

**An Archaeological Evaluation on Land at
Uppingham School, Leicester Road, Uppingham,
Rutland (SP 8610 9975)**

Greg Farnworth-Jones

Client: Uppingham School

Planning Application No: eApps1661814

Planning Authority: Rutland County Council

Checked by Project Manager



Signed:

Date: 29.07.2008

Name: Patrick Clay

**University of Leicester
Archaeological Services**

University Rd., Leicester, LE1 7RH
Tel: (0116) 2522848 Fax: (0116) 2522614
Website: <http://www.le.ac.uk/ulas/>

ULAS Report Number 2008-109 © 2008

[OAKRM: 2008]

**An Archaeological Evaluation on Land at Uppingham School, Leicester Road,
Uppingham, Rutland, (NGR: SP 8610 9975)**

Contents

1	Summary	1
2	Introduction	1
3	Site Background	1
4	Previous Work and Archaeological Survey	2
5	Aims and Objectives	2
6	Methodology	3
7	Results	5
8	Conclusion	8
9	Acknowledgements	8
10	Archive	8
11	Bibliography	8
	Appendix: <i>Design specification</i>	9

Figures

Fig.1	Site Location, Scale 1:50000	2
Fig.2	Street plan of the development area, Scale 1:10000	3
Fig.3	Plan of existing structures within the development area.	4
Fig.4	Trench location plan	5
Fig.5	Trench 2 West facing	7

An Archaeological Evaluation on Land at Uppingham School, Leicester Road, Uppingham, Rutland, (NGR: SP 8610 9975)

Greg Farnworth-Jones

1. Summary

University of Leicester Archaeological Services carried out an archaeological evaluation by trial trenching on land at Uppingham School, on the 3rd and the 4th July 2008. This work was undertaken on behalf of Uppingham School, as part of an archaeological impact assessment in advance of a proposed new Sports Centre development. Two evaluation trial trenches were excavated, which did not locate any evidence for archaeological deposits or finds.

The site archive will be held with Rutland County Museum, under the accession code: [OAKRM:2008].

2. Introduction

2.1 University of Leicester Archaeological Services (ULAS) was commissioned by Uppingham School to carry out an archaeological trial trench evaluation on land at Uppingham School, Leicester Road, Uppingham, Rutland (SP 8610 9975). This work was undertaken as part of an archaeological impact assessment in advance of a proposed new Indoor Sports Centre and a Pool Court development.

2.2 In accordance with DOE Planning Policy Guidance note 16 (PPG 16, Archaeology and Planning, para.30) the Senior Planning Archaeologist of the Historic and Natural Environment Team of Leicestershire County Council, in his capacity as archaeological adviser to the planning authority, requested that a phase of archaeological investigation be undertaken, secured by condition in advance of the development. At the request of Uppingham School initial intrusive trial trench evaluation was undertaken at the site to attempt to confirm the presence or absence of archaeological remains at the site.

2.3 The development site and areas to the north-west has been subject to a desk-based assessment (Boutsikas, 2008), which identified that previous archaeological investigations in the vicinity of the site had uncovered extensive prehistoric remains, as well as Roman, Anglo-Saxon, medieval and post-medieval remains. This report presents the results of an archaeological evaluation by trial trenching carried out in July 2008 by University of Leicester Archaeological Services (ULAS).

3. Site Location

3.1 Uppingham lies 27 km east of the city of Leicester on the main Leicester-Peterborough road and is 6 miles south of Oakham. The proposed development site is located in the west of Uppingham (Figure 1) and is bounded by Leicester Road, to the north-west and by Stockerston Road to the south (Figure 3).

The proposed development will consist of the realignment of the rugby grounds currently on site, the construction of a new pavilion and the replacement of the existing south tennis and netball courts with a new Indoor Sports Centre and a Pool Court.




Fig.1 Site location

Reproduced from the OS map Landranger 141 Kettering and Corby area 1:50000 map by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright 1996. All rights reserved. Licence number AL 10002186.

4. Geology and Topography

4.1 The Ordnance Survey Geological Survey of Great Britain Sheet 157 indicates that the underlying geology of Uppingham is composed of Northampton Sand Formation which is a sandy limestone containing about 25% iron and is referred to as ironstone. The proposed development area is fairly flat, at a height of *c.*145m OD.

