An Archaeological Watching Brief At Ferndale Drive, Ratby, Leicestershire. (SK 518 058)

for

CAWREY LTD.

Checkee	l by Project Manager
Signed:	Date:
Name:	

University of Leicester

Archaeological Services University Rd., Leicester, LE1 7RH Tel: (0116) 2522848 Fax: (0116) 2522614 ULAS Report No. 2005-175 ©2005

CONTENTS

Summary	1
Introduction	
Site Location and Geology	1
Aims and Methods	
Results	3
Conclusion	4
Bibliography	5
Archive	
Acknowledgements	б
	-

APPENDIX 1: The medieval and later pottery and miscellaneous finds from a watching brief at Ratby, Leicestershire (See Figure 2 for field annotations, Figure 3 for positions)

APPENDIX 2: Small finds recorded by Neil Glenister (See Figure 4)

APPENDIX 3: Design Specification for Archaeological work

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1:Site Location OS Map Landranger 140: Leicester, Coventry & Rugby

Scale 1:50 000 ©Crown Copyright. Licence No. 100021186.

Figure 2: Plan of complete development area, showing developer's and archaeologists' field annotations. Main area covered by this report in red

Figure 3: Site plan with features and finds observed during watching brief

Figure 4: Site plan with finds observed and collected by Neil Glenister during and subsequent to watching brief **Figure 5:** Illustration of Roman Brooches by R. Knox

PLATES

Plate 1: Aerial photograph of development site, with study areas highlighted in green. Previously watched areas (Higgins 2000) highlighted in red.

Plate 2: Work in progress, topsoil stripping, looking northeast

Plate 3: Work in progress on Plot 67 foundation trenches, looking southeast

An Archaeological Watching Brief At Ferndale Drive, Ratby, Leicestershire. (SK 518 058)

Leon Hunt

Summary

An archaeological watching brief was undertaken by University of Leicester Archaeological Services (ULAS) at Ferndale Drive, Ratby, Leicestershire during ground-works associated with the building of new houses. The site lies within an area of archaeological importance adjacent to previous finds of Roman date and close to the line of an important Roman road. The watching brief revealed many finds from Roman and medieval periods, including coins, pottery and brooches. No features were discovered that could be dated. The archive will be deposited with Leicestershire County Council Heritage Services with accession number X.A35.2001.

Introduction

University of Leicester Archaeological Services (ULAS) were commissioned by Cawrey Ltd to carry out a watching brief during the excavation of all ground disturbances, including topsoil stripping, foundation trenches and service trenches at Ferndale Drive, Ratby, Leicestershire (grid ref. SK 518 058). Archaeological work was required as a condition of the planning permission granted by Leicestershire County Council (planning application No. 98/00324/FUL/).

The site lies within an area of archaeological importance adjacent to previous finds of Roman date and close to the line of an important Roman road. During a previous watching brief carried out by ULAS in 2000 several sherds of medieval and post-medieval pottery were recovered, along with a single Roman sherd and evidence of a medieval field system (Higgins 2000).

The planned development of new homes with associated services, garages and access roads was seen to have the potential to have a damaging impact on any archaeological remains if present.

The correspondence from the Senior Archaeologist, County Archaeology, Leicestershire Museums Service, dated 16th March 1999, detailed the need for a watching brief during the demolition and ground-works. A Design Specification for a watching brief was prepared by ULAS (Appendix 3).

Site Location and Geology

Ratby is located approximately 8km to the west of central Leicester close to the M1 motorway. The development site lies just to the west of the motorway close to the medieval core of Ratby. It lies approximately 2.5km to the east of Bury Camp, a large rectangular earthwork, which is thought to date from the Iron Age (Liddle 1982). A probable Roman road lies just to the north of the site. This is thought to run southeast to northwest and is thought to branch away from another road, which runs between Leicester and Mancetter in Warwickshire.

