

Land at County Farm, Church Field Road, Chilton CHT 021

Archaeological Evaluation Report

SCCAS Report No. 2012/029 Client: NHS Suffolk Author: J. A. Craven March 2012

©SCCAS

HER Information

Report Number:	2012/020
Site Name:	Land at County Farm, Church Field Road, Chilton
Planning Application No:	B/11/00830/FUL
Date of Fieldwork:	27/02/2012-29/02/2012
Grid Reference:	TL 886 424
Client/Funding Body:	NHS Suffolk
Curatorial Officer:	Edward Martin (SCCAS/CT)
Project Officer:	John Craven
Oasis Reference:	119449
Site Code:	CHT 021

Digital report submitted to Archaeological Data Service: http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/catalogue/library/greylit

Disclaimer

Any opinions expressed in this report about the need for further archaeological work are those of the Field Projects Team alone. Ultimately the need for further work will be determined by the Local Planning Authority and its Archaeological Advisors when a planning application is registered. Suffolk County Council's archaeological contracting services cannot accept responsibility for inconvenience caused to the clients should the Planning Authority take a different view to that expressed in the report.

Contents

Sum	mary							
Drav	wing Co	nventions						
1.	Introd	uction	1					
2.	Geolo	gy and topography	1					
3.	Archa	eology and historical background	1					
4.	Metho	dology	4					
5.	Result		6					
5.1.	Int	roduction	6					
5.2.	6							
5.3.	Ph	6						
5.4.	6							
6. Finds and environmental evidence								
6.1.	Int	roduction	11					
6.2.	Th	e Pottery	11					
	6.2	1.1 Introduction	11					
	6.2	2.2 Methodology	11					
	6.2	2.3 Roman	11					
	6.2	2.4 Early Anglo-Saxon	12					
	6.2	2.5 Medieval	13					
6.3.	Ce	ramic building materials (CBM)	13					
6.4.	Fir	ed clay	14					
6.5.	Wo	14						
6.6.	6.6. Burnt flint							
6.7.	Iro	n	14					
6.8.	Fa	unal Remains	15					
6.9.	Cre	emated bone	15					

6.10	. Plant m	acrofossils and other remains	15
	6.10.1	Introduction and methods	15
	6.10.2	Quantification	16
	6.10.3	Results	16
	6.10.4	Conclusions and recommendations for further work	16
7.	Discussion	n	18
8.	Conclusio	ns and recommendations for further work	20
9.	Archive de	eposition	22
10.	Acknowled	22	
11.	Bibliograp	22	

List	of	Fia	ures
LISU	U	i ig	ui 63

Figure 1. Location plan	3
Figure 2. Trench plan, including CHT 009 and 015 features and position of CHT 010 cropmarks	5
Figure 3. Trench 5, plan and sections	8
Figure 4. Trench 7, plan and section	9
Figure 5. Trench 9, plan and section	10
Figure 6. Proposed area for further excavation	21

List of Tables

Table 1.	Finds quantities	11
Table 2.	Sample processing results	16

List of Appendices

Appendix 1.	Brief and specification
-------------	-------------------------

- Appendix 2. Trench list
- Appendix 3. Context List
- Appendix 4. OASIS data collection form

Summary

Archaeological evaluation on land at the former County Farm, Church Field Road, Chilton confirmed the position of three medieval/post-medieval ditches, previously known from aerial photography and earlier programmes of evaluation and excavation in the adjacent fields. A single pit containing fragments of an early Anglo-Saxon ceramic vessel, possibly a crucible, was an isolated feature but suggests a phase of industrial activity in the vicinity.

There was no indication for any activity extending this far westwards from the substantial Late Bronze/Early Iron Age ditched enclosure (CHT 009/015) c.200m to the east.

Drawing Conventions

To be inserted by graphics team at pdf synthesis stage

1. Introduction

An archaeological evaluation was carried out in advance of development on 1.38ha of land at the former County Farm, Church Field Road, Chilton, Suffolk (Fig. 1). The evaluation was required to assess the archaeological potential of the site and was carried out to a Brief and Specification issued by the archaeological advisor to the local planning authority, Edward Martin of Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service Conservation Team (Appendix 1). The project was funded by the developer, the NHS Suffolk.