5. Aims and Objectives

5.1 The principle aims of the archaeological evaluation were:

- To identify the presence/absence of any archaeological deposits.
- To establish the character, extent and date range of any archaeological deposits located.
- To define the quality and state of preservation of these deposits.
- To produce an archive and report of any results.




Figure 2: Street plan of the development area. Proposed overall development area highlighted. Scale 1:10000 © Crown Copyright 1996. All rights reserved. Licence number AL 10002186.

6. Methodology

6.1 All work followed the Institute of Field Archaeologists (IFA) Code of Conduct and adhered to their relevant *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation* (1999).

6.2 The area of impact covers *c.* 0.22 ha, of which *c.*80% is not accessible due to the presences of an existing tennis court and services (fig. 3). The proposal as detailed in the design specification (Appendix) approved by the Senior Planning Archaeologist was for a *c.*5% sample of the area of impact be sampled, the equivalent of *c.* two 30m x 1.5m trenches totaling *c.*90 sq metres.

6.3 Topsoil and overburden was removed in level spits, under continuous archaeological supervision, down to the uppermost archaeological deposits by JCB 3C using a toothless ditching bucket. The trenches were excavated to a width of approximately 1.5m (or one bucket width) down to the top of archaeological deposits or natural undisturbed substratum, whichever was reached first.

6.4 The trenches were examined by hand cleaning. Any archaeological or significant natural deposits would be planned at an appropriate scale and sample-excavated by hand as appropriate to establishing the stratigraphic and chronological sequence.

6.5 Sections were drawn as appropriate, including record of at least one longitudinal face of each trench. Spot heights were taken as appropriate.

6.6 Trench locations were located and recorded using a GPS station and tied in to the Ordnance Survey National Grid. The data was processed using TopCon tools survey software and the final plans completed with the aid of TurboCad version 11 design software.




Figure 3: Plan of the existing structures in the overall development area.




Figure 4 Trench Location Plan

7. Results

7.1 Two trial trenches were excavated in the proposed development site. Both trenches were 30m in length and *c.* 1.5m in width. Their locations are shown on Figure 4. The trenches provided an approximately 5% sample of the area.

7.3 Trench 1

Trench 1 Details

Length of Trench	30m
Area of Trench	45 sq.m
Surface Level (m OD)	<i>c.</i> 145m OD
Base of Trench (m OD)	<i>c.</i> 144.5m OD

Trench one was located in the western corner of the site and was orientated east –west (fig.4). Initial machining in trench one revealed mid/dark grey brown friable sandy

silt (40:60), with occasional small rounded / sub-angular stones (< 2%) to a depth of c. 0.3m. Beneath this layer was observed compact light yellowish grey brown sandy clay (30:70), with occasional rounded stones (> 1%), to a further depth of c. 0.2m. At a depth of c. 0.50m was revealed the natural substratum which consisted of light yellow brown sandy clay with frequent small weathered angular stone inclusions (8%). This same stratigraphy was found in both trial trenches. No archaeological finds or features were located in trench one.

7.4 Trench 2

Trench 2 Details

Length of Trench	30m
Area of Trench	45 sq.m
Surface Level (m OD)	c. 145m OD
Base of Trench (m OD)	c. 144.4m OD

Trench two was located to the south-east of trench one, on the southern edge of the site, orientated east-west (fig4). Initial machining in trench two revealed mid/dark grey brown friable sandy silt (40:60), with occasional small rounded / sub-angular stones (< 2%) to a depth of c. 0.2m. Beneath this layer was observed compact light yellowish grey brown sandy clay (30:70), with occasional rounded stones (> 1%), to a further depth of c. 0.3m. At a depth of c. 0.60m was revealed the natural substratum which consisted of light yellow brown sandy clay with frequent small weathered angular stone inclusions (8%). This same stratigraphy was found in both trial trenches. No archaeological finds or features were located in trench two.

7.4 Trench Summary

Tr. No	Length	Width	Subsoil Depth	Depth of substratum	Notes	Top of archaeology (m)
1	30m	1.5m	c.0.40m	c.0.50m	negative	N/A
2	30m	1.5m	c.0.50m	c.0.60m	negative	N/A

8. Conclusion

8.1 The archaeological evaluation undertaken on land at Uppingham School, Leicester Road, Uppingham, Rutland (NGR: SP 8610 9975), produced no archaeological finds or features. Therefore the evaluation has established that the chance of uncovering archaeology in the remaining area of the site is unlikely.

