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## Appendix 1. Brief and specification

## SUFFOLK COUNTY COUNCIL ARCHAEOLOGICAL SERVICE - CONSERVATION TEAM

## Brief and Specification for an Archaeological Excavation

## LEISTON SUBSTATION 132kV CABLE ROUTE, LEISTON, SUFFOLK


#### Abstract

Although this document is fundamental to the work of the specialist archaeological contractor the developer should be aware that certain of its requirements are likely to impinge upon the working practices of a general building contractor and may have financial implications


1. The nature of the development and archaeological requirements
1.1 The Leiston Substation 132kV cable route is situated between TM 47196316 (north) and TM 46936272 (south), c. 1.00 km in length (Please contact the developer for a map of the route).
1.2 The route of the proposed pipeline is orientated north to south and curving westwards, between Sizewell Power station and the proposed Substation for Greater Gabbard Wind Farm, crossing the floodplain at the southern end of Sizewell Belts for $c .700 \mathrm{~m}$.
1.3 The principle ground disturbance will involve stripping associated with the easement believed to be c. 20.00 m in width, and also the cutting of the cable trenches (four trenches each 0.60 m wide $\times 1.40 \mathrm{~m}$ deep). The cables will be laid in open-cut trenches with directional drilling along three sections of the route. The proposed works associated with the cable route would cause significant ground disturbance that has potential to damage any archaeological deposit that exists.
1.4 The underlying drift geology comprises unconsolidated sand from the Red Crag formation with fen peat and river alluvium in the floodplain. The height of the proposed cable route varies between c. $0-10.00 \mathrm{~m}$ AOD.
1.5 A trenched evaluation was undertaken by Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service Field Team (SCCAS Report 2008/115). A palaeo-environmental survey and assessment has been also undertaken (the assessment work has not yet been completed). The trenched evaluation defined archaeological features along the proposed route, in the form of ditches, pits and post-holes, with finds dating to the medieval period.
1.6 In order to comply with the planning condition, the Conservation Team of the Archaeological Service of Suffolk County Council (SCCAS/CT) has been requested to provide a brief and specification for the archaeological recording of archaeological deposits that will be affected by development. An outline specification, which defines certain minimum criteria, is set out below.
2. Brief for Archaeological Investigation
2.1 An archaeological excavation, as specified in Section 3, is to be carried out prior to development, measuring $c .6,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in total area (c. 330.00 m long $\times 20.00 \mathrm{~m}$ wide) (see accompanying plan). In addition, an archaeological watching brief will be required during the cutting of the cable trenches; this will be the subject of a further Brief and Specification.
2.2 The excavation objective will be to provide a record of all archaeological deposits which would otherwise be damaged or removed by development, including services and landscaping permitted by the consent. Adequate time is to be allowed for archaeological recording of archaeological deposits during excavation.
2.3 The academic objective will centre upon the potential for this site to produce, in particular, evidence for medieval occupation, in the form of finds and features.
2.4 This project will be carried through in a manner broadly consistent with English Heritage's Management of Archaeological Projects, 1991 (MAP2). Excavation is to be followed by the preparation of a full archive, and an assessment of potential for analysis and publication. Analysis and final report preparation will follow assessment and will be the subject of a further brief and updated project design.
2.5 In accordance with the standards and guidance produced by the Institute of Field Archaeologists this brief should not be considered sufficient to enable the total execution of the project. A Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) based upon this brief and the accompanying outline specification of minimum requirements, is an essential requirement. This must be submitted by the developers, or their agent, to SCCAS/CT (Shire Hall, Bury St Edmunds IP33 2AR; telephone/fax: 01284 352443) for approval. The work must not commence until this office has approved both the archaeological contractor as suitable to undertake the work, and the WSI as satisfactory.
2.6 The WSI will provide the basis for measurable standards and will be used to establish whether the requirements of the planning condition will be adequately met; an important aspect of the WSI will be an assessment of the project in relation to the Regional Research Framework (East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Papers 3, 1997, 'Research and Archaeology: A Framework for the Eastern Counties, 1. resource assessment', and 8, 2000, 'Research and Archaeology: A Framework for the Eastern Counties, 2. research agenda and strategy').
2.7 Before any archaeological site work can commence it is the responsibility of the developer to provide the archaeological contractor with either the contaminated land report for the site or a written statement that there is no contamination. The developer should be aware that investigative sampling to test for contamination is likely to have an impact on any archaeological deposit which exists; proposals for sampling should be discussed with SCCAS/CT before execution.
2.8 The responsibility for identifying any restraints on archaeological field-work (e.g. Scheduled Monument status, Listed Building status, public utilities or other services, tree preservation orders, SSSIs, wildlife sites \&c.) rests with the commissioning body and its archaeological contractor. The existence and content of the archaeological brief does not over-ride such restraints or imply that the target area is freely available.
2.9 All arrangements for the excavation of the site, the timing of the work, access to the site, the definition of the precise area of landholding and area for proposed development are to be defined and negotiated with the commissioning body.
2.10 The developer or his archaeologist will give SCCAS/CT ten working days notice of the commencement of ground works on the site, in order that the work of the archaeological contractor may be monitored. The method and form of development will also be monitored to ensure that it conforms to previously agreed locations and techniques upon which this brief is based.

## 3. Specification for the Archaeological Excavation (See also Section 4)

The excavation methodology is to be agreed in detail before the project commences. Certain minimum criteria will be required:
3.1 Topsoil and subsoil deposits must be removed to the top of the first archaeological level by an appropriate machine with a back-acting arm fitted with a toothless bucket. All machine excavation is to be under the direct control and supervision of an archaeologist.
3.2 If the machine stripping is to be undertaken by the main contractor, all machinery must keep off the stripped areas until they have been fully excavated and recorded, in accordance with this specification. Full construction work must not begin until excavation has been completed and formally confirmed by SCCAS/CT.
3.3 The top of the first archaeological deposit may be cleared by machine, but must then be cleaned off by hand. There is a presumption that excavation of all archaeological deposits will be done by hand unless it can be shown there will not be a loss of evidence by using a machine. The decision as to the proper method of further excavation will be made by the senior project archaeologist with regard to the nature of the deposit.
3.4 All features which are, or could be interpreted as, structural must be fully excavated. Post-holes and pits must be examined in section and then fully excavated. Fabricated surfaces within the excavation area (e.g. yards and floors) must be fully exposed and cleaned. Any variation from this process can only be made by agreement with SCCAS/CT, and must be confirmed in writing.
3.5 All other features must be sufficiently examined to establish, where possible, their date and function. For guidance:
a) A minimum of $50 \%$ of the fills of the general features is be excavated (in some instances $100 \%$ may be requested).
b) $10 \%$ of the fills of substantial linear features (ditches, etc) are to be excavated. The samples must be representative of the available length of the feature and must take into account any variations in the shape or fill of the feature and any concentrations of artefacts. For linear features, 1.00 m wide slots (min.) should be excavated across their width.
3.6 Any variation from this process can only be made by agreement [if necessary on site] with a member of SCCAS/CT, and must be confirmed in writing.
3.7 Collect and prepare environmental bulk samples (for flotation and analysis by an environmental specialist). The fills of all archaeological features should be bulk sampled for palaeoenvironmental remains and assessed by an appropriate specialist. The WSI must provide details of a comprehensive sampling strategy for retrieving and processing biological remains (for palaeoenvironmental and palaeoeconomic investigations and also for absolute dating), and samples of sediments and/or soils (for micromorphological and other pedological/sedimentological analyses. All samples should be retained until their potential has been assessed. Advice on the appropriateness of the proposed strategies will be sought from J. Heathcote, English Heritage Regional Adviser in Archaeological Science (East of England). A guide to sampling archaeological deposits (Murphy, P.L. and Wiltshire, P.E.J., 1994, A guide to sampling archaeological deposits for environmental analysis) is available for viewing from SCCAS.
3.8 A finds recovery policy is to be agreed before the project commences. It should be addressed by the WSI. Sieving of occupation levels and building fills will be expected.
3.9 Use of a metal detector will form an essential part of finds recovery. Metal detector searches must take place at all stages of the excavation by an experienced metal detector user.
3.10 All finds will be collected and processed. No discard policy will be considered until the whole body of finds has been evaluated.
3.11 All ceramic, bone and stone artefacts to be cleaned and processed concurrently with the excavation to allow immediate evaluation and input into decision making.
3.12 Metal artefacts must be stored and managed on site in accordance with UK Institute of Conservators Guidelines and evaluated for significant dating and cultural implications before despatch to a conservation laboratory within four weeks of excavation.
3.13 Human remains are to be treated at all stages with care and respect, and are to be dealt with in accordance with the law. They must be recorded in situ and subsequently lifted, packed and marked to standards compatible with those described in the Institute of Field Archaeologists' Technical Paper 13: Excavation and post-excavation treatment of Cremated and Inhumed Human Remains, by McKinley \& Roberts. Proposals for the final disposition of remains following study and analysis will be required in the WSI.
3.14 Plans of the archaeological features on the site should normally be drawn at 1:20 or $1: 50$, depending on the complexity of the data to be recorded. Sections should be drawn at 1:10 or 1:20 again depending on the complexity to be recorded. All levels should relate to Ordnance Datum. Any variations from this must be agreed with SCCAS/CT.
3.15 A photographic record of the work is to be made, consisting of both monochrome photographs and colour transparencies/high resolution digital images, and documented in a photographic archive.
3.16 Excavation record keeping is to be consistent with the requirements the County Historic Environment Record and compatible with its archive. Methods must be agreed with SCCAS/CT.

## 4. General Management

4.1 A timetable for all stages of the project must be agreed before the first stage of work commences.
4.2 Monitoring of the archaeological work will be undertaken by SCCAS/CT. A decision on the monitoring required will be made by SCCAS/CT on submission of the accepted WSI.
4.3 The composition of the project staff must be detailed and agreed (this is to include any subcontractors). For the site director and other staff likely to have a major responsibility for the post-excavation processing of this site there must be a statement of their responsibilities for post-excavation work on other archaeological sites.
4.4 Provision should be included in the WSI for outreach activities, for example, in the form of an open day and/or local public presentation and/or outreach activity for local schools.
4.5 It is the archaeological contractor's responsibility to ensure that adequate resources are available to fulfill the Brief.
4.6 A detailed risk assessment and management strategy must be presented for this particular site.
4.7 The WSI must include proposed security measures to protect the site and both excavated and unexcavated finds from vandalism and theft.
> 4.8 Provision for the reinstatement of the ground and filling of dangerous holes must be detailed in the WSI. However, trenches should not be backfilled without the approval of SCCAS/CT.
4.9 No initial survey to detect public utility or other services has taken place. The responsibility for this rests with the archaeological contractor.
4.10 Detailed standards, information and advice to supplement this specification are to be found in Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England, East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Papers 14, 2003. The Institute of Field Archaeologists' Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Excavation (revised 2001) should be used for additional guidance in the execution of the project and in drawing up the report.

## 5. Archive Requirements

5.1 Within four weeks of the end of field-work a written timetable for post-excavation work must be produced, which must be approved by SCCAS/CT. Following this a written statement of progress on post-excavation work whether archive, assessment, analysis or final report writing will be required at three monthly intervals.
5.2 The project manager must consult the County Historic Environment Record Officer (Dr Colin Pendleton) to obtain a Historic Environment Record number for the work. This number will be unique for the site and must be clearly marked on any documentation relating to the work.
5.3 An archive of all records and finds is to be prepared consistent with the principle of English Heritage's Management of Archaeological Projects, 1991 (MAP2), particularly Appendix 3. However, the detail of the archive is to be fuller than that implied in MAP2 Appendix 3.2.1. The archive is to be sufficiently detailed to allow comprehension and further interpretation of the site should the project not proceed to detailed analysis and final report preparation. It must be adequate to perform the function of a final archive for lodgement in the County Historic Environment Record or museum.
5.4 A complete copy of the site record archive must be deposited with the County Historic Environment Record within 12 months of the completion of fieldwork. It will then become publicly accessible.
5.5 The data recording methods and conventions used must be consistent with, and approved by, the County Historic Environment Record. All record drawings of excavated evidence are to be presented in drawn up form, with overall site plans. All records must be on an archivally stable and suitable base.
5.6 The project manager should consult the SCCAS Archive Guidelines 2008 and also the County Historic Environment Record Officer regarding the requirements for the deposition of the archive (conservation, ordering, organisation, labelling, marking and storage) of excavated material and the archive. A clear statement of the form, intended content, and standards of the archive is to be submitted for approval as an essential requirement of the WSI.
5.7 Finds must be appropriately conserved and stored in accordance with UK Institute Conservators Guidelines.
5.8 The site archive quoted at MAP2 Appendix 3, must satisfy the standard set by the "Guideline for the preparation of site archives and assessments of all finds other than fired clay vessels" of the Roman Finds Group and the Finds Research Group AD7001700 (1993).
5.9 Pottery should be recorded and archived to a standard comparable with 6.3 above, i.e. The Study of Later Prehistoric Pottery: General Policies and Guidelines for Analysis
and Publication, Prehistoric Ceramics Research Group Occ Paper 1 (1991, rev 1997), the Guidelines for the archiving of Roman Pottery, Study Group Roman Pottery (ed M G Darling 1994) and the Guidelines of the Medieval Pottery Group (in draft).
5.10 All coins must be identified and listed as a minimum archive requirement.
5.11 Every effort must be made to get the agreement of the landowner/developer to the deposition of the finds with the County Historic Environment Record or a museum in Suffolk which satisfies Museum and Galleries Commission requirements, as an indissoluble part of the full site archive. If this is not achievable for all or parts of the finds archive then provision must be made for additional recording (e.g. photography, illustration, analysis) as appropriate.
5.12 Where positive conclusions are drawn from a project, a summary report in the established format, suitable for inclusion in the annual 'Archaeology in Suffolk' section of the Proceedings of the Suffolk Institute for Archaeology journal, must be prepared and included in the project report, or submitted to SCCAS/CT by the end of the calendar year in which the evaluation work takes place, whichever is the sooner.
5.13 Where appropriate, a digital vector trench plan should be included with the report, which must be compatible with MapInfo GIS software, for integration in the County Historic Environment Record. AutoCAD files should be also exported and saved into a format that can be can be imported into Maplnfo (for example, as a Drawing Interchange File or .dxf) or already transferred to .TAB files.
5.14 At the start of work (immediately before fieldwork commences) an OASIS online record http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/project/oasis/ must be initiated and key fields completed on Details, Location and Creators forms.
5.15 All parts of the OASIS online form must be completed for submission to the County Historic Environment Record. This should include an uploaded .pdf version of the entire report (a paper copy should also be included with the archive).

## 6. Report Requirements

6.1 An assessment report on the fieldwork and archive must be provided consistent with the principle of MAP2, particularly Appendix 4. The report must be integrated with the archive.
6.2 The objective account of the archaeological evidence must be clearly distinguished from its archaeological interpretation.
6.3 An important element of the report will be a description of the methodology.
6.4 Reports on specific areas of specialist study must include sufficient detail to permit assessment of potential for analysis, including tabulation of data by context, and must include non-technical summaries.
6.5 Provision should be made to assess the potential of scientific dating techniques for establishing the date range of significant artefact or ecofact assemblages, features or structures.
6.6 The results should be related to the relevant known archaeological information held in the County Historic Environment Record.
6.7 The report will give an opinion as to the potential and necessity for further analysis of the excavation data beyond the archive stage, and the suggested requirement for publication; it will refer to the Regional Research Framework (see above, 2.5). Further
analysis will not be embarked upon until the primary fieldwork results are assessed and the need for further work is established. Analysis and publication can be neither developed in detail or costed in detail until this brief and specification is satisfied. However, the developer should be aware that there is a responsibility to provide a publication of the results of the programme of work.
6.8 The assessment report must be presented within six months of the completion of fieldwork unless other arrangements are negotiated with the project sponsor and SCCAS/CT.
6.9 The involvement of SCCAS/CT should be acknowledged in any report or publication generated by this project.

Specification by: Dr Jess Tipper
Suffolk County Council
Archaeological Service Conservation Team
Environment and Transport Department
Shire Hall
Bury St Edmunds
Suffolk IP33 2AR
Tel: 01284352197
Email: jess.tipper@et.suffolkcc.gov.uk

Date: 12 May 2008
Reference: / LeistonSubstation132kVCableRoute2008

This brief and specification remains valid for 12 months from the above date. If work is not carried out in full within that time this document will lapse; the authority should be notified and a revised brief and specification may be issued.

If the work defined by this brief forms a part of a programme of archaeological work required by a Planning Condition, the results must be considered by the Conservation Team of the Archaeological Service of Suffolk County Council, who have the responsibility for advising the appropriate Planning Authority.

