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## Summary

Headland Archaeology Ltd was commissioned by Hudson Homes to undertake an archaeological excavation at Castle Terrace, Berwick-upon-Tweed, Northumberland. The site lies adjacent to Cheviot House, the recorded site of the medieval church of St Lawrence's and is within the general area of the abandoned medieval settlement of Bondington. Previous evaluation of the site in 2004 had identified a concentration of features thought to be associated with the remains of the medieval settlement or with the church itself in the areas immediately west of Cheviot House. A number of undated features spread across the site were also recorded.

The excavation was undertaken to the west of the area of structural remains, which were preserved in situ. The site comprised a series of ditches, thought to demarcate plot boundaries and a number of isolated features thought to be associated with backlands activities. A number of possible robbed out stone structures were identified, one associated with a well-made stone culvert. The final phase of the site saw a series of drystone wall foundations built on top of hillwash that had developed over the site.

The earliest phases of the site date to the $12^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ centuries, corresponding with the proposed origin of Bondington in the $12^{\text {th }}$ century and its abandonment in the $14^{\text {th }}$. There is a lack of finds from the $15^{\text {th }}$ $17^{\text {th }}$ centuries, when the site was abandoned and it appears to have been reoccupied by the $18^{\text {th }}$ century when the stone field walls may have been established. The similarity of orientation of the walls and the ditches may merely reflect alignment with the road rather than continuity of occupation.
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## 1.INTRODUCTION

1.1 This report presents the results of an archaeological excavation undertaken at Castle Terrace, Berwick-upon-Tweed, Northumberland. A planning application has been submitted for a housing development on the land by Hudson Homes. A previous deskassessment (Jones 2004a) and evaluation (Jones 2004b) of the site identified a number of potential archaeological issues and constraints for the development. Northumberland County Council Conservation Team (NCCCT) therefore advised Berwick Borough Council that should permission for the site be granted, an archaeological condition should be attached. The work followed a Written Scheme of Investigation submitted by Headland Archaeology to NCCCT.
1.2 The site is located to the north-west of the town of Berwick-on-Tweed, on the north side of Castle Terrace (NGR NT 9876 5407; Figure 1). It is bounded by Cheviot House to the east and a development of new housing to the west. The site is currently under pasture and is bounded to the north by further fields.
1.3 The mitigation strategy devised by NCCCT divided the site into three areas based on the nature, extent and importance of the archaeological remains previously identified (Figure 1). A development exclusion area was placed over the known medieval structural remains to preserve these in situ. The remainder of the site was divided into Areas 1 and 2. This report contains the results of the investigations of Area 1; Area 2 will be subject to a watching brief and will be reported on separately.

## 2. ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 In the $13^{\text {th }}$ century Berwick-upon Tweed was the wealthiest town and port in Scotland. It was one of the four major burghs and was important for its trade links with the Low Countries. The advent of the Anglo-Scottish wars in the $14^{\text {th }}$ century led to the provision of defences for the town in addition to the castle. At this time areas outside these defences seem to have been abandoned. The castle and town changed hands numerous times between the initial capture by Edward I and the final surrender to Richard of York in 1482 (Bishop 1999).
2.2 The site lies within the area identified as the general location of Bondington, an abandoned medieval village, which lay outwith the town walls of Berwick-upon-Tweed (Cambridge et al 2001). The village is believed to have extended from the old Scotchgate, at the top of Castlegate, along by the houses of Castle Terrace (Scott 1888). Bondington is mentioned in the Kelso Chartulary and is thought to date from the $12^{\text {th }}$ century. The name suggests a Saxon origin although there is no modern survival of the name (Clack \& Gosling 1976). There is no reference to Bondington in the Scotch Rolls of 1336 and it appears to have been abandoned in the $14^{\text {th }}$ century during the Anglo-Scottish wars.
2.3 Three abandoned churches are known to be located in this area - St Mary's, St Lawrence's and that of the nunnery of St Leonard's. The church of St Mary the Virgin was given by David I of Scotland to Durham in exchange for land at Melrose. The Chartulary of Kelso (1128-1158) mentions that Roger Fitz William, probably the founder, gave the Church of St Lawrence to the monks of Kelso (Scott 1888). Ownership was passed to the monks of Durham and their cell at Coldingham in the late $12^{\text {th }}$ century. It is suggested that St Lawrence's was the parish church of Bondington and that the parish was later
amalgamated with Berwick, as there is no record of the church after 1300 (Cambridge et al 2001).
2.4 The location of St Leonard's nunnery is known from aerial photographs and historical sources, although no archaeological work has taken place in the area to confirm its location. St Leonard's Nunnery was founded by David I in the $12^{\text {th }}$ century and is thought to be the earliest Cistercian nunnery in Scotland, as it was established less than 30 years after the Order first was introduced to England (Scott 1888). The nunnery was badly damaged in 1333 after the battle of Halidon Hill. Edward III ordered its rebuilding but by 1420 scarcely any trace of buildings remained (Clack \& Gosling 1976).
2.5 The proposed development site lies to the west of the recorded site of a medieval church and within the general area of Bondington. Previous investigations at Cheviot House to the east of the site revealed elements of the medieval church and cemetery, thought to be St Lawrence's; a ditch, possibly part of the churchyard enclosure, was found to the west.
2.6 The evaluation in 2004 (Jones 2004b) identified a concentration of features in the areas immediately to the north and west of Cheviot House. These may be associated with the remains of the medieval settlement or possibly with the church itself. A number of undated features were also found across the site, including fragments of drystone walls, ditches and gullies, possibly associated with former field boundaries.

## 3. OBJECTIVES AND METHOD

3.1 The objectives of the Area 1 excavation were to establish the presence, density and importance of archaeological remains in the area. On the basis of the evaluation the excavation area was thought to contain possible outlying elements of the abandoned medieval village of Bondington and it was hoped the excavation would provide further information on the nature of the settlement.

### 3.2 PHASE 1

The excavation area was stripped using a $360^{\circ}$ mechanical excavator with a flat-bladed ditching bucket under direct archaeological supervision. Excavation ceased at the first significant archaeological horizon or at the top of the natural subsoil, whichever was encountered first. Following machine excavation the area was cleaned by hand to expose the nature and extent of the archaeological deposits. A pre-excavation plan was then recorded using a Total Station EDM running a Penmap digital mapping system.

### 3.3 PHASE 2

Following an on-site meeting between NCCCT, the developer and Headland Archaeology, a strategy for the Phase 2 investigations was agreed. The works for Phase 2 followed an agreed programme submitted by Headland Archaeology to NCCCT.
3.4 All recording followed Headland Archaeology standard procedures. All contexts, small finds and environmental samples were given unique numbers. Bulk finds were collected by context. Colour transparencies and black and white prints were taken with a graduated metric scale visible in all photographs. All recording was undertaken on pro forma record sheets. Individual features were planned at 1:20 on an arbitrary grid, which was then tied into the National Grid using a Total Station EDM. Sections were drawn at

1:10 and elevations were photographed with geo-referenced points for rectification. All heights were accurately related to Ordnance Datum.
3.5 All artefacts recovered during the excavation were bagged and labelled on site. Archaeological deposits were systematically sampled in accordance with Headland Archaeology standard sampling practice, following previous consultation with Jacqui Huntley, English Heritage regional advisor in archaeological science. Bulk samples of 5 25 litres were taken for wet sieving and flotation. Samples were processed in laboratory conditions using a standard flotation method (cf. Kenward et al, 1980). All plant macrofossil samples were analysed using a stereo-microscope at magnifications of x10 and up to x 100 where necessary to aid identification. Identifications were confirmed using modern reference material and seed atlases including Cappers et al (2006).

## 4. RESULTS

4.1 A full description of deposits is provided in Appendix 1 and a plan of all features is shown in Figures 2-6. Summary descriptions are provided below. Context numbers from the same feature have been grouped together for ease of reference and numbers assigned to each feature (Ditch 1, Wall 1 etc). The site has been divided into three phases based on the nature of deposits, the stratigraphic sequence and dating evidence.
4.2 The soil profile varied greatly in depth across the site, following the natural topography. The natural subsoil [103], consisting of mixed glacial deposits of gravel and clayey sands, was located at 59.67 m OD at the southern end of the site and 63.53 m OD at the northern end. Features in the central part of the site were cut into the subsoil. This was overlain in the southern and very northern ends of the site by light reddish brown slightly clayey silt [102], $0.20-0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth (see Figure 9, section 141). This buried soil appears to be the old medieval ground surface and features at the far south and northern ends of the site were cut through this deposit. This was sealed beneath a layer of hillwash [101], generally $0.20-0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, but up to 0.80 m in depth at the south end of the site. This deposit sealed the majority of the cut features; the drystone features were largely found within it. This deposit was not present in the central, highest part of the site. Topsoil [100] was of a uniform depth ( 0.40 m ) across the site.

### 4.3 PHASE 1

This phase comprises most of the ditches in the central part of the site, which were cut directly into the natural subsoil [103]. Most of the ditches were orientated north-east to south-west or north-west to south-east, forming a pattern of small plots. Ditch 13 in the southern end of the site lay outwith the main area of activity. Also assigned to this phase are a number of features cut into the medieval ground surface [102] or the natural subsoil [103].
4.3.1 Ditches $2,3,4,5,8$ and 12 and the southern part of Ditch 1 formed the most coherent set of linear features (Figures 3-8). They formed a regular pattern and appeared to represent the demarcation of back plots. Deposit [105], which did not appear to lie within a cut lies on a similar orientation and may be the base of a similar feature. The ditches were all a flattened V-shape in profile and $0.15-0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth. The ditches were all filled with similar light to mid brown sandy silt, making relationships difficult to determine in places. The remains of a small ditch [140] ran into the southern end of Ditch 4; similarly Ditch 5 ran into Ditch 3, suggesting they are likely
to be contemporary. The way the ditches run together suggests they may also have had a drainage function.
4.3.2 Cut through the western ends of Ditches 3 and 4 was pit [209] (Figures 5 and 9). Given its location at the junction of the two ditches it must have been dug while the ditches or boundaries were still in use. It was filled with a deposit of stones [199], which may have formed an entrance into the plot from the western end.
4.3.3 Ditches 9, 10 and 11 in the western part of the site were on the same orientation and may have formed part of the same system. Ditch 9 was a short stretch of ditch 5 m in length and varying in depth from 0.05 m at the north end, sloping to 0.50 m deep to the south end, where it terminated. Running roughly perpendicular to Ditch 9, Ditch 11 was 5.3 m in length and varied in depth from 0.05 m at either end to 0.30 m in the centre. Although in line with the other ditches to the south-east and so possibly also marking boundaries, these two ditches are also clearly being used for drainage or water collection. Ditch 10 was orientated north to south and of a consistent depth of 0.25 m . There was a small oval pit [168] abutted by Ditch 10 to the north (Figures 3 and 9).
4.3.4 Ditch 7 was on a different orientation to the other ditches but has been assigned to this phase as it was cut at the same level as the other ditches, into natural subsoil [103]. It was very shallow, 0.09 m in depth and its function is unclear.
4.3.5 Ditch 13 was an isolated feature in the south-eastern corner of the site. It was found at a similar level to the features recorded during the evaluation. The ditch was 2.20 m wide where it emerged from the southern section edge and tapered out after c4m. The ditch was 0.40 m deep at its southern end, rising to only 0.03 m at its end. The eastern edge had weathered slightly [158] and the ditch had subsequently been filled with sandy silt [154] and moderate medium to large stones. The top of the ditch was filled with a compact layer of small to medium stones [153], which may have formed a surface, perhaps over the sunken material of the infilled ditch.
4.3.6 Most of the other cut features in the excavation area have also been assigned to this earliest phase as they were either cut into natural subsoil [103] or into [102]. The cut features have been grouped by location.
4.3.7 Group 1 features were all in the northern part of the site and cut into [102] (Figures 3 and 9). Pits [150] and [152] were the remains of medieval pits and both contained $12^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ century pottery and charred grain. To the east were three features, a shallow pit [207], the fill of which was indistinguishable from a short section of gully [217]. The gully was 0.20 m deep and was lined in part by angular stones [219] forming a square-sectioned channel. This drained into a large pit [220] 1.2 m wide and 0.65 m deep. The pit was backfilled with large sub-angular and sub-rounded stones [218], suggesting that the stone lining of the gully may have originally continued into the pit. The shape of the features before excavation suggested they may be the remains of a kiln, however the depth of pit [220] and the lack of burnt material within the features suggested the features together had been used for drainage or water storage.
4.3.8 Group 2 features were two small pits towards the centre of the site cut into [102] (Figures 4 and 9). Pit [191] was a very shallow cut, possibly the remains of a surface fire. It contained a layer of coal [192] and a layer of charcoal-rich burnt sand [193].