9. Acknowledgements

9.1 I would like to thank the clients Uppingham School for their assistance and co-operation. Patrick Clay managed the project and the fieldwork was carried out by the author, with the assistance of Dan Stone, all of ULAS.



Figure 5 Trench 2 facing west

10. Archive

The site archive [OAKRM:2008], consisting of paper records, permatrace drawings and digital colour photographs will be housed with the Rutland County Museum.

Archive accession code [OAKRM:2008] contents:

Copy of Report:	Trench Recording Sheets:	Digital Colour Photographs:
1	2	15

11. Bibliography

Boutsikas, E., 2008 *An Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment of Uppingham School, Leicester Road/Stockton Road, Uppingham, Rutland (SP 8610 9975)* ULAS Ref: 2008/001

Clay, P., 2008 *Design Specification for archaeological work on land at Uppingham School, Leicester Road, Uppingham, Rutland, (SP 8610 9975)* ULAS Ref: 08/671

Greg Farnworth-Jones BA, MA
Archaeological Supervisor
University of Leicester Archaeological Services
University of Leicester
University Road
Leicester LE1 7RH

Tel: 0116 252 2848
Fax: 0116 252 2614
Email: gj28@le.ac.uk

10/07/2008

Appendix:

UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER ARCHAEOLOGICAL SERVICES

Design Specification for archaeological work

*Job title: Uppingham School, Leicester Road, Uppingham,
Rutland (SP 8610 9975)*

Client: Uppingham School

Planning Authority: Rutland County Council

Planning application No. eApps1661814

1 Introduction

1.1 Definition and scope of the specification

This document is a design specification for an initial phase of archaeological field evaluation (AFE) at the above site, in accordance with DOE Planning Policy Guidance note 16 (PPG16, Archaeology and Planning, para.30). The fieldwork specified below is intended to provide preliminary indications of character and extent of any buried archaeological remains in order that the potential impact of the development on such remains may be assessed by the Planning Authority.

- 1.2 The definition of archaeological field evaluation, taken from the Institute of Field Archaeologists Standards and Guidance: for Archaeological Field Evaluation (IFA S&G: AFE) is a limited programme of non-intrusive and/ or intrusive fieldwork which determines the presence or absence of archaeological features, structures, deposits, artefacts or ecofacts within a specified area or site on land, inter-tidal zone or underwater. If such archaeological remains are present field evaluation defines their character, extent, quality and preservation, and enables an assessment of their worth in a local, regional, national or international context as appropriate.

2. Background

2.1 Context of the Project

- 2.1.1 The site is Uppingham School, Leicester Road/Stockerston Road, Uppingham, Rutland (SP 8610 9975).
- 2.1.2 An application has been made for the replacement of the existing south tennis and netball courts with a new Indoor Sports Centre and a Pool Court.
- 2.1.3 Leicestershire County Council, Historic and Natural Environment Team (LCCHNET) as archaeological advisors to the planning authority have agreed that a scheme of works could be secured by condition. However the client has requested an initial field evaluation by trial trenching to identify and locate any archaeological remains of significance and propose suitable treatment to avoid or minimise damage by the development.

2.2 Archaeological and Historical Background

- 2.2.1 The site is has been subject to a desk-based assessment (Boutsikas 2008) which has identified that previous archaeological investigations in the vicinity of the site had uncovered extensive prehistoric remains, as well as Roman, Anglo-Saxon, medieval and post-medieval remains.

3. Archaeological Objectives

- 3.1 The main objectives of the evaluation will be:

- To identify the presence/absence of any archaeological deposits.
 - To establish the character, extent and date range for any archaeological deposits to be affected by the proposed ground works.
 - To produce an archive and report of any results.
- 3.2 Within the stated project objectives, the principal aim of the evaluation is to establish the nature, extent, date, depth, significance and state of preservation of archaeological deposits on the site in order to determine the potential impact upon them from the proposed development.
- 3.3 Trial trenching is an intrusive form of evaluation that will demonstrate the existence of earth-fast archaeological features that may exist within the area.

