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## Summary

The evaluation and subsequent soil strip undertaken on land adjacent to Beech House, Exning during the construction of a car park identified several ditches and a small number of pits and postholes. A layer of Roman tiles was partially exposed towards the centre of the site. Finds included pottery and a large collection of coins (that were recovered through metal detecting) both of which date from the late 3rd to 4th centuries. The combined evidence leads to the suggestion that buildings were occupied on the site during this period and that rubbish also accumulated.

## 1. Introduction

An archaeological evaluation and subsequent monitoring of the soil strip were undertaken prior to the development of a car parking area on land adjacent to Beech House Hospital, Fordham Road, Exning (TL 6323 6645) (Fig. 1). The work was carried out between 5th and 21st May 2007 in accordance with a Brief and Specification (Appendix 1) issued by Dr. Jess Tipper (Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service (SCCAS), Conservation Team) (Planning Application F/2006/0141/FUL). The work was funded by the developer, The Exning Estate Co.

Following the evaluation, it was clear that archaeological deposits relating to Roman occupation survived within the development area with some possible prehistoric features also present. It was therefore decided that a close monitoring of the soil strip should take place over the footprint of the car park.

## 2. The excavation

### 2.1 Site location

The development area was located immediately west of the A142 (Newmarket to Fordham road) on the northern outskirts of Newmarket and lies in the north-east corner of a field to the south of the Beeches Hospital complex.

### 2.2 Geology and topography

The underlying geology comprises first and second river terrace gravels with alluvium, overlying middle chalk (BGS 1974)

The development area covered 5,264 sq $m$ and lay on slightly sloping land at approximately 20 m AOD. Prior to development, the area was under arable cultivation and was bounded on the north and east side by mature trees.


Figure 1. Site location plan

### 2.3 Archaeological and historical background

Suffolk's Historic Environment Record (HER) holds details of a small number of archaeological sites and remains in and around Exning and thus indicates that the area under development lies in an area of archaeological importance (Fig. 2). Immediately to the south, for example, aerial photographic survey has identified the cropmark of a ring ditch (EXG 077), indicating the presence of a possible prehistoric burial site. In addition, the remains of a Roman field system have been defined immediately to the north, as well as a medieval finds scatter (EXG 033).

Previous archaeological interventions in the area include an excavation on the site of the former isolation hospital (EXG 074), which identified the presence of predominantly Roman remains, perhaps settlement (Sommers 1998). Evidence for prehistoric activity included Iron Age pottery sherds, an Iceni pattern horse-type coin, and Bronze Age flint and pottery. Post-medieval remains were also identified.

Due to the close proximity of EXG 074 it was considered very likely that more Roman remains would be present in the development area and as a result, the work would add to the existing knowledge of Roman settlement and land division in the area. Prehistoric remains were also considered likely to be present.

## 3. Methodology

The Brief required that a 5\% sample of the development area should be subject to trial trenching, which amounted to four trenches with a total length of 140m (Fig. 2). Each trench was located on north-west to south- east alignment within the development area so as to avoid the raised garden areas (not subject to evaluation). Mechanical excavation was carried out by a wheeled JCB-type machine, fitted with a 1.8 m wide toothless ditching bucket, and supervised by an experienced archaeologist. Overburden, comprising topsoil, subsoil and colluvium deposits, was removed sequentially, until the natural chalk horizon was encountered.

Upcast spoil from each trench was examined and where finds densities differed within the trenches separate context numbers were issued. A non-ferrous metal-detecting survey was undertaken of the spoil and disturbed areas.


Figure 2. Location of stated HER entries near Beech House


Following the evaluation it was decided with the archaeological curator that the site should be recorded to the level of the surface strip necessary for the construction of the carpark. The surface strip was carried out using a 7-tonne 360 tracked excavator fitted with a 1.2 m wide toothless ditching bucket and this was used by the main contractor to strip the area to a depth of 0.3 m (the level required for the construction work on the car park). Archaeologists were constantly present to metal detect and record exposed features where they appeared.. Archaeological features visible at this depth were handexcavated and recorded. In addition, Trench 4 was extended by $31 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( 3.5 m by 9 m ) roughly midway along the south edge to clarify the nature and extent of previously identified features.

It was unclear during the stripping whether the lower layer which was masking the site was plough soil or colluvium but as it was producing surface finds it was divided into six areas (matching the design of the car park) to enable finds distribution mapping and a metal detecting survey to be carried out (Fig. 4).

Throughout both phases of work, all archaeological features were recorded using a single-context continuous numbering system and all trenches, excavation areas, individual features and sections were planned with the TST. Features were also individually planned and sections were drawn at a scale of 1:20. Trenches were planned (where archaeology was visible) at 1:100. Digital colour photographs were taken throughout all stages of the fieldwork and bulk environmental soil samples were taken from selected contexts (see Plant macrofossils and other remains, below).

A digital copy of the report has been submitted online to the Archaeological Data Service: http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/catalogue/library/greylit


Figure 3. Site plan, showing the location of evaluation trenches
(see figs $5 \& 6$ for individual trench plans)


Figure 4. Coin distribution

## 4. Results

### 4.1 Introduction

The features identified during both phases of work comprised a series of eleven ditches, two pits and four postholes, a layer and a spread of CBM (Fig. 4). No archaeological features were found in Trench 3.

The natural horizon (0085) was encountered at a depth of between 0.3 m and 0.5 m over most of the site. It was composed of degraded chalk mixed with pale yellow silt/sand. There was a layer of brown colluvium, 0082, over most of the site that was deepest at the west and south-west corner that was up to 0.3 m thick and concealed Roman features. These included ditches and a concentration of Roman tile that are discussed below. Overlying the silt was subsoil 0081 (0011), mid brown sandy silt, which contained late $3 \mathrm{rd} / 4$ th century pottery, animal bone and stone. The uppermost deposit was topsoil (0080), mid brown sandy silt, which was up to 0.2 m thick. Finds from this layer were collected under context 0001 and 0010 and comprised pottery, CBM, flint, burnt stone/flint, animal bone, oyster shell, stone and an iron nail. The pottery was of mixed date, ranging from 2nd century to late 3rd/4th century.

## Ditches

Ditch 0018 was identified in Trench 4 only (Figs. 5 and 6). It was oriented north-east to south-west and terminated just before ditch 0020 (see below) and formed an approximate right angle with it. It was 1 m wide by 0.8 m deep with gently sloping sides and a flat base and contained single fill 0019, mid brown sandy silt. Finds recovered included six sherds of late $3 r d / 4$ th century pottery and animal bone.

Ditch 0079 (Fig.5) was located at the south-east end of Trench 4 only and was aligned approximately north to south. It had straight sides and a flat base and contained fill

0016, mid brown silty sand from which nine sherds of late 3rd/4th century pottery, CBM, flint, animal bone and slag were recovered.

Ditch 0020 (Fig. 5) was identified in the extension to Trench 4 and for 10 m to 15 m beyond it and was aligned approximately north-west to south-east. It was 1.7 m wide by
0.2 m deep with a flat base and contained single fill 0022, mid greyish brown silt. Seven sherds of late 3rd/4th century pottery, some CBM and animal bone were recovered.

Ditch 0027 (Fig. 5) ran parallel with ditch 0020 but was only visible for a distance of 8 m on the south edge of the extension to Trench 4. It was 0.4 m wide by 0.4 m deep with fairly steep sides and a flat base and contained single fill 0028, light orange brown sandy silt. No finds were recovered.

Ditch 0029 (Fig. 6) was also parallel with ditch 0020, but was located 2.1 m to the southwest and had a slight curve in plan. It was 0.9 m wide by 0.5 m deep with straight sides and a slightly concave base and contained single fill 0030, mid brown silt. A single sherd of late 3rd/4th century pottery was recovered.

Ditch 0036 was seen between Trench 3 and Trench 4 and was aligned north-east to south-west with a slight curvilinear shape in plan. A terminus was visible at the southwest end. The ditch was 1.1 m wide by 0.6 m deep with gently sloping sides breaking to a slightly concave base and contained single fill 0037, dark brown silt. Twenty sherds of late 3 rd/4th century pottery, CBM, flint and animal bone were recovered.

Ditch 0050 (Fig. 6) was only 3 m long and had the same alignment as ditch 0036. It was located roughly equidistant between Trenches 2 and 3 and terminated amongst a small group of postholes (see below and Fig. 5). It was 0.65 m wide by 0.5 m deep with a ushape profile and contained single fill 0051, mixed mid brown silt, from which ten sherds of late 3rd/4th century and 4th century pottery, CBM, flint, animal bone, oyster shell and an iron nail were recovered.

Ditch 0061 (Fig. 5) was aligned north-north-east to south-south-west and was located near the east side of the stripped area. It was 1.4 m wide by 0.55 m deep with a ushaped profile and was seen to contain one fill along its length. At the northern end of the ditch, the fill was a dark grey/brown silty sand (0017 and 0039), becoming dark brown silt at the southern end (0062). The fill produced a wide range of finds, including pottery of late $3 \mathrm{rd} / 4$ th century date, CBM, animal bone, burnt flint/stone, fired clay and slag.

Ditch 0057 (Fig. 6) was aligned north-west to south-east and had a steep-sided ushaped profile and could be seen extending from the south-east corner of the site towards the middle of the stripped area, where it became indistinct. In total four slots were excavated, including one at the east terminus. There was a noticeable difference in depth along the length of the ditch, from 0.4 m at the west end to only 0.25 m at the terminus. At each intervention the fill was mid or dark orange brown silt and the feature as a whole contained the largest assemblage of late 3rd/4th century pottery. CBM, flint, animal bone, oyster shell and a small fragment of lava quern were also recovered.

Ditch 0004 (Fig. 5) was curvilinear in plan and seen in Trench 1; its terminus (0069) was located in a machine-reduced area 6.1 m to the south. It was up to 1.7 m wide by up to 0.66 m deep and contained two fills at the northern end. The lower fill, 0013 (and 0070), was mid brown silty sand, overlain by 0005, dark grey silty sand. Only lower fill 0070 was present at the terminus. Pottery recovered from both fills suggests a late 3rd/4th century date. Other recovered finds included, CBM, burnt flint/stone, animal bone, oyster shell and stone. A small iron object was also recovered.

Ditch 0071 was only visible over a very short section at the south end of site. It was aligned south-west to north-east and was 0.25 m wide by 0.15 m deep. It had a flatbased, u-shape profile and contained single fill 0072, mid orange brown sandy silt. Two sherds of pottery were recovered, dating the feature to the late 3rd/4th century.

## Pits

Pit 0021 was located at the north end of site in Trench 4. Although its edges were indistinct, it was approximately 1.7 m wide by 0.22 mm deep and had a very shallow profile. It contained single fill 0023, dark grey silt from which late 3rd/4th century pottery, CBM and animal bone was recovered.

Pit 0031 (Fig. 6) was located in the north-west corner of the development area at the west end of, and cut by ditch 0029 (see above). It was 1 m wide by 0.4 m deep and had a flat-based u-shape profile. It contained single fill 0032, mid brown silt, from which CBM, flint, burnt flint/stone, animal bone and oyster shell were recoveredSThe single sherd of pottery recovered could be only broadly dated to the Roman period.

## Postholes

Posthole 0008 (Figs. 5 and 6) was located in Trench 2 (Fig. 6), partially beyond the limit of the trench at its north-west end. It was 0.3 m wide by 0.1 m deep, with a tapering $u$ shaped profile and contained single fill 0009, mid brown sandy silt. No finds were recovered.

Posthole 0033 (Fig. 6) was identified at the base of pit 0031 (although the relationship between the two was uncertain) and was 0.5 m wide by 0.17 m deep. It had an even, $u$ shape profile and contained single fill 0034, mid brown silt, from which no finds were recovered.

Posthole 0048 was located at the south end of ditch 0050, below a chalk-filled hollow (see below). It was 0.45 m wide by 0.25 m deep and was square-shaped with vertical sides and a flat base. Single fill 0049 comprised dark brown silt from which Roman pottery, CBM, burnt flint/stone and animal bone were recovered.

Posthole 0054 was located immediately to the west of 0048 and was similar in nature to the chalk-filled hollow (see below), but appears to have been a more isolated dump of material. It was quite irregularin plan, measuring 0.5 m wide by 0.1 m deep, with gently sloping sides and a flat base. The single fill, 0055, comprised angular chalk lumps in a mid brown silt matrix from which no finds were recovered.

## Miscellaneous

A deposit of Roman tile with chalk (0056) (Fig. 5, Plate 1) was located c. 2.5 m west of posthole 0054. It was identified protruding through colluvial layer 0082. A small box measuring 0.5 m by 0.8 m was dug by trowel into the silt and the archaeology was recorded but the limits of the deposit were not established. The tile was found in association with flint, animal bone and oyster shell.

Feature 0002, located in Trench 1, was originally recorded as a pit, but the fill (0003) had a mixed nature and fairly uneven sides is also characteristic of a tree bole (Fig. 4). Despite this, six sherds of late 3rd/4th century pottery, flint, burnt stone/flint and animal bone were recovered.


Plate 1. Roman tile deposit

Feature 0006 was recorded as a possible posthole but due to the similarity of the fill to the surrounding natural, is most likely to have been a geological anomaly or root intrusion.

Features 0042, 0044 and 0046 (Fig. 6, section 41) were originally recorded as three possible post pads made of chalk at the south-west end of ditch 0050. Three sherds of Roman pottery were recovered with animal bone and a very small amount of wall plaster.
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Figure 4. Sections

## 5. The finds evidence

## Cathy Tester

Table 1 shows the quantities of finds collected during the excavation. A full quantification by context is included as Appendix 3.

| Find type | No. | Wt/g |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Pottery | 447 | 7305 |
| CBM | 183 | 23617 |
| Mortar/plaster | 3 | 15 |
| Fired clay | 14 | 120 |
| Lava quern | 1 | 40 |
| Stone | 3 | 2381 |
| Worked flint | 37 | 1112 |
| Burnt flint/stone | 15 | 1787 |
| Slag | 9 | 195 |
| Glass | 1 | 2 |
| Clay pipe | 1 | 2 |
| Copper alloy | 123 | 198 |
| Iron | 6 | 114 |
| Lead | 4 | 88 |
| Silver | 1 | - |
| Animal bone | 280 | 6626 |
| Oyster | 24 | 460 |
| Mussel | 1 | 4 |

Table 1. Finds quantities.

### 5.1 Pottery

A total of 447 sherds of pottery weighing $7,305 \mathrm{~g}$ was collected from 36 contexts during the excavation. The majority of the pottery is Roman, but a few sherds of prehistoric and post-Roman pottery were also found. The quantities by period are summarised in Table 2 and a full catalogue by context is in Appendix 4.

## Methodology

The pottery was quantified by count, weight and estimated vessel equivalent (Eve). Hand-made prehistoric wares were divided into broad fabric groups defined by their main visible inclusions. Roman and post-Roman fabric codes were assigned from the Suffolk Roman and post-Roman fabric series. A x10 binocular microscope was used to identify the fabrics. Details of fabric, form and form element were recorded and decoration and surface treatment were also noted. Each 'sherd family' was given a separate entry on the database table and an individual spotdate when possible. Roman wares were classified using the 'Pakenham' type series (unpublished) which is standard for all SCCAS excavations but is supplemented when necessary by Going's (1987) typology for Chelmsford. SCCAS pottery recording forms were used and the data has been inputted into Access database.

| Period | N0 | Wt/g |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Prehistoric | 8 | 189 |
| Roman | 435 | 7071 |
| Medieval | 1 | 8 |
| Post-medieval | 3 | 37 |
| Total | 447 | 7305 |

Table 2. Pottery quantities by ceramic period

## Prehistoric pottery

Eight sherds of hand-made prehistoric pottery weighing 189g were recovered from six contexts, four of which were unstratified. All are undecorated non-diagnostic bodysherds, occurring singly and redeposited with later-dated finds. Quantities by fabric type are shown below.

| Fabric name | Code | No | Wt/g |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hand-made flint tempered | HMF | 1 | 8 |
| Hand-made grog tempered | HMG | 1 | 14 |
| Hand-made sand tempered | HMS | 4 | 102 |
| Hand-made sand/organic tempered | HMSO | 2 | 65 |
| Total |  | 8 | 189 |

Table 3. Prehistoric pottery fabric quantities

Four broad fabric types were identified, one flint, one grog and two sand-tempered. The grog-tempered sherd is probably Bronze Age but cannot be closely dated. One flinttempered and six sand-tempered sherds are probably Iron Age but not closely datable. Sandy fabrics are highly characteristic of later Iron Age assemblages in East Anglia from around the 5th century BC onwards. Sand and organic tempered fabric HMSO is a type also found within the later Iron Age assemblage from West Stow (West 1990, 60).