The Ordnance Survey Geological Survey of Great Britain (Solid and Drift) Sheet 170 indicates that the underlying geology is likely to consist of Boulder Clay. The land lies at a height of c.90m.




Figure 1:Site Location OS Map Landranger 140: Leicester, Coventry & Rugby Scale 1:50 000 ©Crown Copyright. Licence No. 100021186.

Aims and Methods

The purpose of the watching brief was to ascertain whether archaeological deposits were present. If so, the character, extent and date range of any deposits identified would be established, in order to assess their significance (see Appendices, Design Specification). Recording of these deposits would be carried out as appropriate, and an archive and this report produced. The work followed the Institute of Field Archaeologists (IFA) *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Watching Briefs*, and adhered to the University's Health and Safety policy.

An archaeologist visited the site thirteen times between 05/07/01 and 27/09/01 and seven times between 20/03/03 and 21/07/03 and ground-works associated with the development were observed. This included topsoil stripping and the excavation of trenches for services and foundations. JCB training was also carried out on selected areas of the site and these procedures were also observed.

The spoil heaps were also checked for archaeological finds. All the work was carried out in close liaison with the Ratby archaeological warden Mr. Neil Glenister, who also carried out intermittent visits to the site and frequently surveyed the area with a metal detector.



Plate 1: Aerial photograph of development site, with study areas highlighted in green. Previously watched areas (Higgins 2000) highlighted in red.

Results

An archaeologist visited the site 13 times between 05/07/01 and 27/09/01 and topsoil stripping and trenching for services was observed throughout this time. Throughout the site the topsoil consisted of 0.2-0.3m of dark brown loam, the subsoil consisted of 0.2m of reddish mid-brown firm clay. The natural substratum consisted of orangey brown slightly sandy silty clay with patches of rounded pebbles, with some variation in clay colour between areas. The trenches were between 0.6m and 1.2m wide and between 0.75m and 4m deep.

During the visits of 05/07/01 and 06/07/01several features were observed. These included areas of metalled surfaces, most likely old field tracks, areas of loose large pebbles and a small pit. This pit was 0.4m in diameter and was 0.14m deep. It was

roughly circular in plan and contained a fill of blueish grey clay with some charcoal flecks. No finds were found within the features to date them. However, a sherd of Roman pottery was located 3m from the pit. Other finds from this visit included brick fragments, a sherd of Potters Marsden pottery and some other pieces of glazed pottery.

A slate drain and a small area of loose pebbles were also observed (Figure 3). No features were observed during the stripping that was watched on 09/07/01.

During the visit on 10/07/01 service trenches were observed. No features were observed, but Mr Glenister discovered several interesting finds. These included two Roman fibula brooches and a collection of ceramic finds, which included medieval pottery (Appendix 1). The following visits of 11/07/01 and 12/07/01 revealed no features that were archaeological. However, two sherds of pottery were discovered, one medieval, the other post-medieval. The area previously watched by ULAS in 2000 (Higgins 2000) was observed on 11/07/01. Areas of pebbles or cobbles were also observed, mainly around the entrances to the fields, but there was also evidence of cobbling in the centre of the western field (Figure 3).

The 'pond' area (Figure 3), which was to form an attenuation pond for the site, was watched during the visit of 13/07/01. Areas of gravel were observed, but were later found to be non-archaeological. The archaeological warden found more sherds of medieval pottery from a similar location to those discovered during previous visits. Finds were also recovered including a belt buckle, a sherd of Samian ware and flint flakes on the visits of 23-27/07/01 and also during the visits of 01/08/01 and 07/08/01, many from the from the metal detecting and surveying of Neil Glenister. The sewer trenches observed during these visits, however, did not yield any evidence of features or finds although they were up to 3-4 metres deep. No features were seen during the JCB training observed on these days. However, a few sherds of medieval pottery were recovered close to the 'pond' excavations during these visits and during the visit of 27/09/01.

