

making sense of heritage

Land North of Goldring Close Mengham, Hayling Island Hampshire

Archaeological Evaluation Report



Ref: 103800.03 May 2014

I archaeology



Archaeological Evaluation Report

Prepared for:

CgMs Consulting 7th Floor 140 London Wall London EC2Y 5DN

On behalf of: Bellway Homes

Prepared by:

Wessex Archaeology Portway House Old Sarum Park SALISBURY Wiltshire SP4 6EB

www.wessexarch.co.uk

June 2014

Report Ref: 103800



Quality Assurance

Project Code	103800	Accession Code	-	Client Ref.	-
Planning Application Ref.	-	Ordnance Survey (OS) national grid reference (NGR)	472503 099444		

Version	Status*	Prepared by	Checked and Approved By	Approver's Signature	Date
v01	E	J Powell/M Kendall	FF-	A.D. Crochet	5/06/14
File:	X:\PRO	JECTS\103800\F	Report\103800 Gol	dringClose EVAL REP V0.1.do	<u>c</u>
V02	F	S Farr			10/06/14
File:	X:\PRO	JECTS\103800\F	Report\103800 Gol	dringClose EVAL REP V0.2.do	<u>c</u>
File:					
File:					
File:					

* I = Internal Draft; E = External Draft; F = Final

DISCLAIMER

THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT WAS DESIGNED AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF A REPORT TO AN INDIVIDUAL CLIENT AND WAS PREPARED SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF THAT CLIENT. THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT DOES NOT NECESSARILY STAND ON ITS OWN AND IS NOT INTENDED TO NOR SHOULD IT BE RELIED UPON BY ANY THIRD PARTY. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW WESSEX ARCHAEOLOGY WILL NOT BE LIABLE BY REASON OF BREACH OF CONTRACT NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE FOR ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL) OCCASIONED TO ANY PERSON ACTING OR OMITTING TO ACT OR REFRAINING FROM ACTING IN RELIANCE UPON THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT ARISING FROM OR CONNECTED WITH ANY ERROR OR OMISSION IN THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THE REPORT. LOSS OR DAMAGE AS REFERRED TO ABOVE SHALL BE DEEMED TO INCLUDE, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, ANY LOSS OF PROFITS OR ANTICIPATED PROFITS DAMAGE TO REPUTATION OR GOODWILL LOSS OF BUSINESS OR ANTICIPATED BUSINESS DAMAGES COSTS EXPENSES INCURRED OR PAYABLE TO ANY THIRD PARTY (IN ALL CASES WHETHER DIRECT INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL) OR ANY OTHER DIRECT INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE.



Archaeological Evaluation Report

Contents

П

	yi ledgementsi	
1 1.1 1.2	INTRODUCTION	1
2 2.1 1.2 1.3 2.2	ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND	2 2 3
3 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	METHODOLOGY	3 3 4
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESULTS	4 4 5 5 5
5 5.1 5.2 5.3	ARTEFACTUAL EVIDENCE	6 6
6 6.1 6.2	ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE	6



6.3	Wood charcoal	. 7
7	DISCUSSION	. 7
7.1	Summary and conclusions	. 7
8	STORAGE AND CURATION	.7
8.1	Museum	. 7
8.2	Archive	.7
8.3	Discard policy	8
8.4	Security Copy	8
9	REFERENCES	. 8
9.1	Bibliography	8
10	APPENDICES	10
10.1	Appendix 1: Trench tables	0
10.2	Appendix 2: Finds and environmental tables	8
10.3	Appendix 3: Oasis record form	8
Land no	rth of Goldring Close, Mengham, Hayling Island, Hampshire - Wessex Archaeology OASIS ID - wessexar1-180790	

Tables

Table 1:	Trench summaries
Table 2:	All finds by context (number/weight in grammes)
Table 3:	Assessment of the charred plant remains and charcoal

Figures

Figure 1: Site and trench location plan

Figure 2: Selected plans and sections

Plates

- Plate 1: View of Trench 4 from the west
- Plate 2: East facing representative section of Trench 21
- Plate 3: View of cremation 2304, southern end of Trench 23
- Plate 4: West facing section of pit 304
- Plate 5: South-west facing section of gully 1904
- Plate 6: East facing section of ditch 2004

Front Cover: View of the Site from the south-east

Archaeological Evaluation Report

Summary

Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by CgMs Consulting on behalf of Bellway Homes to undertake a trial trench evaluation on land to the north of Goldring Close, Mengham, Hayling Island, Hampshire, centred on National Grid Reference (NGR) 472503 099444.

Planning consent has been granted for the construction of a new residential development with drainage and associated works. In accordance to national legislation and local planning policies, and following a desk-based assessment of the site, the Senior Archaeologist at Hampshire County Council had requested further works by means of evaluation trenching so that informed decisions could be made regarding the scope of any further mitigation that may be needed before or during the development.

The archaeological works proposed the machine excavation of 30 trenches, each measuring 30m x 2m. On the recommendation of the Senior Archaeologist, two additional 10m trenches were excavated as a contingency during the main phase of investigation, to confirm the extent and alignment of a ditch within the centre of the site. Together, the trial trenches covered a 4% sample of the total site area.

The evaluation identified two postholes, one Romano-British and the other medieval, a modern field boundary, and the remains of a possible unurned cremation burial. A number of undated linear gullies and ditches were also identified, and together suggest a relatively low level of activity within the site.

The programme of work was carried out between the 22nd and the 28th of April 2014.

Archaeological Evaluation Report

Acknowledgements

This project was commissioned by CgMs Consulting on behalf of Bellway Homes, and Wessex Archaeology would like to thank Matthew Smith and Peter Whiting in this regard. Wessex Archaeology would also like to thank Hannah Fluck of Hampshire County Council (HCC) who monitored this project on the behalf of the local authority.

The archaeological evaluation was directed in the field by John Powell and assisted by Piotr Orczewski, Jamie McCarthy, Jen Smith and Ben Tremain. Finds were assessed by Lorraine Mepham and Jacqueline I. McKinley (cremated bone). The environment sample was processed by Nicki Mulhall and was assessed by Sarah F. Wyles. The report was compiled by John Powell and Matt Kendall and the graphics were prepared by S. E. James. The overall project was managed by Sue Farr, who also edited this report.