## Roman pottery

A total of 435 sherds of wheel-made Roman pottery weighing $7,071 \mathrm{~g}$ and with an estimated vessel equivalent (Eve) of 10.20 based on 80 measureable rims was collected from 35 contexts which include ten unstratified and two surface collections. Nineteen fabrics or fabric groups were identified and the assemblage is dominated by local and regional coarsewares but also includes a high proportion of provincially-traded Iate specialist wares. The pottery quantities by fabric category are shown below.

| Fabric name | Fabric | No | $\%$ No | Wt/g | \% Wt | Eve $\%$ Eve |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Central Gaulish samian (Lezoux) | SACG | 1 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.2 |  | 0 |
| Total imported wares |  | 1 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Pakenham colour-coated wares | PKC | 2 | 0.5 | 48 | 0.7 |  | 4.9 |
| Unspecified colour-coated wares | UCC | 11 | 2.5 | 144 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| Total local and regional finewares |  | 13 | 3.0 | 192 | 5 | 2.7 | 5.0 |
| Black-surfaced wares | BSW | 88 | 20.2 | 1313 | 18.6 | 12.5 | 12.3 |
| Miscellaneous buff wares | BUF | 2 | 0.5 | 14 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Colchester buff ware mortaria | COLBM | 2 | 0.5 | 59 | 0.8 |  |  |
| Grey micaceous wares black-surfaced | GMB | 27 | 6.2 | 544 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 4.4 |
| Grey micaceous wares grey-surfaced | GMG | 1 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Miscellaneous sandy grey wares | GX | 108 | 24.8 | 1332 | 18.8 | 17.8 | 17.5 |
| Horningsea grey wares | HOG | 3 | 0.7 | 64 | 0.9 |  |  |
| Horningsea grey wares (black-surfaced variant) | HOGB | 25 | 5.7 | 844 | 11.9 | 4.1 | 4.0 |
| Miscellaneous red coarse wares | RX | 5 | 1.1 | 74 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Storage jar fabrics | STOR | 3 | 0.7 | 158 | 2.2 |  |  |
| Total local and regional coarsewares |  | 264 | 60.7 | 4418 | 62.5 | 38.9 | 38.1 |
| Hadham red wares | HAX | 54 | 12.4 | 625 | 8.8 | 13.4 | 13.1 |
| Late shell-tempered wares | LSH | 76 | 17.5 | 1208 | 17.1 | 29.8 | 29.2 |
| Nene Valley colour-coated wares | NVC | 21 | 4.8 | 433 | 6.1 | 12.3 | 12.1 |
| Nene Valley colour-coated mortaria | NVCM | 3 | 0.7 | 85 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Oxfordshire red colour-coated | OXRC | 2 | 0.5 | 35 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Oxford white ware mortaria | OXWM | $1: 10.2$ | 61 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 |  |
| Total late specialist wares |  | 157 | 36.1 | 2447 | 34.6 | 58.1 | 57.0 |
| Total Roman pottery |  |  | 435 | 100.0 | 7071 | 100.0 | 10.20 |

Table 4. Roman pottery quantities by fabric category

## Imported wares

A very battered body sherd from a Central Gaulish samian (SACG) Dr 37 decorated bowl was recovered from layer 0015 in Trench 4. It dates from the Hadrianic or Antonine period and is the only imported piece in the Roman pottery assemblage.

## Local and regional wares

Local or regional finewares are sparse in this collection. Eleven sherds of unspecified colour-coated wares (UCC) include a plain grooved beaker and other less diagnostic beaker sherds, one with over-slip barbotine decoration. A fragment of Pakenham colour-coated ware (PKC) was also identified.

Coarsewares, mainly of unknown but presumed local or regional origin, make up $60.7 \%$ of the count, $62.5 \%$ of the weight and $38.1 \%$ of the assemblage Eves and are characterised by several broad greyware groups which are typically dominant in rural assemblages in this part of the county.

Black-surfaced wares (BSW) account for $20.2 \%$ of the count, $18.6 \%$ of the weight and $12.3 \%$ Eves and are the second largest fabric group present. Forms identified include dishes, bowls and jars which date from the 2nd century and later. The most diagnostic
pieces are BB1/BB2-style straight-sided dishes which local coarseware industries copied and produced from the 2nd century onwards. The dish forms identified are from the chronological sequence which dominates later assemblages, type 6.19 which are mid 2nd through 4th century and flanged rim type 6.17 which is late 3rd or 4th century.

Micaceous wares in the grey (GMG) and black-surfaced (GMB) variants account for $6.4 \%$ of the count, $8 \%$ weight and $4.4 \%$ of the assemblage Eves. Only one GMG form was identified, a BB1/BB2 flanged rim dish type 6.17. GMB forms identified are all BB1/BB2 style dishes, type 6.19.1 with upright wall and plain rim, 6.19 .2 with a plain rim and flaring side and 6.19 .4 with a beaded/grooved rim. One uncertain jar rim and non diagnostic bodysherds are also present.

Miscellaneous grey coarsewares (GX) which make up $24.8 \%$ of the count, $18.8 \%$ of the weight and $17.5 \%$ of the Eves are the largest single fabric group. Forms identified are dishes and jars. Most diagnostic are BB1/BB2 style dishes, type 6.19 which is mid 2nd century or later and flanged rim type 6.17 , late 3 rd or 4 th century. Uncertain jars with rim diameters ranging between 140 mm and 240 mm are not closely datable. One narrow mouthed jar is present.

Horningsea wares in the standard (HOG) and black-surfaced (HOGB) variant account for $6.5 \%$ of the count, $12.8 \%$ weight and $11.9 \%$ Eves. One HOG storage jar with distinctive combed bands on the interior surface and two non-diagnostic bodysherds are present but HOGB is more common. HOGB forms include a dish, six everted rim jars with rim diameters ranging from 220 mm to 260 mm , one an Evans (1991) type 17-23 with a band of wavy incised lines on its shoulder, and sherds from at least three other large storage jars.

Other coarseware fabric groups are minor elements of the assemblage. Three miscellaneous storage jar (STOR) sherds are present and the rest of the sherds are oxidised. Oxidised fabrics include two Colchester buffware mortaria (COLBM) sherds, one base and one bodysherd, two miscellaneous buff ware (BUF) sherds, one from a flagon, the other non-diagnostic and three miscellaneous red coarseware (RX) sherds, also non-diagnostic.

## Late specialist wares

Provincially-traded late specialist wares which characterise the late and latest Roman period form a very large proportion of the Roman pottery assemblage. They account for $36.1 \%$ of the count, $34.6 \%$ of the weight and $57 \%$ of the assemblage Eves.

Fifty-four sherds (625g) of Hadham red wares (HAX) from the Much Hadham area in Hertfortshire are represented by dishes, bowls and jars. Dish forms identified include two plain-rimmed straight-sided dishes type 6.19.1, one small (diameter 140 mm ) and one larger (diameter 180mm). Two Going type B10 dishes which are similar to samian form Curle 15 and a flanged bowl copying samian type $\operatorname{Dr} 38$ are present. Two Going type E2 small bowl-jars, one with impressed and grooved decoration and two necked jars with rim diameters of 160 mm and 200 mm were also found.

Twenty-one sherds (433g) of Nene Valley colour-coated wares (NVC) in a wide range of tableware forms which include flagons, beakers, jars, bowls and dishes are represented. An uncertain flagon, two beakers, one with over-slip barbotine decoration and an uncertain jar were identified. A flanged samian type $\operatorname{Dr} 38$ bowl and a 'Castor box' base are also present. Dishes include an upright plain-rimmed type 6.19.1, a flanged type 6.17 and curved sided with everted rim type 6.15.

Two Nene Valley colour-coated mortaria (NVCM) with reeded rims were also found.

The Oxfordshire red-brown slipped ware (OXRC) includes a Young (1971) Type C. 71 'Full-bellied' bowl with a double bead rim and an undiagnostic jar base. A single sherd from an Oxfordshire white ware mortarium (OXWM) sherd was also found.

The largest group are Late shell-tempered wares (LSH ) from possible South and East Midlands or East Anglian sources. They account for 17\% of the total count and weight and almost a third of the assemblage Eves. Forms identified include four narrow mouthed jars (120-130mm diameter), round-bodied jars type 4.5 and less diagnostic jars with rim diameters which range from 140 mm to 220 mm , plus two large thick storage jars, one with a rim diameter of 300 mm . A possible dish form was also present.

## Post-Roman pottery

A single non-diagnostic sherd ( 8 g ) of medieval coarseware (MCW) of probable12th to 14th century date was collected from the fill of ditch 0038 (0039) in excavated segment 0040.

Three post-medieval redware sherds were recovered from three contexts. A fragment of Glazed red earthenware (GRE) of 16th to 18th century date was unstratified in Area 1 (0073). A dish rim identified as Werra ware (WERR), a 17th century German redware, was unstratified in Area 3 (0075) and a fragment of post-medieval redware (PMRW) was unstratified in Trench 1 (0001).

### 5.2 Ceramic building material (CBM) and fired clay Richenda Goffin and Cathy Tester

## Introduction and methodology

A total of 183 fragments of Roman CBM weighing 23,617g was collected from 30 contexts. The CBM was quantified by count and weight by context, and fabric and form type were recorded. General fabrics were assigned from the Suffolk CBM fabric types which are based on the coarseness of the matrix and by the main inclusions. The presence of mortar, burning, sooting, combing or other features was also recorded.

Table 5 summarises the quantities (count) by fabric and form and a full quantification by context is included as Appendix 5.

| Fabric | Code | BOX | IMB | RBT | TEG | WT | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coarse sand and flint | csf |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Fine sandy, few other inclusions | fs |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| Fine sandy, with calcareous pieces | fsc |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Fine sándy, with clay pellets | fscp |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| Fine sandy with flint | fsf | 5 | 4 | 17 | 6 |  | 32 |
| Fine sandy with ferrous inclusions | fsfe | 1 | 1 | 21 | 4 | 27 |  |
| Medium sandy, few other inclusions | ms | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 |  | 10 |
| Medium sandy with calcareous pieces | msc |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| Medium sandy with clay pellets | mscp |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 |
| Medium sandy with flint | msf | 4 | 5 | 53 | 13 | 1 | 76 |
| Medium sandy with ferrous inclusions | msfe | 6 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 21 |
| Total forms present |  | 18 | 15 | 119 | 29 | 2 | 183 |

Table 5. Roman CBM quantities (count) by fabric and form

## The assemblage

The form types present are box flue tiles (BOX), imbrices (IMB) tegulae (TEG) and wall tiles (WT), but the largest number consisted of Roman tile of uncertain form (RBT).

Eleven basic fabrics were identified but the majority of the tiles were in fine or medium sandy clay fabrics with flint (fsf or msf) or with ferrous (fsfe msfe) inclusions. A high proportion of the pieces have reduced cores. Twenty pieces had mortar adhering, usually on the underside, but three of them had mortar on broken edges suggesting possible re-use. Thirty-three fragments were burnt but only one had sooting and 60 pieces were abraded or laminated.

Eighteen fragments of box flue tile (BOX) including a hollow voissoir with tapered edges were identified. Five pieces have keying, four combed and one possibly roller-stamped. One piece has a circular vent hole. Only one piece is certainly burnt. A further five pieces classified as RBT are possible box flue tiles.

Roofing tiles, tegulae and imbrices are relatively common. Twenty-nine tegula (TEG) fragments were identified, six of which have cut-aways or the beginnings of cut-aways. No signatures were observed on any of the pieces. Three fragments had mortar adhering. Eight are burnt and many are abraded. Sixteen imbrex fragments were identified. One piece had a full measureable span of 140 mm . Six pieces had mortar on the underside and two were burnt.A further three pieces classified as RBT were noted as possible imbrex tile.

Two wall tiles (WT) with a tapering holes which did not completely perforate, were also found.

## Deposition

Table 6 shows the distribution of CBM by features types

| Feature type | No. | \% No. | Wt./g | \% Wt |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ditches | 51 | 27.9 | 6003 | 25.4 |
| Layers and spreads | 45 | 24.6 | 4419 | 18.7 |
| Pits | 4 | 2.2 | 87 | 0.4 |
| Posthole | 1 | 0.5 | 198 | 0.8 |
| Surface and unstratified | 82 | 44.8 | 12910 | 54.7 |
| Total | 183 | 100.0 | 23617 | 100.0 |

Table 6. CBM distribution by feature type

Nearly half of the CBM came from ditches and layers or spreads but the majority of the tiles by count and weight came from surface and unstratified collections. The majority of the CBM is from contexts with associated late 3rd or 4th century finds. There is little
evidence of later occupation and it seems likely that it represents the final clearance of a substantialbuilding in the late Roman period.

## Roman wall plaster

Richenda Goffin

One fragment of painted wall plaster ( 3 g ) was recovered from the fill of post-pad 0046 (0047) in Area 3. The piece has a sandy lime mortar arriccio c. 8mm in depth with moderate crushed brick and tile up to 10 mm in length. There is no discernable intonaco layer, but a rough upper surface which is coloured with plain red ochre. This is possibly the second phase of a wall decoration, as it has such a shallow mortar layer

Two fragments $(12 \mathrm{~g})$ of the mortar layer only, 16 mm in depth, and no plaster surface as such, were recovered from an unstratified/surface collection (0076) in Area 4. The fragments are abraded and both have a sandy lime-rich arriccio with occasional orange and buff fragments of crushed brick and tile up to 3 mm .

Small fragments of wall plaster and opus signinum were also found at EXG074 in association with later Roman finds (Tester 1998).

## Fired clay

Fourteen fragments of fired clay (120g) were found in three contexts, all with associated late Roman finds. Seven non-diagnostic fragments (78g) from pit 0002 (0003) in Trench 1 have a coarse grey sandy fabric with chalk inclusions. Five fragments $(34 \mathrm{~g})$ from ditch 0061 (0017) in Trench 2, all part of a single larger fragment in a fine dense matrix, pinkish buff with few other inclusions, have finger marks on one surface and are probably the remains of daub. Two small abraded fragments ( 8 g ) were unstratified in Area 4 (0076).

### 5.3 Miscellaneous

## Querns

A fragment $(1,321 \mathrm{~g})$ of millstone grit quernstone of probable Roman date came from the lower fill of ditch 0004 (0013) in Trench 1. It has a full measureable thickness of 50 mm , has radial grooves on the grinding surface and the non-grinding surface is pecked. A second fragment of millstone grit ( 307 g ) with a thickness of 39 mm , a smooth grinding surface and a pecked non-grinding surface, was unstratified in Trench 1 (0001).

A very worn and abraded fragment of lava stone quern (40g) of probable Roman date was a surface find in the area above ditch 0057 in Area 5.

