity may have caused the decline of yew at either site.

The expansion of lime

An unusual feature of both the new pollen-stratigraphic diagram is the increase of *Tilia* (lime) percentage values towards the top of zones LPAZ BH3-4 and LPAZ BH1C-1. Unlike most arboreal taxa, the pollen from lime is entomophilous (insect pollinated), and thus does not travel far from source. Therefore the high concentrations of lime suggest that it was growing nearby on areas of dryland at both sites at around the same time. Further radiocarbon dating would allow these periods of change to be identified.

The uppermost pollen assemblages from both boreholes <NTBH03> and <SSBH1C> are also approximately contemporaneous. Within both sequences it is difficult to establish the precise history since pollen concentration and preservation is poor. In both boreholes alder dominated woodland began to decline from the wetland, and in borehole <SSBH1C> was replaced by vegetation indicative of wetter conditions and estuarine inundation. The transition to these zones is also marked by a decrease in dryland woodland pollen taxa (e.g. *Quercus* and *Tilia*). The decline in woodland on the wetland and dryland is therefore approximately contemporaneous. This occurrence is analogous with many other sites across the Lower Thames Valley. Problems related to the taphonomy of pollen from wetland and dryland environments all inhibit the interpretation of pollen data, and our ability to confidently reconstruct vegetation succession and causes of environmental change on dryland, however, the occurrence of an array of herbaceous pollen taxa including cf *Cereale* type, Centaurea nigra which most likely originate from the dryland, with other taxa such as Poaceae, *Chenopodium* type, Lactuceae and *Sinapis* type may originate from a number of different environments, including the dryland, suggesting Bronze Age land clearance for settlement and/or farming purposes was taking place at this time.

However, the contemporaneous nature of the decline in woodland on both the wetland and dryland is striking and suggests a strong link between the two environments and possible causes. Indeed, it is considered probable that the increased rate of relative sea level (RSL) rise that brought about environmental change on the wetland, also contributed to the decline of mixed deciduous woodland on the dryland in two different ways. Firstly, RSL rise may have caused the expansion of

wetland onto areas of former dryland, and/or the saturation of dryland soils. This would have caused the retreat of dryland woodland away from the sampling point. Secondly, the wetter conditions and estuarine inundation that caused the eventual abandonment of the wetland by Bronze Age people, most likely led to the concentration of anthropogenic activity (and thus clearance) on the neighbouring dryland edge. There seems little doubt that these RSL driven processes could have influenced the rate of woodland decline on the dryland; however, the precise temporal and spatial relationships between RSL change, soil deterioration, human activity and dryland woodland decline remain very difficult to measure.

CONCLUSIONS

The aim of this analysis report was to carry out a fuller investigation of the local and regional environments of the Holocene Peat and Alluvium, in order to permit a detailed reconstruction of spatial and temporal changes in the local environment, and to allow quantification of the relationships between vegetation succession, relative sea-level change, climate change and human activity in this area of the Lower Thames Valley. The main findings of the analysis are as follows:

- 1. The environmental archaeological investigation of the Cable Car site has enhanced knowledge and understanding of the environmental history of this part of the Lower Thames Valley.
- 2. The pre-Holocene topography of the Cable Car site and the sequence of Holocene sedimentation reflect conditions that are widely recorded in the valley of the estuarine Thames. The numerous boreholes put down within the site make possible a reasonably reliable reconstruction of the main features of the sub-surface topography and of the sequence of Holocene sedimentation.
- 3. The combined sedimentological (geoarchaeological) records indicate that during the Early to Middle Holocene, the undulating surface of the 'Shepperton Gravel' was progressively buried beneath Alluvium and Peat deposits of the River Thames. The main period of peat formation around 6000 cal yr BP resulting from continued postglacial sea level rise (see Devoy, 1979). Peat formation continued until sometime around *ca.* 3000 cal BP. This surface was overlain by an Upper Alluvium of estuarine origin, and probably reflects a rise in the rate of relative sea level rise. The peat recorded at the Cable Car site is undoubtedly part of the same biogenic sequence, the Tilbury III stage of Devoy's scheme.
- 4. The results of the analysis indicate large similarities in the archaeobotanical record between the <NTBH03> sequence and that previously recorded at West Silvertown. However, there are some large differences in the height and date at which various sediments/vegetation changes were recorded.
- 5. The biostratigraphical (zooarchaeological and archaeobotanical) records indicate that during the period of peat formation, there were specific important changes in both the wetland and

dryland vegetation cover. Firstly, the decline of elm woodland, secondly the colonisation and decline of yew woodland, and thirdly and apparent expansion of lime woodland.

6. No definitive indications of human activity were recorded on the site, but the following aspects were noted as potentially significant: (1) the presence of a topographic high towards the north west of borehole <NTBH03>, which may have been suitable for human activity, and (2) changes in the vegetation composition on the dryland around the time of peat inundation (*ca.* 3000 cal yr BP).

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that following the results of the palaeoenvironmental analysis, further radiocarbon dating is carried out from select locations in both boreholes as a consequence of some of the anomalous results thus far recorded. These should be targeted on the following from borehole <NTBH03>: one date from the basal sediments to clarify the determination of 10,740-10,510 cal BP; one determination on the peak in *Pinus* pollen to clarify its age; one date from the top of the lower Peat (highest organic matter content) to clarify its age, and one determination on the peak in lime pollen towards the top of LPAZ BH3-4. From borehole <SSBH1C>, determinations should be made on the peak in lime towards the top of LPAZ BH1C-1 to clarify its age and the that of determination 3450-3270 cal BP. In addition to the specific reasons provided, these determinations will allow better comparison with neighbouring records. It is also recommended that the results from this study be summarised for publication.

REFERENCES

Anderson, S.T. (1973) The differential pollen productivity of trees and its significance for the interpretation of a pollen diagram from a forested region. In (Birks, H.J.B., & West, R.G.; eds) *Quaternary Plant Ecology.* Blackwell, Oxford.

Andersen, S.Th. (1970) The relative pollen productivity and pollen representation of North European trees, and correction factors for tree pollen spectra. *Danmarks Geologiske Undersogelse II,* Raekke nr **76**.

Andersen, S.Th. (1973) The differential pollen productivity of trees and its significance for the intepretation of a pollen diagram from a forested region. In (H.J.B. Birks & R.G. West, eds.) *Quaternary Plant Ecology*, 109-115. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific.

Andersen, S.Th. (1975). The Eemain freshwater deposit at Egernsund, South Jylland, and the Eemian landscape development in Denmark. *Danmarks Geologiske Undersogelse Arbog*, **1974**, 49-70.

Batchelor, C.R. (2009) *Middle Holocene environmental changes and the history of yew (Taxus baccata L.) woodland in the Lower Thames Valley*. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of London

Batchelor, C.R., Young, D.S., Green, C.P. and Austin, P. (2011) A report on the environmental archaeological assessment of boreholes collected from the London Cable Car route, London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11). Quaternary Scientific (QUEST) Unpublished Report July 2011; Project Number 140/10.

