

Evaluation Report



Ref: 107500.01 January 2015





Evaluation Report

Prepared for:

CgMs Consulting Ltd.
Sherwood House
Sherwood Avenue
Newark
Nottinghamshire
NG24 1QQ

On Behalf of:

Bloor Homes Ashby Road Measham Swadlincote DE12 7JP

Prepared by:

Wessex Archaeology
Unit R6, Sheaf Bank Business Park
Prospect Road
Sheffield
S2 3EN

www.wessexarch.co.uk

January 2015

107500.01



Quality Assurance

Project Code	107500	Accession Code		Client Ref.	MF/16063/03
Planning Application Ref.		Ordnance Survey (OS) national grid reference (NGR)	SK 27200, 3130	00	

Version	Status*	Prepared by	Checked and Approved By	Approver's Signature	Date
v01	I	AWT			
File:	S:\PROJ	IECTS\107500_Rep	orts\v01\Willingt	on_Road_Etwall_AWT_2015	0128_v01
v02	E	AWT	CS	C. Swall	2015.01.29
File:	S:\PROJ	IECTS\107500_Rep	orts\v02\Willingt	on_Road_Etwall_AWT_2015	50128_v02
v03	E	AWT	CS	C. Surl	2015.06.12
File:	S:\PROJ	IECTS\107500_Rep	orts\v02\Willingto	on_Road_Etwall_AWT_2015	50128_v03
File:					
File:					

^{*} I = Internal Draft; E = External Draft; F = Final

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database rights 2015

DISCLAIMER

THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT WAS DESIGNED AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF A REPORT TO AN INDIVIDUAL CLIENT AND WAS PREPARED SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF THAT CLIENT. THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT DOES NOT NECESSARILY STAND ON ITS OWN AND IS NOT INTENDED TO NOR SHOULD IT BE RELIED UPON BY ANY THIRD PARTY. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW WESSEX ARCHAEOLOGY WILL NOT BE LIABLE BY REASON OF BREACH OF CONTRACT NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE FOR ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL) OCCASIONED TO ANY PERSON ACTING OR OMITTING TO ACT OR REFRAINING FROM ACTING IN RELIANCE UPON THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT ARISING FROM OR CONNECTED WITH ANY ERROR OR OMISSION IN THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THE REPORT. LOSS OR DAMAGE AS REFERRED TO ABOVE SHALL BE DEEMED TO INCLUDE, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, ANY LOSS OF PROFITS OR ANTICIPATED PROFITS DAMAGE TO REPUTATION OR GOODWILL LOSS OF BUSINESS OR ANTICIPATED BUSINESS DAMAGES COSTS EXPENSES INCURRED OR PAYABLE TO ANY THIRD PARTY (IN ALL CASES WHETHER DIRECT INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL) OR ANY OTHER DIRECT INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE.



Evaluation Report

Contents

Sumn	mary	iii
Ackno	owledgements	iv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Project background	
1.2	The Site	
1.3	Geology	
1.4	Topography	
2	ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND	1
2.1	Introduction	1
2.2	Prehistoric/Roman	2
2.3	Medieval/post-medieval	2
3	METHODOLOGY	2
3.1	General	2
3.2	Machine excavation	2
3.3	Hand excavation	2
3.4	Recording	3
4	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	3
4.1	General	3
5	RESULTS	3
5.1	Introduction	3
5.2	General stratigraphy	3
5.3	Ridge and furrow	4
5.4	Archaeological features	4
6	FINDS AND ENVIRONMENTAL	4
6.1	General	4
7	DISCUSSION	
7.1	Conclusion	4
8	STORAGE AND CURATION	4
8.1	Museum	4



8.2	Preparation of Archive	5
8.3	Security Copy	
9	,	
-	REFERENCES	
9.1	Bibliography	6
9.2	Online sources	
10	APPENDICES	7
10.1	Appendix 1: Context descriptions	7
10.2	Appendix 2: OASIS form	
	• • •	

Plates

Front Cover	Trench 7 from east
Plate 1	Trench 1 from east
Plate 2	Trench 2 from south
Plate 3	Trench 3 from west
Plate 4	Trench 4 from west
Plate 5	Trench 5 from east
Plate 6	Trench 6 from south
Plate 7	Trench 7 from west
Plate 8	Trench 8 from south
Plate 9	Trench 9 from east
Plate 10	Trench 10 from south
Plate 11	Example furrow in Trench 4, from south

Figures

Figure 1 Site location and trench plan



Evaluation Report

Summary

Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by CgMs Consulting Ltd. on behalf of Bloor Homes to undertake an archaeological evaluation on land off Willington Road, Etwall, Derbyshire (NGR SK 27200, 31300). A Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI, CgMs 2014) was prepared and was submitted to Steve Baker, the Development Control Archaeologist for Derby and Derbyshire, for approval.