The seven later visits in 2003 mainly involved the watching of the excavation of foundation trenches, although some topsoil stripping and service trenches were observed. The visits of 07-09/05/03 and 13-14/05/03 and 18/07/03 and 21/07/03 mainly involved the watching of foundation trenches for Plots 63-68. Despite the most interesting finds, including the two Roman brooches (Appendix 2) being located in this area no archaeological features were seen, although a few sherds of medieval pottery were located.

Conclusion

The watching brief yielded some significant finds, which suggests Roman activity in the area, along with some evidence of activity from the early medieval period. However, all the finds were located from within the topsoil and are therefore not clear evidence of activity taking place in any distinct areas of the site. Many were found close to field boundaries and close to the small stream, which runs across part of the site (Figure 3) and may have moved some distance from their point of origin.

The areas of apparent cobbling close to the field entrances are likely to be associated with the local farmer's attempts to consolidate areas that tended to become muddy and waterlogged during damp periods. This is endorsed by Neil Glenister who has observed this kind of activity throughout his association with the site and the local farmer. The cobbled areas within the fields are also attempts to consolidate areas that are frequently used by farm machinery moving from field to field.

Only one feature was identified and excavated and that was the small post-hole seen during the visits of 05/07/01 and 06/07/01. This yielded no artefacts that would of dated it, but the proximity of the feature to the metalled surface just within the field entrance would suggest that this might have been the posthole for a gatepost, just within the middle field (Figure 3).

The watching brief uncovered no firm evidence for the line of the Roman Road, although the conditions under which the archaeological work was carried out was not conductive to good observational practice, as the site was not stripped systematically under close archaeological supervision.

The work of Neil Glenister in neighbouring fields to the study area have uncovered further finds, including a Roman 'Lion' decoration, a further 'Dolphin' brooch and part of a Roman knife pommel. The evidence for Roman activity in the area is strong, but unfortunately not specific.

Also of note is the relatively large quantity of medieval and post-medieval pottery covered from the watching brief (Appendix 1). Again no features were associated with these and it is likely that they are the result of manuring the fields over several centuries.

Bibliography

Higgins, T 2000. An Archaeological Watching Brief, Taverner Drive, Ratby, Leicestershire (SK 520 057) ULAS Report 2000/86

Archive

The archive for this project will be deposited with Leicestershire Historic and Natural Environment Team with accession number X.A35.2001 and consists of the following:

2000 Watching brief (Tim Higgins)

1 Copy of Final Report (ULAS 2000-86)

- 1 Watching brief recording sheet
- 2 Maps/sketches of site
- 2 Architect's Plans

2001-2003 Watching Brief (Steve Jones/Leon Hunt)

1 Copy Final Report (ULAS 2005-175)

- 18 Watching Brief Recording Sheets
- 2 Aerial Photographs (prints) of the Site, prior to the work

63 Colour Slides

- 1 B&W Contact Sheets with 30 prints
- 30 B&W Negatives
- 2 Architect's Plans
- 8 Maps and sketches of find locations

1 Box of Small Finds

A few finds discovered by Neil Glenister have been recorded with Leicestershire Historic and Natural Environment Team and are retained by Mr. Glenister in his private collection (see Appendix 2).

Acknowledgements

ULAS would like to thank the representatives of Cawrey Limited for their assistance. Steve Jones and Leon Hunt of ULAS would also like to thank Neil Glenister for his help, experience and co-operation on this project. Steve Jones, Jen Browning and Sally Ann Smith carried out the watching brief. Patrick Clay managed the project.

