

Project code: FRCE10
Client: Transport Scotland
Date: 1st April 2011

The Results of an Archaeological Field Evaluation by Trial Trenching at Milrig Farm, Kirkliston (Land Parcel 17)

Archaeological Consultant: Jacobs Arup
Report Authors: Donald Wilson
Report Status: Approved



Executive Summary

Headland Archaeology conducted an archaeological evaluation by trial trenching on the Forth Replacement Crossing at Milrig Farm, Kirkliston (Land Parcel 17), NGR: NT 11008 74636 (centred), to establish the presence/absence of archaeological remains or deposits in an area identified as having good archaeological potential in the Forth Replacement Crossing Environmental Statement (Jacobs Arup, 2009a). The work was commissioned by Transport Scotland, managed and monitored by Jacobs Arup and undertaken in advance of the proposed commencement of construction works.

A total of 5 trenches totalling 357m² were excavated comprising a 5% sample across a single field. The trenches, excavated on 30th march 2011, were sited to ensure good spatial coverage of the area under investigation. The trial trenching revealed a small number of rubble filled field drains relating to the post-medieval agricultural activity on site. A modern test pit was also recorded but no other archaeological remains or deposits were identified during the evaluation.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Forth Replacement Crossing: Land Parcel 17, Land near Milrig Farm, Kirkliston

PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET (FRCE10)

Client Transport Scotland

Consultant Jacobs Arup

National Grid Reference NT 11008 74636

Project Manager Edward Bailey

Senior Archaeologist Kirsty Dingwall

Text Donald Wilson

Illustrations Julia Bastek

Evaluation Team
Donald Wilson
Kirsty Dingwall
Emma Searle

Schedule

Fieldwork 30th March 2011

Report April 2011

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	360
1.1	<i>General</i>	360
1.2	<i>Project Background</i>	360
1.3	<i>Aims and Objectives of the Archaeological Works</i>	360
2	Site Background	361
2.1	<i>Archaeological and Historical Background</i>	361
2.2	<i>Site Topography and Land Use</i>	361
2.3	<i>Site Geology</i>	361
3	Methodology	362
4	Results of Fieldwork	362
4.1	<i>Trial Trenching</i>	362
5	Conclusions	363
6	References	364
6.1	<i>Bibliographic References</i>	364
6.2	<i>Cartographic References</i>	364
7	Appendices	365
	<i>Appendix 1: Trench Register</i>	365
	<i>Appendix 2: Context Register</i>	365
	<i>Appendix 3: Trench Matrices</i>	366
	<i>Appendix 4: Photographic Register</i>	366
	Illustrations	367

1 Introduction

1.1 General

1.1.1 This Data Structure Report is submitted as a report on a programme of archaeological trial trenching to Jacobs Arup and Transport Scotland in respect of the proposed Forth Replacement Crossing (hereinafter 'FRC'), and in accordance with the mitigation measures recommended in the FRC Environmental Statement Chapter 14 (Cultural Heritage; Jacobs Arup 2009a) wherein the requirement for a programme of trial trenching was identified.

1.1.2 On the 30th March 2011, Headland Archaeology (UK) Ltd. undertook a programme of archaeological evaluation by trial trenching on Land Parcel 17 in advance of the M9 Junction 1a improvements for the FRC (Illus 1). The project was managed by Edward Bailey (Project Manager), the fieldwork and reporting was overseen by Don Wilson. Two additional staff members were involved throughout the evaluation.

1.2 Project Background

1.2.1 In December 2007, following the completion of the FRC Study as part of the Strategic Transport Project Review (hereinafter 'STPR'), the Scottish Government confirmed the intention to provide a new cable-stayed bridge to the west of the existing Forth Road Bridge. Jacobs Arup (as a joint venture) was commissioned in January 2008 to assist Transport Scotland to develop the FRC proposals, to undertake an Environmental Impact Assessment (hereinafter 'EIA') and to prepare an Environmental Statement (hereinafter 'ES') (Jacobs Arup, 2009a).

1.2.2 The purpose of the cultural heritage component of the EIA was to identify the cultural heritage baseline, evaluate the likely significant impacts that the proposed development would have on this resource, and provide mitigation measures to ameliorate any impacts.

1.2.3 The cultural heritage baseline data for the EIA was obtained via a desk-based assessment and walkover survey undertaken in 2008-2009 in accordance with the principles set out in DMRB Volume 11, Section 3 Part 2 'Cultural Heritage' (HA 208/07; Highways Agency 2007). Further information was also gathered during archaeological watching briefs on Ground Investigations for the proposed scheme carried out during 2008 and 2009 by variously Jacobs Arup, Glasgow University Archaeology Research Division and Headland Archaeology Ltd in accordance with the requirements of Historic Scotland to whom the results were reported (Transport Scotland 2010, 30).