To the east, and partly truncated by the cut for a modern drainage pipe was pit [194]. This contained a large amount of pottery sherds dating to the $13^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ century.
4.3.9 Group 3 features were found in the central area of the site and were sealed beneath [101] and cut into [103] (Figures 5 and 9). Two small pits [114] and [116] were found to the west of the main area of ditches. These were of similar dimensions and were filled with sandy silt and regular medium-sized angular stones [115/117]. The function of the pits is unclear.

### 4.4 PHASE 2

This phase comprises the Ditch 1 re-cut, which appears to be a re-modelling of earlier ditches. The Ditch appears to form an enclosure and the features within this possible enclosure have also been included in this phase. Also included in this phase is a stonelined culvert and associated walls.
4.4.1 Ditch 1 forms the western corner of what appears to be part of a straight-sided enclosure continuing beneath the eastern edge of the site (Figures 4, 5 and 7; Plate 2). The ditch clearly cuts Ditch 2, runs parallel to Ditch 8 for a short distance and then continues into the eastern baulk. This may be the same as Ditch [45] from Evaluation Trench 12. The ditch was not traced in Evaluation Trench 17, however a rubble deposit obscures its line through the trench. The earlier ditches respect Ditch 1, suggesting it has been partially re-cut along the same line. The depth of the ditch increases markedly as it turns and cuts Ditch 2 , suggesting it has been deliberately deepened at this point. The fact that the other ditches all run into it and the matter of its depth suggest it was used for drainage. The shape of the ditch is slightly different to the more regular layout and its construction may represent a change in use of the area. Wall 2 [104] pits [223] and [225] and Ditch [221] all lie within the enclosure. Wall 1 [122] appears to partly overlie the ditch.

### 4.4.2 Possible buildings

Wall 2 [104] consists of large sub-angular stones running roughly north-east to southwest on a similar alignment to the other field walls (below). A number of associated stones to the north of this wall, however, suggest a possible return, marking the northern wall of a rectangular building (Figure 4). The location of this wall on the eastern edge of the site suggests it could be related to the known structural remains in Evaluation Trenches 17 and 12, and may be a continuation of wall [31] recorded in Evaluation Trench 17. The wall overlies Ditch 2, but lies within the later possible enclosure of Ditch 1, which suggests they may be contemporary.
4.4.3 Wall 1 (Figures 5 and 8, Plate 1) [122] was of drystone construction, roughly two courses high and running from north-west to south-east into the eastern section of the excavation area. The wall was perpendicular to the other field walls on site and its closeness to the structural remains in Evaluation Trenches 12 and 17 suggests it may be related to the settlement remains and may be a continuation of wall [29]. The wall was directly beneath topsoil and was within [101], as were the structural remains to the east.

### 4.4.4 Other features

There were a group of three features within Ditch 1 (Figures 5 and 9). Pit [223] was cut by a small posthole [225] to the east. The pit contained mortar and modern pottery fragments but these may be intrusive (see Franklin, below). To the east of
these features was a curvilinear ditch [221] 0.35 m in depth and filled with greyish brown sandy silt loam [222], similar to topsoil. There were fragments of charcoal and mammal bone from these features but they were otherwise undated. It is possible they may be associated with similar features in Evaluation Trench 17[19, 21]. Worked flint was recovered from one of these features suggesting they may reflect prehistoric use of the site. The presence of modern finds however, may suggest that the flint is intrusive.

### 4.4.5 Culvert

Running from north-west to south-east across the site was the cut [210] for a culvert (Figures 3, 4 and 9, Plate 5). This was cut directly into natural subsoil at its southern end, but was within [101] at its north-western end. The sides were lined with a single course of sub-rounded stones [172] forming a channel 0.20 m wide. This was capped by large roughly cut flat slabs [128]. The culvert turned to the south after c25m, where the capstones had been disturbed and were absent for the remaining length of the culvert. An apparent terminal was filled with the disturbed side stones, mixed with backfill [178]. A curved ditch filled with rubble (Ditch 6 [212], Figures 5 and 8) may have been a continuation of the culvert.
4.4.6 Approximately 5 m from the north-western end was a side branch of the culvert [211]. This was constructed in the same way as the main culvert, with side and capping stones [183] and filled with similar material [184]. There was no evidence that [211] was a later addition to the culvert and they would appear to be contemporary. The north-western end of the culvert terminated beneath Wall 6 (see below) and was not traced beyond the wall.
4.4.7 To the east of the culvert (see Figure 4) a concentration of burnt daub fragments [159] was found within hillwash [101]. The deposit was excavated and sampled but there was no cut evident and there were no features cut into natural subsoil beneath this area of hillwash. It seems likely this material represents the remains of a kiln in this area, which has been ploughed out. Small fragments of daub were also found while machining in the area of Wall 5 [171].
4.4.8 The side branch of the culvert was partly sealed by wall remains [174] (Figure 3). One of the stones at the west end of this feature appeared to be a re-used capping stone from the culvert. Wall [174] ran roughly parallel to the culvert and consisted of a single line of sub-rounded stones, approximately 1.8 m in length. The stones may represent the line of a rubble-filled drain. To the north-east of and partly overlying [174] was another wall [135] (Figure 3, Plates 5 and 6), running roughly east to west and again made up of a single layer of sub-rounded and sub-angular large stones. The wall was 1.2 m in length and 0.80 m wide. Wall [131] appears to be the remains of a continuation of [135].
4.4.9 There were a number of other wall remains found in the area immediately to the north-east of the culvert (Figure 3, Plates 5 and 6). Wall [176] was a layer of stones running roughly north to south, 0.8 m long and 0.60 m wide. It is on a slightly different orientation from the series of parallel field walls in the area, but may be a result of disturbance to Wall 6 (see below). Wall [133] was of similar construction to the other walls in the area. It ran for approximately 4 m from north to south and was 1 m wide, although it was patchy in places. It had clearly been disturbed by a disused iron water pipe and may be the result of robbing or disturbance to Wall 5. It is
possible that walls $[131,133,135,174]$ may represent the robbed or damaged remains of a structure built partly over the side branch of the culvert, which would have acted as an internal drain. The walls lay within [101].

### 4.5 PHASE 3

There were a number of drystone walls recorded across the site. The majority of these were orientated north-east to south-west and appeared to be the remains of field walls. The walls were found beneath the topsoil [100] and sitting above hillwash [101], where present.

### 4.5.1 Field walls (Figures 3, 4, 8 and 9, Plates 7 and 8)

Wall 3 [120] was a single course of sub-rounded stones with a clearly defined edge on the north-west side. The stones extended for around 2 m and appeared to be the foundation stones for a wall.
4.5.2 Wall 4 [170] was a concentration of stones similar to the other field walls but far less defined. It is possible it represents the robbed or disturbed remains of another structure. It is on a similar orientation to the other walls. It is roughly in line with two large boulders [144], which may also represent part of the same ploughed-out or disturbed wall. Wall 4 [170] partially overlies Ditch 11, suggesting it might be a reuse of an existing boundary, although there is a substantial build-up of hillwash between the two features.
4.5.3 Wall 5 consists of several wall fragments [142, 160, 171], which all lie on the same orientation, suggesting they are the surviving remains of a wall that has been robbed or ploughed out. Wall [142] in contrast was made up of smaller sub-rounded stones laid fairly flat. The wall ran for c 3 m and was 0.50 m wide, with no clear bank surviving. Wall [160] comprised two short sections of wall, in total c7m in length and 0.7 m wide. Along most of its length the wall appeared to be a single course of stones. However, at the south-western end the section clearly showed the stones to be cladding a small bank. Wall [171] was a short section of wall, little over 1m in length but with a clear straight edge on its south-eastern side.
4.5.4 Wall 6 again comprised several short sections of wall [106, 162, 166]. Wall [106] comprised several large sub-angular stones stood on end with a short section of two courses of smaller sub-square boulders. The wall was 3.3 m long and up to 0.6 m in height and the remains of a small bank was visible on the north-western side. Wall [162] was the longest surviving stretch of wall at 13.6 m and was $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ in width. It overlay culvert [210] and consisted of a single course of stones, cladding a small bank at its north-eastern end. Wall [166] was a short $(2.2 \mathrm{~m})$ section of wall running into the south-western edge of site, with stones closely packed and clear edges.
4.5.5 Wall 7 comprised two short sections of wall [108, 185] Wall [108] consisted of fairly flat stones running from the northern edge of site for 1.6 m . These appeared to be overlying the tentative remains of a bank [109/138], which overlay [102]. Wall [185] was a single course of sub-rounded and sub-angular stone rubble, 3.5 m in length and 0.70 m wide. There was no evidence for a bank.

## 5. FINDS ASSESSMENT Julie Franklin

5.1 The results are presented in Tables 1 (finds summary), 2 (spot-dating) and 3 (finds list) in Appendix 2.
5.2 The majority of the assemblage is of medieval pottery. The numbers of cooking pots suggests a relatively early medieval date, as does the lack of later imported wares. The assemblage probably dates to the mid $12^{\text {th }}$ or $13^{\text {th }}$ century to the mid $14^{\text {th }}$ century. As there is unlikely to be any pottery in the area prior to the $12^{\text {th }}$ century, this does not necessarily indicate a lack of occupation before this date. Associated medieval finds are limited to one or two iron nails. A stone bead may also be of early date. The large quantity of burnt daub in Context [159] indicates the presence of some kind of kiln.
5.3 Later finds include pottery, glass, pan tile sherds, clay pipe and metal objects. Some fragments from sample retents are small enough to have been moved by natural soil processes and are thus quite possibly intrusive.
5.4 There is a hiatus of deposition on site, with no finds that can be dated to the $15^{\text {th }}, 16^{\text {th }}$ or $17^{\text {th }}$ centuries.

## 6. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT Scott Timpany

### 6.1 INTRODUCTION

Forty-seven samples, ranging from 5 to 25 litres were collected for environmental assessment from contexts associated with fills from within features such as ditches, pits, culverts and buried soils together with those from below features including stones and walls. This palaeoenvironmental investigation at Castle Terrace follows that of Hastie (2004) who looked at nine samples from ditch and pit fills. Hastie found sparse evidence of cultivation with occasional finds of grain (barley, oats and club/bread wheat) and weed seeds.

### 6.2 RESULTS

The results are presented in Tables 4 (retent samples) and 5 (flotation samples) in Appendix 3.

### 6.2.1 Plant remains

Charcoal fragments are present in twenty-eight samples of which twelve samples contain charcoal fragments of a size suitable for identification and/or Accelerated Mass Spectrometry (AMS) dating (see Tables 4 and 5). Charred cereal grain is present in forty samples, which contain oats (Avena sp.), barley (Hordeum vulgare), rye (Secale cereale), possible club/bread wheat (Triticum cf. aestivo-compactum), possible emmer wheat (Triticum cf. dicoccum) and spelt wheat (Triticum spelta) together with unidentifiable grain (cereal indeterminate) (see Tables 4 and 5). Other charred plant remains were also recovered including culm fragments, fruits of docks/sorrels (Rumex sp.) corn spurry (Spergula arvensis), goosefoots (Chenopodiaceae sp.) and siliqua of radishes (Raphanus sp.). Charred hazel (Corylus avellana) nutshell was present in three samples (119, 122, 140).

### 6.2.2 Other finds

Thirty-three samples (see Table 4) were found to contain bone and/or burnt bone fragments. Bone fragments within the samples have been identified to the level of
mammal and fish, while burnt bone fragments have been recorded as indeterminate (see Table 4). Marine shell was found in twenty samples and where possible have been identified as oyster (Ostrea edulis), mussel (Mytilis edulis), winkle (Littorina sp.), limpet (Patella sp.) and whelk (Buccinum sp.) together with crab (see Table 4). Finds such as pottery sherds, stone and glass beads, mortar and bricks, metallic waste and $\mathrm{Fe} /$ metallic objects were also recovered during processing (see Table 4). Lithics have been found in twenty samples while other artefacts recovered include a copper pin and a clay pipe stem (see Table 4). Small fragments of coal were recovered in twentytwo samples (see Table 5).