4. Methodology

4.1 *General Methodology and Standards*

- 4.1.1 All work will follow the Institute of Field Archaeologists (IFA) Code of Conduct and adhere to their *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation* (1999).
- 4.1.2 Staffing, recording systems, health and safety provisions and insurance details are included below.
- 4.1.3 Internal monitoring procedures will be undertaken including visits to the site by the project manager. These will ensure that project targets are met and professional standards are maintained. Provision will be made for external monitoring meetings with the Senior Planning Archaeologist the Planning authority and the Client.

4.2 *Trial Trenching Methodology*

- 4.2.1 Prior to any machining of trial trenches general photographs of the site areas will be taken. A Cat scanner will be employed to attempt to locate underlying services.
- 4.2.2 Topsoil/modern overburden will be removed in level spits, under continuous archaeological supervision, down to the uppermost archaeological deposits by JCB 3C or equivalent using a toothless ditching bucket. Trenches will be excavated to a width of 1.5m and down to the top of archaeological deposits. The area of the trenches will be protected by heras fencing.
- 4.2.3 The trenches will be backfilled and levelled at the end of the evaluation.
- 4.2.4 The area of impact covers *c.* 0.22 ha, of which *c.* 80% is not accessible due to the presence of an existing tennis court and services (Fig.1). A *c.* 5% sample of the accessible areas of the new building, car park and tennis courts is the equivalent of *c.* two 30m x 1.5m trenches totaling *c.* 90 sq m. (Fig 2). The exact location of the trenches may need to be modified depending on constraints on site.
- 4.2.5 Trenches will be examined by hand cleaning and any archaeological deposits located will be planned at an appropriate scale and sample-excavated by hand as appropriate to establishing the stratigraphic and chronological sequence. All plans will be tied into the Ordnance Survey National Grid. Spot heights will be taken as appropriate.
- 4.2.6 Sections of any excavated archaeological features will be drawn at an appropriate scale. At least one longitudinal face of each trench will be recorded. All sections will be levelled and tied to the Ordnance Survey Datum, or a permanent fixed bench mark.
- 4.2.7 Trench locations will be recorded using an electronic distance measurer. These will then be tied in to the Ordnance Survey National Grid.
- 4.2.8 Any human remains will initially be left *in situ* and will only be removed if necessary for their protection, under Ministry of Justice guidelines and in compliance with relevant environmental health regulations.

4.3 Recording Systems

- 4.3.1 The ULAS recording manual will be used as a guide for all recording.
- 4.3.2 Individual descriptions of all archaeological strata and features excavated or exposed will be entered onto pro-forma recording sheets.

- 4.3.3 A site location plan based on the current Ordnance Survey 1:1250 map (reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO) will be prepared. This will be supplemented by a trench plan at appropriate scale, which will show the location of the areas investigated in relationship to the investigation area and OS grid.
- 4.3.4 A record of the full extent in plan of all archaeological deposits encountered will be made. Sections including the half-sections of individual layers of features will be drawn as necessary, typically at a scale of 1:10. The OD height of all principal strata and features will be recorded.
- 4.3.5 A photographic record of the investigations will be prepared illustrating in both detail and general context the principal features and finds discovered. The photographic record will also include 'working shots' to illustrate more generally the nature of the archaeological operation mounted.
- 4.3.6 This record will be compiled and checked during the course of the excavations.

5. *Finds and Samples*

- 5.1 The IFA Guidelines for Finds Work will be adhered to.
- 5.2 Before commencing work on the site, a Site code/Accession number will be agreed with the Planning Archaeologist that will be used to identify all records and finds from the site.
- 5.3 During the fieldwork, different sampling strategies may be employed according to the perceived importance of the strata under investigation. Close attention will always be given to sampling for date, structure and environment. If significant archaeological features are sample excavated, the environmental sampling strategy is likely to include the following:
- i. A range of features to represent all feature types, areas and phases will be selected on a judgmental basis. The criteria for selection will be that deposits are datable, well sealed and with little intrusive or residual material.
 - ii. Any buried soils or well sealed deposits with concentrations of carbonised material present will be intensively sampled taking a known proportion of the deposit.
 - iii. Spot samples will be taken where concentrations of environmental remains are located.
 - iv. Waterlogged remains, if present, will be sampled for pollen, plant macrofossils, insect remains and radiocarbon dating provided that they are uncontaminated and datable. Consultation with the specialist will be undertaken.
- 5.4 All identified finds and artefacts are to be retained, although certain classes of building material will, in some circumstances, be discarded after recording with the approval of the Senior Planning Archaeologist. The IFA Guidelines for Finds Work will be adhered to.
- 5.5 All finds and samples will be treated in a proper manner. Where appropriate they will be cleaned, marked and receive remedial conservation in accordance with recognised best-practice. This will include the site code number, finds number and context number. Bulk finds will be bagged in clear self sealing plastic bags, again marked with site code, finds and context numbers and boxed by material in standard storage boxes (340mm x 270mm x 195mm). All materials will be fully labelled, catalogued and stored in appropriate containers.