Archaeological Evaluation Report

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project background

- 1.1.1 Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by CgMs Consulting to undertake an archaeological trial trench evaluation at Goldring Close, Mengham, Hayling Island, Hampshire, PO11 9PX, centred on National Grid Reference (NGR) 472503 099444 (hereafter 'the Site') (**Figure 1**).
- 1.1.2 A Desk-Based Assessment (DBA) was prepared (CgMS 2012a) which outlined the archaeological potential of the Site, and identified a moderate to high potential for archaeology to survive within the area. The Senior Archaeologist at Hampshire County Council (HCC) recommended a trial trench evaluation was undertaken to assess the archaeological potential of the Site.
- 1.1.3 The evaluation formed the first phase of intrusive archaeological works on the Site, and consisted of the machine excavation of 30 no. evaluation trenches each measuring 30m x 2m wide, which represented a 4% sample of the proposed development area. On the instruction of the Senior Archaeologist at HCC, two further 10m long trenches were excavated as a contingency.
- 1.1.4 The fieldwork strategy and methodology was documented in a Written Scheme of Investigation (CgMs 2012b) and was submitted to and approved by the Senior Archaeologist at HCC prior to fieldwork commencing. The evaluation was carried out between the 8th and 11th April 2014.

1.2 The Site

- 1.1.1 The Site lies within the administrative boundary of Havant Borough Council, and is positioned near the centre of Mengham, on Hayling Island, Hampshire. (**Figure 1**). It is bounded to the north by residential properties fronting Hawthorne Grove, and further residential properties to the south and south-west along St Margaret's and Goldring Close.
- 1.1.2 The Site is approximately 4.3 hectares, and consists of two large fields which are subdivided into a number of smaller paddocks. The external boundaries of the fields comprise trees or large hedgerows, with chain link or lightweight fencing forming internal divisions within the Site.
- 1.1.3 The Site is largely flat, although it slopes very gently to the east and south-east where water runs into estuaries forming part of Chichester Harbour. At the north-west end, the Site lies at an elevation of approximately 5.85m above Ordnance Datum (aOD) before dropping to 5m aOD towards the centre, and then falling to 4.56m aOD in the south-east of the Site.



- 1.1.4 The solid geology of the Site is London Clay Formation, comprising clay, silt, sand and sand deposits (British Geological Survey; Sheet 331:Portsmouth). The drift geology underlying the Site is identified as River Terrace Deposits comprising sand, silt and clay.
- 1.1.5 A Ground Investigations Report (Ground Investigations Soils Ltd. 2012) has been undertaken and comprised the excavation of eighteen trial pits, excavated to a maximum depth of 2.20m. Topsoil measuring between 0.05m to 0.4m thick was recorded, overlying a River Terrace Deposits, and although variable, generally comprised orange brown to grey brown mottled sandy gravelly clay.

2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 Introduction


- 2.1.1 The archaeological background of the Site has previously been considered in a Desk-Based Assessment (CgMs 2012a). The findings of the DBA are summarised below.
- 2.1.2 Although outside of the Study Area of the DBA, results from a survey of Langstone Harbour on the eastern side of Hayling Island were also been considered given the similarities between both Langstone and Chichester Harbour.

1.2 Designated heritage assets

- 1.2.1 No designated heritage assets were recorded within the Site itself.
- 1.2.2 Tourner Bury Iron Age hillfort (Monument No. 462185) is located approximately 750m to the north-east of the Site, and comprises a univallate oval camp, enclosing an area measuring 3.4ha in extent.

1.3 Non-designated heritage assets

- 1.3.1 The majority of the findspots identified within the Study Area date to the prehistoric and Romano-British periods, although few modern archaeological investigations have been undertaken within the area.
- 1.3.2 Although no Mesolithic activity has been recorded within the Site or Study Area, Mesolithic flint scatters at or below the present tide level have been recorded along the Hampshire coastline. The material collected suggests extensive exploitation of former dry land, rather than the coastal zone, and detailed survey and artefact collection on the foreshore at Langstone Harbour indicated the original presence of numerous small Mesolithic and later scatters (Allen and Gardiner 2000).
- 2.1.3 The Hampshire Archaeology and Historic Buildings Record (AHBR) has indicated Bronze Age activity to the west of the Site at Elm Grove, possibly suggesting settlement in the area. Bronze Age activity is known more widely on Hayling Island in the form of barrows, bronze axe hoards and flint scatters.
- 2.1.4 Although the Scheduled Tourner Bury Hillfort to the north-east of the Site indicates a level of settlement and organisation of this area during the Iron Age, there was little other evidence dating to the Iron Age in the vicinity.
- 2.1.5 The archaeological assessment highlighted that during the Roman period there was some level of settlement immediately to the south of the Site, as well as Roman activity in the vicinity of Tourner Bury Hillfort.



2.2 Historic mapping

2.2.1 Historic map regression exercise undertaken as part of the Desk-Based Assessment indicated that the Site has been open or agricultural land for at least 200 years (CgMS 2012a). More recently the Site has been used as a series of small paddock and impacts on below ground deposits derived from activities such as modern ploughing was considered to have been low.

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Aims and objectives

- 3.1.1 With due regard to the IfA *Standard and Guidance for archaeological evaluation* (IfA 2008), the aims of the archaeological field evaluation were to:
 - Clarify the presence/absence and extent of any buried archaeological remains within the Site that may be impacted by development;
 - Identify, within the constraints of the evaluation, the date, character and condition of any surviving remains within the Site;
 - Assess the degree of existing impacts to sub-surface horizons and to document the extent of archaeological survival of buried deposits;
 - Identify any evidence of Bronze Age, Iron Age and Romano-British activity on the Site and to identify its relationship to eh nearby hillfort at Tourner Bury; and
 - Produce a report which will present the results of the evaluation in sufficient detail to allow an informed decision to be made concerning the Site's archaeological potential and future mitigation strategy.

3.2 Fieldwork methodology

- 3.2.1 A Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI; CgMs 2012b) was compiled which detailed the scope of archaeological work required. A total of 30 machine excavated trial trenches were proposed, each measuring 30m x 1.8m, and comprised a 4% sample of the Site. Due to the location of a electricity cable and foul sewer pipe, several trenches were repositioned and shortened at the northern and eastern ends of the Site respectively. In addition, two further 10m long trenches were excavated at the request of the Senior Archaeologist at HCC.
- 3.2.2 The trial trenches were set out using a Leica Viva series GNSS unit using the OS National GPS Network through an RTK network with a 3D accuracy of 30mm or below. All survey data was recorded using the OSGB36 British National Grid coordinate system.
- 3.2.3 Prior to machine excavation, the trenches were scanned using a cable avoidance tool (CAT) by operatives experienced in the use of such equipment. Excavation was carried out by a tracked 360° mechanical excavator fitted with a 2.0m wide toothless ditching bucket, supervised by a suitably qualified archaeologist at all times. Topsoil and subsoil were removed by machine in a series of level spits to the top of the archaeology or natural, whichever was encountered first. The excavated spoil was stockpiled at a safe distance from the edge of each trench, and separated into topsoil and subsoil bunds.
- 3.2.4 On completion of investigations at each trench, topsoil and subsoil were reinstated to broadly replicate the stratigraphic sequence encountered, and levelled to the existing ground surface.