A flat quern topstone fragment $(753 \mathrm{~g})$ made of oolitic limestone has a thickness of 34 mm at the outer edge and 29 mm towards the centre. The non-grinding surface is 'harp-dressed' and the grinding surface is worn smooth. It was found in the subsoil layer (0011) in Trench 2. The piece is burnt and battered and could be Roman or later.

## Worked flint

Colin Pendleton

Thirty-seven fragments of struck flint $(1,112 \mathrm{~g})$ were recovered from 19 contexts. The majority ( 30 pieces) are from nine unstratified contexts in evaluation Trenches 1, 2 and 4, excavation Areas 2-6 and a surface collection. Nine pieces were from nine excavated features, five ditches and a gully, two pits and a spread. All of the flint is residual in later-dated features.

The flint was recorded by type and other comments about appearance, condition and technology were noted. The flint is mid to dark grey and cortex, where present is usually in an off-white colour. All but two pieces are unpatinated. The flint is summarised by type in Table 7 and listed by context in Appendix 6.

| Type | Number |
| :--- | ---: |
| Single platform core | 1 |
| Multi platform core | 5 |
| Shatter | 3 |
| Flake | 9 |
| Spall | 1 |
| Scraper | 1 |
| Rod | 1 |
| Axe | 1 |
| Retouched flake | 8 |
| Notched flake | 1 |
| Retouched blade-like flake | 1 |
| Retouched or utilised flake | 4 |
| walling fragment | 1 |
| Total | 37 |

Table 7. Summary of flint type

Overall, there are only two pieces that are early, a Mesolithic tranchet axe (0001) and one other patinated piece, a blade-like flake (0078) that is likely to be Neolithic or earlier. Otherwise, the majority of the assemblage is all later prehistoric, unpatinated
and consistently exhibiting the features of poor workmanship which characterise later prehistoric assemblages. The assemblage as a whole could belong to a single phase of mid to late Bronze Age or even Iron Age date. Some pottery of contemporary date, one Bronze Age and seven probable Iron Age sherds, was also redeposited in eight of the contexts that contained struck flint.

## Burnt flint and stone

Twelve fragments of burnt flint 'pot boiler' weighing 568 g were collected from nine contexts, three of which were unstratified. The material is blue-grey to white and fire crackled and is often an indication of prehistoric activity, but like the other prehistoric finds from this site, occurs both singly and as dispersed fragments across the site in later-dated contexts.

Three fragments of burnt sandstone weighing 1,219g were collected from three contexts, the lower fill of ditch 0004 (0013) in Trench 1, layer 0015 in Trench 4 and from the fill of posthole 0048 (0049).

## Slag

Nine fragments of slag weighing 195 g were collected from three excavated contexts in Trench 4, five pieces $(134 \mathrm{~g})$ from layer 0015 , three pieces $(55 \mathrm{~g})$ from the fill of ditch 0079 (0016) and one small piece ( 6 g ) from the fill of ditch 0018 (0019). All of the fragments are non-diagnostic but iron-rich suggesting that they are related to ironworking activity. The material is undatable but associated finds have late 3rd or 4th century dates.

## Glass

A fragment of post-medieval window glass (2g) was collected from ditch 0050 (0051) in Area 3.

## Clay tobacco pipe

A fragment of clay tobacco pipe of post-medieval date was unstratified in Area 3 (0075).

### 5.4 Small finds and metalwork

## Introduction

In total, 136 items were recorded as small finds and a further four objects were iron nails. Most of the small finds were recovered by metal detecting the spoil from machined trenches and topsoil stripping, and only a few were found within stratified contexts. The datable items include Roman, Saxon, medieval and post-medieval material, but the majority of the dated pieces are Roman. The most frequent category of finds were coins, 111 found, 109 of which were Roman. The coins were identified by Alan Smith with additional identifications and discussion by Jude Plouviez. The non-coin copper alloy and non-nail iron artefacts have been x-rayed and the plates are kept in the archive. The small finds quantities by material and period are summarised in the table below and the full list is in Appendix 7.

| Material | ROM | SAX | MED PMED | UNK | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bone | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Copper alloy | 116 |  | $e^{1}$ | 3 | 3 | 123 |
| Iron |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Lead |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 4 |
| Silver |  | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 136 |
| Total number of SF | 117 | 2 |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Small finds and metalwork quantities (count) by material and period
(Key: ROM = Roman, SAX = Saxon, MED = medieval, PMED = post-medieval, UNK = unknown)

## Coins

Jude Plouviez and Alan Smith

## Roman

In total, 109 Roman coins were recovered, of which 98 could be allocated to a 'coin period' as defined by Richard Reece (Reece 1991). The unidentified pieces are probably all from the same late 3rd and 4th century range. All the coins are copper alloy, most show some wear and there is a variable amount of corrosion. Distribution by Reece period is shown in Table 9 and Figure 3 and the full coin list is in Appendix 8 .

| Reece period | No. | \% No. | Date range |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 14 | 4 | 4.1 | $275-296$ |
| 15 | 0 | 0.0 | $296-317$ |
| 16 | 3 | 3.1 | $318-330$ |
| 17 | 68 | 69.4 | $330-348$ |
| 18 | 16 | 16.3 | $348-364$ |
| 19 | 7 | 7.1 | $364-378$ |
| Total | 98 | 100.0 |  |
| Table 9. Coin period summary |  |  |  |

Although the coins have a total date range of issue from the 270's to the 370's there is a strong predominance of mid 4th century issues, particularly Reece period 17 (330-348) as shown in the table, and the period 17 total is almost $70 \%$ of the assemblage. Although this is always a common loss period in both British and local Suffolk assemblages, the peak is normally below $40 \%$.
The 4th century mints represented are a normal range of western mints (Trier, Lyons, Arles) plus one example from Thessaloniki. This is a Constantinian commemorative coin (VOT X MVLT XX) of the period 320-324, a type already noted as a relatively common Thessaloniki arrival in Britain (Moorhead, PAS online guidance notes, 2009).

The strong bias to Reece period 17 might suggest a scattered purse or other hoard of coins of the period. It is equally likely that this sample, from a limited area of a large settlement site represents a short period of activity associated with coin use and loss. Prior to 260-270, coin use could be restricted to relatively high status settlements. However the low level of late 3rd century coins here suggests a potential lack of activity until the early 4th century. The majority of the coins must derive from deposits of mid 4th century date, with a rapid decline inactivity after the 360's. The low level of Valentinian and later coins (Reece periods 19 to 21), contrasts with the pattern for many other sites in the north-west of Suffolk where a high level of late activity is common.

## Other Roman finds

Other Roman small finds include copper alloy bracelets: one plain (SF1105), one decorated with punched ring and dot (SF1062) and a decorated strip, possibly a bracelet (SF1036). A bone pin (SF1012) and a copper alloy buckle or strap plate (SF1002) are probably later Roman (l. Riddler pers.comm). A long handled spoon, either a toilet or medical instrument (SF1102), and a pair of plain tweezers (SF1021) were also found.

## Post-Roman

Anglo-Saxon finds include an Evison (1987) Type 1 whittle-tang knife (SF1090) which is not closely datable, 5th to 7th century and a later Saxon or early medieval knife with straight back and asymmetrical tang (SF1084).

Medieval finds include a silver short cross halfpenny of John or Henry III, 1204-1242AD (SF1059) and a 13th or 14th century lead personal seal matrix (SF1050).

Post-medieval finds include a medallion commemorating Admiral Vernon's victory at the Battle of Porto Bello 1739 (SF1013) and a coin of George III, a spade guinea dated 1798 (SF1017). An iron buckle (SF1114), a scale-tang knife (SF1081) and a pewter spoon (SF1135) were also found.

Items of unknown date include a cylindrical bone knife handle which may be Roman or later (SF1134), a copper alloy bead (SF1068) and fragments of lead working waste (SF1043 and SF1085).

## Iron nails

Six iron nails all with round heads and square shanks were collected from six contexts, two of them were recorded as small finds (SF1075 and SF1097) and a further four nails were recorded with the bulk finds. Three of them came from ditches with associated later Roman finds and are probably Roman:

## 6. The environmental evidence

### 6.1 Animal bone <br> Michael Feider

## Introduction

A total of 280 fragments of animal bone weighing $6,626 \mathrm{~g}$ was recovered from 28 contexts. Twenty-one contexts were from excavated features, most of them ditches and gullies, with a very small number recovered from pits, postholes and layers. Seven contexts were unstratified or from surface collection. The overall preservation was fairly good, with nearly $40 \%$ of the identifiable remains representing over half of the whole bone, but most of the fragments were root marked, often quite heavily. This may mask other taphonomic processes such as butchery or gnawing. Only eleven bones showed signs of gnawing.

## Methodology

All bones were recorded to species and element, where possible, using the zoning system developed by Dobney and Rielly (1988). To speed recording, little attempt was made to identify long bones lacking articular surfaces or other key diagnostic features.

Notes were made of any taphonomic effects, butchery marks, pathologies, and ageing information. Tooth wear for cow, sheep/goat, and pigs was recorded using Grant (1982). No measurements of the bones were taken, as this was such a small assemblage. A summary of this information by context is available in Appendix 9 and a complete catalogue is in the digital archive.

## Results

The species present are summarised in Table 10.

| Species | NISP | Butchered |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cattle | 41 | 13 |
| Sheep/goat | 36 | 3 |
| Sheep | 1 | 0 |
| Horse | 7 | 1 |
| Pig | 2 | 0 |
| Dog/wolf | 1 | 0 |
| Woodcock? | 1 | 0 |
| Large mammal | 130 | 3 |
| Medium mammal | 31 | 0 |
| Mammal | 29 | 0 |
| Total | 279 | 20 |

Table 10. List of species present
(NISP = Number of Identified Specimens.)

Cattle forms the highest proportion of the identified fragments, followed closely by sheep/goat, with one fragment of skull identifiable as sheep. The number of mandibles recovered suggests that sheep outnumbered cattle on the site, but minimum number of individuals (MNI) counts are of little use in such a small assemblage. All areas of the body are represented for these species, although there appears to be a slight underrepresentation of the major meat-bearing elements, namely the femur and humerus. This may simply reflect the number of unidentifiable shaft fragments rather than any real bias, however. Only two fragments of pig were recovered, both of them from the head of the animal. Horse was also moderately well-represented and likely accounts for some of the larger unidentifiable fragments. The canid metatarsal was quite large and could come from either a wolf or a large dog. One bird bone, provisionally identified as woodcock, was recorded.

## Butchery

The heavy root marking on this site may have eliminated many signs of butchery, such as fine cut marks and the sharp edges of heavier chops that split the bone. However, a few marks were recorded, most of them on cattle remains, as shown in Table 10. Marks on the long bones are typical of skinning and disarticulation. A few features typical of

Roman butchery were noted, most notably scrape marks on a cow femur and scapula. The scapula also appeared to have a hole through the blade, a common sign of the hanging of shoulder joints by Roman butchers, possibly for salting or smoking. Scrape marks were also noted along the ventral edge of a cow mandible.

Butchery marks were also found on several vertebrae of cow/horse and sheep/goat. One of them had cut marks associated with the removal of meat from the transverse process. All had been chopped into, breaking the spine into smaller sections possibly to make it fit more easily into a pot for stock-making.

A chop mark on a horse radius suggests that these animals may have been used for food once their useful working lives were over.

## Ageing

One cow mandible gave a mandible wear stage (MWS) of 34, suggesting a young adult animal. Epiphyseal fusion data also shows a number of young adult to fully mature animals, with only a single porous metatarsal showing juvenile animals on site.

Sheep/goat tooth wear data gave a wide range of ages, from 6 months to 10 years old, but many of these bones came from unstratified contexts. More secure contexts gave MWS scores of 15 (approximately 6-12 months old) and 36 (3-4 years old). An unfused metacarpal showed neonatal or perinatal animals on site.

The one incomplete pig mandible in the assemblage gave an estimated MWS score of 26-29, suggesting an animal less than two years old.

Horse teeth from several contexts, although not scored, were very worn, often nearly to the roots, and suggest an animal nearing the end of its natural life.

## Pathology

A cow atlas from ditch 0079 (0016) appeared to have some bone growth surrounding a slight depression on the interior of the cranial joint surface. Ditch 0057 (0058) had another cow atlas with a similar, but more advanced lesion, with a small channel leading to the edge of the bone to allow drainage. More bone growth near the articulations
suggests further joint trauma and was found on an unstratified (0001) cervical vertebra. This type of injury may be related to the use of the animal for traction.

The bone had resorped from the gum line of a sheep/goat mandible from the fill of ditch 0057 in segment 0065 (0059), with a marked thickening of the bone below this. A similar condition was noted in a mandible from unstratified context 0001. This sort of lesion can result from gum or tooth infections.

A cattle metacarpal, unstratified in Area 4 (0076) showed some bone deformation and osteophytic activity on the lateral surface of the shaft. This could indicate some minor damage to the bone or could be traction-related.

## Discussion

It is difficult to determine much about a site from such a small assemblage, but a few points can be made. The mix of elements suggests that these remains were not any sort of specialist dump and that many of them may have been redeposited from other parts of the site. The gnawing and signs of weathering on some bones offers some support to this idea, but the deposits may also have been left partially exposed after deposition. There is not enough ageing data available to discuss the husbandry regime, but there appears to be a wide age range for cattle and sheep/goat, suggesting they were kept on or near the site.

Associated finds suggest a later Roman date for the site, and some features of this assemblage, most notably the small proportion of pig and the relative lack of heavy butchery common in urban and military sites of the era, are more typical of rural assemblages. Such remains may have been disposed of elsewhere, and heavy root marking could have removed much of the butchery evidence, but this may indicate that this was a small, possibly poor, site that mostly took care of its own needs from its herds of cattle and sheep. The pierced scapula may represent salted meat brought to the site from a nearby urban butcher, and the marks on it are somewhat less intense than those normally found on such bones, as might be expected from a more casual consumer.

### 6.2 Shell

Twenty-four oyster shell fragments weighing 460 g were found in thirteen contexts which included six ditch fills, a pit, a layer and a spread as well as three unstratified and one surface collection. The shell is in good condition but there are no concentrations.

A mussel shell ( 4 g ) was unstratified (0001).

### 6.3 Plant macrofossils and other remains

## Val Fryer

## Introduction and methodology

Samples for the retrieval of the plant macrofossil assemblages were taken from two fills within ditch 0004 of probable Roman date and submitted for assessment.

The samples were processed by manual water flotation/washover and the flots were collected in a 300 micron mesh sieve. The dried flots were scanned under a binocular microscope at magnifications up to $\times 16$ and the plant macrofossils and other remains noted are listed on Table 11. Nomenclature within the table follows Stace (1997). With the exception of a single mineral replaced seed, all plant remains were charred. Modern contaminants including fibrous roots, seeds and arthropod remains were present within both assemblages.

## Results

Cereal grains, chaff and seeds of common weeds were present at a low to moderate density within both assemblages. Preservation was poor to moderate, with a high density of the cereal grains being severely puffed and distorted, probably as a result of combustion at very high temperatures.