2. Geology and topography

The site lies in the parish of Chilton, on the outskirts of modern Sudbury, at a height of 63m AOD, and consists of the western corner of a former arable field, now semimanaged grassland or scrub. The site is relatively flat, on an area of high ground overlooking the valley of the River Stour which lies 1.5km to south and west. Approximately 80m to the east ground-levels descend into a shallow valley aligned SW-NE. The site geology consists of deep, well drained, fine loam/ clay soils over chalky till.

3. Archaeology and historical background

The archaeological condition was placed as the site lies in an area of archaeological interest recorded in the Suffolk HER. Extensive archaeological work has previously been carried out to the east of the site (Fig. 1) and the potential of the area has been highlighted in both a recent desk-based assessment (DBA) for the site itself (Thompson 2011) and two DBA's for the field as a whole (Abbott 1996a), and the part of the field immediately to the east (Craven 2009).

In summary a fieldwalking and auger survey of the majority of the field, and partially overlapping the current site, identified a scatter of burnt and worked flints, two sherds of prehistoric pottery, a thin scatter of abraded medieval pottery and assorted metalwork (Abbot 1996b). Trial trench evaluation (CHT 009, Abbott 1996c and 1996d), one of which extended into the site, then identified a series of field boundary/drainage ditches

containing medieval pottery, several of which related to a potential trackway and field ditches, CHT 010, previously identified in aerial photography, running north-west to south-east across the field towards St Mary's Church and Chilton Grange (Fig. 2). The western end of this trackway runs across the north part of the current site and one of the three single linear features which extend south-west from the trackway also crosses the current site.

Approximately 200m to the south-east of the site, the evaluation and two subsequent phases of excavation, CHT 009 (Abbot 1998) and CHT 015 (Craven in prep), identified a substantial Late Bronze/Early Iron Age ditched enclosure measuring c.250m by 120m. Two entrances were seen through the north-western arm of the ditch with a trackway, complete with wheel ruts, running through the northern of the two and then heading towards the current site. A range of postholes indicated distinct linear structures or buildings including up to four round houses, other rectangular structures, eight possible four-poster buildings and other miscellaneous post-alignments. Other features consisted of possible rubbish or grain drying and storage pits. Of particular interest were three further four-poster buildings lying outside of the enclosure ditch, demonstrating that settlement activity was not confined to within the enclosure itself.

The medieval trackway, CHT 010, was clearly identified running across the enclosure with the southern of the ditches likely to have survived into the 19th century where it was noted on the 1840 tithe map as a field boundary (Craven 2009).

The sites recent history has seen it as an open field to the north of modern Sudbury, 1.5km from the historic town core. The DBA highlighted that the north and west part of the site was occupied by the buildings of County Farm in the early/mid 20th century. With the expansion of Sudbury in the late 20th century, in the form of a modern industrial estate and Church Field Road immediately to the south of the site, the general area has been allocated for development and the whole field, including the CHT 009 site, has not been in use for at least the past decade, perhaps since the demolition of County Farm.

The proposed development of the site therefore had high potential to disturb or destroy evidence of prehistoric or medieval occupation and the archaeological evaluation was required to ascertain whether such deposits were present. Figure 1. Location plan

4. Methodology

Ten trenches, measuring 390m in total length and 1.8m wide, or 5% of the total 1.38ha site, were excavated across the proposed development area by a mechanical excavator equipped with a ditching bucket, under the supervision of an archaeologist, to the top of the undisturbed natural subsoil or archaeological levels (Fig. 2). Trenches were marked out by an RTK GPS.

Where required the trench was hand-cleaned, and several potential features investigated by hand. Trench and spoilheaps were metal-detected and scanned for artefactual material.

The trenches were recorded by RTK GPS, as were feature and section positions and site levels. Hand drawn plans at a scale of 1:50, and sections at 1:20, were recorded on A3 pro-forma pregridded permatrace sheets. Digital colour photographs (300 dpi) were taken of all stages of the fieldwork, and are included in the digital archive.

An OASIS form (Appendix 4) has been initiated for the project (reference no. suffolkc1-119449) and a digital copy of the report has been submitted for inclusion on the Archaeology Data Service database (http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/catalogue/library/greylit).