3.3 Monitoring

3.3.1 The fieldwork stage of the evaluation was monitored by the Senior Archaeologist at HCC.

3.4 Recording

- 3.4.1 The trial trenches were cleaned by hand and recorded in plan using GPS survey equipment. To ensure that a unique project-wide geo-referenced sequence was maintained, all allocated context numbers were related to the investigation areas (*i.e.*, the trench number).
- 3.4.2 Full written and photographic records were made of each investigation area, even where no archaeological remains were identified. Feature sections and representative sections were recorded at an appropriate scale (1:10). Other plans, sections and elevations of archaeological features and deposits were drawn as necessary at an appropriate scale (normally 1:10 or 1:20). Drawings were made in pencil on permanent drafting film. Written records were made using WA *pro forma* record sheets.
- 3.4.3 The spot height of all trench levels were calculated in metres relative to Ordnance Datum, correct to two decimal places. Plans and sections have been annotated with spot heights as appropriate.
- 3.4.4 A full photographic record was maintained during the evaluation using 35mm colour and black and white film and a digital camera with an image sensor of not less than 10 megapixels. General site photographs were taken to record the progress of the investigations, including shots suitable for use in publicity material, and to record the condition of the land prior to trenching and after reinstatement.

4 ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESULTS

4.1 Introduction

- 4.1.1 The following section provides a summary of the results of the archaeological evaluation on the Site. Detailed descriptions relating to each identified archaeological feature and deposit can be found in the trench tables at the back of this report (Appendix 1: Table 1). Similarly blank trenches are not covered in the Results section but are detailed in the appendix. Trench and context numbers are shown in bold (e.g., pit 304 in Trench 3).
- 4.1.2 Works comprised the machine excavation of 32 trenches, ranging from 10m 30m in length, and the subsequent archaeological recording, prior to backfilling.

4.2 Natural deposits and soil sequences

4.2.1 The underlying geology across the Site was made up of River Terrace Deposits and London Clay Formation which comprised Clay, Silt and Sand deposits in varying quantities (**Plate 1**). The natural geology was overlain by topsoil and subsoil deposits which were between 0.30m and 0.40m thick across the whole Site (**Plate 2**).

4.3 Summary of evaluation results

4.3.1 A total of 32 trenches were excavated across the Site, 12 of which identified archaeological features (Trenches 3, 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25, and 30, Figure 1). Feature types included pits, postholes, gullies ditches and an unurned cremation. The majority of the features identified related to ditches and gullies, and probably relate to various attempts to drain the local landscape.



4.3.2 No archaeological features or deposits were encountered in Trenches 1, 2, 4 - 11, 12 - 15, 19, 21, 22, 24, 26 - 29, 31, and 32.

4.4 Archaeological features

Cremation burial

4.4.1 The remains of a cremation-related deposit, possibly an unurned burial (**2304**) with redeposited pyre debris, was uncovered at the southern end of **Trench 23** in the south of the Site (**Plate 3**). The feature measured 0.47m in diameter and approximately 0.15m deep, and was 100% sampled during the fieldwork. Subsequent analysis of the material from the deposit has confirmed that the cremated bone is human, but no pottery was recovered from the material to date the feature.

Pits and postholes

- 4.4.2 Within **Trench 3** pit **304** (**Figure 2**, **Plate 4**) was sub-oval in plan, and located broadly in the centre of the trench. Measuring 0.89m in length and 0.82m wide, it contained a charcoal-rich deposit indicative of a deliberate backfilling event. The base of the feature showed signs of being heat affected, suggesting either *in situ* burning, or that the material was deposited when it was still at a significant heat. No pottery was recovered to provide a date for the feature.
- 4.4.3 Excavation of **Trench 30** in the south-west corner of the Site revealed two probable postholes located in the middle of the trench which were recorded as **3004** and **3005** (**Figure 2**). Posthole **3004** was only partially revealed in the trench, but excavation confirmed it measured 0.49m in diameter and 0.29m deep, with steep concave sides. Pottery recovered indicated a Romano-British date for this feature. Posthole **3006**, located approximately 0.50m to the east of **3004**, measured 0.30m in diameter and 0.09m deep. Pottery recovered from this posthole indicated an early medieval date.

Ditches and gullies

- 4.4.4 At the northern end of **Trench 11** in the centre of the Site, an east to west aligned ditch **1104** was identified running perpendicular to the trench. The ditch measured 0.50m wide, however excavation was not possible due to the high water table, which flooded the trench soon after it had been excavated. Towards the western end of **Trench 12**, linear ditch **1204** was recorded on a north-west to south-east alignment, and may be a continuation of the ditch recorded in **Trench 11**. Measuring 1.10m wide, this feature remained unexcavated due to the high water table. At the request of the Senior Archaeologist, two contingency trenches (**Trenches 31 & 32**) were excavated to establish if the ditches formed part of the same feature. No features were recorded in either trench.
- 4.4.5 Located within **Trenches 16**, **17** and **24**, a north to south aligned ditch was identified and recorded as **1604**, **1704**, and **2404** respectively (**Figures 1** & **2**). Investigation of **1704** and **2404** was not possible due to flooding, but a slot excavated through ditch **1604** confirmed that the linear feature was 0.65m wide and 0.36m deep. The ditches' form and alignment suggests that it is a possible field boundary, and glass fragments recovered from the infilling deposits indicate it is of a relatively modern date.
- 4.4.6 At the northern end of **Trench 19**, a north-west to south-east orientated linear gully (**1904**) was identified (**Figure 2, Plate 5**). The gully measured 0.36m wide and 0.11m deep. While no dating was recovered from the investigated slot, the colour and nature of the fill suggested it was of some antiquity. At its north-western end, the gully intersected with another feature however, due to flooding, a slot to investigate their relationship was not possible.



- 4.4.7 Within **Trench 20**, an east to west aligned ditch, **2004**, was identified in the southern half of the trench (**Figure 2, Plate 6**), and measured 0.27m deep. No datable material was recovered from the single fill.
- 4.4.8 Two linear ditches, **2504** and **2506**, were located in **Trench 25** in the south-eastern corner of the Site. Ditch **2504** was aligned in a north-west to south-east direction and measured 1.50m wide, while ditch **2506** to the immediate north ran in an east to west orientation, and measured 0.25m wide. Due to the flooding of the trench, neither feature was excavated.

5 ARTEFACTUAL EVIDENCE

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 A very small quantity of finds was recovered during the evaluation, deriving from contexts in three of the trenches excavated (**Trenches 16**, **23** and **30**). This very small assemblage includes material of Romano-British, medieval and modern date.

5.2 Finds

- 5.2.1 The two sherds of pottery comprise a Romano-British greyware jar rim from pit **3004** (fill **3007**), and a medieval (11th/12th century) coarseware jar rim from pit **3005** (fill **3006**).
- 5.2.2 Four fragments of glass from ditch **1605** (fill **1606**) derive from a modern green glass bottle with an embossed proprietary label (of which only one letter is visible).