Barley (Hordeum sp.) and wheat (Triticum sp.) grains were recorded, along with a moderate density of grains, which were too poorly preserved for accurate identification. Wheat grains occurred most frequently, and spelt wheat ( $T$. spelta) glume bases were present in both assemblages. Weed seeds were rare, but those noted were all of common segetal taxa including black bindweed (Fallopia convolvulus), corn gromwell (Lithospermum arvense) and dock (Rumex sp.). A single onion-couch (Arrhenatherum sp.) tuber was also recorded from Sample 0005. A sedge (Carex sp.) nutlet from

Sample 0013 was the sole wetland plant macrofossil, and the same assemblage also contained a small fragment of hazel (Corylus avellana) nutshell. Charcoal/charred wood fragments were present within both assemblages along with indeterminate culm nodes and small pieces of charred root or stem.

| Context No. | 0005 | 0013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cereals |  |  |
| Hordeum sp. (grains) | x | x |
| Triticum sp. (grains) | X | X |
| (glume bases) | X |  |
| (spikelet bases) |  | x |
| (rachis internodes) | x |  |
| T. spelta L. (glume bases) | X | X |
| Cereal indet. (grains) | xx | xX |
| Herbs |  |  |
| Arrhenatherum sp. (tuber) | X |  |
| Bromus sp. | xcf |  |
| Fabaceae indet. | x |  |
| Fallopia convolvulus (L.)A.Love | , | X |
| Lithospermum arvense L. | x |  |
| Rumex sp. | X |  |
| Wetland plants |  |  |
| Carex sp. |  | X |
| Tree/shrub macrofossils |  |  |
| Corylus avellana L. |  | X |
| Other plant macrofossils |  |  |
| Charcoal <2mm | xx | xx |
| Charcoal $>2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | XX | XX |
| Charred root/stem | X |  |
| Indet.culm nodes | X | x |
| Indet.seeds | X | xm |
| Other remains |  |  |
| Black porous 'cokey' material | XX | XxX |
| Black tarry material | XX | X |
| Bone | X | X |
| Small coal frags. | X | XX |
| Small mammal/amphibian bone | X | XX |
| Vitreous material |  | X |
| Sample volume (litres) | 20 | 20 |
| Volume of flot (litres) | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| \% flot sorted | 100\% | 100\% |

Table 11. Plant macrofossils and other remains
Key: $x=1-10$ specimens, $x x=11-50$ specimens, $x x x=50+$ specimens. $c f=$ compare, $m=$ mineral replaced

The fragments of black porous and tarry material, which were common within both assemblages, were probable residues of the combustion of organic remains (including cereal grains) at extremely high temperatures. Other remains were scarce, but did include fragments of bone (including small mammal and amphibian bones) and small pieces of coal.

## Conclusions and recommendations for further work

Although the assemblages are small, their composition is consistent with material derived from hearth waste, probably from a domestic context where cereal grains were accidentally spilled during culinary preparation. Weed seeds are present, but it is of note that the majority are large and of a similar size to the grains, and would probably have persisted alongside the cereal after winnowing. The presence of such material within the ditch fills probably indicates that, during this period of the site's utilisation, small quantities of refuse were being discarded within any available open feature.

### 6.4 Discussion of the finds and environmental evidence

The evaluation produced a large group of finds from 36 contexts which represent activity on this site mainly during the Roman period and a limited amount of activity during the Prehistoric and post-Roman periods.

The earliest finds from the site are Mesolithic or Neolithic worked flints. Later prehistoric pottery and worked flint were also present in small quantities and together with a small amount of burnt flint were dispersed across the site in later-dated contexts. They represent low level activity, part of a 'background scatter' of prehistoric material which is typically recovered from most sites in this part of the county.

The majority of the finds from the site date to the later Roman period suggesting that occupation was intensive during the 4th century. This is particularly evident in the coin assemblage where the majority of the coins date to the mid 4th century while a relative lack of late 3rd century coins suggests sparse activity in the late 3rd century. The pottery is very typical of late Roman assemblages and includes a high proportion of provincially-traded specialist wares which characterise this period.

The Roman CBM assemblage includes roofing and heating tiles which suggest the clearance of a substantial building nearby, as does the wall plaster.

The animal bone assemblage was mainly found in association with later Roman-dated material and is probably Roman as well. Preservation is fairly good and the bone is typical of a rural assemblage with nothing amongst the species and elements present to suggest that it represents anything more than domestic refuse. The environmental
samples produced small macrofossil assemblages which are consistent with material from domestic hearth waste.

Previous work at nearby EXG 074 (Sommers, 1998) produced a finds assemblage which was smaller overall, but which included two distinct phases of activity, one early and one late. The finds from the early phase had a significant Late Iron Age-early Roman element, a silver Iceni coin and a high proportion of 'Belgic' grog-tempered pottery which belong to the first half of the 1st century AD. The later phase consisted of late 3rd or 4th century Roman materials (Tester 1998) similar to those found at EXG 083. There is no early phase present in the EXG 083 pottery assemblage. However, the two assemblages are only from small areas of a much larger settlement at Exning, the extent of which may be revealed by future archaeological investigation.

Almost all of the post-Roman finds came from the topsoil or unstratified and surface collections and do not represent intense activity. They are very few and almost certainly reached the site through casual loss or low levelactivity such as manuring.

Post-Roman material includes an Early Saxon (5th-7th century) knife and a Late Saxon or early medieval knife. Medieval finds include a single sherd of coarseware pottery, a silver coin and a lead seal matrix. A few sherds of post-medieval pottery, window glass, clay tobacco pipe and metalwork were also found.

## 7. Discussion and conclusion

A discussion on the evidence from this site should first be qualified by acknowledging the limited extent of the work. The site was not fully excavated with a layer of colluvium over much of the area; however, the work has shown that important archaeological remains lie beneath the car park. From the evaluation and monitoring it is possible to hypothesise the presence of at least two Late Roman rectilinear enclosures, lying on an approximate north-east to south-west alignment, formed by ditches 0020, 0057 and 0061. If this is so, the other ditches identified may have formed additional parts to the enclosure or represented internal features and it is possible that some of the postholes identified may have been structures. Indeed, the possible post pads and the Roman tiles (0056) projecting through the overlying silt near the centre of the site, and the roman wall plaster may together be evidence for a timber building. The tiles could have
been collected for re-use in a hearth or kiln from a more substantial site. The nearest site recorded as a Roman villa in the HER lies approximately 2km north-west (EXG 012) but it may be that there is another, closer focus, to the north-east (EXG 033), which has crop-mark evidence for field systems and has been extensively metal-detected, recovering pottery, metalwork and roof tile. (Colin Pendleton, pers. comm.). It cannot be assumed that the materials have travelled far, however, and only more extensive excavation work could resolve this question.

A considerable amount of evidence about the occupation of the site was provided by the finds, particularly the pottery, and the coins. These suggest that the peak of activity on the site occurred in the later Roman period, more specifically, the late 3rd/4th century AD. The pottery recovered was almost exclusively of the stated date, with a very small number of pre- and post-Roman sherds. This is supported by a good assemblage of coins dated to the mid 4th century, which drops sharply after the 360s. It is possible that the coins are part of a small hoard that was dispersedby later ploughing but they show quite an even distribution in (Fig.4); and an alternative explanation would be that they originate in a surface rubbish layer, which was not fully exposed during the stripping. It is worth noting that almost $20 \%$ of the recovered pottery sherds and $21 \%$ of the animal bone assemblage was unstratified and this may have been due to the partial dispersal of finds through ploughing?

The animal bone assemblage was small and the range and ages of domestic species may be typical of a rural domestic group. There is insufficient evidence from which to speculate on the overall economy of the settlement and the role that livestock may have played. The environmental samples established the presence of burnt cereal grains and weed seeds demonstrating that crop production and processing was taking place in the vicinity. The ditches therefore could have been part of a field system in which cereal crops were grown.

## 8. Archive deposition

Paper and photographic archive: SCCAS Bury St Edmunds F:\Arc\ALL_site\Exning\EXG 083 Beech House

Finds and environmental archive: SCCAS Bury St Edmunds. The bulk finds are currently located in the Bury Store at Row I / 94 / 3 and J / 114 / 4 and the small finds are in SS / 11 / 5.
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Finds processing was carried out by Gemma Adams and Valery Turp, and the specialist finds and environmental reports by Cathy Tester, Richenda Goffin, Judith Plouviez (SCCAS) and Michael Feider and Val Fryer (freelance specialists),. Metal detecting and identifications were carried out by Alan Smith. Other specialist identification and advice was provided by Andrew Brown and Jane Carr (PAS Finds Recording Officers) and lan Riddler (freelance specialist).
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# LAND ADJACENT TO BEECH HOUSE HOSPITAL, FORDHAM ROAD, EXNING 

The commissioning body should be aware that it may have Health \& Safety responsibilities, see paragraphs 1.7 and 1.8.

## 1. Background

1.1 Planning permission for the construction of a carpark on Land Adjacent to Beech House Hospital, Fordham Road, Exning (TL 6323 6645) has been granted by Forest Heath District Council conditional upon an acceptable programme of archaeological work being carried out (F/2006/0141/FUL).
1.2 The Planning Authority has been advised that any consent should be conditional upon an agreed programme of work taking place before development begins (PPG 16, paragraph 30 condition). A trenched evaluation of the application area will be required as the first part of a programme of archaeological mitigation; decisions on the need for, and scope of, any further work will be based upon the results of the evaluation and will be the subject of additional briefs.
1.3 This application lies in an area of archaeological importance recorded in the Country Sites and Monuments Record. There is a ring ditch defined as a cropmark by aerial photography (EXG 077), indicating the presence of a prehistoric burial site, immediately to the south of the application area. In addition, the remains of a Roman field system has been defined immediately to the north, and also a medieval finds scatter, and one or both of these possibly continue into the application area. There is high potential for encountering prehistoric and later occupation deposits at this location. The proposed works would cause significant ground disturbance that has potential to damage any archaeological deposit that exists.
1.4 All arrangements for the field evaluation of the site, the timing of the work, access to the site, the definition of the precise area of landholding and area for proposed development are to be defined and negotiated with the commissioning body.
1.5 Detailed standards, information and advice to supplement this brief are to be found in Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England, East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Papers 14, 2003.
1.6 In accordance with the standards and guidance produced by the Institute of Field Archaeologists this brief should not be considered sufficient to enable the total execution of the project. A Project Design or Written Scheme of Investigation (PD/WSI) based upon this brief and the accompanying outline specification of minimum requirements, is an essential requirement. This must be submitted by the developers, or their agent, to the Conservation Team of the Archaeological Service of Suffolk County Council (Shire Hall, Bury St Edmunds IP33 2AR; telephone/fax: 01284 352443) for approval. The work must not commence until this office has approved both the archaeological contractor as suitable to undertake the work, and the PD/WSI as satisfactory. The PD/WSI will provide the basis for measurable standards and will be used to establish whether the requirements of the planning condition will be adequately met.
1.7 Before any archaeological site work can commence it is the responsibility of the developer to provide the archaeological contractor with either the contaminated land report for the site or a written statement that there is no contamination. The developer should be aware that investigative sampling to test for contamination is likely to have an impact on any archaeological deposit which exists; proposals for sampling should be discussed with the Conservation Team of the Archaeological Service of SCC (SCCAS/CT) before execution.
1.8 The responsibility for identifying any restraints on field-work (e.g. Scheduled Monument status, Listed Building status, public utilities or other services, tree preservation orders, SSSIs, wildlife sites \&c.) rests with the commissioning body and its archaeological contractor. The existence and content of the archaeological brief does not over-ride such restraints or imply that the target area is freely available.
1.9 Any changes to the specifications that the project manager may wish to make after approval by this office should be communicated directly to SCCAS/CT for approval.

## 2. Brief for the Archaeological Evaluation

2.1 Establish whether any archaeological deposit exists in the area, with particular regard to any which are of sufficient importance to merit preservation in situ [at the discretion of the developer].
2.2 Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit within the application area, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
2.3 Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
2.4 Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
2.5 Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.
2.6 This project will be carried through in a manner broadly consistent with English Heritage's Management of Archaeological Projects, $1991^{\circ}$ (MAP2), all stages will follow a process of assessment and justification before proceeding to the next phase of the project. Field evaluation is to be followed by the preparation of a full archive, and an assessment of potential. Any further excavation required as mitigation is to be followed by the preparation of a full archive, and an assessment of potential, analysis and final report preparation may follow. Each stage will be the subject of a further brief and updated project design; this document covers only the evaluation stage.
2.7 The developer or his archaeologist will give SCCAS/CT (address as above) five working days notice of the commencement of ground works on the site, in order that the work of the archaeological contractor may be monitored.
2.8 If the approved evaluation design is not carried through in its entirety (particularly in the instance of trenching being incomplete) the evaluation report may be rejected. Alternatively the presence of an archaeological deposit may be presumed, and untested areas included on this basis when defining the final mitigation strategy.
2.9 An outline specification, which defines certain minimum criteria, is set out below.

## 3. Specification: Evaluation

3.1 Reassessment of aerial photographic evidence and plotting of the archaeological information, in this case the crop mark of the ring ditch, by a qualified specialist at a scale of 1:2500.
(3.2 A non-ferrous metal-detecting survey is to be undertaken prior to development. This should allow for total coverage of the impact area.
3.2 Trial trenches are to be excavated to cover a minimum $5 \%$ by area, which is $263 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of the total application area $\left(5,264 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$. These shall be positioned to sample all parts of the site. Linear trenches are thought to be the most appropriate sampling method. Trenches are to be a minimum of 1.8 m wide unless special circumstances can be demonstrated; this will result in a minimum of c. 146 m of trenching at 1.8 m in width. If excavation is mechanised a toothless 'ditching bucket' at least 1.2 m wide must be used. A scale plan showing the proposed locations of the trial trenches
should be included in the Project Design and the detailed trench design must be approved by SCCAS/CT before field work begins.
3.3 The topsoil may be mechanically removed using an appropriate machine with a back-acting arm and fitted with a toothless bucket. All machine excavation is to be under the direct control and supervision of an archaeologist. The topsoil should be examined for archaeological material.
3.4 The top of the first archaeological deposit may be cleared by machine, but must then be cleaned off by hand. There is a presumption that excavation of all archaeological deposits will be done by hand unless it can be shown there will not be a loss of evidence by using a machine. The decision as to the proper method of further excavation will be made by the senior project archaeologist with regard to the nature of the deposit.
3.5 In all evaluation excavation there is a presumption of the need to cause the minimum disturbance to the site consistent with adequate evaluation; that significant archaeological features, e.g. solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post-holes, should be preserved intact even if fills are sampled.
3.6 There must be sufficient excavation to give clear evidence for the period, depth and nature of any archaeological deposit. The depth and nature of colluvial or other masking deposits must be established across the site.
3.7 Archaeological contexts should, where possible, be sampled for palaeoenvironmental remains. Best practice should allow for sampling of interpretable and datable archaeological deposits and provision should be made for this. The contractor shall show what provision has been made for environmental assessment of the site and must provide details of the sampling strategies for retrieving artefacts, biological remains (for palaeoenvironmental and palaeoeconomic investigations), and samples of sediments and/or soils (for micromorphological and other pedological/sedimentological analyses. Advice on the appropriateness of the proposed strategies will be sought from J. Heathcote, English Heritage Regional Adviser for Archaeological Science (East of England). A guide to sampling archaeological deposits (Murphy, P.L. and Wiltshire, P.E.J., 1994, A guide to sampling archaeological deposits for environmental analysis) is available for viewing from SCCAS.
3.8 Any natural subsoil surface revealed should be hand cleaned and examined for archaeological deposits and artefacts. Sample excavation of any archaeological features revealed may be necessary in order to gauge their date and character.
3.9 Metal detector searches must take place at all stages of the excavation by an experienced metal detector user.
3.10 All finds will be collected and processed (unless variations in this principle are agreed SCCAS/CT during the course of the evaluation).
3.11 Human remains must be left in situ except in those cases where damage or desecration are to be expected, or in the event that analysis of the remains is shown to be a requirement of satisfactory evaluation of the site. However, the excavator should be aware of, and comply with, the provisions of Section 25 of the Burial Act 1857.
3.12 Plans of any archaeological features on the site are to be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50, depending on the complexity of the data to be recorded. Sections should be drawn at 1:10 or 1:20 again depending on the complexity to be recorded. All levels should relate to Ordnance Datum. Any variations from this must be agreed with the Conservation Team.
3.13 A photographic record of the work is to be made, consisting of both monochrome photographs and colour transparencies and/or high resolution digital images.
3.14 Topsoil, subsoil and archaeological deposit to be kept separate during excavation to allow sequential backfilling of excavations.