Evidence of ridge and furrow strip cultivation was found across the Site, with the entire Site contained within a single area of strip cultivation. This agricultural activity may be of medieval or post-medieval in date. No other archaeological deposits or artefacts were identified, confirming that the Site lay at some distance from the medieval/post medieval core of Etwall.

The archive of the archaeological evaluation is currently held at the offices of Wessex Archaeology in Sheffield, under the project code **107500**. It is recommended that the project archive be deposited at Derby Museum under an accession number to be determined. An OASIS form, ID number **wessexar1-201843** has been provisionally completed and will be finally submitted at the time of deposition.



Evaluation Report

Acknowledgements

The fieldwork was commissioned by CgMs Consulting Ltd. on behalf of Bloor Homes, and Wessex Archaeology is grateful to them in this regard. The assistance of Steve Baker of Derbyshire County Council who monitored the work is also acknowledged. The project was managed for Wessex Archaeology by Chris Swales. Fieldwork was directed by Ashley Tuck, and undertaken by Ashley Tuck, Jeanette Sires and Eleanor Claxton-Mayer.

This report was written by Ashley Tuck, and illustrated by Alix Sperr.



Evaluation Report

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project background

- 1.1.1 Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by CgMs Consulting Ltd. On behalf of Bloor Homes (hereafter 'the Client') to undertake an archaeological evaluation on land off Willington Road, Etwall, Derbyshire, hereafter 'the Site' (NGR SK 27200 31300; Figure 1).
- 1.1.2 Outline planning permission has been granted by South Derbyshire District Council for 'development of up to 100 dwellings, public open space, drainage and associated works'. This evaluation was designed to help fulfil a condition of the planning permission.
- 1.1.3 A Written Scheme of Investigation (CgMs 2014) was prepared in accordance with current industry guidance provided by the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (ClfA 2014a and 2014b) and in accordance with ClfA Codes of Conduct (2014c). The WSI was submitted to Steve Baker, the Development Control Archaeologist for Derby City and Derbyshire, for approval.

1.2 The Site

1.2.1 The Site comprises a pasture, part of a second pasture and part of an arable field to the south of Willington Road, at the south-eastern edge of Etwall near Derby (**Figure 1**). The Site is bounded by Willington Road to the north, by housing to the west and by further fields to the south and east. The majority of the Site is intended for residential development, with ancillary development in the arable field to the south.

1.3 Geology

1.3.1 The British Geological Survey records the solid geology of the Site as Mudstone belonging to the Gunthorpe member, with no superficial deposits recorded. (http://maps.bgs.ac.uk/)

1.4 Topography

1.4.1 The Site slopes gently down from 75m above Ordnance Datum (aOD) in the north to 65m aOD in the south, where the land flattens out.

2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 The following section summarises the archaeological background of the Site which was detailed in the written scheme of investigation (CgMs 2014).



2.2 Prehistoric/Roman

2.2.1 A low or nil potential for prehistoric or Romano-British archaeology was identified. The majority of activity within the wider area dating to the prehistoric and Roman periods is concentrated on the lighter, fertile soils of the sands and gravels of the Trent valley to the south.

2.3 Medieval/post-medieval

2.3.1 The Site is located outside the medieval and post-medieval core of Etwall and there is no material evidence of earlier settlement within the immediate area of the Site. Evidence of medieval or post-medieval ridge and furrow strip cultivation is visible as earthworks in the east of the Site, and was identified across the Site by the geophysical survey.