## Appendix 2. Context list

| Context Feature | Identifier | Type | Description <br> Number | Finds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Unstratified | Unstratified finds (entire site) |
| :--- |
| 1000 |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1025 | 1023 | Finds | Pottery | Large fragment of medieval pitcher from lower levels of Fill 1024 (Pit 1023). |
| 1026 | 1023 | Finds | Surface find | Surface finds associated with Pit 1023. |
| 1027 | 1027 | Pit | Cut | Probable oval pit, extending slightly beyond south site edge. The feature is <br> shallow with an uneven base. 1.90m long (SE-NW) x 1.40m wide x 0.35 m |
| maximum depth. |  |  |  |  |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1048 | 1041 | Finds | Surface find | Surface finds from possible trackway, recovered during cleaning. |
| 1049 | 1049 | Post-hole | Cut | Oval ( 0.30 m ENE-WSW x 0.26 m WNW-ESE) in plan x 0.76 m deep. Steep sided bowl like profile. Possibly associated with clay layer 1071/ post-hole 1044. |
| 1050 | 1049 | Post-hole | Fill | Soft mid brown/orange - grey slightly silty sand with occas. small rounded stones. |
| 1051 | 1051 | Pit | Cut | Small round pit with shallow dished profile. $0.56 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.54 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. |
| 1052 | 1051 | Pit | Fill | Mid grey silty sand with small grey clay lumps, charcoal flecks and small subangular stones. |
| 1053 | 1053 | Pit | Cut | Shallow oval pit with flat base. 1.00 m (SW-NE) $\times 0.74 \mathrm{~m}$ (NW-SE) $\times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Possibly a natural hollow. |
| 1054 | 1053 | Pit | Fill | Mid grey silty sand with no inclusions. Possibly a natural hollow. |
| 1055 | 1055 | Ditch | Cut | Ditch enclosing oven group 1035 and post-hole group 1092. Dished profile, 1.35 m wide x 0.45 m deep. Probably same as Ditch 1320 further to the east. |
| 1056 | 1055 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1055 Mid grey/brown sticky, silty, clayey sand with very occasional small stones and charcoal flecks. |
| 1057 |  | Ditch/Oven | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1055 and Oven Group 1035. |
| 1058 | 1058 | Pit | Cut | Small oval pit 1.02 m (NW-SE) x 0.74 m (SW-NE) x 0.36 m deep. Steep sided with slightly dished base. Revealed after possible trackway 1041 was removed. |
| 1059 | 1058 | Pit | Fill | Soft light brown-grey, slightly silty sand, mottled with orange sand. Lumps of blue/grey clay ( 50 mm ), heat altered clay and very occasional charcoal flecks within fill. Waterlogged towards base. |
| 1060 | 1055 | Ditch | Segment | Transverse segment through Ditch 1055 at the south edge of site area. Ditch width: $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Ditch continues to have partial clay lining (1066), as at Seg. 1057. |
| 1061 | 1055 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1055 at Segment 1060. Mid brown/grey silty sand and clay with flint, chalk and clay lumps. |
| 1062 | 1062 | Pit | Cut | Oval in plan: $1.40 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{S}) \times 0.82 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}) \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Concave sides, dished base. Cuts adjacent Pit 1033. |
| 1063 | 1062 | Pit | Fill | Mottled mid brown silty sand with large lumps of clay ( 80 mm ), flint and bone. Extensive animal/worm disturbance. |
| 1064 | 1064 | Pit | Cut | Small circular pit ( $0.43 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ diameter). Shallow concave profile 0.13 m deep. |
| 1065 | 1064 | Pit | Fill | Compacted grey clay, including heat altered fragments and occasional charcoal flecks. |
| 1066 | 1055 | Ditch | Fill | Clay lining to upper sides of ditch 1055 , similar to that seen at segment 1057(1152) |
| 1067 | 1041 | Linear feature | Fill | Soft, but compacted and trampled layer of mid-dark brown/grey silty sand, mottled darker in places. Moderate charcoal flecks and occasional rounded stones ( 60 mm ). Deposit is quite vertically truncated |
| 1068 | - | Soil profile | Deposit | Deposit recorded in south-east edge of site baulk: Mid to pale brown, slightly clayey silty sand, mixed stones occasional charcoal flecks.(contained pottery sherd 1074) |
| 1069 | - | Soil profile | Deposit | Deposit recorded in south-east edge of site baulk: mottled mid-pale brown/orange slightly silty sand with occas. stones and charcoal flecks. |
| 1070 | - | Soil profile | Deposit | Deposit recorded in south-east edge of site baulk: pale brown silty sand with patches of orange sand. Variable stones and occasional charcoal flecks. |
| 1071 | - | Soil profile | Deposit | Deposit recorded in south-east edge of site baulk: mixed blue and yellow clays with chalk flecks and pea shingle. Possibly part of trackway 1041. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1072 | - | Soil profile | Deposit | Deposit recorded in south-east edge of site baulk: orange sand (fairly firm), <br> with iron pan. Natural deposit. |
| 1073 | - | Soil profile | Deposit | Deposit recorded in south-east edge of site baulk: soft orange sand with <br> mottled brown patches. Probably natural. |
| 1074 | - | Post | Post-holes | Froup |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1095 | 1095 | Post-hole | Cut | Oval in plan 0.48 m (N-S) x 0.60 m (E-W) x 0.18 m deep. Uneven steep sided <br> profile with concave sides and flattish base. |
| 1096 | 1095 | Post-hole | Fill | Dark grey silty sand, with charcoal, occasional pebbles and iron pan. Loose <br> and waterlogged. |
| 1097 | 1097 | Post-hole | Cut | Post-hole |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1116 | 1101 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1101. Soft, mid brown/orange/grey silty sand with some iron <br> panning to the west. Occasional small rounded stones (up to 40mm), occas. <br> charcoal flecks and oyster shell. |
| 1117 | - | Building | Group | Dense group of post-holes located to the west of ditch 1055 at the south edge <br> of site. Probable medieval cob and post-hole walled building, with numerous <br> adaptations and repairs. All postholes packed with a grey/yellow clay. |
| 1118 | 1118 | Post-hole | Post | Post-hole |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1138 | 1150 | Linear feature | Segment | Segment/slot through probable Wall 1150/1137 associated with Post-hole Group 1117. |
| 1139 | 1141 | Oven | Stake-holes | Nine stake-holes situated around Oven 1141 (especially Layer 1129). 4550 mm diameter; all but 2 pierce the clay oven base ( $40-60 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick). Fills of Stake-Holes (not numbered), mid-light silty sand. |
| 1140 | 1141/1145 | Oven/ditch | Segment | North -south section through Oven 1141 and Ditch 1145 (east end of Group 1035) |
| 1141 | 1141 | Oven | Structure | Remains of clay structure of oven (only the base of the oven survived). Structure is of pale brown chalky clay (some areas heat altered) with Stakeholes 1139. |
| 1142 | 1142 | Pit | Cut | Oval in plan: 0.90 m NW-SE $\times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ SW-NE $\times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. |
| 1143 | 1142 | Pit | Fill | Upper fill of Pit 1142: very firm gritty clay with fine chalk fragments. Very waterlogged. |
| 1144 | 1142 | Pit | Fill | Lower fill of Pit 1142: remains of preserved charred timber fragments lying in base of waterlogged pit (depth 0.25 m ). Examined and discarded. |
| 1145 | 1145 | Ditch | Cut | Short, linear ditch running NE-SW for c. 2.50 m , cut by Oven construction trench 1221. Dished profile: 0.80 m wide $\times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. |
| 1146 | 1145 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1145. Mid brown silty sand (darker at the southern edge), with occas. charcoal and clay pockets, firm. |
| 1147 | 1145 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1145. Light grey brown silty sand, with slump of white sand along south edge (probably remnant fill of earlier ditch [1307]. Rare charcoal flecks and small stones. |
| 1148 | 1145 | Ditch | Segment | Segment of Ditch 1145, to east of Oven 1141. |
| 1149 | 1150 | Linear feature | Deposit | Primary deposit within probable wall trench 1150. Reddish grey clayey sand. |
| 1150 | 1150 | Linear feature | Cut | Cut of foundation trench for probable wall of clay and post-hole structure, aligned SE-NW. C. 0.70 m wide x c .0 .15 m deep x at least 3.50 m long (possibly extends beyond SE site edge). Uneven profile. |
| 1151 | 1133 | Pit | Fill | Lower fill of Pit 1133. Dark brown silty sand with shell and frequent charcoal. |
| 1152 | 1055 | Ditch | Deposit | Lining deposit along upper edges of Ditch 1055. Re-used clay, probably put in place to reduce erosion of sandy edges of ditch. A similar deposit occurs further along this ditch at Segment 1113 \& 1057 |
| 1153 | 1153 | Post-hole | Cut | Cut of post-hole at the edge of SW edge of Ditch 1055. Circular in plan (c. 0.50 m dia.), steep sided with a rounded base. |
| 1154 | 1153 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1153. Mid brown silty sand with very occasional small rounded stones. Heavy animal disturbance. |
| 1155 | 1106/1156 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditches 1106 and 1156 (parallel N-S ditches). |
| 1156 | 1156 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of N-S ditch running parallel to adjacent Ditch 1106. Uneven profile with gently sloping sides, original width c 2.00 m (cut by 1106) x c 0.30 m deep. |
| 1157 | 1106 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1106. Mid brown silty sand with clay. Oyster/whelk shell, soft and waterlogged. |
| 1158 | 1106/1156 | Ditch | Fill | Arbitrary fill number for area of uncertain relationship between Ditches 1106 \& 1156. The finds are, on reflection most likely to relate to Ditch 1106, which is thought to cut 1156 . |
| 1159 | 1156 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1156 at Segment 1156. Dark brown/grey silty sand with bone and shell fragments. Soft and waterlogged. |
| 1160 | 1160 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan ( $0.35-0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ dia.) , x 0.40 m deep. Steep sided with a concave base. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1161 | 1160 | Post-hole | Fill | Primary fill of Post-hole 1160 . Orangy brown slightly silty sand, loose and moist. |
| 1162 | 1160 | Post-hole | Fill | Secondary (main) fill of Post-hole 1160.Mixed orange sand and yellowish grey clay with occasional small chalk and charcoal flecks (the pottery sherds were found incorporated into the clay). |
| 1163 | 1135/1136 | Layer | Segment | Segment through Layer 1135, to expose Layer 1136 (includes Post-holes 1160 and 1164) |
| 1164 | 1164 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan ( 0.49 m dia.), steep sided, with a concave base ( 0.41 m deep). |
| 1165 | 1164 | Post-hole | Fill | Primary fill of Post-hole 1164. Orangy brown sand, soft and moist. |
| 1166 | 1164 | Post-hole | Fill | Secondary (main) fill of Post-hole 1164. Solid grey clay with occasional small chalk nodules and charcoal. |
| 1167 | 1106 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1106 in order to establish change of direction from NE-SW to N-S (turning at junction with Ditch 1156). |
| 1168 | 1106 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1106 at Seg. 1167.Mid brown/grey silty sand with clay content, with heat altered flint/sandstone, shells, bone frags. |
| 1169 | 1106 | Ditch | Fill | Central fill of Ditch 1106 at Seg. 1167. Pale brown clay with roof tile frag., and bone fragments. |
| 1170 | 1106 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1106 at Seg. 1167. Dark grey/black silt and sand (waterlogged), with bone (including fish bone) and preserved wood fragments with some heat altered flint. |
| 1171 | 1171 | Linear feature | Cut | Cut of amorphous, linear feature with indistinct edges. Orientated NW-SE c 0.80 m wide, probably cutting Trackway 1041. |
| 1172 | 1172 | Well/Water pi | Cut | Irregular rectangle in plan, c2.80m (NW-SE) x c2.50m (SW-NE) much larger than structure 1219. Depth difficult to determine (est. $1.00 \mathrm{~m}+$ ), steep sided, very waterlogged with probable flat base. |
| 1173 | 1172 | Well/Water pi | Fill | Very waterlogged mid brown silty sand, with darker areas, especially near to timber components 1219 . Small pebbles/stones ( $10-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), rare larger flints ( $0-80 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), bone, wood frags, charcoal, organics. |
| 1174 | 1174 | Post-hole | Cut | Approx. circular in plan ( 0.50 m dia.), moderate slope to sides, with flat base ( 0.12 m deep). Poorly defined feature. |
| 1175 | 1174 | Post-hole | Fill | Mixed yellow-orange sand with grey/brown sand and small clay lumps. Frequent charcoal lumps. |
| 1176 | - | - | - | Unused number |
| 1177 | - | - | - | Unused number |
| 1178 | 1178 | Pit | Cut | Oval in plan ( 3.10 m NW-SE x 2.75 m NE-SW). Very waterlogged/poorly defined base ( c 0.45 m deep). Steep sided. |
| 1179 | 1178 | Pit | Fill | Upper fill of Pit 1178. Loose dark brown sand with occasional pebbles. |
| 1180 | 1180 | Pit | Cut | Near circular in plan ( 0.73 m N-S x 0.68 m E-W). Bowl shaped profile, with concave base ( 0.25 m deep). Waterlogged. |
| 1181 | 1180 | Pit | Fill | Mid grey silty sand (waterlogged), with grey clay lumps and occasional charcoal. |
| 1182 | 1156/1183 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditches 1156 and 1183 (pair of adjacent N-S running linear ditches). Relationship unclear, possibly contemporary. |
| 1183 | 1183 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of N-S linear ditch, running parallel to Ditch 1156. Gently dished profile, but undefined on west side, c 2.00 m wide x c 0.35 m deep |
| 1184 | 1156/1183 | Ditch | Fill | Combined fill of Ditches 1156 and 1183 (undistinguisable). Mid grey silty sand, with shell, heat altered flint/sandstone and bone frags. Finds mainly from Ditch 1183. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1185 | 1185 | Pit | Cut | Cut of probable pit or post-hole cut by Ditch 1156. Prob. circular in plan <br> (c0.42m dia.), steep sides and concave base (0.22m deep). |
| 1186 | 1185 | Pit | Fill | Fill of probable pit or post-hole cut by Ditch 1156. Mid grey silty sand with <br> occasional charcoal and clay lumps. |
| 1187 | 1187 | Pit? | Cut | Partially exposed feature at SE edge of site. Semi-circular portion exposed |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1211 | 1003 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1003 at Seg. 1210. Brown sand with virtually no inclusions except for numerous iron fragments (Small Find No. 2030). |
| 1212 | 1003 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1003 at Seg. 1210. Dark brown-black peaty fill with preserved organic matter. |
| 1213 | - | Deposits | Segment | Segment excavated to investigate multiple layers of sediment and peat revealed as NE-SW band. Probable natural water conditiioned deposits. |
| 1214 | - | Deposit | Layer | Mottled orange and brown sand layer excavated in Seg. 1213. Probable natural water conditioned deposit. |
| 1215 | - | Deposit | Layer | Dark brown peat layer excavated in Seg. 1213. Natural deposit. |
| 1216 | - | Deposit | Layer | Light grey sand layer excavated in Seg. 1213. Natural deposit. |
| 1217 | - | Deposit | Layer | Dark brown peat layer excavated in Seg.1213. Natural deposit. |
| 1218 | - | Deposit | Layer | Light grey/orange sand (between peat layers), excavated in Seg. 1213. Natural deposit. |
| 1219 | 1172 | Timbers | Group | Group No. for well or water pit lining made from reused boat timbers. |
| 1220 | 1220 | Pit | Cut | Circular in plan ( 1.54 m dia.) $\times 0.52 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Bowl shaped profile . |
| 1221 | 1141 | Oven | Cut | Cut of oven construction pit. $\mathrm{c} 1.00 \mathrm{~mW} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{L} \times 0.33 \mathrm{~m}$ D. Flat base with gently sloping sides. |
| 1222 | 1141 | Oven | Structure | Clay structure of Oven 1141. Pale brown chalky clay, with variable vitrification and integral Stake Holes 1139. |
| 1223 | 1018 | Timbers | Timber Gro | Fragments of timber preserved in lower (waterlogged) fill of Pit 1018 (possible lining). 2 plank fragments and 3 stakes $1451,1452,1453,1456,1457$. (examined and discarded) |
| 1224 | 1145 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1145 at Seg. 1140. Located below Oven Structure/Cut 1222 \& 1221. |
| 1225 | 1225 | Pit | Cut | Probably circular in plan (mostly removed bt Pit 1142). (c. 0.70 m dia.) 0.27 m deep. Bowl shaped profile. Waterlogged. |
| 1226 | 1225 | Pit | Fill | Soft, dark grey sand (waterlogged) with occasional small pebbles. |
| 1227 | 1227 | Pit | Cut | Angular (near square) in plan, c. 1.50 m . Bowl shaped profile with a flat base 0.32 m deep. |
| 1228 | 1227 | Pit | Fill | Soft, gritty, blackened sand (waterlogged), with lumps of clay, heat altered flint and charcoal. |
| 1229 | 1254 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1254 (running NE-SW parallel to Ditch 1003). Mottled orange and brown sand. |
| 1230 | 1254 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1254. Light grey sand. |
| 1231 | 1254 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1254 (NE-SW running parallel to Ditch 1003). Gently dished sides/base $1.40 \mathrm{~mW} \times 0.20 \mathrm{mD}$. |
| 1232 | 1232 | Pit | Cut | Probably oval in plan, but substantially removed by Pit 1220 . C.1.50m wide (length uncertain) $\times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Bowl shaped profile with near flat base. |
| 1233 | 1232 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1232. Soft mid-dark brown/grey silty sand (mottled in places), with charcoal flecks, shell and occas. small stones. |
| 1234 | - | Layer? | Deposit? | Layer of slightly silty orange sand. Excavated in box section with Pit 1232. Probably disturbed natural. (Pot sherds from this context may be from 1234). |
| 1235 | 1235 | Post-hole | Cut | Subcircular in plan c. 0.42 m dia, with a concave base and sloping sides 0.13 m deep. |
| 1236 | 1235 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid-dark grey silty sand with charcoal smears. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1237 | 1237 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan c. 0.40 m dia $\times 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Concave base. Adjacent to 1235. |
| 1238 | 1237 | Post-hole | Fill | Light-mid grey silty sand with occasional charcoal flecks. |
| 1239 | 1239 | Post-hole | Cut | Poorly defined on surface (prob. circular in plan) c. 0.46 m dia $\times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ deep., with a concave base. |
| 1240 | 1239 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid brownish grey silty sand with occas. small rounded stones. |
| 1241 | 1241 | Post-hole | Cut | Subcircular in plan with a concave base. c. 0.38 m dia x 0.14 m deep. Cut by Slot 1243 |
| 1242 | 1241 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid grey silty sand, with occas. charcoal flecks. (struck flint found in fill) |
| 1243 | 1243 | Slot | Cut | Possible beam-slot orientated from NW-SE. 0.37 m W x 0.10 m D x c. 1.10 m L. Cutting Post-holes $1241 \& 1239$. The slot joins Ditch 1145 to SE. |
| 1244 | 1243 | Slot | Fill | light/mid grey silty sand with occasional charcoal smears. |
| 1245 | 1220 | Pit | Fill | Upper fill of Pit 1220. Firm, light brown/yellow clay, with charcoal flecks and occasional large stones (up to 150 mm ). |
| 1246 | 1220 | Pit | Fill | Mixed fill of Pit 1220. Moderately firm clayey silty sand, with charcoal flecks and lumps, chalk and stones ( 70 mm ). |
| 1247 | 1220 | Pit | Fill | Lower fill of Pit 1220. Moderately firm, but wet band of greenish grey, slightly clayey silty sand. V occas. small stones, no charcoal. |
| 1248 | 1248 | Pit | Cut | Circular in plan: 1.70 m dia x 0.24 m deep. Uneven base, with some disturbance in evidence. Waterlogged. Cuts Ditch 1254. |
| 1249 | 1248 | Pit | Fill | Brown sand, with iron pan. Loose and waterlogged. |
| 1250 | 1250 | Post-hole? | Cut | Cut of possible post-hole (poorly defined), dimensions uncertain c.0.12 deep, concave base. |
| 1251 | 1250 | Post-hole? | Fill | Light to mid grey silty sand. |
| 1252 | 1003/1261 | Ditch | Segment | Segment at junction of Ditches 1003 and 1261. Probably indicates that both ditches may have been open at the same time. |
| 1253 | 1253 | Clay | Deposit | Large lump of friable clay $\mathrm{c} .0 .25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, lying in a hollow within the natural sand. Possibly a post-pad, or simply a remnant of walling debris associated with 1117. |
| 1254 | 1254 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of NE-SW aligned ditch, running parallel to Ditch 1003. 1.40m wide x 0.20 m deep. Gently sloping sides with dished profile at base. |
| 1255 | 1255 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of north-south aligned ditch, running parallel to Ditch 1156. 0.50m W x 0.10 m D. Gently sloping sides with dished base profile. Probably heavily vertically truncated. |
| 1256 | 1255 | Ditch | Fill | Pale grey/brown silty sand, with some iron patches. Light to medium compaction. Waterlogged. |
| 1257 | 1255 | Ditch | Segment | Segment across Ditch 1255.0 .50 m W x 0.10 m D. Some disturbance and iron mottling evident. |
| 1258 | 1255 | Ditch | Fill | Light grey/brown sand, with iron mottling and light to medium compaction. |
| 1259 | 1255 | Ditch | Segment | Segment across Ditch 1255. 0.36m W x 0.05 m D. Very shallow (truncated) with a rounded base. |
| 1260 | 1254/1261 | Ditch | Segment | Segment across intersection of Ditches 1254 and 1261. The segment shows that Ditch 1261 cuts Ditch 1254. |
| 1261 | 1261 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of Ditch 1261, aligned N-S. Possibly the same as Ditches 1183 and 1106 (further south). $2.00 \mathrm{~mW} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{D}$. Gently sloped sides, near flat base. |
| 1262 | 1261 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1261 at Seg.1260. Mid-dark grey silty sand. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1263 | 1261 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1261 at Seg. 1260. Very peaty sand with high levels of preserved organic matter, especially twigs and wood fragments. |
| 1264 | 1254 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1254 at Seg. 1260. Mid brown-grey sand. |
| 1265 | 1171 | Linear feature | Fill | Upper fill of Linear feature 1171 (probable pit). Mid-dark brown/grey, slightly clayey silty sand. Moderate charcoal and occas. oyster shell and animal bone. Moderately firm. |
| 1266 | 1171 | Linear feature | Fill | Central fill of Linear feature 1171. Firm, slightly silty, sticky green clay, with charcoal flecks, heat altered clay and very occasional chalk fragments. |
| 1267 | 1171 | Linear feature | Fill | Central fill of Linear feature 1171. Soft, greenish brown/grey silty sand. V occasional heat altered clay fragments; no charcoal. |
| 1268 | 1171 | Linear feature | Fill | Primary fill of Linear feature 1171. Soft and waterlogged dark brown/grey/black sand, with occasional charcoal flecks and lumps. |
| 1269 | 1269 | Pit | Cut/Fill | Cut and fill of possible shallow pit, seen adjacent to evaluation trench, but not excavated. 1.40 m NE-SW x 0.16 m deep. Mottled brown/grey sand. |
| 1270 |  | Post-holes | Segment | Segment through Post-holes 1271, 1274 and 1160 at north end of probable wall structure 1137. |
| 1271 | 1271 | Post-hole | Cut | Approximately circular in plan (cut by 1274). $0.33 \mathrm{~mW} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{x} 0.44 \mathrm{~m}$ D. Steep sides, with narrow/concave base. |
| 1272 | 1271 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1271(north side). Orange-brown silty sand |
| 1273 | 1271 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1271 (upper central). Grey clay, mixed with orange sand near surface. |
| 1274 | 1274 | Post-hole | Cut | Approximately circular in plan ( 0.60 m dia), with steep sides and narrow, flat, concave base. |
| 1275 | 1274 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1274, lining base and south side. Orange-brown silty sand. |
| 1276 | 1274 | Post-hole | Fill | Central upper fill of Post-hole 1274. Grey clay with occasional chalk inclusions. |
| 1277 | 1318/1320 | Ditch | Segment | Segment at junction of Ditches 1318 and 1320. No visible relationship. However, deposit 1319, which extends across both features ( 0.20 m deep), suggests both ditches were open contemporaneously. |
| 1278 | 1279 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1279. Narrow and shallow, north-south aligned ditch. |
| 1279 | 1279 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of small north to south aligned ditch 0.80 m wide x 0.10 m deep. Gently sloping sides, probably heavy vertical truncation. |
| 1280 | 1279 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1279. Mottled light brown and dirty yellow sand. |
| 1281 | 1282/1318 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1318 and Pit 1282. Relationship not visible, possibly contemporary. |
| 1282 | 1282 | Pit | Cut | Cut of very shallow sub-rectangular pit or depression, appearing as an appendage on the soutern edge of Ditch 1318. (relationship not visible). 3.00 m NE-SW x $2.00 \mathrm{~m}+$ NW-SE $\times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, with flat base. |
| 1283 | 1283 | Pit | Cut | Oval in plan: 1.25 m NW-SE $\times 0.78 \mathrm{~m}$ SW-NE x 0.30 m deep. Gently dished sides with narrow concave base. |
| 1284 | 1283 | Pit | Fill | Central area of clay fill in Post-hole 1283. Grey clay, firm, but malleable, with small chalk inclusions. |
| 1285 | 1283 | Pit | Fill | Primary fill of Pit 1283. Mid brown silty sand with iron pan and occasional pebbles. |
| 1286 |  | Post-hole/Pit | Segment | Segment through Post-hole 1199 and probable Pit 1426, associated with Posthole Group 1117. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1287 | 1287 | Post-hole | Cut | Irregular in plan 0.35 m NW-SE x 0.60 m NE-SW x 0.14 m deep. Steep sides, with a flat base. |
| 1288 | 1287 | Post-hole | Fill | Pale grey sand with occasional charcoal flecks. |
| 1289 | 1289 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: 0.46 m dia. ( 0.20 m deep). Gentle slope to north $/$ steep to south side, with a concave base. |
| 1290 | 1289 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid grey silty sand with clay lumps and frequent charcoal. |
| 1291 | 1291 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: 0.30 m dia.. 60 degree slope to sides, with a concave base. |
| 1292 | 1291 | Post-hole | Fill | Light-mid grey silty sand with occasional charcoal flecks. |
| 1293 | 1293 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: 0.35 m dia $\times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Steep sided, with a flat base. Fill type similar to 1303 suggesting the two are paired |
| 1294 | 1293 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid grey clay with occasional charcoal and chalk flecks. |
| 1295 | 1295 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: 0.28 m dia, steep sided, with a concave base. |
| 1296 | 1295 | Post-hole | Fill | Light grey silty sand. |
| 1297 | 1297 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan 0.26 m dia, concave base, adjacent to Post-hole 1299. |
| 1298 | 1297 | Post-hole | Fill | Light grey silty sand with occasional charcoal flecks and grey clay lumps. |
| 1299 | 1299 | Post-hole | Cut | Irregularly circular in plan 0.40 m NE-SW x 0.26 m NW-SE x 0.11 m deep, with a concave base. |
| 1300 | 1299 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid-light grey silty sand with occasional chalk flecks. |
| 1301 | 1301 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: 0.40 m dia $\times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Steep sided, with a flat base. |
| 1302 | 1301 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid grey clay and mid grey silty sand (mixed), with occasional charcoal. |
| 1303 | 1303 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan 0.28 m E-W x 0.24 m N-S $\times 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, steep sided, with a concave base. Fill type similar to 1293 suggesting the two are paired |
| 1304 | 1303 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid grey clay (very compact). This post-hole has a very distinctive clay fill, compared to others within the group. |
| 1305 | 1145 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1145 at Segment 1383 (easterly butt end). Mid-dark grey silty sand with occasional charcoal flecks. |
| 1306 | 1307 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1307 (east of butt end of Ditch 1145- Ditch 1145 cuts Ditch 1307). |
| 1307 | 1307 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of shallow E-W ditch in central area of Post-hole Group 1092 (south of Group 1201). Cut by numerous post-holes. c 0.38 m wide x 0.11 m deep, with a flat base. |
| 1308 | 1308 | Pit | Cut | Cut of isolated, shallow pit, south of ditch 1372. Dished base, moderate slope to sides (probably heavily vertically truncated). Oval in plan: $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{N-S} \mathrm{x}$ 0.80 m E-W x 0.12 m deep. Animal disturbance. |
| 1309 | 1308 | Pit | Fill | Grey sand with orange 'natural' pockets (animal disturbance). Very small fragments of pottery and bone found as a result of sieving. |
| 1310 | 1623 | Oven II | Group | 'Oven II' : remains of largely demolished oven, very similar to 'Oven I', further east. Heat altered clay base with stake holes remain. The feature lies over Ditch 1374. |
| 1311 | 1623 | Stake-holes ( | Cut/Fill | A group of around 12 small stake-holes piercing the remains of an oven base 1624 . c35-50mm dia $x$ up to 100 mm deep. Fill: Mid brown silty sand with charcoal flecks. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1312 | $1003 / 1261$ | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill at junction of Ditches 1003 and 1261 (Segment 1252). Light grey <br> brown silty sand with flecks of dark orange iron deposits. This fill forms a <br> homogeneous upper layer for both ditches. |
| 1313 | $1003 / 1261$ | Ditch | Fill | Central fill at junction of Ditches 1003 and 1261 (Segment 1252). Light grey <br> silty sand with flecks of dark orange iron deposits. |
| 1314 | $1003 / 1261$ | Ditch | Fill | Post |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1335 | 1335 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of east to west running linear ditch in NW site area. Very straight course, cutting Ditch 1338. 1.50 m wide (average of 0.80 m wide), x 0.50 m (very variable depths). Gently dished profile. |
| 1336 | 1335 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1335 at Seg 1337. Mid brown silty sand (slightly grey in places), loose compaction. |
| 1337 | 1335 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1335 (west end). Ditch: 1.50 m wide x 0.50 m deep. Gently dished profile. |
| 1338 | 1338 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of north to south running ditch. Gently dished profile, with variability in depth (c. 0.40 m ) and an average width of 1.00 m . Cut by linear Ditch 1335 and curved Ditch 1344. |
| 1339 | 1338 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1338 at Seg 1340 (near intersection with Ditch 1335. Mid brown sand, gradually becoming light grey orange gravelly sand nearer to base. Loose compaction, with occasional small stones. |
| 1340 | 1335/1338 | Ditch | Segment | Segment at intersection of Ditches 1335 and 1338 (1335 cuts 1338). |
| 1341 | 1335 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1335 near to where it cuts Ditch 1338. Dark brown slightly grey sand of very loose compaction. Very occasional small stones. |
| 1342 | 1335 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1335 at Segment 1343 (east end of ditch). Mid brown silty sand, gradually becoming greyer on the south side, loose compaction, with occasional very small stones. |
| 1343 | 1335 | Ditch | Segment | Segment of Ditch 1335 (east end). Shallow, gently dished profile 0.90 m wide x 0.24 m deep. |
| 1344 | 1344 | Ditch | Cut | Small curved ditch or gully, both ends curving southwards from the point where it cuts Ditch 1338. 0.45 m wide x 0.25 m deep (average from Segments) 1349/50/51). Profile is dished (near semi-circular). |
| 1345 | 1344 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of small ditch or gully 1344 at Seg 1350. Light grey sand with some animal/root disturbance. Very soft. |
| 1346 | 1344 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of small ditch or gully 1344 at Seg 1351. Mid brown-grey sand. Very soft, with rare very smal stones. |
| 1347 | 1338 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1338 at Segment 1349 (intersection with small ditch or gully 1344). Mid brown silty sand, with loose compaction and occasional charcoal flecks. |
| 1348 | 1344 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of small ditch or gully 1344, near to intersection with Ditch 1338. Mid grey sand. |
| 1349 | 1338/1344 | Ditch | Segment | Segment at intersection of Ditches 1338 and 1344 (1344 cuts 1338). |
| 1350 | 1344 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through small ditch or gully 1344 (west end). 0.50 m wide $\times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, dished, near semi-circular profile. |
| 1351 | 1344 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through small ditch or gully 1344 (east end). 0.50 m wide $\mathrm{x} 0 . .24 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, dished, near semi-circular profile. |
| 1352 | 1352 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of east to west running ditch in NW site area. Runs parallel to a further ditch (Ditch 1353), partially revealed along the northern edge of the site. c 1.00 m wide x c 0.35 m deep, dished profile. |
| 1353 | 1353 | Ditch? | Cut | Cut of probable large ditch, partially revealed along northern site edge, running east to west, parallel with Ditch 1352. At least 4.00 m wide. Not excavated. |
| 1354 | 1354 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of narrow ditch or gully, running north to south across north-west corner of the site (only a c. 6.00 m length revealed). Shallow, gently dished profile: c 0.80 m wide x c 0.14 m deep. |
| 1355 | 1354 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1354 at Seg 1356 (south of Ditch 1352). Light brown, slightly grey sand. |
| 1356 | 1354 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1354, south of Ditch 1352. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1357 | 1352 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1352 (east end). |
| 1358 | 1352 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1352 at Seg. 1357 (east end). Very mottled, mid and light brown sand, becoming grey towards the base and less mottled. |
| 1359 | 1359 | Pit | Cut | Cut of pit which appears to be an appendage to the southern side of Ditch 1352. All three fills appear to be common to both features, suggesting they are contemporary. C1.20m W x c1.6m L. Flat base. |
| 1360 | 1359/1352 | Pit/Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1352 and appended Pit 1359, extending most of the way across both. Mid brown sand with loose compaction and a band of charcoal near the base. |
| 1361 | 1359/1352 | Pit/Ditch | Segment | Segment through Pit 1359 and Ditch 1352. Both features appear to be contemporarily open. All three fills extend across both features. |
| 1362 | 1359/1352 | Pit/Ditch | Fill | Middle fill of Pit 1359 and Ditch 1352 at Seg 1361. Light, mottled grey and brown sand. |
| 1363 | 1359/1352 | Pit/Ditch | Fill | Primary fill of Pit 1359 and Ditch 1352 at Seg 1361. This deposit extends across the full expanse of both features. Very light grey sand, difficult to define from underlying natural sand. |
| 1364 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Fill | Upper/central fill of barrel or tub lined well in NW area. Mottled grey charcoal rich sand and mid brown sand. Loose waterlogged compaction, with high potential for slumping. Pottery rich. |
| 1365 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Cut | Cut of barrel or tub lined well in NW area. Sub-circular in plan: c1.35m dia, depth very uncertain due to collapse/waterlogging: estimated at $\mathrm{c} 1.00 \mathrm{~m}+$. Steep sided cut to pit, tapering to around 0.70 m |
| 1366 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Fill | Upper/outer slump fill of Well 1365 (surrounds central fill 1364). Dirty orange/brown sand of loose compaction. Pottery rich with some iron fragments. |
| 1367 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Fill | Central fill of Well 1365 (below 1364 and 1366). Dark grey charcoal rich sand, extending across full width of well cut and located immediately above the surviving wooden staves. Pottery rich. |
| 1368 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Mixed finds | Finds from uncertain contexts from within Well 1365. All come from Fills 1364, 1366 or 1367 and not from below level of surviving wooden components. |
| 1369 | 1352 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1352 at Seg. 1564. Mottled orange and brown sand, with some iron panning. Loose compaction. |
| 1370 | 1352 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1352 at Seg. 1564. Light grey sand. |
| 1371 | 1372 | Ditch | Segment | Segment at western terminus of Ditch 1372. 0.65 m wide x 0.12 m deep, shallow, gently dished profile. |
| 1372 | 1372 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of Ditch 1372. Small, shallow SW to NE running ditch (links with Ditch 1377 further to the east). Gently dished profile, variable depth. C 0.70 m wide x 0.20 m deep. |
| 1373 | 1372 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1372 at Seg. 1371. Pale yellow/white sand with large ammount of iron pan. No finds. Difficult to distinguish from underlying natural. |
| 1374 | 1374 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of NE-SW running ditch, linking with Pit 1503 to SW. Irregular in both profile and line of edge. Gently sloping sides to south, steeper to north. 1.37 m wide x 0.23 m deep. Under Oven 1310 |
| 1375 | 1374 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1374 at Seg. 1376. Mid brown/grey silty sand with occasional flecks of charcoal. Moderate compaction. |
| 1376 | 1374 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1374. 1.37m wide x 0.23 m deep. Gently dished, irregular profile. |
| 1377 | 1377 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of small, shallow NW to SE running ditch. 0.46 m wide $\times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Dished profile with concave base. Cut by Boat Well 1172 and Ditch 1374. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1378 | 1377 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1377 at Seg. 1379. Light grey silty sand with occasional small stones. Fairly loose compaction. No finds. |
| 1379 | 1377 | Ditch | Segment | Segment of Ditch 1377 (south of Ditch 1374). 0.46 wide $\times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. |
| 1380 | 1380 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of ditch running NE to SW, parallel to Ditch 1374. 0.40 m wide x 0.10 m deep. Dished profile. Under Oven 1310. |
| 1381 | 1380 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1380 at Seg. 1382. Mid brown/grey silty sand with charcoal flecks. Loose compaction. |
| 1382 | 1380 | Ditch | Segment | Segment of Ditch 1380. |
| 1383 | 1145/1307 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through terminus of Ditch 1145, shown to be cutting Ditch 1307. Gently sloping, dished profile with remains of fill of Ditch 1307 at very edge. 0.50 m wide x 0.18 m deep. |
| 1384 | 1307 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1307 at Seg. 1383. Light silver/grey (white in places) silty sand. Depth 0.11 m . No finds. |
| 1385 | 1385 | Post-hole | Cut | Subcircular in plan: 0.34 m dia $\times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Flat base |
| 1386 | 1385 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1385 at Seg. 1306. Mid brownish grey silty sand with occasional charcoal flecks and clay lumps. |
| 1387 | Multiple | Pits etc. | Group | Group number for the early (?C 12th) pitsclose to the south edge of the site. |
| 1388 | 1324 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1324. Yellowish grey clay with occasional chalk nodules. |
| 1389 | 1183/1320 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through junction of Ditches 1183 and 1320, showing that Ditch 1320 probably cuts Ditch 1183, but this was far from clear. |
| 1390 | 1183 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1183 at Seg. 1389. Mid grey silty sand with shell and bone fragments. |
| 1391 | 1183 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1183 at Seg. 1389. Dark peaty sand (waterlogged), high in organic matter, especially twigs. |
| 1392 | 1372 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1372 at Seg. 1393. Pale yellow -white sand with high ammounts of iron pan. |
| 1393 | 1372 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1372. Continues to be shallow ( 0.20 m ), but slightly deeper than at Seg.1371. Dished profile 0.70 m wide. Animal disturbance. |
| 1394 | 1394 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan $\mathbf{c} 0.38 \mathrm{~m}$ dia $\times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Flat base. Cuts 1090 . |
| 1395 | 1394 | Post-hole | Fill | Light grey sand, darkening towards base of feature, with charcoal and clay lumps. |
| 1396 | 1396 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: 0.30 m dia $\times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Bowl shaped profile. Cuts 1090 . |
| 1397 | 1396 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1396. Dark brown silty sand, loose compaction. |
| 1398 | 1399 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1399 |
| 1399 | 1399 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of small, very shallow ditch running NE to SW across central site area. 0.80 m wide $\times 0.06 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Joins with Pit 1503 to NE. |
| 1400 | 1399 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1399 at Seg. 1398. Mid grey/brown silty sand with very occasional small stones. Animal and root disturbance. |
| 1401 | 1401 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: 0.37 m (SE-NW) x 0.30 m (NE-SW) x 0.10 m deep. Bowl shaped profile. Lies immediately east of 1090 . |
| 1402 | 1401 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1401. Mixed grey malleable clay and light brown sand, loose compaction. |
| 1403 | 1403 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: 0.29 m dia $\times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Bowl shaped profile. One of several post-holes possibly associated with Oven 1310. |