## 4. General Management

4.1 A timetable for all stages of the project must be agreed before the first stage of work commences, including monitoring by SCCAS/CT. The archaeological contractor will give not less than ten days written notice of the commencement of the work so that arrangements for monitoring the project can be made.
4.2 The composition of the project staff must be detailed and agreed by this office, including any subcontractors/specialists. For the site director and other staff likely to have a major responsibility for the post-excavation processing of this evaluation there must also be a statement of their responsibilities or a CV for post-excavation work on other archaeological sites and publication record.
4.3 It is the archaeological contractor's responsibility to ensure that adequate resources are available to fulfill the Brief.
4.4 A general Health and Safety Policy must be provided, with detailed risk assessment and management strategy for this particular site.
4.5 No initial survey to detect public utility or other services has taken place. The responsibility for this rests with the archaeological contractor.
4.6 The Institute of Field Archaeologists' Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Desk-based Assessments and for Field Evaluations should be used for additional guidance in the execution of the project and in drawing up the report.
5. Report Requirements
5.1 An archive of all records and finds must be prepared consistent with the principles of English Heritage's Management of Archaeological Projects, 1991 (particularly Appendix 3.1 and Appendix 4.1).
5.2 The report should reflect the aims of the Project Design.
5.3 The objective account of the archaeological evidence must be clearly distinguished from its archaeological interpretation.
5.4 An opinion as to the necessity for further evaluation and its scope may be given. No further site work should be embarked upon until the primary fieldwork results are assessed and the need for further work is established
5.5 Reports on specific areas of specialist study must include sufficient detail to permit assessment of potential for analysis, including tabulation of data by context, and must include non-technical summaries.
5.6 The Report must include a discussion and an assessment of the archaeological evidence, including an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features. Its conclusions must include a clear statement of the archaeological potential of the site, and the significance of that potential in the context of the Regional Research Framework (East Anglian Archaeology, Occasional Papers 3 \& 8, 1997 and 2000).
5.7 The results of the surveys should be related to the relevant known archaeological information held in the county SMR.
5.8 The project manager must consult the SMR Officer to obtain an event number for the work. This number will be unique for each project or site and must be clearly marked on any documentation relating to the work.
5.9 Finds must be appropriately conserved and stored in accordance with UK Institute of Conservators Guidelines. The finds, as an indissoluble part of the site archive, should be deposited with the County SMR if the landowner can be persuaded to agree to this. If this is not
possible for all or any part of the finds archive, then provision must be made for additional recording (e.g. photography, illustration, analysis) as appropriate.
5.10 The project manager should consult the County SMR officer regarding the requirements for the deposition of the archive (conservation, ordering, organisation, labelling, marking and storage) of excavated material and the archive.
5.11 The site archive is to be deposited with the County SMR within three months of the completion of fieldwork. It will then become publicly accessible.
5.12 Where positive conclusions are drawn from a project (whether it be evaluation or excavation) a summary report, in the established format, suitable for inclusion in the annual 'Archaeology in Suffolk' section of the Proceedings of the Suffolk Institute for Archaeology, must be prepared. It should be included in the project report, or submitted to the Conservation Team, by the end of the calendar year in which the evaluation work takes place, whichever is the sooner.
5.13 County SMR sheets must be completed, as per the county SMR manual, for all sites where archaeological finds and/or features are located.
5.14 Where appropriate, a digital vector trench plan should be included with the report, which must be compatible with MapInfo GIS software, for integration in the County Sites and Monuments Record. AutoCAD files should be also exported and saved into a format that can be can be imported into MapInfo (for example, as a Drawing Interchange File or .dxf) or already transferred to .TAB files.
5.15 At the start of work (immediately before fieldwork commences) an OASIS online record http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/project/oasis/ must be initiated and key fields completed on Details, Location and Creators forms.
5.16 All parts of the OASIS online form must be completed for submission to the SMR. This should include an uploaded .pdf version of the entire report (a paper copy should also be included with the archive).

Specification by: Dr Jess Tipper
Suffolk County Council
Archaeological Service Conservation Team
Environment and Transport Department
Shire Hall
Bury St Edmunds
Suffolk IP33 2AR
Tel: 01284352197
Email: jess.tipper@et.suffolkcc.gov.uk

Date: 8 May 2007
Reference: / BeechHouseHospital-Exning2007

This brief and specification remains valid for six months from the above date. If work is not carried out in full within that time this document will lapse; the authority should be notified and a revised brief and specification may be issued.

Archaeological contractors are strongly advised to forward a detailed Project Design or Written Scheme of Investigation to the Conservation Team of the Archaeological Service of Suffolk County Council for approval before any proposals are submitted to potential clients.

If the work defined by this brief forms a part of a programme of archaeological work required by a Planning Condition, the results must be considered by the Conservation Team of the Archaeological Service of Suffolk County Council, who have the responsibility for advising the appropriate Planning Authority.

| Appendix 2 |  | Context summary |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | Feature | Description | Finds | Width (m) | Length (m) | Depth <br> (m) |
| 0001 | 0001 | Unstratified finds from topsoil and spoil in Tr 1 | Y | - |  | - |
| 0002 | 0002 | Cut of pit on edge of $\operatorname{Tr} 1$. Only partially visible due to being on edge of trench. Dish in section, half oval in plan. Sides slope 45 degrees to concave base, stepped on west side | - | 0.25 | 1.7 | 0.35 |
| 0003 | 0002 | Fill of pit 0002. Dark brown sandy silt with frequent burnt patches of silt with frequent charcoal flecks and occasional flint inclusions. | Y | 0.25 | 1.7 | 0.35 |
| 0004 | 0004 | Cut of deep ditch in $\operatorname{Tr} 1$. Sides slope moderately to 0.9 m then much more steeply to base. Base is concave. Runs right across trench $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$. Could be same as 0069 after the strip | - | 1.7 | - | 0.66 |
| 0005 | 0004 | Upper fill of ditch 0004. Dark grey silty sand with flecks of charcoal, chalk, bone and pot fragments | Y | - | - | 0.4 |
| 0006 | 0006 | Cut of possible posthole in $\operatorname{Tr} 2$. Oval in plan, bowl in section. Sides slope 50 degrees to a concave base. | - | 0.25 | 0.4 | 0.18 |
| 0007 | 0006 | Fill of posthole 0006. Mid- light brown silty sand fill with frequent large flint inclusions (packing?) | N | 0.25 | 0.4 | 0.18 |
| 0008 | 0008 | Cut of posthole in $\operatorname{Tr} 2$. Only half visible due to being in bulk. Half circular in plan, bowl in section. Sides slope 45 degrees to a concave base. | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 0009 | 0008 | Fill of posthole 0008. Mid brown sandy silt fill with moderate charcoal flecks and occasional small flint inclusions | N | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 0010 | 0010 | Unstratified finds from topsoil and subsoil at east end of trench 2 . $\operatorname{Tr} 2$ divided into 2 unstratified finds east and west to show differing finds densities. | Y | - | - | - |
| 0011 | 0011 | A general area of finds in sub soil in Tr2. Animal bone spread of mixed non- articulated bone | Y | - | - | - |
| 0012 | 0012 | Unstratified finds from west end of $\operatorname{Tr} 2$ | Y | - | - | - |
| 0013 | 0004 | Lower fill of ditch 0004 in grid $\operatorname{Tr} 1$. Mid brown silty sand | Y | - | - | 0.25 |
| 0014 | 0004 | Mixed finds from upper fill 0005, and lower fill 0013 from ditch 0004 in | Y | - | - | - |
| 0015 | 0015 | Layer above possible ditch in grid T4. Mid brown sandy silt with occasional flint and charcoal inclusions | Y | - | - | - |
| 0016 | 0079 | Fill of ditch which was thought to be 0004 later numbered as 0079 . Later found to be different in strip phase. Sides slope 45 degrees on east side to concave base, west side is disturbed and stepped slightly?. Mid brown silty sand fill | Y | - | - | - |
| 0017 | 0061 | Fill of ditch 0061 as excavated in 2.5 m long section in $\operatorname{Tr} 2$. Ditch has only one fill in this trench. Dark grey silty sand with occasional charcoal and chalk flecks. Ditch appears to be cut into hill wash as upper edges are unclear. Steep sided, concave base. | Y | - | - | - |
| 0018 | 0018 | Cut of N-S running ditch in $\operatorname{Tr} 4$. Most probably 2 adjoining ditches due to being deeper in south edge. Sides slope 70 degrees to a flat base on the north side and slight concave on the south side base. | - | - | - | - |
| 0019 | 0018 | Fill of ditch 0018 in $\operatorname{Tr} 4$. Mid - dark brown sandy silt fill with occasional charcoal flecks, flint inclusions and chalk inclusions. Both sections photographed. (given 1 cut number 0018) | Y | - | - | - |
| 0020 | 0020 | Cut of NW-SE running ditch. Flat base. | - | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 0021 | 0021 | Cut of possible pit. Full cut difficult to see due to animal disturbance. NE of 0020 in same section slot. | - | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 0022 | 0020 | Mid greyish brown silty fill with charcoal flecks | Y | - | - | - |
| 0023 | 0021 | Dark grey silty fill of pit. Very mixed, difficult to see extent | Y | - | - | - |
| 0024 | 0024 | Unstratified finds from Tr4 | Y | - | - | - |
| 0025 | 0020 | Sec in extension of $\operatorname{Tr} 4$. Shows ditch 0020 and gully 0027 . No relationship visible | - | - | - | - |
| 0026 | - | Excavated section through pit 0031, ditch 0029 and posthole 0033. No relationship visible in plan or section. $0^{8}$ | - | - | - | - |
| 0027 | 0027 | Cut of small shallow gully. Sides slope $50-60^{\circ}$ to a concave base. | - | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 0028 | 0027 | Fill of gully 0027. Light orange brown sandy silt fill with occasional flint inclusions and chalk flecks | N | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 0029 | 0029 | Cut of ditch running E-W. Sides slope $45^{\circ}$ to a concave base. Shallow $V$ base. Relationship with pit 0031 unclear due to similar fills and disturbance. If anything the ditch may cut the pit | - | 0.9 | 4 | 0.5 |
| 0030 | 0029 | Fill of ditch 0029. Mid brown silt with mod chalk flecks and occasional flint inclusions | Y | 0.9 | 4 | 0.5 |
| 0031 | 0031 | Cut of pit in section 0026. Sides slope 70 degrees to an irregular concave base. No relationship visible between ditch 0029 and posthole 0033 due to similar fills. | - | 1 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 0032 | 0031 | Fill of pit 0031in section 0026. Mid brown silt with moderate chalk flecks and occasional flint inclusions. | Y | 1 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 0033 | 0033 | Cut of probable posthole in the base of pit 0031. Sides slope $35-40$ degrees to a concave base. Bowl shape in section, only half visible in plan due to pit 0031. No relationship visible due to similar fills. | - | 0.5 | - | 0.17 |


| Context | Feature | Description | Finds | Width (m) | Length <br> (m) | Depth (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0034 | 0034 | Fill of posthole 0033. Mid brown silt fill with moderate chalk flecks and occasional flint inclusion | N | 0.5 |  | 0.17 |
| 0035 | 0035 | Excavated section through ditch 0029 east of section 0026. Ditch narrows at this point but is still visible in plan as the same ditch | - | 0.7 |  | 0.15 |
| 0036 | 0036 | Cut of ditch running N-S and curving slightly to a NE-SW direction further north. Butt end section showing profile. Sides slope 60 degrees to a concave/ flattish base. Bowl in section. Maybe the same as 0079 in trench 4 but uncertain due to visibility in plan | - | 1.1 | 5 | 0.6 |
| 0037 | 0036 | Fill of ditch 0036. Dark brown silt fill with moderate charcoal flecks and occasional flint inclusions. Finds from section and top given this number. | Y | 1.1 | 5 | 0.6 |
| 0038 | 0038 | N -S running ditch becomes visible approx. 6 m from northern edge of $\operatorname{Tr} 2$ and runs for approx. 7 m to the north before butt ending. Probably a continuation of ditch 0061 in $\operatorname{Tr} 2$ and south of $\operatorname{Tr} 2$. Steep sided, flat base | - | 0.8 | 7 | 0.4 |
| 0039 | 0038 | Fill of ditch 0038 . As excavated in section 0040. Mid brown silty sand fill becoming lighter towards the base. | Y | - | - | 0.4 |
| 0040 | 0038 | 1.1 m long excavated section through butt end of ditch 0038 |  |  | - | 0.4 |
| 0041 | $\begin{aligned} & 0042 \\ & 0044 \\ & 0046 \end{aligned}$ | Excavated section through post pad 0042, 0044, 0046 and posthole 0048 | - | - | - | - |
| 0042 | 0042 | Small square ish post pad. Very shallow but packet with chalk lumps making it visible on mixed hill wash area. | - | 0.35 | 0.4 | 0.04 |
| 0043 | 0042 | Fill of post pad. Crushed chalk lumps approx. 0.05 m in diameter. $95 \%$ chalk and $5 \%$ mixed mid brown silt fill | N |  |  | 0.04 |
| 0044 | 0044 | Square ish post pad with chalk packing. Looks to be separate to 0046 in plan but not as clear in section. Shallow but clearly visible in plan |  | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 0045 | 0044 | Fill of post pad 0044. $90 \%$ 0.1-0.05m diameter chalk lumps and chalk flecks with mixed mid brown silt fill. | N |  |  |  |
| 0046 | 0046 | Cut of post pad in section 0041. Irregular in plan due to plough damage but looks to be circular. Dish in section with square ish cut mid section. Deeper than other post pads in the area and packet with chalk. Separated from 0044 due to section and looked to be 2 separate pads in plan but maybe one larger post pad that has been disturbed | - | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.15 |
| 0047 | 0046 | Fill of post pad 0046. $90 \%$ large -mid sized chalk lumps ( $0.2-0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter) and $10 \%$ mid brown silt fill | Y | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.15 |
| 0048 | 0048 | Square cut posthole under postpad 0046. East and West sides very clear and straight edged in plan but North side is unclear due to adjoining ditch 0050 and relationship is unclear. Chalk pad placed on top of posthole or could be chalk packing at the top of the posthole instead of 0046 being a post pad? Which is square cut in plan also | - | 0.45 | - | 0.25 |
| 0049 | 0048 | Fill of posthole 0048. Dark brown silt fill with occasional charcoal flecks and flint inclusions | Y |  | - | 0.25 |
| 0050 | 0050 | Cut of ditch $U$ shape in section and linear in plan. Ends where it meets posthole 0048 and post pads 0042, 0044, 0046 and 0054. Maybe part of a structure with the posthole and post pads. Sides slope 70 degrees to a concave base. | - | 0.65 | 3 | 0.5 |
| 0051 | 0050 | Fill of ditch 0050. Mixed mid- dark brown silt with patches of orange and grey sand and chalk flecks with occasional flint inclusion | Y | 0.65 | 3 | 0.5 |
| 0052 | 0052 | Excavated section through ditch 0050 | - |  | - | - |
| 0053 | 0053 | Excavated section through butt end of ditch 0050. L shaped section. Sides slope 50-60 degrees to a concave base. Lots of mixing and disturbance makes the cut unclear in plan and section | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 0054 | 0054 | Irregular square cut chalk post pad. Fairly shallow dish in section and irregular square cut in plan. Sides slope 40 degrees to a concave base | - | 0.5 | 0.65 | 0.1 |
| 0055 | 0055 | Fill of post pad 0054. $80 \%$ chalk lumps $0.05-0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter with mid brown silt fill | N | - | - | 0.1 |
| 0056 | 0056 | Spread of Roman tile on edge of post pad area. Spread is within the hill-wash layer which covers the western and south western edge of the site. The full extent may not be visible so maybe a larger spread than drawn on the plan. Mainly roman roof tile but with some chalk and mortar material also present. Either a dump of CBM or collapse off a possible structure in the area. | Y | 0.5 | 0.8 | - |
| 0057 | 0057 | Cut of E-W ditch in area 5 . Becomes visible just to the North of the middle of evaluation trench 1 and runs East. 4 section excavated 0064, 0065,0066 and 0067.0067 section is the possible butt end of the ditch. Cuts and description on section sheets. Ditch raises up further east and butt ends near the edge of site. | $-$ | - | - | - |
| 0058 | 0064 | Fill of ditch 0057 in section 0064. Mid- dark orange brown silt fill with occasional chalk and charcoal flecks and occasional flint inclusions | Y | - | - | 0.4 |
| 0059 | 0065 | Fill of ditch 0057 in section 0065 . Mid orange brown silt fill with very occasional charcoal fleck and occasional chalk fleck and flint inclusion | Y | - | - | 0.3 |
| 0060 | 0066 | Fill of ditch 0057 in section 0066. Mid orangey brown silt with occasional- moderate chalk flecks and occasional flint inclusiohs and very occasional charcoal flecks | Y | - | - | 0.25 |
| 0061 | 0061 | Cut of ditch running NE-SW. Re numbered from 0004 in the evaluation as this is a different ditch. 0061 is the number for the ditch in eval trench 2 and south of eval trench2. More matching was needed before the ditch was visible in plan but after 10 cm was taken off the cut was clearer. Sides slope $50-55$ degrees to a concave base. West side cut not as clear due to being cut into hill wash. Probably same as 0038 to the north | - | 1.4 | 1 | 0.55 |
| 0062 | 0061 | Fill of ditch 0061. Dark brown charcoal stained silt with moderate charcoal flecks, occasional chalk flecks and occasional flint inclusions | Y | 1.4 | 1 | 0.55 |