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 General

- 3.1.1 Detailed methodology for the work can be found in the Written Scheme of Investigation (CgMs 2014). Wessex Archaeology procedures conform to industry best practice, as outlined in the standards and guidance documentation issued by the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (ClfA 2014c), the United Kingdom Institute of Conservation (UKIC 2001). The methodology conforms to the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework.
- 3.1.2 The programme of archaeological investigation was conducted within the general research parameters and objectives defined by 'East Midlands Heritage: A research Agenda and Strategy for the Historic Environment' (compiled on behalf of the region's historic environment community by D. Knight, B. Vyner and C. Allen) and the earlier Archaeological Resource Assessment and Research Agenda for the East Midlands 'The Archaeology of the East Midlands' edited by N. Cooper (2006). The investigation also took account of the national research programmes outlined in English Heritage's 'Strategic Framework for historic Environment Activities and Programmes in English Heritage (SHAPE)' published in 2008.
- 3.1.3 Ten trial trenches were excavated, eight of which were 50m long (**Trenches 1-8**), and two were 30m long (**Trenches 9** and **10**). The trench locations were informed by a strategy designed to provide a sufficient sample of the Site to characterise its archaeological potential.

3.2 Machine excavation

3.2.1 Topsoil was removed using an 180° mechanical excavator fitted with a toothless ditching bucket, working under the continuous direct supervision of a suitably experienced archaeologist. Topsoil and overburden were removed in a series of level spits down to the level of the upper archaeological horizon, or the level of the natural geology, whichever was reached first.

3.3 Hand excavation

3.3.1 No hand excavation was undertaken due to the negative results of the evaluation.



3.4 Recording

- 3.4.1 All deposits were recorded using Wessex Archaeology's *pro forma* recording sheets and a continuous unique numbering system. A stratigraphic matrix was compiled to record the relationships between features and deposits.
- 3.4.2 Excavated areas and deposits were located by means of an RTK GPS system and tied into the OS grid with a tolerance of better than + or 100mm. All deposits had spot heights recorded in relation to Ordnance Datum, correct to two decimal places.
- 3.4.3 A photographic record was maintained using digital images. 35mm monochrome film equipment was available but was not required due to the negative results of the evaluation.

4 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

4.1 General

- 3.1.1 The objectives of the programme of archaeological investigation were:
 - to establish the presence/absence of features, deposits and/or finds of archaeological interest;
 - to provide sufficient information regarding the character, origin, date, preservation and significance of any archaeological features/deposits and/or finds to determine the need for and scope of any further works;
 - to ensure the appropriate investigation and recording of any archaeological remains encountered;
 - to disseminate the results of the archaeological investigation, and advance understanding of the Site's archaeology as appropriate; and,
 - to produce a Site archive for deposition with an appropriate museum if appropriate.

5 RESULTS

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 The following section provides a summary of the information held in the Site archive, with a full list of context numbers and context descriptions contained in **Appendix 1**.

5.2 General stratigraphy

- The natural geological substrate (e.g. **103**) was generally described as silty clay, although in **Trenches 3**, **5** and **9** it was described as sandy clay, and varied from yellowish brown in the north through brown to reddish brown in the south. Relic ploughsoil subsoil (e.g. **102**) was present in all trenches, typically mid brown silty clay up to 0.2m in depth. The topsoil (e.g. **101**) was dark brown silty clay, and varied from 0.21m to 0.3m in depth. The majority of deposits contained inclusions of small subrounded stones.
- 5.2.2 In the western pasture (**Trenches 1**, **2**, **4**, **6**, **7** and **8**), the interface between subsoil and natural was very uneven and jumbled, requiring moderately deep machining and being indicative of infrequent, imperfect ploughing prior to the formation of the relic subsoil.



5.3 Ridge and furrow

- 5.3.1 In the eastern pasture (the area of **Trenches 3** and **5**) slight earthwork ridges were visible forming long "S" shapes. These "S" shaped ridges appeared to run from the southern boundary of the field to Willington Road in the north. The tenant farmer reported that he had ploughed the western pasture (**Trenches 1**, **2**, **4**, **6**, **7** and **8**) more frequently than the eastern pasture, which explains the absence of earthwork ridges there. No earthwork ridges were present in the southern arable field (**Trenches 9** and **10**) due to frequent ploughing.
- 5.3.2 As anticipated from the geophysical survey, furrows were found in all trenches except for **Trenches 8** and **10** which were on the same alignment as the ridge and furrow. The furrows were typically 6m apart in the north and up to 9m apart in the south. A maximum width of 1.8m was recorded for a furrow. The furrows ran north to south up and down the slope of the field. The furrows (e.g. **104**) were filled with mid-brown silty clay, sometimes with stone inclusions (e.g. **105**). The fill of the furrows was similar, but not identical, to the relic ploughsoil subsoil (e.g. **102**).