The site archive is kept in the main store of Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service at Bury St Edmunds under Suffolk HER No. CHT 021.

Figure 2. Trench plan, including CHT 009 and 015 features and position of CHT 010 cropmarks

5. Results

5.1. Introduction

The trenching showed a relatively uniform soil profile across the site with 0.m-04m of topsoil directly overlying the natural subsoil (Appendix 2). Topsoil in most of the eastern trenches was heavily mixed with demolition rubble from the former farm buildings and there were occasional, but substantial areas of deeper disturbance. Archaeological features, relating to two periods of activity, were identified in three trenches (Figs. 3-5).

5.2. Phase I: Prehistoric

Two residual pieces of Late Neolithic/Early Bronze Age flint were recovered from the fill of an early Anglo-Saxon pit, 0010 (see below). This feature also contained eighty-seven small abraded fragments of a possibly redeposited vessel which could be Iron Age or Anglo-Saxon in date, plus a small quantity of cremated, possibly human, bone which was recovered during processing of soil sample 01.

5.3. Phase II: Early Anglo-Saxon (5th-7th century)

A single oval pit, 0010, was identified in Trench 09. Aligned N-S it had a vertical southern side and a possibly disturbed or irregular/stepped northern side together with an irregular base. Its fill, 0011, was a firm, mid/dark brown/grey clay, becoming darker/black at base with abundant charcoal. Occasional flecks of burnt clay, and rare chalk nodules and flecks were also present. During fieldwork twenty-four sherds of pottery from a single early Anglo-Saxon vessel were collected from the fill, which was 100% excavated and kept as soil sample 01. Processing of the sample retrieved a further fifty-eight sherds of the vessel.

5.4. Phase III: Medieval/post-medieval

Four sections of ditch were observed in Trenches 05 and 07. Two parallel ditches, 0001 and 0003, seen in the northern part of Trench 05, were aligned NW-SE. The upper fills of both were very hard to distinguish from the surrounding natural subsoil and so were overmachined by c.0.5m before hand excavated slots were placed across each one. The width of each was hard to determine but was probably c.3m and both had moderate

6

sloping sides. The base of 0001 was 1.3m below ground level while the base of 0004 was at least 1.2m below groundlevel. A modern land drain running along the centre and top of 0001 shows that it may still have been a recognised feature in the late 19th/20th century even if largely infilled and not shown on the 1st Edition Ordnance Survey.

Ditch 0001 was infilled with 0002, a firm mid grey, slightly orange, silt/sand clay with occasional chalk and charcoal flecks. This overlaid a thin deposit of pale grey/orange sandy clay with common charcoal flecks, 0005, that lay slumped over the sloping sides. A single piece of residual Roman CBM, together with five pieces of post-medieval roof-tile and a large iron fragment were collected from 0002.

Ditch 0003 was infilled with 0004, a mid orange/brown silty clay with intermittent chalk flecks and rare stones, from which two sherds of 12th-14th century medieval pottery were collected.

A third ditch, 0006, was seen crossing the southern part of Trench 05 on a SW-NE alignment. After the collection of two post-medieval CBM fragments from its surface (0007) this was left unexcavated as the ditch was also visible in Trench 07, here numbered as 0008, where a slot was excavated. Again the surface of the ditch was very hard to distinguish from the natural subsoil but seemed to be c.2m wide with moderate sloping sides. The base was not seen but was at least 1.2m below groundlevel. Its fill, a mid orange/brown clay/silt with occasional chalk flecks and stones, was numbered as 0006 in Trench 05 and 0009 in cut 0008. Finds recovered from 0009 consisted of residual Roman material, a single sherd of pottery and fragment of roof tile.

Figure 3. Trench 5, plan and sections

Figure 4. Trench 7, plan and section

Figure 5. Trench 9, plan and section

Andy Fawcett

6.1. Introduction

Table 1 shows the quantities of finds collected from the evaluation. The finds were retrieved from four ditch fills and one pit fill.