5.3 Cremation burial

- 5.3.1 A small quantity of cremated human bone together with relatively substantial quantities of fired clay fragments were recovered from the charcoal-rich fill of cut **2304**, all components being evident at surface level. The bone is slightly worn and chalky in appearance, the absence of any trabecular bone further demonstrating the adverse taphonomic effects of burial within an acid environment.
- 5.3.2 The bone comprises the remains of a subadult/adult individual (>15 yr.). The assemblage includes fragments of well-oxidised (white) skull vault and long bone shaft.
- 5.3.3 The deposit is likely to represent the remains of an unurned cremation burial with redeposited pyre debris but, given the very small quantity of bone and the frequency and distribution of the other archaeological components, it could represent redeposited pyre debris alone. The date of the deposit is unknown.

6 ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE

6.1 Introduction

6.1.1 A bulk sample was taken from cremation-related deposit **2304** in **Trench 23** to evaluate the presence and preservation of palaeo-environmental remains. It was processed for the recovery and assessment of charred plant remains, wood charcoal and cremated bone.

6.2 Charred plant remains

6.2.1 The bulk sample was processed by standard flotation methods; the flot retained on a 0.5 mm mesh, the residue fractionated into 4mm, 2mm and 1mm fractions and dried. The coarse fraction (>4mm) was sorted, weighed and discarded. The flot was scanned under a x10 - x40 stereo-binocular microscope and the preservation and nature of the charred plant and wood charcoal remains recorded in **Table 2**. Preliminary identifications of



dominant or important taxa are noted below, following the nomenclature of Stace (1997) for wild plants, and traditional nomenclature, as provided by Zohary and Hopf (2000, Tables 3, page 28 and 5, page 65), for cereals.

- 6.2.2 The flot was large with a moderate quantity of roots and modern seeds. Charred material comprised varying degrees of preservation.
- 6.2.3 An indeterminate grain fragment was observed within the flot.

6.3 Wood charcoal

- 6.3.1 Wood charcoal was noted from the flot of the bulk sample and is recorded in Appendix 2: Table 3. The large quantity of wood charcoal retrieved from cremation related deposit 2304 included mature wood fragments.
- 6.3.2 The analysis of the wood charcoal has the potential to provide some information on the species composition, management and exploitation of the local woodland resource as well as assisting in determining the nature of any local funerary practices, and should be considered for analysis once any further work has taken place.

7 DISCUSSION

7.1 Summary and conclusions

7.1.1 The evaluation identified two postholes, one Romano-British and the other medieval, a modern field boundary, and the remains of a possible unurned cremation burial. A number of undated linear gullies and ditches were also identified, and together suggest a relatively low level of activity within the site.


8 STORAGE AND CURATION

8.1 Museum

- 8.1.1 It is recommended that the project archive resulting from the evaluation be deposited with the Hampshire County Council Museum Service (HCCMS). The museum has agreed in principle to accept the project archive on completion of the project. The archive is currently held at Wessex Archaeology's Salisbury office under the site code **103800**.
- 8.1.2 Deposition of any finds with the Museum will only be carried out with the full agreement of the landowner.

8.2 Archive

- 8.2.1 The complete Site archive, which will include paper records, photographic records, graphics, artefacts and ecofacts, and digital data, will be prepared following the standard conditions for the acceptance of excavated archaeological material, and in general following nationally recommended guidelines (SMA 1995; IfA 2009; Brown 2011; ADS 2013).
- 8.2.2 All archive elements are marked with the Site code (**103800**). A fully cross-referenced index of the archive will be prepared on completion of the project. The physical archive comprises the following.
 - 1 small cardboard box of artefacts and ecofacts, ordered by material type.
 - 1 file of paper records and A3/A4 graphics.





8.3 Discard policy

- 8.3.1 Wessex Archaeology follows the guidelines set out in *Selection, Retention and Dispersal* (SMA 1993), which allows for the discard of selected artefact and ecofact categories which are not considered to warrant further analysis. Any discard of artefacts will be fully documented in the project archive.
- 8.3.2 The discard of environments remains and samples follows nationally recommended guidelines (SMA 1993; 1995; English Heritage 2002).

8.4 Security Copy

8.4.1 In line with current best practice (e.g. Brown 2011), on completion of the project a security copy of the written records will be prepared, in the form of a digital PDF/A file. PDF/A is an ISO-standardised version of the Portable Document Format (PDF) designed for the digital preservation of electronic documents through omission of features ill-suited to long-term archiving.

9 **REFERENCES**

9.1 Bibliography

- ADS, 2013, *Caring for Digital Data in Archaeology: a guide to good practice*, Archaeology Data Service & Digital Antiquity Guides to Good Practice.
- Allen, M J, and Gardiner, J, 2000 *Our changing coast. A survey of the intertidal archaeology of Langstone Harbour, Hampshire*, CBA Research Report 124, York
- British Geological Survey wed app consulted March 2104 at <u>http://mapapps.bgs.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html</u>
- Brown, D H, 2011, Archaeological archives: a guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation, Archaeological Archives Forum (revised edition).
- CgMs Consulting Ltd, 2012a, Archaeological Desk Based Assessment; Land north of Goldring Close, Mengham, Hampshire.
- CgMs Consulting Ltd, 2012b, Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Field Evaluation. Land north of Goldring Close, Mengham, Hampshire.
- English Heritage, 2002 *Environmental archaeology: a guide to the theory and practise of methods, from sampling and recovery to post-excavation.* Centre for Archaeology Guidelines 2002/01.
- English Heritage [EH], 2006, Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment: The MoRPHE Project Managers' Guide.
- Ground Investigations Soils Ltd. 2012; Geotechnical Investigation and Contamination Assessment Report
- Institute for Archaeologists [IfA], 2001, Standard and Guidance for the collection, documentation, conservation and research of archaeological materials (amended 2008).



- Institute for Archaeologists [IfA], 2008, Standard and Guidance for an archaeological field evaluation (amended 2012).
- Institute for Archaeologists [IfA], 2009, Standard and Guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives.
- Society of Museum Archaeologists [SMA], 1993, Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections: Guidelines for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland.
- Stace, C, 1997, *New flora of the British Isles* (2nd edition), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Society of Museum Archaeologists [SMA], 1995, *Towards an Accessible Archaeological Archive*, Society of Museum Archaeologists.
- Watkinson, D and Neal, V (eds), 1998, First Aid for Finds.
- Zohary, D, and Hopf, M, 2000, *Domestication of plants in the Old World: the origin and spread of cultivated plants in West Asia, Europe, and the Nile Valley*, 3rd edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford.