| Context | Feature Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1404 | 1403 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1403. Soft, mid brown-grey silty sand, mottled with orange sand near the base. Occasional charcoal flecks, no finds. |
| 1405 | 1405 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: 0.72 m dia $\times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Bowl shaped profile. One of several post-holes possibly associated with Oven 1310. |
| 1406 | 1405 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1405. Soft, mid brown-grey silty sand, with orange sand toward centre. Occas. charcoal and small lumps of clay. Single pot sherd from fill. |
| 1407 | 1399 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1399 ( 6 m SW of Seg.1398). 0.74 m wide x 0.12 m deep. |
| 1408 | 1399 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1399 at Seg. 1407. Mid grey-brown silty sand with very occasional small stones. |
| 1409 | 1409 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of eastern ditch forming one of a pair (with Ditch 1412)of parallel NW-SE running ditches, both terminating slightly further to the south. 0.50 m wide x 0.18 m deep. Bowl shaped profile. |
| 1410 | 1409 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1409 at Seg. 1411. Light brown-grey silty sand, with occasional charcoal, loose compaction. |
| 1411 | 1409/1412 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditches 1409 and 1412. The ditches run parallel and adjacent from NW to SE, terminating slightly further south and leaving the site to the north. No visible stratigraphic relationship |
| 1412 | 1412 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of western ditch forming one of a pair (with Ditch 1409) 0.50 m wide x 0.20 m deep. Bowl shaped profile. |
| 1413 | 1412 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1412 at Seg. 1411. Mid brown/grey silty sand, with occasional small stones and charcoal, loose compaction. |
| 1414 | 1320 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1320 at Seg. 1389. Mid grey silty sand, with shell fragments and bone. |
| 1415 | 1415 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: 0.45 m dia $\times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Shallow, gently sloping sides with a dished base. |
| 1416 | 1415 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid brown/grey silty sand mottled with orange sand, with very occasional small stones and charcoal. No finds. |
| 1417 | 1417 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: 0.33 m dia $\times 0.09 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Situated at northern edge of Posthole Group 1117. |
| 1418 | 1417 | Post-hole | Fill | Lower fill of Post-hole 1417. Orange-brown silty sand with occasional small sub-angular stones. |
| 1419 | 1417 | Post-hole | Fill | Upper clay fill of Post-hole 1417. Yellow-grey clay, with occasional chalk flecks. |
| 1420 | 1420 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: 0.44 m dia $\times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Concave sides and a flat base. |
| 1421 | 1420 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1420. Grey/brown clayey sand. |
| 1422 | 1422 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: 0.41 m dia $\times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Narrow base. Very poorly defined feature. |
| 1423 | 1422 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1422. Grey brown clayey sand. |
| 1424 | 1424 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: 0.53 m dia $\times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Shallow, concave sides with a flat base. |
| 1425 | 1424 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1424. Grey/brown clayey sand. |
| 1426 | 1426 | Spread | 'Cut' | Shallow depression or spread in NE area of Group 1117 (against the west side of Ditch 1055). Poorly defined feature, c0.56m long x 0.12 m deep (width not evident). No finds. |
| 1427 | 1426 | Spread | Fill | Fill of poorly defined depression of spread in NE area of Group 1117. Mid grey/brown silty sand. No finds. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1428 | 1141 | Oven | Fill | Upper south-eastern outer fill of Oven 1141. Slightly reddened silty sand, with medium sub-rounded stones. |
| 1429 | 1141 | Oven | Fill | Peripheral south-eastern fill of Oven 1141. Silver/white silty sand with single sherd of pottery. |
| 1430 | 1430 | Pit | Cut | Deep, oval shaped pit seen within surface area of Pit 1503 (either, part of 1503 or cuts 1503 ?). 2.5 m NW-SE x 1.5 m NE-SW x $0.55+$ deep. Probable rounded base (Very waterlogged) |
| 1431 | 1430 | Pit | Fill | Upper fill of Pit 1430. Brown/grey sand. Waterlogged. |
| 1432 | 1430 | Pit | Fill | Primary fill of Pit 1430. Dark grey -black peaty sand, very waterlogged and liable to collapse. No finds. |
| 1433 | 1374,1380, | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditches 1374, 1380 and Post-hole 1434 (immediately SW of Oven 1310). Ditch 1374 possibly cuts Ditch 1380 (not definate); Post-hole 1434 cuts Ditch 1374. |
| 1434 | 1434 | Post-hole | Cut | Oval in plan: o.60m NW-SE x 0.42 m SW-NE $\times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Bowl shaped profile. |
| 1435 | 1434 | Post-hole | Fill | Firm yellow clay with chalk flecks and occasional charcoal. |
| 1436 | 1380 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1380 at Seg. 1433. Soft mid brown/grey silty sand with occasional charcoal flecks. |
| 1437 | 1374 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1374 at Seg. 1433. Soft mid-darker brown/grey silty sand with moderate charcoal flecks. |
| 1438 | 1374 | Ditch | Fill | Central fill of Ditch 1374. Mottled pale-light brown and grey sand with occasional charcoal and heat altered clay fragments. |
| 1439 | 1374 | Ditch | Fill | Primary fill of Ditch 1374. Moderately firm dark brown to deark grey sandy peat with occasional charcoal. Large pottery sherds within this fill (see also 1516). |
| 1440 | 1307 | Ditch | Fill | Possible remnant of fill of Ditch 1307, slumped into adjacent/cutting Ditch 1145. Light grey/silver and near white silty sand. |
| 1441 | - | Enclosure ditc | - | Group No issued in post ex. Ditch describing 3 sides of a rectilinear enclosure in the centre of the site. It is the latest ditch on the site cutting all other ditch systems. Includes 1055 and 1320 and 1318 |
| 1442 | 1442 | oven | - | Oven cut throughby and recorded in the sides of ditch 1441 (cut no 1055) where the dich passes through the 1035 oven complex. Oven complex made up of two adjacent ovens 1141 and 1442 these were not i.d.'ed as separate during the excavation.this no issued during post ex.feature includes contexts 1078,1080 and 1081 - oven 1141 post dates and replaces 1442 . Oven 1442 recorded in section in seg 1057 on section sheet 2 |
| 1443 | 1443 | oven | - | oven alongside and adjacent to 1442; area of burning recorded on the surface possbly a continuation of 1442 |
| 1444 |  | - | - | Extensive spread of clay, part of a surface associated with the ovens at the eastern end of the site. The clay is patchy and was only recorded/observed sporadically slumped into the top of earlier features and the section of the late enclosure ditch encloseure ditch (group 1441) |
| 1445 | - | pit | Group no | group no for collection of Phase 5 pits close to (mainly south of) building 1092. Thought to be associated with the use of the building. Group composed of eight pits $1018,1023,1062,1103,1171,1187,1220$ and 1581 . No issued in post ex. |
| 1446 | - | - | Group no | an alignment of phase 3 postholes running north-south towards the palaeochannel in the middle of the site. Group made up of ph's 1029, 1031, 1051, 1064, 1405, 1446, 1527 |
| 1447 | - | - | - | alignment of phase 6 posthole/pits at the western end of the site. Grouped together based on a shared alignment and even spacing. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1448 | - | - | - | NUMBER NOT USED |
| 1449 | - | - | - | NUMBER NOT USED |
| 1450 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Boat plank with the remains of another attached to upper edge (four segments of timber in total) Main plank: 0.20 m wide x 1.64 m long x 24 mm thick. |
| 1451 | 1018 | Pit | Timber | Fragment of preserved timber, possibly part of a boat plank from lower fill of Pit 1018 (1020). Peg-hole present but top and bottom edges missing. |
| 1452 | 1018 | Pit | Timber | Fragment of preserved timber, possibly also a boat component such as a seat? Numerous peg-holes. This fragment was found lying under 1451. (R.Simper suggests a 'prow piece' - a brace/seat/holdfast). |
| 1453 | 1018 | Pit | Timber | Wooden stake, one of three (see also 1456 and 1457), found driven into the natural sand in the base of Pit 1018. |
| 1454 | 1454 | Ditch? | Cut | Cut of possible ditch running NE to SW in central site area. Very shallow remnant 'ghost' of probably severely truncated ditch. May only survive as staining within natural. $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~W} \times 0.10 \mathrm{mD}$ |
| 1455 | 1454 | Ditch? | Fill | Fill of possible Ditch 1454 (general fill number given to both excavated segments). Light grey-brown silty sand. No finds. |
| 1456 | 1018 | Pit | Timber | Wooden stake, one of three (see also 1453 and 1457), found driven into the natural sand in the base of Pit 1018. |
| 1457 | 1018 | Pit | Timber | Wooden stake, one of three (see also 1453 and 1456), found driven into the natural sand in the base of Pit 1018. |
| 1458 | 1461,1463, | Ditches | Segment | Segment through intersection of Ditches 1461, 1463 and 1465. Parallel ditches 1463 (south) and 1465 (north) are both cut by 1461 . Ditch 1463 cuts 1465. |
| 1459 | 1459 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: 0.40 m dia $\times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Conical in profile (pointed base). Found after outer oven structure was removed of Oven 1141. |
| 1460 | 1459 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1459. Grey malleable clay with chalk flecks and reddened clay flecks (possibly iron pan). |
| 1461 | 1461 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of NE-SW running ditch. Moderately steep concave sides, with a flat base. 0.90 m wide x 0.34 m deep. |
| 1462 | 1461 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1461 at Seg. 1458. Medium -dark brown silty sand. Waterlogged, with some stone inclusions. |
| 1463 | 1463 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of E-W running ditch, parallel and adjacent to Ditch 1465 (to the north). Fairly gently sloping sides and slightly dished base. 1.00 m wide x 0.19 m deep. |
| 1464 | 1464 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1163 at Seg. 1458. Mid brown silty sand with virtually no inclusions. |
| 1465 | 1465 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of E-W running ditch, parallel and adjacent to Ditch 1463 (to the south). Dished profile, 0.80 m wide x 0.13 m deep. Cuts Ditch 1463 . |
| 1466 | 1465 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1465 at Seg. 1458. Mid to light brown silty sand, patches of near white sand, otherwise very few inclusions. |
| 1467 | 1473 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1473: NE to SW running ditch in SW site area. The ditch terminates just short of the southern site limit. 1.90 m wide x 0.25 m deep. Concave sides/flat base. |
| 1468 | 1468 | Ditch | Cut | Shallow and narrow ditch, running NW to SE (turning towards east) and terminating close to southern site edge. Bowl shaped profile, 0.40 m wide x 0.14 m deep. |
| 1469 | 1468 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1468 at Seg. 1470. Pale grey sand. No finds. |
| 1470 | 1468 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1468 at SE terminus. 0.40 m wide x 0.10 m deep. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1471 | 1380 | Ditch | Fill | Primary fill of Ditch 1380 at Seg. 1433. Soft, mottled, light brown and grey <br> silty sand with occasional charcoal flecks. No finds. |
| 1472 | 1374 | Ditch | Fill? | Probable upper fill of Ditch 1374 at Seg. 1433, appearing as a lense within <br> main fill (1437). Alternatively it may represent a small post-hole. Firm yellow, <br> silty clay with charcoal flecks. |
| 1474 | 1473 | Ditch | Cut | Witch |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1493 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Fragment of N corner upright component with mortise for 1494. Sap-wood on surfaces, with three pegs. $0.75 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{x} 0.50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.16 \mathrm{~m}$ D. |
| 1494 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Irregular (branch like) timber component used as a horizontal brace along NW side of well lining. Tennons at both ends, wedged at W corner, with peg holes. 0.08 m W x 1.42 m L x 0.07 m D. |
| 1495 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Wooden wedge from mortice and tenon joint of 1554 and 1494 (west corner). 0.021 m W x 0.063 m L x $0.015-0.001 \mathrm{~m}$ (tapering) D . |
| 1496 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Pegs and peg fragments from boat plank 1491. 0.02 m dia $\times 0.015 \mathrm{~m}$ (surviving length) |
| 1497 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Oak boat plank, reused as lower north-west side well lining component. Scarf joints at both ends. Well preserved pegs and rove-nails. $0.24 \mathrm{~W} \times 1.525 \mathrm{~L}$. |
| 1498 | 1018 | Pit | Fill | Primary fill of Pit 1018. Dark grey-brown waterlogged silty sand. |
| 1499 | 1374 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1374 (on NW side), probable slumping which occurred when ditch was open. Soft mottled orange sand and mid brown silty sand. No charcoal or finds. |
| 1500 | 1500 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: c 0.65 m dia $\times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Mod. steep, concave sides with concave base. |
| 1501 | 1500 | Post-hole | Fill | Primary fill of Post-hole 1500. Orage/grey sand with occasional small subangular stones. |
| 1502 | 1500 | Post-hole | Fill | Upper fill of Post-hole 1500. Grey/brown sand, with occasional sub-angular stones. |
| 1503 | 1503 | Pit | Cut | Large irregular oval shaped pit with connecting ditches (1374,1380 \& 1399), probably all open contemporaneously. Dished profile, very waterlogged (contained Timber 1504). c $4.00 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{c9.00m} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{x} 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{D}$ |
| 1504 | 1503 | Pit | Timber | Substantial length of preserved timber (in 5 pieces), formerly a wall plate, with two cut notches for rafters ( c 0.45 m spaced). $0.12 \mathrm{~mW} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~L} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ D. Possibly reused as dam or sluice gate. |
| 1505 | 1399 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through SW terminus of Ditch 1399. 1.33m W x 0.29m D. Steep sided with flattish base. The ditch cuts the natural peat deposits which continue to the west. |
| 1506 | 1399 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1399 at Seg. 1505. L.grey/brown silty sand with occasional charcoal flecks and moderate compaction. |
| 1507 | 1399 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1399 at Seg.1505. Mid grey/brown peaty sand with occasional charcoal flecks and moderate compaction. No finds. |
| 1508 | 1508 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: 0.45 m dia $\times 0.16 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Steep sided, with dised base. Located in SW area of site. |
| 1509 | 1508 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1508. Dark grey sand. No finds. |
| 1510 | 1513 | Pit | Fill | Central fill of Pit 1513. Soft, light-mid brown sand with areas of yellow sand. Frequent greenish-blue clay lumps, large stones and occasional heat altered clay and stones. Rare pottery fragments. |
| 1511 | 1513 | Pit | Fill | Upper fill of Pit 1513 (confined to western half of feature). Mixed mid brown to yellow sand, with few stones, occasional gen/blue clay and oyster shell. Rare pottery and bone frags. |
| 1512 | 1513 | Pit | Fill | Primary fill of Pit 1513. Pale brown-yellow sand, with soft compaction. No finds. |
| 1513 | 1513 | Pit | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: $1.42 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}) \times 1.64 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{S}) \times 0.44 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Gently sloping sides, with dished base. Edges disturbed by animal activity. Adjacent Pit 1515 contained similar cultural material. |
| 1514 | 1515 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1515. Mid brown soft sand, with frequent mortar and brick fragment. Frequent small clay fragments, rare pot fragments and 2 iron nails. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1515 | 1515 | Pit | Cut | Irregular shaped pit adjacent to Pit 1515 (containing similar cultural material). $0.73 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}) \times 0.57 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{S}) \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Gentle slope to sides with uneven dished base. |
| 1516 | 1374 | Ditch | Finds | Number allocated to a group of large pottery sherds, located within Fill 1439 of Ditch 1374. (location shown on section drawing: Sheet 2). |
| 1517 | 1461 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1461, immediately south of Ditch 1521. Bowl shaped profile, 0.90 m Wide x 0.34 m deep. |
| 1518 | 1461 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1461 at Seg. 1517. Mid brown silty sand of medium compaction, with few stones. |
| 1519 | 1519 | Post -hole | Cut | Circular in plan: c0.60m dia. $\times 0.16 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Steep sided with dished base. |
| 1520 | 1519 | Post -hole | Fill | Dark grey sand with frequent lumps of clay, possibly used as post packing. No finds. |
| 1521 | 1521 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of short NW to SE ditch in SW area of site. Cut by Ditch 1461. 0.60 m W x 0.16 m D x 7.00 m L. Moderately steep sided, with a slightly concave base. |
| 1522 | 1521 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1521 at SE terminus. 0.60 mW x 0.16 m deep. |
| 1523 | 1521 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1521 at Seg. 1522. Dark brown sandy silt, containing few stones. Shell fragments present, medium compaction, some worm disturbance. No finds. |
| 1524 | 1521 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1521 at Seg. 1522. Light brown-grey sand. No finds. |
| 1525 | 1525 | Pit | Cut | Circular in plan: 1.50 m dia $\times 0.24 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Shallow dished profile with concave uneven base. Intersects adjacent Pit 1527, but no relationship was visible and they may be contemporary. |
| 1526 | 1525 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1525 . Mid brown/grey silty sand, becoming greyer and more silty towards base. Occas. small rounded stones, charcoal flecks, and bone, frequent pot sherds. |
| 1527 | 1527 | Pit | Cut | Circular in plan: 0.90 m dia. x 0.10 m deep. Shallow, dished profile with concave base. Intersects adjacent Pit 1525, but no relationship was visible, possibly contemporary features. |
| 1528 | 1527 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1527. Soft, mid brown/grey silty sand, with occasional small stones, charcoal flecks, but rare pottery sherds. |
| 1529 | 1529 | Pit | Cut | Oval shaped pit SE of Ditch 1461 (also cut by this ditch). The pit is largely removed by eval. trench. Orientated $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$, the pit is over 2.00 m long $\times 0.81 \mathrm{~m}$ wide $x 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Convex sides, flat base. |
| 1530 | 1529 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1529. Mid-light brown silty sand mixed with some grey/white sand. Occas. small stones, charcoal flecks. Med. compaction, moist. |
| 1531 | 1531 | Post-hole | Cut | Outlying post-hole, just west of a shallow gully [1559]. Sub-circular in plan c 0.40 m dia. x 0.08 m deep. Bowl like profile. |
| 1532 | 1531 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1531. Soft, mid-dark brown/grey silty sand with occas. charcoal flecks and single pot sherd. |
| 1533 | 1533 | Post-hole | Cut | Outlying post-hole, just east of a shallow gully [1559].Sub-circular in plan c 0.58 m dia. x 0.14 m deep. Bowl like profile. |
| 1534 | 1533 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1533. Soft, mid-dark brown/grey silty sand with moderate charcoal flecks and occas. heat altered clay and flint. |
| 1535 | 1503 | Pit | Segment | SW to NE segment through Pit 1503. Contained Timber 1304. |
| 1536 | 1503 | Pit | Segment | SW to NE segment through Pit 1503. (Parallel to 1535.) Contained Timber 1304. |
| 1537 | 1503 | Pit | Segment | NW to SE segment through Pit 1503. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1538 | 1538 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: c 0.48 m dia $\times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Irregular profile, but steep sided. Revealed after Oven 1035 was removed. |
| 1539 | 1538 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1538. Grey chalky clay, with some charcoal and heat altered clay. No finds. |
| 1540 | 1541 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1541. Pale yellow-brown sand with soft compaction and few stones. Rare charcoal flecks, but no other cultural material. |
| 1541 | 1541 | Pit | Cut | Circular in plan: $\mathbf{c} 0.92 \mathrm{~m}$ dia. $\times 0.29 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Gently sloping sides with a dished profile. Located directly south of Pit 1513. |
| 1542 | 1544 | Post-hole | Fill | Inner/upper fill of Post-hole 1544 (probable post-pipe). Yellow-brown sand with soft compaction and occasional lumps of clay. Few stones and occas. oyster shell. |
| 1543 | 1544 | Post-hole | Fill | Outer/lower (probable post-packing deposit) fill of Post-hole 1544. Mid greybrown sand of medium compaction. Occasional clay lumps, rare pottery fragments, frequent iron nails found at base of fill. |
| 1544 | 1544 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: c 0.68 m dia. x 0.35 m deep. Steep sloping sides descending to a bowl shaped base. Clear indication of a probable post-pipe (Context 1542) within centre of main feature. |
| 1545 | 1521/1461/ | Ditches | Segment | Segment through Ditches 1521, 1461 and 1463. Ditch 1521 is cut by 1461,1463 and 1465. |
| 1546 | 1463 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1463 at Seg.1545. Mid brown wet silty sand of medium compaction. No finds. |
| 1547 | 1521 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1521 at Seg. 1545. Light brown-grey silty sand containing some stones. Loose compaction. No finds. |
| 1548 | 1461 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1461 at Seg. 1545. Mid-dark brown silty sand with very few inclusions. Medium compaction. No finds. |
| 1549 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Irregular fragment of timber (possibly a displaced upright component) found near to east corner of well lining. 0.16 m W x 0.46 m L x 0.04 m D. Peg hole present, but not a boat timber. Signs of burning. |
| 1550 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Small, narrow length of timber (stake) found driven vertically into the sand in front of planks 1551 (SE side). One end is shaped to fit step of planking. $0.04 \mathrm{~m} \text { W x } 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \text { L. } 0.06 \mathrm{~m} \text { D. }$ |
| 1551 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Section of oak boat planking (one complete plank flanked by the remains of two more at each edge) with joints intact and numerous scarf repairs. Peg holes c 0.40 m spacing. $0.41 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~W} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~L} \times 0.036 \mathrm{~m}$ D. |
| 1552 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Fragmentary remains of the south corner upright of the well lining. Near square in section with two mortices for joining to horiz. components $1490 / 1555.0 .13 \mathrm{~m}$ W x 0.35 m L x 0.11 m D. |
| 1553 | 1172 | Well | Wooden dis | Fragments of a finely turned wooden dish or plate ( 6 pieces). c20\% of the dish was retrieved from a gap between boat planks 1491 and frame component 1494. Prob. fruitwood. Approx. original dia. c0.22m. |
| 1554 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Fragmentary remains of upright wooden component from the west corner of well lining (not oak). 2 mortices, 3 in situ pegs. 0.16 m W x c 0.55 m L x 0.14 m D. |
| 1555 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Oak horizontal frame component of well lining (SW side). Pegged bare faced tenons at each end. Square pegs, rather than round as on boat planks. Square in section: $0.09 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.09 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.4 \mathrm{~m}+$ long. |
| 1556 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Oak boat plank, reused as upper horizontal component in well lining (SW side). The plank had been pegged to the uprights of the well lining frame. 0.26 m W x 1.46 m L x 0.16 m D. |
| 1557 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Oak boat plank, reused as lower horizontal component in well lining (SW side). Good preservation, especially on the outer surface. Sapwood is included within the plank. $0.22 \mathrm{~mW} \times 1.71 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~L}$. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1558 | 1172 | Well | Timber | Fragment of timber found displaced within lower fill of the well, possibly formerly used as an upright component, similar to $1550.0 .18 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~W} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~L}$ $x 0.08 \mathrm{mD}$. |
| 1559 | 1559 | Gully | Cut | Poorly defined linear feature, probable shallow gully. Orientated NW to SE, c 4.80 m long x c 0.30 m wide $\mathrm{x} 0.04-0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Irregular dished profile. |
| 1560 | 1559 | Gully | Fill | Fill of Gully 1559 at NE butt end. Soft, mottled light brown and grey silty sand. Very occasional small rounded stones with occasional charcoal flecks. One pot sherd recovered. |
| 1561 | 1559 | Gully | Fill | Fill of Gully 1559 in central area. Soft, mottled light brown and grey silty sand, with occasional charcoal flecks, V. occas. small stones. One pot sherd recovered. |
| 1562 | 1559 | Gully | Fill | Fill of Gully 1559 at SW butt end. Soft, mottled light brown and grey silty sand, with occasional charcoal flecks and V. occas. small stones. No finds. |
| 1563 | 1354 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1354 at Seg. 1564. Mid brown sand, paler, with more gravel nearer the base. No finds. |
| 1564 | 1352/1354 | Ditch | Segment | Segment at intersection of Ditches 1352 and 1354. Ditch 1352 cuts Ditch 1354. |
| 1565 | 1566 | Pit | Fill | Fill of small, shallow pit west of Pit 1513. Reddish0yellow brown sand with occasional clay lumps. Rare pottery fragments and iron nail. |
| 1566 | 1566 | Pit | Cut | Small, shallow pit W of Pit 1513. Sub-circular in plan: c0.60m dia. x 0.12 m deep. Steep sided, with a flat base. |
| 1567 | 1473 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through SW butt end of Ditch 1473, cut by probable Post-hole 1570 and adjacent to Ditch 1568, at extreme south edge of site. Section of south edge of site drawn. |
| 1568 | 1568 | Ditch | Cut | Small ditch or gully, branching off to the south from Ditch 1473 at extreme southern edge of site. $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~W} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{D}$, gently dished profile. Fills are identical to fills of Ditch 1473 (1575/5). |
| 1569 | - | - | - | NUMBER NOT USED |
| 1570 | 1570 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: c0.40m dia. x 0.17 m deep. Steep sided, with concave base. Seen in south edge of site section. |
| 1571 | 1570 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1570. Mid brown silty sand with occas. sub-angular stones. No finds. |
| 1572 | 1572 | Pit | Cut | Small pit within area of pitting in SE part of site. Shallow with gently sloping sides. Sub-circular in plan: 0.48 m dia. x 0.10 m deep. |
| 1573 | 1572 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1572. Mid brown, loose silty sand. No finds. |
| 1574 |  | Oven/Post-hol | Second Pha | Second phase of Oven Group 1035 and Post-hole Groups 1092/1201 after the removal of oven structure/matrix. |
| 1575 | 1473 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1473 at Seg. 1567. Pale grey/brown sand, with orange patches and occasional small rounded stones. Identical to fill in adjacent/intersecting feature Ditch 1568; both contemporary? |
| 1576 | 1473 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1473 at Seg. 1567. Grey/brown mottled sand with occasional small sub-angular stones. Identical to fill in adjacent/intersecting feature, Ditch 1568 ; both contemporary? |
| 1577 | 1577 | Pit | Cut | Small outlying pit in north area of site(west of Ditch 1255), within very waterlogged deposits. Circular in plan: 0.38 m dia. x 0.11 m deep. Dished profile. |
| 1578 | 1577 | Pit | Fill | Upper fill of Pit 1577. Charcoal rich silty sand. No finds. |
| 1579 | 1577 | Pit | Fill | Lower fill of Pit 1577. Light brown silty sand. No finds. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1580 |  | Layer | Deposit | Layer of mid brown silty sand (same as 1083) below Oven structure/group 1035. Possibly a former ground surface/topsoil layer. Once removed it revealed Post-holes 1477 and 1479. No finds. |
| 1581 | 1581 | Pit | Cut | Oval shaped in plan: 2.2 m (WSW-SSE) $\times 1.50 \mathrm{~m}$ (NNW-SSE) x 0.42 m deep. Fairly steep sided, with a near flat base. Waterlogged, liable to collapse. |
| 1582 | 1581 | Pit | Fill | Upper fill of Pit 1581 . Soft, mid brownish grey silty sand, mottled with yellow/orange sand. Moderate charcoal flecks and occas. lenses of clay. Rare pot sherds. |
| 1583 | 1581 | Pit | Fill | Primary fill of Pit 1581 . Soft, waterlogged, light grey/yellow slightly silty sand. Moderate charcoal flecks, occas.heat altered clay fragments. |
| 1584 | 1503 | Pit | Fill | Upper fill of Pit 1503. Mid brown/mottled orange silty sand of loose compaction. Uniform layer across full area of this large pit. |
| 1585 | 1503 | Pit | Fill | Primary fill of Pit 1503. Dark brown/black, organically rich peat and silty sand. Charcoal present throughout, loose compaction. The fill is uniform over the entire pit area. |
| 1586 | 1586 | Post-holes | Structures | General number allocated for extensive concentration of post-holes associated with Oven Groups 1035 and 1310. at least 2 phases of building present. |
| 1587 |  | Layer | Deposit | Extensive clay layer, located around Oven 1141 (Group 1035). Possible remnant of clay floor, probably associated with surrounding post-holes (Group 1092). Pale grey clay with fine chalk lumps. |
| 1588 | 1461 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1461.0.60m wide x 0.16 m deep. Moderately steep sided, with a near flat base. |
| 1590 | 1461 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1461 at Seg.1589. Mid brown silty sand with occasional subangular stones. |
| 1591 | 1591 | Pit | Cut | Irregular shaped pit: 0.85 m (NNW-SSE) x $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.43 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Dished profile. Contained large preserved wooden block 1593 in base of feature. Waterlogged and very liable to collapse. Under Oven 1141. |
| 1592 | 1591 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1591. Orange-brown silty sand with extensive pockets of clay. Regular charcoal, occas. heat altered clay. Contained a large irregular block of preserved timber 1593 (possibly a post-pad). |
| 1593 | 1592 | Pit | Timber | Large irregularly shaped block of preserved timber from fill of Pit 15910.30 m $\mathrm{x} 0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. Signs of axe marks to base. Function uncertain, possibly a post support or pad. Discarded. |
| 1594 | 1591 | Pit | Timber | Fragment of timber from fill of Pit 1591 (1592). Discarded. |
| 1595 | 1601/1604 | Spread | Segment | Segment (quadrant): NE quadrant of Spread 1604 and modern disturbance 1601. |
| 1596 | 1601/1604 | Spread | Segment | Segment (quadrant): SW quadrant of Spread 1604 and modern distubance 1601 |
| 1597 | 1597 | Post-hole | Cut | Cut of sub-circular post-hole SE of Ditch 1473 (adjacent to Post-hole 1599). $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Moderately steep sides, with a narrow concave base. |
| 1598 | 1597 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1597. Mid grey-brown sand (paler towards base). Occasional small stones. No finds. |
| 1599 | 1599 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. (adjacent to Post-hole 1597). Moderately steep, concave sides/base, with narrow base. |
| 1600 | 1599 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1599. Pale grey-brown, mottled sand. No finds. |
| 1601 | 1601 | Linear feature | Cut | Probable modern linear distubance running approximately NW-SE through Spread 1604. Irregular in plan and profile 1.46 m (WSW-ENE) x 6.09 m (NWSE) $x 0.26 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1602 | 1601 | Linear feature | Fill | Fill of Linear feature 1601 in Seg. 1595. Light grey-brown sand, moderately compact, with animal disturbance. |
| 1603 | 1601 | Linear feature | Fill | Fill of Linear feature 1601 in Seg. 1596. Light grey-brown sand, with animal disturbance, moderately compact. |
| 1604 | 1604 | Spread | 'Cut' | Large, shallow spread located in SW area of the site. Irregular oval in plan: 3.72 m (WSW-ENE) x 6.20 m (NNW-SSE) $\times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. |
| 1605 | 1604 | Spread | Fill | Fill of Spread 1604 in Seg. 1595. Light brown sand of moderate compaction, animal disturbance. |
| 1606 | 1604 | Spread | Fill | Fill of Spread 1604 in Seg. 1596. Light brown sand of moderate compaction, with animal disturbance. |
| 1607 | 1607 | Pit | Cut | Oval shaped in plan: $1.50 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{S}) \times \mathrm{c} 0.80 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}) \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Undulating base, deeper at the south end, steep concave sides. |
| 1608 | 1607 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1607. Mid brown/orange mottled silty sand. Loose compaction, with occas. charcoal flecks. No finds? |
| 1609 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Pottery vessel (broken), possibly more than one, from Fill 1364 of barrel or tub lined well at NW end of site. |
| 1610 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Pottery vessel (almost complete). Jug or pitcher, from Fill 1364 of barrel or tub lined well. |
| 1611 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Pottery vessel (complete). Jug or pitcher, from Fill 1364 of barrel or tub lined well. |
| 1612 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Pottery vessel (almost complete). Jug or pitcher, from Fill 1364 of barrel or tub lined well. |
| 1613 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Large pottery bowl (broken/incomplete), some sherds may be included in displaced/mixed finds: 1615,1619 and 1622. |
| 1614 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Pottery vessel (broken), probably incomplete. From Fill 1364 of barrel or tub lined well. |
| 1615 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Single sherd of pottery, probably from Vessel 1613 or 1621. |
| 1616 | 1616 | Pit | Cut | Possibly oval in plan: c 3.00 m long(E-W) $\times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Full width of feature not revealed. The feature was located in NW area along the north edge of the access baulk. |
| 1617 | 1616 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1616. Mid brown/orange mottled sand of loose compaction. |
| 1618 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Decorated pottery sherds from immediately below Vessel 1610 (Fill 1367) (may be from more than one vessel). |
| 1619 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Scatter of displaced pottery sherds from Fill 1367 (possibly all from same vessel). |
| 1620 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Pottery vessel (broken/incomplete), from Fill 1367 (located below Vessel 1612). |
| 1621 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Pottery vessel (almost complete), from Fill 1365; found within Vessel 1613. |
| 1622 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Mixed/displaced pottery sherds from Fill 1367 in the area near to Vessels 1613,1620 and 1621 (probably sherds from all of these vessels). |
| 1623 | 1623 | Oven II | Structure | Fragmentary remains of oven structure, very similar to 1035 (to the east). Structure probably robbed of clay for post packing/ditch lining. c2.20m L x c 1.00 m W x c 0.25 m D. |
| 1624 | 1623 | Oven II | Structure/fil | Clay fabric of Oven II. Pale brown chalky clay with variable heat alteration, from pale pink-deep red and also a small central area of grey vitrification. |
| 1625 | 1623 | Oven II | Deposit | Underlying deposit below Oven II structural clay (1624). Reddish brownyellow mixed sand, probably disturbed/levelled natural deposit. Used as base for ven structure. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1626 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Pottery vessel (almost complete), found firmly within Fill 1367. located below all other pottery found within well fill. Residue survives on vessel surface. |
| 1627 | 1465/1629 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1465 terminus (west) and Post-hole 1629 (ditch cuts post-hole). The ditch sides are moderately steep with concave base 0.70 m W x 0.26 m D. |
| 1628 | 1465 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1465 at Seg. 1627 (western butt end). Mottled mid grey-brown sand, with animal disturbed orange sand near to the base. No finds. |
| 1629 | 1629 | Post-hole | Cut | Probably circular in plan, cut by Ditch 1465 , around half of the post-hole removed by ditch. Estimated diameter $\mathrm{c} 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Very steep sides with flat base. |
| 1630 | 1629 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1629. Pale grey-brown sand with frequent small sub-angular stones. No finds. |
| 1631 | 1631 | Pit | Cut | Irregular oval in plan: $0.45 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}) \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{S}) \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Heavily truncated and disturbed. Located NW of Well 1365. Shallow, dished profile. |
| 1632 | 1631 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1631 . Soft dark brown/grey silty sand, with very occasional charcoal flecks and a possible brick. Context is heavily disturbed/truncated. |
| 1633 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (northernmost upright). preserved lower part of a hollowed and backed component. Dendro. sampled. |
| 1634 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). |
| 1635 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). |
| 1636 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). |
| 1637 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). Surface residue sampled. |
| 1638 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). |
| 1639 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). Dendro. sampled. |
| 1640 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). |
| 1641 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). Dendro. sampled. |
| 1642 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). Dendro sampled. |
| 1643 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). |
| 1644 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). Broken or decayed so as to be shorter than the other surviving staves. |
| 1645 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Barrel or tub stave (upright). Dendro sampled. |
| 1646 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Segment of the wooden outer hoop of the barrel or tub (rounded in section). |
| 1647 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Segment of the wooden outer hoop of the barrel or tub (rounded in section). |
| 1648 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Two barrel or tub staves that appeared to have formed a double lining or were displaced. Found in an upright position between 1639 \& 1640 in SE portion of the barrel or tub. Surface residue sampled. |
| 1649 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Segment of the wooden outer hoop of the barrel or tub (rounded in section). |
| 1650 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber | Unstratified or displaced fragments of the barrel or tub. Dendro. sampled. |
| 1651 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Fill | Lower fill of well (within barrel or tub lining). Waterlogged but fairly firm dark brown-grey/black peaty silty sand, very organic. Very occasional pot sherds. Depth not confirmed due to collapse. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1652 | 1463/1465 | Ditches | Segment | Segment through Ditches 1463 and 1465 close to the easterly division/convergence of the two ditches. Both cuts are shallow ( 0.20 m deep) with flat bases. Ditch 1465 cuts 1463 . |
| 1653 | 1465 | Ditch | Fill | Upper fill of Ditch 1465 at Seg. 1652. Mid grey-brown silty sand with occasional small stones. |
| 1654 | 1463 | Ditch | Fill | Single fill of Ditch 1463 at Seg. 1652. Mid grey brown silty sand with occasional small sub-angular stones. |
| 1655 | 1465 | Ditch | Fill | Lower fill of Ditch 1465 at Seg. 1652. Pale grey sand, mottled with orange brown sand (probable animal disturbance). No finds. |
| 1656 | 1521 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through Ditch 1521 (NW to SE running small shallow ditch) at NW terminus. Moderately steep sides with a near flat base. ). 0.70 m W x 0.15 m deep. |
| 1657 | 1521 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1521 at Seg. 1656. Pale brown-grey sand with occasional small subangular stones. No finds. |
| 1658 | 1658 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: c 0.54 m dia. x 0.15 m deep. c 45 degree slope to sides with a wide concave base. Adjacent to 1519. |
| 1659 | 1658 | Post-hole | Fill | Mid brown sand, paler and drier towards centre. |
| 1660 | 1660 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: c0.40m dia. x 0.18 m deep. Convex sides, with a narrow base. |
| 1661 | 1660 | Post-hole | Fill | Soft grey sand with virtually no coarse inclusions, becoming more brown and silty towards base. No finds. |
| 1662 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Timber (Gr | Group number for barrel or tub lining components (1633-1650) of Well 1365. Estimated diameter 0.63 m ; maximum length of staves 0.29 m ; base of staves to inner hoop 0.18 m . |
| 1663 | 1663 | Post-hole | Cut | Irregular in plan (distorted oval) c0.91m x $0.68 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Wide concave base. |
| 1664 | 1663 | Post-hole | Fill | Pale brown sand with darker patches towards the base and also orange sand (probable animal disturbance). |
| 1665 | 1665 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: c0.70m dia 0.20 m deep. Uneven profile/sides with a narrow base. |
| 1666 | 1665 | Post-hole | Fill | Homogeneous grey sand with some brown sand nearer the base. Vitually no coarse inclusions and no finds. |
| 1667 | 1365 | Well ('barrel li | Finds | Large fragments of quernstone with worked grooves, found along with sandstone slabs at the deepest limit of excavation in Well 1365. Probably from Fill 1651, although severe waterlogging and slumping |
| 1668 | 1669 | Ditch | Segment | Segment through shallow ditch or gully 1669. Runs NNW to SSE across SW site area. $0.56 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~W} \times 0.14 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{D}$. Bowl shaped profile. |
| 1669 | 1669 | Ditch | Cut | Cut of shallow ditch or gully. Runs NNW to SSE across SW site area (west of evaluation trench). 0.56 m W x 0.14 m D. Bowl shaped profile. |
| 1670 | 1669 | Ditch | Fill | Fill of Ditch 1669 at Seg. 1668. Grey-brown silty sand, mottled with orange sand (prob. animal disturbance) nearer the base. |
| 1671 | 1671 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: c0.44m dia. x 0.11 m deep. c45 degree sides and concave base. |
| 1672 | 1671 | Post-hole | Fill | Dark brown sand, paler near the surface. |
| 1673 | 1673 | Post-hole | Cut | Irregular oval in plan: c $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{c} 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ deep (prob. vertically truncated). Irregular in profile. |
| 1674 | 1673 | Post-hole | Fill | Fill of Post-hole 1673. Grey silty sand with yellow sand at the base (possibly over dug). No finds. |
| 1675 | 1675 | Post-hole | Cut | Circular in plan: c 0.25 m dia $\times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Shallow, bowl shaped profile. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1676 | 1675 | Post-hole | Fill | Hard dry, pale brown clay with clean natural sand below. No finds. |
| 1677 | 1677 | Post-hole | Cut | Sub-circular in plan: c0.80m dia. x 0.28 m deep. Moderately sloping sides with <br> a concave base. |
| 1678 | 1677 | Post-hole | Fill | Pale grey-brown sand with occasional sub-angular stones. No finds. |
| 1679 | 1679 | Post-hole | Cut | Pit |

Context Feature

Number Identifier \begin{tabular}{lll}

Type \& | Description |
| :--- | <br>

\hline 1702 \& 1702 \& Pit

 Cut 

Cut of Pit 1702. Probably originally circular (now cut by Ditch 1704), 0.80m <br>
1703
\end{tabular}

| Context | Feature Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1724 | 1724 | Pit | Cut | Oval in plan: $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.09 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Shallow, with a flat base. Adjacent to Pit 1726, but relationship unclear. |
| 1725 | 1724 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1724. Mid brown sand (dry and dusty). One small piece of pottery found. |
| 1726 | 1726 | Pit | Cut | Oval in plan: c1.10m x $0.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Shallow, with gently sloping irregular sides. Adjacent to Pit 1724 (relationship unclear). |
| 1727 | 1726 | Pit | Fill | Fill of Pit 1726. Mid brown sand (dry and dusty). No finds. |
| 1728 |  |  |  | must have been used because there's a bag of animal bone from it (GET DETAILS in) |
| 5001 | 5000 | Pit | Cut \& Fill | Part of sub-rectangular pit. Steep sided, sharp break of slope to flat base. Filled by pale orangey brown silty sand, mottled, loose compaction. Occasional stone. |
| 5003 | 5002 | Pit | Cut \& Fill | Part of oval pit. Shallow, rounded base. Filled by pale orangey brown silty sand, mottled, loose compaction. Occasional stone. |
| 5005 | 5004 | Posthole | Cut \& Fill | Small, circular post hole 'U' shaped profile; steep sided, rounded base. Filled by pale orangey brown silty sand, mottled, loose compaction, occasional stone. |
| 5007 | 5006 | Posthole | Cut \& Fill | Small circular post hole, steep sided, sharp break of slope to flattish base. Filled by dark blackish brown silty sand with outer layer of bluish/grey clay post pipe? See sketch |
| 5009 | 5008 | Posthole | Cut \& Fill | Small sub-circular post hole, shallow, uneven profile - gradually sloping side to W, steeper and deeper to E. Rounded base. Filled by mid brown silty sand, loosely compacted and with occasional stone. |
| 5011 | 5010 | Pit | Cut \& Fill | Irregularly shaped pit, shallower at W end, rounded base at E. Filled by pale orangey brown silty sand, loose compaction. Very occasional stone. |
| 5013 | 5012 | Posthole | Cut \& Fill | Small oval post hole, shallow, rounded profile. Filled by pale brown silty sand, loose compaction, occasional stones. |
| 5015 | 5014 | Posthole | Cut \& Fill | Smal, circular post hole. Shallow, fairly steep sides, flattish base. Filled by sark - mid brown silty sand, mottled, loose compaction |
| 5017 | 5016 | Posthole | Cut \& Fill | Small circular post hole, shallow, rounded base. Filled by dark brown silty sand, loose compaction, occasional stone. |
| 5019 | 5018 | Pit | Cut \& Fill | Part of ?circular pit in edge of trench. Gently sloping sides c .45 degrees to rounded base. Animal disturbance in SW edge. Filled by mid brown silty sand with occasional charcoal flecks and oyster frags. Loose compaction, occasional stones. |
| 5021 | 5020 | Ditch | Cut \& Fill | ne-sw DITCH. Quite narrow but upper part of ditch machined away - visible and wider in trench section. Rounded base. Filled by mid greyish brown sand, loose compaction, very occasional stone and iron pan. |
| 5022 |  |  |  | Unstrat |
| 5024 | 5023 | Ditch | Cut \& Fill | E-W ditch, quite shallow, rounded profile. Filled by mid-pale brown silty sand with occasional stones. Loose compaction. |
| 5026 | 5025 | Ditch | Cut \& Fill | E-W ditch, shallow, rounded base. Water inundating base. Filled by pale greyish brown silty sand, slightly gritty. Loose compaction, occasional stones. |
| 5028 | 5027 | Ditch | Cut \& Fill | N-S narrow ditch, steep sided (almost vertical on W side) with rounded base. Filled by dark blackish brown silty peaty deposit, mottled with pale grey sand at E side. Appears to be man made ditch/gully in a natural hollow c. 3.5 m wide - thin layer of peat visible in section below subsoil and sealing natural. See sketch. |
| 5029 | 5029 | Pit | Cut | Roughly circular pit. Excavation stopped as filling with water - unclear whether feature continues or natural peaty layer reached. |


| Context | Feature <br> Number | Identifier | Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5030 | 5029 | Pit | Fill | Mid greyish brown silty sand, loose compaction, occasional stones. Charcoal <br> flecks. |
| 5031 | 5029 | Pit | Fill | Dark greyish brown silty sand, loose compaction, occasional stones. Charcoal <br> flecks. Chalky clay lumps. |
| 5032 | 5029 | Pit | Fill | Pale yellowish brown silty sand, loose compaction, charcoal flecks. |
| 5033 | 5033 | Ditch | Cut | N-S aligned ditch, V shaped profile with shallow shelf on W edge. |



## Appendix 4. Documentary Report

Anthony M Breen

## 1. Introduction

The research for this report has been carried out at the Suffolk Record Office in Ipswich. The site is to the north of the road Sizewell Gap and either side of the lane leading to the north known as Sandy Lane. The area is within the parish of Leiston and part of the former hamlet or soke of Sizewell. The history of the general area has been described in Dr John Ridgard's 1995 report ‘Sizewell Belts S.S.S.I - Desk Top Survey' and this material has not been revisited here. The section of Dr Ridgard's report 'The Settlement at Sizewell' with its emphasis on the scale and importance of Sizewell within the medieval possessions of the former abbey is particularly relevant to this study. His observation that 'it was quite clearly the sea which destroyed Sizewell' should be noted.

In this report records relating to this specific site have been examined to assess the potential for researching the history of the site back to the medieval period. The research has been hampered by the poor quality of the surviving manuscript maps however the property records though these re-use the historic description of the lands without revision from the sixteenth century through to 1845 are invaluable. The site appears to have been part of Sizewell Farm and most of the farm's acreage was copyhold land. At each exchange of the property the lands were first surrendered back to the manorial court before being granted to the new owners and each transaction is carefully recorded in the manorial court books or earlier rolls. Of the 23 court books for the Manor of Leiston, 20 are still extant covering a period from 16391929. Before 1639 manorial court rolls have survived from the late thirteenth century until the early seventeenth century. Unfortunately many of the rolls are in need of careful conservation and are not at present available for research. The record office will be preparing a list of those rolls that are currently available for research. Unlike the court books most of which are indexed by copyholder the earlier court rolls are subdivided into the separate sokes or leets of the manor and each contains a separate heading for Sizewell.