6. *Report and Archive*

- 6.1 The full report in A4 format will usually follow within eight weeks of the completion of the fieldwork and copies will be dispatched to the Client, Senior Planning Archaeologist; SMR and Local Planning Authority.
- 6.2 The report will include consideration of:-
- The aims and methods adopted in the course of the evaluation.
 - The nature, location, extent, date, significance and quality of any structural, artefactual and environmental material uncovered.
 - The anticipated degree of survival of archaeological deposits.
 - The anticipated archaeological impact of the current proposals.
 - Appropriate illustrative material including maps, plans, sections, drawings and photographs.
 - Summary.
 - The location and size of the archive.

- A quantitative and qualitative assessment of the potential of the archive for further analysis leading to full publication, following guidelines laid down in Management of Archaeological Projects (English Heritage).
- 6.3 A full copy of the archive as defined in *The Guidelines For The Preparation Of Excavation Archives For Long-Term Storage* (UKIC 1990), and *Standards In The Museum: Care Of Archaeological Collections* (MGC 1992) and *Guidelines for the Preparation of Site Archives and Assessments for all Finds* (other than fired clay objects) (Roman Finds Group and Finds Research Group AD 700-1700 1993) will usually be presented to within six months of the completion of fieldwork. This archive will include all written, drawn and photographic records relating directly to the investigations undertaken.
- 7 *Publication and Dissemination of Results*
- 7.1 A summary of the work will be submitted for publication in the *Transactions of the Leicestershire Archaeological and Historical Society*. A larger report will be submitted for inclusion if the results of the evaluation warrant it.
8. *Acknowledgement and Publicity*
- 8.1 ULAS shall acknowledge the contribution of the Client in any displays, broadcasts or publications relating to the site or in which the report may be included.
- 8.2 ULAS and the Client shall each ensure that a senior employee shall be responsible for dealing with any enquiries received from press, television and any other broadcasting media and members of the public. All enquiries made to ULAS shall be directed to the Client for comment.
9. *Copyright*
- 9.1 The copyright of all original finished documents shall remain vested in ULAS and ULAS will be entitled as of right to publish any material in any form produced as a result of its investigations.
10. *Timetable*
- 10.1 The evaluation start is proposed for w.c 30.06.2008 with two staff. Further staff will be added if archaeological remains are discovered.
- 10.2 The on-site director/supervisor will carry out the post-excavation work, with time allocated within the costing of the project for analysis of any artefacts found on the site by the relevant in-house specialists at ULAS.
11. *Health and Safety*
- 11.1 ULAS is covered by and adheres to the University of Leicester Archaeological Services Health and Safety Policy and Health and Safety manual with appropriate risks assessments for all archaeological work. A draft Health and Safety statement for this project is attached as Appendix 1. The relevant Health and Safety Executive guidelines will be adhered to as appropriate. The HSE has determined that archaeological investigations are exempt from CDM regulations.
- 11.2 A Risks assessment will be completed prior to work commencing on-site, and updated as necessary during the site works.
12. *Insurance*
- 12.1 All ULAS work is covered by the University of Leicester's Public Liability and Professional Indemnity Insurance. The Public Liability Insurance is with St Pauls Travellers Policy No. UCPOP3651237 while the Professional Indemnity Insurance is with Lloyds Underwriters (50%) and Brit Insurances (50%) Policy No. FUNK3605.