Batchelor, C.R., Branch, N.P., Allison, E., Austin, P.A., Bishop, B., Brown, A., Elias, S.E., Green, C.P. & Young D.S. (in prep) New evidence for the Neolithic elm decline in the Lower Thames Valley and its association with the elm bark beetle (*Scolytus scolytus* F.). In prep.

Battarbee, R.W., Jones, V.J., Flower, R.J., Cameron, N.G., Bennion, H.B., Carvalho, L. & Juggins, S. (2001) *Diatoms.* In (J.P. Smol and H.J.B. Birks eds.), *Tracking Environmental Change Using Lake Sediments Volume 3: Terrestrial, Algal, and Siliceous Indicators*, 155-202. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Baker, C.A., Moxey, P.A. & Oxford, M. (1978) Woodland continuity and change in Epping Forest. *Field studies*, **4**, 645-669.

Bradshaw, R.H.W. (1981) Modern pollen-representation factors for woods in South-East England. *Journal of Ecology*, **69**, 45-70.

Bengtsson, L. & Enell, M. (1986) Chemical Analysis. In (Berglund, B.E. ed.) *Handbook of Holocene palaeoecology and palaeohydrology*, 423-451. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons.

Bennett, K.D. (1988) Holocene pollen stratigraphy of Central East Anglia, England, and comparison of pollen zones across the British Isles, *New Phytologist*, **109(2)**, 237-257.

Branch, N.P., Green, C.P., Vaughan-Williams, A., Elias, S., Swindle, G., & Batchelor, C.R. (2005) Bellot Street, Maze Hill, London Borough of Greenwich (site code: GBL05): environmental archaeological assessment. ArchaeoScape Unpublished Report.

Branch, N.P., Batchelor, C.R., Elias, S., Green., C.P. & Swindle, G.E. (2007) *Preston Road, Poplar High Street, Poplar, London Borough of Hamlets (site code: PPP06): environmental archaeological analysis.* ArchaeoScape Unpublished Report.

Branch, N.P., Batchelor, C.R., Cameron, N.G., Coope, G.R., Densem, R., Gale, R., Green, C.P. & Williams, A.N. (in press) Holocene environmental changes in the Lower Thames Valley, London, UK: implications for our understanding of the history of Taxus (L.) woodland. *The Holocene*, in press.

Bridgland, D.R. (1995) The Quaternary sequence of the eastern Thames basin: problems of correlation. In (D.R. Bridgland, P. Allen & B.A. Haggart, eds.) *The Quaternary of the Lower reaches of the Thames*, 35-52. Durham: Quaternary Research Association.

Bronk Ramsey, C. (1995) Radiocarbon calibration and analysis of stratigraphy: the oxcal program. *Radiocarbon*, **37(2)**, 425-430.

Bronk Ramsey, C. (2001) Development of the radiocarbon program oxcal. *Radiocarbon*, **43(2a)**, 355-363.

Bronk Ramsey, C. (2007) Deposition models for chronological records. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **27(1-2)**, 42-60.

Cappers, R.T.J., Bekker R.M. & Jans J.E.A. (2006) Digital Seed Atlas of the Netherlands. Groningen Archaeological Series 4. Barkhuis, Netherlands

Carew, T., Meddens, F., Batchelor, R., Branch, N., Elias, S., Goodburn, D., Vaughan-Williams, A., Webster, L. & Yeomans, L. (2009) human-Environmental interactions at the wetland edge in East London: trackways, platforms and Bronze Age responses to environmental change. *London and Middlesex Archaeology Society*, **6**: 1-34.3.

Chambers, F.M., Mighall, T.M. and Keen, D.H. (1996) Early Holocene pollen and Molluscan records from Enfield Lock, Middlesex, UK. *Proceedings of the Geologists Association* **107**: 1-14.

Clark, J.G.D. (1963) Neolithic bows from Somerset, England, and the prehistory of archery in north-western Europe. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*, **29**, 50-98.

Clark, S.H.E. and Edwards, K. J. (2004) Elm bark beetle in Holocene peat deposits and the northwest European elm decline. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, **19(6)**, 525-528.

Coles, J.M. & Hibbert, F.A. (1968) Prehistoric roads and tracks in Somerset, England: 1. Neolithic. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*, **34**, 238-258.

Coles, J.M., Heal, S.V.E. & Orme, B.J. (1978) The use and character of wood in prehistoric Britain and Ireland. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*, **44**, 1-45.

Deforce, K. & Bastiaens, J. (2007) The Holocene history of *Taxus baccata* (yew) in Belgium and neighbouring regions. *Belgian Journal of Botany*, **140(2)**, 222-237.

Denys, L. (1992) A check list of the diatoms in the Holocene deposits of the Western Belgian Coastal Plain with a survey of their apparent ecological requirements: I. Introduction, ecological code and complete list. Service Geologique de Belgique. Professional Paper No. 246. pp. 41.

Devoy, R.J.N. (1979) Flandrian sea-level changes and vegetational history of the lower Thames estuary. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, **B285**, 355-410.

Divers, D. (1995) Archaeological Excavation of the former Beckton Nursery. Newham Museum Service Unpublished Report.

Duff, A. (ed.) (2008) Checklist of beetles of the British Isles. Wells, Somerset: Privately printed.

Flower, R.J. (1993) Diatom preservation: experiments and observations on dissolution and breakage in modern and fossil material, *Hydrobiologia* **269/270**, 473-484.

Garbett, G.G. (1981) The elm decline: the depletion of a resource. New Phytologist, 88, 573-585.

Gibbard, P.L. (1994) *Pleistocene History of the Lower Thames Valley*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Gibbard, P. (1995) Palaeogeographical evolution of the lower Thames valley. In (D.R. Bridgland, P. Allen & B.A. Haggart, eds.) *The Quaternary of the lower reaches of the Thames*, 5-34. Durham: Quaternary Research Association.

Gifford & Partners Ltd. (2001) A13 Thames Gateway Phase III Archaeological Works: Freemasons Road Underpass Assessment Report on Detailed Excavation. Gifford Unpublished Report. Girling, M.A. (1988) The bark beetle Scolytus scolytus (Fabricius) and the possible role of elm disease in the early Neolithic, In (M. Jones, ed.) Archaeology and the Flora of the British Isles. Oxford University Committee for Archaeology, 14, 34-38.

Girling, M.A. and Grieg, J. (1985) A first fossil record for Scolytus scolytus (F.) (elm bark beetle): its occurrence in elm decline deposits from London and implications for Neolithic elm disease. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **12**, 347-351.

Godwin, H. (1956) The History of British Flora. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Godwin, H. (1964) Late Weichselian conditions in southeastern Britain: organic deposits at Colney Heath, Herts. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London **B160**: 258-275.

Gowen, M. (2004) Unique prehistoric musical instrument discovered in County Wicklow. Available at <u>http://www.archconlabs.com/index.php/home/58-leinster-projects/107-unique-prehistoric-</u> <u>musical-instrument-discovered-in-co-wicklow</u> accessed on 11th April 2007.

Green, C.P., Batchelor, C.R. & Young, D.S. (2011) A report on the geoarchaeological borehole investigations and deposit modelling on the London Cable Car Route, London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11). Quaternary Scientific (QUEST) Unpublished Report May 2011; Project Number 140/10

Hartley, B., Barber, H.G., Carter, J.R. & Sims, P.A. (1996) *An Atlas of British Diatoms.* Biopress Limited. Bristol. pp. 601.