Leon Hunt Steve Jones

ULAS University of Leicester University Road Leicester LE1 7RH

Tel:0116 252 2848 Fax: 0116 252 2614

<u>lh90@le.ac.uk</u> scj8@le.ac.uk






Figure 2: Plan of complete development area, showing developer's and archaeologists' field annotations. Main area covered by this report in red



⁽A)-(R)= FIND SPOTS/AREAS (See Appendix 1)






Figure 4: Site plan with finds observed and collected by Neil Glenister during and subsequent to watching brief



Plate 2: Work in progress, topsoil stripping, looking northeast



Plate 3: Work in progress on Plot 67 foundation trenches, looking southeast

APPENDIX 1: The medieval and later pottery and miscellaneous finds from a watching brief at Ratby, Leicestershire (See Figure 2 for field annotations, Figure 3 for positions)

The Pottery and Miscellaneous Finds

Deborah Sawday

Introduction

The pottery, 102 sherds, weighing 912 grams, was examined under a binocular microscope and catalogued with reference to the ULAS fabric series (Davies and Sawday 1999), the results are shown below, Table 1. A small quantity of Roman tile, modern clay pipe and miscellaneous metal finds were also present.

Fabric/Ware	Sherd	%	Weight	%
	Nos.		Grams	
Roman				
GW – Grey ware	4		17	
SA – Samian ware	2		3	
OW – Oxidized ware	1		2	
Roman Sub Total	7	6.8	22	2.4
Period Unknown				
OS – Oxidised ware	4		23	
Period Unknown Sub Total	4	3.9	23	2.5
Medieval				
PM – Potters Marston	22		106	
CO2 – Coventry A ware	1		8	
CC1 – Chilvers Coton ware 1	19		191	
CC5 – Chilvers Coton ware 5	2		19	
MS2 – Medieval Sandy ware 2	3		32	
MS3 – Medieval Sandy ware 3	1		8	
MS – Medieval Sandy ware	2		5	
Medieval Sub Total	50	49.0	369	40.4
Post Medieval				
EA1 – Earthenware 1	1		14	
EA4 – Mottled ware	3		48	
EA6 - Blackware	1		5	
EA7 - Slipware	1		8	
Post Medieval Sub Total	6.0	5.8	75	8.2
EA/PO - Modern Earthenware/Porcelain	35		423	
Modern Sub Total	35	34.3	423	46.3
Totals	102	99.8	912	99.8

Table 1: The pottery totals by fabric sherd numbers and weight (grams)

Discussion

The seven sherds of Roman pottery are evidence of activity in the area from the second to the fourth century AD. The twenty two sherds of Potters Marston ware, the single largest group of pottery from the site, date from the twelfth to the thirteenth centuries, and, together with the Coventry fabric CO2, Chilvers Coton fabrics CC1 and CC5, and the Medieval Sandy wares account for forty nine per cent of the total

assemblage by sherd numbers. There is a noticeable lack of late medieval pottery, and relatively little post medieval material.

Conclusion

There was limited evidence of a Roman presence in the area, presumably relating to the Roman road to the north. The relatively low average sherd weight and degree of abrasion of the fifty sherds of medieval pottery suggest that they are probably the product of the manuring of the fields with domestic rubbish from the twelfth, to the thirteenth and, possibly, the fourteenth centuries. The few sherds of later medieval and early post medieval pottery may point to changes in the patterns of rubbish disposal or possibly to changes in the pattern of the village settlement at the time.

Typically the medieval pottery fabrics reflect the essentially local nature of pottery production and distribution that characterised the industry in this period.

Bibliography

Davies, S., and Sawday, D., 1999. 'The Post Roman Pottery and Tile' *in* A. Connor and R. Buckley, *Roman and Medieval Occupation in Causeway Lane, Leicester*, Leicester Archaeology Mon. **5**, 165-213.

Redknap, M., and Perry, J.G., 1996. 'Medieval and later pottery' *in* M. Rylatt, and M.A. Stokes, *The Excavations at Broadgate East, Coventry 1974-5*. Coventry Mus. Mon **5**, 37-99.