There are additional records at the National Archives relating to the period of the dissolution of the abbey and the potential of these records will be discussed in this report

## 2. Maps

The copy of the Leiston cum Sizewell tithe map of 1841 held at the record office in Ipswich (ref. FDA164/A1/1b) is incomplete due to earlier damage. The remaining portions of the map have been extensively repaired. Of the area of this site only the northern part of the field is not shown on the surviving portions of the map. The lands to the south are on the lost portions of the map. A small enclosure to the east of the field and numbered 274 is shown on the map and is listed amongst the property of Francis Hayle in the tithe apportionment (ref. FDA164/A1/1a). In 1841 the field was then part of a farm of 180 acres 1 roods and 4 perches in the ownership of Francis Hayle and then in the occupation of another Francis Hayle. The farm is not named in the apportionment and only some of the fields have specific names. These include 256 'Brick Kiln \& Yard' measured as 1 acre 35 perches, two fields 261 \& 263 called East Hill measured as 12a 2r 25p and 11a 3r 35p, the field 269 'Hog Field or Valley' measured as $6 a 2 r 3 p$ with an area of 'whin' measured at $3 r 5 p$, and 367a 'Benthills' and area of shingle measured at 10a 2 r 5 p. Not all of these fields are still shown on the map. Francis Hayle the owner held other properties in Leiston including another his own farm of 134 acres 1 rood 35 perches.

The field is depicted on an earlier sketch map in the Isaac Johnson Collection (ref. HD11: 475/85). The map is undated and lacks any title beyond an endorsement 'Sizewell'. There is no schedule of the lands beyond a calculation of a total acreage of 118 acres 3 roods 38 perches with an addition for the 'road by yards'. Though details of the then cultivation of the fields are given very few fields are named on this map. The map does show a 'kiln' with a plot measured 1a 0 r 30p, this is likely to have been the 'Brick Kiln \& Yard' on the tithe map, another field is named 'Hog Valley' with an acreage of 7 a Or 4 p compared to the 7 a 1 r 8 p of the tithe's 'Hog Field or Valley' and area of 'whin'. 'Warren Hill' has no parallel amongst the fields listed in tithe apportionment, however the 'Bentills' with its given acreage of 10a 2 r 0 p extremely is close to that of 1841. A date 1834 appears twice on this sketch map but
this might be a later note. It is highly likely that this is an earlier map of Francis Hayle's farm, however a full comparison of this map with the farm as recorded in the tithe apportionment is not at present possible. The field where the site is located was then subdivided into three pieces with acreages of 5 acres and 14 perches, 17 acres 1 rood and 16 perches and 6 acres 2 roods 38 perches.

There is another copy of the tithe map and apportionment amongst the Tithe Commission records at the National Archives (TNA) in Kew (ref. IR 30/33/270 \& IR 29/33/270).

There are no other manuscript maps relating to this part of Leiston. The other sketch maps in the Isaac Johnson Collection relate to the Leiston Abbey Estate or draft maps prepared as part of the enclosure of the parish in 1824 (ref. EF5/1/2/1). Many are on an earlier estate 1783 map of the Abbey Estate (ref. HD306/1/1-6). The 1783 map and later maps all depict areas in the northern part of the parish only.

## 3. A Missing Plan

The manorial records only relate to copyhold lands and the various farms in Leiston are likely to have included elements of both copyhold and freehold. The deeds of conveyance would have included full details of all the land. The extensive properties of Francis Hayle of Aldringham including those in Leiston, Sizewell and elsewhere were sold in 1845 to Abraham Wildey Roberts, Edward Simeon and the Right Honourable Cornwallis Maude, Viscount Hawarden. The conveyances of the copyhold lands were recorded at the manorial court held on 20 June 1845 (ref. HD 1032/32). The records of the court give the dates of entry for each of Francis Hayle's properties with the full description of the lands as they appear in the earlier court records, these historic descriptions were then related to a contemporary plan of his estate. This plan was probably attached to the deeds of conveyance. He had entered the Sizewell property on 4 July 1808 and the full historic description is given later in this report. It was used for the last time at the court in June 1845 and then replaced with ...
'As the said last mentioned copyhold messuages, lands, tenements and hereditiaments are now better known and distinguished by the following names and do contain by admeasurement the several quantities of land and are coloured blue and marked on the said plan with the several numbers hereinafter particularly mentioned (that is to say) No 83 House, Barn yards etc containing one acre one rood and two perches, No 70 cottage and garden containing one rood, No 81 Kilnfield containing thirty four acres three roods and thirty four perches, No 82 Kiln and yards containing one acre and thirty five perches, No 85 Easthill containing twelve acres two roods and twenty five perches, No 69 Cottage Marsh containing two acres three roods and twenty perches, No 66 Entrance Marsh containing five acres and thirty nine perches, No 71 Pithill containing twenty three acres and one rood and seven perches, No 76 Warren Hill containing one acre one rood and thirty five perches as all contain in the aggregate eighty three acres thirty seven perches be the same more or less'.

These field numbers are not those used on the tithe map. Some of the field names are the same as those that appear in the tithe apportionment and the acreages are the same. This description omits the 18 acres of 'Bentills' and 'Hog Field or Valley' and once these have been removed nearly all the remaining acreage as some on the undated sketch map in the Isaac Johnson collection was copyhold. The survival of copyhold suggests that the farm had been formed from several holdings and this is apparent from the earlier historic description.

## 4. Manorial Records

## Francis Hayle

A substantial part of Francis Hayle's property was held of the manor of Leiston and brief descriptions of his holdings are given in the contemporary manorial rentals with the names of the previous tenants. The rentals are divided into columns with the headings, 'Tenants. Occupiers, Tenure, where lying' together with further columns detailing the rents. In 1840 Hayle held fifteen separate copyhold properties with lands in Leiston, Sizewell, Aldringham and Thorpe. Of these properties only one 'Late Rays' is described as lying in Sizewell (ref. HD 1032/44). In the court book 'R' covering the years $1797-1813$, there is the record of Francis Hayle's entry to this property at the court held on 30 December 1808 on the surrender of William Ray of

Worlingworth and his wife Lydia. The land had previously been exchanged by deed poll dated 4 July 1808. The lands are described at length beginning with areas of waste and followed with three landholdings described as 'other parcels'. As each description is quite lengthy, for convenience each has been numbered here.

1. 'One piece of waste the East head whereof abutteth upon the Sea and West, North and South upon the lands late of Ann Wall and afterwards of Elizabeth Glover containing by estimation one acre, And also to one other piece of waste abutting upon a way leading from Sizewell to Thorp towards the West and lands late of said Ann Wall towards the East and upon a way leading from Leiston to Sizewell Gap on the part of the North and upon lands late of the said Ann Wall on the part of the South containing by estimation three acres And Also to one other piece of waste containing by estimation six acres the now head whereof abutteth upon the house and barn late of the said Ann Wall and afterwards of the said Elizabeth Glover on the part of the South and upon the way leading from Leiston to Sizewell Gap in the part of the North and upon lands late of the said Ann Wall and afterwards of the said Elizabeth Glover in the part of the west'
2. Other parcels 'And also to one tenement or cottage and to all the copyhold lands to the same belonging lying in Sizewell And to one piece of land lying in Sizewell aforesaid between lands of the Lord of this Manor called Northfield on the part of the East and the common fen there on the part of the West and containing by estimation one rood And to one pightle called Cooks Pightle containing by estimation one rood And to one other pightle called Everards Pightle containing one rood And to one tenement called Harman's containing by estimation ten perches And to one close called Woolnough's containing by estimation five roods And also to two acres and an half of copyhold land held of this manor lying in Sizewell aforesaid between the lands late of Jeremiah Rose on the part of the North and a way leading from Sizewell towards Aldringham Street on the part of the south And also to one piece of copyhold land containing by estimation one acre and an half hold of this manor lying in Sizewell between the lands of William Shipman on the part of the west and the sea there on the part of the east'
3. Other parcels 'And also to one curtilage and certain lands now lying together in Northfield in Sizewell And to a moiety of one parcel of a Garden lying on the South part of a tenement late of John Stingate containing in length sixty six feet and in breadth at the East end twenty feet and at the west-end six feet parcel of the said tenement And to one copyhold tenement with six acres of land by estimation lying in divers pieces whereof three pieces lye in Rookefield in Sizewell And a moiety of one tenement with a garden with the appurtenances lying in Sizwell And a moiety of three pieces of land lying in Rookefield aforesaid containing by estimation four acres And to a moiety of one messuage with two pightles adjoining to the tenement Bittons containing by estimation half an acre and half a rood And to one copyhold close called Southfield Close containing by estimation sixteen acres lying together in divers pieces inclosed in Sizewell between the way leading from Sizewell towards Thorpe on the part of the East and a way leading from the heath of Sizewell to the common marsh of Sizewell on the part of the west and abutting upon a way leading from Sizewell towards Leiston Church in part an the lands of Robert Bootman and Thomas Browne in part towards the north and upon the heath aforesaid towards the south'
4. Other Parcels 'And also to one tenement lying in Sizewell newly built and one barn and one close adjoining to the same tenement being divided into four pieces containing in the whole by estimation fourteen acres and abutting upon the King's Highway leading from Sizewell towards the East Bridge towards the north and the King's Highway leading from Sizewell towards Leiston towards the south And to one close divided in five pieces lying between Coldham Hill on the part of the east and the King's Highway on the part of the west and abutting upon the common marsh on the part of the North and upon the King's Highway leading from Sizewell towards East Bridge towards the south and containing by estimation twelve acres more or less And to one close divided in seven pieces lying between the lands late of John Barber on the part of the east and lands now or late of the Lord of this manor on the part of the west the north head abutting upon the King's Highway leading from Sizewell towards Leiston and the south head upon the lands late of Thomas Sprunt containing in the whole by estimation twelve acres: which last mentioned premises were late of the said John Browne deceased and formerly of Ann Browne widow To all of which said premises the said William Ray was admitted tenant in the fee at the
court held on the twenty eighth day of December One Thousand and Seven Hundred and Ninety one as youngest son and heir according to the custom of the said manor of William Ray his late father deceased as by the entry of that court appears' (ref. HD 1032/29).

The total estimated acreage of waste was 10 acres, section 2 describes 6 acres and 10 perches, the section 326 acres 2 roods and 20 perches and section 4 describes 38 acres. In all 80 acres 2 roods 30 perches are described in these estimations and another piece is described by its dimensions.

## William Ray

William Ray entered this property on 28 December 1791 on the death of his father. His entry is recorded on pages 171 - 173 of Court Book 'Q' (ref. HD 1032/28). There are some differences in the property descriptions that should be noted. The first relates to the pieces of waste described in the first part of the property description 'which premises the said William Ray the father had and took up to him and his heirs at a Special Court Baron holden for this Manor on the tenth day of February one thousand seven hundred and eight three on the surrender of James Glover and Elizabeth his wife'. The section 2 describing other lands had previously been 'late of John Brown deceased and formerly of John Pooley'. In section 3 following the three pieces in Rookefield, the moiety of one tenement is further described as 'Late Pigbons' though no measurement is given for this piece. The lands described in this section were 'late the said John Browne deceased and formerly of Richard Wills'. The description in section 4 was the same as in 1808, however these properties have been acquired through a recovery at different dates with one third William Ray had 'took up to him ... at the aforesaid special court held on' 10 February 1783 and the remaining two thirds at another court held on 1 March 1783.

The court proceedings for the 10 February and 1 March 1783 are recorded on pages $34-63$ of the same court book. At the court held on 10 February 1782 it was recorded that Ann Wall had surrendered her property to the use of her will at a court held on 29 October 1707. Her death was recorded at the court held on 20 October 1749 and at a court held on 2 March 1749 ( 1750 according to the present calendar) Alice Packer 'widow and sister of the said Ann Wall was admitted by virtue of ... the
last will and testament of the said Ann Wall ... for the term of her natural life'. At another court held on 12 November 1753 the death of Alice Packer was recorded and then at a court held on 12 January 1754 'Elizabeth Glover wife of James Glover (late Elizabeth Packer only daughter of the said Alice Packer deceased) was admitted'. On 10 February 1783, Ann North 'the wife of William North of Saint Mary White Chapel in the county of Middlesex Hair Dresser (late Ann Glover spinster one of the three daughters of the said Elizabeth Glover)' produced a copy of Ann Wall's will dated 2 December 1748. Ann had first left her property in Sizewell to his sister Alice Packer and then after her death to 'Elizabeth her daughter the now wife of James Glover' and then to the son of Elizabeth Glover. The property was then in the occupation of 'one Driver who married the widow Osborne'. James Packard Glover 'the only son of said Elizabeth Glover had died without issue'. Following these details Ann North was admitted to 'one undivided third part' of the property. The property description matches the 'other parcels' as described in the courts of 1791 and 1808. Ann Wall had originally entered the property at a court held on 25 October 1706 'on the death of the said John Browne and by virtue of his surrender and last will and testament'.

The 'undivided third' was then surrendered to George Whiting of Leiston but only to accomplish the transfer of the property through a recovery. This form of conveyance involved a legal fiction of a dispute over the title. The details of this dispute are not relevant to this report as the property itself was not divided only the title to the property. Eventually William Ray was admitted. William Ray was also admitted to the pieces of waste which 'the said Elizabeth Glover had ... at a General Court' held in 19 October 1764 after the death and as only sister and heir of William Packer'.

The proceedings for the court held on 1 March 1783 follow a similar form and begin with the surrender of Ann Wall's property to the use of her will on 29 October 1707. In this instance Sarah Clift 'the now wife of Joseph Clift of Wandsworth ... late Sarah Glover spinster one of the three daughters of the said Elizabeth Glover' was admitted as tenant of another part of the property. Again William Ray was eventually admitted. Though the proceedings of the two courts are prolonged and involve the rather clumsy form of conveyance then is use, the property descriptions remain unchanged.

At the court held on 19 October 1764 (ref. HD 1032/27) Elizabeth Glover was admitted to the pieces of waste formerly held by William Packer. William Packer had entered the lands on 19 October 1759 'as nephew and heir at law of the said Ann Wall'. The 1759 proceedings begin with noted the death of Ann Wall at a previous court held on 20 October 1749 and that though she had held the pieces of waste from 14 March 1711 she had failed to surrender the waste land to the use of her will and therefore the manorial custom took precedence and the waste had passed to William Packer.

Though the proceedings are complicated and prolonged in effect Ann Wall's properties as described in 1808 and 1783 had been in her possession from 1711 onwards. Unfortunately though the court books with an alphabetical sequence C-W covering the years 1638 to 1929 have survived there is one omission, this is court book 'L' covering the period from October 1699 to October 1713. This book would have contained the references to the entries of Ann Wall at the court held on 25 October 1706 and 14 March 1711.

It should also be noted that these records relate to the ownership of the land and not the tenancy. It is highly likely that most of the owners were not residents on the property.

## John Browne

From the later court records it appears to have been the case that John Browne had been the tenant before Ann Wall and the earlier court books have been searched for details of his admission to the property. At a court held on 27 June 1692 John Browne with his wife Elizabeth and his son also John Browne were admitted to part of the property (ref. HD 1032/23). Previously at a court held on 14 October 1664 William Shipman had surrendered his lands to the use of his will (ref. HD 1032/20). He had held 'certain lands and copyhold tenements of the manor and the devastated lands and tenements of his wife Rose for the term of his natural life'. Both had died before the court of June 1692 and at that court William Shipman's will dated 1 April 1670 was produced in court. The English text of the will is quoted in the court records. Shipman gave 'all that my messuage or tenement in Sysewell ... wherein Ralph Acres now dwelleth ... to Rose my wife for \& during the terme of her naturall
life and from after her decease ... the same to the said John Browne of Aldeburgh ... and Elizabeth his now wife for \& during the terme of their naturall lives ... and after ... unto John Browne son of the said John and Elizabeth'. The property description is then given in Latin is exactly the same as that given in section 3 and 4 as they appear in the later records of 1783 and 1808. William Shipman had entered this property on 16 April 1639 on the death of William Shipman his father.

At the same court Richard Wills eldest son and heir of Alice Beaumont' was admitted to another part of the property 'All my messuage or tenement in Cysewell aforesaid whereon John Bettany now dwelleth' bequeath to Alice Beaumont of Aldeburgh under the terms of William Shipman's will. Alice Beaumont had died before 1692. The property described in the Latin text is the same as the piece described section 3 in the 1783 and 1808 with some minor changes. In this text John Stingate appears to have been John Stiwgate and the moiety of one tenement with a garden' is described as 'Late Pigbones' as in 1783. William Shipman had entered this property again on the 16 April 1639. Richard Wills was only 19 and a William Wills was appointed as guardian for him until he reached the age of 21 .

At another court held on 7 November 1694 John Browne was admitted on the surrender of John Pooley to the 'other lands' as in section 2 in the records of 1783 and 1808. John Pooley had been admitted to this property on 31 July 1684 on the death of John Pooley his father. At the same court John Browne was admitted to the property that had passed to Richard Wills under the terms of William Shipman's will. With the exception of the ten acres of waste all the other lands described in 1808 had been united into one holding at this court.

## 5. Early Court Books

In 1684 John Pooley was aged 11 when his father died and a John Lilly was appointed his guardian (ref. HD 1032/22). His father had entered the property at the court held on 7 October 1680 on the surrender of John Wiggen who in turn had held the property only since the previous court. The previous tenant was John Figgins who had died before October 1678 without an heir. John Figgins in his turn had received the property with the exception of 'And also to one piece of copyhold land containing by estimation one acre and an half hold of this manor lying in Sizewell
between the lands of William Shipman on the part of the west and the sea there on the part of the east' as heir of Thomas Figgins at a court held on 10 October 1660. The one and a half acres had come to him as son and heir of Margaret Hayle formerly the wife of Thomas Figgins'.

It should be noted that John Pooley also held on the surrender of John Wiggen another messuage in Sizewell 'between the Clay Pitt Close on the north and the Fishway on the south the west head abuts upon the Lord's warren of the said manor and the east head on the land late Edward Helwys'. John Wiggen had this property as heir of Susanne the wife of John Bitteny at a court held on 8 October 1678.

In October 1660 John Figgins entered the property as heir to his father Thomas Figgins who had held the property from the surrender of the property to the manor court held on the 15 August 1655. The previous tenant was Thomas Pallmer. John Figgins was then aged 14 and his stepmother Susan Figgins was appointed his guardian (folio 156). At the same court John Figgins also entered the one and a half acres. His mother Margaret Figgins was the only daughter of the previous tenant Thomas Hayle (folio 148) again John's stepmother Susan Figgins was appointed as guardian (ref. HD 1032/19). The previous tenant of this small piece of land was George Sparpyn who had held the property from the court held on 9 October 1632. The proceedings of the court held on 15 August 1655 are written in English as with all legal records during the Commonwealth period and the entry of Thomas Figgins to his property is recorded on folio 89-91 of the court book (ref. HD 1032/18). Thomas Pallmer the previous tenant had taken possession of this land from Philip Styles his wife Mary at a court held on 22 April 1653. Mary Styles was the sister and heir of Katherine Hellwys who had inherited much of the property on the death of her father Edmond Hellwys. Thomas Pallmer of 'Benale in said countie of yoman' entered the property at the court held in April 1655 but before that date the lands had not been a single property. The proceedings at this date are again in English. The first property described was 'All that copyhold tenement or cottages with all the appurtenances lying in Sysewell which premises the said Mary together with Katherine Hellwys her sister hereafter deceased late tooke up to them and their heires of the surrender of Clement Metcalf at a court here holden the' (28 April 1626). The description of second property beginning with 'one peece of land lyinge
in Sysewell aforesaid betweene the lands of the Lord of this manor called Northfield on the part of the est \& the common fen there on the part of the west' and continuing through to 'one close called Wolnaughes containing by estimation five roods' is the same as in section 2 in 1783 and 1808. This property was held by Katherine Hellways 'by virtue of the will \& testament of the said Edmond Hellwys her father at the court here holden' again on 28 April 1626. The final piece 'Two acres \& a half of copyhold land ... lyinge in Sisewell aforesaid betweene the lands late Jeremy Rose on the part of the north \& the way leading from Sysewell unto Aldringham Streete on the part of the south' had been granted to Philip Styles at a court held on 13 August 1649 (ref. HD 1032/17). Previously John Fyske had held this final piece on the surrender of Jeremiah Rose at a court held 9 October 1635.

At the time of the court held on 16 April 1639 William Shipman was aged 23 and son and heir of his father also William Shipman. His father had held five properties acquired at different dates. The first was section 3 'other parcels' as described in 1808. William had acquired this property from his own father also William Shipman at a court held on 24 April 1620. The property description though in Latin is exactly the same as in 1808 though instead of Bittons the name of that tenement is given as Brittons. The second of William Shipman's properties was a tenement and half an acre in Thorpe. His third property in Sizewell was that as in section 4 in 1783 and 1808 with the tenement still being described as 'newly built'. He had entered this property as son and heir of John Bidmer and Elizabeth his wife at a court held on 11 October 1611. His fourth property was 'two pieces of copyhold land containing by estimation four acres lying at the Cleypitts and adjoining the Cleypitts Gate and one messuage with a garden and croft adjoining containing by estimation two acres and three roods and a half with appurtenances in Sizewell And one piece of copyhold land lying in Sizewell between the land late Alice Shipman widow on the part of the north and the Fishway on the part of the south and abuts on the land late William Skeete on both the east and west'. William had received these lands from Francis Shipman at a court held on 6 October 1615. The final piece was 'one piece of copyhold land containing by estimation three roods lying in Sisewell between the Fyshe way on the part of the east and the land late of Alice Shipman widow on the part of the west and abuts on the common of Sisewell towards the north'. William had acquired this piece from William Thompson at a court held on 6 October 1616.

William Shipman's son also William was admitted as tenant to all these five properties at the court held on 16 April 1639 (ref. HD 1032/16). The court books of this period are not indexed and the volume has not been searched to discover the dates when William Shipman disposed of his other properties.

Before 1638 there is a gap in the record sequence covering the years 1618-1638. The records for these years were probably in the form of the court books ' A ' and ' B '. Before 1618 the court proceedings are in the form of court rolls with another gap in the record sequence for the years 1550 to 1580 . Some of the earlier rolls are in a fragile condition and have not been examined for this report. Only the last roll covering the years 1611 -1618 has been examined for this report (ref. HD 1032/14).

At a court held on 29 March 1613 John Edmonds alias Cooke surrendered to Reginald Fowntyane
'One tenement lying in Sysewell newly built and one barn and one close adjoining to the same tenement being divided into four pieces containing in the whole by estimation fourteen acres and abutting upon the King's Highway leading from Sysewell towards the Estbridge towards the north and the King's Highway leading from Sysewell towards Layston towards the south And to one close divided in five pieces lying between Coldham Hill on the part of the east and the King's Highway on the part of the west and abutting upon the common marsh on the part of the North and upon the King's Highway leading from Sizewell towards East Bridge towards the south and containing by estimation twelve acres more or less And to one close divided in seven pieces lying between the lands late of John Barbor on the part of the east and lands of the Lord the King, the Lord of this manor on the part of the west the north head abutting upon the King's Highway leading from Sysewell towards Layston and the south head upon the lands late of Thomas Sprynt containing in the whole by estimation twelve acres'

John Edmonds alias Cooke had entered this property on 29 March 1586. Though the 1613 text is in Latin the property description as it appears in section 4 in 1808 remained in use until 1845. It highly likely that the text of the property descriptions were or slightly amended from the early sixteenth century onwards, even though the
three section of 'other parcels' had been consolidated into one land holding from 1694 onwards. The areas of waste were added to the landholding probably in the earlier eighteenth century as no previous owners have been identified.

## 6. Sizewell at the start of the 17th Century

It is evident from the court rolls that Sizewell was a much reduced community compared with that described by Dr Ridgard in the mid fourteenth century. The affairs of each soke or leet were governed by a jury or homage meeting at the manorial court and the list of the jurors for Sizewell is much shorter than for the other parts of the manor. At the court meeting on 29 March 1613 only seven jurors are named including William Shipman and a Thomas Sprunt. At the same court 10 properties are listed as destroyed by an influx of the sea. These were a tenement called Redbeards formerly William Shipman's, a tenement formerly Nicholas Hunt, the residue of the land in the possession of Anne Shipman, widow, a tenement late Woolnows that Armiger Browne gentleman holds, an enclosure called Wolnough that Edmund Hollowes holds, a garden late Everards that John Bence holds, a tenement Wards that John Tostard holds, one tenement with land adjoining which Thomas Browne lately held, a tenement Fyskes that Otewell Dwyte lately held and a tenement with land adjoining that Geoffrey Freman lately held. All had been lost since the previous court. It is probable that other flooding events are recorded in the court rolls.

In a separate document entitled 'A particular of the manner of Laiston cum Membris' the total of the demesne lands of the manor that is those in the possession of the lord is given as '2029 acres or thereabouts' of which 'The tenants of Sisewell for the Northfeild cont 120 acres’ pay £25 a year and a Raphe Eade paid £16 for 'land called Pickbones cont 52 acres' (ref. HA 30:50/22/20.4(2)). Both the Northfield and Pickbones or Pigbons are mentioned in the later property descriptions. The document is not dated but other lands are described as the property of Sir Paul Banning who was involve in a legal dispute concerning land in 1618, so this document appears to be of the same period (ref. TNA E134/17Jasl/Mich19). A Robert Pykebone of Dunwich had been ejected from his tenancy of lands in Sizewell during time of Thomas Wente, abbot of Leiston 1504-1515 (ref. TNA C1/345/59).

These references suggest that part of the copyhold lands had formerly been part of the demesne.

## Further Research and Conclusion

Dr Ridgard's states that part of the Vanneck collection of which the manorial records for Leiston are a part are held at the Manuscript Department of Cambridge University Library. These records are now the property of the university and include manorial records from 1272 onwards. The university also hold later estate papers including plans of individual farms. The records when combined with those in Ipswich offer considerable scope for further research into the history of Sizewell in the late medieval period. In addition there are various manorial accounts and other property records relating to the manor held at the National Archives. Many of these relate to the possessions of the former abbey in the immediate post dissolution period, though some sources are of a later date. These records are particularly important in relation to the demesne and revenues of the manor and may possibly contain separate headings for Sizewell.

For the present it is only possible to suggest something of the history of this site. The field names as they appear in the historic property descriptions combined with the geography of the area suggest that this site was part of North Field or as it was known in 1338 'Portmannorthfield'. The greater part of this field was manorial demesne that is land held directly by the lordship and not held by the tenants. In the medieval period manorial tenants would have been obliged to perform agricultural works for the lord of the manor, in this instance the abbot. To manage such an estate and to serve the needs of the large coastal community and market at Sizewell it is possible that there was a separate grange at Sizewell and within the demesne lands. As the community decline in population and size due to coastal erosion and flood, the numbers of tenants available for agricultural work would have declined. Those tenants who remained were facing the loss of their property due to the erosion and may have been compensated with grants of demesne land. The need to maintain a separate grange would eventually disappear. Fortunately the records for Sizewell are of sufficient if not ample quality to fully explore this development.
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Appendix 5. LCS 150 Bulk finds catalogue

| Ctext | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Pot Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cer} \\ & \mathrm{Pd} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | C pipe <br> Wt | Nails No | Nails <br> Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { Wt } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Stne No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stne } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A bne Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shell } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Shell <br> Wt | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | 152 | 1986 | PMED |  |  | 2 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 79 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 456 | 120 | 25 | 147 | Slag: 1 @ 60g, Quern - Q: 1 Wt:1g | 18th-20th C |
| 1002 | 426 | 9242 | PMED |  |  | 4 | 53 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 9 | 2 | 31 | 3 | 37 | 314 | 15 | 180 | ?ironstone - <br> Q:8 Wt: 36g <br> Quern - Q:3 <br> Wt: 477g | 16th-18th C |
| 1005 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 slag @ 5g | Undated |
| 1006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  | Undated |
| 1008 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?ironstone - } \\ & \text { Q: } 20 \mathrm{Wt} \text { : } \\ & 49 \mathrm{~g} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Undated |
| 1010 | 11 | 143 | MED |  |  | 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 26 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | ?ironstone - <br> Q: 15 Wt : <br> 15 g | L12th-14th C |
| 1012 | 2 | 2 | MED |  |  | 94 | 437 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 39 |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | ?ironstone Q: $6 \mathrm{Wt}: 12 \mathrm{~g}$ | L12th-14th C |
| 1014 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Undated |
| 1017 | 1 | 18 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1020 | 1 | 2 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 211 |  |  |  | burnt wood frags - Q: 9 Wt 15g | 11th-13th C |
| 1022 | 2 | 19 | MED |  |  | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $<1 \mathrm{~g}$ |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1024 | 130 | 860 | MED |  |  | 15 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 198 | 5 | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { charcoal - Q: } \\ & 1 \mathrm{Wt}:<1 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ | L13th-14th C |
| 1025 | 24 | 873 | MED |  |  | 3 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |
| 1026 | 34 | 314 | MED | 1 | 498 | 3 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |


| Ctext | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cer } \\ & \text { Pd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CBM} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { Wt } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Nails <br> No | Nails Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bt fl } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stne } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Stne <br> Wt | A bne Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shell } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shell } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1028 | 2 | 7 | MED |  |  | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 332 | 1 | 2 | 6 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1030 | 1 | 7 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1034 | 73 | 384 | MED |  |  | 14 | 71 |  |  | 3 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 3 | 6 | ?ironstone Q: $11 \mathrm{Wt}: 27 \mathrm{~g}$ Charcoal Q:3 Wt: 2 g | L13th-14th C |
| 1036 | 2 | 2 | MED |  |  | 5 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 3 |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1038 | 1 | 19 | MED |  |  | 4 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 22 |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1039 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |
| 1040 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1042 | 1 | 15 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 25 | 68 |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1048 | 6 | 75 | MED |  |  | 3 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 57 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1056 | 2 | 22 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quern - Q: } 3 \\ & \text { Wt: } 196 \mathrm{~g} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | L12th-14th C |
| 1059 | 4 | 10 | MED |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1061 | 71 | 377 | MED |  |  | 2 | 7 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  | 9 | 133 | 1 | 11 | 134 | 76 | 319 |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1063 | 48 | 306 | MED | 2 | 33 | 2 | 6 |  |  | 2 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169 | 69 | 211 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { charcoal - Q: } \\ & 1 \mathrm{Wt}: 1 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ | L13th-14th C |
| 1070 | 1 | 18 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1074 | 1 | 12 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |
| 1077 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ?ironstone Q: $3 \mathrm{Wt}: 4 \mathrm{~g}$ charcoal - Q: $4 \mathrm{Wt}:<1 \mathrm{~g}$ | Undated |
| 1081 | 50 | 691 | MED |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 43 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { slag @ } \\ & 127 \mathrm{~g} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | L12th-14th C |
| 1083 | 69 | 676 | MED |  |  | 2 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 347 | 2 | 14 |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?ironstone - } \\ & \text { Q: } 5 \mathrm{Wt} \text { : } 39 \mathrm{~g} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Undated |
| 1091 | 3 | 11 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1094 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | ?ironstone - $\mathrm{Q}: 1 \quad \mathrm{Wt}: 4 \mathrm{~g}$ | Undated |
| 1096 | 1 | 9 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |


| Ctext | Pot No | Pot Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cer } \\ & \text { Pd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \end{aligned}$ | Nails No | Nails Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & W \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Bt fl Wt | Stne <br> No | Stne <br> Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & \text { bne } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Shell No | Shell <br> Wt | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1098 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |
| 1102 | 8 | 67 | MED |  |  | 6 | 165 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 | $<1 \mathrm{~g}$ |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1104 | 2 | 23 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |
| 1105 | 2 | 19 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1108 | 36 | 204 | MED |  |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 23 | 1 | 70 | 141 | 22 | 110 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1109 | 5 | 46 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1110 | 39 | 413 | MED |  |  | 4 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125 | 45 | 104 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1111 | 40 | 431 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1116 | 1 | 9 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 109 |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Undated |
| 1121 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Undated |
| 1122 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Undated |
| 1126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | Undated |
| 1128 | 4 | 38 | MED |  |  | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1130 | 2 | 17 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 113 | ?ironstone Q: $2 \mathrm{Wt}: 2 \mathrm{~g}$ | L12th-14th C |
| 1132 | 2 | 1 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1134 | 174 | 1965 | MED |  |  | 12 | 149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 278 | 183 | 396 | charcoal - Q: <br> 9 Wt: 2g <br> Quern - Q: 69 <br> Wt: 1043g | L13th-14th C |
| 1135 | 19 | 130 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1143 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  | 1 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | burnt wood/charcoal - Q: 2 Wt: 6g | Undated |
| 1146 | 4 | 74 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1147 | 2 | 73 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 2 | 10 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1149 | 10 | 57 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 26 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1151 | 9 | 62 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 1 | 2 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1152 | 2 | 18 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |


| Ctext | Pot No | Pot Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cer} \\ & \mathrm{Pd} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { Wt } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \end{aligned}$ | Nails No | Nails Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \end{aligned}$ | Stne <br> No | Stne <br> Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & \text { bne } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Shell No | Shell Wt | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1154 | 3 | 24 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 34 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1157 | 1 | 4 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 28 |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1158 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81 | 4 | 30 |  | Undated |
| 1159 | 19 | 137 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 13 | 115 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1162 | 12 | 111 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |
| 1168 | 11 | 101 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 11 | 1 | 101 | 15 | 8 | 70 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1169 |  |  |  | 1 | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | Medieval |
| 1170 | 2 | 23 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 18 |  |  | 8 |  |  | fragments of wood - Q: 10 Wt: 3g | L13th-14th C |
| 1173 | 133 | 1588 | MED |  |  | 14 | 141 |  |  | 2 | 13 |  |  | 1 | 18 | 1 | 31 | 162 | 1 | 22 | wood - Q: 2 <br> Wt: 47 g ?ironstone Q: $7 \mathrm{Wt}: 85 \mathrm{~g}$ | 15th-17th C |
| 1175 | 1 | 9 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1179 | 31 | 250 | MED |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1184 | 22 | 332 | MED | 1 | 67 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 2 | 51 |  |  | 163 | 8 | 54 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1186 | 1 | 29 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1188 | 17 | 82 | MED |  |  | 8 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 289 | 45 | 4 | 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M12th-14th } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1189 | 2 | 16 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 5 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1197 | 9 | 103 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1202 | 8 | 53 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 1 | 19 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1206 | 5 | 16 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1211 | 10 | 101 | MED |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 36 |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1219 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wood <br> fragments - Q: <br> $21 \mathrm{Wt}: 48 \mathrm{~g}$ | Undated |
| 1224 | 2 | 57 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1226 | 7 | 76 | MED |  |  | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 111 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | L14th-16th C |