Hather, J. G. (2000) *The Identification of the Northern European Woods: A Guide for archaeologists and conservators.* Archetype Publications Ltd, London.

Holder, N. (1998) An Archaeological Excavation Assessment and Updated Project Design for Royal Docks Community School Site, Prince Regent Lane, Newham. MoLAS Unpublished Report.

Hendey, N.I. (1964) An Introductory Account of the Smaller Algae of British Coastal Waters. Part V. Bacillariophyceae (Diatoms). Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food, Series IV. pp. 317.

Huntley, B. & Birks, H.J.B. (1983) *An atlas of past and present pollen maps of Europe: 0-13,000 years ago*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hustedt, F. (1953) Die Systematik der Diatomeen in ihren Beziehungen zur Geologie und Okologie nebst einer Revision des Halobien-systems. *Sv. Bot. Tidskr.*, 47: 509-519.

Hustedt, F. (1957) Die Diatomeenflora des Fluss-systems der Weser im Gebiet der Hansestadt Bremen. *Ab. naturw. Ver. Bremen* 34, 181-440.

Jarrett, C. (1996) *Archaeological evaluation at the East Beckton District Centre*. Newham Museum Service Unpublished Report.

Juggins. S. (2003) C2 User guide. Software for ecological and palaeoecological data analysis and visualisation. University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. 69pp.

Kenward, H. K. Hall, A. R. and Jones, A. K. G. (1986) Environmental evidence from a Roman well and Anglian pits in the legionary fortress. *Archaeology of York* 14 (5), 241-288. London: Council for British Archaeology.

Krammer, K. & Lange-Bertalot, H. (1986-1991) Bacillariophyceae. Gustav Fisher Verlag, Stuttgart.

Kloet, G. A. and Hincks, W. D. (1964-77) *A checklist of British insects*, 2nd edn. London: Royal Entomological Society.

Lakin, D. (1998) Atlas Wharf, Westferry Road, Isle of Dogs. MoLAS unpublished report.

McLean. G. (1993) An outline report on an archaeological evaluation at the land at the rear of 72-88 Bellot Street Greenwich London SE10. SELAU Unpublished Report.

Meddens, F.M. & Beasley, M. (1990) Wetland use in Rainham, Essex. London Archaeologist, 6, 9.

Mitchell, F.J.G. (1988) The vegetational history of the Killarney oakwoods, SW Ireland: Evidence from fine spatial resolution pollen analysis. *Journal of Ecology*, **76**, 415-436.

Mitchell, F.J.G. (1990) The history and vegetation dynamics of a yew wood (*Taxus baccata* L.) in S.W. Ireland. *New Phytologist*, **115**, 573-577.

Moe, D. & Rackham, O. (1992) Pollarding and a possible explanation of the Neolithic elmfall. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany*, **1**, 63-68. Moore, P.D., Webb, J.A. & Collinson, M.E. (1991) Pollen Analysis. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific.

Morley, M. (2003) Greenwich Industrial Estate, Bugsby's Way, Charlton, London SE7, a Geoarchaeological Investigation. MoLAS Unpublished Report.

Parker, A.G., Goudie, A.S., Anderson, D.E., Robinson, M.A. & Bonsall, C. (2002) A review of the mid-Holocene elm decline in the British Isles. *Progress in Physical Geography*, **26(1)**, 1-45.

Peglar, S.M. & Birks, H.J.B. (1993) The mid-Holocene Ulmus fall at Diss Mere, south-east England – disease and human impact? *Vegetation history and Archaeobotany*, **2**, 61-68.

Pepys, S. (1665). *Samuel Pepys Diary September 1665*. Available at <u>http://www.pepysinfo/1665/</u> <u>1665sep.html</u> accessed on 20th April 2007.

Perry, I. & Moore, P.D. (1987) Dutch elm disease as an analogue of Neolithic elm decline. *Nature,* **326**, 72-73.

Philp, B. (1993) An Outline Report on an Archaeological Evaluation Excavation at the Land at the Rear of 72-88 Bellot Street, Greenwich, London SE10. SELAU Unpublished Report.

Rasmussen, P. (1989a) Leaf-foddering of livestock in the Neolithic: archaeobotanical evidence from Weier, Switzerland. *Journal of Danish Archaeology*, **8**, 51-71.

Rasmussen, P. (1989b) Leaf foddering in the earliest Neolithic agriculture. *Acta Archaeologica*, **60**, 71-86

Rasmussen, P. and Christensen, K. (1997) *The mid-Holocene Ulmus decline: A new way to evaluate the pathogen hypothesis*. Available at <u>http://www.geus.dk/departments/environ-hist-climate/posters/rasumussen97-dk.htm</u> accessed on 31st June 2007.

Reid, C. (1916) The plants of the Late glacial deposits of the Lea Valley. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London* **71**: 155.

Reille, M. (1992) *Pollen et spores D'Europe et D'Afrique du Nord*. Laboratoire de Botanique historique et Palynologie, Marsaille.

Reimer, P.J., Baille, M.G.L., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Bertrand, Blackwell, P.G., Buck, C.E., Burr, G.S., Cutler, K.B., Damon, P.E., Edwards, R.L., Fairbanks, R.G., Friedrich, M., Guilderson, T.P., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kromer, B., McCormac, G., Manning, S., Bronk Ramsey, C., Reimer, R.W., Remmele, S., Southon, J.R., Stuiver, M., Talamo, S., Taylor, F.W., van der Plicht, J. and Weyhenmeyer, C.E. (2004) IntCal04 terrestrial radiocarbon age calibration, 0-26 cal kyr BP, *Radiocarbon* **46** (3), 1029-1058.

Ryves, D.B., Juggins, S., Fritz, S.C. and Battarbee, R.W. (2001) Experimental diatom dissolution and the quantification of microfossil preservation in sediments, *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **172**, 99-113.

Scaife, R.G. (1988) The elm decline in the pollen record of South-east England and its relationship to early agriculture. In (M. Jones, ed.) *Archaeology and the flora of the British Isles*, 21-33. Oxford University Committee for Archaeology.

Scaife, R.G. (2001) *East Ham FC, Pennyroyal Avenue, Beckton, London E6, an Environmental Assessment*. Unpublished HAT Report 903.

Schoch, W., Heller, I., Schweingruber, F. H., & Kienast, F. (2004). *Wood anatomy of central European Species.* Available at <u>http://www.woodanatomy.ch</u> accessed on 31st January 2007.

Seel, S.P.S. (2001) *Late Prehistoric woodlands and wood use on the Lower Thames floodplain.* University College, London: Unpublished PhD thesis.

Sheridan, A. (2005) Dating Scotland's past: The national museums of Scotland C14 dating programmes. *The Archaeologist*, **56**, 38-39.

Sidell, E.J. (2003) *Relative sea-level change and archaeology in the inner Thames estuary during the Holocene.* University College, London, Unpublished PhD Thesis.

Sidell, E.J., Cotton, J., Rayner, L. & Wheeler, L. (2002) *The prehistory of Southwark and Lambeth.* MoLAS Monograph 15. London.