Site/Parish: Ratby, Leics.	Submitter: L. Hunt et al
Accession No/ Doc Ref: XA35	Identifier: D. Sawday
2001/ratby2.doc	Date of Id: 13.12.05
Material: pottery & misc. finds	Method of Recovery: wb
Site Type: near Roman road. ? Medieval	
open fields	

Date Codes: ROM – Roman, EM – c.1100-1300, MED – c.1250-1400, LM – 1300-1450, EPM, 1500/50-1650, PM – 1650-1750, MOD – 1750+, UN – period unknown

N.B. Field names refer to developer's field annotations (RES 1AH etc), and the various archaeologists' annotations: RES 1AG= Field (4); RES 1AH= Field (1) etc. (See Figure 2)

Field (1) is divided into two parts: A & B. Letters in brackets i.e (A) & (B) are finds groups or concentrations. 8-figure numbers on some finds are National Grid References

Context	Fabric/ware	No	Grams	Date	Comments
POTTERY					
Descriptions on bags					
Ratby w/b, Field A Top					
Soil					
RES I AH U/S	MS2 – Medieval Sandy	3	32	MED	Everted jar rim,
	ware 2				probably Chilvers

		1			1~ .
					Coton product –
					but very coarse
					grits. Lacks white inclusions normally
					found in this MS2.
RES I AH U/S	EA – Modern	3	30	MOD	EA2, EA8, EA
	Earthenwares	-			,,,
RES I AH U/S N of Park	GW – Grey ware	1	1	ROM	Roman
RES I AH U/S N of Park	PM – Potters Marston	2	8	EM	Abraded
RES I AH U/S N of Park	EA4 - Mottled ware	1	25	PM	Bowl rim
RES I AH U/S N of Park	EA2 – Post Med/Modern	3	78	MOD	
	Earthenware 2				
Field B RES I AH U/S	EA2	1	5	MOD	
	EA2	1	5	MOD	
Ratby, Ferndale Drive, NW Field					
NW Field – Soakaway?	EA2	3	30	MOD	
NW Field N of Track	EA4	1	5	PM	
NW Field N of Track	EA10 – Modern White	2	5	MOD	
	Earthenware				
NW Field U/S	EA6 - Blackware	1	5	PM	
NW Field U/S	EA10/PO - Modern	2	10	MOD	
	White				
	Earthenware/Porcelain				
NW Field	CC1 – Chilvers Coton	1	5	MED	Glazed jug
Ratby, Ferndale Drive	ware 1				fragment, abraded
Field (1) 1/8/01					
(A) RES AH	PM	3	8	EM	
(B)	SA - Samian	1	1	ROM	Roman - 2 nd C.
(B)	PM	1	3	EM	
(B)	CC1	1	8	MED	Jug base
(J) 5182-0585	PM	1	8	EM	
(J) 5182-0585	CC1	1	8	MED	Simple everted bowl rim
(K) 5193 0582	OW – Oxidised ware	2	10	UN	Bowl rim, period unknown
(K) 5191 0582	CC1	1	7	MED	
(K) 5135-0584	CC5 – Chilvers Coton ware 5	1	2	MED	Glazed
(K) 5193-0580	EA2	1	18	MOD	Bowl rim
(L) 5194-0584	SA	1	2	ROM	Roman - 2^{nd} C. ?
					Cup
(M) 5185-0584	CC1	2	5	MED	
(N) 5291-0584	PM	2	13	EM	
(P) 5195-0581	GW	1	4	ROM	Roman, $2^{nd} - 4^{th} C$ AD
(P) 5195-0581	CC1	2	12	MED	
(P) 5195-0581	EA1 – Earthenware 1	1	14	EPM	
(Q) 5190-0586	PM	2	2	EM	
(Q) 5190-0586	? CO2 – Coventry ware 2	1	8	EM	
(Q) 5190-0586	EA2	1	1	MOD	
(R) 5190-0583	MS3 – Medieval Sandy ware 3	1	8	LM	
Field (1)	CC1	1	32	LM	Highly fired
Ratby XA35 2001					
U/S Field (1)	РМ	1	23	EM	
U/S Field (1)	EA2 – Post Medieval	1	6	PM	