| Ctext | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cer } \\ & \mathrm{Pd} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \text { clay } \end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{Wt}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | C pipe <br> Wt | Nails No | Nails $\mathrm{Wt}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bt fl } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Bt fl <br> Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stne } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Stne <br> Wt | A bne Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shell } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Shell <br> Wt | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1230 | 1 | 29 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1233 | 2 | 18 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1234 | 3 | 24 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 273 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1245 | 1 | 7 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 17 |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1246 | 1 | 4 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 18 |  |  | 5 | 345 |  |  |  | 2 | 32 |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1249 | 10 | 40 | MED |  |  | 6 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1256 | 1 | 2 | MED |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1258 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1262 | 4 | 195 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L14th-16th C |
| 1265 | 32 | 764 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 374 | 65 | 11 | 154 | ?soil sample in with misc box | 12th-14th C |
| 1266 | 4 | 105 | MED |  |  | 6 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 18 | 156 | 2 | 21 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1267 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1268 | 2 | 28 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 | 1 | 10 |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1276 | 1 | 9 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1283 | 1 | 2 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1292 | 1 | 16 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1309 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?ironstone - } \\ & \text { Q: } 61 \mathrm{Wt}: 64 \mathrm{~g} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1312 | 20 | 310 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1314 | 1 | 27 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1315 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 1317 | 1 | 10 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1321 | 2 | 24 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1325 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1330 | 2 | 15 | MED |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1332 | 5 | 43 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1336 | 13 | 83 | MED |  |  | 3 | 125 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13th-14th C |


| Ctext | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cer} \\ & \mathrm{Pd} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{F} \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | C pipe <br> Wt | Nails No | Nails Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{No} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stne } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stne } \\ & \text { Wt } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A bne Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shell } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shell } \\ & \text { Wt } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1339 | 1 | 4 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1341 | 4 | 18 | MED | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1342 | 5 | 49 | MED | 2 | 587 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1345 | 10 | 30 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1346 | 12 | 45 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1348 | 3 | 9 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1355 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1358 | 2 | 4 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1364 | 52 | 1239 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { charcoal - Q: } \\ & 7 \mathrm{Wt}: 3 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ | 12th-14th C |
| 1366 | 2 | 13 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |
| 1367 | 51 | 1892 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1368 | 18 | 425 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1375 | 9 | 101 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | 6 | 90 |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1390 | 11 | 56 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 23 |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |  | 11 | 3 | 32 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1391 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | wood fragments - Q: 32 Wt : 22g |  |
| 1400 | 3 | 29 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1406 | 1 | 5 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1408 | 6 | 47 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1410 | 6 | 128 | MED |  |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quern - Q: } 20 \\ & \text { Wt: 349g } \end{aligned}$ | 11th-13th C |
| 1414 | 4 | 30 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 17 |  |  | 1 | 12 | 1 | 88 | 11 | 1 | 1 | wood fragment - Q: 1 Wt: 1g | L13th-14th C |
| 1427 | 23 | 131 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 7 | 77 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1428 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ?ironstone - $\text { Q: } 5 \text { Wt: 33g }$ | L13th-14th C |
| 1429 | 1 | 4 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |


| Ctext | Pot No | Pot Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cer} \\ & \mathrm{Pd} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { Wt } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Nails <br> No | Nails Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bt fl } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Bt fl Wt | Stne <br> No | Stne Wt | A <br> bne <br> Wt | Shell No | Shell Wt | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1430 | 11 | 88 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | 3 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1435 | 1 | 16 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1436 | 1 | 17 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1437 | 5 | 45 | MED |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1438 | 1 | 1 | MED |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1439 | 4 | 107 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1466 | 1 | 15 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1472 | 2 | 7 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1474 | 2 | 33 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1475 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1476 | 3 | 24 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1483 | 4 | 33 | MED |  |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1485 | 2 | 6 | MED |  |  | 9 | 219 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1506 | 1 | 24 | MED |  |  | 1 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1510 | 4 | 76 | MED | 1 | 109 | 28 | 686 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1511 | 3 | 49 | MED | 1 | 1285 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 49 |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1514 | 1 | 6 | MED |  |  | 3 | 149 |  |  | 2 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1516 | 5 | 478 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |
| 1518 | 2 | 14 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M12th-M14th C |
| 1526 | 32 | 315 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | ?ironstone Q: $2 \mathrm{Wt}: 18 \mathrm{~g}$ | L13th-14th C |
| 1528 | 2 | 4 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1530 | 2 | 20 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1532 | 1 | 2 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1534 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  | 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 68 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1542 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1543 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 46 |  |  |
| 1560 | 1 | 4 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1561 | 1 | 5 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1565 | 1 | 5 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1575 | 8 | 145 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |


| Ctext | Pot No | Pot Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cer} \\ & \mathrm{Pd} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \text { clay } \end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{Wt}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { pipe } \end{aligned}$ No | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { pipe } \end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{Wt}$ | Nails No | Nails Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | W fl Wt | Bt fl No | Bt fl Wt | Stne No | Stne <br> Wt | A bne Wt | Shell No | Shell <br> Wt | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1576 | 2 | 24 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 1580 | 33 | 588 | MED |  |  | 4 | 106 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 341 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 46 | 4 | 126 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quern - Q: } 1 \\ & \text { Wt: } 160 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ | L13th-14th C |
| 1582 | 3 | 13 | MED |  |  | 2 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1583 | 1 | 17 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1584 | 29 | 515 | MED |  |  | 2 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1585 | 21 | 379 | MED |  |  | 4 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 178 | 195 | 1 | 2 | wood <br> fragments - Q: <br> 32 Wt: 151g <br> ?ironstone - <br> Q: 2 Wt : 6 g | L13th-14th C |
| 1590 | 1 | 9 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1592 | 4 | 61 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1602 | 11 | 71 | MED | 4 | 1269 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 69 |  |  | 1 | 13 | 2 | 395 | 18 |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1603 | 4 | 32 | MED |  |  | 5 | 372 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  | L18th-20th C |
| 1605 | 9 | 150 | MED |  |  | 17 | 115 |  |  | 2 | 20 |  |  | 1 | 13 |  |  | 12 | 1 | $<1 \mathrm{~g}$ |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1606 | 2 | 16 | PMED |  |  | 4 | 226 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  | 16th-17th C |
| 1609 | 10 | 802 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1610 | 4 | 768 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sample fill from vessel Wt: 3g | L12th-14th C |
| 1611 | 4 | 2630 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | complete large vessel | L13th-14th C |
| 1612 | 49 | 1669 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | <1g |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1613 | 42 | 1706 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1614 | 5 | 359 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1615 | 1 | 202 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1617 | 7 | 92 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1618 | 7 | 408 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1619 | 28 | 1664 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |
| 1620 | 16 | 323 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1621 | 30 | 988 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12th-14th C |


| Ctext | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cer} \\ & \mathrm{Pd} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{F} \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { Wt } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Nails No | Nails Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bt fl } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Bt fl Wt | Stne No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stne } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { bne } \end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{Wt}$ | Shell No | Shell <br> Wt | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1622 | 44 | 1442 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1626 | 24 | 1944 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | all sherds belong to same vessel | L12th-14th C |
| 1632 |  |  |  | 1 | 834 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1651 | 1 | 44 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1653 | 5 | 31 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1654 | 2 | 18 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1667 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quern - Q: } 25 \\ & \text { Wt: } 1717 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1670 | 1 | 14 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 12th-14th C |
| 1686 | 1 | 6 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1689 | 2 | 20 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1691 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1693 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | 1 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1695 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 207 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1697 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-13th C |
| 1700 | 1 | 15 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1703 | 2 | 19 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 32 | 320 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1706 | 4 | 117 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1708 | 6 | 42 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1709 | 8 | 102 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1713 | 1 | 4 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 1718 | 2 | 10 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 25 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1720 | 3 | 28 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 1722 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 | 63 |  |  |
| 1725 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 2324 | 1 | 11 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 5001 | 1 | 3 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 5011 | 1 | 6 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 5013 | 1 | 5 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |


| Ctext | Pot No | Pot Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cer} \\ & \mathrm{Pd} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | CBM <br> Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { clay } \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & \text { pipe } \\ & \text { Wt } \end{aligned}$ | Nails <br> No | Nails Wt | Wfl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W fl } \\ & \text { Wt } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{No} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bt} \mathrm{fl} \\ & \mathrm{Wt} \end{aligned}$ | Stne No | Stne Wt | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & \text { bne } \\ & \text { Wt } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Shell No | Shell Wt | Misc | Cxt date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5019 | 5 | 49 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 5021 | 1 | 6 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11th-12th C |
| 5022 | 122 | 1956 | PMED |  |  | 1 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 146 |  | 16th-18th C |
| 5030 | 25 | 192 | MED |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |  |  |  | L12th-14th C |
| 5031 | 2 | 4 | MED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 13 |  | L13th-14th C |
| 5032 | 6 | 40 | MED |  |  | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L13th-14th C |
| 5034 | 3 | 33 | MED |  |  | 2 | 145 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 1 | 17 |  | L13th-14th C |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U/S } \\ & \text { TR } 26 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 30 | MED | 1 | 218 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 6. Pottery catalogue by context

| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | EMWG |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1000 | EMWSG |  |  | 2 | 10 | 2 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1000 | HFW1 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M.12th- } \\ & \text { M. 13th c. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1000 | HOLG |  |  | 14 | 95 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1000 | HOLL |  |  | 30 | 368 | 29 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1000 | HOLL | bowl | SQBD | 2 | 63 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1000 | HOLL | jar | EV | 3 | 34 | 3 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1000 | HOLL | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 14 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1000 | HOLL | jar | SQBD | 1 | 34 | 1 | 14th c. |
| 1000 | HOLL | jar | UPEV | 1 | 10 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1000 | LMT |  |  | 2 | 77 | 2 | 15th-16th c . |
| 1000 | MCW |  |  | 38 | 316 | 38 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1000 | MCW | bowl | SQBD | 1 | 14 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1000 | MCW | jar | THEV | 1 | 9 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1000 | MCW | jar | THEV | 1 | 16 | 1 | 12th-13th c. |
| 1000 | MCW | jug |  | 1 | 63 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1000 | MCWM |  |  | 43 | 585 | 43 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1000 | MCWM | jar | EV | 2 | 8 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1000 | MCWM | jar | TAP | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1000 | MCWM | jar | UPBD | 2 | 63 | 1 | 13th-14th c. |
| 1000 | REFW |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L.18th-20th c. |
| 1000 | REFW | saucer? | EV | 1 | 4 | 1 | L. 18th-20th c. |
| 1000 | SCAR |  |  | 3 | 100 | 3 | M. 12thM.14th |
| 1002 | EMSW |  |  | 2 | 30 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1002 | EMSW | jar | EV | 1 | 111 | 1 | 11th-12th c . |
| 1002 | EMW |  |  | 3 | 10 | 3 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1002 | GRE | dish | EV | 1 | 9 | 1 | 16th-18th c. |
| 1002 | HOLG |  |  | 5 | 36 | 3 | L. 13th-E. 14th c. |
| 1002 | HOLL |  |  | 20 | 288 | 19 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1002 | HOLL | jar | SQBD | 1 | 47 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCW |  |  | 105 | 1738 | 34 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCW | bottle |  | 1 | 260 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCW | jar | UPBD | 1 | 27 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCW | jar | UPPL | 4 | 78 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCW | jug |  | 1 | 113 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCW | jug | INT | 2 | 337 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCW | jug | UPPL | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM |  |  | 226 | 4016 | 40 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM | bowl | FLAR | 1 | 283 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM | bowl | UPTH | 5 | 174 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM | bowl: handled | EV | 2 | 58 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM | jar | COLL | 32 | 947 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM | jar | EV | 11 | 129 | 6 | 12th-14th c. |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1002 | MCWM | jar | FTEV | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM | jar | LSEV | 3 | 46 | 3 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM | jar | UPBD | 2 | 15 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM | jug |  | 2 | 60 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1002 | MCWM | jug | TRBD | 19 | 316 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1005 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1010 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1010 | MCW | jar | SQBD | 10 | 135 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1010 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1012 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1017 | EMW | jar | UPPL | 1 | 19 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1020 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1022 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 20 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1024 | HOLG | jug | FLAR | 3 | 80 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1024 | MCW |  |  | 3 | 14 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1024 | MCW | jug | UPTH | 39 | 219 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1024 | MCWM |  |  | 81 | 503 | 33 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1024 | MCWM | jar | EV | 3 | 13 | 3 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1024 | MCWM | jar | UPBD | 1 | 25 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1025 | MCW | jug | UPTH | 27 | 861 |  | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1025 | MCWM | jug | UPBD | 2 | 7 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1026 | MCW |  |  | 14 | 133 | 3 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1026 | MCWM |  |  | 21 | 194 | 11 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1028 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1028 | MCWG |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | L. 11th-13th c? |
| 1030 | EMWSG |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1034 | HOLG |  |  | 2 | 20 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1034 | MCW |  |  | 7 | 24 | 7 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1034 | MCW | jug | UPPL | 1 | 3 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1034 | MCWM |  |  | 57 | 229 | 24 | 12th-14th c . |
| 1034 | MCWM | bowl handled | FLAR | 3 | 91 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1034 | MCWM | jar | EV | 1 | 10 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1034 | MCWM | jug | UPFT | 1 | 2 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1036 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1038 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 19 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1042 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 16 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1048 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 18 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1048 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 22 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1048 | MCWM |  |  | 3 | 34 | 3 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1056 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1056 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 14 |  | 12th-14th c. |
| 1059 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 11 | 3 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1061 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 13th-E.14th <br> c. |
| 1061 | HOLL |  |  | 5 | 19 | 5 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1061 | MCW |  |  | 24 | 137 | 12 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1061 | MCWM |  |  | 35 | 182 | 22 | 12th-14th c. |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1061 | MCWM | jar | LSEV | 1 | 14 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1061 | MCWM | jar | THEV | 3 | 19 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1063 | GRIM |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1063 | HOLG |  |  | 2 | 6 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1063 | HOLL |  |  | 5 | 24 | 5 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1063 | MCW |  |  | 12 | 41 | 11 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1063 | MCWM |  |  | 23 | 184 | 21 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1063 | MCWM | jug? | UPTH | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1063 | SCAR |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | M. 12th- <br> M.14th |
| 1063 | UPG |  |  | 3 | 32 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1070 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 18 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1074 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 14 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1081 | HOLL |  |  | 18 | 171 | 10 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1081 | MCW |  |  | 22 | 290 | 15 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1081 | MCW | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 31 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1081 | MCW | bowl | THEV | 4 | 115 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1081 | MCW | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 22 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1081 | MCW | jug |  | 3 | 10 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1081 | MCWM |  |  | 3 | 39 | 3 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1081 | UPG |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1083 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1083 | EMW | jar | SEV | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1083 | MCW |  |  | 13 | 94 | 7 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1083 | MCW | bowl | THEV | 8 | 118 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1083 | MCW | jar | THEV | 13 | 113 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1083 | MCW | jar | UPTH | 8 | 34 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1083 | MCW | jug | TRBD | 17 | 239 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1083 | MCWM |  |  | 4 | 26 | 4 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1083 | MCWM | jar | FTEV | 4 | 35 | 1 | M.13th-14th c. |
| 1091 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1091 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1096 | HOLL | jar | UPBD | 1 | 11 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1098 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1102 | MCW |  |  | 9 | 67 | 8 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1104 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 23 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1105 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 20 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1108 | EMWSS |  |  | 2 | 6 | 2 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1108 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1108 | HOLL |  |  | 12 | 78 | 11 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1108 | HOLL | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 10 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1108 | HOLL | jug? |  | 1 | 20 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1108 | MCW |  |  | 20 | 80 | 18 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1109 | EMWSG |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-13th c . |
| 1109 | MCW |  |  | 3 | 34 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1109 | UIMP |  |  | 1 | 12 | 1 |  |
| 1110 | HOLG |  |  | 2 | 43 | 1 | L. 13th-E.14th c. |
| 1110 | HOLL |  |  | 4 | 20 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1110 | MCW |  |  | 15 | 72 | 13 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1110 | MCW | jug |  | 1 | 83 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1110 | MCWM |  |  | 13 | 139 | 13 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1110 | MCWM | bowl | THEV | 3 | 47 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1110 | MCWM | jar | EV | 1 | 6 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1111 | HOLL |  |  | 6 | 64 | 5 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1111 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 8 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1111 | MCWM |  |  | 11 | 70 | 4 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1111 | MCWM | bowl | THEV | 16 | 212 |  | 12th-14th c. |
| 1111 | MCWM | jar | FTEV | 5 | 54 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1111 | UPG |  |  | 1 | 22 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1116 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1128 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1128 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 35 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1130 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 17 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1132 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-13th c . |
| 1134 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11th-13th C . |
| 1134 | HOLG |  |  | 3 | 25 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1134 | HOLG | jug |  | 1 | 20 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1134 | HOLL |  |  | 32 | 477 | 26 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1134 | HOLL | bowl | EV | 1 | 16 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1134 | IPSG |  |  | 3 | 23 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1134 | MCW |  |  | 75 | 593 | 55 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1134 | MCW | bottle? |  | 1 | 27 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1134 | MCW | bowl | EV | 1 | 12 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1134 | MCW | bowl | FTEV | 1 | 12 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1134 | MCW | jar | THEV | 1 | 7 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1134 | MCW | jar | UPTH | 27 | 429 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1134 | MCW | jug | TRBD | 2 | 19 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1134 | MCW | jug | UPPL | 1 | 4 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1134 | MCWM |  |  | 21 | 278 | 21 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1134 | MCWM | jar | TRBD | 1 | 9 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1135 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | L. 13th-E.14th <br> c. |
| 1135 | MCW |  |  | 12 | 96 | 12 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1135 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1135 | MCWM | bowl | EV | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1135 | SCAR |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.12th- } \\ & \text { M.14th } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1143 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1146 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 12 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1146 | MCW |  |  | 3 | 62 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1147 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 70 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1147 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1149 | HOLG |  |  | 2 | 22 | 1 | L. 13th-E.14th c. |
| 1149 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 7 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1149 | MCW |  |  | 6 | 31 | 6 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1151 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 19 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1151 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 29 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1151 | MCW | bowl | SQBD | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1151 | MCW | jar | UPTH | 2 | 9 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1152 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1152 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1154 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1154 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1154 | MCW | bowl | EV | 1 | 12 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1157 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1159 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1159 | EMWG |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1159 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1159 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 14 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1159 | MCW |  |  | 9 | 41 | 9 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1159 | MCW | jar | SQBD | 1 | 23 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1159 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 41 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1159 | SCAR |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | M. 12th- <br> M.14th |
| 1162 | HOLL | jug |  | 3 | 94 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1162 | MCW |  |  | 9 | 17 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1168 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1168 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1168 | HOLG |  |  | 2 | 9 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1168 | HOLL |  |  | 6 | 65 | 6 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1168 | HOLL | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 18 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1170 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 24 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1173 | DUTR |  |  | 2 | 36 | 1 | 15th-17th c. |
| 1173 | EMW |  |  | 9 | 30 | 9 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1173 | GRIM |  |  | 5 | 21 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1173 | HFW1 |  |  | 4 | 35 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.12th- } \\ & \text { M.13th c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1173 | HOLG |  |  | 30 | 590 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1173 | HOLL |  |  | 34 | 204 | 34 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1173 | HOLL | cistern |  | 3 | 97 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1173 | HOLL | jar | EVSQ | 2 | 28 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1173 | HOLL | jug |  | 2 | 147 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1173 | HOLL | jug | INT | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1173 | MCW |  |  | 23 | 188 | 20 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1173 | MCW | jar | EV | 2 | 6 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1173 | MCW | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 14 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1173 | MCW | lamp? | FLAR | 1 | 3 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1173 | MCWM |  |  | 13 | 149 | 8 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1173 | SCAR |  |  | 1 | 13 | 1 | M. 12th- <br> M. 14th |
| 1173 | UPG |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1175 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 9 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1179 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1179 | HOLG |  |  | 3 | 53 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { C. } \end{aligned}$ |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1179 | HOLL |  |  | 7 | 55 | 7 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1179 | HOLL | jar | COLL | 1 | 9 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1179 | HOLL | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1179 | HOLL | jug | EVSQ | 1 | 5 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1179 | MCW |  |  | 12 | 59 | 12 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1179 | MCW | jar | SQBD | 1 | 9 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1179 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 31 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1179 | UPG |  |  | 2 | 19 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1184 | EMW |  |  | 3 | 21 | 3 | 11th-12th c . |
| 1184 | EMWSS |  |  | 4 | 17 | 4 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1184 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 75 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1184 | HOLL |  |  | 5 | 96 | 5 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1184 | MCW |  |  | 8 | 122 | 3 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1186 | HOLL | jug | UPFT | 1 | 28 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1188 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 17 | 4 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1188 | MCWM |  |  | 11 | 56 | 11 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1188 | MCWM | jar | EV | 1 | 7 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1188 | SCAR |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | M. 12th- M. 14th |
| 1189 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1189 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1197 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1197 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 26 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1197 | HOLL | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 14 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1197 | HOLL | jar | SQBD | 1 | 9 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1197 | MCW | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 20 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1197 | MCW | jar | THEV | 1 | 8 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1197 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 9 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1197 | SCAR |  |  | 1 | 12 | 1 | M.12th- <br> M. 14th |
| 1202 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 10 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1202 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 12 | 3 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1202 | MCWM | jar | EV | 2 | 31 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1206 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 6 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1206 | MCWM |  |  | 3 | 10 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1211 | EMW |  |  | 4 | 18 | 4 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1211 | EMWG |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1211 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1211 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 23 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1211 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 21 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1211 | MCW | spouted pitcher | ? | 1 | 32 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1224 | MCW | jug |  | 1 | 50 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1224 | PING |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | 10th-13th c. |
| 1226 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1226 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 49 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1226 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 13 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1226 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1226 | MIDP |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 14th-16th c. |
| 1230 | EMWSG |  |  | 1 | 30 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1233 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 19 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1234 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1234 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 13 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1245 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1246 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1249 | EMWSS |  |  | 3 | 10 | 3 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1249 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 15 | 3 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1249 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 16 | 4 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1256 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1262 | GSW3 | mug | UPPL | 1 | 20 | 1 | L. 15th-16th c. |
| 1262 | HOLL | jar | SQBD | 2 | 147 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1262 | MCW | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 27 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1265 | EMSW |  |  | 2 | 31 | 1 | 11th-12th c . |
| 1265 | EMSW | jar | EV | 1 | 129 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1265 | EMW |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1265 | FLBG |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | 12th-13th c. |
| 1265 | MCW |  |  | 20 | 536 | 9 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1265 | MCW | jug | UPFT | 1 | 3 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1265 | THET |  |  | 5 | 47 | 2 | 10th-11th c. |
| 1266 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 65 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1266 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 40 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1268 | EMW |  |  | 2 | 27 | 2 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1276 | HOLL | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 11 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1283 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1292 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 18 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1312 | EMW |  |  | 4 | 33 | 4 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1312 | HOLL |  |  | 10 | 171 | 10 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1312 | MCW |  |  | 5 | 31 | 5 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1312 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 75 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1314 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 28 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1317 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1321 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 23 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1330 | EMSW |  |  | 1 | 13 | 1 | 11th-12th c . |
| 1330 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1332 | EMW |  |  | 5 | 44 | 2 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1336 | EMW |  |  | 2 | 10 | 2 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1336 | GRE |  |  | 1 | 12 | 1 | 16th-18th c. |
| 1336 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E.14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1336 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 7 | 3 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1336 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 40 | 4 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1336 | ROU |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | 13th-14th c. |
| 1336 | YORK |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | Medieval |
| 1339 | EMWSS | jar | THEV | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1341 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 9 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1341 | MCW |  | ? | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1341 | MCW | jar | EV | 1 | 4 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1342 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 40 | 3 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1342 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1342 | MCW | jug | TRBD | 1 | 7 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1345 | EMW |  |  | 3 | 8 | 3 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1345 | HOLL |  |  | 5 | 19 | 5 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1345 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1346 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 8 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1346 | MCW |  |  | 9 | 34 | 9 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1346 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1348 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1348 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1348 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1355 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1358 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1358 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1364 | MCW |  |  | 26 | 520 | 4 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1364 | MCW | jug | BD | 1 | 49 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1364 | MCWM |  |  | 11 | 269 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1364 | MCWM | jar | EV | 12 | 329 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1364 | MCWM | jar | UPTH | 3 | 33 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1364 | MCWM | jug | UPTH | 1 | 32 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1366 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 13 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1367 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1367 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 19 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1367 | MCWM | jar | EV | 48 | 1871 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1368 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 11 | 3 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1368 | MCW |  |  | 8 | 29 | 8 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1368 | MCW | bowl | THEV | 6 | 294 |  | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1368 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 23 |  | 12th-14th c. |
| 1368 | SCAR |  |  | 1 | 65 | 1 | M.12th- <br> M.14th |
| 1375 | EMWSG |  |  | 2 | 16 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1375 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 29 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1375 | HOLL | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 20 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1375 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 37 | 4 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1390 | EMWSG |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1390 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 7 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1390 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 15 | 4 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1390 | MCW | jar | EV | 1 | 8 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1390 | MCWM |  |  | 4 | 29 | 4 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1400 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1400 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 26 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1406 | EMWG |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1408 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 22 | 3 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1408 | HOLL | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 19 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1408 | HOLL | jug | SQBD | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1408 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1410 | EMWSG | spouted pitcher? | TRBD | 6 | 128 | 1 | 11th-13th C. |
| 1414 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11th-12th c . |
| 1414 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 15 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1414 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 12 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1427 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 7 | 1 | L. 13th-E.14th |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c. |
| 1427 | MCW |  |  | 21 | 102 | 12 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1427 | MCW | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 23 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1429 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 9 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1430 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1430 | EMWSG |  |  | 2 | 8 | 1 | 11th-13th c . |
| 1430 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { C. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1430 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 32 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1430 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 31 | 3 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1430 | MCW | jar | SEV | 1 | 14 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1435 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 16 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1436 | MCW | jar | UPBD | 1 | 17 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1437 | MCW |  |  | 3 | 15 | 3 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1437 | MCW | jar | EVBD | 1 | 9 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1437 | MCW | jar | SEV | 1 | 20 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1438 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1439 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 14 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1439 | MCW |  |  | 3 | 93 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1466 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 15 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1472 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 9 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1474 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 11 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1474 | MCWG |  |  | 1 | 23 | 1 | L.11th-13th c? |
| 1475 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1476 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1476 | MCWG |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | L. 11th-13th c? |
| 1476 | YAR |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | 11th-12th c . |
| 1483 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1483 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 7 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1483 | MCW | jar | BD | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1483 | MCWG |  |  | 1 | 11 | 1 | L. 11th-13th c? |
| 1485 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1485 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11th-13th c . |
| 1506 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 24 | 1 | 11th-13th c . |
| 1510 | MCW |  |  | 3 | 27 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1510 | MCW | cistern |  | 1 | 51 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1511 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 12 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1511 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 39 | 1 | 12th-14th C . |
| 1514 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 7 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1516 | MCWM |  |  | 5 | 477 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1518 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1518 | SCAR |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | M.12thM.14th |
| 1526 | EMW | jar | UPBD | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1526 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1526 | HOLL |  |  | 12 | 80 | 8 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1526 | HOLL | jug | SQBD | 1 | 26 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1526 | MCW |  |  | 12 | 116 | 10 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1526 | MCW | jar | THEV | 1 | 8 | 1 | L. 12th-13th c. |
| 1526 | MCWM |  |  | 3 | 45 | 3 | 12th-14th c. |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1526 | MCWM | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 30 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1528 | EMW |  |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1530 | EMWSG |  |  | 1 | 9 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1530 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 13 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1532 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1534 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1542 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1560 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1561 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1565 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1575 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11th-12th c . |
| 1575 | EMW | jar | SEV | 2 | 93 | 2 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1575 | THET |  |  | 1 | 27 | 1 | 10th-11th c. |
| 1575 | UNID |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 |  |
| 1575 | YAR |  |  | 3 | 11 | 2 | 11th-12th c . |
| 1576 | EMWG |  |  | 2 | 26 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1580 | EMW |  |  | 10 | 69 | 2 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1580 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1580 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 31 | 3 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1580 | HOLL | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 66 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1580 | HOLL | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 47 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1580 | MCW |  |  | 12 | 188 | 11 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1580 | MCW | jar | EV | 6 | 83 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1580 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 91 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1582 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-12th c . |
| 1582 | MCW |  |  | 2 | 12 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1583 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 17 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1584 | HOLG |  |  | 5 | 115 | 4 | L. 13th-E. 14th c. |
| 1584 | HOLL |  |  | 5 | 42 | 4 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1584 | MCW |  |  | 5 | 61 | 4 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1584 | MCWG |  |  | 1 | 17 | 1 | L.11th-13th c? |
| 1584 | MCWM | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 65 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1584 | MCWM | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 33 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1584 | SCAR |  |  | 3 | 82 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M. 12th- } \\ & \text { M. 14th } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1584 | UPG |  |  | 8 | 96 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1585 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 32 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1585 | HOLL |  |  | 5 | 100 | 5 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1585 | MCW |  |  | 12 | 225 | 9 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1585 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1585 | SCAR |  |  | 2 | 18 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.12th- } \\ & \text { M.14th } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1590 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 9 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1592 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1592 | MCWM |  |  | 2 | 20 | 2 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1592 | MCWM | jar | UPBD | 1 | 30 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1602 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 18 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1602 | FLBG |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12th-13th c. |


| Context | Fabric | Form <br> name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1602 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| Fabric date |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| range |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Context | Fabric | Form name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1689 | HOLL | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 15 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1689 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1691 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1697 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 1700 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 15 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1703 | HOLL |  |  | 2 | 19 | 2 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1706 | MCW |  |  | 3 | 32 | 3 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1706 | MCWM | bowl |  | 1 | 86 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1708 | EMW |  |  | 2 | 10 | 2 | 11th-12th c. |
| 1708 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 7 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1708 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1708 | MCWM | bowl | EVSQ | 1 | 15 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1709 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 11 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1709 | MCW |  |  | 7 | 89 | 2 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1709 | MCW | jar | UPTH | 1 | 2 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1713 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 1718 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 9 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1718 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 1720 | HOLL | jug | UPTH | 3 | 28 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 1725 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 2324 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 11 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 5001 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 5011 | MCWM |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 5013 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 5019 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5019 | MCW |  |  | 4 | 49 | 3 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 5021 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 5022 | EMWSS |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-13th c. |
| 5022 | GRE |  |  | 1 | 7 | 1 | 16th-18th c. |
| 5022 | GSW4 |  |  | 1 | 10 | 1 | 16th-17th c . |
| 5022 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5022 | HOLL |  |  | 4 | 60 | 4 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 5022 | HOLL | jar | EVSQ | 1 | 18 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 5022 | HOLL | jug | SQBD | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 5022 | LMT |  |  | 2 | 27 | 2 | 15th-16th c. |
| 5022 | MCW |  |  | 30 | 269 | 22 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 5022 | MCW | jar | EV | 1 | 5 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 5022 | MCW | jar | THEV | 1 | 19 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 5022 | MCW | jug | UPTH | 56 | 1181 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 5022 | MCWM |  |  | 22 | 299 | 22 | 12th-14th c. |
| 5022 | MCWM | bowl | BD | 1 | 14 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 5022 | MCWM | jar | LSEV | 1 | 21 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 5022 | MCWM | jug | UPPL | 1 | 7 | 1 | 12th-14th c. |
| 5030 | HOLL |  |  | 11 | 134 | 6 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 5030 | MCW |  |  | 10 | 34 | 10 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 5030 | MCWM |  |  | 3 | 27 | 3 | 12th-14th c. |
| 5031 | HOLG |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L. 13th-E. 14th } \\ & \text { c. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 5031 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |


| Context | Fabric | Form <br> name | Rim | No | Wt/g | MNV | Fabric date <br> range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 5032 | EMW |  |  | 2 | 19 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 5032 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 19 | 3 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 5032 | MCW |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | L. 12th-14th c. |
| 5034 | EMW |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11th-12th c. |
| 5034 | HOLL |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| 5034 | HOLL | bowl | EV | 1 | 26 | 1 | L. 13th-14th c. |
| Tr26 | HOLG | jug | TRBD | 1 | 6 | 1 | L. 13th-E. 14th <br> c. |
| Tr26 | HOLL |  |  | 3 | 21 | 3 | L. 13th-14th c. |

## Appendix 7. LCS 150 CBM Catalogue by Context

| context | fabric | form | no | wt/g | abr | W | T | comments | date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1002 | fsfe | DP | 1 | 32 |  |  |  | in pottery bag | pmed |
| 1026 | est | EB | 1 | 498 |  | 112 | 51 |  | 13-15 |
| 1063 | msg | UN | 1 | 5 | + |  |  | poss LB | ? |
| 1063 | ms | RBT? | 1 | 28 |  |  |  | overfired/burnt vit surfaces mav be LB | Rom? |
| 1169 | msc | RT | 1 | 107 | + |  |  |  | med |
| 1184 | ms | RBT | 1 | 67 |  |  | 29 | reduced core | Rom |
| 1336 | msgfe | LB? | 1 | 121 | ++ |  |  | no surfaces, could be RBT | pmed? |
| 1336 | ms | UN | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | flake | ? |
| 1336 | fscp | UN | 1 | 2 | + |  |  | not like the FC version. noss RBT? | ? |
| 1341 | msg | UN | 1 | 5 |  |  |  | LB or RBT | ? |
| 1342 | msg | LB | 1 | 576 | ++ | 109 | 62+ |  | pmed |
| 1342 | msfe | LB | 1 | 8 | + |  |  |  | pmed |
| 1510 | mscp | RBT | 1 | 109 | + |  | 17 | poss IMB, but could be med RT | Rom |
| 1511 | msf | LB | 1 | 1285 |  | 125 | 50 | occ calc | Imed |
| 1514 | msfe | LB | 1 | 5 | + |  |  |  | pmed |
| 1514 | mscp | LB? | 2 | 146 | + |  |  | =1 brick, could be RBT? | pmed? |
| 1602 | msf | LB | 1 | 598 | + |  |  | surfaces lost | pmed |
| 1602 | msf | LB | 2 | 560 |  |  | 54 | reduced surfaces | pmed |
| 1602 | msv | LB | 1 | 83 |  |  |  |  | pmed |
| 1603 | msg | LB | 4 | 368 | + |  |  |  | pmed |
| 1603 | wms | LB? | 1 | 5 | + |  |  | buff, poss FT | pmed |
| 1606 | msf | LB | 1 | 9 | + |  |  |  | pmed |
| 1606 | fscp | LB | 3 | 214 |  |  | >54 |  | pmed |
| 1632 | msf | LB | 1 | 828 | + | 105 | 55 | partially vit | Imed |
| Tr26 | msx | LB | 1 | 215 |  |  | 54 | partially vit | Imed |