| Context | Feature | Description | Finds | Width (m) | Length <br> (m) | Depth $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0063 | (0057) | Finds from area above ditch 0057 in topsoil and subsoil ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Y |  |  |  |
| 0064 | 0064 | Western most Excavated sectionv through ditch 0057. Ditch is deepest in this section and slightly wider than other sections. Gradually sloping sides at 30 degrees to a concave base | - | 1.9 | 1 | 0.4 |
| 0065 | 0065 | Excavated section through ditch 0057 east of section 0064. Ditch is narrower and cut into a chalky natural. Sides slope 30 degrees to a concave base | - | 1.2 | 1 | 0.3 |
| 0066 | 0066 | Excavated section through ditch 0057 east of section 0065 and west of section 0067 . Sides slope 30 degrees to a concave base | Y | 1.2 | 1 | 0.22 |
| 0067 | 0067 | Excavated section at terminus of ditch 0057 at southern end | - | - | - | - |
| 0068 | 0067 | Mid orange brown sandy silt with occasional chalk, flint and charcoal flecks. Slightly compact. Fill in section 0067 | - | 0.75 | 0.6 | 0.14 |
| 0069 | 0069 | Cut of terminus of ditch in area 6. Probably same as 0004 in $\operatorname{Tr} 1$. Stepped west edge from 40 degrees to a flat step then 40 degrees to a concave base. | - | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.45 |
| 0070 | 0069 | Fill of ditch 0069. Mid brown silt with occasional chalk fleck and very occasional charcoal fleck | Y | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.45 |
| 0071 | 0071 | Cut of gully running NE-SE in area 6. Linear in plan, inverse trapezoidal section with a flat base. | Y | 0.25 | 0.65 | 0.15 |
| 0072 | 0071 | Fill of gully 0071. Mid orange-y brown sandy silt fill. Occasional charcoal fleck and slightly compact | Y | 0.25 | 0.65 | 0.15 |
| 0073 | 0073 | Unstratified finds from Area 1 | Y | - | - | - |
| 0074 | 0074 | Unstratified finds from Area 2 | Y | - | - | - |
| 0075 | 0075 | Unstratified finds from Area 3 | Y | - | - | - |
| 0076 | 0076 | Unstratified finds from Area 4 | Y | - | - | - |
| 0077 | 0077 | Unstratified finds from Area 5 | Y | - | - | - |
| 0078 | 0078 | Unstratified finds from Area 6 | Y | - | - | - |
| 0079 | 0079 | Cut of ditch in $\operatorname{Tr} 4$ running N-S re- numbered from 0004 as it is not the same ditch. Sides slope 45 degrees on east side to concave base. Fill 0016 | - | - | - | - |
| 0080 | 0080 | Topsoil - mid brown sandy silt | - | - | - | - |
| 0081 | 0081 | Subsoil - light brown sandy silt | - | - | - | - |
| 0082 | 0082 | Colluvium - dark brown silty sand Suln | - | - | - | - |
| 0083 | 0084 | 'Fill' of hollow. Light brown silty sand with occasional flint inclusions | - | - | - | - |
| 0084 | 0084 | Eroded hollow seen in Tr 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 0085 | 0085 | Natural - pale yellow silty sand | - | - | - | - |

Appendix 3 Bulk finds quantities

| Ctxt | Cut | Pottery No. | Wt./g | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBM } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Wt./g. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flint } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Wt./g. | Bt flin No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stone } \\ & \text { Wt./g } \end{aligned}$ | Anim No. | bone Wt./g | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oyster } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Wt./g | Miscellaneous | Spotdate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0001 | - | 67 | 1188 | 14 | 3698 | 9 | 386 | 4 | 170 | 24 | 508 | 2 | 27 | Stone 1-307g, Iron 1-6g (nail) | LC3/4; C2 |
| 0003 | 0002 | 6 | 54 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 64 | 3 | 8 |  |  | FC 7-78g | LC3/4 |
| 0005 | 0004 | 5 | 82 | 3 | 507 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 140 |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
| 0010 | - | 1 | 32 | 1 | 199 | 3 | 65 | 1 | 58 |  |  | 1 | 12 |  | Rom |
| 0011 | - | 2 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 531 |  |  | Stone 1-753g | LC3/4 |
| 0012 | - | 8 | 125 | 8 | 760 | 1 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
| 0013 | 0004 | 4 | 35 | 1 | 246 |  |  | 1 | 532 |  |  | 1 | 19 | Stone 1-1321g | C3/4 |
| 0014 | 0004 | 40 | 486 | 3 | 363 |  |  | 1 | 14 | 27 | 950 | 4 | 126 |  | C4 |
| 0015 | - | 24 | 369 | 18 | 2075 |  |  | 1 | 224 | 41 | 750 | 4 | 62 | Slag 5-134g | LC3/4 |
| 0016 | 0079 | 9 | 44 | 2 | 265 | 1 | 5 |  |  | 10 | 346 |  |  | Slag 3-55g | LC3/4 |
| 0017 | 0061 | 10 | 102 | 5 | 507 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 18 | 433 |  |  | Fired clay 5-34g, Slag 1-6g | LC3/4 |
| 0019 | 0018 | 6 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | $\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{O}} 36$ |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
| 0022 | 0020 | 7 | 104 | 1 | 98 |  |  |  |  | 15 | S227 |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
| 0023 | 0021 | 6 | 117 | 3 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  | (a) 16 |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
| 0024 | - | 8 | 191 | 4 | 647 | 2 | 37 |  |  | 5 | 43 |  |  |  | C4 |
| 0030 | 0029 | 1 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
| 0032 | 0031 | 1 | 59 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 44 | 1 | 5077 | 6 | 304 | 1 | 27 |  | Rom |
| 0037 | 0036 | 20 | 268 | 8 | 2067 | , | 16 |  |  | 26 | 350 |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
| 0039 | 0038 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Med, LC3/4 |
| 0047 | 0046 | 3 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 15 |  |  | Wall plaster 1-3g | Rom |
| 0049 | 0048 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 198 |  |  | 1 | 463 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Rom |
| 0051 | 0050 | 10 | 111 | 7 | 784 | 1 | 36 |  |  | 8 | 154 | 1 | 18 | Iron 1-10g ( nail) | C4, LC3/4 |
| 0056 | - | 5 | 41 | 27 | 2344 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 |  | LC3/4 |
| 0058 | 0057 | 16 | 390 | 4 | 575 |  |  |  |  | 12 | 117 | 3 | 86 |  | LC3/4 |
| 0059 | 0065 | 6 | 52 | 2 | 48 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 199 | 1 | 12 |  | LC3/4 |
| 0060 | 0066 | 6 | 151 | 4 | 100 | 1 | 32 |  |  | 1 | 19 |  |  |  | C4 |
| 0062 | 0061 | 22 | 363 | 3 | 262 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 86 | 16 | 430 |  |  | Iron $1-17 \mathrm{~g}$ (nail) | LC3/4 |
| 0063 | 0057 | 102 | 164 | 26 | 3243 | 2 | 19 |  |  | 29 | 523 | 2 | 26 | Lava quern 1-40g | LC3/4 |
| 0070 | 0069 | 3 | 21 | 4 | 45 |  | il |  |  | 4 | 13 | 2 | 22 | Iron 1-7g cil | Rom |
| 0072 | 0071 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 48 |  | 0035 | 1 | 53 | 12 | 101 |  |  | COM $\mathrm{cic}^{8}$ | LC3/4 |
| 0073 | - | 4 | 43 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 45 |  |  |  |  | C | 16-18th, LC3/4 |
| 0074 | - | 9 | 148 | 6 | 651 |  | $5^{6} 31$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1) | LC3/4 |
| 0075 | - | 8 | 118 | 9 | 1788 | 0 | 82 |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 |  | Clay pipe 1-2g | 17th C, LC3/4 |
| 0076 | - | 2 | 54 | 7 | 1556 |  | 4 |  |  | 5 | 237 |  |  | Mortar 2-12g, Fired clay 2-8g | LC3/4 |
| 0077 | - | 11 | 119 | 6 | 322 | - 3 | 26 |  |  | 5 | 167 |  | cul |  | LC3/4 |
| 0078 | - | 10 | 88 | 1 | 546 | 3 | 186 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | Su |  | LC3/4 |

## Appendix 4

Pottery


| Ctxt | Fabric | Sherd | No | Wt/g | Form | Rim | Diam | EVE | Notes | Spotdate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | insipient flange |  |
|  | GX | ba | 1 | 18 |  |  |  |  | Base 2 | Rom |
| d | GX | b | 14 | 98 |  |  |  |  | Misc bodysherds some burnished | Rom |
| $0$ | HAX | b | 1 | 36 | Jar |  |  |  | Thiick jar sherd - dec burnished $\backslash$ | LC3/4 |
|  | HAX |  | 1 | 22 | 6.19.1 |  | 140 | 13 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | HAX | b | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  | Bowl/jar (CoS no 241 dec like 236 dents / O (Sv in 0001 | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | r | 1 | 43 | 4 Jar | 11 | 180 | 16 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | r | 1 | 17 | 2 NJar |  | 130 | 122 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | b | 3 | 38 |  |  |  |  | Soot | LC3/4 |
|  | NVC | r | 1 | 13 | 6.19.1 |  | 160 | 10 |  | C4 |
|  | NVC | b | 1 | 12 | 3 beaker |  |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
|  | NVCM | r | 1 | 27 | 7 Mort |  | 260 | 5 | Reeded rim | LC3/4 |
|  | UCC | b | 2 | 20 | 3 beaker |  |  |  | Dec w roul \& OSB. orange fab (not PKM) | C3/4 |
| 0015 | BSW | r | 1 | 17 | Jar | 12 | 240 | 6 |  | Rom |
|  | BSW | r | 1 | 19 | Jar | 11 | 160 | 14 |  | Rom |
|  | GMB | r | 1 | 29 | 6.19.3 |  | 180 | 12 | + another groove at midbody | MC2+ |
|  | GMB | ba | 2 | 28 | 6 dish |  |  |  |  | MC2+ |
|  | HAX | ba | 2 | 75 | Jar |  |  |  | Thick large | LC3/4 |
|  | HAX | r | 1 | 5 | 6.19.1 |  | 180 | 7 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | HAX | b | 9 | 44 |  |  |  |  | SV burnished | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | b | 3 | 53 | Jar |  | 1 |  |  | LC3/4 |
|  | NVC | r | 1 | 5 | 6.19 |  | 1 |  | Flaked | LC3/4 |
|  | SACG | b | 1 | 14 | 6 Dr 37 |  |  |  | Figured $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{s}$ abr. | Had-Ant |
|  | STOR | b | 1 | 77 |  |  |  |  | Black surf | Rom |
|  | UCC | b | 1 | 3 | , |  |  |  | Grey-red | Rom |
| 0016 | GMB | b | 1 | 12 |  |  |  |  | Oxy core | Rom |
|  | GX | b | 5 | 20 | $1 \times$ |  |  |  | Misc b/s 1 w co.roul | Rom |
|  | HAX | b | 3 | 12 | 0 |  |  |  | Burnished | LC3/4 |
| 0017 | BSW | b | 3 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | COLBM | b | 1 |  | 7 Mort |  |  |  | Flint \& quartz | C2 |
|  | GX | r | 1 | 28 | Jar | 7 | 200 | 11 |  | Rom |
|  | GX | r | 1 | 17 | 2 NJar |  | 100 | 19 | Oxy core | Rom |
|  | GX | b | 2 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | HAX | r | 1 | 5 | bowl/jar |  | 120 | 10 | Going E3 | LC3/4 |
|  | HAX | b | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
| 0019 | GX | b | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | HAX | r | 1 | 9 | bowl/jar |  | 100 | 17 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | HAX | b | 1 | 21 |  |  |  |  | Globular vessel | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | b | 2 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | r | 1 | 7 |  |  | 160 | 6 |  | LC3/4 |
| 0022 | BSW | r | 1 | 27 | 6.19.2 |  | 180 | 8 |  | MC2+ |
|  | BSW | b | 2 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | HAX | r | 1 | 20 | Jar | 7 | 160 | 11 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | b | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
|  | RX | b | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  | Abr. | Rom |
| 0023 | HAX | ba | 2 | 37 | Jar |  |  |  | Base 2 | LC3/4 |
|  | HAX | b | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | Fine | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | ba | 3 | 78 | Jar |  |  |  | sv | LC3/4 |
| 0024 | BSW |  | , | 29 | Jar | 7 | 200 | 12 | Flaked abraded | Rom $\mathrm{S}^{8}$ |
| 10 | BSW | b | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
| $\bigcirc$ | GX | b | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | HAX | b | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | r | 1 | 41 | 4 Jar |  | 180 | 15 | Abr. soot | LC3/4 |
|  | OXWM | r | 1 | 61 | 7 Mort |  | 260 | 13 | Bead \& flange. Quartz grits | C4 |
|  | PKC | b | 2 | 48 | 3 beaker |  |  |  |  | C3/4 |
| 0030 | NVC | r | 1 | 64 | 6.17 |  | 260 | 10 | Deep groove like (NV <br> 79) but larger | C4 |
| 0032 | HMSO | b | 1 | 59 |  |  |  |  | Abr. battered thick - | Preh |
| 0037 | BSW | b | 1 | 19 |  |  |  |  | Cl.sed form. burnished | Rom |
|  | GMB | ba | 2 | 56 | 6 dish |  |  |  | 2 sep vessels -base 2 | MC2+ |
|  | GX | ba | 1 | 59 | 6 dish |  |  |  | Base 2 | MC2+ |