Context	Pott	ery	C	ВМ	Fire	d clay	Worked flint		Miscellaneous	Spotdate
	No	Wt/g	No	Wt/g	No	Wt/g	No	Wt/g		
0002 (Tr.5)			6	26					Iron 1 @ 1509g, A bone 3 @ 34g	Post-med
0004 (Tr.5)	2	25								L12th- 14th C
0007 (Tr.5)			2	13						?Post- med
0009 (Tr.6)	1	3	1	72						Roman
0011 (Tr.9)	169	379			6	11	2	18	Burnt flint 31 @ 63g, Crem bone 8g	5th-7th C
Total	172	407	9	111	6	11	2	18		

Table 1. Finds quantities

6.2. The Pottery

6.2.1 Introduction

As Table 1 indicates 172 sherds of pottery with a weight of 407g were recorded in three separate contexts in three different trenches. Four periods are represented, Roman, Anglo-Saxon, medieval and post-medieval. The condition of the pottery may be described as variable. The sherds from ditch fills 0004 and 0009 are abraded whereas those recorded in pit fill 0011, although fragmented, are in a good state of preservation.

6.2.2 Methodology

All of the pottery has been examined x20 vision and divided into fabric groups. Codes have been assigned to these groups using the SCCAS fabric series. All of the pottery has been recorded by sherd count, weight and EVE (estimated vessel equivalent).

6.2.3 Roman

A single abraded body sherd of Roman pottery was noted in ditch fill 0009 (Tr.6). This

is a grey micaceous ware (GMG) in a finer version of the fabric. It consists of abundant fairly well-sorted quartz alongside silver mica and common black iron ore. The fabric is long-lived in Suffolk and without the presence of a rim, the sherd can only be dated to the Roman period as whole.

6.2.4 Early Anglo-Saxon

Sue Anderson

Twenty-four sherds of pottery weighing 218g were collected during fieldwork from pit fill 0011 (Tr.9). The sherds appear to form part of a single vessel in a coarsely tempered reduced fabric which contains large lumps of granite and possibly schist. The vessel is incomplete and only a few sherds join, but the profile appears to be that of a shallow bowl or dish with a plain upright rim and flat base. The base diameter is c.120mm. The external surfaces are pale grey and crazed, suggesting that the vessel has been subjected to higher temperatures than would normally be expected in preliminary firing. However it is not vitrified and there are no traces of an internal deposit which might indicate its use as a metal crucible – whilst it may have been used for this purpose, there is no residue evidence which can be used to confirm it.

The use of coarse tempering does suggest that the vessel was intended to be subjected to higher than usual temperatures, as the inclusion of large pieces of stone can aid in preventing the vessel from cracking due to thermal shock.

The use of granite as a pottery temper is a wholly Early Anglo-Saxon practice in East Anglia, although in other parts of the country it was used in the prehistoric and later periods (particularly in Scotland, Cornwall and other areas with naturally occurring granite). It is debatable whether the granite was sourced from the local boulder clay, or whether the vessels themselves were traded from the Charnwood Forest area of Leicestershire, but the former appears more likely from recent work on other Early Anglo-Saxon assemblages. Assuming that the vessel is not an import, the fabric, if not the form, indicates a date in the 5th–7th centuries.

Pottery from Sample 1

A further 145 sherds (161g) of pottery were retrieved from Sample 1, after completion of

the pottery report above. Fifty-eight of these sherds (90g) belong to the same Early Anglo-Saxon vessel, with the assemblage including several more rim and base sherds. The remaining eighty-seven sherds (71g) belong to at least one other vessel. The condition of these pieces is very different to that of the granite-tempered pottery. They are very small and display varying degrees of abrasion. No diagnostic pieces such as rims or bases are present within the assemblage. It should also be noted that some of the smaller elements may well be fragments of fired clay, but nevertheless the larger sherds clearly demonstrate the presence of hand-made pottery in a medium sandy fabric (HMS). In the absence of any rim sherds, the fabric alone indicates either an Iron Age or Anglo-Saxon date. The granite-tempered fabric (present within the same context) is dated from the 5th to 7th century but in view of the condition and size of the hand-made sandy ware, an Iron Age date for this pottery cannot be completely ruled out.

6.2.5 Medieval

Two joining and slightly abraded body sherds of Hedingham ware were recorded in ditch fill 0004 (Tr.5). The sherds are covered in an olive green glaze and exhibit three ring and dot motifs. The fabric is grey with a thin orange interior. The mineral suite is dominated by dense quartz alongside, common pin size voids and sparse red iron rich ?clay pellets. The fabric is dated from the mid 12th to mid 13th century.