1.2.4 Based on the results of the EIA the ES recommended that a programme of invasive and non-invasive archaeological works be undertaken to include resistivity survey and evaluation by trial trenching (Jacobs Arup 2009a).

1.3 Aims and Objectives of the Archaeological Works

1.3.1 The general objectives of the programme of archaeological works (Transport Scotland 2010) were to:

- ensure that significant archaeological or palaeoenvironmental remains shall be neither needlessly destroyed, nor destroyed without record;
- identify any unknown archaeological remains that may be affected by the scheme;
- enable a more confident assessment of the impact of construction of the proposed scheme on archaeological remains;
- enable the identification and design of any measures that may be necessary to mitigate the impact of the proposed scheme on newly identified archaeological remains, and
- enhance available information about known archaeological remains, where existing information is insufficient to enable a full assessment of impact or the design of mitigation measures.

2 Site Background

2.1 *Archaeological and Historical Background*

2.1.1 Within a study area ranging in extent from 500m from the proposed route to 6km from the proposed main crossing a total of 356 cultural heritage sites were identified by the ES, whilst a desk-based assessment of a wider study area undertaken at route selection stage, identified a total of 1200 cultural heritage sites (Transport Scotland 2010, 30). The results from these studies show that the scheme is located in a landscape containing archaeological evidence dating from the Mesolithic period, through the prehistoric and medieval periods, up to post-medieval and modern times.

2.1.2 Within the vicinity of the of the M9 Junction 1a improvements (Illus 1) prehistoric activity has been recorded in the form of a Late Bronze Age socketed axe found near Kirkliston. Latterly there are written records from 1513 that refer to a Kirkliston House acquired by the Commandery of Torphichen although the exact location of the house is not recorded. Based on the coordinates provided by the Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historic Monuments of Scotland both these sites are located within 1 km of Land Parcel 17 and indicate the potential for prehistoric and medieval settlement in the area.

2.1.3 Previous archaeological work on site has been limited to the archaeological monitoring of the Broxburn to Humble Farm Reinforcement Pipeline that runs NW-SE across the field. The monitoring did not identify any archaeological remains or deposits within the limited area of the land parcel subject to the works (Moore 2009).

2.2 *Site Topography and Land Use*

2.2.1 The site comprised the northern end of a large field defined by the M9 to the north, a copse of trees to the west and a farm road to the east. The field gradually sloped to the north with the area under investigation being the lowest point. The field was under a young crop at the time of evaluation. The site is under the ownership of the Trustees of the firm J & D Wood, Geo Networks Limited and the Scottish Ministers.

2.3 *Site Geology*

- 2.3.1 The results of geotechnical investigations (Jacobs Arup 2009b) carried out demonstrate that the subsurface stratigraphy generally constitutes glacial till deposits of varying thickness; these are predominantly comprised of firm to very stiff boulder clay deposits with occasional granular till deposits. The trial trenching (below) has identified that the boulder clays predominate in this area.
- 2.3.1 The solid geology of the site is typified by igneous alkali dolerite (British Geological Survey 2008). The alkaline nature of the bedrock geology has the effect of breaking up the structure of clays within the soil matrix which negatively affects its water holding capacity, similar to the effect agricultural lime has on arable soils.

3 Methodology

- 3.1. All works were undertaken in accordance with the specification in the contract documents (Transport Scotland 2010), which had been agreed with Historic Scotland and Transport Scotland. The total area of the Land Parcel measured 7081 m², of which a 5% sample (357 m²) was investigated by trial trenching. An indicative trench plan was agreed with the consultant archaeologists, Jacobs Arup. Trenches were sited in order to provide good spatial coverage of the entire site. As a result of the presence of a modern service pipe, one trench was halted and moved slightly to the north at the request of the landowner. A further trench was shortened in order to avoid a main gas pipe. An additional short trench was excavated in order to ensure the full sample area was excavated.
- 3.2 All trenches were individually numbered and located using a pole-mounted Trimble G6 differential GPS programmed with the trench coordinates. The trenches were excavated using JCB mechanical excavator, fitted with a back actor and a 1.6 m wide flat-bladed ditching bucket. The machine operated under continuous archaeological supervision and topsoil and subsoil were removed down to the first archaeological horizon or clean geological deposits, whichever was encountered first. Topsoil and subsoil were stored separately. Any potential features identified were hand cleaned and investigated appropriately. Archaeological features and deposits were hand excavated and recorded using standard archaeological methods and pro-forma record sheets. The excavated trenches and any archaeological contexts were recorded using a Trimble G6 differential GPS, as well as hand drawing where appropriate. Photographs were taken using colour slide film, black and white film, and digital. A full list of the photographic register can be found in Appendix 4.