	Earthenware				
U/S Field (1)	EA8 – Cream ware	1	6	MOD	
U/S Field 2 close to	CC1	3	34	LM	Two sherds highly
western hedge boundary	cer	5	51	12101	fired
I (1) 5185/0584 to	GW	1	2	ROM	Roman, 2 nd C AD
05187.05?12 – following					,
hedge line & stream					
Ditto	PM	2	6	EM	
Ditto	CC1	3	17	MED	
Ditto	EA7 - Slipware	1	8	EPM	?17 th C
Ditto	EA2	1	2	MOD	
B (1) SW side of Field 2	EA – Unclassified	1	78	MOD	? 18 th C
	Earthenware				
Field (4) NW & W area	EA4	1	18	PM	Cup base
Ditto	EA2	1	10	MOD	
Ditto	EA10	6	19	MOD	
Field 2 close to W. hedge	GW	1	10	ROM	Roman, $2^{nd} - 4^{th} C$
boundary D (1)					AD
Ditto	OW	2	13	UN	Period unknown
Ditto	PM	1	4	EM	
Ditto	CC1	6	74	LM	Two sherds highly
					fired
A (1)	CC5	1	17	MED	Abraded
E (1) 5190-0580	OW	1	2	ROM	Roman ? 2 nd C AD
Ditto	PM	2	8	EM	
Ditto	MS – Medieval Sandy	1	2	MED	Iron rich slip
	ware				decoration
F (1) 5188-0580	SA	-	-	ROM	("At Museum")
Ditto	PM	3	17	EM	
Ditto	CC1	1	6	MED	Glazed
G (4)	PM	1	3	EM	
H (4) from track way	EA8	1	7	MOD	
J (4)	PM	1	3	EM	
Ditto	MS	1	3	MED	possibly a Nottingham ware, NO2
Topsoil	EA2, EA8, EA10, PO – Modern Earthenwares & Porcelain -	7	118	MOD	
		-			
СРМ					
CBM Dothy VA 35 2001					
Ratby XA35 2001		2	20		2 Domen tile
Ratby XA35 2001 Field 2 U/S	EA	2	80	ROM	? Roman tile
Ratby XA35 2001		2 3	80 398	ROM ROM	? Roman tile Roman tile
Ratby XA35 2001 Field 2 U/S Field (4)	EA				
Ratby XA35 2001 Field 2 U/S Field (4) CLAY PIPE	EA				
Ratby XA35 2001Field 2 U/SField (4)CLAY PIPEField A Top Soil	EA EA	3		ROM	
Ratby XA35 2001Field 2 U/SField (4)CLAY PIPEField A Top SoilRES I AH U/S	EA EA Stem	3		ROM MOD	
Ratby XA35 2001Field 2 U/SField (4)CLAY PIPEField A Top Soil	EA EA	3		ROM	
Ratby XA35 2001 Field 2 U/S Field (4) CLAY PIPE Field A Top Soil RES I AH U/S RES I AH U/S N of Park	EA EA Stem	3		ROM MOD	
Ratby XA35 2001Field 2 U/SField (4)CLAY PIPEField A Top SoilRES I AH U/SRES I AH U/S N of ParkMISC	EA EA Stem	3		ROM MOD	
Ratby XA35 2001 Field 2 U/S Field (4) CLAY PIPE Field A Top Soil RES I AH U/S RES I AH U/S N of Park MISC Field A Top Soil	EA EA Stem Stem	3 2 1		ROM MOD MOD	
Ratby XA35 2001Field 2 U/SField (4)CLAY PIPEField A Top SoilRES I AH U/SRES I AH U/S N of ParkMISCField A Top SoilRES I AH U/S	EA EA Stem Stem Iron & Metal objects	3 2 1 3		ROM MOD	
Ratby XA35 2001Field 2 U/SField (4)CLAY PIPEField A Top SoilRES I AH U/SRES I AH U/S N of ParkMISCField A Top SoilRES I AH U/SRES I AH U/S N of Park	EA EA Stem Stem	3 2 1		ROM MOD MOD	
Ratby XA35 2001Field 2 U/SField (4)CLAY PIPEField A Top SoilRES I AH U/SRES I AH U/S N of ParkMISCField A Top SoilRES I AH U/S	EA EA Stem Stem Iron & Metal objects	3 2 1 3		ROM MOD MOD	