6.3. Ceramic building materials (CBM)

Fragments of CBM were noted in three contexts. Ditch fill 0002 (Tr.5) contained six small fragments (26g). Five of these are fragments of post-medieval roof tile in a medium sandy fabric with ferrous inclusions (msfe). The remaining piece is a very degraded fragment of Roman roof tile. It is oxidised with a thin grey core and is in a fine fabric with clay pellets (fscp). None of the surfaces are intact.

Two considerably abraded roof tile fragments were recorded in ditch fill 0007. They are both oxidised and in a medium sandy fabric (ms) one of which contains clay pellets (mscp). Their condition makes them difficult to date accurately, however both are possibly post-medieval.

A single fragment of Roman roof tile was retrieved from ditch fill 0009. The tile is bright

orange and has a thin grey core with pink margins. Its fabric is medium sandy with abundant clay pellets and smaller common black iron ore (mscp). The tile fragment has a depth of 14mm. A single abraded Roman pottery sherd is also present within the context.

6.4. Fired clay

All of the fired clay fragments were recorded in pit fill 0011, which is dated from the 5th to 7th century. The pieces are very small (with an average weight of 1.83g) and highly abraded. They are all medium sandy (ms), however one contains brown grog (msg) inclusions and two flint (msf). The fragments are too small and abraded to provide any information on their possible function.

6.5. Worked flint

Identified by Colin Pendleton

Two fragments of residual worked flint were recorded in pit fill 0010 (dated from the 5th to 7th century). The first flint is an unpatinated thin flake with limited edge retouch/use wear. It also exhibits parallel flake scars on the dorsal face and it is hard hammer struck. The second piece is also unpatinated and is a thin squat flake. It has a hinge fracture, parallel flake scars on the dorsal face and is hard hammer struck. Both of the flints are dated from the Late Neolithic to Bronze Age, but are possibly no later than the Early Bronze Age.

6.6. Burnt flint

A small quantity of burnt flint was retrieved from Sample 1, from pit fill 0011 (31 frags @ 63g). The colour of the flint ranges from orange to pink and red and is likely to represent a fire event of some kind, either natural or by human design.

6.7. Iron

A large fragment of an iron plough blade was recorded in ditch fill 0002. The iron is still in a reasonable state of preservation. The surfaces display only a thin layer of corrosion products, which indicates a relatively later post-medieval or modern date. Postmedieval roof tile is also present within the fill.

6.8. Faunal Remains

Two degraded cow molars were recorded in ditch fill 0002 (Tr.5). Other finds within the context are dated to the post-medieval period.

6.9. Cremated bone

A very small quantity of calcined bone was recovered from Sample 1 (pit fill 0011), which is likely to be cremated human bone. The bone will need to be formally identified and if there are fragments of an adequate size these will require radiocarbon dating at the next stage of analysis. Pottery dated from the 5th to 7th century is also present within the fill.

6.10. Plant macrofossils and other remains

Anna West

6.10.1 Introduction and methods

A single sample was taken for the evaluation of the content and preservation of the plant macrofossil assemblages from pit 0010 (context 0011).

Initially thirty litres of the forty litre sample were processed in order to assess the quality of preservation of plant remains and their potential to provide useful data as part of further archaeological investigations.

The sample was processed using manual water flotation/washover and the flots were collected in a 300 micron mesh sieve. The dried flots were scanned using a binocular microscope at x16 magnification and the presence of any plant remains or other artefacts were noted in Table 2. Identification of plant remains is with reference to A New Illustrated British Flora (Butcher 1961) and the author's own reference collection.

The non-floating residues were collected in a 1mm mesh sieve and sorted when dry. All artefacts/ecofacts were retained for further specialist analysis.