13. *Monitoring arrangements*

- 13.1 Unlimited access to monitor the project will be available to both the Client and his representatives and Planning Archaeologist subject to the health and safety requirements of the site. At least one weeks notice will be given to the LCCHS Senior Planning Archaeologist before the commencement of the archaeological evaluation in order that monitoring arrangements can be made.
- 13.2 All monitoring shall be carried out in accordance with the IFA Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluations.
- 13.3 Internal monitoring will be carried out by the ULAS project manager.

14. *Contingencies and unforeseen circumstances*

- 14.1 In the event that unforeseen archaeological discoveries are made during the project, ULAS shall inform the site agent/project manager, Client and the Planning Archaeologist and Planning Authority and prepare a short written statement with plan detailing the archaeological evidence. Following assessment of the archaeological remains by the Planning Archaeologist, ULAS shall, if required, implement an amended scheme of investigation on behalf of the client as appropriate.

15. *Bibliography*

- MAP 2 The management of archaeological projects 2nd edition English Heritage 1991
- MGC 1992 Standards in the Museum Care of Archaeological Collections 1992 (Museums and Galleries Commission)
- RFG/FRG 1993 Guidelines for the preparation of site archives (Roman Finds Group and Finds Research Group AD 700-1700 1993)
- SMA 1993 Selection, retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections. Guidelines for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland 1993 (Society of Museum Archaeologists)
- Smalley 2007 *Geophysical Survey report. Loughborough University Stratascan Report J2397*

Patrick Clay
Director

ULAS
University of Leicester
University Road
Leicester LE1 7RH

Tel:0116 252 2848
Fax: 0116 252 2614

Email: pnc3@le.ac.uk

© ULAS 19/06/2008




Fig 1 Suggested trench locations




Fig 2 Plan of proposed development

APPENDIX I

Draft Project Health and Safety Policy Statement

A risks assessment will be produced by on-site staff, which will be updated and amended during the course of the evaluation.

1. Nature of the work

1.1 Brief description of the work involved e.g.

The work will involve machine excavation by JCB 3C or equivalent during daylight hours to reveal underlying archaeological deposits. Overall depth is likely to be c. 0.5 m with possible features excavated to a depth of another 1m. Trenches will not be excavated to a depth exceeding 1.2m. Spoil will be stockpiled no less than 1.5 m from the edge of the excavation, the topsoil and subsoil being kept separate. Remaining works will involve the examination of the exposed surface with hand tools (shovels, trowels etc) and excavation of archaeological features. Deeper features will be fenced with lamp irons and hazard tape. Three staff will be used on the evaluation.

2 Risks Assessment

2.1 *Working on an excavation site.*

Precautions. Trenches to not be excavated to a depth exceeding 1.2m. Spoil will be kept 1.5m away from the edge of the excavated area to prevent falls of loose debris. Loose spoil heaps will not be walked on. Protective footwear will be worn at all times. Hard hats will be worn when working in deeper sections or with plant. First aid kit to be kept in site accommodation/vehicle. Vehicle and mobile phone to be kept on site in case of emergency.

2.2 *Working with plant.*

Precautions. Archaeologists experienced in working with machines will supervise topsoil stripping at all times. Hard hats, protective footwear and hazard jackets will be worn at all times. Machine driver to be suitably qualified and insured. If services or wells are encountered machining will be halted until extent has been established by hand excavation or areas where it is safe to machine have been established. Overhead power lines are present to the south of the areas to be evaluated. The machine will maintain a distance of at least 10 m to the north of the powerlines.

2.3 *Working within areas prone to waterlogging.*

If waterlogging occurs on site preventing work continuing it is proposed to excavate a sump, suitably fenced and clearly marked to enable the water to drain away. If this is insufficient a pump will be used. The sump will be covered when not in use and backfilled if no longer required. Protective clothing will be worn at all times and precautions taken to prevent contact with stagnant water which may carry Wiels disease or similar.

2.4 *Working with chemicals.*

If chemicals are used to conserve or help lift archaeological material these will only be used by qualified personnel with protective clothing (i.e. a trained conservator) and will be removed from site immediately after use.

2.5 *Other risks*

Precautions. If there is any suspicion of unforeseen hazards being encountered e.g. chemical contaminants, unexploded bombs, hazardous gases, work will cease immediately. The client and relevant public authorities will be informed immediately.