Smith, A.G. (1981) The Neolithic. In (I.G. Simmonds & M.J. Tooley, eds.) *The environment in British prehistory*, 125-209. London: Duckworth.

Spurr, G., Scaife, R., Cameron, N. & Corcoran, J. (2001) *145-155 Albert Road, E16 London Borough of Newham: a geoarchaeological evaluation report.* MoLAS Unpublished Report.

Spurrell, F.C.J. (1889) On the Estuary of the Thames and its alluvium. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, **11**, 210-230.

Stace, C. (2005) New Flora of the British Isles. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tamblyn, W.S. (1994) Archaeological evaluation of Beckton areas A and B Tollgate Road, Beckton, London Borough of Newham. Newham Museum Service Unpublished Report.

Thomas, P.A. & Polwart, A. (2003) *Taxus baccata* L. *Journal of Ecology*, **91**, 489-524.

Thomas, C. & Rackham, D.J. (1996) Bramcote Green, Bermondsey: a Bronze Age trackway and palaeoenvironmental assessment. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*, **61**, 221-253.

Troels-Smith, J. (1955). Characterisation of unconsolidated sediments. *Danmarks Geologiske Undersøgelse,* Raekke IV(3), 38-73.

Truckle, N. (1996) *Recent excavations on wetland sites in Beckton: A publication progress report.* Newham Museum Service Unpublished Report.

Truckle, N. & Sabel, K. (1994) Beckton Alps Ski Slope, Newham Way, East Ham E6, London Borough of Newham. Newham Museum Service Unpublished Report.

Tucker, S. (1993) Salter Road and Rotherhithe Street, London SE16. MoLAS: Unpublished Report.

Turner, J. (1962) The *Tilia* decline: An anthropogenic interpretation. *New Phytologist*, **61**, 328-341.

Vos, P.C. & de Wolf, H. (1988) Methodological aspects of palaeoecological diatom research in coastal areas of the Netherlands. *Geologie en Mijnbouw* **67**: 31-40.

Vos, P.C. & de Wolf, H. (1993) Diatoms as a tool for reconstructing sedimentary environments in coastal wetlands; methodological aspects. *Hydrobiologia* **269/270**: 285-296.

Waller, M.P. (1993) Flandrian vegetational history of south-eastern England. Pollen data from Pannel Bridge, East Sussex. *New Phytologist*, **124**, 345-369.

Waller, M.P. (1994a) Paludification and pollen representation: the influence of wetland size on Tilia representation in pollen diagrams. *The Holocene*, **4**, 430-434.

Waller, M.P. (1994b) *The Fenland Project, number 9: Flandrian environmental change in Fenland*. East Anglian Archaeology, Cambridgeshire County Council.

Waller, M.P. (1998) An investigation in the palynological properties of fen peat through multiple pollen profiles from south-eastern England. *Journal of Archaeological Science* **25**, 631-642.

Werff, A. Van Der & Huls, H. (1957-1974) Diatomeenflora van Nederland, 10 volumes

Wessex Archaeology (2000) Fort Street (West) Silvertown, London, E16, Archaeological excavation assessment report. Wessex Archaeology: Unpublished Report.

Witkowski, A, Lange-Bertalot, H.& Metzeltin, D. (2000) *Diatom Flora of Marine Coasts I.* Iconographia Diatomologica. Annotated Diatom Micrographs Ed. by H. Lange-Bertalot Vol. 7. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag. Koeltz Scientific Books. Königstein, Germany pp 925

Wilkinson, K.N., Scaife, R.J. & Sidell, E.J. (2000) Environmental and sea-level changes in London from 10,500 BP to the present: a case study from Silvertown. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, **111**, 41-54.

Wright, E.V. & Churchill, D.M. (1965) The Boats from North Ferriby, Yorkshire, England, with a review of the origins of the sewn boats of the Bronze Age. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*, **31**, 1-24.

Wright, E.V., Hedges, R.E.M., Bayliss, A. & Van de Noort, R. (2001) New AMS radiocarbon dates for the North Ferriby boats - a contribution to dating prehistoric seafaring in northwestern Europe. *Antiquity*, **75**, 726-734.

APPENDIX 1: Details of the geotechnical boreholes from the North Station (NS), North Intermediate Tower (NIT), North Tower, South Tower (ST), and South Station (SS) areas of the Cable Car, London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11) and additional previous borehole locations

Borehole	Easting	Northing	Depth at surface
number	Lasting	Northing	(m OD)
NS			(
NSDS01	540111.26	180696.07	5.32
NSBH01A	540152.83	180702.12	4.87
NSBH01*	540152.91	180700.09	4.85
NSDS02	540167.03	180696.54	4.54
NSBH02	540135.76	180672.33	-5.52
NIT			
NITBH06	539951.44	180418.42	5.43
NITTP04	539948.69	180419.02	5.38
NITTP04A	539946.91	180419.66	5.34
NITTPH03	539969.51	180429.48	5.39
NITBH05	539980.00	180428.72	5.46
NITTP01	539973.66	180435.92	5.33
NITBH02*	539972.81	180437.25	5.28
NITBH09	539961.27	180443.27	5.18
NITBH09A	539960.26	180442.76	5.21
NITBH09B	539957.29	180440.72	5.18
NITB09	539956.00	180439.73	5.15
NITBH09D	539954.54	180439.04	5.14
NITBH09E	539948.77	180434.30	5.22
NITBH09F	539949.18	180434.77	5.22
NITBH01A	539948.55	180443.13	5.29
NITBH01	539953.33	180444.87	5.28
NITTP05	539947.94	180448.23	5.41
NITBH07	539947.14	180449.86	5.43
NITBH04	539943.82	180446.50	5.39
NITBH04X	539943.82	180446.51	5.38
NITBH08	539931.78	180444.77	5.55
NITTP8	539932.22	180442.01	5.51
NITTP07	539935.04	180437.22	5.41
NT	00000000	100101122	0.11
NTTP03A	539864.83	180255.08	5.09
NTTP03	539861.05	180252.00	5.10
NTBH02	539850.35	180286.36	5.16
NTTP04A	539839.75	180289.65	5.15
NTTP04	539838.85	180288.11	5.12
NTDS01	539906.01	180349.48	2.66
NTDS02	539918.06	180300.72	2.72
NTBH01*	539868.52	180300.77	2.76
NTBH03**	539869.01	180300.01	2.75
ST	00000.01	10000.01	2.1.0
STBH01	539655.23	179973.19	-8.72
STBH04	539597.20	179927.28	-3.88
515104	JJJJJJ .ZU	113321.20	-0.00

STBH02	539603.46	179994.39	-5.88
STBH03	539656.99	179834.86	-4.08
SS			
SSDS04	539478.67	179745.07	5.05
SSDS03	539486.27	179791.10	5.14
SSBH03*	539507.18	179793.44	5.34
SSBH01	539527.90	179815.24	5.56
SSBH01B	539530.52	179811.84	5.64
SSBH01C**	539535.75	179817.14	5.72
SSDS02	539521.13	179780.75	5.74
SSBH02D	539513.84	179759.81	5.31
SSBH02C	539522.27	179770.42	5.50
SSBH02	539526.13	179772.51	5.54
SSBH02B	539529.00	179774.72	5.55
TU			
TUBH01	539879.91	180166.61	-4.89
TUBH02	539709.58	179986.13	-10.04