6.10.2 Quantification

For the purpose of this initial assessment, items such as seeds, cereal grains and small animal bones have been scanned and recorded qualitatively according to the following categories

= 1-10, ## = 11-50, ### = 51+ specimens

Items that cannot be easily quantified such as charcoal, magnetic residues and fragmented bone have been scored for abundance + = rare, ++ = moderate, +++ = abundant

6.10.3 Results

SS No	Ctxt No	Feature no	Feature type	Approximate date of deposit	Flot Contents
SS 1	0011	0010	Pit	5th – 7th Century	Charcoal ++, charred seeds # and un- charred weed seeds #, fragmented insect remains #, snail shells #

Table 2. Sample processing results

The presence of charred and uncharred seeds within this sample is very rare. The preservation of the seeds is by charring and is generally fair to poor. Charred weed seeds were very rare but consist of *Leguminosae (Fabaceae)* and *Gramineae (Poaceae)* species. Uncharred weed seeds were equally rare and consisted of *Caryophyllaceae* and *Chenopodiaceae* endocarps. Charcoal was frequent at 0-5 mm and common at 5-10mm. A high density of modern fibrous roots was also present in the flot material. No cereals or chaff were identified within this sample.

6.10.4 Conclusions and recommendations for further work

In general the quantity of plant macrofossils from this single sample are relatively low. However the presence of the 5th-7th century pottery from within this pit fill, especially in conjunction with the cremated bone recovered from the sample residue, makes further analysis of the plant macrofossils from this sample of interest. Charcoal is common in the sample and it may be possible in the future to obtain radiocarbon dates from charcoal for any deposits that remain undated.

If further excavation is planned, it is recommended that the remaining material from this evaluation is processed to include with any further samples taken during the excavation. It is recommended that additional plant macrofossil samples are taken from dated contexts during the excavation, particularly with a view to identify any industrial activity that may be suggested by the presence of a possible crucible type vessel in context 0011. It is recommended that any further samples taken along with the flot remains from the evaluation are processed and submitted to an archaeobotanist for full species identification and interpretation.

7. Discussion

John Craven and Andy Fawcett

The majority of the trenching showed a complete absence of archaeological deposits, implying the site lies beyond the edge of the settlement associated with the CHT 009/015 prehistoric enclosure, or the medieval settlement centered around St Martin's Church.

With ploughsoil directly overlying the clay natural subsoil there has clearly been some truncation, although the level of this is unclear, which may have removed any shallow archaeological deposits. However similar soil profiles at CHT 009 and CHT 015 sealed numerous shallow features of prehistoric date, indicating that the lack of features on the site is due to a genuine absence of activity. As a result the occasional deeper areas of modern disturbance caused by the County Farm buildings on the western side of the site are unlikely to have had any effect on archaeological deposits.

The few prehistoric and Roman finds all appear to be residual within later features. The Roman finds, which are in a poor state of preservation may have originated from the known areas of activity to the east.

The probable early Anglo-Saxon pit, 0010, is of particular interest. The ceramic vessel at least in terms of its fabric, is an unusual find. Its construction and subsequent heat-affected nature all suggest some form of industrial activity on this area of the site in the early Anglo-Saxon period and three of the four sample buckets taken from the pit fill, revealed a high level of charcoal within the feature. However a small quantity of cremated bone (which is possibly human), burnt flint as well as the fragmented remains of another vessel was also identified in the sample and complicate the interpretation of the pit. The condition of the second vessel and the very small quantity of bone may indicate the presence of a redeposited cremation urn but it is undated and could be early Anglo-Saxon, or contemporary with the CHT 009/015 Iron Age enclosure.

The pit, if early Anglo-Saxon, is an isolated feature, there being no evidence for contemporary activity in the wider area, and so questions concerning the reason for its presence and extent of any other contemporary deposits remain unexplained.

Ditches 0001 and 0003, allowing for a slight error margin in the plotting from aerial photographs which corresponds to the situation seen at CHT 009, clearly relate to the medieval CHT 010 trackway, and 0006/0008 the ditch extending south-west from it (Fig. 3). All of these ditches are assumed to have originated in the medieval period, although the medieval pottery is again in a state of poor preservation. Ditches 0001 and 0003 are shown on a 1597 survey of the Manor of Chilton (British Library Ref: Add MS 70953) which clearly shows the trackway running south-east to north-west from Grange Farm, past St Mary's Church and across to Waldringfield Road, while 0006/0008 also appears to be shown as a substantial boundary. All of these ditches probably remained in use into the post-medieval period and, although not shown on the 1st or 2nd Edition Ordnance survey maps, ditch 0001 at least may have been partially open into the modern period, as a boundary in roughly the same position is again shown on Ordnance Survey maps in the early 20th century (Thompson 2011).