| Ctxt | Fabric | Sherd | No | Wt/g | Form | Rim | Diam | EVE | Notes | Spotdate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GX | b | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom 0 |
|  | HAX |  | 3 | 38 | 6 B 10 |  | 280 | 9 | Going B10 (Dr | LC3/4 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36/Curle15) |  |
|  | HAX | b | 3 | 10 |  |  |  |  | Abr. | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | b | 5 | 36 | Jar |  |  |  | Soot/res | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | r | 1 | 19 | 4 Jar |  | 140 | 14 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | r | 1 | 17 | 4 Jar |  | 180 | 9 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | HMSO | b | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  | Abr. | IA |
| 0039 | MCW | b | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  | Soot on ext ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12-14th |
|  | LSH | b | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  | Abraded | LC3/4 |
| 0047 | GX | b | 3 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
| 0049 | BSW | b | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
| 0051 | BSW | b | 3 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | BUF | b | 1 | 6 | 1 flagon |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | GX | b | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | LSH | r | 1 | 33 | 4 Jar |  | 160 |  |  | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | r | 1 | 28 | 4 Jar |  | 200 | 10 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | NVC | b | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
|  | OXRC | r | 1 | 19 | 6 bowl |  | 160 | 8 |  | C4 |
|  | HMF | b | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | Preh |
| 0056 | BSW | r | 1 | 6 | 6.19 .1 |  | 150 | 6 |  | MC2+ |
|  | BSW | r | 1 | 16 | 6.17 |  | 160 | 10 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | BUF | b | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  | Abr. | Rom |
|  | GMB | b | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | GX | b | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
| 0058 | BSW | ba | 3 | 68 | Jar |  |  |  | (HOGB fab?) | Rom |
|  | BSW | ba | 1 | 26 | 6 dish |  | , |  |  | MC2+ |
|  | BSW | b | 2 | 7 |  |  | N |  | Fine burnished | Rom |
|  | GMB | b | 1 | 20 | Jar |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | GMB | r | 1 | 34 | 6.19.4 |  | 180 | 13 | Long bead | MC2+ |
|  | GX | r | 2 | 62 | Jar |  | 190 | 30 | Necked jar | Rom |
|  | GX | r | 2 | 61 | 6.17 |  | 180 | 18 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | LSH | bba | 3 | 53. |  |  |  |  | Base is limescaled | $\mathrm{LC} 3 / 4$ |
|  | NVC | ba | 1 | 59 |  |  |  |  | Abr. burnt thick base | $\mathrm{LC} 3 / 4$ |
| 0059 | BSW | r | 1 | 54 | 6.19 .1 | x |  |  |  | MC2+ |
|  | BSW | b | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | GX | ba | 1 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | LSH | b | 2 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | LC3/4 |
|  | UCC | b | 1 | 2 | 3 beaker |  | 40 | 3 | Black slip | C3/4 |
| 0060 | BSW | b | 2 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | BSW | ba | 1 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | GMB | ba | 1 | 75 | 6 dish |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{MC} 2+$ |
|  | GX | b | 1 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | OXRC | ba | 1 | 16 | Jar |  |  |  | Jar base | C4 |
| 0062 | BSW | r | 1 | 13 | Jar | 7 | 200 | 7 |  | Rom |
|  | BSW | r | 1 | 12 | 6.17 |  | 200 | 7 |  | LC3/4 |
|  | BSW | b | 4 | 33 |  |  |  |  | Burnished b/s | Rom |
|  | BSW | bba | 2 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | GX | r | 1 | 8 | Jar | 8 | 140 | 9 |  | Rom |
|  | GX | b | 1 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | Rom |
|  | GX | bba | 6 | 137 |  |  |  |  | 2 bases (2) and misc b/s | Rom |
|  | HAX | b | 2 | 5 | bowl/jar |  |  |  | Dec bowl/jar w impressed \& grooved dec | $\mathrm{LC} 3 / 4$ |
|  | HOGB | ba | 1 | 55 | SJar |  |  |  |  | C3/4 |
|  | LSH | bba | 2 | 15 | Jar |  |  |  |  | LC3/4C |
|  | UCC | b | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  | V abr. | Rom |
| 0063 | BSW | r | 1 | 19 | 6.17 |  | 220 | 7 | Abr. | LC3/4 |
|  | BSW | b | 7 | 71 |  |  |  |  | Prob. HOG | Rom |
|  | BSW | ba | 1 | 66 |  |  |  |  | Base 1 | Rom |
|  | GMB | r | 1 | 25 | 6.19.1 |  | 200 | 4 | - $11{ }^{2}$ | MC2+ |
|  | GMB | rb | 3 | 54 | 6.19.1 |  | 200 | 7 | SV 5 el | MC2+ |
|  | GMB | b | 2 | 36 | 6 dish |  |  |  |  | MC2+ |
| 0063 | GMB | b | 4 | 76 |  |  |  |  | Thick, burnished | Rom |
|  | GX | r | 1 | 12 | Jar |  | 140 | 9 |  | Rom |
|  | GX | ba | 2 | 35 | Jar |  |  |  | (Extremely HAR-like fab) | Rom |
|  | GX | r | 2 | 90 | Jar | 7 | 240 | 20 | Looks like HOG | Rom |
|  | GX | ba | 4 | 56 | Jar |  |  |  | 2 vessels. base 2 | Rom |




## Appendix 5 CBM

| Ctxt | Form | Fabric | No | Wt/g | Notes 00.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BOX | ms | 1 | 143 | With deep groove, corner fragment. V buff orangey fab (similar to HTC BOX fabs- poss of a specialist tile producer) |
|  | WT | msfe | 1 | 234 | with tapering hole, thickness 23 mm could be from floor or wall tile |
|  | IMB | msf | 1 | 378 | Reduced core So doo |
|  | IMB | msfe | 1 | 287 | Mortar adhering |
|  | RBT | ms | 1 | 62 | Reduced core |
|  | RBT | msf | 1 | 38 | Reduced core |
|  | RBT | msf | 1 | 166 | Abraded and burnt |
|  | RBT | msfe | 1 | 16 | Abraded |
|  | TEG | fsfe | 1 | 58 |  |
|  | TEG | fsfe | 1 | 327 | Reduced core, mortar on broken edge (re-used) cutaway, fine flange |
|  | TEG | fsfe | 1 | 735 | Remains of cutaway |
|  | TEG | msf | 1 | 244 | Slightly reduced core + fine calc. |
|  | TEG | msf | 1 | 450 | Mortar on top of flange, ?burnt |
|  | VOI | msf | 1 | 560 | Voissoir. tapered shape, cross-hatch keying, Reduced core |
| 0005 | BOX | fsf | 1 | 221 | Reduced core remains of keying on 1 face, tiny bit of mortar |
|  | RBT | fsf | 1 | 207 | Burnt and abraded. (?BOX) |
|  | TEG | fsfe | 1 | 79 | Reduced core |
| 0010 | TEG | msf | 1 | 199 | Abraded and burnt |
| 0012 | BOX | msfe | 5 | 226 | Reduced core, crude keying, coarse fabric may have burnt-out voids. Abraded |
|  | RBT | msf | 1 | 165 | Reduced core, abraded (surf. missing) |
|  | RBT | msf | 1 | 159 | Reduced core, burnt \& slightly abraded |
|  | TEG | fsf | 1 | 210 | reduced core, cutaway, slight mortar |
| 0013 | TEG | ms | 1 | 246 | (TEG?) Burnt underneath, chunky. ?TEG |
| 0014 | IMB | msf | 1 | 113 | Very abraded, w tiny bit of shell |
|  | RBT | fsf | 1 | 235 | Reduced core, bit of calc. surf. shell + flint |
|  | RBT | fsfe | 1 | 15 | Very abraded, reduced core |
| 0015 | RBT | fs | 1 | 172 | Reduced core, some calc. |
|  | RBT | fsf | 1 | - 112 | Reduced core |
|  | RBT | fsf | 1 | $5 \quad 76$ | Abraded, reduced core, mortar on underside |
|  | RBT | fsfe | 1 | $\times 5$ | Abraded |
|  | RBT | fsfe | 4 | 80 | Abraded, small frags |
|  | RBT | fsfe | 1 | 90 | Very abraded |
|  | RBT | ms | 1 | 59 | Small and burnt |
|  | RBT | msf | 1 | 119 | Burnt |
|  | RBT | msf | 1 | 529 | Very abraded and burnt |
|  | RBT | msf | 1 | 123 | Mortar on all broken surfaces, laminated out |
|  | RBT | msf | 1 | 89 | Reduced core (TEG?) |
|  | RBT | msfe | 1 | 49 | Slightly concave, reduced core. (BOX or IMB?) |
|  | RBT | msfe | 1 | 221 | Reduced core, abraded - poss. re-used |
|  | RBT | msfe | 1 | 13 | Abraded, small frags |
|  | TEG | msfe | 1 | 338 | (TEG?) Reduced core, burnt |
| 0016 | RBT | msf | 1 | 181 |  |
|  | TEG | msf | 1 | 84 | Burnt and abraded |
| 0017 | IMB | fsf | 1 | 258 | Reduced core, mortar on underside |
|  | RBT | fsfe | 2 | 50 | Very abraded and worn w reduced core . + flint |
|  | RBT | msfe | 1 | 100 | Coarse |
|  | TEG | fsf | 1 | 99 | Flange, slightly abr |
| 0022 | RBT | fscp | 1 | 98 | Reduced core. Abraded. silty bands |
| $0023$$\qquad$ | BOX | fsfe | 1 | 20 | (BOX?) V small fragment, reduced core? |
|  | RBT | fsf | 1 | 41 | Reduced core, abraded |
|  | RBT | ms | 1 | 2 | Reduced core |
|  | RBT | fsf | 2 | 42 | reduced core, abraded |
|  | RBT | msf | 1 | 418 | Burnt and abraded |
|  | TEG | msf | 1 | 187 | Very abraded ed no |
| 0032 | IMB | msf | 1 | 24 | Some calc |
| 0037 | IMB | ms | 1 | 132 | Burnt, tiny bit of cad. |
|  | IMB | mscp | 1 | 213 | Mortar on underside, reduced core, silty bands |
|  | RBT | fsf | 2 | 282 | Joining frags |
|  | RBT | msfe | 1 | 470 | Very abraded and burnt + calc. |
|  | TEG | fsf | 1 | 179 | Abraded |
|  | TEG | msf | 1 | 387 | slight cross-hatch pattern, poss for keying, burnt |
|  | TEG | msf | 1 | 404 | Reduced core |