* Boreholes monitored by Quarternary Scientific in the field

** Retrieved geoarchaeological boreholes

Record name	Origin	Easting	Northing
BH2	SE Gas mains	539260	179773
BH3	SE Gas mains	539359	179432
BH4	SE Gas mains	539749	179784
BH5	SE Gas mains	539525	179415
BH6	SE Gas mains	539415	179396
BH7	SE Gas mains	539499	179380
BH8	SE Gas mains	539254	179378
BH9	SE Gas mains	539313	179428
BH10	SE Gas mains	539158	179607
BH11	SE Gas mains	539204	179477
BH12	SE Gas mains	539276	179424
BH13	SE Gas mains	539277	179331
BH14	SE Gas mains	539226	179374
BH15	SE Gas mains	539317	179375
BH16	SE Gas mains	539646	178916
BH17	SE Gas mains	539716	178886
BH18	SE Gas mains	539635	178823
BH19	SE Gas mains	539694	178819
BH20	SE Gas mains	539581	179357
BH21	SE Gas mains	539470	179436
BH22	SE Gas mains	538853	179824
BH23	SE Gas mains	539581	179982
BH24	SE Gas mains	539519	180062
BH25	SE Gas mains	539476	180125
BH26	SE Gas mains	539200	180052
BH27	SE Gas mains	539205	179338
BH28	SE Gas mains	539420	180023
BH29	SE Gas mains	539002	180153