10 APPENDICES

П

10.1 Appendix 1: Trench tables

Table 1:Trench Summaries

bgl = below ground level

TRENCH	1		Type: Evaluation	Machine excavated
Dimensio	n			
Co-ordina	ates: E 475603.	.30 N 099674.74 and E 472602.40 N 0	99648.75	
Context	Description			Depth (m) bgl
101	Topsoil	Light grey brown silty loam with span angular stones (<0.03m) and grass r		sub 0.00-0.16m
102	Subsoil	Light grey brown silty clay, no inclusi	ons.	0.16-0.40m
103	Natural	Mid yellow brown silty clay with pate no inclusions.	ches of light brown g	rey, 0.40m +

TRENCH	2			Type: Evaluation	Mad	chine excavated	
Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.48m Ground level: 5.61m					m		
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472540.88 N 099665.92 and E 472570.70 N 099666.15						
Context	Description					Depth (m)	
201	Topsoil		y brown sandy loam, rare co s (<0.06m)	parse sub-rounded gra	avel	0.00-0.12m	
202	Subsoil	Dark yel	low brown sandy clay, no ind	clusions.		0.12-0.29m	
203	Natural	Mid yello	w brown sandy clay, no incl	usions.		0.29m+	

TRENCH	3			Type: Evaluation	Mac	chine excavated		
Dimensio								
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472528.59 N 099664.72 and E 472527.73 N 099633.82							
Context	Description					Depth (m)		
301	Topsoil		brown silty loam with spar		sub	0.00-0.16m		
		Ŭ	stones (<0.03m), grass rooti	•				
302	Subsoil	Light gre	y brown silty clay, no inclusi	ons.		0.16-0.29m		
303	Natural	-	Mid yellow brown silty clay with patches of light brown grey,					
		no inclus						
304	Cut	Small c	rcular pit with moderately	sloped, concave si	des	0.16m deep		
		and con	cave base, recorded as 0.	89m in length by 0.8	82m			
		in diam	eter and 0.16m deep. Con	nmon charcoal and	fire			
			natural clay may suggest					
305	Fill		ary fill of pit 304. Light grey s	sandy clay with mode	rate	0.11m thick		
		charcoal	fragments.					
306	Fill		te backfill of pit 304. Dark g		with	0.06m thick		
		common	charcoal and sparse fired c	lay (<0.06m).				

TRENCH 4					Type: Evaluation	Mac	hine excavated
Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. dep			Max. depth: 0.50m	(Ground level: 5.50	m	
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472507.84 N 099623.84 and E 472537.98 N 099623.98						
Context	ntext Description						Depth (m)
401	Topsoil	Light gre	ey brown silty loam, very r	are s	stone inclusions.		0.00-0.16m
402	Subsoil	Light gre	ey brown silty clay, very ra	are st	one inclusions.		0.16-0.29m
403	Natural	Mid to lig	ght yellow silty clay, no ind	clusio	ons.		0.29m +

TRENCH	5		Type: Evaluation	Machine excavated			
Dimensio	ons: 30.00m x 2	2.10m Max. depth: 0.54m	Ground level: 5.47	m			
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472555.16 N 099642.37 and E 472555.23 N 099612.70						
Context	Description			Depth (m)			
501	Topsoil	Mid grey brown sandy loam, sparse (<0.2m)	sub-rounded, mid gr	avel 0.00-0.16m			
502	Subsoil	Light brown silt loam with greyis medium flint gravel.	h hue. Common fine	e to 0.16-0.35m			
503	Natural	Mid yellow brown sandy clay with ra	re fine gravel (<0.06m) 0.35+m			

TRENCH	6				Type: Eval	luation	Mac	chine excavated
Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.50m Ground level: 5.48m				n				
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472576.23 N 099629.12 and E 472601.18 N 099629.14							
Context	Description							Depth (m)
601	Topsoil	Mid grey	brown silty loam, rar	e stone	inclusions, g	rass roo	ts.	0.00-0.21m
602	Subsoil	Mid grey	brown silty clay, no i	nclusio	ns.			0.21-0.37m
603	Natural	Mid yell	ow brown silty clay	, occa	sional grey	patches,	no	0.37+m
		inclusion	S.					

TRENCH	7		Type: Evaluation	Machine excavated
Dimensio	Ground level: 5.33	n		
Co-ordina	ates: E 472596	.52 N 099612.77 and E 472596.34 N 0	99582.77	
Context	Description			Depth (m)
701	Topsoil	Mid grey brown silty loam, sparse angular stones (<0.03m), grass roots		sub 0.00-0.20m
702	Subsoil	Mid grey brown silty clay, rare stone	inclusions.	0.20-0.36m
703	Natural	Mid yellow brown silty clay. Very ra grey patches.	re inclusions, occasio	onal 0.36+m

TRENCH 8			Type: Evaluation	Machine excavated
Dimensio	ons: 30.00m x 2	2.10m Max. depth: 0.56m	Ground level: 5.33	n
Co-ordina	ates: E 472538.	.12 N 099600.03 and E 472567.89 N 0	99599.48	
Context	Description			Depth (m)
801	Topsoil	Mid grey brown silty loam, rare sub stones (<0.03m), grass roots.	rounded and sub ang	ular 0.00-0.18m
802	Subsoil	Mid grey brown silty clay, rare stone	inclusions.	0.20-0.33m
803	Natural	Mid yellow brown silty clay. Very ra grey patches.	re inclusions, occasion	onal 0.33+m

TRENCH	TRENCH 9			Machine excavated
Dimensio	ons: 30.00m x 2	2.10m Max. depth: 0.44m	Ground level: 5.33	m
Co-ordina	ates: E 472513	.88 N 099611.02 and E 472513.53 N (99581.69	
Context	Description			Depth (m)
901	Topsoil	Mid grey brown silty loam, sparse angular stones (<0.03cm), grass roo		sub 0.00-0.13m
902	Subsoil	Mid grey brown silty clay, rare sub (<0.03m).	rounded stone inclus	ions 0.13-0.33m
903	Natural	Mid yellow brown silty clay. Very rare	inclusions.	0.33+m

TRENCH 10			Type: Evaluatio	n Ma	chine excavated	
Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.49m		Ground level: 5.	08m			
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472510.67 N 099557.94 and E 472535.25 N 099557.82					
Context	Description					Depth (m)
1001	Topsoil	Mid grey	brown silty loam, rare in	clusions, grass roots.		0.00-0.18m
1002					0.18-0.26m	
1003	Natural Mid yellow brown silty clay, no inclu			lusions.		0.26+m

TRENCH	11			Type: Evaluation	Ma	chine excavated
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.54m Ground level: 5.02m					
Co-ordina	ates: E 472553	.81 N 099	572.41 and E 472553.49 N (99544.28		
Context	Description					Depth (m)
1101	Topsoil	Mid grey	v brown silty loam, sparse inc	lusions, grass roots.		0.00-0.20m
1102	Subsoil	Mid grey	brown silty clay, sparse stor	ne inclusions.		0.20-0.34m
1103	Natural	Mid yell	ow brown silty clay, no inc	lusions, occasional g	grey	0.34+m
1104	Cut	Cut of measuri	a linear ditch on an ea ing 2.10m in length by 0 the northern end of trend J.	50m wide and loca	ated	-
1105	Fill		ary fill of ditch 1104 . Light b		hue	-