### 6.3 DISCUSSION

### 6.3.1 Cultivation evidence

Charred cereal grain has been recovered from across the whole of the excavated area, showing good evidence of cultivation and an agrarian economy. A diverse assemblage of charred grain was recovered, particularly in contrast to previous findings (Hastie 2004), with oats dominant, followed by barley with lesser amounts of other grain including possible club/bread wheat, spelt wheat and rye. Charred plant remains from arable weed species were also present within the samples including goosefoots, docks/sorrels and radishes. The latter are likely to represent wild radish (Raphanus raphinistrum), a frequent 'pest' of cultivated ground rather than the cultivated species, garden radish (Raphanus sativus). That the grain is charred indicates some form of domestic activity, which involved the drying of the grain before use. Reasons for drying the grain include domestic cooking (e.g. baking), for storage or preventing spoilage (Hillman 1981).
6.3.2 There is some possible evidence of gathering of wild foodstuffs with the presence of charred hazel nutshell. The close proximity of the site to the coast suggests that the marine shell and fish bone recovered within the retent samples (see Table 4) may also be evidence of gathering food rather than having been acquired through trade.
6.3.3 Phase 1

The distribution of charred grain shows that the majority of the grain present (predominantly oats and barley) is from within pit features, in particular Pits [150], [152] and [116], whilst abundant quantities of grain were also recovered within Ditches 9 and 13. The large amounts of charred grain found within these features, together with the presence of other domestic waste indicators, such as bone and marine shell fragments, suggests they may represent some form of midden deposit. Similar deposits of this date ( $12^{\text {th }}$ to $14^{\text {th }}$ century) with comparable charred grain assemblages were found at Cornhill-on-Tweed, Northumberland (Timpany 2006). The absence of large quantities of charcoal and/or coal from within the pit features indicates they have not been used as hearths.
6.3.4 Phase 2

Within this phase charred grain was found in generally small quantities within the ditch fill and around the stone walls. Charred grain from these locations could represent redeposited grain (also suggested from the degree of degradation of the grain) from the previous phase, which has been moved during cultivation activity within the fields (e.g. ploughing) and become trapped against the walls within hillwash. A good indication of this is Wall [131], which lies in close proximity, c. 15 m south of Pits [150], [152], [207] and [220] and contains a similar assemblage of grain to
that found within these pits. Similarly the grain found within the stone culvert could represent re-deposited grain washed into the culvert from the surrounding area. Charred grain found in association with Wall 2, together with limpet shell, suggest this sample represents domestic debris from the period the structure was in use.

### 6.3.5 Phase 3

In this phase, charred grain has again been recovered in small quantities from samples taken around the walls and again is thought to represent redeposited material from the first phase, which has collected against the walls, within hillwash from activity within the [divided] fields. One sample, which did contain abundant grain, was from Wall 7 [108]. This wall is again located close to Pits [150], [152], [207] and [220], c. 7 m to the east and has a comparable assemblage. Therefore this could again represent redeposited grain from these deposits. A further possibility is that the grain represents contemporary discarded domestic debris, which has been spread across the fields as fertilizer; similarly the same could apply to Phase 2. However, the similarity of the charred grain assemblages to those from Phase 1 and their presence within hillwash, together with observed evidence of ploughing activity suggests much of the grain is from this earlier phase.

## 7. DISCUSSION

7.1 The earliest phase of settlement shows the site to be divided into plots around 7 m wide associated with small-scale farming and crop processing. The linear ditches appear to represent back plots, possibly associated with the settlement remains to the east. Excavations of a similar site in Rickerby, Carlisle revealed a system of linear features and back plots of $12^{\text {th }}-13^{\text {th }}$ century date (Masser 2002; Masser2005). At Rickerby the systems of small ditches were seen as demarcating plots rather than being associated with domestic occupation. At Castle Terrace the ditches appear to be marking out plots associated with the settlement remains further east. Given the soil development of the site they may even represent activity associated with an earlier settlement.
7.2 The charred cereals from the shallow pit features suggest that waste was being disposed of in pits close to or within the plots. This and the large amounts of pottery from particular features suggest the possibility of some sort of backlands activity at Castle Terrace. The large amount of burnt daub indicates there was a kiln in the area, probably a grain-drying kiln, which would explain the prevalence of burnt grain in the earliest phase of the site. Medieval kilns are generally found in close association with settlement sites and rudimentary sunken wattle and daub kiln types are common elements on rural settlements (Holden 2006). The risk of fire meant that kilns were generally located closer to the fields and away from the settlement. Burnt grain was not a product of the drying process, as the fire would be kept away from the grain being dried to prevent conflagration. This was not always successful, and grain may have been accidentally drawn in to the fire or possibly deliberately used as fuel mixed with small twigs and grass stems. The lack of charcoal associated with the grain suggests that perhaps peat was being used as fuel.
7.3 The second phase of the site may be associated with the development of St Lawrence's church. The field ditches appear to be remodelled to an enclosure, perhaps enclosing the church or buildings associated with it. It is possible that the walls within this phase (see section 4.4.) represent the remains of robbed building foundations. Medieval houses
tended to be constructed on clay or stone sills with little in the way of below ground foundations and any floor levels may have been ploughed out, as there is little sign of domestic debris associated with the putative structures. The culvert is characteristic of medieval houses, which tended to have internal and external drains and may be from an outlying building associated with the church.
7.4 The latest phase of the site sees a series of stone field walls built on top of a substantial layer of hillwash and is likely to date to the post-medieval period given the mixed finds assemblage. At Cornhill-on-Tweed a similar sequence of later field boundary walls and earlier medieval settlement was uncovered (Hatherley 2006). The field walls or yard enclosure walls were typically a single row of foundation stones, probably for a wall of drystone rubble construction. As at Castle Terrace these walls overlay a layer of hillwash, which sealed earlier features, and were dated to the post-medieval period. The walls at Castle Terrace are thought to be of similar construction although some showed evidence for a possible bank suggesting they were of earth and stone construction with a stone core or stone facing. The walls may have been robbed leaving only foundations in places- the remaining stones were well bedded into the soil beneath which made them difficult to remove suggesting only looser top stones were taken. The patchy nature of the remains also suggests they have been damaged by ploughing and the insertion of drainage pipes in recent years.
7.5 The earliest phases of the site date to the $12^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ centuries, corresponding with the proposed origin of Bondington in the $12^{\text {th }}$ century and its abandonment in the $14^{\text {th }}$. There is a lack of finds from the $15^{\text {th }}-17^{\text {th }}$ centuries, when the site was abandoned and it appears to have returned to use by the $18^{\text {th }}$ century when the stone field walls may have been established. The similarity of orientation of the walls and the ditches may merely reflect alignment with the road rather than continuity of occupation.
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## APPENDIX 1: Site Registers

### 1.1 Context register

| Context No. | Description | Min depth (m OD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | Dark greyish brown sandy silt loam with moderate small rounded stones and occasional large stones, charcoal, pottery and tile. D: 0.40 m . Topsoil. | $\begin{aligned} & 64.12(\mathrm{~N}) \\ & 61.17(\mathrm{~S}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 101 | Mid-brown to mid reddish-brown sandy silt with moderate small to medium rounded and sub-angular stones. Occasional large stones and charcoal. D: 0.85 m . Hillwash. | $\begin{aligned} & 63.80(\mathrm{~N}) \\ & 60.83(\mathrm{~S}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 102 | Light reddish/pinkish brown slightly clayey silt with moderate angular and sub-rounded stones. D: $0.20-0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ at S end. Buried soil, medieval ground surface. | $\begin{aligned} & 63.32(\mathrm{~N}) \\ & 60.00(\mathrm{~S}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 103 | Gravel/clayey sand. Natural subsoil. | $\begin{aligned} & 63.53(\mathrm{~N}) \\ & 59.70(\mathrm{~S}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 104 | Large and medium sub-rounded and sub-angular limestone boulders average dimensions $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$. Roughly laid single course with no bonding or dressing in SW-NE orientation. Others stones appear to form rectangle, possible building. Wall 2 | 63.65 |
| 105 | Mid-brown sandy silt with occasional pebble inclusions. Appears similar or same as topsoil. No cut visible. D: 0.03 m . Possible remains of ditch. | 60.62 |
| 106 | Sub-angular limestone boulders and occasional sandstone, unbonded with 2 courses in places on SW-NE orientation. Several large upright stones at end and short section of 2 courses of smaller sub-square boulders. Possible extension of wall further to SW. Small bank on NW side. L: $3.3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.7 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}$ : 0.50 m . Remains of field wall. Wall 6 | 64.14 |
| 107 | Mid reddish-brown sandy silt. Moderate rounded stones and sub-angular limestone and sandstone fragments. Occasional charcoal. Matrix of stones [106]. L: $3.3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.7 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | 64.00 |
| 108 | Sub-rounded and sub-angular limestone blocks. 1 course, no bonding, no dressing. SW-NE orientation continues under N baulk. L: 1.60m (excavated), W: $0.40 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ Remains of field wall. Wall 7 | 64.35 |
| 109 | Mid brown sandy silt with occasional small to medium, angular stones. Forms matrix surrounding [108]. Occasional charcoal. Occasional patches of orange brown sand and gravel similar to natural. L: $1.3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.6 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}$ : 0.45 m . | 63.83 |
| 110 | Cut of curvilinear ditch. Break of slope top - insubstantial; sides - v. gentle; base - gentle. Base slightly concave. May continue under baulk. L: 4m, W: 1.5m, D: 0.9 m . Ditch 7 | 63.74 |
| 111 | Mid orange brown sandy silt, moderately compact with regular small subangular stones. D: 0.9 m . Fill of [110]. | 62.74 |
| 112 | Cut of curvilinear ditch. Break of slope top - insubstantial; sides - gentle; base - gentle. Base slightly concave. NE-SW orientation. L: 3.5m (excavated), W: 0.40 m , D: 0.09 m . Ditch 7 | 63.05 |
| 113 | Mid orange brown sandy silt, moderately compact with occasional subangular stones c .0 .05 m . D: 0.09 m . Fill of [112]. | 63.05 |
| 114 | Sub-oval cut with gentle sloping sides to W , fairly sharp to N and E and an uneven concave base. L: $0.65 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.54 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$. Small pit. | 63.05 |
| 115 | Mid orange brown sandy silt, moderately compact with regular angular stones $<0.09 \mathrm{~m}$ and rare sub-angular stones $<0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. D: 0.13 m . Fill of [114]. | 63.05 |
| 116 | Sub-circular cut. Break of slope top - undefined; sides - fairly steep; base moderate. Base - uneven concave. L: $0.60 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.55 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.34 \mathrm{~m}$. Small pit, possible posthole. | 63.27 |
| 117 | Mid orange brown sandy silt, moderately to loosely compact with regular medium (c. 0.08 m ) sub-angular stones and occasional large (c. 0.25 m ) sub- | 63.27 |


|  | angular stones. D: 0.34m. Fill of [116] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118 | Slightly curvilinear cut. Break of slope top - fairly sharp; sides - E. stepped, W. fairly steep; base - moderate. Base - stepped, concave. Orientated NWSE. W: $0.66 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. Ditch 4 | 62.06 |
| 119 | Mid greyish orange brown silty sand, moderately compact with regular $<0.09 \mathrm{~m}$ angular stones. D: 0.25 m . Fill of [118]. | 62.06 |
| 120 | $1.9 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.7 \mathrm{~m}$ structure of limestone blocks. Appears to lie within (101). Orientated SW-NE, similar to other walls in area. Wall 3 |  |
| 121 | Mid-light brown sandy silt with gravel. Matrix of [120] | 63.81 |
| 122 | Dry stone wall measuring $1.8 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.6 \mathrm{~m}$. Coursing varies between 1 and 2 , with an average depth of 35 cm . Wall 1 | 63.01 |
| 123 | Possible boundary or drainage ditch of curvi-linear shape. SW-NE orientation with width of 2.1 m and depth of 0.34 m . Ditch 1 | 63.63 |
| 124 | Fill of [123]. Mid brown clayey silt with occasional pebbles. Contains sub angular stones. | 63.63 |
| 125 | Natural (104). Was originally interpreted as fill of [123] | 63.63 |
| 126 | Linear cut runs NE-SW, V-shaped in section with steep sides and pointed base. W: $0.70 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. Ditch 3 | 62.96 |
| 127 | Fill of ditch [126]. Orangey brown sandy silt with regular medium subangular stones. | 62.96 |
| 128 | Very large (c. $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) stones over culvert, possibly roughly cut flat. NW-SE orientation with bend to S after c. 25 m . L: c. $30 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$, D: $0.20 \mathrm{~m})$. Capping stones of culvert. | 63.85 |
| 129 | NOT USED |  |
| 130 | NOT USED |  |
| 131 | Large angular to rounded stones. NE-SW orientation. 1 course, no bonding. L: $0.80 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$. D: 0.50 m . Field wall. | 63.96 |
| 132 | Mid brown silty sand, moderately to loosely compact. Regular small rounded and sub-angular stones. Similar to [101]. L: $0.80 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.60 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}$ : 0.50 m . Matrix of [131]. | 63.80 |
| 133 | Large rounded to angular stones. N-S orientation. 1 course, no bonding. L: 4 m . W: 1m, D: 0.50 m . Field wall. | 63.89 |
| 134 | Mid brown silty sand, moderately to loosely compact. Regular small rounded and sub-angular stones. Similar to [101]. L: $4 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 1 \mathrm{~m}$. D: 0.50m. Matrix of [133]. | 63.80 |
| 135 | Area of large stones. Rough W-E orientation, possible heavily disturbed. 1 course, no bonding material. L: $1.20 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.80 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. Possible field wall. | 63.97 |
| 136 | Mid brown clayey-silt, moderately to loosely compact. Regular small rounded and sub-angular stones. Occasional charcoal. Similar to [101]. L: $1.20 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.80 \mathrm{~m}$. D: 0.50 m . Matrix of [135]. | 63.83 |
| 137 | Red-brown sandy silt. Regular medium and small rounded and sub-angular stones. Occasional larger stones. Occasional charcoal. Same as [101], hillwash overlying [128], [131], [133], [135]. | 63.90 |
| 138 | Re-deposited natural bank material, seen in S-facing edge of excavation. Below field wall [108], and (109). | 63.72 |
| 139 | NOT USED |  |
| 140 | Narrow slot measuring $1.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. Orientated SWW-NEE. Linear plan with gradual to moderate sides and flattish concave base. Filled by (141). | 62.19 |
| 141 | Mid-dark orangey brown sandy silt. Moderately compact with occasional $\leq 4 \mathrm{~cm}$ sub-angular stones. Fill of small slot [140]. | 62.19 |
| 142 | Roughly laid angular and sub-rounded limestone blocks on SW-NE orientation, as with others in area. Single course embedded in material below (143). Measures $2.9 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, with average stone size of $0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.15 m . Wall 5 | 63.92 |