BH31 SE Gas mains 538941 180257 BH32 SE Gas mains 539031 180140 BH33 SE Gas mains 539042 179969 BH34 SE Gas mains 539057 179861 BH1A SE Gas mains 539339 179742 BH2A SE Gas mains 539332 179710 BH4A SE Gas mains 539346 179663 BH5A SE Gas mains 5393939 179652 BH7A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH6A SE Gas mains 539302 179758 BH7A SE Gas mains 539302 179758 BH4A SE Gas mains 539321 179716 BH4A SE Gas mains 539321 1797176 BH1A SE Gas mains 539321 179758 BH1A SE Gas mains 539321 179783 BH1A SE Gas mains 539510 179839 BH1A SE Gas mains 539471 179669 <t< th=""><th>BH30</th><th>SE Gas mains</th><th>538839</th><th>180284</th></t<>	BH30	SE Gas mains	538839	180284
BH32 SE Gas mains 539031 180140 BH33 SE Gas mains 539042 179969 BH34 SE Gas mains 539057 179861 BH1A SE Gas mains 539309 179742 BH2A SE Gas mains 539339 179733 BH3A SE Gas mains 539332 179681 BH4A SE Gas mains 539346 179663 BH6A SE Gas mains 539302 179733 BH4A SE Gas mains 539302 179652 BH7A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH4A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH4A SE Gas mains 539321 179766 BH1A SE Gas mains 53920 179881 BH1A SE Gas mains 53920 179881 BH1A SE Gas mains 539541 179669 BH1A SE Gas mains 539471 179750 BH1A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH				
BH33 SE Gas mains 539042 179969 BH34 SE Gas mains 539057 179861 BH1A SE Gas mains 539309 179742 BH2A SE Gas mains 539332 179710 BH3A SE Gas mains 539332 179761 BH4A SE Gas mains 539362 179681 BH5A SE Gas mains 539389 179652 BH7A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH8A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179766 BH11A SE Gas mains 539321 179766 BH12A SE Gas mains 539321 179766 BH11A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH12A SE Gas mains 539510 179832 BH14A SE Gas mains 5395610 179832	BH32			
BH34 SE Gas mains 539057 179861 BH1A SE Gas mains 539309 179742 BH2A SE Gas mains 539339 179733 BH3A SE Gas mains 539332 179661 BH4A SE Gas mains 539346 179681 BH5A SE Gas mains 539330 179652 BH7A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539345 179666 BH1A SE Gas mains 539345 179686 BH1A SE Gas mains 539345 179783 BH1A SE Gas mains 539345 179866 BH12A SE Gas mains 539345 179869 BH13A SE Gas mains 539450 179831 BH14A SE Gas mains 539671 179750 BH17A SE Gas mains 539431 179474 BH20A SE Gas mains 539431 179474	BH33			
BH1A SE Gas mains 539309 179742 BH2A SE Gas mains 539339 179733 BH3A SE Gas mains 539332 179710 BH4A SE Gas mains 539346 179681 BH5A SE Gas mains 539389 179652 BH6A SE Gas mains 539393 179656 BH7A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179716 BH11A SE Gas mains 539320 179881 BH12A SE Gas mains 539345 179686 BH12A SE Gas mains 539741 179760 BH13A SE Gas mains 539741 179750 BH14A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH17A SE Gas mains 539431 179434 BH24A SE Gas mains 539343 179474				
BH2A SE Gas mains 539339 179733 BH3A SE Gas mains 539322 179710 BH4A SE Gas mains 539362 179681 BH5A SE Gas mains 539362 179683 BH6A SE Gas mains 539303 179652 BH7A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH8A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179766 BH11A SE Gas mains 539320 179881 BH12A SE Gas mains 539321 179783 BH13A SE Gas mains 539569 179881 BH14A SE Gas mains 539671 179783 BH15A SE Gas mains 539671 179743 BH17A SE Gas mains 539671 179474 BH17A SE Gas mains 539344 179434 BH17A SE Gas mains 539375 179474				
BH3A SE Gas mains 53932 179710 BH4A SE Gas mains 539362 179681 BH5A SE Gas mains 539362 179663 BH6A SE Gas mains 539389 179652 BH7A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH8A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179786 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179788 BH11A SE Gas mains 539320 179788 BH12A SE Gas mains 539320 179859 BH13A SE Gas mains 539220 179859 BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539150 179783 BH14A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH17A SE Gas mains 539431 179434 BH2A SE Gas mains 539345 179474 BH2A SE Gas mains 539349 179367	BH2A			
BH4A SE Gas mains 539362 179681 BH5A SE Gas mains 539346 179663 BH6A SE Gas mains 539389 179652 BH7A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179766 BH12A SE Gas mains 53920 179859 BH12A SE Gas mains 53920 179850 BH12A SE Gas mains 53920 179881 BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539159 179783 BH16A SE Gas mains 539471 179750 BH18A SE Gas mains 539342 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539343 179474 BH20A SE Gas mains 539349 179367 BH21A SE Gas mains 539349 179457	ВНЗА	SE Gas mains		
BH5A SE Gas mains 539346 179663 BH6A SE Gas mains 539389 179652 BH7A SE Gas mains 539393 179636 BH8A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179716 BH11A SE Gas mains 539320 179859 BH12A SE Gas mains 539200 179859 BH13A SE Gas mains 539210 179881 BH14A SE Gas mains 539711 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539510 179831 BH16A SE Gas mains 539510 179932 BH17A SE Gas mains 539423 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539385 179474 BH20A SE Gas mains 539395 179474 BH20A SE Gas mains 539549 179367 BH23A SE Gas mains 539549 179801	BH4A	SE Gas mains		
BH6A SE Gas mains 539389 179652 BH7A SE Gas mains 539303 179636 BH8A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179766 BH11A SE Gas mains 539321 179686 BH12A SE Gas mains 539200 179881 BH13A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH13A SE Gas mains 539159 179832 BH16A SE Gas mains 539510 179932 BH17A SE Gas mains 539461 179424 BH17A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH17A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH17A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH17A SE Gas mains 539439 179474 BH20A SE Gas mains 539541 179870 BH18A SE Gas mains 539540 179810				
BH8A SE Gas mains 539302 179751 BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179716 BH11A SE Gas mains 539321 179768 BH12A SE Gas mains 539345 179686 BH12A SE Gas mains 53920 179859 BH13A SE Gas mains 539569 179881 BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539510 179832 BH16A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539423 179434 BH20A SE Gas mains 539342 179474 BH20A SE Gas mains 539342 179474 BH20A SE Gas mains 539499 173367 BH23A SE Gas mains 539451 179891 BH23A SE Gas mains 539541 179891 BH23A SE Gas mains 539540 179801 <tr< td=""><td>ļ</td><td></td><td>539389</td><td></td></tr<>	ļ		539389	
BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179716 BH11A SE Gas mains 539345 179686 BH12A SE Gas mains 53920 179859 BH13A SE Gas mains 539569 179881 BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539159 179783 BH16A SE Gas mains 539510 179932 BH17A SE Gas mains 539671 179750 BH18A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539423 179434 BH20A SE Gas mains 539395 179474 BH20A SE Gas mains 539499 179367 BH21A SE Gas mains 539541 179891 BH22A SE Gas mains 539549 179910 BH23A SE Gas mains 539605 179838 B1. BGS 539610 179701	BH7A	SE Gas mains	539393	179636
BH9A SE Gas mains 539302 179738 BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179716 BH11A SE Gas mains 539345 179686 BH12A SE Gas mains 53920 179859 BH13A SE Gas mains 539569 179881 BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539159 179783 BH16A SE Gas mains 539510 179932 BH17A SE Gas mains 539671 179750 BH18A SE Gas mains 539423 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539384 179457 BH21A SE Gas mains 539395 179474 BH20A SE Gas mains 539549 179801 BH22A SE Gas mains 539549 179801 BH24A SE Gas mains 539560 179838 B1. BGS 539670 179800 B29 BGS 539670 179840 B29b <td>BH8A</td> <td>SE Gas mains</td> <td>539302</td> <td>179751</td>	BH8A	SE Gas mains	539302	179751
BH10A SE Gas mains 539321 179716 BH11A SE Gas mains 539345 179686 BH12A SE Gas mains 539200 179859 BH13A SE Gas mains 539569 17981 BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539159 179783 BH16A SE Gas mains 539510 179932 BH17A SE Gas mains 539671 179750 BH18A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539423 179434 BH20A SE Gas mains 539384 179474 BH21A SE Gas mains 539395 179474 BH22A SE Gas mains 539499 179367 BH23A SE Gas mains 539541 179891 BH24A SE Gas mains 539549 179910 BH25A SE Gas mains 539605 179838 B1. BGS 539611 179774	BH9A	SE Gas mains		179738
BH11A SE Gas mains 539345 179686 BH12A SE Gas mains 539220 179859 BH13A SE Gas mains 539569 179811 BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539159 179783 BH16A SE Gas mains 539510 179932 BH17A SE Gas mains 539671 179750 BH18A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539384 179434 BH20A SE Gas mains 539384 179474 BH22A SE Gas mains 539395 179474 BH22A SE Gas mains 539499 179367 BH24A SE Gas mains 539541 179891 BH24A SE Gas mains 539549 179910 BH25A SE Gas mains 539605 179838 B1. BGS 539611 179774 B29a BGS 539610 179960 B29b	-	SE Gas mains		
BH12A SE Gas mains 539220 179859 BH13A SE Gas mains 539569 179881 BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539159 179783 BH16A SE Gas mains 539510 179932 BH17A SE Gas mains 539671 179750 BH18A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539423 179434 BH20A SE Gas mains 539384 179457 BH21A SE Gas mains 539395 179474 BH22A SE Gas mains 539499 179367 BH23A SE Gas mains 539499 179474 BH22A SE Gas mains 53941 179891 BH24A SE Gas mains 53949 179807 BH25A SE Gas mains 539605 179838 B1. BGS 539611 179774 B29a BGS 539670 179800 B29b </td <td>BH11A</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	BH11A			