## Appendix 8. Fired Clay Catalogue by Context

| Context | Fabric | Colour | Type | No | Wt/g | Surface | Impressions | Abr | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | fsc | pink/orange |  | 1 | 13 |  |  | + |  |
| 1002 | msco | red |  | 3 | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| 1002 | ms | grey |  | 1 | 7 |  | straw |  |  |
| 1002 | msc | buff |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | ++ |  |
| 1005 | ms | red |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | + |  |
| 1008 | msc | red |  | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1010 | msc | red |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | + |  |
| 1010 | ms | buff |  | 2 | 8 | 1 roughly smoothed |  |  |  |
| 1012 | msc | red-buff |  | 94 | 437 |  |  |  | mostly small pieces, no surfaces |
| 1014 | msc | red-buff |  | 10 | 33 |  |  | + | mostly small pieces, no surfaces |
| 1022 | msc | red |  | 4 | 6 |  |  | + |  |
| 1024 | msco | red |  | 15 | 38 |  |  | + |  |
| 1025 | msco | red |  | 3 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 1026 | msco | red |  | 3 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| 1028 | msco | red |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | + |  |
| 1034 | msc | red |  | 8 | 58 |  |  | + |  |
| 1034 | fsc | cream/pink |  | 4 | 13 | roughly smoothed |  |  |  |
| 1036 | msc | red |  | 5 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1038 | msc | red |  | 4 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1039 | msc | red |  | 7 | 15 |  |  | ++ |  |
| 1040 | msc | red |  | 3 | 21 |  |  | + |  |
| 1048 | msc | red-buff |  | 3 | 25 | 1 roughly smoothed, buff |  | + |  |
| 1059 | msc | red |  | 3 | 4 |  |  | + |  |
| 1061 | fscp | red/cream |  | 2 | 7 |  |  | + |  |
| 1063 | msc | red |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | + |  |
| 1077 | msc | red |  | 2 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1081 | msc | red |  | 1 | 8 |  |  | + |  |
| 1083 | msc | red | OD? | 2 | 25 | 1 slightly convex |  |  |  |
| 1102 | fscp | orange |  | 6 | 165 | smoothed, nearly flat |  |  |  |
| 1108 | msc | red-cream |  | 1 | 6 | convex cream surface |  |  |  |


| Context | Fabric | Colour | Type | No | Wt/g | Surface | Impressions | Abr | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1110 | fscp | orange |  | 4 | 45 |  | occ straw | ++ |  |
| 1121 | msc | red-grey | HL? | 10 | 20 | flat surfaces, reduced |  |  |  |
| 1122 | msc | red-grey | HL? | 1 | 14 | flat surface, reduced |  |  |  |
| 1128 | fscp | yellow |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1134 | fscp | pink/cream |  | 5 | 56 |  |  | + |  |
| 1134 | msc | pink/cream |  | 3 | 61 | flat, grey |  |  |  |
| 1134 | msc | red |  | 4 | 32 |  |  | + |  |
| 1143 | msc | orange |  | 1 | 19 |  |  | + |  |
| 1173 | msc | red \& cream | HL? | 14 | 141 | some flat, reduced |  |  |  |
| 1179 | msc | red |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | + |  |
| 1184 | msc | orange-cream | OD? | 1 | 6 | convex? |  | + |  |
| 1188 | msc | orange |  | 8 | 95 |  |  | + |  |
| 1211 | msv | orange-buff |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | ++ |  |
| 1226 | msc | pink |  | 1 | 9 |  |  | ++ |  |
| 1249 | msv | red |  | 6 | 10 |  |  | ++ |  |
| 1256 | msv | buff |  | 3 | 4 |  |  | ++ |  |
| 1256 | ms | buff/grey |  | 3 | 4 |  |  | ++ |  |
| 1266 | fscp | orange |  | 6 | 75 |  |  | + |  |
| 1267 | msc | orange-buff |  | 2 | 36 | 1 flat |  | + |  |
| 1330 | ms | red |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | ++ |  |
| 1410 | msv | orange-buff | OD? | 1 | 6 | convex, buff |  | + |  |
| 1437 | msv | orange |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | + |  |
| 1438 | fscp | orange/cream |  | 1 | 3 |  |  | ++ |  |
| 1483 | msc | orange |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1485 | mscq | buff | LW? | 8 | 217 | 2 large pieces, flat surfaces |  |  | poss triangular loomweight or other rectilinear object |
| 1506 | mscq | buff |  | 1 | 12 |  |  | + | as 1485? |
| 1510 | msc | red-grey | HL? | 28 | 686 | several flat reduced |  |  | includes 'inner' lumps |
| 1511 | msc | red-grey | HL? | 1 |  | flat reduced |  |  |  |
| 1534 | msc | orange |  | 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 1580 | fscp | orange-buff |  | 4 | 106 | buff, roughly flat |  | + |  |
| 1582 | fscp | orange/cream |  | 2 | 23 |  |  | + |  |
| 1584 | msv | orange-buff |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | + |  |


| Context | Fabric | Colour | Type | No | Wt/g | Surface | Impressions | Abr | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1584 | fscp | pink-cream |  | 1 | 8 |  |  | + |  |
| 1585 | fscp | orange |  | 4 | 40 | convex |  | + |  |
| 1602 | fscp | orange |  | 1 | 3 |  |  | + |  |
| 1605 | fso | orange |  | 17 | 115 |  | straw | + |  |
| 1693 | ms | red |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  | poss CBM |
| 1722 | fscp | red-cream |  | 2 | 27 |  |  | + |  |
| 5022 | msc | red-buff |  | 1 | 15 | cream flattish surface |  |  |  |
| 5030 | msc | red |  | 3 | 4 |  |  | + |  |
| 5032 | msc | red |  | 2 | 30 | 1 flat |  | + |  |
| 5034 | fscp | orange |  | 2 | 145 |  |  | + |  |

## Appendix 9. LCS 150 Small Finds

| Small Find No | Context | XRay | Material | Object | Type | Sub-Type | Object Dating | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1001 | 1402 | Copper alloy | Buckle | Square Buckle with Plate | Egan and Pritchard 19 | 14th century | Fastener/Clasp |
| 2003 | 1001 | 1401 | Copper alloy | Strap Clasp Plate | Plate with recessed corne | Egan and Pritchard 19 | 14th century | Coin |
| 2004 | 1001 | 1401 | Copper alloy | Buckle Plate | Two Rivet |  | 13th to 14th century | Fastener/Clasp |
| 2006 | 1001 |  | Stone | Hone | Mica Schist Hone | Riddler 2006 | Medieval | Fastener/Clasp |
| 2007 | 1001 | 1402 | Copper alloy | Object | Looks like the head of a |  | Post Medieval | Coin |
| 2008 | 1002 | 1402 | Iron | Fish Hook |  |  |  | Whetstone? |
| 2009 | 1002 |  | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  | Object |
| 2009 | 1002 |  | Iron | Fish Hook |  |  |  | Fishing Hook |
| 2009 | 1002 |  | Iron | Fish Hook |  |  |  | Various nails |
| 2009 | 1002 |  | Iron | Fish Hook |  |  |  | General metal detector finds from topsoil |
| 2009 | 1002 |  | Iron | Nail |  |  |  | Nail? |
| 2010 | 1001 | 1402 | Copper alloy | Thimble | Broad lower band, neat i | Margeson 1993, no 14 | 17th to 18th century | Moun |
| 2011 | 1001 | 1399 | Iron | Knife | Type unclear |  | Medieval | Nail |
| 2012 | 1001 | 1401 | Copper alloy | Belt Mount | Rectangular |  | 14th century | Nail |
| 2013 | 1001 |  | Iron | Nail |  |  |  | Nail |
| 2014 | 1001 |  | Iron | Nail |  |  |  | Nail |
| 2015 | 1001 |  | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  | Escutcheon |
| 2016 | 1001 | 1399 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  | Nail |


| Small Find No | Context | XRay | Material | Object | Type | Sub-Type | Object Dating | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1001 | 1401 | Copper alloy | Escutcheon | Lock Escutcheon |  | Post Medieval | Nail |
| 2018 | 1001 |  | Iron | Nail |  |  |  | Object |
| 2019 | 1001 |  | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  | Object |
| 2020 | 1001 | 1401 | Copper alloy | Strap-End | Folded Sheet Metal | Egan and Pritchard 19 | 14th century | Buckle |
| 2021 | 1001 | 1401 | Copper alloy | Strap-End | Folded Sheet Metal | Egan and Pritchard 19 | 14th century | 3 objects |
| 2022 | 1002 | 1402 | Copper alloy | Buckle and Plate | Rectangular buckle and p | Egan and Pritchard 19 | 14th century | Possible Brooch fragmen |
| 2023 | 1024 | 1400 | Iron | Knife | Tang and part of blade | Type unclear | Medieval | Fragment of curved iron |
| 2024 | 1063 | 1402 | Copper alloy | Buckle | Oval Buckle with Compo | Egan and Pritchard 19 | Mid 14th to early 15th | Key |
| 2025 | 1077 | 1401 | Iron | Object |  |  |  | Object |
| 2026 | 1001 | 1401 | Copper alloy | Key | Oval bow, hollow stem | Read 2001, no 546 | 13th to 14th century | Rivet/Rove |
| 2027 | 1001 | 1402 | Copper alloy | Mount |  |  | Post Medieval ? | Object |
| 2028 | 1173 | 1400 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  | Various objects |
| 2029 | 1188 | 1400 | Iron | Sheet Waste |  |  |  | Rivets/Roves |
| 2030 | 1211 | 1400 | Iron | Ferrule | Tubular |  |  | Nail?/Rivet? |
| 2031 | 1173 | 1399 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  | Nail? |
| 2032 | 1245 |  | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  | Wooden Peg from Well Structure (Plank 1450) |
| 2033 | 1246 |  | Iron | Staple |  |  |  | Fabric (luting) from Well Structure (Plank 1450) |
| 2036 | 1352 |  | Stone | Smoother | Beach Pebble | Clark and Gaunt 2000, |  | Object (Granite ? - possibly a beach pebble) from d |
| 2038 |  | 1401 | Copper alloy | Sheet |  |  |  | Wooden Plate (6 pieces) found between Plank 1491 |
| 2039 | 1001 |  | Copper Alloy | Nail |  |  |  |  |
| 2040 |  | 1401 | Copper alloy | Mount | Oval, two perforations |  | Post Medieval |  |


| Small Find No | Context | XRay | Material | Object | Type | Sub-Type | Object Dating | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2041 |  | 1401 | Copper alloy | Sheet | Rectangular | Function not clear |  |  |
| 2042 | 1001 |  | Copper Alloy | Wiring |  |  | Modern |  |
| 2043 |  | 1402 | Copper alloy | Strip | Possibly metalworking d |  |  |  |
| 2044 |  | 1401 | Copper alloy | Sheet |  |  |  |  |
| 2045 |  | 1401 | Copper alloy | Belt Mount | Plain Domed | Egan and Pritchard 19 | 14th century |  |
| 2046 | 1001 |  | Copper alloy | Button |  | Bailey 2003 | Late Post Medieval |  |
| 2047 | 1001 |  | Lead Alloy | Melt |  |  |  |  |
| 2048 |  | 1401 | Copper alloy | Ring | Suspension Ring | Bevelled edges, uneve | Late Medieval |  |
| 2049 |  | 1401 | Iron | Binding | Rounded end with perfor | Possibly a simple buck |  |  |
| 2050 | 1024 |  | Iron | Nail | Bent shaft |  |  |  |
| 2051 |  |  | Lead Alloy | Sheet | Rectangular |  |  |  |
| 2052 |  | 1400 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  |  |
| 2053 |  | 1399 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  |  |
| 2054 |  | 1399 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  |  |
| 2055 |  | 1399 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  |  |
| 2056 |  | 1399 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  |  |
| 2057 |  | 1399 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  |  |
| 2058 |  | 1400 | Iron | Rove |  |  |  |  |
| 2059 |  | 1399 | Iron | Nail |  |  |  |  |
| 2060 |  | 1400 | Iron | Rove |  |  |  |  |
| 2061 |  | 1401 | Iron | Object | Form unclear |  |  |  |


| Small Find No Context | XRay | Material | Object | Type | Sub-Type | Object Dating |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2062 | 1400 | Iron | Nail | Description |  |  |
| 2063 | 1400 | Iron | Clench Nail |  |  |  |
| 2064 | 1400 | Iron | Nail |  |  |  |
| 2034 | 0 | 0 |  |  | Wooden Peg from Well Structure (Plank 1450) |  |
| 2035 | 0 | 0 |  |  | Fabric (luting) from Well Structure (Plank 1450) |  |
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## 1. Introduction

1.1.1. As part of the planning consent to construct the onshore component of the windfarm, Greater Gabbard Offshore Winds Ltd have funded an excavation on Rosary Field \& Pillbox field, Sizewell (site code LCS150, NGR $c$. TM 4719 6265). The Excavations have revealed an assemblage of archaeological remains dating form the 12 th $-14^{\text {th }}$ centuries. (Suffolk County Council, 2008)
1.1.2. During the second phase of archaeological excavations two wells lined with re-used boat timbers were exposed, these appear to be the remains of a medieval boat. (Suffolk County Council, 2008)
1.2. Scope
1.2.1. The scope of this report is on the interpretation and recommendations for the boat timbers found on the site in their original maritime context and provide a statement of importance of the timbers as well as supporting their preservation and a refinement of the interpretation.

### 1.3. Previous Work

1.3.1. Dendrochronological analysis has been completed on the timbers providing a date and location of the Mid- $13^{\text {th }} \mathrm{C}$ from Ireland (Tyers, 2009). The timbers from both wells cross-matched each other making it "reasonable to assume all the re-used planking material is contemporaneous, and plausibly from the same boat" (Tyers, 2009, p. 3).
1.3.2. A previous report on the timbers has been written by Richard Darrah, an archaeologist specialising in the study of ancient timbers (Darrah, 2011). Darrah's report suggested that there are two distinct vessels, built in an English style but with minor differing in the construction, from timber that was sourced from location and "felled within a few years of each other"(Darrah, 2011, p. 4).
1.3.3. Darrah's report suggested that from timber 1551 the boats would have been a relatively small coastal vessel measuring 7-9m with a "flattish bottom and a hard chine" (Darrah, 2011, p. 5). He suggests that the timber provides evidence a distinct Anglo-Saxon boat building tradition that has been referred to in historical documents and the archaeological record. If this is the case these pieces will "represent the earliest example of this form of boat in eastern England' (Darrah, 2011, p. 5).

## 2. Methodology

2.1.1. Darrah and Tyres interpretation of the timber conflict, with the dendrology suggesting one vessel but Darrah interpretation suggesting two vessels. Further recording should take place on the different timbers as detailed in appendix V of McGrail (1993) (replicated as Appendix 1 of this document).
2.1.2. This report will compare the known information of the vessel(s) to the contemporary archaeological record providing an interpretation of the timbers in relation to their primary use onboard the vessel(s).

## 3. Summary of Archive

### 3.1. Finds

3.1.1. Various ships timber have been recovered from the two wells adjacent to each other on the site, some of which have been interpreted as ships timbers.
3.1.2. 22 timbers where retrieved from context 1219 of these 7 have been identified as ships timbers, the most significant of which is timber 1551, which shows scarfs, joins and the possible chine of the boat.
3.1.3. 5 timbers were recovered from context 1223 two of which hint at being boat timbers.
3.1.4. 15 out of the 41 timbers recovered from context 1730 have been identified as boat timbers.
3.1.5. Most of the timbers identified as boat timbers appear to be boat strakes, some still articulated to each other and have the remains of scarf joints, these should prove to be diagnostic and allow a better interpretation of the boat.

### 3.2. Environmental

## Luting

3.2.1. Luting is the term used to describe the waterproofing of clinker built hulls. This is frequently made from tar soaked animal hair and laid in 'luting cove' cut into the lower inside surface of the overlapping planks. This is usually place before the hull is assembled opposed to caulking, which is driven in after construction (Steffy, 1994, p. 275).
3.2.2. A report produced by the Anglo-Saxon laboratory provides an environmental assessment of the luting (Rogers, 2012). This concluded that all of the material is of animal coat fibre, which was used as the standard form of waterproofing in the $13^{\text {th }}$ century when mixed with pine tar (it is not mentioned in the assessment if tar was present) (Marsden, 1996),
3.2.3. The luting between the timbers is described as Single S-twist rolls which are "unusually thin" (Rogers, 2012, p. 2) as opposes to the luting found in other sites, which range from two to four string rolls between the timbers, such as London (Marsden, 1996, p. 24) and Doncaster (Allen et al 2005). This suggested a smaller inshore vessel
3.2.4. Further work on the environmental analysis of the luting by the AngloSaxon Laboratory could provide a provenance for the vessel(s) and
provided evidence to see if there is a distinct local building tradition in the area.

## Dendrochronology

3.2.5. The samples suitable for dendrochronological analysis comprised of 7 boat timbers from context 1219, 10 from the context 1730 and one structural timber from each context.
3.2.6. 14 of the 19 timbers were shown to cross-match with the analysis indicating these timbers date from the mid-13th century; these planks were derived from Ireland. These were five timbers from 1219, 8 from 1730 and the frame timber from 1730.
3.2.7. Because the other timbers in the assemblage cross match they should be assessed to see if they have been converted from boat timbers.

## 4. Analysis of Timbers

### 4.1. Identification

4.1.1. In theory it is possible to label every part of ship therefore with even a small fragment of planking it should be possible to work out some details of the size, tradition and age of the vessel (McKee, 1976).
4.1.2. All of the planking timbers have been radially split from oak this can produce up to 32 'wedge shaped' timbers of which the thicker edge could be bevelled to give the vessel shape.
4.1.3. The disadvantage of this style of planking is that the timbers are never even in thickness making it necessary for luting as described in Chapter 3.2.1 to be used. Clinker planking also requires strait and tall trees, which may have needed to be imported due to the lack of suitable timber left in England (McKee, 1976). By the 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ century the Irish sources of timber were also being exhausted through clearing and exporting to England (Smith, 2009, p. 91).
4.1.4. This suggests that timber was being imported at the time but timbers found in Westminster (Goodburn, 1997) dating to the $13^{\text {th }}$ century from the east of England, implies that there was a local supply of timber available for shipbuilding, which may have been cheaper than importing the timbers.
4.1.5. The vessel(s) could just have easily been built in Ireland and transported to the east coast, as with other wrecks such as the 'Skuldelev 2', an 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ century wreck found in Roskilde, Denmark, which was built in Dublin (Crumlin-Pedersen, 2008).
4.1.6. The Dendrology done on the timbers by Tyers (2009) gives us a date and provenance of the timbers. By having these details it is possible to compare and match the timbers to other sites in the archaeological record. A good match for the timbers comes from McGrail (1993) where
re-used $13^{\text {th }}$ century boat timbers were used and analysed to develop a methodology for recording clinker planking. Building techniques and the analysis of the luting can also be compared to see if the vessels are of an Irish origin.
4.1.7. Further work would need to be done to try and place the origin of the vessel.

### 4.2. Parent Vessel

4.2.1. By comparing the size of the scantlings and fittings of the timber to known boats and ships against the classification scheme in CrumlinPedersen (1985) and McGrail (1993) it should be possible to give a rough estimate of the size and shape of the parent vessel(s).
4.2.2. These details will need to be recorded as outlined in chapter 7 .

## 5. Significance

5.1.1. Waterlogged wood "comprises a rare and significant part of the archaeological resource" (English Heritage, 2010, p. 2). There is a distinct lack of data for small vessels the $13^{\text {th }}$ century vessels in the United Kingdom. The majority of records come from reused timber in waterlogged environments. These mainly provide the planking cut from larger vessels and provide key details of the development ship design.
5.1.2. The English Heritage Maritime Historic Environment Research Framework for England Medieval Period Resource Assessment (c. 1000 1650 AD) lists all of the known medieval wrecks in Great Britain and Ireland (as of 2001). This lists 35 entries for the 12-14 th century, of these six are dugout canoes, the majority of the clinker vessel remains found have been reused. Other remains have been found since the publication of the document such as the reused timbers at Doncaster (Allen et. al. 2005)
5.1.3. The provenance of the ship rather than the planks would need to be determined; Darrah (2011) believes that the vessel is of English origin due to the square shanked nails as opposed to the round nails generally present in the Dublin assemblage (square shanked nailed were used where extra grip was required) (McGrail, 1993). On other sites such as Magnor Pill (Nayling, 1998) and Doncaster (Allen et al 2005) in Britain show a mixture of round and square shanked nails.
5.1.4. Wherever the boats provenance comes from the vessel(s) are a significant addition to the archaeological archive.

## 6. Conclusions

6.1.1. Darrah suggested that the timbers represent two small vessels based on the plank width \& thickness; nail spacing and frame patterns from the treenails. But the dendrology suggests that the timbers cross-matched in date and provenance. As clinker vessels were built by eye rather than
plans they are rarely symmetrical with closer nail spacing towards the bow and stern. Further nails would be used as and when required making it difficult to recognise patterns. The nail spacing on the Dublin timbers suggested that the nails were fastened two hand spaces apart making an average variation of $14-16 \mathrm{~cm}$ (McGrail, 1993, p. 47). The frame spacing on the Irish vessels had a variation of $\pm 9 \mathrm{~cm}$ on the small boat remains.
6.1.2. Further work needs to be done on the construction techniques and provenance from within the parent vessel to determine if there are one or two vessels. Two people working on the same vessel could explain the differences in the construction.

## 7. Potential for further research

7.1.1. The timber should be recorded in a structured approach based on an attribute list detailed by McGrail (1993) in Appendix V of medieval boat and ship timbers from Dublin. This will ensure that certain diagnostic features are not omitted and will facilitate subsequent analysis. This method was developed specifically for clinker planking and is replicated in appendix 1 of this document.
7.1.2. This should be done in conjunction with a post excavation wood record sheet detailed in the English Heritage Guidance for Waterlogged Wood. (English Heritage, 2010)
7.1.3. With this information the key features can be compared the results to other contemporary sites such as the ones at Dublin (McGrail, 1993), London (Goodburn \& Milne, 1990) and Doncaster (Allen et al 2005) allowing for a better interpretation of the vessel(s). Ultimately by following the guidelines laid out in McGrail (1993) it should be possible to come to a better understating of the construction techniques and significance of the vessel.
7.1.4. The timbers represent a rare find especially Timber 1551, which has a number of diagnostic features, including scarfs and a chine. This should be recorded to IfA Level 3 for nautical archaeological recording.

> A complete scaled survey including hull-form and photographic record of the remains of the whole vessel, recording all significant features, fittings and ancillary components. This record should contain data on the size, shape, material and condition of all elements of the vessels structure, fittings and ancillary components including a record of constructional features, all fastenings (size and type), tool marks (type and size), shipwrights marks, carpentry features (joints, bevels, chamfers), wood features, (grain, sapwood, knots, pins, bark), wear and compression marks, means of propulsion and steering, fittings (internal and external) and outer and internal coatings (paint, paying, caulking). Where sufficient remains are available this record should be to a standard to enable a reliable reconstruction leading to a full interpretation of the vessel. (IfA, 2008)
7.1.5. The results of the recording and reconstruction of the vessel may have merit for a Journal article outside of the site monograph.

### 7.2. Conservation

7.2.1. Timber 1551 should be conserved as an educational tool or for display. This could be done at York Archaeological Trust who have a good working relationship with BU and specialise in marine conservation. Initial talks with YAT put conservations in the region of $£ 600$ for timber 1551 and a further $£ 400$ for the rest of the assemblage.

### 7.3. Further Recording

7.3.1. The timbers can be recorded to the standards outlined in this document by BU, either in Ipswich or preferably at one of BU's dedicated conservation and recording facilities, which includes over $14 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of wet storage facilities.
7.3.2. The following indicative costs are ex-vat and are worked out at a day rate of $£ 280$ for TC and $£ 525$ for DP.

| Task | Resource | Days | Rate | Total |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rapid assessment of 49 timbers | TC, DP | 1 | $£ 280, £ 525$ | $£ 805$ |  |  |  |
| Record Timber 1551 | TC | 1 | $£ 280$ | $£ 280$ |  |  |  |
| Record 20 boat timers (3p/d) | TC | 7 | $£ 280$ | $£ 1960$ |  |  |  |
| Write up of Report | TC, DP | 2,1 | $£ 280,525$ | $£ 1085$ |  |  |  |
| Consumables |  |  |  | $£ 100$ |  |  |  |
| Van hire |  | 2 | $£ 50$ | $£ 100$ |  |  |  |
| Fuel |  | 2 | $£ 100$ | $£ 200$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total (ex-VAT) |  |  |  |  | $£ 4530$ |

7.3.3. Discussions of the time scale will be made at the time if any of the other potential ship timber will need to be recorded.
7.3.4. An additional surcharge on fuel may be added if BU transports the timbers up to the conservators at York.
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## Appendix 1 Provisional attribute list for clinker planking (after McGrail 1993 p.169-171)

1. Orientation in parent vessel

- Alignment of nail heads/roves - identify inboard face.
- Alignment of clinker overlap - identify top edge.
- Alignment of scarfs - identify forward end.

From these deduce whether from port or starboard.
2. Timber selection and conversion

- Species (sample).
- Knots.
- Grain relative to shape.
- Growth rate.
- Sapwood.
- Bark.
- Ring/ray diagram.

3. Shape and dimensions

- Plan with features (both sides).
- Cross-sections of individual plank(s) and of articulated strakes.
- Section along scarfs.
- Maximum length of individual planks.
- Maximum breadth of individual planks
- Maximum thickness of individual planks
- Thickness at nails holes.
- Distance between lines of nail holes.

4. Plank scarfs

- Type.
- Length.
- Extra nails.
- Flush or protruding.
- Caulking (sample).
- From these calculate (overlap/thickness) ratio.

5. Overlap

- Breadth of overlap.
- Bevel angle(s).
- Caulking groove.
- Caulking (sample).
- Chamfer(s).
- Moulding pattern(s).

From these deduce (i) scarf gradients; (ii) shift of scarf pattern (for several strakes).
6. Nail fastenings

- Holes: shape,
- Size,
- Position,
- Spacing.
- Nails: head shape,
- Head size,
- Shank shape,
- Shank size.
- Roves: shape,
- Size,
- Alignment.
- Spikes or clenching method

From this deduce: (i) primary nailing pattern(s); (ii) seam repairs with extra nails.
7. Treenail fastenings

- Holes: size,
- Position,
- Spacing.
- Treenails: shape,
- Size,
- Species (sample),
- Position,
- Ring/ray diagram,
- Alignment in plank.
- Wedges: shape,
- Size,
- Species (sample),
- Ring/ray diagram,
- Alignment in treenail.

From this deduce: (i) framing pattern, (ii) orientation of treenails, (iii) shrinkage factors.
8. Tools and techniques

- Tool marks.
- Builders' marks.
- Super-position of fittings.
- Special techniques.

From this deduce: (i) sequence of building, (ii) tool kit, (iii) regional/temporal variants.
9. Repairs and replacements

- Blocked treenail holes.
- Holes to stop splits.
- Extra nails.
- Extra treenails.
- Wooden plugs.
- Patches, fastenings and caulking (sample).


## 10. Miscellaneous

- Evidence for side timbers/stringers etc.
- Pressure marks ('shadows') of other fittings.
- Wear marks in use
- Evidence of wood-boring animals.
- Unusual: nails with points under head;
- Nails driven from inboard.


## 11. Position in vessel

From a consideration of no's 1-10 (especially: changes in plank breadth and curvature; changes in plank thickness; bevel angles; reverse bevels or scarfs; angled lines of treenail holes; treenail holes for a-udder fittings; treenail holes for oar pivots; treenail holes for rigging fittings) deduce relative position in vessel: ends; near midship; high or low in sides, etc.

## 12. Size of vessel

From a consideration of no's 1-10 (especially: plank thickness; plank scarf lengths; size of nails; size of treenails; framing pattern; nature of oar pivots) deduce whether from a small boat, boat, large boat, small ship, ship or large ship.

## 13. Building tradition

From a consideration of no's 1-10 and comparative evidence, decide whether there may be evidence for regional and/or temporal variants within main tradition.

## Appendix 11 Dendrochronology Report

Tree-ring spot dates from archaeological samples:
Pillbox Field, Sizewell, Suffolk (sitecode LCS150)

Excavations were undertaken in Rosary Field \& Pillbox Field, Sizewell as part of the onshore component of an offshore windfarm (sitecode LCS150, NGR $c$. TM 4719 6265, Figures $1 \& 2$ ). These excavations exposed 2 wells lined with re-used boat timbers. A total of 18 oak (Quercus spp.) timbers were supplied for analysis from the boat fragments, along with 8 other timbers from the site.

This report summarises the analysis and results from this material. The samples comprised 7 from the 'Boat 1 ' lining of well 1172 , 11 from the 'Boat 2 ' lining of well 1730 , one timber each from the 2 associated well frames, and 6 barrel staves from barrel lined well 1365. 17 of the selected boat timbers were suitable for analysis, as were both the well frame timbers. One plank from 'Boat 2' and all 6 of the barrel staves contained too few rings for reliable analysis. 14 of the 19 suitable samples were found to cross-match. The composite sequence constructed from these was successfully dated, indicating these timbers date from the mid- $13^{\text {th }}$ century, these planks were derived from Ireland.

## Methodology

The excavated timbers were supplied as cross-sections. It is assumed here these were taken at the optimum locations for numbers of rings, and sapwood survival. Each sample was assessed for the wood type, the number of rings it contained, and whether the sequence of ring widths could be reliably resolved. For dendrochronological analysis samples usually need to be oak (Quercus spp.), to contain 50 or more annual rings, and the sequence needs to be free of aberrant anatomical features such as those caused by physical damage to the tree whilst it was still alive. Standard dendrochronological analysis methods (see e.g. English Heritage 1998) were then applied to each suitable sample. The sequences of ring widths in each sample were revealed by preparing a surface equivalent to the original horizontal plane of the parent tree with a variety of bladed tools. The width of each successive annual growth ring was revealed by this preparation method. The complete sequence of the annual growth rings in
the suitable samples were then measured to an accuracy of 0.01 mm using a micro-computer based travelling stage. The sequence of ring widths were then plotted onto semi-log graph paper to enable visual comparisons to be made between sequences. In addition cross-correlation algorithms (e.g. Baillie \& Pilcher 1973) were employed to search for positions where the ring sequences were highly correlated. Highly correlated positions were checked using the graphs and, if any of these were satisfactory, new composite sequences were constructed from the synchronised sequences. The $t$-values reported below were derived from the original CROS algorithm (Baillie \& Pilcher 1973). A $t$-value of 3.5 or over is usually indicative of a good match, although this is with the proviso that high $t$-values at the same relative or absolute position have to be obtained from a range of independent sequences, and that these positions are supported by good visual matching.

## Results and Discussion

The 26 supplied samples included 19 with suitable numbers of rings for analysis, 3 of which were from timbers that retained some sapwood, including one which appeared to retain complete sapwood and bark. Summary details of the samples are provided in Tables $1 \& 2$.

The 19 suitable samples were prepared for analysis and measured. Each sample sequence was then compared with each of the others from the assemblage. 14 of the samples, 5 from 'Boat 1', 8 from 'Boat 2' and the frame timber from well 1730, were found to cross-match each other (Figure 3, Tables $3 \& 4$ ). This cross-matched data was combined to create a single composite sequence which was then compared with tree-ring data from throughout the British Isles and Europe. The composite sequence was found to cross-match against data from the early medieval chronologies of Ireland, as well as with other examples of Irish planking from England. This result provided consistent calendar dates for the composite series (Table 5). A summary of the results for the 14 samples in the composite sequence is provided in Tables $1 \& 2$, and Figure 3. The other 5 measured series were checked with reference data, no dating was identified for these, and they remain undated by the analysis reported here.

This initial analysis dates the rings present in the datable samples. The correct interpretation of these dates relies upon the character of the final rings in each of the samples. If a sample ends in the heartwood of the original tree, a terminus post quem ( $t p q$ ) for the felling of the tree is indicated by the date of the last ring plus the addition of the minimum expected number of sapwood rings that may be missing. This $t p q$ may be many decades prior to the real felling date. Where some of the sapwood or the heartwood/sapwood boundary survives on the sample, a felling date range can be calculated using the maximum and minimum number of sapwood rings likely to have been present based on figures derived from oaks from the same source, in this case a very conservative range of 10-60 rings has been used. No bark edge survived on any dated timber, but sapwood survives on 2 of the planks from 'Boat 1'. Figure 3 and Tables $1 \& 2$ include the interpreted date of each of the datable samples. These dates do not indicate the date of the structure from which the samples were derived since these timbers are reused.

The tree-ring sequences were found to match well with material from Dublin and Waterford. However the contemporaneous data of this period in Ireland is dominated by data from these 2 cities whilst being sparsely distributed in the rest of the country. It is thus impossible to provide a more detailed provenance for this material (Table 5). This cross-matching indicates mid- $13^{\text {th }}$ century dates for this group of timbers with the 289 year composite sequence dated to AD943-1231 inclusive. The material from 'Boat 1' includes 2 with sapwood, sample 0161 ending at AD1216 with 2 sapwood rings, and sample 0154 ending at AD1212 with 6 sapwood rings. If they are derived from a single vessel these suggest it was originally constructed between $c$. AD1224 and c. AD1266. The material from 'Boat 2' included none with surviving sapwood, the latest rings present on this material are from sample 0169, ending in AD1231. This material is therefore unlikely to have been used before $c$. AD1241. The 2 groups of boat planking are of similar sizes, growth rates, dates, and are also from the same general area of provenance. It is thus reasonable to assume all the re-used planking material is contemporaneous, and plausibly from the same boat. If the
material is all from a single vessel a date between $c$. AD1241 and c. AD1266 is indicated by this analysis. Sample 0167, from the lining of the 'Boat 2 ' well is presumably another piece of one of the same vessels, or vessel.