| 143 | Mid reddish brown sandy silt with moderate small-medium angular and sub-rounded stones. Matrix of [142]. | 63.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 144 | Two limestone boulders measuring $0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Surrounded by smaller stones. Remains of field wall. | 63.59 |
| 145 | Mid-light reddish brown sandy silt beneath field wall [144]. $1.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.1 m . | 63.34 |
| 146 | Linear cut runs NNW-SSE with gradual-moderate sloping sides to flattish concave base. W: $0.7 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. Ditch 5 | 62.13 |
| 147 | Orangey brown clayey sandy silt. Contains occasional sub-angular stones. Possibly cut by [126] but relationship unclear. Fill of [146]. | 62.13 |
| 148 | Linear cut for bank on NE-SW orientation. $2.9 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.7 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Truncated on NW. | 63.65 |
| 149 | Mid-dark brown sandy silt, sealed by hillwash (101). $0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$. Fill of small pit [150]. | 63.98 |
| 150 | Oval pit cut with fairly gentle break of slope, fairly shallow sloping sides and flattish base. $0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$. | 63.98 |
| 151 | Dark brown sandy silt, sealed beneath 'hillwash (101). Fill of pit [152]. Contains Medieval pottery. | 64.11 |
| 152 | Oval pit cut with fairly gentle break of slope, fairly shallow sloping sides and flattish base. $0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. Filled by [151]. | 64.11 |
| 153 | Layer of medium-large sub-rounded and angular limestone cobbles and pebbles, forming a rough compact surface. $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. Contains Medieval pottery. | 60.43 |
| 154 | Mid-dark brown fine sandy silt. Fill of ditch [155]. Contains Medieval pottery. | 60.37 |
| 155 | Linear N-S cut running into $S$ edge of excavation. Gentle breaks of slope and flat base. Triangular in plan, becoming thinner and more V -shaped in section towards the north. W: $2.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. Ditch 13 | 60.37 |
| 156 | Linear cut runs NW-SE, steep to moderate sloping sides to a flattish-concave base. L: 5m, W: 1.2m max, D: 0.05-0.50m. Ditch 9 | 63.51 |
| 157 | Mid orangey brown sandy silt. Fill of ditch [156]. | 63.51 |
| 158 | Light brown sandy silt with occasional small stones. W: $0.5 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.1 \mathrm{~m}$. Primary fill of ditch [155]. | 60.36 |
| 159 | Dark reddish brown clayey silt with occasional fragments of burnt stone/brick. $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Burnt deposit within (101). | 63.74 |
| 160 | Large limestone boulders roughly laid on a SW-NE orientation. Single course. L: $6.8 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.70 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$. Wall 5 | 64.29 |
| 161 | Mid orangey brown sandy silt with width of 0.7 m and depth of 0.22 m . Matrix of [160]. | 64.13 |
| 162 | Sub-rounded and sub-angular boulders compactly laid roughly flat in a single course. SW-NE. $13.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Wall 6 | 64.50 |
| 163 | Mid reddish brown sandy silt with moderate med-large stones and occasional smaller stones. Matrix of [162]. | 64.37 |
| 164 | Linear cut measuring $3 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. On NNE-SSW orientation with gradual sloping sides and flattish concave base. Ditch 10 | 63.70 |
| 165 | Mid orangey brown sandy silt, moderately compacted. Regular medium subangular stones. Fill of linear feature [164]. | 63.70 |
| 166 | Linear arrangement of stones forming a field wall similar to [162]. Single course of limestone blocks measuring average of $0.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. Total dimensions $2.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~m}$. Wall 6 | 64.26 |
| 167 | Mid reddish brown sandy silt measuring $2.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Matrix of [166] [166]. | 64.12 |
| 168 | Oval pit on NW-SE orientation. Sharp edges and fairly flat base. $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$. | 63.50 |
| 169 | Grey brown sandy silt filling [168]. Regular $<0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ sub-angular stones. | 63.50 |
| 170 | Line of sub-angular limestone boulders on SW-NE orientation. Possible | 63.77 |


|  | remains of field wall measuring $3.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.4 \mathrm{~m}$. Wall 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 171 | Single course of sub-angular limestone boulders on SW-NE orientation. 1 mx $0.9 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$. Possible field wall, perhaps forming part of field wall [160]. <br> Wall 5 | 63.65 |
| 172 | 2 parallel lines of stones measuring $4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$. Fill cut [210] to form the side stones of culvert. Associated with culvert top stones [128]. | 63.62 |
| 173 | Dark grey silty clay measuring $6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$. Contains re-deposited natural. Fill of culvert side stones [172] and culvert cut [210]. | 63.55 |
| 174 | Medium rounded and sub-angular stones. NW-SE orientation. 1 course, no bonding. Initial appearance of possible field drain, but no cut present, so probably field wall. Partially under [135] and above [183]. L: 1.80m, W: 0.40 m , D: 0.20 m . Possible wall. | 63.98 |
| 175 | Mid brown clayey silt, moderate compaction with regular small rounded and sub-angular stones. Occasional charcoal. L: $1.80 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.40 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. Matrix of [174]. | 63.89 |
| 176 | Large stones. NE-SW orientation. 2 course, no bonding. Likely heavily disturbed. L: $1.30 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.60 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 1 \mathrm{~m}$. Field wall. | 64.12 |
| 177 | Mid brown clayey silt, moderate compaction with regular small rounded and sub-angular stones. Rare charcoal. L: $1.60 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.40 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 1.10 \mathrm{~m}$. Matrix of [176]. | 64.00 |
| 178 | Med-large stones spread over area $2.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$, filling cut [210]. Appear to be disturbed culvert stones, similar to [172]. | 63.61 |
| 179 | NOT USED |  |
| 180 | Linear ditch cut. W: $1.63 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.4 \mathrm{~m}$, orientated NE-SW. Gradual breaks of slope and sides, with a concave base. Ditch 1 | 62.46 |
| 181 | Linear ditch similar to [180]. W: 1.4m, D: 0.35m. N-S orientation. Ditch 1 | 62.87 |
| 182 | NOT USED |  |
| 183 | 2 parallel lines of stones on a NE-SW alignment. Single course. L: 1.3m, W: $0.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. Probably a contemporary offshoot of culvert [128]. | 63.90 |
| 184 | Dark brown sandy silt. L: 1.3 m , D: 0.1m. Fill of culvert offshoot [183]. | 63.88 |
| 185 | Limestone and sandstone sub-rounded and sub-angular boulders with average size $0.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$. Laid roughly flat on SW-NE orientation. Wall 7 | 64.49 |
| 186 | Reddish brown sandy silt with moderate small-med stones and occasional small stones. Matrix of [185]. | 64.40 |
| 187 | Dark brown sandy silt filling culvert [210]. L: $1.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Inclusions of small rounded and angular stones, charcoal and pottery. | 63.81 |
| 188 | NOT USED |  |
| 189 | Linear drainage ditch on SE-NW orientation. W: 1.5m, D: 0.18-0.35m. Gradual break of slope and sides, with an undulating base. Ditch 8 | 63.12 |
| 190 | Curvi-linear ditch, same as [123], [180], [181], [200], [214] and [215]. Gradual break of slope and sides with a concave base. W: $1.1 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. Ditch 1 | 63.19 |
| 191 | Sub-oval possible cut with gentle irregular sides and an irregular concave base. $\varnothing: 0.80 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$. Cut not clear, and may be the result of surface fire. | 63.52 |
| 192 | Dark grey black sandy silt. D: 0.04 m . Contains regular charcoal flecks and occasional burnt bone flecks. Primary fill of [191]. | 63.43 |
| 193 | Orangey brown silty clay with regular charcoal flecks. D: 0.1 m . Upper fill of [191]. | 63.52 |
| 194 | Sub-circular pit cut into (102). Flattish base and fairly gentle sides. W: 0.7 m , D: 0.7 m . | 63.61 |
| 195 | Grey brown sandy silt containing frequent Medieval pottery fragments. W: $0.7 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Fill of pit [194]. | 63.61 |
| 196 | Cut of modern drainage ditch on rough N-S alignment. W: 0.5 m . | 63.66 |
| 197 | Fill of modern ditch [196]. Re-deposited natural. | 63.66 |
| 198 | Mid reddish brown sandy silt with depth of 0.15m. Matrix of [104]. | 63.54 |
| 199 | Mid grey brown sandy silt filling pit [209]. L: $1 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.7 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | 62.70 |