TRENCH	12		Type: Evaluation Ma	chine excavated					
	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.48m Ground level: 4.87m								
Co-ordina	ates: E 472566	.62 N 099559.33 and E 472597.47 N 0	99559.02						
Context	Description			Depth (m)					
1201	Topsoil	Mid grey brown sandy loam, spa rounded (<0.06m)	rse coarse gravel, sub-	0.00-0.18m					
1202	Subsoil	Mid grey brown sandy loam, sparse (<0.02m)	med gravel, sub-rounded	0.18-0.33m					
1203	Natural	Mid yellow brown sandy clay, modera	ate fine gravel (<0.06m)	0.33+m					
1204	Cut	Cut of a linear ditch on a not alignment measuring 3.50m in len located close to the western Unexcavated due to flooding.	gth by 1.10m wide and						
1205	Fill	Secondary of possible ditch 1204 . L clay containing no coarse componen		-					

TRENCH	13			Type: Evaluation	Mac	chine excavated
Dimensio	ons: 30.00m x 2	2.10m	Max. depth: 0.65m	Ground level: 4.79	m	
Co-ordina	ates: E 472573	.63 N 0995	539.73 and E 472593.84 N (99524.29		
Context	Description					Depth (m)
1301	Topsoil	Mid grey debris.	brown silty loam, rare inclu	isions, grass roots, m	netal	0.00-0.18m
1302	Subsoil	Mid grey	brown silty clay, no inclusio	ns.		0.18-0.26m
1303	Natural		w brown silty clay with occa inclusions, abundant an <0.08m).			0.26+m

TRENCH	TRENCH 14			Machine excavated
Dimensio	ons: 30.00m x 2	2.10m Max. depth: 0.54m	Ground level: 4.58	m
Co-ordina	ates: E 472611.	.12 N 099497.70 and E 472631.49 N	099495.78	
Context	Description			Depth (m)
1401	Topsoil	Mid grey brown silty loam, sparse and sub rounded stones (<0.05m)		ular 0.00-0.18m
1402	Subsoil	Mid grey brown silty clay, rare ston	e inclusions.	0.18-0.38m
1403	Natural	Mid grey yellow brown with abun sub angular and sub rounded stone		i) of 0.26+m

TRENCH	15		Type: Evaluation	Machine excavated
	ons: 30.00m x 2		Ground level: 4.95	n
Co-ordina	ates: E 472569	.21 N 099504.23 and E 472569.21 N 0	99475.01	
Context	Description			Depth (m)
1501	Topsoil	Mid grey brown sandy loam, spar rounded (<0.02m)	se medium gravel, s	sub- 0.00-0.21m
1502	Subsoil	Mid grey brown and mid grey brow medium gravel, subrounded (<0.02m		rare 0.21-0.39m
1503	Natural	Natural mid yellow brown and mid abundant coarse gravel, subangular		slay, 0.39+m

TRENCH	16			Type: Evaluation	Ma	chine excavated	
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.48m Ground level: 4.95m						
Co-ordina	ates: E 472531	.43 N 099	518.65 and E 472561.56 N	099518.06			
Context	Description					Depth (m)	
1601	Topsoil	•	y brown silty clay loam, loo	ose. Rare stone inclusi	ons,	0.00-0.10m	
		grass ro	ots.				
1602	Subsoil	Dark br	own grey silty clay, loose. V	ery rare inclusions.		0.10-0.33m	
1603	Natural	Mid to d	ark yellow brown silty clay	with abundant gravel.		0.33+m	
1604	Cut	bounda and 0.3 which r 2404.	South oriented ditch, prol ry. Recorded as 2.10m 0m deep. Has moderate un into a concave base. S	in length by 0.65m v y sloped, concave si Same feature as 1704	vide ides and	0.30m deep	
1605	Fill		ary fill of ditch 1604 . Topso e hue silty loam. No inclusio		grey	0.24m thick	
1606	Fill	Secondary fill of ditch 1604 . Mid brown grey silty loam with rare gravel inclusions (<0.02m) and root bioturbation. Contained glass bottle fragments and parts of brick.					

TRENCH	17			Type: Evaluation	Ma	chine excavated
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.47m Ground level: 5.12m					
Co-ordina	ates: E 472526	.70 N 0994	487.65 and E 472555.77 N 0	99478.53		
Context	Description					Depth (m)
1701	Topsoil	Mid grey	brown silty clay, sparse incl	usions, grass roots.		0.00-0.22m
1702	Subsoil	Mid grey	brown silty clay, very rare ir	iclusions.		0.22-0.40m
1703	Natural		yellow brown silty clay, v	ery rare inclusions	with	0.40+m
			nal grey patches.			
1704	Cut	bounda	outh oriented ditch, proba ry which measures 2.10 nexcavated due to floodi	n in length by 0.7	77m	-
1705	Fill		ary of ditch 1704 . Topsoil of hue silty loam. No inclusion		grey	-

TRENCH	18			Type: Evaluation	Мас	hine excavated
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.42m Ground level: 5.22m					
Co-ordina	ates: E 472511.	.96 N 099	515.45 and E 472511.41 N	099485.31		
Context	Description					Depth (m)
1801	Topsoil	Mid grey	brown silty clay, rare inclus	ions, grass roots.		0.00-0.12m
1802	Subsoil	Mid grey	brown silty clay, rare inclus	ions.		0.12-0.32m
1803	Natural	Mid yello patches.	ow brown silty clay, rare ind	clusions with gravely g	rey	0.32+m
1804	Cut	Cut of i length	irregular shaped tree thr by 0.83m in diameter r shaped sides which run	and 0.35m deep. H	las	0.35m deep
1805	Fill		tion fill of tree throw 1804 . sequent deposition.	Derived from root upc	ast	0.35m thick

TRENCH	19				Type: Evaluation	Mac	chine excavated
Dimensio	ons: 30.00m x 2	2.10m	Max. depth: 0.5	5m	Ground level: 5.38	n	
Co-ordina	ates: E 472489	.25 N 099	496.81 and E 472	489.15 N 0	99468.18		
Context	Description						Depth (m)
1901	Topsoil	rounded		0.07m). Tł	asional to moderate s hin darker turf-line		0.00-0.32m
1902	Subsoil	horizon		use horizo	ately compact with c on to natural. Occasio 09m).		0.32-0.41m
1903	Natural				clay with rare lense es od light grey silt.	s of	0.41+m
1904	Cut	alignme as 8.60	nt, probably prel n in length by 0 tely sloped str	historic, b 0.11m dee	northwest to southe ut no dating. Record p and 0.36m wide v concave sides	ded vith	0.11m deep
1905	Fill	rare sm Slightly	all sub rounded	pebbles ions toward	t blue grey silty clay and gravels (<0.09 ds base of feature. Fa towards base.	9m).	0.11m thick

