ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONITORING REPORT

ELDORET, CRESPIGNY ROAD, ALDEBURGH (SMR ref. ADB 098)

A REPORT ON THE MONITORING OF GROUNDWORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN EXTENSION

(Application Nos. C/06/2338/FUL)

Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service Report No. 2007/220 (OASIS Ref. suffolkc1-35213)

Summary: Archaeological monitoring of groundwork associated with the construction of an extension to Eldoret', Crespigny Road, Aldeburgh (NGR; TM 4640 5624), was undertaken during November and December 2007. Footings for the new extension were examined but other than a post-medieval rubbish pit only undisturbed natural subsoil was seen. The natural subsoil comprised yellow silty sand over pale orange to yellow clay and lay at a depth of 0.2m beneath the existing surface. This monitoring event is recorded on the Sites and Monuments Record under the reference ADB 098. The archaeological monitoring was undertaken by the Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service, Field Projects Team, who were commissioned by contractors, Property Aid Limited, and funded by the owners, Mr and Mrs Wolton.




Figure 1: Location Plan

(c) Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Suffolk County Council. Licence No. 100023395 2007

Introduction

Archaeological monitoring of groundwork associated with the construction of an extension to 'Eldoret', Crespigny Street, Aldeburgh, was undertaken during November and December 2007. Although there are no known archaeological sites within the development area interest in the site is due to its location within the area of the late medieval town of Aldeburgh.

The extension is to be constructed on strip foundations that would involve significant ground disturbance with the potential to reveal and damage any archaeological deposits or features that may be present. Consequently an archaeological condition was placed upon the planning consent to allow for archaeological monitoring of the work in order to provide a record of any archaeological features or deposits that may be revealed. To detail the archaeological work required a Brief and Specification was produced by Mr K. Wade of the Suffolk County Council Conservation Team (see Appendix).

The National Grid Reference for the approximate centre of the site is TM 4640 5624 (for a location plan see figure 1); the site lies at a height of approximately 5m OD. This monitoring event is recorded on the Sites and Monuments Record under the reference ADB 098. It is also recorded on the OASIS, online database under the reference; suffolkc1-35213. The archaeological monitoring was undertaken by the Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service, Field Projects Team, who were commissioned by the contractors, Property Aid Limited, and funded by the owners, Mr and Mrs Wolton.

Methodology

Visits were made to inspect the groundworks once they underway. Any open excavations present during the site visit were examined for cut features archaeological deposits. Any revealed soil profiles were recorded, with the depths and thickness of any layers identified noted. A small number of digital photographs were also taken. The surfaces of any spoil tips present on site during the monitoring visits were examined for archaeological artefacts.

Results

The site was visited on 22nd November 2007 when the footprint of the new extension was being lowered (see figure 2 for location of the new extension). This revealed the natural subsoil at a depth of *c*. 0.2m below the present ground surface. No archaeological features or




Figure 2: Monitoring Details
(c) Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Suffolk County Council.
Licence No. 100023395 2007

deposits were identified although only about half of the footprint had been excavated. The site was visited again on the 3^{rd} December 2007 when the excavation of the footings was near complete These had been cut to a depth of c. Im deep through c. 0.2 of topsoil and into the underlying natural subsoil which comprised clean pale orange and yellow clay. A small pit was located along the footing for the western wall of the new extension (Plate I). The finds comprised fragments of brick and tile and glazed pottery of a 19^{th} century



Plate I: Pit as seen in Footing Trench

appearance. At the very base of the pit a large number of animal bones relating to a large mammal such as a cow were present. No earlier interventions into the natural subsoil were evident. The spoil, which was stored in adjacent skips, was quickly examined but no significant finds were recovered.

The monitoring archive from this project will be deposited at the Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service offices in Bury St Edmunds under the reference ADB 098.

Conclusion

No significant archaeological deposits or features were noted within the excavated footings and no significant artefacts of any period were recovered. The pit containing late debris and animal bone rubbish is likely to be of a late post-medieval date. No evidence for medieval activity was noted.

Mark Sommers 4th December 2007 Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service, Field Projects Team

APPENDIX

SUFFOLK COUNTY COUNCIL ARCHAEOLOGICAL SERVICE - CONSERVATION TEAM

Brief and Specification for Archaeological Monitoring

ELDORET, CRESPIGNY ROAD, ALDEBURGH

1. Background

- 1.1 Planning permission to develop Eldoret, Crespigny Road, Aldeburgh, has been granted conditional upon an acceptable programme of archaeological work being carried out (C/06/2338/FUL). Assessment of the available archaeological evidence and the proposed foundation methods indicates that the area affected by new building can be adequately recorded by archaeological monitoring.
- 1.2 The proposal lies within the late medieval town of Aldeburgh and will involve significant ground disturbance.
- 1.3 As strip foundations are proposed there will only be limited damage to any archaeological deposits, which can be recorded by a trained archaeologist during excavation of the trenches by the building contractor.
- 1.4 Before any archaeological site work can commence it is the responsibility of the developer to provide the archaeological contractor with either the contaminated land report for the site or a written statement that there is no contamination. The developer should be aware that investigative sampling to test for contamination is likely to have an impact on any archaeological deposit which exists; proposals for sampling should be discussed with this office before execution.