5.4 Archaeological features

5.4.1 Other than the ridge and furrow, no archaeological features were identified.

6 FINDS AND ENVIRONMENTAL

6.1 General

6.1.1 No archaeological finds were recovered from the Site. No environmental samples were taken.

7 DISCUSSION

7.1 Conclusion

- 7.1.1 Evidence of ridge and furrow strip cultivation was found across the Site, with the entire Site contained within a single area of strip cultivation. This agricultural activity may be of medieval or post-medieval date.
- 7.1.2 No other archaeological deposits or artefacts were identified, confirming that the Site lay at some distance from the medieval/post-medieval core of Etwall. No phases of earlier settlement were identified.

8 STORAGE AND CURATION

8.1 Museum

8.1.1 It is recommended that the project archive resulting from the excavation be deposited with Derby Museum under an accession number to be determined.



8.2 Preparation of archive

- 8.2.1 The complete Site archive, which will include paper records, photographic records, graphics, and digital data, will be prepared following the standard conditions for the acceptance of excavated archaeological material by Derby Museum, and in general following nationally recommended guidelines (SMA 1995; CIfA 2014b; Brown 2011; ADS 2013).
- 8.2.2 All archive elements will be marked with the accession code, and a full index will be prepared.

8.3 Security copy

8.3.1 In line with current best practice (e.g. Brown 2011); on completion of the project a security copy of the written records will be prepared, in the form of a digital PDF/A file. PDF/A is an ISO-standardised version of the Portable Document Format (PDF) designed for the digital preservation of electronic documents through omission of features ill-suited to long-term archiving.



9 REFERENCES

9.1 Bibliography

- ADS, 2013, Caring for Digital Data in Archaeology: a guide to good practice, Archaeology Data Service & Digital Antiquity Guides to Good Practice
- CgMs Consulting Ltd., 2014, Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Work: Land off Willington Road, Etwall, Derbyshire. Unpublished client report
- Brown, D.H., 2011, Archaeological archives; a guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation, Archaeological Archives Forum (revised edition)
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA), 2014a, Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Excavation
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (IfA), 2014b, Standard and Guidance for the Collection, Documentation, Conservation and Research of Archaeological Materials
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (IfA), 2014c, Codes of Conduct
- Cooper, N., 2006, The Archaeology of the East Midlands (in Cooper, N. (ed), 2006, Archaeological Resource Assessment and Research Agenda for the East Midlands)
- English Heritage, 2008, Strategic Framework for Historic Environment Activities and Programmes in English Heritage (SHAPE)
- Knight, D., Vyner, B. and Allen, C., 2012, East Midlands Heritage: An updated research agenda and strategy for the historic environment of the East Midlands
- Society of Museum Archaeologists (SMA), 1995 Towards an Accessible Archaeological Archive, Society of Museum Archaeologists
- United Kingdom Institute of Conservation (UKIC), 2001, Guidelines for the Preparation of Excavation Archives for Long Term Storage

9.2 Online sources

NERC, 2014. *Geology of Britain online viewer*, accessed 12/08/14, http://www.bgs.ac.uk/discoveringgeology/geologyofbritain/viewer.html



10 APPENDICES

10.1 Appendix 1:Context descriptions

Trench 1		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
101	Topsoil: Dark brown loose mixed clay	0-0.3
102	Subsoil: mid brown clay with some stone inclusions	0.3-0.5
103	Natural: light yellowish brown silty clay with many stone inclusions	0.5+
104	Cut: example of furrow. Running N-S, 6m spacing, typically 1.8m wide.	0.5+
105	Fill: example of furrow. Mid brown clay with stone inclusions.	0.5+