4 Results of Fieldwork (Illus 2)

4.1 Trial Trenching

- 4.1.1 Five trenches were excavated across Land Parcel 17 (Illus 2) with a combined total area of 357 m² comprising a 5% sample of the Parcel. Full detailed descriptions of each trench are provided in Appendix 1 and individual contexts are presented in Appendix 2. The results of the evaluation are summarised below.
- 4.1.2 The natural geology [003] seen in the majority of trenches was largely yellow/grey mottled clay with frequent small stone inclusions. In Trench 1 and 5 this was overlain

by between 0.30 m and 0.35 m of topsoil [001] which contained little in the way of recent ceramic material. In Trench 2, located at the western limit of the land parcel, the natural geology [003] was a blue grey clay with large stone inclusions overlain by a 0.35 m layer of greyish brown clayey silt subsoil [004] and 0.35 m of topsoil [001]. A shallow layer of yellowish brown sandy clay subsoil [002] no more than 0.15 m thick was recorded in Trench 3. The natural geology [003] was not reached in Trench 4 due to a modern service pipe preventing excavation.

- 4.1.3 Within Trenches 1, 2 and 5 a series of rubble field drains were recorded on various alignments. A single ceramic field drain was also recorded in Trench 2. In Trench 3 a back-filled geotechnical test pit was recorded and in Trench 4 a modern service pipe led to the abandonment of the trench. No archaeological remains or deposits were identified during the evaluation.

5 Conclusions

- 5.1 The evaluation confirms the earlier work undertaken during the archaeological monitoring of the gas pipeline and has established that this area appears not to have been extensively used for human settlement activity. The only features identified relate to post-medieval agricultural activity in the area, with a few surviving field drains running across the site.
- 5.2 Based on the results of the fieldwork in which no environmental samples or finds were retrieved, the archaeological archive is assessed as having no potential and therefore no further works are recommended.

6 References

6.1 Bibliographic References

Highways Agency *et al* 2007 *DMRB Volume 11 Cultural Heritage, Section 3, Part 2, Revision HA 208/07*. The Highways Agency, Transport Scotland, Welsh Assembly Government and the Department for Regional Development Northern Ireland, August 2007.

Jacobs Arup 2009a *Forth Replacement Crossing: Environmental Statement*. November 2009.

Jacobs Arup 2009b *Transport Scotland Forth Replacement Crossing: Network Connections – South Ground Investigations Report*. Jacobs Arup November 2009.

Moore, P 2009 *Broxburn to Humble Farm Reinforcement Pipeline (Phase 2) Broxburn, West Lothian*. CFA Archaeology Ltd Unpublished client report.

Transport Scotland 2010 *Forth Replacement Crossing*. ‘Competition for the Land Based Invasive and Non-Invasive Archaeological Survey and Evaluation Contract Volume 2: Tender Document.’

6.2 Cartographic References

British Geological Survey 2008 *Linlithgow, S032W*, (version B&Sup), 1: 50 000.

7 Appendices

Appendix 1: Trench Register


Trench No	Length (m)	Depth (m)	Description
1	80	0.35	Running E-W. A single N-S aligned rubble field drain.
2	25	0.70	Running E-W. The trench included two NE-SW aligned rubble drains and a single ceramic drain 0.70 m below the surface.
3	20	0.50	Running E-W. No features of archaeological significance.
4	13	0.30	Running E-W the trench had a large modern service pipe running E-W down the middle of the trench
5	85	0.40	Running E-W. The trench included a single NW-SE aligned rubble drain comprising of large boulders

Appendix 2: Context Register


Context	Location	Description
001	All	Topsoil. Dark brown clayey silt loam.
002	3	Yellowish brown silty clay subsoil. D: 0.15 m.
003	All	Natural.
004	2	Greyish brown clayey silt subsoil. D: 0.35 m.

Appendix 3: Trench Matrices


Trench 2



Trench 3



Remaining trenches



Appendix 4: Photographic Register

Photo No.	Direction	Description
01	W	General shot of Trench 1
02	W	General shot of Trench 2
03	S	Repaired ceramic drain in Trench 2
04	W	General shot of Trench 4
05	W	General shot of Trench 5