2. **Brief for Archaeological Monitoring**

- 2.1 To provide a record of archaeological deposits which would be damaged or removed by any development [including services and landscaping] permitted by the current planning consent.
- 2.2 The main academic objective will centre upon the potential of this development to produce evidence for the late medieval occupation of the site.
- 2.3 The significant archaeologically damaging activity in this proposal is the excavation of building footing trenches. These, and the upcast soil, are to be observed during and after they have been excavated by the building contractor.

3. **Arrangements for Monitoring**

- 3.1 The developer or his archaeologist will give the County Archaeologist (Keith Wade, Archaeological Service, Shire Hall, Bury St Edmunds IP33 2AR. Telephone: 01284 352440; Fax: 01284 352443) 48 hours notice of the commencement of site works.
- 3.2 To carry out the monitoring work the developer will appoint an archaeologist (the observing archaeologist) who must be approved by the Planning Authority's archaeological adviser (the Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service).
- 3.3 Allowance must be made to cover archaeological costs incurred in monitoring the development works by the contract archaeologist. The size of the contingency should be estimated by the approved archaeological contractor, based upon the outline works in paragraph 2.3 of the Brief and Specification and the building contractor's programme of works and timetable.
- 3.4 If unexpected remains are encountered, the County Archaeologist should be immediately informed so that any amendments deemed necessary to this specification to ensure adequate provision for recording, can be made without delay. This could include the need for archaeological excavation of parts of the site which would otherwise be damaged or destroyed.

4. **Specification**

- 4.1 The developer shall afford access at all reasonable times to both the County Archaeologist and the 'observing archaeologist' to allow archaeological observation of building and engineering operations which disturb the ground.
- 4.2 Opportunity should be given to the 'observing archaeologist' to hand excavate any discrete archaeological features which appear during earth moving operations, retrieve finds and make measured records as necessary.
- 4.3 In the case of footing trenches unimpeded access at the rate of one and half hours per 10 metres of trench must be allowed for archaeological recording before concreting or building begin. Where it is necessary to see archaeological detail one of the soil faces is to be trowelled clean.
- 4.4 All archaeological features exposed should be planned at a minimum scale of 1:50 on a plan showing the proposed layout of the development.
- 4.5 All contexts should be numbered and finds recorded by context as far as possible.
- 4.6 The data recording methods and conventions used must be consistent with, and approved by, the County Sites and Monuments Record.
- 4.7 Archaeological contexts should, where possible, be sampled for palaeoenvironmental remains. Best practice should allow for sampling of interpretable and datable archaeological deposits and provision should be made for this. Advice on the appropriateness of the proposed strategies will be sought from J Heathcote, English Heritage Regional Adviser for Archaeological Science (East of England). A guide to sampling archaeological deposits (Murphy, P L and Wiltshire, P E J, 1994, *A guide to sampling archaeological deposits for environmental analysis*) is available for viewing from SCCAS.
- 4.8 Developers should be aware of the possibility of human burials being found. If this eventuality occurs they must comply with the provisions of Section 25 of the Burial Act 1857; and the archaeologist should be informed by 'Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England' (English Heritage & the Church of England 2005) which includes sensible baseline standards which are likely to apply whatever the location, age or denomination of a burial.

5. **Report Requirements**

- An archive of all records and finds is to be prepared consistent with the principles of *Management of Archaeological Projects (MAP2)*, particularly Appendix 3. This must be deposited with the County Sites and Monuments Record within 3 months of the completion of work. It will then become publicly accessible.
- 5.2 Finds must be appropriately conserved and stored in accordance with *UK Institute of Conservators Guidelines*. The finds, as an indissoluble part of the site archive, should be deposited with the County SMR if the landowner can be persuaded to agree to this. If this is not possible for all or any part of the finds archive, then provision must be made for additional recording (e.g. photography, illustration, analysis) as appropriate.
- A report on the fieldwork and archive, consistent with the principles of *MAP2*, particularly Appendix 4, must be provided. The report must summarise the methodology employed, the stratigraphic sequence, and give a period by period description of the contexts recorded, and an inventory of finds. The objective account of the archaeological evidence must be clearly distinguished from its interpretation. The Report must include a discussion and an assessment of the archaeological evidence. Its conclusions must include a clear statement of the archaeological value of the results, and their significance in the context of the Regional Research Framework (*East Anglian Archaeology*, Occasional Papers 3 & 8, 1997 and 2000).

- 5.4 A summary report, in the established format, suitable for inclusion in the annual 'Archaeology in Suffolk' section of the *Proceedings of the Suffolk Institute of Archaeology*, should be prepared and included in the project report.
- 5.5 County Sites and Monuments Record sheets should be completed, as per the county SMR manual, for all sites where archaeological finds and/or features are located.
- At the start of work (immediately before fieldwork commences) an OASIS online record http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/project/oasis/ must be initiated and key fields completed on Details, Location and Creators forms.
- 5.7 All parts of the OASIS online form must be completed for submission to the SMR. This should include an uploaded .pdf version of the entire report (a paper copy should also be included with the archive).

Specification by: Keith Wade

Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service Conservation Team Environment and Transport Department Shire Hall Bury St Edmunds Suffolk IP33 2AR

Date: 25 October 2007 Reference: /Eldoret, Crespigny Road

This brief and specification remains valid for 12 months from the above date. If work is not carried out in full within that time this document will lapse; the authority should be notified and a revised brief and specification may be issued.

If the work defined by this brief forms a part of a programme of archaeological work required by a Planning Condition, the results must be considered by the Conservation Team of the Archaeological Service of Suffolk County Council, who have the responsibility for advising the appropriate Planning Authority.