|  | Contains frequent sub-angular stones $<0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ diameter. Cut by modern ditch [196]. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | Curvi-linear ditch with gradual sides and a flat base. W: $2.3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.72 \mathrm{~m}$, on a N-S alignment. Filled by (233) and (234). Ditch 1 | 63.35 |
| 201 | Linear drainage ditch immediately to east of ditch [200], on an E-W orientation. Gradual sides and concave base. W: 1.8 m , D: 0.3 m . Filled by (232). Ditch 2 | 63.37 |
| 202 | Light brown sandy silt with occasional small angular stones. L: $6 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, D: 0.14m. Fill of ditch [203]. | 61.85 |
| 203 | Linear ditch cut running from E-facing baulk in a NE-SW direction. L: 6m, $\mathrm{W}: 0.5 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$. Concave base. Sharp break of slope to N , more gentle on <br> S. Ditch 12 | 61.85 |
| 204 | Grey brown sandy silt filling ditch [205]. W: $0.9 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$. Moderate large limestone/granite rocks and occasional patches of clay, flecks of charcoal and smaller stones. Lies partly beneath wall [170]/[101]. | 63.29 |
| 205 | Linear ditch cut on NE-SW orientation. Very sharp breaks of slope and steep sides. W: <0.9m, D: 0.3m. Ditch 11 | 63.29 |
| 206 | Greyish brown sandy silt filling pit [207]. Contains occasional small-med sub-angular and sub-rounded stones, and charcoal flecks. L: $1.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 1 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}$ : 0.12 m . | 64.09 |
| 207 | Oval pit cut with fairly gentle breaks of slope and sides, and a flat base. L: $1.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 1 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. | 64.09 |
| 208 | NOT USED |  |
| 209 | Sub oval pit cut on E-W orientation. L: $1 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.7 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | 62.70 |
| 210 | Square-sectioned cut containing culvert [128]. NW-SE alignment. W: 1.2m, D: 0.25 m . | 63.85 |
| 211 | Square-sectioned cut containing culvert [183]. Runs into [210], suggesting they may be contemporary. L: $1.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.4 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | 63.85 |
| 212 | Curvi-linear drain on NE-SW orientation. L: 8m, W: 0.3-0.8m, D: 0.05-0.18m. Sharper sides on E side than W, concave base. | 63.32 |
| 213 | Mid grey brown sandy silt with frequent sub-rounded stones. L: 8m, W: 0.3$0.8 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.05-0.18 \mathrm{~m}$. Fill of drain [212]. | 63.32 |
| 214 | Linear ditch with gradual sides and concave base. Aligned SW-NE. W: $0.82 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.27 \mathrm{~m}$. Ditch 1 | 63.60 |
| 215 | Linear ditch with gradual sides and flat base. SW-NE orientation. W: 1.04m, D: 0.34m. Ditch 1 | 63.58 |
| 216 | Dark grey brown sandy silt with occasional small-med stones. L: $0.8 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}$ : $0.35 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Fill of possible stone-lined drain [217]. | 64.00 |
| 217 | Curvi-linear drainage ditch on NE-SW alignment. Gently sloping sides and flat base. L: $0.8 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.5 \mathrm{~m}, 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Filled by (216) and [219]. | 64.00 |
| 218 | Mid greyish brown sandy silt with frequent large angular and sub-rounded stones. W: $1.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.65 \mathrm{~m}$. Fill of pit [220]. | 64.10 |
| 219 | Single layer of square stone slabs. L: $0.3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.4 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$. Stone lining of drainage ditch [127]. | 63.98 |
| 220 | Pit cut with flattish base. N side sharp. S side starts gentle but becomes sharp halfway down. W: $1.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.65 \mathrm{~m}$. Filled by (218). | 64.10 |
| 221 | Curvi-linear ditch filled by (222). L: 3 m to baulk, W: 0.7 m , D: 0.35 m . Fairly steep sides and flat base. | 63.26 |
| 222 | Mid grey brown sandy silt with occasional sub-angular stones. Fill of [221]. | 63.26 |
| 223 | Oval pit with fairly steep sides and flattish base. E-W. L: $0.4 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}:$ 0.3 m . Filled by (224). | 63.20 |
| 224 | Orangey brown clayey silt with occasional angular stones and charcoal flecks. Fill of pit [223]. | 63.20 |
| 225 | Sub-circular stake-hole truncating pit [223]. L: $0.2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~W}: 0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}: 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$. Flattish base with mod-steep sides. Filled by (226). | 63.20 |
| 226 | Grey brown clayey silt with occasional small stones. Fill of stake-hole [225]. | 63.20 |


| 227 | Brown sandy silt with occasional small-mid sub-angular stones and <br> occasional charcoal. D: 0.34m. Fill of ditch [181]. | 62.87 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 228 | Brown sandy silt with occasional sub-angular stones. Fill of ditch [180]. D: <br> 0.4m. | 62.46 |
| 229 | Brown sandy silt with occasional sub-angular stones. D: 0.18m. Fill of ditch <br> [189]. | 63.12 |
| 230 | Mid brown sandy silt with occasional small-med sub-angular stones. D: <br> 0.25m. Fill of ditch [190]. | 63.19 |
| 231 | Mid brown sandy silt with occasional small-med sub-angular stones and <br> occasional charcoal. D: 0.27m. Fill of ditch [214]. | 63.60 |
| 232 | Mid brown sandy silt with occasional small-med sub-angular stones and <br> occasional charcoal. D: 0.3m. Fill of ditch [201]. | 63.37 |
| 233 | Mid brown sandy silt with occasional small-med sub-angular stones and <br> occasional charcoal. D: 0.3m. Upper fill of ditch [200]. | 63.35 |
| 235 | Light-med grey brown sandy silt with frequent med-large sub-angular <br> stones. D: 0.45m. Primary fill of ditch [200]. | 63.08 |
| 236 | Dark brown sandy silt with occasional charcoal and small stones. D: 0.4m. <br> Soil matrix containing stones [122]. | 62.98 |
| Mid brown sandy silt with occasional sub-angular stones. D: 0.34m. Fill of <br> ditch [215]. | 63.58 |  |

### 1.2 Drawing register

| Drawing No. | Scale | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 1:20 | Plan field wall [106] |
| 101 | 1:10 | Section curvilinear feat. [110] |
| 102 | 1:10 | Section curvilinear feat. [112] |
| 103 | 1:10 | Section pit [114] |
| 104 | 1:10 | Section pit [116] |
| 105 | 1:10 | Section linear [118] |
| 106 | 1:20 | Plan field wall [108] |
| 107 | 1:20 | Plan field wall [120] |
| 108 | 1:20 | Plan field wall [122] |
| 109 | 1:20 | Plan bank beneath [108] |
| 110 | 1:20 | Plan field walls [129], [131], [133], [135] and part of culvert [128] - in 3 parts |
| 111 | 1:10 | Section bank [109], [138] |
| 112 | 1:10 | Plan slot 1, ditch [118] |
| 113 | 1:10 | Section slot 2, ditch [118] |
| 114 | 1:20 | Plan slot 2, ditch [118] |
| 115 | 1:10 | Section slot 3, ditch [118] |
| 116 | 1:10 | Plan slot 3, ditch [118] |
| 117 | 1:10 | Section slot 1, ditch [123] |
| 118 | 1:20 | Plan field wall [144] |
| 119 | 1:20 | Plan field wall [142] |
| 120 | 1:10 | Section field bank [143] |
| 121 | 1:10 | Section ditch [126] |
| 122 | 1:20 | Plan ditch [126] |
| 123 | 1:10 | Section ditches [126], [146] |
| 124 | 1:20 | Plan ditches [126], [146] |
| 125 | 1:10 | Section ditch [146] |
| 126 | 1:20 | Plan ditch [146] |
| 127 | 1:20 | Post-ex plan bank [148] |
| 128 | 1:10 | Section pit [152] |


| 129 | 1:20 | Post-ex plan pit [152] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | 1:10 | Section pit [150] |
| 131 | 1:20 | Post-ex plan pit [150] |
| 132 | 1:10 | Section ditch [156] |
| 133 | 1:20 | Plan ditch terminal [156] |
| 134 | - | VOID |
| 135 | 1:20 | Post-ex plan ditch [155] |
| 136 | 1:20 | Plan stones associated with culvert [128] |
| 137 | 1:20 | Plan stones [153] |
| 138 | 1:10 | Section slot 1, ditch [155] |
| 139 | 1:10 | Section slot 2, ditch [155] |
| 140 | 1:20 | Plan ditch [155] |
| 141 | 1:10 | Section S end of site |
| 142 | 1:10 | Section slot 2, ditch [156] |
| 143 | 1:20 | Plan slot 2, ditch [156] |
| 144 | 1:10 | Section slot 3, ditch [156] |
| 145 | 1:20 | Plan brick deposit [159] |
| 146 | 1:20 | Plan wall [160] |
| 147 | 1:20 | Plan wall [162] |
| 148 | 1:10 | Section linear feat. [164] |
| 149 | 1:20 | Plan linear feat. [164] |
| 150 | 1:20 | Plan wall [166] |
| 151 | 1:10 | Section ditch \{168] |
| 152 | 1:20 | Plan ditch [168] |
| 153 | 1:20 | Plan field wall [170] |
| 154 | 1:20 | Plan field wall [171] |
| 155 | 1:10 | Section wall [160] |
| 156 | 1:20 | Plan culvert [128] |
| 157 | 1:20 | Plan field walls [174], [176] and culvert [128] |
| 158 | 1:20 | Plan culvert side stones [172] and fill [173] |
| 159 | 1:10 | Section wall [122] |
| 160 | 1:10 | Section slot 2, ditch [180] |
| 161 | 1:20 | Plan slot 2, ditch [180] |
| 162 | 1:20 | Plan stone [178] and fill [173] in culvert |
| 163 | 1:10 | Section through wall [162] |
| 164 | 1:10 | Section slot 3, ditch [181] |
| 165 | 1:20 | Plan slot 3, ditch [181] |
| 166 | 1:20 | Plan wall [104] |
| 167 | 1:20 | Plan wall [185] |
| 168 | 1:10 | South facing section stones [178] and deposit [173] (missing) |
| 169 | - | NOT USED |
| 170 | 1:10 | Section slot 4, ditch [189], and ditch [190] |
| 171 | 1:20 | Plan slot 4, ditch [189], and ditch [190] |
| 172 | 1:20 | Plan culvert [128] and culvert offshoot [183] |
| 173 | 1:10 | Section wall [171] |
| 174 | 1:10 | Section pit [194] |
| 175 | 1:20 | Plan pit [194] |
| 176 | 1:10 | Section stones [178] and deposit [173] |
| 177 | 1:10 | Section feat. [191] |
| 178 | 1:20 | Section feat. [191] |
| 179 | 1:10 | Section culvert [128] |
| 180 | 1:10 | Section culvert offshoot [183] |
| 181 | 1:20 | Plan ditches [118], [123], and stones [199] |
| 182 | 1:10 | Section slot 5, ditches [200], [201] |
| 183 | 1:20 | Plan slot 5, ditches [200], [201] |


| 184 | $1: 20$ | Plan slot 1, ditch [123] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 185 | $1: 10$ | Section ditch [203] |
| 186 | $1: 20$ | Plan ditch [203] |
| 187 | $1: 10$ | Section ditch [205] |
| 188 | $1: 20$ | Plan ditch [205] |
| 189 | $1: 10$ | Section pit [207] |
| 190 | $1: 20$ | Plan pit [207], and feat. [217] |
| 191 | $1: 10$ | Section feats. [126], [118], and [209] |
| 192 | $1: 20$ | Plan feats. [126], [118], and [209] |
| 193 | $1: 10$ | Section ditch [212] |
| 194 | $1: 20$ | Plan ditch [212] |
| 195 | $1: 20$ | Plan of ditch [214] |
| 196 | $1: 20$ | Plan of ditch [215] |
| 197 | $1: 10$ | Section feats. [217], and [219] |
| 198 | $1: 20$ | Post-ex plan feat. [217] |
| 199 | $1: 10$ | Section feat. [217] |
| 200 | $1: 10$ | Section ditch [201] and fill [124] |
| 201 | $1: 20$ | Plan slot 1 ditch [201] |
| 202 | $1: 10$ | Section ditch [221] |
| 203 | $1: 20$ | Plan ditch [221] |
| 204 | $1: 10$ | Section pit [223], and stake hole [225] |
| 205 | $1: 20$ | Plan pit [223], and stake hole [225] |

### 1.3 Photographic register

| Shot | Facing | CS | B\&W | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 101 |  | X | X | ID shot |
| 102 | W | X | X | Working shot |
| 103 | NE | X | X | Working shot |
| 104 | NE | X | X | Working shot |
| 105 | N | X | X | Working shot |
| 106 | S | X | X | Working shot |
| 107 | S | X | X | Working shot |
| 108 | W | X | X | Pre-ex shot |
| 109 | W | X | X | Working shot |
| 110 | NE | X | X | Working shot |
| 111 | NE | X | X | Working shot |
| 112 | N | X | X | Working shot |
| 113 | N | X | X | Working shot |
| 114 | S | X | X | S end of site |
| 115 | SW | X | X | S end of site |
| 116 | SW | X | X | S end of site |
| 117 | W | X | X | S end of site |
| 118 | NW | X | X | Working shot |
| 119 | NW | X | X | S end of site |
| 120 | NW | X | X | E side of site |
| 121 | W | X | X | S end of site |
| 122 | NW | X | X | Ditch in middle of site |
| 123 | NW | X | X | Possible rectangular structure in S area of site |
| 124 | NW | X | X | Stones and gravel in S area of site |
| 125 | NW | X | X | Stones and gravel in S area of site |
| 126 | NW | X | X | Gravel area |
| 127 | S | X | X | Site looking S |
| 128 | NW | X | X | Working shot |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 129 | W | X |  | Working shot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | W | X | X | Remains of wall [122]/ BW ID shot |
| 131 | W |  | X | Remains of wall [122] |
| 132 | NW | X |  | Wall [104] |
| 133 | NW | X |  | Working shot |
| 134 | SW | X |  | Ditch [123], and wall [104] |
| 135 | SE | X |  | Wall in W area of site |
| 136 | N | X |  | Wall remains in W area of site |
| 137 | N | X |  | W area of site |
| 138 | N | X |  | Working shot |
| 139 | E | X | X | Culvert |
| 140 | N | X | X | Culvert |
| 141 | N | X | X | Deposits at S end of site |
| 142 | S | X | X | N end of site |
| 143 | SE | X | X | NE end of site |
| 144 | SW | X | X | W end of site |
| 145 | SW | X | X | W end of site |
| 146 | SW | X | X | Working shot S end of site |
| 147 | NW | X | X | Wall [106] |
| 148 | SE | X | X | Wall [106] and area of disturbance |
| 149 | SW | X | X | Wall [106] |
| 150 | NE | X | X | Wall [106] |
| 151 | SE | X | X | Wall [108] |
| 152 | SW | X | X | Wall [108] |
| 153 | NW | X | X | Wall [108] |
| 154 | SW | X | X | Wall [120] |
| 155 | NW | X | X | Wall [122] |
| 156 | SW | X | X | Wall [122] |
| 157 | NW | X | X | Wall [120] |
| 158 | NW | X | X | Working shot area N to culvert |
| 159 | SE | X | X | Working shot wall [122] |
| 160 | SSW | X | X | Curvilinear feat. [110] |
| 161 | NE | X | X | Curvilinear feat. [112] |
| 162 | S | X | X | Small pit [114] |
| 163 | N | X | X | Small pit [116] |
| 164 | NW | X | X | Georef. Shot, SE elevation wall [108] |
| 165 | SE | X | X | Section slot 1, ditch [123] |
| 166 | NW | X | X | Remains of bank beneath wall [108] |
| 167 | SW | X | X | Remains of bank beneath wall [108] |
| 168 | NW | X | X | Slot 1, ditch [118] |
| 169 | NW | X | X | Slot 2, ditch [118] |
| 170 | SW | X | X | Slot 1, ditch [126] |
| 171 | N | X | X | Section field bank [109] |
| 172 | NW | X | X | Georef shot, SE elevation wall [106] |
| 173 |  | X | X | ID shot |
| 174 | NE | X | X | Section slot 1, ditch [123] |
| 175 | SE | X | X | Remains of wall [144] |
| 176 | NE | X | X | Remains of wall [144] |
| 177 | SE | X | X | Field wall [142] |
| 178 | NE | X | X | Field wall [142] |
| 179 | NW | X | X | Ditch [118] |
| 180 | W | X | X | Ditch [126] |
| 181 | SE | X | X | Ditch [146] |
| 182 | NE | X | X | Section field wall [142] |
| 183 | NE | X | X | Section [142], [143], and [148] |