BH13A SE Gas mains 539569 179881 BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539159 179783 BH16A SE Gas mains 539510 179932 BH17A SE Gas mains 539671 179750 BH18A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539343 179434 BH20A SE Gas mains 539384 179457 BH21A SE Gas mains 539499 179367 BH22A SE Gas mains 539541 179891 BH24A SE Gas mains 539549 179910 BH25A SE Gas mains 539605 179838 B1. BGS 539611 179774 B29a BGS 539610 179840 B29b BGS 539180 179970 B2 BGS 539180 179774 B29b BGS 539330 17960 B29c BGS				
BH14A SE Gas mains 539741 179669 BH15A SE Gas mains 539159 179783 BH16A SE Gas mains 539510 179932 BH17A SE Gas mains 539671 179750 BH18A SE Gas mains 539436 179424 BH19A SE Gas mains 539343 179437 BH20A SE Gas mains 539384 179457 BH21A SE Gas mains 539499 179367 BH22A SE Gas mains 539499 179367 BH23A SE Gas mains 539541 179891 BH24A SE Gas mains 539560 179810 BH25A SE Gas mains 539605 179838 B1. BGS 539611 179774 B29a BGS 539610 179800 B29b BGS 539610 179760 B29c BGS 539180 179970 B2 BGS 539330 179610 B4 BGS	BH13A			
BH15ASE Gas mains539159179783BH16ASE Gas mains539510179932BH17ASE Gas mains539671179750BH18ASE Gas mains539436179424BH19ASE Gas mains539423179434BH20ASE Gas mains539384179457BH21ASE Gas mains539395179474BH22ASE Gas mains539541179891BH23ASE Gas mains539549179910BH25ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539605179838B1.BGS539611179774B29aBGS539670179760B29bBGS539180179970B2BGS53930017960B29dBGS539300179610B4aBGS539300179610B4aBGS539930179774B7BGS539930179610B4aBGS539930179610B4aBGS53993017970B2BGS539910179787B7BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539936180402B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463		SE Gas mains		
BH16ASE Gas mains539510179932BH17ASE Gas mains539671179750BH18ASE Gas mains539436179424BH19ASE Gas mains539423179434BH20ASE Gas mains539384179457BH21ASE Gas mains539395179474BH22ASE Gas mains539541179891BH23ASE Gas mains539549179910BH24ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539605179838B1.BGS539611179774B29aBGS539670179760B29bBGS539180179970B2BGS53930417960B29dBGS53930417960B29dBGS53918017970B2BGS539300179610B4aBGS539300179610B4aBGS539910179787B7BGS539900180200B9BGS539900180200B9BGS539904180402B10BGS539930180402B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463		SE Gas mains	539159	179783
BH17ASE Gas mains539671179750BH18ASE Gas mains539436179424BH19ASE Gas mains539423179434BH20ASE Gas mains539384179457BH21ASE Gas mains539395179474BH22ASE Gas mains539499179367BH23ASE Gas mains539541179891BH24ASE Gas mains539549179910BH25ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539605179800B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS53967017960B29cBGS539730179600B29dBGS539384179634B3BGS539810179970B4BGS539300179610B4aBGS539920180260B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539932180410B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539971180463	-			
BH18ASE Gas mains539436179424BH19ASE Gas mains539423179434BH20ASE Gas mains539384179457BH21ASE Gas mains539395179474BH22ASE Gas mains539499179367BH23ASE Gas mains539541179891BH24ASE Gas mains539549179910BH25ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539605179800B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS539670179600B29bBGS539670179600B29cBGS539180179970B2BGS539300179600B29dBGS539300179600B29dBGS539300179610B4BGS539300179610B4BGS539490179910B6BGS539940179910B6BGS539900180200B7BGS539900180200B8BGS539900180402B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	BH17A	SE Gas mains		
BH19ASE Gas mains539423179434BH20ASE Gas mains539384179457BH21ASE Gas mains539395179474BH22ASE Gas mains539499179367BH23ASE Gas mains539541179891BH24ASE Gas mains539549179910BH25ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539605179800B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS539670179760B29bBGS539670179600B29cBGS539180179970B2BGS539330179600B29dBGS539310179610B4BGS539300179610B4BGS539490179910B6BGS539646179787B7BGS539900180200B9BGS539904180402B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539971180463	BH18A			
BH20ASE Gas mains539384179457BH21ASE Gas mains539395179474BH22ASE Gas mains539499179367BH23ASE Gas mains539541179891BH24ASE Gas mains539549179910BH25ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539605179800B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS539670179760B29cBGS539180179970B2BGS539810179970B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179790B4BGS539300179610B4aBGS539920180260B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463				179434
BH22ASE Gas mains539499179367BH23ASE Gas mains539541179891BH24ASE Gas mains539549179910BH25ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539605179800B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS539670179800B29bBGS539670179760B29cBGS539730179600B29dBGS539180179970B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179700B4BGS539930179610B4aBGS539940179910B6BGS539920180260B7BGS539900180200B9BGS539932180410B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539971180463	BH20A	SE Gas mains	539384	179457
BH23ASE Gas mains539541179891BH24ASE Gas mains539549179910BH25ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539580179800B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS539620179840B29bBGS539670179760B29cBGS539180179970B29dBGS539180179970B29dBGS539384179634B3BGS539300179610B4BGS539490179910B6BGS539920180260B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539936180402B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539971180463	BH21A	SE Gas mains	539395	179474
BH23ASE Gas mains539541179891BH24ASE Gas mains539549179910BH25ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539580179800B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS539620179840B29bBGS539670179760B29cBGS539180179970B29dBGS539180179970B29dBGS539384179634B3BGS539300179610B4BGS539490179910B6BGS539946180260B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539936180402B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539971180463	BH22A	SE Gas mains	539499	179367
BH25ASE Gas mains539605179838B1.BGS539580179800B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS539620179840B29bBGS539670179760B29cBGS539730179660B29dBGS539180179970B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179700B4BGS539490179910B6BGS539490179910B6BGS539920180260B7BGS539900180200B9BGS539904180402B10BGS539932180410B13BGS539971180463	BH23A		539541	179891
B1.BGS539580179800B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS539620179840B29bBGS539670179760B29cBGS539730179660B29dBGS539180179970B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179700B4BGS539300179610B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539920180260B7BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539932180410B13BGS539971180463	BH24A	SE Gas mains	539549	179910
B29BGS539611179774B29aBGS539620179840B29bBGS539670179760B29cBGS539730179600B29dBGS539180179970B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179700B4BGS539330179610B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539646179787B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539936180402B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	BH25A	SE Gas mains	539605	179838
B29aBGS539620179840B29bBGS539670179760B29cBGS539730179660B29dBGS539180179970B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179790B4BGS539300179610B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539920180260B7BGS539900180200B9BGS539904180402B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539971180463	B1.	BGS	539580	179800
B29bBGS539670179760B29cBGS53973017960B29dBGS539180179970B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179790B4BGS539330179610B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539940179910B6BGS539920180260B7BGS539936180402B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539971180463	B29	BGS	539611	179774
B29cBGS539730179660B29dBGS539180179970B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179700B4BGS539300179610B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539646179787B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539904180402B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B29a	BGS	539620	179840
B29dBGS539180179970B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179790B4BGS539330179610B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539646179787B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539936180402B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539971180463	B29b	BGS	539670	179760
B2BGS539384179634B3BGS539810179790B4BGS539330179610B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539646179787B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B29c	BGS	539730	179660
B3BGS539810179790B4BGS539330179610B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539646179787B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539971180463	B29d	BGS	539180	179970
B4BGS539330179610B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539646179787B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B2	BGS	539384	179634
B4aBGS539490179910B6BGS539646179787B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B3	BGS	539810	179790
B6BGS539646179787B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B4	BGS	539330	179610
B7BGS539920180260B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B4a	BGS	539490	179910
B8BGS539900180200B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B6	BGS	539646	179787
B9BGS539936180402B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B7	BGS	539920	180260
B10BGS539904180426B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B8	BGS	539900	180200
B11BGS539932180410B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B9	BGS	539936	180402
B12BGS539946180448B13BGS539971180463	B10	BGS	539904	180426
B13 BGS 539971 180463	B11	BGS	539932	180410
	B12	BGS	539946	180448
B14 BGS 539987 180436	B13	BGS	539971	180463
	B14	BGS	539987	180436