TRENCH	20			Type: Evaluation	Mad	chine excavated		
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.46m Ground level: 5.50m							
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472462.20 N 099513.86 and E 472446.46 N 099488.67							
Context	Description					Depth (m)		
2001	Topsoil	•	y brown sandy loam, spa (<0.06m)	rse coarse gravel, s	ub-	0.00-0.14m		
2002	Subsoil		y brown sandy clay loam, ra (<0.02m)	are medium gravel, s	ub-	0.14-0.35m		
2003	Natural	Mid yello	ow brown sandy clay, rare fin	e gravel (<0.06m)		0.35+m		
2004	Cut	measuri deep. H	a linear ditch on an ea ing 2.10m in length by 0 as moderately sloped, co oncave base.	0.88m wide and 0.2	?m	0.27m deep		
2005	Fill		ary fill of ditch 2004 . Light gro dium gravel, sub-angular	ey brown sandy clay v	with	0.27m thick		

TRENCH	21		Type: Evaluation	Machine excavated	
Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.52m			Max. depth: 0.52m	Ground level: 5.70m	ı
Co-ordina					
Context	t Description				Depth (m)
2101	Topsoil	Mid grey	brown silty clay, sparse inc	lusions, grass roots.	0.00-0.20m
2102	Subsoil	Mid grey	brown silty clay, rare stone	inclusions.	0.20-0.38m
2103	Natural	Mid red	Mid red yellow brown silty clay with rare stone inclusions and		
		occasior	nal grey patches.		

TRENCH	22		Type: Evaluation	Machine excavated		
	ons: 30.00m x 2		Ground level: 5.44	n		
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472474.62 N 099485.61 and E 472504.47 N 099458.57					
Context	Description	Depth (m)				
2201	Topsoil	Mid grey brown sandy loam with ra gravel (<0.06m)	are coarse, sub-roun	ded 0.00-0.19m		
2202	Subsoil	Mid grey brown sandy clay loam rounded gravel (<0.02m)	with rare medium, s	sub- 0.19-0.44m		
2203	Natural	Mid yellow brown sandy clay with rar	e fine gravel (<0.06m) 0.44+m		

TRENCH	23			Type: Evaluation	Ma	chine excavated		
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.52m Ground level: 5.30m							
Co-ordina	ates: E 472530	.47 N 099	476.21 and E 472530.83 N	099455.74				
Context	Description					Depth (m)		
2301	Topsoil	Mid gre roots.	y brown silty clay, sparse	ass	0.00-0.17m			
2302	Subsoil	Mid grey	v brown silty clay, rare grave	0.17-0.42m				
2303	Natural	Mid yello	Mid yellow brown silty clay, sparse gravel inclusions.			0.42+m		
2304	Cut	deposit	Cut of a sub-circular pit containing a cremation-related deposit (possible burial). Recorded as 0.47m in length by 0.40m in diameter and 0.15m deep.					
2305	Fill	with occ and fire burial wi	te backfill of cut 2304 . Dar casional cremated bone, c d clay. Cremation-related of th redeposited pyre debris 00% sampled.	-				

TRENCH	24			Type: Evaluation	Mad	chine excavated			
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.49m Ground level: 5.08m								
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472551.71 N 099460.38 and E 472582.44 N 099460.31								
Context	Description					Depth (m)			
2401	Topsoil	Mid greg gravel (<	y brown sandy loam with ra <0.06m).	are coarse, sub-roun	ded	0.00-0.18m			
2402	Subsoil	•	y brown sandy clay loam (<0.02m).	sub-	0.18-0.38m				
2403	Natural	-	ow brown sandy clay with gravel (<0.06m).	abundant, coarse, s	sub-	0.38+m			
2404	Cut	bounda	outh oriented ditch, proba ry which measures 2.10r nexcavated due to floodi	n in length by 0.7	78m	-			
2405	Fill		ary fill of ditch 2404 . Topsoil a hue silty loam. No inclusion		grey	-			

TRENCH	25			Type: Evaluation	Mac	chine excavated	
Dimensio	ons: 30.00m x 2	2.10m	Max. depth: 0.48m	Ground level: 4.44	m		
Co-ordina							
Context	Context Description						
2501	Topsoil	Mid grey	/ brown silty clay, sparse gra	vel inclusions.		0.00-0.16m	
2502	Subsoil	Mid grey	/ brown silty clay, rare inclusi	ons.		0.16-0.33m	
2503	Natural	Mid yell	ow brown silty clay, occasio	arse	0.33+m		
		gravel ir	iclusions.				
2504	Cut		Cut of a linear ditch on a north-west to south-east				
			ent recorded as 2.60m in	length by 1.50m w	ide.		
			vated due to flooding.				
2505	Fill		ary fill of ditch 2504 . Mid b		hue	-	
			v, with rare stone inclusions (
2506	Cut		a linear ditch on an ea			-	
			ing 2.10m in length by 0.2	25m wide. Unexcava	ated		
			looding.				
2507	Fill		ary fill of ditch 2506 . Mid b	0,	hue	-	
		silty clay	v. Rare gravel inclusions (<0.	02m).			

	TRENCH 26				Type: Evaluation	Mad	chine excavated
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.52m Ground level: 4.50m						
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472626.04 N 099430.35 and E 472609.52 N 099410.57						
Context	Description						Depth (m)
2601	Topsoil	Mid grey	Mid grey brown silty clay with sparse sub angular and sub			sub	0.00-0.10m
		rounded	gravel (<0.03m) and gra	ass r	oots.		
2602	Subsoil	Mid grey	/ brown silty clay, rare	inc inc	lusions (<0.05m) of	sub	0.10-0.34m
		rounded	and sub angular gravel.				
2603	Natural	Mid redo	dish yellow brown silty	clay	v, rare inclusions of	sub	0.34+m
		angular and sub angular stones (<0.05m). Occasional grey					
		patches.	_	-			

	TRENCH 27				valuation	Mac	chine excavated
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.50m Ground level: 5.02m						
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472568.34 N 099434.87 and E 472568.80 N 099408.18						
Context	Description						Depth (m)
2701	Topsoil		y brown silty clay with a and sub rounded gravel and			sub	0.00-0.24m
2702	Subsoil		/ brown silty clay loam, i Horizon with natural diffus		m gravel, s	sub-	0.24-0.34m
2703	Natural	Mid yello grey pato	ow brown silty clay, rare i ches.	nclusions v	vith occasi	onal	0.34+m

TRENCH	TRENCH 28				Type: E	Evaluation	Mac	chine excavated
	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.55m Ground level: 5.49m							
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472506.22 N 099422.58 and E 472535.78 N 099422.473							
Context	Description							Depth (m)
2801	Topsoil	U .	y brown silty loa and sub rounded g				sub	0.00-0.20m
2802	Subsoil	Mid grey natural d	brown silty clay w iffused.	vith sparse	inclusio	ns. Horizon	with	0.20-0.39m
2803	Natural	Mid red occasion	yellow brown al grey gravely pa		, rare	inclusions	with	0.39+m

TRENCH	29		Type: Evaluation	Machine excavated		
Dimensio	Dimensions: 30.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.51m Ground level: 5.52m					
Co-ordina	ates: E 472488	.67 N 099436.76 and E 472488.42 N ()99407.25			
Context	Context Description					
2901	Topsoil	Mid grey brown silty loam with rare and sub rounded gravel (<0.03m) an		ular 0.00-0.24m		
2902	Subsoil	Mid grey brown silty clay with rare in	clusions.	0.24-0.36m		
2903	Natural	Mid orange brown silty clay with (<0.08m) and occasional iron no patches.				