## Appendix 6 Flint



## Appendix 7 , Small finds




Appendix 8 Roman Copper alloy coins

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SF } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | o. | Wt./g | Dia | Description ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Obverse | Reverse | Mint | Date | Reece per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1116 | 1 | 1.12 | 15 | Ae ant Barbarous copy | Head to right / Possible Claudius II / --[ S (S.3228) | Altar? / illegible |  | 270-273? | 14 |
| 1117 | 1 | 0.85 | 15 | Copy? Ae ant | Claudius II Comm. (S 3230) / divo cl]AVDIO | Funeral pyre / illeg [CONSECRATIO] |  | 270-273? | 14 |
| 1121 | 1 | 0.75 | 16 | Ae ant, probably contemporary copy | Radiate head to right / ? | Standing figure left / illegible |  | 3 rdc | 14 |
| 1123 | 1 | 0.32 | 10 | Ae frag, contemporary copy | Radiate head to right. / -- ].PIVLS]-- | ? / illegible |  | 3 rdc | 14 |
| 1008 | 1 | 2.18 | 18 | Ae 3 | Head to left? / illegible | Altar / .-- ]AN[--]VILLITA[-- (BEATA TRANQVILLITAS?) | illeg | 318-324 | 16 |
| 1100 | 1 | 3.12 | 20 | Ae2 | Constantine I/ CONSTANTINVS AVG | Wreath inscribed VOT XX / D.N.CONSTANTINI MAX AVG | /TSAVI <br> (Thessaloniki) | 320-324 | 16 |
| 1089 | 1 | 1.38 | 17 | Ae3? | Constantine I/ illegible in so | ? / CONSTAN / TINVS / AVG /. |  | 324-330 | 16 |
| 1004 | 1 | 1.48 | 14 | Ae 4 copy | Const Comm / VRBS ROMA (copy) | Victory on prow / | TRS | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1005 | 1 | 0.99 | 13 | Ae 4 copy | Const Comm / illegible dik | Victory on prow | illeg | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1006 | 1 | 1.91 | 17 | Ae 3/4 | Const Comm/[yrbs ro]MA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Wolf and twins / | -- - ---? | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1009 | 1 | 1.51 | 14 | Ae 3/4 | Const Comm / CONSTANTINOPOLIS | Victory on prow/ | /PLLG | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1011 | 1 | 2.44 | 18 | Ae 3 | Constantine I / CONSTANTINVS MAX AVG | Two soldiers two standards / GLOR[ia] EXER[citvs | O O/illeg | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1022 | 1 | 1.87 | 18 | Ae 3/4 | Constantine Comm. Helmeted head left / CONSTAN[tinop]OLIS | Victory on prow / no legend | T.RP | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1023 | 1 | 2.39 | 18 | Ae 3/4 | Constantine Comm helmeted head of Roma left / VR[bs r]O[ma | Wolf and twins, two stars above | **/•PLG | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1030 | 1 | 2.17 | 16 | Ae 3 minim | Constantine Comm / VRBS ROMA |  | Ú PLG | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1034 | 1 | 0.76 | 13 | Ae 3/4 | Constantine Comm / helmeted head left CON[stanti]NOPOLIS | Victory on prow | $\bullet$-PLG | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1039 | 1 | 1.06 | 14 | Ae 4 | ? House of Constantine / illegible | Two soldiers two standards / glor]IA EXER[citvs | illeg | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1045 | 1 | 1.02 | 13 | Ae 3/4 | Const Comm / CONSTA[ntinopolis | Victory on prow $/$ a | Illeg | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1047 | 1 | 2.25 | 17 | Ae 3 | Const Comm / CONSTANTINOPOLIS | Victory on prow / | / PLG | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1054 | 1 | 1.51 | 17 | Ae 3/4 | Const Comm / [constantinopolis] | Victory on prow | illeg | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1058 | 1 | 0.68 | 13 | Ae 4 | 2 2 illegible | Two soldiers two standards GLO[ria exercitvs | / TRP | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1061 | 1 | 0.91 | 13 | Ae 4 copy Sut | Laurated bust right ? / --] AVGG | Two soldiers two standards / GL[oria exerc]ITVS | / STR | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1066 | 1 | 2.28 | 16 | Ae 3/4 | Constantius II / FL.IVL.CONSTANTIVS. NOB. C | Two soldiers two standards / GLOR[ia exerc]ITVS | off flan | 330-335 | 17 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SF } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Wt./g | Dia | Description | Obverse | Reverse | Mint | Date | Reece per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1070 | 1 | 1.24 | 16 |  | Const Comm / constantin]OPO[lis | Victory on prow? | illeg | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1076 | 1 | 0.93 | 13 | Ae4, very corroded $n^{2}$ | Helmeted left | / Victory on prow ${ }^{2}$ | illeg | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1083 | 1 | 1.2 | 13 | Ae 4 | Bust to right / illegible | Victory on prow | ?/( ) T (R)• | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1087 | 1 | 1.1 | 13 | Ae 4 | Const Comm / vr]BS RO[ma | Wolf and twins | /TR | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1091 | 1 | 0.58 | 11 | Ae 4 copy | Const Comm / vrbs r]OMA | Wolf and twins / - | off flan | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1098 | 1 | 2.16 | 15 | Ae 3/4 | Constantine II / CONSTANTINVS IVN NOB C | Two soldiers two standards / g]LOR[ia] EX[ercitvs | / ÚPLG | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1099 | 1 | 2.49 | 16 | Ae 3 | Constantine I / CONSTANTINVS MAX AVG | Two soldiers two standards / GLORIA EXERCITVS | /•SLG | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1101 | 1 | 1.78 | 18 | Ae 3 | Const Comm / CONSTANTINOPOLIS | Victory on prow / | /-R-? | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1109 | 1 | 1.93 | 15 | Contemporary copy: blundered legend, obv has reversed letters | Bust to left / ? CONNTNIVS. T[-- | Two soldiers two standards / V-]IO[--.]X[--]V[ . [GLORIA EXERCITVS?] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { / } \mathrm{N} \\ & \text { (reversed) } \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1110 | 1 | 1.25 | 14 | Ae 4 | Const Comm / constan]TINOPO[lis | Victory on prow / | /PLG | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1124 | 1 | 0.96 | 14 | Ae 3/4 | Const Comm / constantinopol]IS | Victory on prow / | illeg | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1125 | 1 | 1.84 | 18 | Ae 3 | Constantine / CONSTANTINVS MAX AVG | Two soldiers two standards / glor]IA EXER[cit]VS | / RBP | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1127 | 1 | 2.62 | 18 | Ae 3 | Const Comm / CONSTANTINOPOLIS ${ }^{2}$ | Victory on prow / | O / TRP | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1130 | 1 | 2.39 | 19 | Ae 3 | Const Comm / VRBS ROMA ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\circ}$ | She wolf and twins / |  | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1131 | 1 | 1.09 | 14 | Ae 4 | ? / illegible | Two soldiers two standards / GLORIA EXERCITVS |  | 330-335 | 17 |
| 1086 | 1 | 2.64 | 17 | Ae 3 | Const Comm / VRBS ROMA | Wolf and twins | /TRS• | 330-337 | 17 |
| 1065 | 1 | 1.3 | 17 | Ae 3/4 | Constans? / CONST[ans] PF AVG | Two soldiers? standards? | illeg | 330-341 | 17 |
| 1073 | 1 | 1.31 | 15 | Ae 4 | Const Comm laurated bust left / POP ROMANVS (Sear1.3900) | Bridge with towers, river below / (No inscription) | CONS / Ø | 330-346 | 17 |
| 1040 | 1 | 1.03 | 14 | Ae 3/4 | Constans (as caesar) / c]ONSTAN[--no]BC | Two soldiers two standards / glori]A [exerc]ITVS |  | 333-335 | 17 |
| 1055 | 1 | 1.59 | 14 | Ae 3/4 | Constantine I/ consta]NTINVS MAX [avg | Two soldiers one standard (chi rhõ on std) glori]A EXERC[itvs | Chi rho / ? | 335-337 | 17 |
| 1078 | 1 | 1.2 | 16 | Ae 3/4 | Constantius II / CONSTANTI[-- | Two soldiers one standard / GLORIA [ex]ERC[i]TVS | O / •TRS• | 335-337 | 17 |
| 1080 | 1 | 1.57 | 15 | Ae 3/4 | Constantius II / FL.IVL.CONSTANTIVS AVG | Two soldiers one standard / GLORIA EXER[citvs | $\mathrm{O} / \cdot \mathrm{TR}() ?$ | 335-337 | 17 |
| 1103 | 1 | 1.23 | 14 | Ae 3/4 | House of Constantine / FL.IVL.CONST | Two soldiers one standard / gloria] EXERCITVS |  | 335-337 | 17 |
| 1115 | 1 | 1.31 | 14 | Ae 4 | Constantius II / -- ]ANTIVS[-- | Two soldiers one standard gloria]EXERCITYS |  | 335-337 | 17 |
| 1128 | 1 | 1.49 | 14 | Ae 4 | Constantine II ? / CONS[---]VS[- | Two soldiers one standard / gloria exer]CITVS |  | 335-337 | 17 |
| 1129 | 1 | 1.84 | 13 | Ae 4 | Constans or Constantius II / f]L IVL CONSTAN[-- | Two soldiers one standard / gloria exercitvs |  | 335-337 | 17 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SF } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. | Wt./g | Dia | Description | Obverse | Reverse | Mint | Date | Reece per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1007 | 1 | 0.46 | 12 | Ae 4 | ? / illegible | Two soldiers one standard / gloria exercitvs? | M/---? | 335-341 | 17 |
| 1079 | 1 | 0.52 | 10 | Minim, copy | ? Head to right / ? | Two soldiers one standard / GL[oria exercitvs | ? | 335-341 | 17 |
| 1018 | 1 | 2.29 | 16 | Ae 3/4 | Constantine II. / ?CONSTAN [--] .C. Laurated bust to right | Two soldiers, two standards / GLORIA EXERCITVS | illeg | 337-340 | 17 |
| 1019 | 1 | 0.89 | 14 | Ae 4? | Constantine II. / -- ]S.IVN.NOB.C. Laurated head to right | Figure left with spear and shield |  | 337-340 | 17 |
| 1029 | 1 | 1.49 | 15 | Ae 4 | Helena, bust right / [flivl he]LENAE AVG [S. 3910] | Pax standing left with palm branch / PAX PV[blic]A | /TRP• | 337-340 | 17 |
| 1037 | 1 | 1.33 | 16 | Ae 4 | Theodora / el]MAX THEO[dorae avg | Pietas / pietas ro]MANA | / TRP• | 337-341 | 17 |
| 1048 | 1 | 0.99 | 12 | Ae 3 copy | Helena / H[-- | Pax to left / pax pvblic]A | illeg | 337-341 | 17 |
| 1064 | 1 | 0.64 | 13 | Ae 4 | Constantine II / co]NSTANTINVS IVL[-- | Two soldiers two standards / GLORIA EXERCITVS | / PLG | 337-341 | 17 |
| 1096 | 1 | 0.76 | 14 | Ae 4 half only | Theodora / . | /pie]TAS [romana | off flan | 337-341 | 17 |
| 1108 | 1 | 1.45 | 15 | Ae 3/4 | Constantius II / CONSTANTIVS PF AVG | Two soldiers one standard / glor]IA EXERCITVS | G/ PARL? | 337-341 | 17 |
| 1118 | 1 | 1.39 | 14 | Ae 3/4 | Constantius II / dn ivl con]STANTIVS AVG | Two soldiers one standard / GLORIA EX[ercitvs | O / TRS | 337-348 | 17 |
| 1119 | 1 | 1.78 | 15 | Ae 3/4 | Constantius II / constan]TIVS[-7 | Two soldiers one standard / GLORIA EXERCITVS | O/( ) ? | 337-348 | 17 |
| 1000 | 1 | 1.09 | 14 | Ae 3/4 | Illegible | Two Victories facing holding wreaths victo]RIAE DD AVGG QNN | illeg | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1027 | 1 | 1.32 | 15 | Ae 3/4 | illegible | Two Victories facing holding wreaths, palm branch between | illeg | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1028 | 1 | 1.3 | 15 | Ae 4 | Constantine II / CON[stanti]IVS AVG | Two Victories facing holding wreaths, letter T between / vI]CTORIAE DD [avgg qnn | illeg | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1033 | 1 | 1.35 | 16 | Ae 4 | Constans / CONSTANS PF AVG | Two Victories facing holding wreaths leaf betw / VICTORIAE DD[avgg qn]N | cordate leaf/ TRP | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1035 | 1 | 1.43 | 16 | Ae 4 | ? / CONSTAN[-- | Two Victories facing holding wreaths vic]TORIAE DD[avg]G QN[n | illeg | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1038 | 1 | 1.24 | 14 | Ae 4 | Constans / CONSTANS PF AVG | Two Victories facing holding wreaths betw / victoriaeddavg]G QNN | $\pm / \mathrm{TRP}$ | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1052 | 1 | 0.51 | 15 | Ae 3 frag | $? / \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{N}[-$ | Two Victories facing holding wreaths / q]NN |  | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1056 | 1 | 1.48 | 16 | Ae 3 | Constans? / CON.[--] .AVG | Two Victories facing holding wreaths / victoriaedd] AVGG [qnn | * / T-S | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1069 | 1 |  |  | Ae 4 (missing?) | Constantius II / CONSTANTIVS PF A[vg | Two Victories facing holding wreaths / victoriaedd avgg q]NN | S/T? /--- | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1072 | 1 | 2.04 | 16 | Ae 3 | ? / illegible | Two Victories facing holding wreaths / VICTO[riae] DD A[vgg qnn] | /(S)ARL | 343-348 | 17 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SF } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |  | Wt./g | Dia | Description | Obverse | Reverse | Mint | Date | Reece per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1104 | 1 | 1.02 | 15 | $\text { Ae } 4$ | Constans / c] ONSTAN[--. | Two Victories facing holding wreaths / victoriaedd avgg qnald | (cordate leaf)/ <br> TRS | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1113 | 1 | 1.17 | 15 | Ae 4 | ? House of Constantine / --JVS PF AVG | Two Victories facing holding wreaths / VICTORIAE DD [avgg qnn | $\mathrm{P} /(-\mathrm{--})$ ? | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1122 | 1 | 1.25 | 16 | Ae 3 | Constans / con]STANS pf avg] | Two Victories facing holding wreaths / vi[ctoriae dd avgg]QNN | cordate leaf /TRS | 343-348 | 17 |
| 1120 | 1 | 2.05 | 17 | Ae $1 / 2$ cent | Constantius II / CONSTAN]TIVS PF AVG | Phoenix on pyre / fel temp repa]RATIO | --- | 348-350 | 17 |
| 1044 | 1 | 0.95 | 9 | Minim, copy | Crude head left | Fallen horseman |  | 348-361 | 18 |
| 1077 | 1 | 0.94 | 13 | Ae 4 copy? | Constantius II / D.N CON[-- | Fallen horseman / fel temp repara]TIO | /TIR | 353-354 | 18 |
| 1010 | 1 | 0.62 | 12 | Ae 4 copy? | Head to right / illegible | Fallen horseman / illegible | illeg | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1016 | 1 | 0.93 | 18 | Nummus? | ? | ?Fallen horseman / fel temp] REPA[ratio | missing | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1020 | 1 | 0.97 | 13 | Ae 4? small | House of Constantine laurated bust right | Fallen horseman / illegible [fel temp reparatio] | missing | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1024 | 1 | 0.68 | 12 | Copy | House of Constantine / Laurated bust right | Fallen horseman / FE[ temp reparatio |  | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1025 | 1 | 1.18 | 20 | Ae 3 | Constantius II bust to r (S.4010) / D.N.CONSTANTIVS PF AVG | Eallen horseman / f]EL TEMP [rep]ARAT[io |  | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1032 | 1 | 1.03 | 14 | Ae 4 copy? | Constantius II / [d.n. c]ONSTANTIVS PF ${ }^{5}$ AVG | Fallen horseman / f]EL TEM[p reparatio |  | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1042 | 1 | 1.27 | 13 | Copy | ?/ D.N. [-- | Fallen horseman / [fel temp reparatio] | illegible | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1051 | 1 | 0.86 | 10 | Minim copy | Head to right / | Fallen horseman |  | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1071 | 1 | 1.27 | 15 | Nummus, Ae 3 copy | ?/ --]SPFIAG | Fallen horseman / f el temp] REPARATI[0 |  | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1094 | 1 | 0.94 | 16 | Ae 3 broken | ?Constantius II / . DN S[---. V [-- | Fallen horseman / FEL [temp reparatio | /S-- | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1095 | 1 | 0.8 | 12 | Ae 4 copy? | ?Head to right/ DN[-- | Fallen horseman / [fel temp reparatio] | ? | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1106 | 1 | 0.77 | 11 | Fragment of Ae copy | ?/ --]AV[g | Fallen horseman |  | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1126 | 1 | 1.89 | 19 | Ae 3 | ? House of Constantine / DNC[on]STAN[- | Fallen horseman / fel temp reparat]IO | /? () TRP | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1132 | 1 | 0.85 | 13 | Ae 4 copy | ? House of Constantine / illegible | Fallen horseman / illegible [fel temp reparatio] |  | 354-361 | 18 |
| 1046 | 1 | 2.77 | 19 | Ae 3 some silver content | Valens? / d]N VALEN[- | Victory adv left / securitasreipvblicae | ? / -- A - | 364-378 | 19 |
| 1053 | 1 | 1.62 | 19 | Ae3, broken worn | ?/ Head to right | Victory to left / se]CU[r]ITAS REPUBL[icae |  | 364-378 | 19 |
| 1107 | 1 | 2.3 | 18 | Ae 3 | Gratian / d.n.gr]ATIANVS [avgg avg cil | Gratian standing head left holding standard / GLORIA NOVISAECVLI | ()//ton | 364-378 | 19 |
| 1111 | 1 | 2.55 | 12 | Ae 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gratian/ D.N.GRAT[-- } \end{aligned}$ | Victory advancing left / SECURITAS REIPVBLICAE | /CON | 364-378 | 19 |
| 1112 | 1 | 2.12 | 12 | Ae 3 | 2 House of Valentinian / illegible? | Victory adv left / SECURITAS REIPVBLICAE | OF /IS/(---)? | 364-378 | 19 |
| 1092 | 1 | 2.86 | 12 | Ae 3, corroded | Gratian(illegible) | gloriano [VISA]ecvlia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ?T--- | 367-378 | 19 |
| 1031 | 1 | 2.29 | 19 | Ae 3 | Gratian / DN GRATIANVS AVGG AVG | Gratian dragging captive right holding labarum / GL[ori]A ROMANORVM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O/F } 11 \\ & \text { S/LVGS } \end{aligned}$ | 367-383 | 19 |
| 1014 | 1 | 0.44 | 10 | Very worn, corroded | illegible | illegible |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SF } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. | Wt./g | Dia | Description | Obverse | Reverse | Mint | Date | Reece per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1133 | 1 | 2.92 | 12 | V. corroded/concreted |  | O |  | ? |  |
| 1057 | 1 | 1.27 | 12 | Ae4 culna | ?/ illegible | Standing fig / illegible | / -- ON | 3rd-4th c |  |
| 1003 | 1 | 1.23 | 15 | Ae 4 | Illegible | Pax standing left $\times$ pax pvblica? | illeg | 4th c |  |
| 1015 | 1 | 1.48 | 20 | V worn, corroded, | Bust to right / illegible | Standing figure? |  | 4th c |  |
| 1026 | 1 | 0.99 | 16 | Very worn Ae3 | Laureate, possibly Hse of Valentinian | illegible |  | 4th c |  |
| 1041 | 1 | 0.47 | 12 | Ae 4? illegible fragment | Illegible | Illegible |  | 4th c |  |
| 1049 | 1 | 2.06 | 18 | Ae 3 | Illegible head to right? | Illegible |  | 4th c |  |
| 1067 | 1 | 1.09 | 14 | Ae 3/4, worn, corroded | Laureate --[ IVSPFA]- | ?standing fig, possibly double-struck? |  | 4th c |  |
| 1088 | 1 | 1.27 | 16 | Ae 4 | Bust to right. / illegible | - / illegible |  | 4th c |  |
| 1093 | 1 | 0.45 | 8 | Minim | Head to right | ? | ? / (P)LG | 4th c ? |  |

## Appendix 9 Animal bone



| Ctxt | No. | Wt./g | Species | NISP | Butchered | Charred | Gnawed | Root | Weathered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0062 | 16 | 430 | Cattle | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Horse | 4 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |
| 0062 |  |  | Sheep/goat | , |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Large mammal | 6 |  |  |  |  | . ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  | mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Medium mammal | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0063 | 27 | 523 | Cattle | 6 |  |  |  | ${ }^{2} 5$ | 1 |
|  |  |  | Pig | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sheep/goat | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | Large mammal | 8 |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | mammal | 8 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | Medium mammal | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0070 | 3 | 13 | Sheep/goat | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | Medium mammal | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 0072 | 22 | 101 | Cattle | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | Sheep/goat | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | Large mammal | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0075 | 1 | 6 | Sheep/goat | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 0076 | 5 | 237 | Cattle | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Large mammal | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | Medium mammal | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 0077 | 5 | 167 | Sheep/goat |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | Large mammal | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Medium mammal | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 0078 | 1 | 1 | Large mammal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Key: NISP = Number of Identified Specimens, Root = root marking