8. Conclusions and recommendations for further work

Archaeological deposits have been identified at a relatively shallow depth, c.0.3m-0.4m below groundlevel, and it is thought that they will be heavily disturbed or destroyed by the proposed development.

However of the five features, four are ditches which clearly relate to the medieval/postmedieval track and field system which has already been identified. As the evaluation has confirmed the presence, exact position and date of these features no further work is thought necessary to mitigate the impact of development.

The final feature, pit 0010 in Trench 09 is of interest however and further work to clarify whether it is indeed an isolated feature or part of a hitherto unknown area of early Anglo-Saxon industrial activity is recommended, perhaps by a small-scale excavation or 'strip and map' exercise of a c.40m2 area centered upon it (Fig. 6) or perhaps as monitoring of groundworks for the site access roads in this area.

Several outstanding issues relating to pit fill 0011 will also need to be addressed at a further stage of analysis with a view to establishing its date and function. These include a more detailed investigation of the early Anglo-Saxon pottery, in terms of form, and a search for parallels in Anglo-Saxon and other pottery assemblages to identify the function of the vessel. It is also recommended that the vessel should be drawn and, if possible, further analysis of the vessel fabric should be undertaken through thin-sectioning or ICP-MS analysis to establish a likely source for the inclusions. Exact dating of the pottery using the new rehydroxylation method may also be of value when this service becomes commercially available.

The remaining sample bucket taken from pit fill 0011 needs to be processed, and any subsequent finds recorded and identified. Equally a more detailed analysis of the plant macrofossils will also need to be undertaken. A formal identification of the cremated bone, as well as radiocarbon dates from both the charcoal, and if possible the cremated bone, will also be required.

20

Figure 6. Proposed area for further excavation

9. Archive deposition

Paper and photographic archive: SCCAS Archive store, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk. Digital archive: SCCAS archive. Chilton parish folder. Finds Archive: SCCAS Archive store. Parish box H/80/1

10. Acknowledgements

The archaeological evaluation fieldwork was carried out by Rob Brooks, Simon Cass and John Craven. The post-excavation was managed by Richenda Goffin. Finds processing was carried out by Jonathan Van Jennians and environmental sample processing by Anna West. The specialist finds report was produced by Andy Fawcett with contributions from Sue Anderson (CFA Archaeology Ltd), Colin Pendleton (SCCAS/CT) and Anna West. The report was produced by John Craven and edited by Richenda Goffin.

11. Bibliography

- Abbott, C., 1996a 'County Farm, Chilton Desk-based Assessment'. SCCAS Report No. 96/23.
- Abbott, C., 1996b, 'A Preliminary Fieldwalking Survey at Chilton, near Sudbury'. SCCAS Report No. 96/3.
- Abbott, C., 1996c, *County Farm, Chilton Archaeological Evaluation (CHT 009).* SCCAS Report No. 96/63.
- Abbott, C., 1996d, *County Farm, Chilton Archaeological Evaluation II (CHT 009).* SCCAS Report No. 96/76.
- Abbott, C., 1998, County Farm, Chilton Archaeological Excavation (CHT 009). SCCAS Report No. 98/43.
- Butcher, R. W., 1961, A new illustrated British flora, Leonard Hill, London
- Craven, J. A., in prep, Plot 7, Churchfields Road, Chilton, CHT 015. SCCAS.
- Craven, J. A., 2009, Land at County Farm (East), Church Field Road, Chilton, Sudbury. SCCAS Report No. 2009/025.

Thompson, P., 2011, *Proposed Health Centre, Church Field Road, Chilton, Sudbury, Suffolk.* Archaeological Solutions Report No. 3814.