Trench 2		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
201	Topsoil: Dark brown loose mixed clay	0-0.35
202	Subsoil: mid brown clay with some stone inclusions	0.35-0.5
203	Natural: light yellowish brown silty clay with many stone inclusions	0.5+
204	Cut: furrow appearing in N end of trench. Running N-S, 0.8m wide.	0.5+
205	Fill: fill of furrow. Mid brown clay with stone inclusions.	0.5+

Trench 3		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
301	Topsoil: Dark grey-brown silty clay Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0-0.23
302	Subsoil: mid grey-brown silty clay. Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0.23- 0.34
303	Natural: light brown clayey sand. Abundant small-medium subrounded pebbles.	0.34+
304	Cut: example of furrow. Running N-S, 6m spacing, typically 1.6m wide.	0.34+
305	Fill: example of furrow. Dark brown silty clay with no inclusions.	0.34+

Trench 4		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
401	Topsoil: Dark brown loose mixed clay	0-0.3
402	Subsoil: mid brown clay with some stone inclusions	0.3-0.5



403	Natural: light yellowish brown silty clay with many stone inclusions	0.5+
404	Cut: example of furrow. Running N-S, 5.5m spacing, typically 1.8m wide.	0.5+
405	Fill: example of furrow. Dark brown clay with stone inclusions.	0.5+

Trench 5		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
501	Topsoil: Dark grey-brown silty clay Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0-0.21
502	Subsoil: mid grey-brown silty clay. Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0.21- 0.27
503	Natural: light brown clayey sand. Abundant small-medium subrounded pebbles.	0.27+
504	Cut: example of furrow. Running N-S, 6m spacing, typically 1.6m wide. 7 in trench.	0.27+
505	Fill: example of furrow. Dark brown silty clay with no inclusions.	0.27+

Trench 6		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
601	Topsoil: Dark brown loose mixed clay	0-0.3
602	Subsoil: mid brown clay with some stone inclusions	0.3-0.5
603	Natural: light yellowish brown silty clay with many stone inclusions	0.5+
604	Cut: example of furrow. Running N-S, typically 1.6m wide. 2 in trench running obliquely.	0.5+
605	Fill: example of furrow. Dark brown silty clay with no inclusions.	0.5+

Trench 7		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
701	Topsoil: Dark grey-brown silty clay Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0-0.3
702	Subsoil: mid grey-brown silty clay. Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0.3-0.48
703	Natural: light reddish brown silty clay. Abundant small-medium subrounded pebbles.	0.48- 0.55+
704	Cut: example of furrow. Running N-S, 8-9m spacing, typically 1.5m wide. 7 in trench.	0.48+
705	Fill: example of furrow. Dark brown silty clay. S parse small subrounded pebbles.	0.48+



Trench 8		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
801	Topsoil: Dark grey-brown silty clay Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0-0.3
802	Subsoil: mid grey-brown silty clay. Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0.3-0.5
803	Natural: light reddish brown silty clay. Abundant small-medium subrounded pebbles.	0.5+

Trench 9		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
901	Topsoil: Dark grey-brown silty clay Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0-0.31
902	Subsoil: mid grey-brown sandy clay. Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0.31- 0.48
903	Natural: light reddish brown silty clay. Abundant small-medium subrounded pebbles.	0.48- 0.59+
904	Cut: example of furrow. Running N-S, 8-9m spacing, 0.6-0.9m wide. 7 in trench.	0.48+
905	Fill: example of furrow. Dark brown silty clay. S parse small subrounded pebbles.	0.48+

Trench 10		
Context	Description	Depth (m BGL)
1001	Topsoil: Dark grey-brown silty clay Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0-0.29
1002	Subsoil: mid grey-brown silty clay. Sparse small subrounded pebbles.	0.29-0.4
1003	Natural: light reddish brown silty clay. Abundant small-medium subrounded pebbles.	0.4+



10.2 Appendix 2: OASIS form

OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

OASIS ID: wessexar1-201843

Project details

Project name Land off Willington Road, Etwall, Derbyshire

Short description of

the project

Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by CgMs Consulting on behalf of Bloor Homes to undertake an archaeological evaluation on land off Willington Road, Etwall, Derbyshire, NGR 427200 331300. A Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI, CgMs 2014) was prepared and was submitted to Steve Baker, the Development Control Archaeologist for Derby and Derbyshire, for approval. Evidence of ridge and furrow strip cultivation was found across the Site, with the entire Site contained within a single area of strip cultivation. This agricultural activity may be of medieval or post-medieval date. No other archaeological deposits or artefacts were identified, suggesting that the Site lay at some distance from any settlement.