| 184 | S | X | X | Field walls [133], and [135] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 185 | SW | X | X | Field walls [131], and [135], culvert [128] |
| 186 | W | X | X | Post-ex small pits [150], and [152] |
| 187 | S | X | X | Stone surface [153] |
| 188 | NE | X | X | Section ditch [156] |
| 189 | S | X | X | Section ditch [155] |
| 190 | N | X | X | Section ditch [155] |
| 191 | N | X | X | Large stones ass. with culvert [128] |
| 192 | N | X | X | Post-ex ditch [155] |
| 193 | S | X | X | Section slot 2, ditch [155] |
| 194 | S | X | X | Section S end of site |
| 195 | SW | X | X | Section $S$ end of site |
| 196 | S | X | X | Terminal slot 1, ditch [156] |
| 197 | S | X | X | Slot 2, ditch [156] |
| 198 | S | X | X | Slot 3, ditch [156] |
| 199 | E | X | X | Brick deposit [159] |
| 200 | NE | X | X | Wall [160] |
| 201 | SW | X | X | Close-up SW end wall [160] |
| 202 | SW | X | X | Close-up NE end wall [160] |
| 203 | SW | X | X | Wall [160] |
| 204 | SW | X | X | Wall [162] |
| 205 |  | X | X | ID shot |
| 206 | N | X | X | Section feat. [164] |
| 207 | NW | X | X | Wall [166] |
| 208 | SW | X | X | Wall [162] |
| 209 | SE | X | X | Section feat. [168] |
| 210 | NE | X | X | Section wall [160] |
| 211 | W | X | X | Bend in culvert [128] |
| 212 | N | X | X | S end of culvert [128] |
| 213 | W | X | X | Poss. field wall remains [170] |
| 214 | NNW | X | X | Poss. field wall remains [171] |
| 215 | NE | X | X | Section wall [162] |
| 216 | NW | X | X | Site under snow |
| 217 | SW | X | X | Site under snow |
| 218 | W | X | X | Stones [172] to S of culvert [128] |
| 219 | SW | X | X | Wall [104] |
| 220 | SW | X | X | Wall [104] |
| 221 | SW | X | X | Working shot in snow |
| 222 | SW | X | X | Wall [185] |
| 223 | E | X | X | Wall [171] |
| 224 | NE | X | X | Culvert offshoot [183] |
| 225 | SE | X | X | Culvert [128] |
| 226 | N | X | X | Ditches [118], and [126] |
| 227 | W | X | X | Ditches [118], and [126] |
| 228 | S | X | X | Ditches [118], and [126] |
| 229 | E | X | X | Ditches [118], and [126] |
| 230 | NW | X | X | Slot 2, ditch [180] |
| 231 | NW | X | X | Slot 3, ditch [181] |
| 232 | E | X | X | Section wall [122] |
| 233 | NW | X | X | Slot 4, ditches [189] and [190] |
| 234 | N | X | X | Slot 5, ditches [200] and [201] |
| 235 | N | X | X | Ditches [201] and [123] |
| 236 |  | X |  | ID shot |
| 237 | SW | X |  | Plan ditch [203] |
| 238 | E | X |  | Section ditches [209], [118] and [126] |


| 239 | NE | X |  | Section ditch. [205] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 240 | NE | X |  | Plan ditch [205] |
| 241 | SW | X |  | Plan ditch [205] |
| 242 | NE | X |  | Pit [207] |
| 243 | NE | X |  | Post-ex culvert offshoot [183] |
| 244 | NW | X |  | Post-ex culvert [128] |
| 245 | S | X |  | Working shot |
| 246 | SW | X |  | Stone feature at N of site |
| 247 | SE | X |  | Stone feature at N of site |
| 248 | NE | X |  | Stone feature at N of site |
| 249 | N | X |  | Ditch [221] |
| 250 | NE | X |  | Section of ditch [201] |
| 251 | N | X |  | Section feat [224] |
| 252 | NW | X |  | Section pit [220] |
| 253 | NE | X |  | Section ditch [217] |
| 254 | NE | X |  | Post-ex ditch [217] and stones [219] |
| 255 | NE | X |  | Post-ex ditch [217], and [220], and [207] |
| 256 | SW | X |  | Post-ex ditch [217], and [220], and [207] |
| 257 |  | X |  | Working shot |
| 258 | S | X | X | Burnt feature [191] |
| 259 | S | X | X | Small pit [194] |

### 1.4 Sample Register

| Sample <br> No. | Context <br> No. | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100 | 100 | Topsoil around wall [104] |
| 101 | 111 | Fill of curvilinear shallow ditch [110] |
| 102 | 113 | Fill of curvilinear shallow ditch [112] |
| 103 | 115 | Fill of small pit [114] |
| 104 | 117 | Fill of small pit [116] |
| 105 | 119 | Fill of linear ditch [118] |
| 106 | 124 | Fill of ditch [123] |
| 107 | 109 | Material beneath stones [108] |
| 108 | 141 | Fill of slot [140] |
| 109 | 127 | Fill of ditch [126] |
| 110 | 121 | Material beneath stones [120] |
| 111 | 107 | Material beneath stones [106] |
| 112 | 147 | Fill of ditch [146] |
| 113 | 143 | Bank material beneath [142] |
| 114 | 145 | Material beneath wall remains [144] |
| 115 | 136 | Material beneath stone feat. [135] |
| 116 | 149 | Fill of small pit [150] |
| 117 | 151 | Fill of small pit [152] |
| 118 | 132 | Material beneath wall [131] |
| 119 | 154 | Fill of ditch [155] |
| 120 | 235 | Fill from wall [122] |
| 121 | 229 | Fill from ditch [189] |
| 122 | 157 | Fill from ditch [156] |
| 123 | 134 | Material beneath wall [133] |
| 124 | 100 | Topsoil from S end of site |
| 125 | 101 | Hillwash from S end of site |
|  |  |  |


| 126 | 102 | Buried soil from S end of site |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 127 | 159 | Brick deposit within [101] |
| 130 | 165 | Fill of linear feat. [164] |
| 131 | 169 | Fill of linear feat. [168] |
| 132 | 161 | Material beneath field wall [160] |
| 133 | 163 | Material beneath field wall [162] |
| 134 | 175 | Material beneath field wall [174] |
| 135 | 184 | Fill of culvert offshoot [183] |
| 136 | 187 | Fill of culvert [128] |
| 137 | 167 | Material beneath wall [166] |
| 138 | 186 | Material beneath wall [185] |
| 139 | 192 | Burnt material from feat. [191] |
| 140 | 193 | Second fill of feat. [191] |
| 141 | 198 | Material beneath wall [104] |
| 142 | 204 | Fill from ditch [203] |
| 143 | 206 | Fill of pit [207] |
| 144 | 216 | Fill of feat. [217] |
| 145 | 218 | Fill of pit [220] |
| 146 | 222 | Fill from ditch [221] |
| 147 | 224 | Fill of pit [223] |
| 148 | 227 | Fill of ditch [181] - not processed |
| 149 | 202 | Fill of ditch [203] |

## APPENDIX 2: FINDS ASSESSMENT Julie Franklin

Table 1: Finds Summary

| Material | Sherds | Notes | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pottery (Medieval) | 237 | Mostly WG cooking pots, few jugs, some <br> redwares <br> Including 5 Scarborough type, 1 possible <br> Rhenish Stoneware | $12^{\text {th }}-15^{\text {th }}$ <br> $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { mostly early } \\ \left.\text { c. } 13^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{e} .14^{\text {th }}\right)\end{array}\right.$ <br> Pottery (Modern) <br> 76 <br> GlassUsual modern types, some very small <br> probably intrusive, odd TGE sherd |
| CBM \& Mortar | 3 | Bottle \& window sherds; Bead | $18^{\text {th }-20^{\text {th }}}$ |
| Stone | 2 | Daub from kiln; Pan tile \& brick fragments; <br> Mortar fragments | $18^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ |
| MWD | 7 | Roof slate; Bead |  |
| Clay Pipe | 2 | Ston slag fragments, prill, possible iron ore |  |
| Cu | 9 | Tang \& pin | $17^{\text {th } / \mathrm{e} .20^{\text {th }}}$ |
| Fe | 62 | Flint, mostly unworked chips, few possibly <br> worked flakes | $?$ |
| Lithics | Mod |  |  |

Table 2: Context Spot Dating Summary

| Ctxt | Dating |
| :--- | :--- |
| 100 | Modern |
| 101 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
| 102 | Medieval? |
| 105 | $12^{\mathrm{th}} / 14^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
| 107 | Mixed |
| 108 | Mixed |
| 109 | $12^{\mathrm{th}} / 14^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
| 111 | Modern |
| 119 | Modern? |
| 121 | Modern |
| 122 | $?$ |
| 124 | Mixed |
| 125 | $?$ |
| 127 | $?$ |
| 131 | Medieval? Mixed? |
| 133 | $12^{\mathrm{th}} /$ m. $14^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
| 134 | $?$ |
| 135 | $12^{\mathrm{th}} / 14^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
| 136 | Medieval |
| 141 | $?$ |
| 143 | $12^{\mathrm{th}} / 14^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
| 145 | $?$ |
| 147 | $?$ |
| 149 | $12^{\mathrm{th}} / 14^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
| 151 | $12^{\mathrm{th}} / 14^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
| 153 | $12^{\mathrm{th}} / 14^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
| 154 | $12^{\mathrm{th}} / 14^{\mathrm{th}}$ (intrusive modern?) |
|  |  |


| Ctxt | Dating |
| :---: | :---: |
| 157 | $12^{\text {th }} 14^{\text {th }}$ (intrusive modern?) |
| 158 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| 159 | ? (kiln daub) |
| 162 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| 163 | ? |
| 165 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ pottery |
| 167 | ? |
| 169 | ? |
| 175 | ? |
| 184 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| 186 | Mixed |
| 187 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| 192 | ? (intrusive modern??) |
| 193 | ? |
| 195 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ (intrusive modern glass?) |
| 198 | ? |
| 202 | Medieval? |
| 204 | ? |
| 206 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| 216 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| 218 | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| 224 | ? (intrusive modern?) |
| 227 | ? |
| 228 | ? |
| 232 | Mixed |