Appendix 2. Trench list

Trench Number	0		Geology	Topsoil Depth	Depth to Natural	Description	Summary
01	36m	NE-SW	Mid orange/brown clay.	0.3m- 0.4m	0.3m- 0.4m	Trench across area of former County Farm buildings. Mix of modern soil and intensive demolition rubble directly overlaid natural subsoil. Southern 8m disturbed to a greater depth and	None.
			-			modern deposits left in situ.	
02	40m	NE-SW	Mid	0.3m-	0.3m-	Ploughsoil directly over natural subsoil.	None.
			orange/brown clay.	0.4m	0.4m		
03	39m	NW-SE	Mid	0.4m-	0.4m-	Modern soil and demolition rubble directly over natural subsoil	None.
			orange/brown clay.	0.5m	0.5m	through majority of trench. In eastern 7m the modern material ends and subsoil rises to lie under 0.3m-0.4m of ploughsoil.	
04	47m	NW-SE	Mid	0.3m-	0.3m-	Western 25m heavily modern disturbance. Natural subsoil	None.
			orange/brown clay.	0.4m	0.4m	occasionally seen at 0.4m depth. In eastern 20m the subsoil lay under 0.3m-0.4m of ploughsoil.	
05	45m	NE-SW	Mid	0.3m-	0.3m-	Ploughsoil directly over natural subsoil apart from where crossed	Ditches 0001
			orange/brown clay.	0.4m	0.4m	by the three ditches, each of which appeared to widen considerably at top and may have merged into a general intermediate silt/clay layer.	0003 and 0006.
06	40m	NE-SW	Mid	0.3m-	0.3m-	Modern topsoil over natural subsoil, mixed with demolition rubble	None.
			orange/brown clay.	0.4m	0.4m	in northern 4m.	
07	40m	NW-SE	Mid	0.3m-	0.3m-	Ploughsoil directly over natural subsoil.	Ditch 0008
			orange/brown clay.	0.4m	0.4m		
08	41m	NW-SE	Mid orange/brown	0.3m	0.3m	Ploughsoil directly over natural subsoil.	None.
09	40m	NE-SW	clay. Mid	0.3m	0.3m	Disuspecial directly over petural subseil	Pit 0010
09	4011	INE-SVV	orange/brown clay.	0.311	0.311	Ploughsoil directly over natural subsoil.	Pil 0010
10	22m	NW-SE	Mid orange/brown clay.	0.3m	0.3m	Ploughsoil directly over natural subsoil.	None.

Appendix 3. Context List

Context No	Feature Number	Trench	Feature Type	Description	Length	Width	Depth	Interpretation	Period
0001	0001	05	Ditch cut	Linear ditch, aligned SE-NW. Moderate concave sides and base.		2.85m	0.75m		
0002	0001	05	Ditch fill	Mid slightly orange grey silt/sand clay. Firm with occasional chalk and charcoal flecks. Upper fill.				Main ditch fill, disturbed by two field drains.	P/Med
0003	0003	05	Ditch cut			3.5m+	1.2m+	Part of trackway with 0001?	
0004	0003	05	Ditch fill	Mid orange/brown silty clay with intermittent chalk flecks and rare stones.					Med- P/Med
0005	0001	05	Ditch cut	Pale grey/orange sandy clay. Firm with common charcoal flecks. Basal fill.					
0006	0006	05	Ditch cut	Ditch aligned SW-NE.		1.6m		Same as 0008.	
0007	0006	05	Ditch fill	Fill of ditch 0006. Surface finds collected?					P/Med
8000	0008	07	Ditch cut	Ditch, aligned SW-NE. Moderate sloping sides, base not seen.		1.8m	0.4m+	Same as 0006.	
0009	8000	07	Ditch fill						P/Med
0010	0010	09	Pit cut	Oval pit, aligned N-S. Vertical side to south, north side possibly disturbed or irregular/stepped. Irregular base.	0.67m	0.34m	0.26m	Possibly a posthole but irregular base and burnt fill suggests a pit. Possibly disturbed on northern edge.	
0011	0010	09	Pit fill	Mid/dark brown/grey firm clay, becoming darker/black at base. Abundant charcoal especially towards base. Occasional CBM/burnt clay, rare chalk nodules and flecks.					Early A/S



Archaeological services Field Projects Team

Delivering a full range of archaeological services

- Desk-based assessments and advice
- Site investigation
- Outreach and educational resources
- Historic Building Recording
- Environmental processing
- Finds analysis and photography
- Graphics design and illustration

Contact:

Rhodri Gardner Tel: 01473 581743 Fax: 01473 288221 rhodri.gardner@suffolk.gov.uk www.suffolk.gov.uk/Environment/Archaeology/