The provenance of the planks does not indicate a provenance of the boat, or boats, from which they were derived. The $13^{\text {th }}$ century is a period when England is importing planking from both Ireland and western Europe due to the increased difficulty of obtaining high quality planking from native trees. There have been several groups of planks used in English $13^{\text {th }}$ century contexts previously identified by dendrochronology as of Irish origin. Boards in the triforium at Salisbury Cathedral (Miles 2002), and a small river vessel excavated from Southwark (Tyers 1999) are 2 recent examples. Salzman (1952) provides additional documentary evidence for the scale of this trade.
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Figure 1. Approximate location of Sizewell. Reproduced from Ordnance Survey map data by permission of the Ordnance Survey ${ }^{\oplus}$ Crown copyright 2001.


Figure 2. Sizewell showing the approximate location of the Pillbox Field site LCS150. Reproduced from Explorer ${ }^{\circledR}$ 1:25 000 scale sheet 212 by permission of Ordnance Survey ${ }^{\circledR}$ on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. ${ }^{\circ}$ Crown copyright 2006. All rights reserved. Licence number 100046590 . Not to scale.


Figure 3. Bar diagram showing the absolute dating positions of the 14 dated tree-ring sequences for samples from Sizewell site LCS150. The interpreted felling dates are also shown.


## KEY

White bars are oak heartwood, hatched bars are oak sapwood. The narrow white bars represents unmeasured heartwood rings.

Table 1. Details of the 18 oak dendrochronological samples of re-used boat timbers from the Sizewell site LCS150.

| Sample | Size (mm) | Rings | Sap | Date of measured sequence | Interpreted result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01541557 | $215 \times 20$ | $35+151$ | 6 | AD1062-AD1212 | AD1216-66 |
| 01561450 | $205 \times 20$ | 198+10 | - | AD974-AD1171 | after AD1191 |
| 01581549 | $150 \times 50$ | 103 | $25+$ ? B | not dated | - |
| 01591491 | $260 \times 20$ | 168+10 | - | AD968-AD1135 | after AD1155 |
| 01601497 | $230 \times 20$ | 186 | - | AD1014-AD1199 | after AD1209 |
| 01611556 | $255 \times 20$ | 204 | 2 | AD1013-AD1216 | AD1224-74 |
| 01621450 | $110 \times 20$ | 116 | 37 | not dated |  |
| 01631790 | $85 \times 30$ | ~35 | - | not measured |  |
| 01641761 | $170 \times 25$ | 204 | - | AD994-AD1197 | after AD1207 |
| 0165 1762a | $140 \times 20$ | 92 | - | AD1088-AD1179 | after AD1189 |
| 01661738 | $80 \times 25$ | 70 | - | AD1074-AD1143 | after AD1153 |
| 01681768 | $180 \times 25$ | 121 | - | AD1087-AD1207 | after AD1217 |
| 01691763 | $250 \times 20$ | 222 | - | AD1010-AD1231 | after AD1241 |
| 01701789 | $135 \times 50$ | 63 | - | not dated | - |
| 01711767 | $215 \times 25$ | 194 | - | AD943-AD1136 | after AD1146 |
| 01721745 | $55 \times 20$ | 55 | - | AD1124-AD1178 | after AD1188 |
| 01801737 | $120 \times 15$ | 51 | - | not dated | - |
| 01851736 | $90 \times 25$ | 84 | - | AD1070-AD1153 | after AD1163 |

## KEY

In the rings column values such as $35+$ or +10 indicate minimum number of unmeasured and/or non-measurable heartwood rings at the start and end of the measured sequences, values such as $\sim 35$ indicate estimated ring counts of material with too few rings for reliable analysis. In the sap column, ? $\mathrm{B}=$ possible bark surface.

Table 2. Details of the other 8 oak dendrochronological samples from the Sizewell site LCS150. KEY as Table 1.

| Sample | Size (mm) | Rings | Sap | Date of measured <br> sequence | Interpreted <br> result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01461633 | $110 \times 15$ | $\sim 45$ | - | not measured | - |
| 01471639 | $115 \times 15$ | $\sim 40$ | - | not measured | - |
| 01481641 | $155 \times 15$ | $\sim 45$ | - | not measured | - |
| 01491645 | $80 \times 15$ | $\sim 35$ | - | not measured | - |
| 01501650 | $80 \times 15$ | $\sim 45$ | - | not measured | - |
| 01511650 | $105 \times 15$ | $\sim 45$ | - | not measured | - |
| 01571489 | $130 \times 25$ | 54 | - | not dated | - |
| 01671764 | $115 \times 15$ | 104 | - | AD1106-AD1209 | after AD1219 |

Table 3. The $t$ values (Baillie \& Pilcher 1973) between the individual series from the 13 matched re-used boat timbers from the Sizewell site LCS150. -tvalue less than $3.0, \backslash$ no overlap. These series, and 0167 , were combined to form the sequence used in Table 5.

|  | 0156 | 0159 | 0160 | 0161 | 0164 | 0165 | 0166 | 0168 | 0169 | 0171 | 0172 | 0185 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0154 | 5.82 | 3.84 | 6.03 | 4.13 | 3.21 | 6.63 | 4.51 | 4.65 | 3.45 | 3.99 | - | - |
| 0156 |  | 3.96 | 4.19 | 3.61 | 4.62 | 5.45 | 5.13 | 4.94 | 5.00 | 3.11 | 5.56 | 4.66 |
| 0159 |  |  | 6.90 | - | 3.67 | 5.26 | 4.62 | 3.26 | 3.81 | 4.18 | 1 | 4.60 |
| 0160 |  |  |  | 7.09 | 5.13 | 3.36 | 3.49 | 4.21 | 4.50 | 5.13 | - | - |
| 0161 |  |  |  |  | 3.48 | 4.58 | 3.18 | 4.61 | 5.98 | 3.39 | 3.89 | - |
| 0164 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.79 | 4.17 | 4.94 | 5.07 | - | - | 4.40 |
| 0165 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.42 | 3.66 | 5.37 | - | - | 4.65 |  |
| 0166 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.10 | 5.17 | 3.04 | 7.29 | 9.40 |
| 0168 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.74 | 5.83 | 3.45 | 4.81 |  |  |
| 0169 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.35 | 6.41 |  |  |
| 0171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.56 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{0 1 7 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Example $t$ values (Baillie \& Pilcher 1973) between the individual series from the well lining timber 0167 and some of the dated boat plank timbers from the Sizewell site LCS150.

|  | 0167 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0154 | 4.36 |
| 0156 | 3.82 |
| 0159 | 3.48 |
| 0160 | 5.55 |
| 0161 | 9.17 |
| 0165 | 4.37 |
| 0169 | 4.94 |

Table 5. Showing example $t$ values (Baillie \& Pilcher 1973) between the composite sequence from Sizewell site LCS150 and oak reference data.

## LCS150

14 timbers
AD943-
AD1231

| Ireland, Dublin St Patrick's Cathedral (Baillie et al pers comm.) | 11.62 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Ireland, Dublin Winetavern Street (Baillie et al pers comm.) | 12.13 |
| Ireland, Dublin Woodquay (Baillie et al pers comm.) | 9.76 |
| Ireland, Waterford Arundel Square (Baillie et al pers comm.) | 12.37 |
| Ireland, Waterford Bakehouse Lane (Baillie et al pers comm.) | 10.93 |
| Ireland, Waterford High Street (Baillie et al pers comm.) | 11.78 |
| London, Southwark TYT98 boat (Tyers 1999) | 10.84 |
| Wiltshire, Salisbury Cathedral triforium boards (Miles 2002) | 11.71 |

## Appendix 12. LCS 150 Animal Bone by Context

| Conte <br> xt | Type | Spotda te | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ctxt } \\ & \text { Qty } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ctxt Wt } \\ & \text { (kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & \mathbf{P} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cou } \\ & \text { nt } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Measu re | Zone/s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \mathrm{s} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Butche ry | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | finds | Med | 14 | 0.121 | Equid | 1 | 1 |  | LL | j | ch | neo/juv metacarpal |
| 1000 | finds | Med |  |  | Pig | 3 | 1 |  | UL, F, T |  |  |  |
| 1000 | finds | Med |  |  | Bird - Mallard | 1 | 1 | 1 | Wing | a |  | cmc |
| 1000 | finds | Med |  |  | Mammal | 9 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1002 | finds | Med | 31 | 0.246 | Cattle | 2 |  |  | UL, R | a | ch, c |  |
| 1002 | finds | Med |  |  | Sheep/goat | 16 | 6.5 | 7 | UL, LL, HC, + | $r$ | ch.c |  |
| 1002 | finds | Med |  |  | Mammal | 13 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1002 | finds | Med | 10 | 0.068 | Sheep/goat | 7 | 1 |  | LL, V, T | a | ch, c |  |
| 1002 | finds | Med |  |  | Mammal | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1006 | ditch |  | 12 | 0.019 | Mammal | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | poor condition |
| 1010 | pit fill | Med | 1 | 0.002 | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1017 | ditch | Med | 20 | 0.087 | Cattle | 3 |  |  | T | a |  | poor condition |
| 1017 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 17 |  |  | frags |  |  | poor condition |
| 1024 | pit fill | Med | 29 | 0.194 | Cattle | 1 |  |  | UL | a | ch, c |  |
| 1024 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Sheep/goat | 8 | 3 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAND, F, } \\ & V_{+} \end{aligned}$ | $r$ | ch, c | inc hyoid, upper jaw frag |
| 1024 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Mammal | 18 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1024 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Fishbone | 2 |  |  | V, R | a |  | Salmon species |
| 1026 | finds | Med | 8 | 0.059 | Sheep/goat | 4 | 1 | 1 | Mand, T | j |  | Dp4 in full wear and P4 erupting |
| 1026 | finds | Med |  |  | Mammal | 4 |  |  | frags |  |  | proably frags of sheep/goat |
| 1028 | pit fill | Med | 1 | 0.002 | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1034 | pit fill | Med | 17 | 0.032 | Feline | 1 | 1 |  | Mand | j |  | part of a small kitten jaw |
| 1034 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Fishbone | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | undiagnostic frags |
| 1034 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Rodent WV/BR | 1 | 1 |  | ML | a |  | Tibia from Water Vole/Rat |
| 1034 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Mammal | 12 |  |  | Frags |  |  |  |


| 1036 | pit fill | Med | 5 | 0.003 | Fishbone | 2 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1036 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Mammal | 3 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1042 | linear | Med | 1 | 0.016 | Sheep/goat | 1 |  |  | UL | a | ch, c |  |
| 1056 | ditch | Med | 1 | 0.087 | Cattle | 1 |  |  | ML |  |  |  |
| 1061 | ditch | Med | 28 | 0.135 | Sheep/goat | 6 |  |  | T | a |  |  |
| 1061 | ditch | Med |  |  | Equid | 1 |  |  | T | a |  |  |
| 1061 | ditch | Med |  |  | Feline | 2 | 1 | 1 | UL, V | a |  | stocky, strong cat |
| 1061 | ditch | Med |  |  | Rabbit | 1 | 1 |  | UL |  |  |  |
| 1061 | ditch | Med |  |  | Bird - Fowl | 2 | 2 | 1 | Leg | a |  |  |
| 1061 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 15 |  |  |  |  | butcher ed |  |
| 1061 | ditch | Med |  |  | Bird - No ID | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1063 | pit fill | Med | 281 | 0.169 | Sheep/goat | 2 |  |  | T, ML |  | ch, c |  |
| 1063 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Pig | 1 |  |  | ML | a | ch, c |  |
| 1063 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Mammal | 1 |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| 1063 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Fishbone | 277 |  |  |  |  |  | MNI: 4 individuals, need further ID |
| 1083 | oven | Med | 24 | 0.348 | Cattle | 1 | 1 |  | Pel | a | ch |  |
| 1083 | oven | Med |  |  | Pig | 4 | 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mand, T, } \\ & \text { UL } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | sa | ch, c | large tusk, boar? |
| 1083 | oven | Med |  |  | Mammal | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1096 | posthol <br> e | Med | 4 | 0.003 | Fishbone | 4 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1098 | posthol e | Med | 1 | 0.036 | Cattle | 1 |  |  | Sc |  | ch |  |
| 1102 | pit fill | Med | 1 | 0.002 | Fishbone | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1108 | ditch | Med | 17 | 0.142 | Dog/wolf | 3 | 1 | 1 | UL, V | a |  |  |
| 1108 | ditch | Med |  |  | Feline | 6 | 1 |  | ML, V, R | a |  |  |
| 1108 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1109 | ditch | Med | 2 | 0.006 | Feline | 1 | 1 | 1 | UL | a |  | humerus, small cat |
| 1109 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 1 |  |  | L |  |  | small mam. shaft, prob. cat |
| 1110 | ditch | Med | 21 | 0.125 | Cattle | 1 | 1 |  | Sc | a | ch, c |  |


| 1111 | ditch | Med |  |  | Sheep/goat | 3 |  |  | LL, Sk, T | a | c, ch | cut MT, skull with hcs chopped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1111 | ditch | Med |  |  | Rabbit | 2 | 1 |  | ML, UL | sa | c |  |
| 1111 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 15 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med | 64 | 0.279 | Cattle | 1 | 1 |  | frags | j | ch |  |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Sheep/goat | 3 | 1 |  | Mand | a |  |  |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Pig | 4 | 1 | 1 | Mand, sk, F | j | ch, c |  |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Feline | 1 | 1 | 1 | UL | sa |  | humerus, small cat |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Polecat/Fer. | 2 | 2 | 2 | UL, ML | a |  | humerus and ulna |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Bird - <br> Kittiwake | 2 | 1 | 1 | Wing | a |  |  |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Bird - Crane | 1 |  |  | U.leg | ? ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | c | femur |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Bird - <br> Cormorant | 1 | 1 |  | Wing | a | ?c | radius |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Bird - Mallard | 2 | 2 |  | L.leg | j |  | tarsometatarsi |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Bird - No ID | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Fishbone | 7 |  |  | V, R, sc | a |  | Salmon species |
| 1134 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Mammal | 39 |  |  | frags |  | butcher ed |  |
| 1147 | ditch | Med | 6 | 0.014 | Fishbone | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | large fish, undiagnostic frags |
| 1188 | pit? | Med | 3 | 0.046 | Cattle | 1 | 1 |  | Pel | a | ch |  |
| 1188 | pit? | Med |  |  | Mammal | 2 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1148 | ditch |  | 1 | 0.004 | Fishbone | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | large fragment, undiagnostic |
| 1149 | linear | Med | 1 | 0.008 | Cattle | 1 |  |  | F | a |  | carpal |
| 1151 | pit fill | Med | 13 | 0.012 | Bird - Teal | 4 | 2 | 2 | UL, LL | j |  |  |
| 1151 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Bird - No ID | 8 |  |  | tiny frags |  |  | probably from ?Teal |
| 1151 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1152 | ditch | Med | 2 | 0.005 | Mammal | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1158 | ditch | Med | 3 | 0.082 | Equid | 1 | 1 |  | LL | a |  | MT, pony |
| 1158 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1159 | ditch | Med | 5 | 0.052 | Sheep/goat | 1 | 1 | 1 | UL | a | ch |  |


| 1159 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1168 | ditch | Med | 7 | 0.016 | Sheep/goat | 1 | 1 |  | UL | a | ch | humerus |
| 1168 | ditch | Med |  |  | Bird | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1168 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1169 | ditch |  | 2 | 0.003 | Feline | 2 | 2 | 2 | Mand, F | j |  | kitten jaw and larger juv calcaneus |
| 1170 | ditch | Med | 2 | 0.008 | Fishbone | 2 |  |  | V, R |  |  | Cod |
| 1173 | well/pit | Med | 20 | 0.162 | Cattle | 1 |  | 1 | HC | a | ch |  |
| 1173 | well/pit | Med |  |  | Equid | 1 | 1 |  | ML | a |  | tibia, distal, worn, poor condition |
| 1173 | well/pit | Med |  |  | Sheep/goat | 1 |  |  | ML |  | ch |  |
| 1173 | well/pit | Med |  |  | Fishbone | 2 | 1 |  | Mand | a |  | ?Salmon species |
| 1173 | well/pit | Med |  |  | Bird - No ID | 2 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1173 | well/pit | Med |  |  | Mammal | 13 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1184 | ditch | Med | 21 | 0.163 | Cattle | 3 | 1 |  | UL, V | a | ch |  |
| 1184 | ditch | Med |  |  | Small Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ?cat or small dog |
| 1184 | ditch | Med |  |  | Fishbone | 1 | 1 |  | Mand | a |  | Haddock |
| 1184 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 16 |  |  | Frags |  |  |  |
| 1189 | pit? | Med | 1 | 0.004 | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1202 | post- <br> hole | Med | 2 | 0.02 | Cattle | 1 |  |  | T | a |  | well worn upper molar |
| 1202 | post- <br> hole | Med |  |  | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1211 | ditch | Med | 24 | 0.075 | Cattle | 2 | 1 |  | LL | a | ch |  |
| 1211 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 22 |  |  | small frags |  |  |  |
| 1226 | ditch | Med | 2 | 0.01 | Sheep/goat | 2 |  |  | LL, ML | a | ch, c |  |
| 1242 | posthol <br> e |  | 1 | 0.005 | Cattle | 1 |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| 1245 | pit fill | Med | 3 | 0.016 | Sheep/goat | 1 |  |  | ML | a | ch |  |
| 1245 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Mammal | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1256 | ditch | Med | 1 | 0.004 | Fishbone | 1 |  |  | V | a |  | Salmon sp. |


| 1265 | linear | Med | 5 | 0.055 | Pig | 1 | 1 |  | ML | a | ch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1265 | linear | Med |  |  | Bird - Fowl | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1265 | linear | Med |  |  | Mammal | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1266 | linear | Med | 3 | 0.156 | Cattle | 3 |  |  | ML, Mand | a | ch, c | some gnawing on tibia |
| 1267 | linear |  | 1 | 0.008 | Mammal | 2 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1268 | linear | Med | 3 | 0.091 | Cattle | 1 | 1 |  | Mand | m | ch, c | periodontal disease from high wear |
| 1268 | linear | Med |  |  | Bird - Goose | 1 | 1 |  | Wing | a | c |  |
| 1268 | linear | Med |  |  | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1312 | ditch | Med | 6 | 0.029 | Pig | 1 | 1 | 1 | F | j |  |  |
| 1312 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 5 |  |  | frags |  |  |  |
| 1315 | ditch |  | 1 | 0.022 | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1375 | ditch | Med | 2 | 0.039 | Cattle | 1 |  |  | UL | a | ch | fe head |
| 1375 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1390 | ditch | Med | 1 | 0.01 | Cattle | 1 |  |  | T | a |  |  |
| 1408 | ditch | Med | 1 | 0.004 | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1414 | ditch | Med | 3 | 0.01 | Mammal | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | medium sized mammal fargs |
| 1427 | spread | Med | 1 | 0.021 | Sheep/goat | 1 | 1 | 1 | UL | a | ch, c | humerus |
| 1430 | pit | Med | 2 | 0.004 | Sheep/goat | 1 |  |  | LL |  | ch | metatarsal in two pieces |
| 1439 | ditch | Med | 2 | 0.121 | Cattle | 2 |  |  | LL, V | a | ch, c |  |
| 1508 | posthol <br> e | Med | 5 | 0.047 | Pig | 2 | 1 |  | ML | j | ch | distal tibia with loose epiphysis |
| 1508 | posthol <br> e | Med |  |  | Fishbone | 2 |  |  | Sc, R |  |  | large salmon sp. |
| 1508 | posthol <br> e | Med |  |  | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1511 | pit fill | Med | 1 | 0.003 | Bird - Fowl | 1 | 1 | 1 | Wing | a |  |  |
| 1526 | pit fill | Med | 1 | 0.006 | Bird - <br> Cormorant | 1 | 1 |  | Wing |  |  | coracoid, feline or small dog gnawed |
| 1534 | posthol <br> e | Med | 1 | 0.003 | Bird Crow/Rook | 1 | 1 |  | Wing | a |  | coracoid |
| 1560 | gully | Med | 1 | 0.002 | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1585 | pit fill | Med | 4 | 0.194 | Cattle | 1 | 1 |  | Pel | a | ch, c |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1585 | pit fill | Med |  |  | Mammal | 3 |  |  | $\mathrm{V}_{+}$ |  |  | darker, from richer organic deposit |
| 1602 | linear | Med | 1 | 0.022 | Cattle | 1 | 1 |  | UL | a | ch |  |
| 1603 | linear | Med | 6 | 0.026 | Mammal | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1605 | spread | Med | 5 | 0.014 | Mammal | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1606 | spread | Med | 3 | 0.06 | Mammal | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1653 | ditch | Med | 3 | 0.028 | Cattle | 1 |  |  | T |  |  |  |
| 1653 | ditch | Med |  |  | Mammal | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1654 | ditch | Med | 1 | 0.013 | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1695 | posthol <br> e |  | 1 | 0.004 | Fishbone | 1 |  |  | V | a |  | Salmon atlas? |
| 1703 | pit fill | Med | 1 | 0.043 | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | lareg mammal fragment |
| 1722 | pit fill |  | 1 | 0.003 | Mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1728 | pit fill |  | 52 | 0.963 | Cattle | 22 | 11.5 | 10 | skeleton | j | none | juv skeleton burial |
| 1728 | pit fill |  |  |  | Mammal | 30 |  |  | frags | j |  | probably cattle frags |
| 5030 |  | Med | 1 | 0.035 | Mammal | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5034 |  | Med | 1 | 0.027 | Pig | 1 | 1 |  | ML | j | ch |  |

## Appendix 13. LCS 150 Plant Macrofossils and Other Remains

## Key to Tables

$x=1-10$ specimens $\quad x x=11-50$ specimens $\quad x x x=51-100$ specimens
xxxx $=100+$ specimens
$\mathrm{w}=$ de-watered $\mathrm{b}=$ burnt $\quad \mathrm{cf}=$ compare $\quad \mathrm{ph}=$ posthole
Table 1 Plant macrofossils and other remains from pits and post holes from LCS 150

| Sample No. | 0100 | 0101 | 0102 | 0104 | 0115 | 0116 | 0123 | 0119 | 0120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context No. | 1063 | 1134 | 1151 | 1188 | 1510 | 1511 | 1592 | 1532 | 1534 |
| Feature No. | 1062 | 1133 | 1133 | 1187 | 1513 | 1513 | 1591 | 1531 | 1533 |
| Feature type | Pit | Pit | Pit | ?Pit | Pit | Pit | Pit | ph | ph |
| Cereals and other food plants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avena sp. (grains) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |
| Hordeum sp. (grains) | x | x |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  | xcf |
| Secale cereale L. (grains) |  | xcf |  |  |  |  | x |  | $x$ |
| (rachis node) |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Triticum sp. (grains) | xcf |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |
| (rachis node frag.) |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Cereal indet. (grains) | x | $\times$ | x |  |  | xcf | x | x | x |
| Pisum sativum L. |  |  |  |  |  | xcf |  |  |  |
| Herbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agrostemma githago L. |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Brassicaceae indet. |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Bromus sp. |  |  | xcf |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cannabis sativa L . |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Chenopodium album L. |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Fabaceae indet. |  | x | x |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| Galium aparine L. |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Lapsana communis L. |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Onorpordum acanthium L. |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Raphanus raphanistrum L. (siliqua frags.) |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Silene sp. |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Tree/shrub macrofossils |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corylus avellana L. |  |  |  |  |  | xcf |  |  |  |
| Rubus sect. Glandulosus Wimmer \& Grab |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Other plant macrofossils |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal <2mm | xx | xxx | x | xx | x | xx | xx | x | xx |
| Charcoal >2mm | xx | xx | x | x |  | x | xxx |  | x |
| Charcoal >5mm |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Charred root/stem | xx | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | xx |
| Waterlogged root/stem |  |  |  |  |  |  | xxx |  |  |
| Ericaceae indet. (stem) | xx | x |  | x | x | x | $x$ |  |  |
| Pteridium aquilinum (L.)Kuhn (pinnule frags.) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times \mathrm{xw}$ |  |  |
| (stem frags.) |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Indet.culm nodes |  |  |  |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Other remains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black porous 'cokey' material |  | x | x |  | x | x | xx | x |  |
| Black tarry material |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone | x |  | xb |  |  | $\times \mathrm{xb}$ | $x$ | x | xb |
| Burnt/fired clay | x | x | x | x | x | xx | xx | x | $\times$ |
| Charred textile/fibre |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |


| Fish bone | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  | x |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marine mollusc shell frags. |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small coal frags. | x | x |  | x | x | x |  |  |  |
| Small mammal/amphibian bones |  | x | x |  |  | x |  | x | x |
| Waterlogged arthropod remains |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Sample volume (litres) | 20 | 28 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Volume of flot (litres) | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ | 0.2 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ | 0.1 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ |
| $\%$ flot sorted | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table 2. Plant macrofossils and other remains from ditchfills at LCS 150

| Sample No. | 0107 | 0108 | 0109 | 0113 | 0114 | 0117 | 0144 | 0145 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context No. | 1321 | 1319 | 1280 | 1439 | 1523 | 1312 | 1476 | 1628 |
| Feature No. | 1320 | $\begin{gathered} 1318 / 2 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1279 | 1374 | 1521 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1003 / \\ & 1261 \end{aligned}$ | 1473 | 1465 |
| Cereals and other food plants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avena sp. (grains) |  | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Hordeum sp. (grains) |  | X |  |  | X |  | x |  |
| Secale cereale L. (grains) |  |  |  |  | X |  | xcf |  |
| Triticum sp. (grains) |  |  | xcf |  |  | x |  |  |
| T. aestivum/compactum type (rachis node) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Cereal indet. (grains) |  | x | X |  | x | x | X | x |
| Pisum sativum L. |  |  |  |  |  |  | xcf |  |
| Herbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fabaceae indet. | X |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |
| Persicaria maculosa/lapathifolia | X |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Tree/shrub macrofossils |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corylus avellana L. |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| Sambucus nigra L. |  |  |  | xw |  |  |  |  |
| Other plant macrofossils |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal <2mm | XX | X | xX | X | XX | XX | xxX | X |
| Charcoal > 2 mm | XX | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Charred root/stem | X |  | X | X | XX | XX |  | X |
| Waterlogged root/stem |  |  |  | XX |  |  |  |  |
| Ericaceae indet. (stem) | x | $x$ | x | X | X | x | x | x |
| Indet.culm nodes |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other remains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black porous 'cokey' material |  | X | X |  | X | X |  | x |
| Black tarry material |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | X |
| Bone |  |  | xb | X | $\times \mathrm{xb}$ | x xb | xb | $\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{b}$ |
| Burnt/fired clay | X | X | X |  | X | $x$ | XX |  |
| Fish bone |  | X | X |  | X | xb | xX | X |
| Marine mollusc shell frags. |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Small coal frags. | X | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| Small mammal/amphibian bones |  | X |  |  | $\times \mathrm{xb}$ |  |  |  |
| Waterlogged arthropod remains |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Sample volume (litres) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Volume of flot (litres) | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 0.4 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | $<0.1$ |
| \% flot sorted | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 100\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 25\% | 100\% | 100\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 100\% |

Table 3. Plant macrofossils and other remains from the other features at LCS 150

| Sample No. | 0105 | 0136 | 0137 | 0138 | 0134 | 0135 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context No. | 1173 | 1364 | 1366 | 1367 | 1624 | 1624 |
| Feature No. | 1172 | 1365 | 1365 | 1365 | 1623 | 1623 |
| Feature type | Well | Well | Well | Well | Oven | Oven |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Triticum sp. (grains) | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cereal indet. (grains) |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Herbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cannabis sativa L. |  | xw |  |  |  |  |
| Chenopodium album L. |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Fabaceae indet. |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Polygonum aviculare L. |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Viola sp. |  |  |  | xw |  |  |
| Other plant macrofossils |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal <2mm | xx | xx | xx | X | X | x |
| Charcoal > 2 mm | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Charred root/stem | X | XX | X | x |  |  |
| Waterlogged root/stem |  |  |  | xxxx |  | x |
| Ericaceae indet. (stem) | X | xX | x | xxw |  |  |
| Other remains |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black porous 'cokey' material | X | x |  | X |  |  |
| Black tarry material |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| Bone | X | x xb | xb |  |  |  |
| Burnt/fired clay |  | xx | x | $x$ |  |  |
| Fish bone |  |  |  | xb |  |  |
| Small coal frags. |  | x | x |  |  |  |
| Small mammal/amphibian bones | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waterlogged arthropod remains |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Sample volume (litres) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| Volume of flot (litres) | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 1.2 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| \% flot sorted | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | <12.5\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Table 3. Plant macrofossils and other remains from the other features at LCS 150
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#### Abstract

Summary Deposits of palaeoenvironmental potential were encountered during archaeological excavations along the proposed Leiston Substation 132 kV cable route, Suffolk. Birmingham Archaeo-Environmental undertook palaeoenvironmental assessments on $a$ c. 0.50 m thick organic unit encountered during trial trenching. Sediment accumulation commenced in the Late Bronze Age/Early Iron Age and continued until the early Anglo-Saxon period. The site was located on the waterlogged floodplain of a SizewellBelt tributary, with stagnant or slow moving water present on the sampling site during sediment accumulation. Beetle and pollen assessments indicate a largely open grassland landscape around the wetland area, with some heathland and patchy hazel scrub. Cereal pollen and grassland herbs indicate that pastoral and agricultural activity was probably taking place close to the site, whilst the presence of large herbivores is suggested by the beetle assemblages. Human farming/settlement activity was therefore probably taking place locally from the later Bronze Age through to the early Medieval period. In addition to further analyses of the trench deposits, the report also recommends a suite of palaeoenvironmental assessments to be carried out on a deeper organic sequence encountered during borehole investigations. This will fully utilise the palaeoenvironmental record available and provide further insights into landscape changes and the timing and nature of human impact on the environment.
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# Sizewell, Suffolk: A Palaeoenvironmental Evaluation of Deposits Encountered Along the Proposed Leiston Substation 132kV Cable Route 

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The site is located on the North Sea coast immediately south of Sizewell Power Station. Deposits of palaeoenvironmental potential were discovered during ground investigations along the proposed cable route for Leiston Substation, Sizewell, Suffolk (TM 4719 6316). Previous borehole investigations had been undertaken in order to install water and gas monitoring wells, and indicated the presence of organic-rich deposits. The cable route was initially highlighted as having a high potential to encounter deposits of palaeoenvironmental importance due to its proximity to the floodplain of a tributary of the Sizewell Belts (situated to the north). The spatial variation of these deposits was however poorly understood.

Birmingham Archaeo-Environmental (BA-E) was subsequently subcontracted by Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service (SCCAS) to undertake a high-resolution coring survey along the cable route. This was required in order to evaluate the stratigraphic sequence preserved across the site and to assess the potential of the deposits for palaeoenvironmental analyses.

The fieldwork confirmed the presence of organic-rich deposits along the pipeline route. Targeted archaeological trial trenching commenced shortly after the completion of the coring survey, from which organic deposits were subsampled for further assessment (see Hill \& Gearey, 2008).

The organic-rich unit, encountered in the centre and to the east of the study area (Figure 1), probably accumulated through a process of in-situ organic accumulation in a floodplain setting. Alternatively, the
deposits could have accumulated within aa palaeochannel.

Palaeoenvironmental assessments of the deposits were therefore recommended to provide an insight in to the timing and nature of the environmental changes across the site. An additional phase of fieldwork was also undertaken shortly after the first site visit. Due to the extent to which organic deposits were encountered during manual coring (up to 2 m depth proximal to the tributary of the Sizewell Belts), trenching could not fully excavate the complete stratigraphic sequence. Suitable sampling for palaeoenvironmental assessment could also not be collected using a manual corer due to the sand-rich sequence, which resulted in repeated borehole collapse and sample contamination. Consequently, BAE subcontracted Global Probing and Sampling Ltd to undertake dynamic window sampling on the site.

This report presents the results of the palaeoenvironmental assessments that were undertaken on the organic unit sampled during the phase of trial trenching (pollen, diatom, beetle assessments and radiocarbon dating). In addition, the report summarises the results of the window sampling fieldwork and includes recommendations for further analyses on the sedimentary archive.

## 2. METHODS

In order to obtain an understanding of environment on and around the sampling site during the development of the organic unit, samples were taken from Trench 30, the approximate location of which is provided in Figure 1. A c. 0.50 m thick organic unit was encountered within the trench, from which monolith and bulk samples were collected (see Figure 2).

### 2.1 Pollen Assessment

A total of 9 subsamples were assessed for pollen. Sampling was undertaken at 0.08 m intervals throughout the sequence ( 0.72 , $0.80,0.88,0.96,1.04,1.12,1.20,1.28$ and 1.36 m ). Pollen preparation followed standard techniques including potassium hydroxide $(\mathrm{KOH})$ digestion, hydrofluoric acid (HF) treatment and acetylation (Moore et al., 1991). At least 125 total land pollen grains (TLP) excluding aquatics and spores were counted for each sample.

### 2.2 Beetle Assessment

A total of three samples were processed and assessed for Coleoptera (beetle) remains. The organic unit from Trench 30 was split into three sub-samples: 0.80-0.99 $\mathrm{m}, 0.99-1.18 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1.18-1.36 \mathrm{~m}$ depths. This assessment was to establish:

1. Are insect remains present?
2. And if so, are they of interpretative value?
3. Do the insect remains from these samples provide information about the nature of the environment in the area at the time of these deposits formed?
4. What were the water conditions in the feature?
5. Do the insects provide information on possible land use in the area?
6. How do these insect faunas compare to others from Suffolk and other sites of this period?

The samples were processed using the standard method of paraffin flotation outlined in Kenward et al. (1980) at the University of Birmingham. The insect remains were then sorted from the paraffin flot and the sclerites identified under a low power binocular microscope at $\times 10$ magnification. Where possible, the insect remains were identified by comparison with specimens in the Gorham and Girling collections housed at the University of Birmingham. The taxonomy used for the beetles follows that of Lucht (1987). A summary of the key beetle species encountered is provided in Table 1.