Table 3: Finds List
(NB charcoal, nutshell, seeds, cereals, bone \& shell not listed)

| Area | Ctxt | SF | Smpl | Material | Qty | Description | Spot Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U/S |  |  | Clay Pipe | 2 | Stems, narrow | $18^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{e} .20^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | U/S |  |  | Cu | 1 | Tang, scale tang, rounded end, rivet holes, integral shoulder plate, no blade scar, possibly from folding knife/razor? | $18^{\text {th}} / 20^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | U/S |  |  | Glass | 3 | 2 bottle sherds, green <br> 1 window sherd, good condition | $18^{\text {th }} / 1^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | U/S |  |  | Glass | 1 | Window sherd, good condition | $18^{\text {th}} / 20^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | U/S |  |  | Lithics | 3 | Flint lumps, worked?, abraded |  |
|  | U/S |  |  | Pottery | 53 | 34 Modern whiteware, blue trans print, blue sponge, banded, rockingham <br> 9 Modern redware, brown glazed, slip lined, mottled brown, unglazed flower pots? <br> 6 Modern stoneware, bottles, one stamped Lambeth, other sherds 3 Modern porcelain <br> 1 Modern blue moulded | $18^{\text {th }-19^{\text {th }}}$ |
|  | U/S |  |  | Pottery | 2 | Scar, pink, abraded, 1 rim, 1 body green glazed with some applied decor missing | $13^{\text {th/ }} / \mathrm{m} .14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | U/S |  |  | Pottery | 1 | TGE, flatware sherd, painted one side, no glaze on underside, but painted number '390' or '399' | L. $17^{\text {th }} / 18^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | U/S |  |  | Pottery | 13 | WG, 2 CP rims, 3 bases, two thumbed | $13^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | U/S S end of site |  |  | Pottery | 1 | WG, large, thick walled, poorly made | $13^{\text {th/ }} 15$ th |
|  | U/S |  |  | Pottery | 6 | WG, sooty sherds | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 100 |  | 124 | CBM | 1 | Pan tile fragment | $17^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 100 |  | 100 | Clay Pipe | 1 | Fragment | $17^{\text {th }} / 19^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 100 |  | 100 | Clay Pipe | 2 | Stems, wide? | $17^{\text {th }} / 18^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 100 |  | 124 | Cu | 1 | Pin, wire, triangular head, L. 18 mm |  |
|  | 100 |  | 100 | Fe | 1 | Nail |  |
|  | 100 |  | 100 | Glass | 1 | Bottle/vessel sherd, thin, green | Mod |
|  | 100 |  | 124 | Glass Obj | 1 | Bead, small translucent, colourless | Mod? |
|  | 100 |  | 124 | Lithics | 10 | Flint flakes \& chips |  |
|  | 100 |  | 124 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
|  | 100 |  | 100 | MWD |  | Fe slag lump |  |
|  | 100 |  | 124 | MWD |  | Fe slag lumps |  |
|  | 100 |  | 100 | Pottery | 4 | 3 Modern whiteware <br> 1 Modern stoneware | $18^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 100 |  | 124 | Pottery | 1 | WG | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 101 |  | 125 | CBM | 1 | Fragment |  |
|  | 101 |  | 125 | MWD |  | Prill |  |
|  | 101 |  |  | Pottery | 2 | WG, 1 copper speckled glaze | $13^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 101 |  | 125 | Pottery | 3 | WG, 1 glazed | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 102 |  | 126 | Lithics | 1 | Flint chip |  |
|  | 102 |  | 126 | Pottery | 1 | WG | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |


| Area | Ctxt | SF | Smpl | Material | Qty | Description | Spot Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 105 |  |  | Pottery | 19 | WG body sherds, all but one from same pot with glaze patches, rilling | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 107 |  | 111 | Pottery | 3 | Modern whiteware |  |
|  | 107 |  |  | Pottery | 2 | WG, grooved oval handle with copper speckled glaze \& CP frag | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 7 | 108 |  |  | CBM | 1 | Brick fragment, very abraded |  |
| Wall 7 | 108 |  |  | CBM | 2 | Pan tile sherds | Post-Med |
| Wall 7 | 108 |  |  | Pottery | 4 | 2 Modern stoneware bottle sherds 2 Modern redware, slip lined and unglazed | $18^{\text {th }} / 19^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 7 | 108 |  |  | Pottery | 5 | WG, pink, 1 base, all CP sherds, rilling | $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 7 | 109 |  | 107 | Lithics | 2 | Flint flakes |  |
| Wall 7 | 109 |  | 107 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
| Wall 7 | 109 |  |  | Pottery | 7 | WG, 1 rim, CP sherds | $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ |
| Ditch 7 | 111 |  | 101 | CBM | 14 | fragments |  |
| Ditch 7 | 111 |  | 101 | Glass | 1 | Fragment, clear | Mod |
| Ditch 7 | 111 |  | 101 | Lithics | 4 | Flint flakes |  |
| Ditch 7 | 111 |  | 101 | MWD |  | Fe slag fragments |  |
| Ditch 7 | 111 |  | 101 | Pottery | 2 | Modern whiteware | $18^{\text {th }} / 19^{\text {th }}$ |
| Ditch 4 | 119 |  | 105 | Glass | 1 | Fragment, green bottle glass | Mod |
| Ditch 4 | 119 |  | 105 | Lithics | 2 | Flint chips |  |
| Ditch 4 | 119 |  | 105 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
| Ditch 4 | 119 |  | 105 | MWD |  | Fe slag fragments |  |
| Wall 3 | 121 |  |  | Clay Pipe | 1 | Stem, narrow | $18^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 3 | 121 |  | 110 | Clay Pipe | 1 | Stem, narrow, glazed | $19^{\text {th/e. }} 20^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 3 | 121 |  | 110 | Fe | 1 | Fragment, shaped sheet? |  |
| Wall 3 | 121 |  | 110 | Lithics | 4 | Flint chips |  |
| Wall 3 | 121 |  | 110 | Stone | 1 | Bead, small flat, hard stone, incised circumferal lines? Diam 8, length 3 mm . |  |
| Wall 1 | 122 |  | 120 | Lithics | 1 | Flint chip |  |
| Wall 1 | 122 |  | 120 | MWD |  | Fe slag fragment |  |
| Ditch 1 | 124 |  |  | Lithics | 1 | Flint flake, natural? |  |
| Ditch 1 | 124 |  |  | Pottery | 1 | MedRW, grey, olive glaze jug sherd | $13^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
| Ditch 1 | 124 |  |  | Pottery | 1 | Modern Redware, brown glazed base | $18^{\text {th }} / 1^{\text {th }}$ |
| Ditch 1 | 124 |  |  | Pottery | 1 | WG, jug rim | $13^{\text {th}} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| Ditch 1 | 124 |  | 106 | CBM | 3 | Fragments |  |
| Ditch 3 | 127 |  | 109 | Lithics | 1 | Flint flake |  |
| Wall | 131 |  |  | Pottery | 3 | 2 WG | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall | 131 |  |  | Pottery | 1 | Modern stoneware, older RhenSW? | $15^{\text {th }} / 19^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall | 133 |  |  | Pottery | 2 | Scar, joining small sherds, pink, green glaze | $\begin{gathered} 13^{\text {th }} / \\ \mathrm{m} \cdot 14^{\mathrm{th}} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 134 |  | 123 | Lithics | 1 | Flint chip |  |
| Wall | 135 |  |  | Pottery | 1 | MedRW, u/g, micaceous | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall | 135 |  |  | Pottery | 1 | Scar, pale pink, mottled green glaze | $13^{\text {th}} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall | 135 |  |  | Stone | 1 | Roof slate, small holed sherd |  |
|  | 136 |  | 115 | Pottery | 1 | WG sherd | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 141 |  | 108 | Lithics | 1 | Flint chip |  |
|  | 141 |  | 108 | MWD |  | Prill |  |
| Wall 5 | 143 |  | 113 | Lithics | 1 | Flint flake, worked? |  |
| Wall 5 | 143 |  |  | Pottery | 1 | WG, CP rim | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |


| Area | Ctxt | SF | Smpl | Material | Qty | Description | Spot Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 145 |  | 114 | Lithics | 3 | Flint flakes |  |
|  | 145 |  | 114 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
| Ditch 5 | 147 |  | 112 | Lithics | 1 | Flint flake |  |
| Ditch 5 | 147 |  | 112 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
| Ditch 5 | 147 |  | 112 | MWD |  | Fe slag fragments |  |
|  | 149 |  | 116 | MWD |  | Fe slag fragments |  |
|  | 149 |  |  | Pottery | 3 | 3 WG, joining CP base sherds, 1 jug base <br> 1 MedRW jug base | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 151 |  |  | Pottery | 2 | 2 WG, pink CP sherd 1 MedRW, jug sherd | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ditch } \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 153 |  |  | Pottery | 7 | WG, 1 pink CP base with white slip | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ditch } \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 154 |  | 119 | Fe | 1 | Fragment |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ditch } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | 154 |  | 119 | Glass | 1 | Fragment, opaque, white |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ditch } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | 154 |  | 119 | Lithics | 2 | Flint chips |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ditch } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | 154 |  | 119 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ditch } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | 154 |  |  | Pottery | 29 | WG, 4 CP rims, 3 bases, 1 strap handle, 21 bodies, mostly cooking pot sherds | $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ditch } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | 154 |  | 119 | Pottery | 4 | WG, unglazed | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
| Ditch 9 | 157 |  | 122 | Pottery | 1 | Modern whiteware fragment |  |
| Ditch 9 | 157 |  | 122 | Pottery | 2 | WG sherd and fragment | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
| Ditch 9 | 157 |  |  | Pottery | 7 | WG, 1 CP rim, sooty sherds | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ditch } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | 158 |  |  | Pottery | 4 | WG, 1 CP rim | $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 159 |  | 127 | CBM | 1859 g | Lumps of daub, some with straw impressions, remains of kiln |  |
|  | 159 |  | 127 | MWD |  | Fe slag/ore |  |
| Wall 6 | 162 |  |  | Pottery | 2 | 1 MedRW, CP rim 1 WG | $13^{\text {th}} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 6 | 163 |  | 133 | CBM | 16 | Fragments |  |
| Wall 6 | 163 |  | 133 | MWD |  | Fe slag fragments |  |
| Ditch 10 | 165 |  |  | Pottery | 5 | 4 MedRW, rilled jug rim, joining <br> 1 WG rim frag, pink | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ditch } \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 165 |  | 130 | Pottery | 1 | WG, CP sherd | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 6 | 167 |  | 137 | Fe | 2 | Fragments |  |
| Wall 6 | 167 |  | 137 | Lithics | 4 | Flint chips |  |
| Wall 6 | 167 |  | 137 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
|  | 169 |  | 131 | MWD |  | Prill |  |
|  | 175 |  | 134 | Fe | 1 | Pin shank? |  |
|  | 175 |  | 134 | Lithics | 5 | Flint chips |  |
|  | 175 |  | 134 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
|  | 175 |  | 134 | MWD |  | Fe slag fragment |  |
|  | 175 |  | 134 | MWD |  | Prill |  |
|  | 184 |  | ? | CBM | 15 | Fragments |  |
|  | 184 |  | ? | Lithics | 3 | Flint chips |  |


