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## Summary

The recording of a footing running across thelgarden of No. 5 Honey Hill exposed the remains of at least two building extensions. The Latesteomprised a mortar and rubble foundation for sill beams that is dated from the late 18th century, over an earlier floor of clay. At least two pits predating the clay floor were identified but not excavated.

## Introduction

The conversion of 5 Honey Hill from a public building to a private house (planning application SE/07/1791) was subject to an archaeological monitoring condition set out in a Brief and Specification issued by Robert Carr (Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service, Conservation Team). 5 Honey Hill was a well built property, substantial elements of which date from the late medieval period. It occupies land that falls between the suggested site of an AngloSaxon market at St Mary's/Square and the South gate of the Abbey onto which it faced. The siteil lies at 37 mOD

## Methodology

The main potential for archaeological evidence was in the yard at the back of the site. dyoposals for this qrea included the construction of a conservatory. This required a footing trench to be excavatedyenst-west across the width of the plot. Other work included the hand digging of existing drains, general refurbishment and the removal of topsoil. Seyeral shoft visits were made atone site and the conservatory footing trench section was hand cleated and a 1:20 drawing of thesection recorded (figs.1-2). Digital images were also made.

## Results

Approximately 0.4 m of topsoil in the yard area was removed by machine before building work commenced. No archaeological features were exposed. Drain runs were hand excavated on similar alignments to existing runs and no archaeological layers were disturbed. The footing for the conservatory was excavated partly by hand and partly by mini-digger to a depth of 0.7 m and was 0.3 m wide ( 1.1 m below the pre-existing ground surface). © there were no datable finds. The section was hand cleaned and drawn (Fig.2).


Figure 1. Site location plan

## Section 1

(Fig. 2)
The trench was contained between a garden wall to the east and the foundations of the Manor House to the west. Described from the east the section comprised a brown loam 0007 that overlay a darker loam 0005 which contained oyster shells (despite hand cleaning no pottery could be identified). This (deegosit was in a deeper cut that could be identified where the naturan subsoil of orange silfandsand was exposed. Although only one edge was visible it is likely to be a pit. Cut into theitop of the pit was a wall foundation built of flint and orange mortar ypith occasional bricks 0002 . A brick fragment was measured and was 2.5 inches wide suggesting that it was latelr thleentury or later. A similar foundation 4 m to the west indicates the width of a building or probably a gable end extension to the standing building fronting ontenthe street. This extension probably replaced an earlier building which was identified by clay floor 0003 Confirmation that the clay represents a building floor could only haye Geen provided by examining it in plan; the interpretation is therefore offered as the mostrel y explanation for its app foundation at c .4 .4 m , it was also aligned further to the west so that there is a gap between the clay and the foundation to the east, but the foundation cut the clay to the west thus establishing the sequence. Below the upper layer of clay was a burnt clay surface 0004 with a further deeper layer of clay concentrated towards the eastern end that is also labelled 0003.This layer continues below the base of the trench and is evidence of a feature that was not investigated. The centre of the clay area was cut away by a modern pit. A further modern disturbance associated with services to the standing building extended for 3 m . At the westend of the trench a brown loam


soil 0007 gverlay a darker loam 0006 which was contained within a cut into the datuat subsoil belows the treich. This is interpreted as a probable pit fill. Both these layers dyerecoit by the foundatiofis to The Manor House at the western side of the property.

Eithes
Noffinds were recovered from the site. Oyster shells were noted in fill 0006 but not collected. Surfaces were hand cleaned although the trench was not excavated under direct archaeological supervision. The builders had collected several bottle and bone fragments during the excavation.

## General discussion

The most significant finds from the monitoring were the remains of at least two building phases represented by clay floors 0003 and 0004 and flint and mortar foundations 0002 . No evidence of walling was recovered from either phase; the straight edge onthe eastern side of clay 0003 may indicate where it was contained by a ground beam. Wall plates erobably rested on foundations 0002 which protected them from rising damp but no floor syirvives. The street pattern of Bury St Edmunds has changed little since the 12th century with Honey Hill, formerly known as 'Scolehallstrete', in the same place (Statham 88 ). Fogindations 0002 almost certainly indicate an extension to the extant building. The clay flooredextension is poorly dated and could have been from an earlier building but is just as likey telhave come from an early phase of the standing building. This deposit may representseveral phases of activity; the clay was in places more than 0.4 m deep and the likelihood is that further features are represented. Pits 0005 and 0006 remain undated but the former is likely to be medieval given its stratigraphic position beneath the building extensions.
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