B14a	BGS	539850	180170
B14b	BGS	539870	180520
B14c	BGS	539910	180450
B14d	BGS	539788	180682
B16	BGS	539870	180230
B19	BGS	539946	180494
B19a	BGS	539871	180561
B21	BGS	539801	180630
B22	BGS	539990	180490
B23	BGS	539920	180450
B24	BGS	540150	180710
B25	BGS	540110	180710
B25a	BGS	540260	180740
B25b	BGS	540280	180740

APPENDIX 2: RESULTS OF THE FIELD-BASED BOREHOLE DESCRIPTIONS

Results of the field-based lithostratigraphic description of borehole NSBH01, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

Depth (m OD)	Depth (m BGS)	Composition
4.85 to -3.25	0 to 8.10	Made Ground
-3.25 to -4.80	8.10 to 9.65	Blue-grey silty clay (alluvium) with dark brown pockets
		of peat and including fragments of wood (interrupted
		recovery)
>-4.80	>9.65	Sands and gravels

Results of the field-based lithostratigraphic description of borehole NITBH02, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

Depth (m OD)	Depth (m BGS)	Composition
5.28 to -4.72	0 to 10+	Made Ground

Results of the field-based lithostratigraphic description of borehole NTBH01, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

Depth (m OD)	Depth (m BGS)	Composition
2.76 to 1.56	0 to 1.20	Made Ground
1.56 to -1.44	1.20 to 4.20	Blue-grey silty clay (alluvium) with occasional
		inclusions of waterlogged wood and Mollusca
-1.44 to -3.34	4.20 to 6.10	Dark brown; Well humified wood peat with occasional
		clay inclusions
-3.34 to 4.74	6.10 to <i>ca</i> . 7.50	Blue-grey silty clay (alluvium) with occasional
		inclusions of waterlogged wood
-4.74 to -5.84	<i>ca</i> . 7.50 to 8.60	Dark brown moderately humified peat with wood and
		herbaceous inclusions, becoming more sandy with
		depth
>-5.84	>8.60	Sands and gravels

Results of the field-based lithostratigraphic description of borehole SSBH03, London Cable Car London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich (site code: CAC11)

Depth (m OD)	Depth (m BGS)	Composition
5.34 to 0.14	0 to 5.20	Contaminated Made Ground
0.14 to -0.86	5.20 to 6.20	Blue-grey silty clay (alluvium) with occasional
		inclusions of waterlogged wood and Mollusca.
-0.86 to -2.81	6.20 to 8.15	Reddish brown well humified wood peat with inclusions
		of silt and clay
>-2.81	>8.15	Sands and gravels

APPENDIX 2: OASIS

Project details	
Project name	A REPORT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF BOREHOLES COLLECTED FROM THE LONDON CABLE CAR ROUTE
Short description o the project	f This report summarises the results of an environmental archaeological analysis of two boreholes, and follows on from geoarchaeological fieldwork, deposit modelling and assessment of the sub-surface stratigraphy carried out along the route of the proposed London Cable Car. The results have revealed a sequence of Shepperton Gravel overlain by peat and alluvium, capped by varying thicknesses of made ground.
Project dates	Start: 01-02-2011 End: 31-01-2012
Previous/future work	Yes / No
Any associated project reference codes	d CAC11 - Sitecode
Type of project	Environmental assessment
Site status	None
Project location	
Project location	England
Project location Country Site location	England GREATER LONDON GREENWICH GREENWICH London Cable Car
Country	
Country Site location	GREATER LONDON GREENWICH GREENWICH London Cable Car
Country Site location Site location	GREATER LONDON GREENWICH GREENWICH London Cable Car GREATER LONDON NEWHAM EAST HAM London Cable Car
Country Site location Site location Postcode	GREATER LONDON GREENWICH GREENWICH London Cable Car GREATER LONDON NEWHAM EAST HAM London Cable Car SE10

Height OD / Depth Min: -7.00m Max: 0m

Project creators

Name of Organisation Quaternary Scientific (QUEST)

Project brief originator Contractor (design and execute)

Project design Dr C.R. Batchelor originator

Project C.R. Batchelor director/manager

Project supervisor C.R. Batchelor

Type of Developer sponsor/funding body

Project archives

Physical Archive No Exists?

Physical Archive LAARC recipient

Digital Archive LAARC recipient

Digital Contents 'Stratigraphic'

Digital Media 'GIS','Images raster / digital photography','Images vector','Spreadsheets','Text' available

Paper Archive LAARC recipient

Paper Media available 'Correspondence', 'Notebook - Excavation', 'Research', 'General Notes'

Project bibliography 1	/
Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	A REPORT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF BOREHOLES COLLECTED FROM THE LONDON CABLE CAR ROUTE, LONDON BOROUGHS OF NEWHAM AND GREENWICH (site code: CAC11)
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Green, C.P.
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Batchelor, C.R.
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Young, D.S.
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Austin, P.
Other bibliographic details	C Unpublished Report July 2011; Project Number 140/10
Date	2011
lssuer or publisher	Quaternary Scientific (QUEST)
Place of issue or publication	r University of Reading
Project bibliography 2	
Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	A REPORT ON THE GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL BOREHOLE INVESTIGATIONS AND DEPOSIT MODELLING ON THE LONDON CABLE CAR ROUTE, LONDON BOROUGHS OF NEWHAM AND GREENWICH (site code: CAC11)
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Green, C.P.
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Batchelor, C.R.

Author(s)/Editor(s)Young, D.S.Other
detailsbibliographicUnpublished Report May 2011; Project Number 140/10Date2011Issuer or publisherQuaternary ScientificPlace of issue or
publicationUniversity of Reading
scientificEntered byRob Batchelor (c.r.batchelor@reading.ac.uk)Entered on31 January 2012

Appendix C – OASIS Form

OASIS ID: aocarcha1-105872

Project details

Project name Thames Cable Car

Short description of AOC Archaeology Group were commissioned by URS Scott Wilson, on behalf of the project their client MACE Ltd, to undertake a programme of archaeological investigation and geoarchaeological analysis for the site of the London Cable Car which will link the London Boroughs of Newham and Greenwich over the River Thames. The geoarchaeological analysis followed an assessment of borehole core samples which had identified the presence of peat and alluvial clay sequences spanning the Mesolithic, Neolithic and Bronze Age periods. Subsequent analysis of these samples has permitted a detailed reconstruction of spatial and temporal changes in the local environment, and allowed quantification of the relationships between vegetation succession, relative sea-level change, climate change and human activity in this area of the Lower Thames Valley. In addition, a watching brief was undertaken during the excavation of foundations for the cable car's North Tower and a walkover survey was conducted on the Thames foreshore in the vicinity of the South Tower. Neither piece of work identified archaeological finds or remains. As a result of the geoarchaeological analysis, an enhanced programme of radiocarbon dating and subsequent publication in a suitable academic journal is recommended.

Project dates Start: 01-09-2011

Previous/future work Yes / Not known

Any associated CAC11 - Sitecode project reference codes

Type of project Environmental assessment

England

Site status Area of Archaeological Importance (AAI)

Current Land use Other 15 - Other

Project location

Country


Site location	GREATER LONDON NEWHAM NEWHAM North Tower Main, Clyde Wharf, Victoria Dock
Postcode	EC14 0
Study area	10.00 Hectares
Site coordinates	TQ 396 805 51.5056639667 0.01169483669740 51 30 20 N 000 00 42 E Point
Ducient exectors	
Project creators	
Name of Organisation	AOC Archaeology
Project brief originator	EH GLAAS
Project design originator	AOC Archaeology
Project director/manager	Paul Mason
Project supervisor	Helen MacQuarrie
Project supervisor	Chris Clarke
Type of sponsor/funding body	f developer
Name of sponsor/funding body	MACE Ltd

Project bibliography 1

Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Publication type

Title	The London Cable Car:An Archaeological and Geoarchaeological Investigation Report
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Clarke, C, MacQuarrie, H and Mason, P
Date	2012
Issuer or publisher	AOC Archaeology
Place of issue or publication	London
Description	AOC Archaelology Grey Literature Report Project No 31002
Entered by	Paul Mason (paul.mason@aocarchaeology.com)
Entered on	1 February 2012





AOC Archaeology Group, Unit 7, St Margarets Business Centre, Moor Mead Road, Twickenham TW1 1JS tel: 020 8843 7380 | fax: 020 8892 0549 | e-mail: london@aocarchaeology.com

www.aocarchaeology.com