TRENCH	30		Type: Evaluation	Machine excavate	d
Dimensio	ons: 30.00m x 2	2.10m Max. depth: 0.55m	Ground level: 5.83n	n	
Co-ordina	ates: E 472441.	.55 N 099420.56 and E 472469.95 N ()99421.59		
Context	Description			Depth (m)	
3001	Topsoil	Mid grey brown sandy loam with spa gravel (<0.06m)	arse coarse, sub-round	ded 0.00-0.16m	
3002	Subsoil	Mid grey brown sandy clay with ra gravel (<0.03m)	re medium, sub-round	ded 0.16-0.39m	
3003	Natural	Mid brown yellow sandy clay w (<0.06m).	vith sparse fine gra	avel 0.39+m	
3004	Cut	Circular pit or post hole with stee concave base. Partially exposed measures 0.22m in length by 0 0.29m deep.	within the trench a	and)
3005	Cut	Circular pit or post hole with stee concave base. Measures 0.30m diameter and 0.09m deep.			,
3006	Fill	Secondary fill of pit 3005 . Light bro rare medium, sub-rounded grave pottery.	el (<0.02m). Contair	ned	
3007	Fill	Secondary fill of pit 3004 . Light gre rare medium sub-rounded gravel (<0 charcoal inclusions and pottery.			

TRENCH	31		Type: Evaluation	Mac	chine excavated	
	ons: 10.00m x 2		Ground level: 5.54	m		
Co-ordina	ates: E 472565.	.67 N 09947	73.38 and E 472557.97 N (99565.10		
Context	Description					Depth (m)
3101	Topsoil		Dark grey brown silty clay loam with thin turf-line and notable darker humic horizon for top 10cm.			
3102	Subsoil		owish grey sandy clay witl 0.08m). Diffuse horizon into		ded	0.30-0.45m
3103	Natural		v brown (orange) sandy cla ar flint gravel (<0.09m).	y with lenses of com	mon	0.30+m

TRENCH			Type: Evaluation	Mad	chine excavated					
	Dimensions: 10.00m x 2.10m Max. depth: 0.64m Ground level: 4.59m									
Co-ordina	Co-ordinates: E 472569.62 N 099549.52 and E 472581.33 N 099547.71									
Context	Context Description									
3201	Topsoil	darker h	Dark grey brown silty clay loam with thin turf-line and notable darker humic horizon for top 10cm. Common rooting, worm nests and iron stains within the topsoil.							
3202	Subsoil	subsoil	Mid olive brown silty clay. Area noted in section possible subsoil or alluvial with oyster shells within layer running towards South.							
3203	Natural		owish brown sandy clay sub rounded (<0.08m). Allu			0.53+m				

10.2 Appendix 2: Finds and environmental tables

Table 2: All finds by context (number/weight in grammes)

Context	Bone	Glass	Pottery	Fired Clay	Burnt Flint
1606		4/284			
2305	43			180/642	20/125
3006			1/26		
3007			1/9		
TOTALS	43	4/284	2/35		

Table 3: Assessment of the charred plant remains and charcoal

Samples					Flot						
Feature	Contoxt	ntovt	Vol.	DI. Flot % Charred Plant Remains	ed Plant Remains	Charcoal	Other				
Feature	Context		(ml)	(ml) roots G		Chaff	Other	Comments	>4/2mm	Other	
Trench 2	Trench 23 Prehistoric Cremation Related Deposit										
2304	2305	1	14	250	35	С	-	-	Indet. grain frag, charcoal includes mature wood	30/35 ml	Burnt bone


10.3 Appendix 3: Oasis record form

Land north of Goldring Close, Mengham, Hayling Island, Hampshire - Wessex Archaeology


OASIS ID - wessexar1-180790

Versions						
View	Version	Completed by	Email	Date		
View 1	1	S Farr	s.farr@wessexarch.co.uk	5 June 2014		
Completed sections in current version						
Details	Location	Creators	Archive	Publications		
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1/1		
Validated sections in current version						
Details	Location	Creators	Archive	Publications		

No	No	No	No	0/1
File submissi	on and form progre	ess		
Grey literature	e report submitted?	No	Grey literature report filename/s	
Images submi	tted?	No	Image filename/s	
Boundary file	submitted?	No	Boundary filename	
HER signed o	ff?		NMR signed off?	



Site and trench location plan



Selected plans and sections



Plate 1: View of Trench 4 from the west



Plate 2: East facing representative section of Trench 21

	This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.					
_	Date:	24/06/2014	Revision Number:	1		
	Scale:	N/A	Illustrator:	SEJ		
	Path:	Y:\PROJECTS\10380\Drawing Office\Report figs\Eval\2014_05_21\103800_eval_plates,cdr				



Plate 3: View of cremation 2304, southern end of Trench 23



Plate 4: West facing section of pit 304

This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.					
 Date:	24/06/2014	Revision Number:	1		
Scale:	N/A	Illustrator:	SEJ		
Path:	Y:\PROJECTS\10380\Drawing C	ffice\Report figs\Eval\2014	_05_21\103800_eval_plates,cdr		



Plate 5: South-west facing section of gully 1904



Plate 6: East facing section of ditch 2004

	This material is for client report only [©] Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.						
_	Date:	24/06/2014	Revision Number:	1			
111	Scale:	N/A	Illustrator:	SEJ			
	Path:	Y:\PROJECTS\10380\Drawing Office\Report figs\Eval\2014_05_21\103800_eval_plates,cdr					





salisbury rochester sheffield edinburgh

Wessex Archaeology Ltd registered office Portway House, Old Sarum Park, Salisbury, Wiltshire SP4 6EB Tel: 01722 326867 Fax: 01722 337562 info@wessexarch.co.uk www.wessexarch.co.uk



Wessex Archaeology Ltd is a company limited by guarantee registered in England, company number 1712772. It is also a Charity registered in England and Wales, number 287786; and in Scotland, Scottish Charity number SC042630. Our registered office is at Portway House, Old Sarum Park, Salisbury, Wiltshire SP4 6EB.