Project dates Start: 19-01-2015 End: 22-01-2015

Previous/future work Yes / Not known

Any associated project reference

codes

107500 - Contracting Unit No.

Type of project Field evaluation

Site status None

Current Land use Grassland Heathland 4 - Regularly improved

Monument type RIDGE AND FURROW Medieval

Significant Finds NONE None

Methods & techniques

"Sample Trenches"

Development type Housing estate

Prompt Planning condition

Position in the planning process

Not known / Not recorded

Project location

Country England

Site location DERBYSHIRE SOUTH DERBYSHIRE ETWALL Land off Willington Road

Postcode DE65

Study area 0 Hectares

Site coordinates SK 272 313 52.8781557185 -1.59579457783 52 52 41 N 001 35 44 W Point

Height OD / Depth Min: 65.00m Max: 75.00m

Project creators

Name of Wessex Archaeology



Organisation

Project brief originator

CgMs Consulting Ltd.

Project design originator

CgMS Consulting Ltd

Project

Chris Swales

director/manager

Project supervisor Ashley Tuck Type of

sponsor/funding

body

Developer

Name of sponsor/funding

body

Bloor Homes

Project archives

Physical Archive

No

Exists?

Digital Contents "none"

Digital Media available

"Images raster / digital photography", "Survey", "Text"

"none" **Paper Contents**

Paper Media available

"Context sheet","Diary","Report"

Project bibliography 1

Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Publication type

Title Land off Willington Road, Etwall: Evaluation Report

Author(s)/Editor(s) Wessex Archaeology

Other bibliographic

details

107500.01

Date 2015

Issuer or publisher Wessex Archaeology

Place of issue or

publication

Sheffield

Description A4 sprial bound laser printed report

Entered by J Tibber (j.tibber@wessexarch.co.uk)

Entered on 12 June 2015

OASIS: Please e-mail <u>Historic England</u> for OASIS help and advice © ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012 Cite only: http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm for this page






Plate 1:Trench 1 from east



Plate 2: Trench 2 from south

	This mate	This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.		
	Date:	2015/01/29	Revision Number:	0
Hil	Scale:	N/A	Illustrator:	CS
	Path:	Y:\Projects\107500\Graphics Office\Rep figs\eval\2015_01_29z107500.01_plates.cdr		_29z107500.01_plates.cdr



Plate 3:Trench 3 from west



Plate 4: Trench 4 from west

	This mate	This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.		
	Date:	2015/01/29	Revision Number:	0
Hil	Scale:	N/A	Illustrator:	CS
	Path:	Y:\Projects\107500\Graphics Office\Rep figs\eval\2015_01_29z107500.01_plates.cdr		_29z107500.01_plates.cdr



Plate 5:Trench 5 from east



Plate 6: Trench 6 from south

	This mate	This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.		
	Date:	2015/01/29	Revision Number:	0
	Scale:	N/A	Illustrator:	CS
-	Path:	Y:\Projects\107500\Graphics Office\Rep figs\eval\2015_01_29z107500.01_plates.cdr		



Plate 7: Trench 7 from west



Plate 6: Trench 8 from south

	This mate	This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.		
	Date:	2015/01/29	Revision Number:	0
Hil	Scale:	N/A	Illustrator:	CS
	Path:	Y:\Projects\107500\Graphics Office\Rep figs\eval\2015_01_29z107500.01_plates.cdr		_29z107500.01_plates.cdr



Plate 9:Trench 9 from east



Plate 10: Trench 10 from south

	This mate	This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.		
	Date:	2015/01/29	Revision Number:	0
	Scale:	N/A	Illustrator:	CS
-	Path:	Y:\Projects\107500\Graphics Office\Rep figs\eval\2015_01_29z107500.01_plates.cdr		



Plate 11:Example furrow in **Trench 4**, from south

This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.				
	Date:	2015/01/29	Revision Number:	0
	Scale:	N/A	Illustrator:	CS
	Path:	Y:\Projects\107500\Graphics Off	ce\Rep figs\eval\2015_01_	_29z107500.01_plates.cdr