(I) 5183-0586	Stone	3		
(K) 5192-0580	Stone	1		
(O) 5193-0581	Flint	1		
Field 2 G (4)	Stone	1		
Field 2 U/S	Iron Object	1	MOD	? Railing
Field 2 U/S	? Copper Alloy	1	MOD	Buckle - Modern

Table 2: Finds by area (See Figures 2 & 3)

Area	Object	Quantity	Date
1	Flint Flake	1	Unknown
1	Samian Cup Base	1	Roman
1	Grey Ware	1	Roman
1	Chilvers Coton	3	Medieval (Late
			12th-Late 13th)
1	Midland Purple	2	Late Medieval
			(Mid 15th – Mid
			16th)
1	Samian oddment	1	Roman
2	Potters Marston	4	Medieval Mid
			12th-14th
2	Sandy Ware	2	Early Medieval
3	Flint Flakes	2	Unknown
3	Potters Marston	1	Medieval Mid
			12th-14th
4	Potters Marston	2	Medieval Mid
			12th-14th
4	Chilvers Coton	1	Medieval (Late
			12th-Late 13th)
4	Grey Ware	2	Roman
5	Potters Marston	2	Medieval Mid
			12th-14th
5	Grey Ware	2	Roman
6	Potters Marston	3	Medieval Mid
			12th-14th
6	Chilvers Coton	1	Medieval (Late
			12th-Late 13th)
7	Grey Ware	2	Roman
8	Potters Marston	2	Medieval Mid
			12th-14th
8	Grey Ware	2	Roman
8	Pot?	1	Medieval
9	Samian oddment	2	Roman
9	Grey Ware	1	Roman
9	Potters Marston	2	Medieval Mid
			12th-14th

APPENDIX 2: Small finds recorded by Neil Glenister (See Figure 4) N.B: Mr. Glenister's finds areas not related to previous tables

Table 3: Neil Glenister's finds by area (See Figure 4)

Neil Glenister's Finds: Miscellaneous (see Figure 4)

The following have been identified by Richard Knox of Leicestershire Museums (30/07/01). See Figure 5.

- 1. Roman Brooch. Dolphin type with leaf-shaped lobes along each side of the bow. A raised ridge incised on both sides and bearing tiny internal incisions runs down the middle of the bow. The spring is enclosed. The arms are plain with a slight ridge at the terminals. Catch-plate is partly broken and pin broken. Date: 1st-2nd century AD.
- 2. Roman Brooch. Mattat shows two brooches, which could be related. One is unassigned and has simple T-shaped pin bar arms but an ornate bow. The

other has similar arms and an elaborate bow but only for a short while until a wide fantail emerges. As your brooch is broken not far down the bow it is difficult to tell what the foot was like. Another possibility is that had a trumpet-brooch style foot.

- 3. A penny of Edward I. 1279. London Mint.
- 4. A foot ring from a Samian cup. Probably 2nd century AD.
- 5. A stone looking rather like warn Samian.
- 6. Two flint flakes with no subsequent working. Neolithic-Bronze Age

Plus: A modern silver pendant and a Victorian silver hat pin.




Figure 5: Illustration of Roman Brooches by R. Knox

APPENDIX 3: Design Specification for Archaeological work