| Area | Ctxt | SF | Smpl | Material | Qty | Description | Spot Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 184 |  |  | Pottery | 6 | 5 WG, 1 CP rim, 1 decor small rod, small abraded sherds <br> 1 MedRW | $13^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 184 |  | ? | Pottery | 1 | WG?, fragment | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 7 | 186 |  |  | CBM | 2 | Pan tile | Post-Med |
| Wall 7 | 186 |  |  | Fe | 1 | Nail shank |  |
| Wall 7 | 186 |  |  | Glass | 1 | Bottle, green | $17^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 7 | 186 |  | 138 | MWD |  | Prill |  |
| Wall 7 | 186 |  | 138 | Pottery | 1 | Modern whiteware sherd and fragments | $18^{\text {th }} / 19^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 7 | 186 |  |  | Pottery | 3 | Modern whiteware, blue trans print \& rockingham | $\begin{gathered} \text { L. } 18^{\mathrm{th}} / \mathrm{e} .20 \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ |
| Wall 7 | 186 |  | 138 | Pottery | 1 | WG | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 7 | 186 |  |  | Pottery | 3 | WG, 1 CP rim | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 187 |  | 136 | Fe | 1 | Nail |  |
|  | 187 |  |  | Pottery | 1 | WG? jug sherd | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 187 |  | 136 | Pottery | 3 | WG, 2 glazed | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 192 |  | 139 | Lithics | 1 | Flint chip |  |
|  | 192 |  | 139 | Pottery | 1 | Modern whiteware fragment |  |
|  | 193 |  | 140 | MWD |  | Fe slag/ore |  |
|  | 195 |  |  | Glass | 1 | Window sherd, good condition | $18^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 195 |  |  | Pottery | 49 | 24 MedRW, 3 jug rims, 1 strap handle, 2 bases, 18 bodies, from? 2 jugs, large sherds, several joins, complete profile? <br> 25 WG, 3 rims, 1 rim/handle, 21 bodies, all from same handle CP?, soft fabric, internal glaze, should join, complete profile?, grooved strap handle joined directly to rim | $13^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| Wall 2 | 198 |  | 141 | Lithics | 1 | Flint flake |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ditch } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | 202 |  | 141 | Lithics | 1 | Flint flake |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ditch } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | 202 |  | 141 | Pottery | 1 | WG fragment | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ditch } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 204 |  | 142 | Lithics | 5 | Flint flakes |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ditch } \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | 204 |  | 142 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
|  | 206 |  | 143 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
|  | 206 |  | 143 | Pottery | 2 | WG, sherd and fragment | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 206 |  |  | Pottery | 7 | WG, small sherds | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 216 |  | 144 | CBM | 1 | Fragment |  |
|  | 216 |  |  | Pottery | 4 | WG, 1 handle junction | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 216 |  | 144 | Pottery | 1 | WG, glazed | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 218 |  | 195 | MWD |  | Fe slag lump |  |
|  | 218 |  |  | Pottery | 12 | 11 WG, small sooty abraded sherds, 1 rim, <br> 1 MedRW, orange glazed | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 218 |  | 195 | Pottery | 2 | WG, 1 glazed | $12^{\text {th }} / 15^{\text {th }}$ |
|  | 224 |  | 147 | Mortar |  | Fragments |  |
|  | 224 |  | 147 | Pottery | 1 | Modern whiteware fragment |  |
| Ditch 1 | 227 |  |  | Lithics | 2 | Flint flake, worked? |  |
| Ditch 1 | 227 |  |  | Lithics | 1 | Flint lump, abraded |  |


| Area | Ctxt | SF | Smpl | Material | Qty | Description | Spot Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Ditch 1 | 228 |  |  | Fe | 1 | Nail shank |  |
| Ditch 1 | 228 |  |  | Lithics | 1 | Flint flake, worked? | $17^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ |
| Ditch 2 | 232 |  |  | Glass | 2 | Vessel/Bottle, clear sherds, good <br> condition | $12^{\text {th }} / 14^{\text {th }}$ |
| Ditch 2 | 232 |  |  | Pottery | 5 | 4 WG, 1 CP rim, 1 jug rim <br> 1 MedRW |  |

WG=White gritty ware; MedRW=medieval redware; Scar=Scarborough ware; RhenSW=Rhenish stoneware; CBM=Ceramic building material; MWD=metalworking debris.
N.B. No finds are recommended for x-ray/conservation

Table 4 CTB06 Retent Sample Results (C. Collins)

| Context <br> Number | Sample Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Retent } \\ & \text { Vol (I) } \end{aligned}$ | Pottery | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Kiln } \\ & \text { Clay } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Glass | Lithic | Metallic Objects | Metallic Waste | Mortar and <br> Brick | Charred Seed <br> and Grain | Charred Corylus <br> Nutshell | Mammal Bone | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fish } \\ & \text { Bone } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Burnt } \\ \text { Bone } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Marine } \\ & \text { Shell } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Charcoal <br> Quantity | Charcoal <br> AMS | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 100 | 10 | + |  | + |  | + | + |  |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  |  | Clay pipe. Fe nail. Slag. Whelk, winkle and limpet |
| 111 | 101 | 10 | +++ |  | + | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | 102 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Archaeologically sterile. |
| 115 | 103 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  | + |  |  |
| 117 | 104 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |
| 119 | 105 | 10 |  |  | + | + |  | + | + | + |  |  | + | + |  |  |  | Slag. |
| 125 | 106 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + |  | + |  |  | + | ++ |  |  |
| 109 | 107 | 10 |  |  |  | + |  |  | ++ | ++ |  | ++ |  | + | + | + | * | Small mammal tooth. Charcoal up to 1 cm . |
| 141 | 108 | 10 |  |  |  | + |  | + |  | + |  | + | + | + | + |  |  | Prill. Mussel shell identified. |
| 127 | 109 | 10 |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | * | Charcoal up to 1.5 cm . |
| 121 | 110 | 10 | + |  |  | + | + |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | Clay pipe stem, Fe worked object, Stone bead. |
| 107 | 111 | 10 | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | + |  |  |  | Small mammal. |
| 147 | 112 | 10 |  |  |  | + |  | + | + | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Slag. |
| 143 | 113 | 10 |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 | 114 | 10 |  |  |  | + |  |  | + |  |  | ++ |  | + | +++ |  |  |  |
| 136 | 115 | 10 | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 | 116 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | Slag. |
| 151 | 117 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | 118 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Archaeologically sterile. |
| 154 | 119 | 20 | + |  |  |  |  | + | + |  | + | + |  | + | $+$ | + | * | Slag. Charcoal up to 2 cm . |
| 235 | 120 | 10 |  |  |  | + |  | + |  | + |  |  | + | + | ++ |  |  | Slag, mussel shell identified. |
| 229 | 121 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  |  | ++ | ++ |  | Mussel shell identified |
| 157 | 122 | 10 | + |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + | ++ |  |  |  | ++ |  |  |
| 134 | 123 | 10 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | Worked stone. |
| 100 | 124 | 10 | + |  | + | ++ | + | + | + |  |  |  | + | + | + |  |  | Glass bead, copper pin, slag. Small mammal burn bone. |
| 101 | 125 | 10 | + |  |  |  |  | + | + |  |  | ++ |  |  | + | +++ |  | Prill, brick, recovered |
| 102 | 126 | 10 | + |  |  | + |  |  | + |  |  | + |  | + | + |  |  | Crab shell identified. |
| 109 | 127 | 10 |  | ++++ |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | Iron ore, limpet shell identified. |
| 165 | 130 | 10 | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  | + |  | + | * | Charcoal up to 1 cm . |
| 169 | 131 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | Prill. |
| 161 | 132 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 163 | 133 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | + | + |  |  | ++ |  |  |  | ++ |  |  |
| 175 | 134 | 10 | + |  |  | + |  | + | + | + |  | ++ | + | + | + | + | * | Fe filing, prill, slag. Oyster and mussel shell identified. Charcoal up to 1 cm . |
| 184 | 135 | 6 |  | +++ |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |
| 187 | 136 | 10 | + |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  | Iron nail. |
| 167 | 137 | 10 |  |  |  | + | + | + | + | + |  |  |  | + | ++ | + | * | Prill. Oyster and mussel shell identified. Charcoal up to 1 cm . |
| 186 | 138 | 10 | + |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | * | Prill. Charcoal up to 2 cm . |
| 192 | 139 | 10 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| 193 | 140 | 5 |  |  |  |  | +++ |  |  |  | + | ++ |  |  | + | ++ |  |  |
| 198 | 141 | 10 |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | Limpet shell identified. |
| 204 | 142 | 25 |  |  |  | + |  |  | + | + |  | + |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| 206 | 143 | 10 | + |  |  |  |  |  | + | ++ |  | +++ |  | + | + | + | * | Charcoal up to 1.5 cm . |
| 216 | 144 | 10 | $+$ |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | ++ |  | + | ++ | ++ |  |  |
| 218 | 145 | 10 | + |  |  |  |  | + |  | + |  |  |  | + |  | ++ |  | Slag. |
| 222 | 146 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | ++ |  | + | + | + | * | Mussel shell identified. Charcoal up to 1 cm . |
| 224 | 147 | 5 | + |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  |  | +++ |  | + | + |  |  |  |
| 202 | 149 | 10 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| Key: + = rare, ++ = occasional, +++ = common and ++++ = abundant <br> * $=$ sufficient sized charcoal for identification and AMS dating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Context | Sample | Total flot | Cereal grain: | Avena | Hordeum sp. | Hordeum | Secale | Triticum | Triticum sp. | Triticum sp. | Triticum | Cereal | Other | Charco |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Vol (ml) |  | sp. |  | vulgare | cereale | sp. | cf. aestivo-compactum | cf. dicoccum | spelta | indet. | plant remains | Quantity | AMS |  |
| 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No flot |
| 111 | 101 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal ++ |
| 113 | 102 | $<10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal +, cinder + |
| 115 | 103 | <10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | Rumex sp. + | + |  |  |
| 117 | 104 | <10 |  | +++ |  | ++ |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| 119 | 105 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  | Cinder + |
| 124 | 106 | $<10$ |  | + |  | + |  |  | + |  | + |  |  |  |  | Coal + |
| 109 | 107 | $<10$ |  | ++++ |  | +++ |  |  | + |  |  |  | Raphanus sp. + | + | * | Cinder ++ |
| 141 | 108 | $<10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  | Cinder + |
| 127 | 109 | $<10$ |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | 110 | 25 |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal + +, cinder +++ |
| 107 | 111 | $<10$ |  | ++ |  | + |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | + |  | Coal ++, cinder ++ |
| 147 | 112 | $<10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal + |
| 143 | 113 | $<10$ |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + |  |  |  | Coal ++ |
| 145 | 114 | $<10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal + |
| 136 | 115 | $<10$ |  | + |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | + | Raphanus sp. + |  |  | Coal + |
| 149 | 116 | $<10$ |  | ++++ |  | ++ |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | + |  |  |
| 151 | 117 | 10 |  | ++++ |  | ++ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rumex sp. + Raphanus sp. + |  |  | Cinder +++, some Avena still hulled |
| 132 | 118 | 10 |  | +++ |  | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  | + |  | Coal + |
| 154 | 119 | 20 |  | ++++ |  | +++ |  |  | + |  |  |  |  | ++ | * |  |
| 235 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No flot |
| 229 | 121 | $<10$ |  | + | + |  |  |  | + | + |  |  |  | + |  | Coal + |
| 157 | 122 | 10 |  | +++ |  | ++ |  |  | + |  |  |  | Rumex sp. + |  |  |  |
| 134 | 123 | 10 |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cinder +++ |
| 100 | 124 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | Poaceae sp. + |  |  | Cinder ++, coal ++ |
| 101 | 125 | $<10$ |  | + |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  | Coal ++, cinder ++ |
| 102 | 126 | $<10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| 109 | 127 | $<10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal + |
| 165 | 130 | 10 |  | ++ |  | + |  |  | + |  |  | + |  |  |  | Cinder ++, coal + |
| 169 | 131 | $<10$ |  | + |  | + | + | + | + | + |  | ++ |  |  |  | Cinder + |
| 161 | 132 | 10 |  | + |  | + |  |  | + |  | + |  | Chenopodium sp. | + | * | Coal +, cinder + |
| 163 | 133 | $<10$ |  | ++ |  | + | + |  |  |  |  | + |  | ++ | * | Cinder + |
| 175 | 134 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No flot |
| 184 | 135 | <10 |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | Culm and root base + | ++ |  | Cinder +++ |
| 187 | 136 | 20 |  | +++ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  |  | ++ |  | Cinder +++ |
| 167 | 137 | <10 |  | + |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | + |  |  |  | Coal + |
| 186 | 138 | 10 |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal ++ |
| 192 | 139 | 10 |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal ++++ |
| 193 | 140 | 10 |  | ++ |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | ++ |  | +++ |  | Cinder ++ |
| 198 | 141 | 15 |  | +++ |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal ++, cinder ++ |
| 204 | 142 | 30 |  | ++ |  | + |  |  |  |  | + |  | Raphanus sp. + |  |  |  |
| 206 | 143 | $<10$ |  | + |  | ++ |  |  |  |  | + | + | Spergula arvensis + | + |  | Cinder + |
| 216 | 144 | <10 |  | ++ |  | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cinder + |
| 218 | 145 | <10 |  | + |  | + |  |  |  | + |  | + | Raphanus sp. + |  |  |  |
| 222 | 146 | 10 |  | + |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | +++ | * |  |
| 224 | 147 | $<10$ |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal +, cinder + |
| 202 | 149 | $<10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal + |
| Key: + = rare, ++ = occasional, +++ = common and ++++ = abundani |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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CTB06 - Figure6 : Plan of ditches 12 and 13




North facing section of excavation area
Section 141
$\xrightarrow{\substack{61.17 \mathrm{mOD} \\ 100 \\ \hline}}$



$\subset_{114}$
Pit 194
Section 174
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& \hline
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Stone lined gully 217
Section 197
CTB06 - Figure 9: sections
$0 \longrightarrow 1 \mathrm{~m}$
Figure 10: Elevations of walls


Wall 7, [108]


CTB06-Plate 4 : Looking north-west, general shot


CTB06 - Plate 6 : Looking south, view of walls [133] , [174] and [135]