### 2.3 Diatom Assessment

Considering the proximity of the site to the coastal zone, diatom assessments were undertaken in order to assess the potential for these proxies to provide information regarding the role of changes in relative sealevel on sediment formation processes at the sampling site. A total of nine subsamples were taken from the organic unit for diatom assessment from the same depths as those assessed for pollen ( 0.72 , $0.80,0.88,0.96,1.04,1.12,1.20,1.28$ and $1.36 \mathrm{~m})$. These were prepared following the standard procedure described by Plater et al. (2000).

### 2.4 Radiocarbon Dating

A total of three samples were submitted for radiocarbon dating to SUERC, East Kilbride, to provide an absolute chronology. Sub-samples were taken from the top $(0.80 \mathrm{~m})$, middle $(1.04 \mathrm{~m})$ and bottom ( 1.28 m ) of the organic unit, where it was considered organic preservation provided sufficient amounts of organic carbon for dating purposes. Each sample underwent acid/alkali/acid treatment prior to dating. Radiocarbon dates were calibrated using Intcal04 (Reimer et al., 2004).

### 2.5 Borehole Survey

Global Probing and Sampling Ltd were subcontracted to undertake dynamic window sampling on the site. Window sampling enables complete sedimentary sequences to be taken at 1 m depth intervals up to a depth of up to $c .10 \mathrm{~m}$ or until bedrock is encountered. The drill rig ensures that an intact sedimentary sequences can be extracted, restricting the potential for contamination during sampling. Sampling was undertaken where Core 23 was located during the initial site evaluation (refer to figure 1).

Organic-rich sands and well humified peats were encountered in the northeastern corner of the cable route (core 23; Figure1) to a depth of up to $c .2 .3 \mathrm{~m}$. As a consequence, two window sample boreholes were extracted for palaeoenvironmental assessments. The boreholes were returned to the Birmingham Archaeo-Environmental
laboratory at the University of Birmingham for detailed stratigraphic analysis. Sediments were recorded using the Troels-Smith (1955) classification scheme. The scheme breaks down a sediment sample into four main components and allows the inclusion of extra components that are also present, but that are not dominant. Key physical properties of the sediment layers are also identified according to darkness (Da), stratification (St), elasticity (El), dryness of the sediment (Dr) and the sharpness of the upper sediment boundary (UB). A summary of the sedimentary and physical properties classified by Troels-Smith (1955) and a stratigraphic breakdown of the sampled boreholes is provided in Appendix I.

## 3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF FIELDWORK

### 3.1 Pollen Assessments

The majority of the pollen samples contained good concentrations of well preserved pollen. Only samples from 0.80 m and 1.36 m depths provided low pollen counts, although a full slide was traversed for each sample to ensure a sufficient count was obtained. The results are presented in the form of a pollen diagram (Figure 3), produced using TILIA and TILIA*GRAPH (Grimm 1991). A stratigraphic column and the radiocarbon dates are also provided on this figure.

Pollen preservation was good, although the abundance of broken and crumpled grains was observed to be high. In addition, some pre-Quaternary spores (PQS's) were also noted. Although there was no clear relationship between the abundance of PQS's and stratigraphic changes, the presence of these spores suggests some re-worked material derived from local geological sources has been incorporated into the sediment.

The base of the pollen diagram is dominated by trees and shrubs, collectively contributing 75\%TLP. Alnus (alder) is well represented in the basal
sample with only occasional grains of Tilia (lime) and Pinus sylvestris (pine). Corylus avellana-type (Hazel, but may include sweetgale) dominates the shrub taxa with Calluna vulgaris (heather) also recorded. The diagram shows a relatively rapid decline in Alnus above the base at 1.27 m but shrubs including Corylus avellana-type and Calluna vulgaris maintain values of up to $40 \%$. Herb taxa are well represented across the diagram with Poaceae, Lactuceae undiff. and Plantago lanceolata well represented. In addition, herbs including Ranunculaceae undiff. (buttercups), Rumex (sorrels) and Chenopodiaceae (Fat hen family) also contribute. Cerealia-type indet. are present increasing to $<5 \%$ TLP around 0.97 m depth. This depth also sees increases in Calluna and Lactuceae and concomitant reductions in Alnus.

The impression is of an open, grassy landscape but with hazel scrub and heath land persisting locally across the period of time represented by the diagram. Following the initial fall in alder at the base of the diagram, percentages of this tree are sufficient to indicate that some scattered alder remained on the damper soils, presumably around the edges of the woodland. The comparatively high values for herbs including ribwort plantain and dandelions gives the impression of a pastoral, meadow-like environment in the close proximity of the site. The presence of cereal pollen within the sequence may reflect arable cultivation in the close vicinity of the site, but this pollen type can include wild grasses specifically Glyceria (sweet vernal grasses).

### 3.2 Beetle Assessments

The insect taxa recovered from the flots are listed in Table 1. The taxonomy used for the Coleoptera (beetles) follows that of Lucht (1987). The numbers of individual insects present is estimated using the following scale: $+=1-2$ individuals ++ $=2-5$ individuals $+++=5-10$ individuals $++++=10+$ individuals $+++++=20+$ individuals.

When discussing the insect assemblages recovered, two considerations should be taken into account:

1) The identification of the insects present are provisional and made without direct comparison to reference Coleoptera. In addition, many of the taxa present could be identified to species level during a full analysis, producing more detailed information. As a result, all identifications should be regarded as incomplete and possibly biased.
2) The various proportions of insects or plant remains suggested are notional and likely to be subjective.

All three samples examined produced moderately rich insect faunas. In all cases only beetles (Coleoptera) were noted. The preservation of the insect fragments was good in both the basal (1.18-1.36 m depth) and middle ( $0.99-1.18 \mathrm{~m}$ depth) samples; although the top sample ( $0.80-0.99 \mathrm{~m}$ depth) does have coleopterous remains exhibiting signs of damage due to desiccation.

The three faunas recovered are fairly similar and this suggests that there is little or no change in the environment represented by this deposit, or at least to an extent detectable by the beetles. It is clear that slow flowing or stagnant water was present, suggested by the range of water beetles recovered. Taxa typical of aquatic environments include Noterus and Agabus 'diving' beetles and the Ochthebius and Hydreana species of Hydraenidae (Nilsson and Holmen 1995; Hansen 1986). A similar shallow and swampy environment is also suggested by species of Hydrophilidae recovered, such as Coelostoma orbiculare and Chaetarthria seminulum (Hansen 1986).

The plant feeding (phytophage) beetles recovered also suggest that a range of waterside plants grew in this shallow body of water. This is suggested by the presence of Notaris and Limnobaris, weevils that are normally associated with rushes, reeds and other emergent vegetation (Koch 1992). Tanysphyrus lemnae, recovered
from the basal sample, reflects duck weed (Lemna spp.) in the open areas of water.

All of the three samples recovered contained the remains of several individuals of Aphodius and Geotrupes 'dung' beetles. These taxa are normally associated with areas of grazing and open pasture. This type of environment also is suggested by the recovery of 'the garden chaffer' Phyllopertha horticola, since this species is commonly associated with old grassland and pasture (Jessop 1986).

### 3.3 Diatom Assessments

Few diatoms were identified in samples from the organic unit. Occasional diatoms were encountered in the sample at 0.80 m depth, but the frustules were highly fragmented preventing accurate identification. Consequently an interpretation of the depositional environment based on diatom assemblages could not be achieved. However, Pinnularia spp, and Epithemia spp. were most common in the sample from 0.80 m , and are indicative of a dominance of freshwater depositional conditions. It is not clear whether such a freshwater setting prevailed throughout the depositional history of the organic unit.

The fine grained nature of the deposit should have provided suitable depositional conditions for the preservation of the biogenic silica frustules. The absence of diatoms is therefore likely to have been a result of the influence of iron oxide precipitation within the unit in addition to the influence of secondary iron-oxide precipitation within the overlying orangebrown silty sands. Orange-brown iron staining is visible within the overlying minerogenic deposits in Figure 2. Such precipitation is a result of fluctuations in redox conditions and the level of diatom frustule dissolution has been shown to increase relative to the level of iron oxide precipitation within sedimentary deposits (Mayer et al. 1991).

### 3.4 Radiocarbon Dating Results

The radiocarbon dating results are summarised in Table 2. All samples yielded sufficient organic carbon for
successful dating and all analyses are reported as having proceeded normally. The basal peat sample ( 1.28 m depth) indicates that the onset of organic accumulation occurred $2870 \pm 30 \mathrm{yrs}$ BP (1130-930 Cal yrs BC; SUERC-19651), the later Bronze Age. The middle sample ( 1.04 m depth) was dated to $2415 \pm 30 \mathrm{yrs}$ BP (750-390 Cal yrs BC; SUERC-1650), later Bronze Age to Iron Age. The upper sample ( 0.80 m depth) dates the cessation of organic accumulation to just after 1505 $\pm 25 \mathrm{yrs}$ BP (440-630 AD; SUERC 19649), the early Medieval period. Radiocarbon dating certificates are included in Appendix II.

It is concluded that the radiocarbon dating framework has provided a broadly reliable and conformable chronology. Organic accumulation began in the Late Bronze Age/early Iron Age, and continued until the early Medieval period. It is possible, given the character of the sediment, that hiatuses may be present and hence a complete record of this period of time may not be preserved.

### 3.5 Borehole Evaluation

A detailed summary of the sedimentary sequence encountered during window sampling is provided in Appendix I. Medium to coarse-grained brown sands were present in the upper $c .1 .00 \mathrm{~m}$. Organic mottling was evident within the upper sands, which is a likely relict of agricultural activity (plough soil). Organic-rich sands, sandy peats and herbaceous peats were then present from 1.00 m to a depth of 2.05 m . The organic deposits were in turn underlain by a thin (c. 0.45 m ) layer of sands and gavels below which brown sands were identified to a depth of 5.00 m .

The organic deposits were present between 1.00 and 2.05 m depth. Such organic deposits were therefore encountered at a greater depth than those assessed as part of this report. As a consequence, it is likely that much of the organic sequence within the boreholes may be older. It is possible that the sequence formed in a similar floodplain environment in response to the
development of the tributary of the Sizewell Belts. However, considerable stratigraphic variation was encountered within the organic unit, with organic-rich sands interbedded with herbaceous peats. In addition, thin sand horizons were present with sharp upper and lower unit boundaries. This may be an indicator of erosive periods or hiatuses in sedimentation. As a consequence, palaeoenvironmental assessments of the deposits encountered within the boreholes are required to provide an insight in to the timing and nature of the organic accumulation in the north-eastern area of the site. This will enable inter site comparisons and to reconstruct environmental changes on and around the site.

## 4. DISCUSSION

The basal sands and silts below 1.37 m in Trench 30 suggest inorganic sedimentation was occurring within a fluvial system, prior to a change to a lower energy depositional environment and the accumulation of increasingly organic deposits sometime before $2870 \pm 30 \mathrm{yrs}$ BP (1130-930 Cal yrs BC; SUERC19651), the later Bronze Age. There is no evidence from the beetle assemblages for fast-flowing water, which usually produce a distinct insect fauna (e.g. Smith and Howard 2004). The insect fauna and the increased organic content of the organic sediments from which they were derived thus suggest that the sampling site was possibly a watercourse which began to infill with sediment at this time.

The processes leading to this are unclear but are probably related to shifts in local drainage patterns, although it is not known if this is related in any way to changes in relative sea levels (see below). The beetles suggest that the sampling site was characterised by emergent and aquatic vegetation including rushes, reeds and duckweed, although relatively few aquatic plants are recorded in the pollen data. Plant macrofossils analyses may confirm more precisely the character of the body of
water these sediments were deposited/ formed within.

In terms of the wider, dryland areas around the sampling site, the overall absence of beetle species associated with woodland suggests a largely cleared landscape with indicators for grazing animals/pasture also present. This is supported in part by the palynological assessment, which indicates that few woody taxa other than hazel and scattered alder were present. More extensive populations of alder seem to have been present near the site at the opening of the pollen diagram, but appear to have contracted somewhat, probably as a result of farming activity (see below).

Hazel was probably growing on the drier areas beyond the sampling site but scrub/woodland was either restricted to denser stands in specific parts of the landscape or was scattered with an open understorey. In particular, the presence of heather is an indication of the sandy local soils which must have favoured scrubby heathland. Herbs including wild grasses, ribwort plantain, buttercups and dandelions are all typical of meadow/pastoral vegetation which was probably created and/or maintained by the grazing animals suggested by the beetles.

Both pollen and beetle records therefore indicate an open, grazed landscape, but with some scrubland apparent in the former record but not in the latter. This is probably largely a function of a relatively local source area (ie. the immediate vicinity of the wetland) for the beetle assemblages compared to a wider area of the landscape for the pollen which is thus reflecting the dryland vegetation better than the beetles.

Although the grazing of wild animals might be responsible in whole or part for the persistence of grassland, it seems probable that grazing by domestic animals from the later Bronze Age onwards was responsible for the open environments evidenced at Sizewell. Such pastoral activity seems to have continued through the Iron Age and into the early Medieval
period, suggesting that this areas has long been a focus of farming/settlement activity.

The current data indicate few pronounced changes in the local environment across this period of time. A rise in herbs and reductions in total tree and shrub values in the middle part of the diagram 0.97 m may reflect some intensification in pastoral farming not long after the date of $2415 \pm$ 30 yrs BP (750-390 Cal yrs BC; SUERC1650), or perhaps in the Iron Age. The persistence of hazel in the pollen record is notable in the light of the evidence for this continuous agricultural pressure on the environment and may reflect the management of local wood resources.

The absence of diatoms prevent the identification of the influence of any changes in relative sea level on the formation of the depositional archive. The presence of saltmarsh conditions close to the site may be indicated through the presence of low levels of Chenopodiaceae (Fat hen family) in the pollen record, but this herb type includes taxa from a range of other environments including arable land. Relative sea level during the late prehistoric period was in any case close to that of the present day.

The shift from organic to inorganic silts/clays and the termination of the pollen record above 0.72 m suggests increased fluvial influence during the early Medieval period. This may reflect the effects of the local agricultural activity destabilising soils and leading to increased erosion of material onto the site or may be linked to factors such as climate and sealevel change.

With the exception of recent work undertaken at the late prehistoric site of Beccles near Lowestoft (Chapman et al. 2006), there are no other insect faunas of Holocene date known from this part of Suffolk. The only other palaeoentomological work in the area is that of Coope (2006) on a range of Lower Palaeolithic deposits from High Lodge, Mildenhall and Pakefield, Lowestoft. The limited entomological work in Suffolk
means that the insect faunas from Sizewell can be regarded of regional importance. Likewise, there are few palynological records of vegetation change and human activity available from this area and that from Sizewell provides valuable information on the timing and nature of anthropogenic activity which can be linked closely to the archaeological record from the site.

## 5. RECOMMENDATIONS <br> FOR FURTHER WORK

### 5.1 Palaeoenvironmental Assessments

It is recommended that the insect remains from all three samples are fully identified. Further analyses will clarify and confirm the provisional interpretations suggested here. Full analysis also will provide an independent source of proxyenvironmental evidence for comparison with the palynological evidence from the site.

Further analyses on the pollen assemblages obtained from the organic sequence are also recommended. This will provide further insight into the landscape conditions that prevailed during organic accumulation and enable secure links to be made between palaeoenvironmental conditions and human activity on site as revealed by the archaeological excavations. Such studies will also complement the work to be recommended on the borehole deposits (see below). However, it is recommended that prior to this, further assessment work

### 5.2 Borehole Assessments

Due to the valuable palaeoenvironmental results obtained from the organic deposits assessed to date, it is recommended that the borehole deposits are also considered for a suite of palaeoenvironmental assessments. The following assessment procedure is proposed:

- Radiocarbon dating of the upper and lower unit boundaries of the organic deposit. Due to the presence of relatively sharp sedimentary boundaries within the organic
sequence (small sand horizons are encountered within the unit) an additional third radiocarbon date from the centre of the organic sequence is recommended to assess whether periods of erosion and/or hiatuses in sedimentation have occurred. Dating the sedimentary sequence will provide an understanding of the timing of the onset and cessation of organic accumulation to assist comparisons with the trench excavations. Bulk samples should be considered for AMS radiocarbon dating from 1.02 m , 1.53 m and 1.99 m depths (three samples in total).
- Pollen analysis should be undertaken at regular intervals through the organic unit in order to assess the palaeoecological conditions present at the time of deposition (11 samples in total);
- Beetle assessments should be undertaken on bulk samples taken from the top and bottom of the organic unit. The relative abundance of sand towards the centre of the unit precludes the need for a third beetle assessment being undertaken towards the centre of the unit (two samples in total);
- Due to the success of beetle assessments during the first phase of palaeoenvironmental
recommendations, it is also recommended that assessments are undertaken for waterlogged plant macrofossil remains. This will establish the types of vegetation present during organic accumulation which can be associated with the beetle fauna encountered. Bulk samples should be assessed from the top and bottom of the organic unit (two samples in total)


## 6. ARCHIVE

All trench and borehole samples are stored at the Birmingham Archaeo-

Environmental laboratory at the University of Birmingham. Stratigraphic records, photographs, site plans and proxy subsamples are also held at BA-E until further notice.
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Figure 1: Proposed route of Leiston substation 132 kV cable (shaded area), showing approximate locations of sedimentary cores and spatial extent of organic-rich deposits encountered during site evaluation. Approximate location of Trench 30 is also highlighted, from which samples for palaeoenvironmental assessment were taken. Location plan provided by Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service.


Figure 2: View of west-facing trench section in Trench 30. The organic unit was much thicker than that encountered in Trench 27, with up to c. 0.65 m of organics at the northern end of the trench.

| Taxa | 1.18-1.36m | 0.99-1.18m | 0.80-0.99m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| volume (L.) | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Weight (Kg.) | 4 | 4 | 3.5 |
| CARABIDAE |  |  |  |
| Elaphrus spp. | - | - | + |
| Clinina fossor (L.) | - | - | + |
| Dyschirius globosus (Herb.) | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Trechus quadristriatus (Schrk.) | - | - | + |
| Pterostichus strenus (Panz.) | +++ | ++ | - |
| Calathus spp | - | + | - |
| DYTISCIDAE |  |  |  |
| Noterus spp. | - | - | + |
| Agabus spp. | + | + | - |
| HYDRAENIDAE |  |  |  |
| Hydraena spp. | - | + | - |
| Ochthebius spp. | - | ++ | - |
| HYDROPHILIDAE |  |  |  |
| Coelostoma orbiculare (F.) | - | - | + |
| Cercyon spp. | - | - | + |
| Megasternum boletophagum (Marsh.) | - | + | + |
| Hydrobius fuscipes (L.) | - | + | - |
| Chaetarthria seminulum (Herb.) | - | - | + |
| STAPHYLINIDAE |  |  |  |
| Megatharus spp. | - | + | - |
| Lesteva spp. | - | + | - |
| Oxytelus spp. | - | + | - |
| Stenus spp. | +++ | ++++ | +++ |
| Stilicus spp. | - | + | ++ |
| Lathrobium spp. | - | ++ | ++ |
| Tachyporus spp. | - | - | + |
| Aleocharinae gen. \& spp. Indet. | - | - | + |
| PSELAPHIDAE |  |  |  |
| Bryaxis spp. | - | + | - |
| HELODIDAE |  |  |  |
| Cyphon spp. | - | - | ++ |
| DRYOPIDAE |  |  |  |
| Dryops spp. | - | - | + |
| SCARABEIDAE |  |  |  |
| Geotrupes spp. |  | + | + |
| Aphodius spp. | +++ | +++ | ++ |
| Phyllopertha horticola (L.) | - | - | + |
| CHRYOSMELIDAE |  |  |  |
| Donacia / Plateumaris | - | + | - |
| CURCULIONIDAE |  |  |  |
| Apion spp. | - | + | ++ |
| Tanysphyrus lemnae (Payk.) | - | - | + |
| Leiosoma deflexum (Panz.) | - | + | $+$ |
| Limnobaris spp. | - | +++ | + |
| Notaris spp. | + | +++ | + |
| Ceutorhynchus spp. | - | + | + |

Table 1: Summary of the insects remains recovered during the assessment of the material from Sizewell, Suffolk

| Sample/ <br> Depth m | Lab Code | Materia <br> $\mathbf{l}$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta 1 3}$ <br> $\mathbf{C}$ <br> $\mathbf{0} / \mathbf{o o}$ | Radiocarbon <br> Age BP | Calibrated <br> Range 2 $\sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BAE1806-0.80m | SUERC-19649 | Bulk Peat | -29.1 | $1505 \pm 25$ | $530-630 \mathrm{AD}$ |
| BAE1806-1.04m | SUERC-19650 | Bulk Peat | -28.6 | $2415+30$ | $750-390 \mathrm{BC}$ |
| BAE180601.28m | SUERC-19651 | Bulk Peat | -28.8 | $2870 \pm 30$ | $1130-930 \mathrm{BC}$ |

Table 2: Summary of AMS radiocarbon dating results obtained from Sizewell palaeoenvironmental assessment.

## APPENDIX I

## WINDOWLESS BOREHOLE SAMPLE STRATIGRAPHY

Troels-Smith (1955) classification scheme of sediments used for borehole assessment, a summary of which is provided below:

| Degree of Darkness |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| nig. 4 | black |
| nig. 3 |  |
| nig. 2 |  |
| nig. 1 |  |
| nig. 0 | white |


| Degree of Stratification |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| strf.4 | well stratified |
| strf.3 |  |
| strf.2 |  |
| strf.1 |  |
| strf.0 | no stratification |


| Degree of Elasticity |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| elas. 4 | very elastic |
| elas.3 |  |
| elas.2 |  |
| elas.1 |  |
| elas. 0 | no elasticity |


| Degree of Dryness |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| sicc. 4 | very dry |
| sicc. 3 |  |
| sicc. 2 |  |
| sicc. 1 |  |
| sicc. 0 | water |


|  | Sharpness of Upper Boundary |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\lim .4$ | $<0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\lim .3$ | $<1.0 \&>0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\lim .2$ | $<2.0 \&>1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\lim .1$ | $<10.0 \&>2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\lim .0$ | $>10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |


|  | Sh | Substantia humosa | Humous substance, homogeneous microscopic structure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Turfa | Tb | T. bryophytica | Mosses +/- humous substance |
|  | $\pi$ | T. lignosa | Stumps, roots, intertwined rootlets, of ligneous plants |
|  | Th | T. herbacea | Roots, intertwined rootlets, rhizomes of herbaceous plants |
| II Detritus | DI | D. lignosus | Fragments of ligneous plants $>2 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | Dh | D. herbosus | Fragments of herbaceous plants >2mm |
|  | Dg | D. granosus | Fragments of ligneous and herbaceous plants $<2 \mathrm{~mm}>0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| III Limus | Lf | L. ferrugineus | Rust, non-hardened. Particles $<0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| IV Argilla | As | A.steatodes | Particles of clay |
|  | Ag | A. granosa | Particles of silt |
| $\checkmark$ Grana | Ga | G. arenosa | Mineral particles 0.6 to 0.2 mm |
|  | Gs | G. saburralia | Mineral particles 2.0 to 0.6 mm |
|  | Gg(min) | G. glareosa minora | Mineral particles 6.0 to 2.0 mm |
|  | Gg(maj) | G. glareosa majora | Mineral particles 20.0 to 6.0 mm |
|  | Ptm | Particulae testae molloscorum | Fragments of calcareous shells |

To ensure suitable amounts of material were available for palaeoenvironmental consideration, two windowless boreholes were extracted. The boreholes were taken from the same location within the Sizewell study area, approximately 0.50 m apart from one another. Due to the close proximity of the borehole locations, the stratigraphy is the same. As a consequence, a single stratigraphic sequence is summarized below:

| $0.00-0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2+ | o | 0 | 3+ | - |
|  | $\mathrm{Ga} 4, \mathrm{Ag}+, \mathrm{Sh}+, \mathrm{Th}+, \mathrm{Dh}+, \mathrm{Ggmin}+$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Medium brown sand with occasional organic mottling Ploughed topsoil |  |  |  |  |
| 0.45-0.56m | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3+ | 0 |
|  | Ga4, Ag+, Ggmin+ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.56-0.74 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  | 3+ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | $\mathrm{Ga} 4, \mathrm{Ag}+$, Sh+, Ggmin+ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dark brown sand with organic mottling |  |  |  |  |
| 0.74-1.00m | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Ga3, Ag1, Sh+, Ggmin+ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Medium brown sand |  |  |  |  |
| $1.00-1.40 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  |  | 0 | 0+ | 2 | - |
|  | Ga2, Sh2, Ag+, Th+, Dh+, Ggmin+, As+ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dark grey-brown sandy peat |  |  |  |  |
| $1.40-1.47 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Ga3, Ag1, Sh++, Ggmin+ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grey silty sand |  |  |  |  |
| $1.47-1.53 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  | 3+ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Dg2, Dh1, Sh1, Th+, Ag+ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dark brown herbaceous well humified peat |  |  |  |  |
| $1.53-1.65 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
|  | $\mathrm{Ga} 4, \mathrm{Ag}+$, $\mathrm{Sh}+$ Grey-brown sand |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1.65-1.96 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  | 3+ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Dg2, Sh2, Th,+ Dh,+ Ag,+ Ggmin + Dark red-brown very well humified peat |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1.96-2.05 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  | 2+ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Ga2, Ag1, Ggmin1, Sh++ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grey-brown pebbly silty sand with organic mottling |  |  |  |  |


| $2.05-2.48 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Ga2, Ggmin1, Ggmaj1, Ag+ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gravel rounded to sub-angluar quartz, mudstone, occasional flint |  |  |  |  |
| $2.48-2.60 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
|  | $\mathrm{Ga} 4, \mathrm{Ag}+$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Light brown fine sand horizon |  |  |  |  |
| $2.60-4.55 \mathrm{~m}$ | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  | 2+ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Ga4, Ag+, Ggmin+, Sh+, Gs+ Grey-green sand |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.55-5.0m | Da | St | El | Dr | UB |
|  | 2+ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Ga3, Gs1, Ggmin + , Ag+ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oragne-brown coarse sand |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX II

## RADIOCARBON DATING CERTIFICATES

## RADIOCARBON DATING CERTIFICATE

31 July 2008

## Laboratory Code

SUERC-19649 (GU-17013)
Submitter

Site Reference
Sample Reference
Material
$\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ relative to VPDB

Radiocarbon Age BP

Dr. Tom Hill
Birmingham Archaeology
University of Birmingham
Edgbaston
Birmingham B15 2TT
Sizewell, Suffolk
BAE1806-0.80m
Peat : Humic Acid
$-29.1 \%$
$1505 \pm 25$
N.B. 1. The above ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ age is quoted in conventional years BP (before 1950 AD ). The error, which is expressed at the one sigma level of confidence, includes components from the counting statistics on the sample, modern reference standard and blank and the random machine error.
2. The calibrated age ranges are determined from the University of Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit calibration program (OxCal3).
3. Samples with a SUERC coding are measured at the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre AMS Facility and should be quoted as such in any reports within the scientific literature. Any questions directed to the Radiocarbon Laboratory should also quote the GU coding given in parentheses after the SUERC code.


Date :- $31-7-08$

Date :- $31.7-08$
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## RADIOCARBON DATING CERTIFICATE

31 July 2008

Laboratory Code
Submitter

Site Reference
Sample Reference
Material

SUERC-19650 (GU-17014)
Dr. Tom Hill
Birmingham Archaeology
University of Birmingham
Edgbaston
Birmingham B15 2TT
Sizewell, Suffolk
BAE1806-1.04m
Peat : Humic Acid
$-28.6 \%$

Radiocarbon Age BP
$2415 \pm 30$
N.B. 1. The above ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ age is quoted in conventional years BP (before 1950 AD ). The error, which is expressed at the one sigma level of confidence, includes components from the counting statistics on the sample, modern reference standard and blank and the random machine error.
2. The calibrated age ranges are determined from the University of Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit calibration program (OxCal3).
3. Samples with a SUERC coding are measured at the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre AMS Facility and should be quoted as such in any reports within the scientific literature. Any questions directed to the Radiocarbon Laboratory should also quote the GU coding given in parentheses after the SUERC code.

Conventional age and calibration age ranges calculated by :- Naysmb Date :- 31.7-08

Checked and signed off by :


Date :- 51.7 .08
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## RADIOCARBON DATING CERTIFICATE

31 July 2008

Laboratory Code
Submitter

Site Reference
Sample Reference
Material
$\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ relative to VPDB

Radiocarbon Age BP

SUERC-19651 (GU-17015)
Dr. Tom Hill
Birmingham Archaeology
University of Birmingham
Edgbaston
Birmingham B15 2TT
Sizewell, Suffolk
BAE1806-1.28m
Peat : Humic Acid
N.B. 1. The above ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ age is quoted in conventional years BP (before 1950 AD ). The error, which is expressed at the one sigma level of confidence, includes components from the counting statistics on the sample, modern reference standard and blank and the random machine error.
2. The calibrated age ranges are determined from the University of Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit calibration program (OxCal3).
3. Samples with a SUERC coding are measured at the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre AMS Facility and should be quoted as such in any reports within the scientific literature. Any questions directed to the Radiocarbon Laboratory should also quote the GU coding given in parentheses after the SUERC code.

Conventional age and calibration age ranges calculated by :-


Date:- 31.7 .08

Date :- $31-7-08$
Checked and signed off by
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## Appendix 15

# OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England 

List of Projects | Manage Projects | Search Projects | New project | Change your details | HER coverage | Change country | Log out

## Printable version

OASIS ID: suffolkc1-119095

## Project details

Project name LCS 150 Leiston Substation 132kv cable route, Sizewell
Short description An open area excavation of 3,700 sqm was completed at Sizewell, the once burgeoning of the project medieval market town which has been reduced to a fishing hamlet by erosion and inundations by the sea. The archaeological features represented the pinnacle of the settlement's westerly expansion, which peaked at the turn of the 14th century, and attested to a period of 'industrial' activity centred on a freshwater marsh. The site produced evidence of workshop-type buildings, ovens, timber-lined wells and sunken water-tanks together with a large assemblage of finds. The cut-off in the archaeological record occurs during the first half of the 14th century; this is abrupt and coincides closely with what is historically the start of Sizewell's decline. The finds assemblages reflected beach hinterland location and included fishing equipment (hooks, weights, and possible net fragments) along with the bones of both freshwater and marine fish species. Of particular pertinence to this coastal milieu was the discovery of sections of planking from a small inshore boat; 6-9m long. The boat's timbers were sourced from Ireland and were from trees felled between AD1241 and AD1266. In addition to the archaeological evidence, there is an unusually complete set of medieval land records which date back to the period, and include the area, sampled by the excavations and which indicate that the land to the west of the town was divided into a high proportion of small copyholds. The site, together with the neighbouring excavations (LCS148), has provided a relatively large sample across several medieval plots.

Project dates
Start: 20-05-2008 End: 12-12-2014
Previous/future No/No
work
Any associated C/06/2191/FUL - Planning Application No. project reference codes

Any associated LCS 150-HER event no.
project reference
codes
Any associated LCS 148-Related HER No.
project reference
codes
Any associated 2012/016 - Contracting Unit No.
project reference
codes
Type of project Field evaluation
Site status
Area of Archaeological Importance (AAI)
Current Land
Cultivated Land 3 - Operations to a depth more than 0.25 m
use
Monument type WELL Medieval

| Monument type | BUILDING Medieval |
| :---: | :---: |
| Monument type | DITCH Medieval |
| Monument type | PITS Medieval |
| Significant Finds | BOAT TIMBERS Medieval |
| Significant Finds | POTTERY Medieval |
| Significant Finds | ANIMAL BONE Medieval |
| Methods \& techniques | "'Dendrochronological Survey"',"'Documentary Search'", "'Environmental Sampling"',"'Metal Detectors"',"'Sample Trenches"' |
| Development type | Pipelines/cables (e.g. gas, electric, telephone, TV cable, water, sewage, drainage etc.) |
| Prompt | Direction from Local Planning Authority - PPG16 |
| Position in the planning process | After full determination (eg. As a condition) |
| Project location |  |
| Country | England |
| Site location | SUFFOLK SUFFOLK COASTAL LEISTON LCS 150 Leiston Substation 132kv cable route, Sizewell |
| Study area | 3700.00 Square metres |
| Site coordinates | TM 4719631652.21049942541 .61865970463521237 N 0013707 E Point |
| Site coordinates | TM 4693627252.20666858311 .61454086682521224 N 0013652 E Point |
| Height OD / Depth | Min: 4.00 m Max: 4.50 m |
| Project creators |  |
| Name of Organisation | Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service |
| Project brief originator | Local Planning Authority (with/without advice from County/District Archaeologist) |
| Project design originator | Dr Jess Tipper |
| Project director/manager | David Gill |
| Project supervisor | Robert Atfield |
| Type of sponsor/funding body | Land owner |
| Name of sponsor/funding body | National Grid and SEESA |
| Project archives |  |
| Physical Archive recipient | Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service |
| Physical Contents | "Animal Bones","Ceramics","Environmental","Metal","Textiles","Wood","Worked stone/lithics","other" |
| Digital Archive recipient | Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service |


| Digital Contents | "Animal <br> Bones","Ceramics","Environmental",",Metal","Stratigraphic","Survey","Textiles","Wood","Worked <br> stone/lithics","other" |
| :--- | :--- |
| Digital Media <br> available | "Database","Images raster / digital photography","Spreadsheets","Survey","Text" |
| Paper Archive <br> recipient | Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service |
| Paper Contents | "Animal Bones","Ceramics","Environmental","Metal","Stratigraphic","Survey","Wood","other" |
| Paper Media <br> available | "Context sheet","Manuscript","Map","Notebook - Excavation"," Research"," General |
|  | Notes","Plan","Report","Section","Survey ","Unpublished Text" |

## OASIS:

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice
© ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012
Cite only: http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm?ID=199833 for this page

# Archaeological services <br> Field Projects Team 

## Delivering a full range of archaeological services

- Desk-based assessments and advice
- Site investigation
- Outreach and educational resources
- Historic Building Recording
- Environmental processing
- Finds analysis and photography
- Graphics design and illustration

Contact

Rhodri Gardner
Tel: 01473581743 Fax: 01473288221
rhodri.gardner@suffolk.gov.uk
www.suffolk.gov.uk/Environment/Archaeology/

