Wessex Archaeology

Land at Grove Farm, Worcester, Worcestershire

Archaeological Evaluation



Ref: 61432.02

April 2006

ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Prepared for:

GL Hearn Limited 20 Soho Square London W1D 3QW

by

Wessex Archaeology Portway House Old Sarum Park Salisbury WILTSHIRE SP4 6EB

WA Ref: 61432.02

April 2006

© Copyright Wessex Archaeology Limited 2006 Wessex Archaeology Limited is a Registered Charity No.287786

ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Project Background	1
1.2	The Site, Topography and Geology	1
1.3	Archaeological and Historical Background	2
2	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	2
3	METHODS	3
3.1	Evaluation Strategy	3
3.2	Excavation and Recording	3
3.3	Finds and Environmental Sampling	4
3.4	Monitoring	4
4	RESULTS	4
5	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	4
7	ARCHIVE	5
7.1	Storage and deposition	5
8	BIBLIOGRAPHY	5

Appendix 1: Trench Summary Tables

Figure 1: Site and Trench Location Plan

ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Summary

Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by GL Hearn Limited on behalf of Tesco Stores Ltd to undertake an archaeological evaluation of land proposed for mixed commercial and light industrial development at Grove Farm, Worcester (the Site, centred on NGR 382200 254300).

The Site lies on a gentle slope falling from *c*. 32m aOD at the western end to *c*.28m aOD at the eastern end and consists of agricultural land apportionments totalling *c*. 5.54ha. Grove Farm, a Grade II Listed Building of eighteenth century date located within a moated enclosure probably dating to the fifteenth century, is located immediately beyond the eastern boundary of the Site. Cartographic analysis indicates that until recently large areas of the Site were set to orchard.

An archaeological desk-based assessment and geophysical survey of the Site had been undertaken previously. The desk based assessment found that, although there are no known sites, deposits or artefacts from any period within the Site boundary, the location of the Site on a raised interfluvial area between the Rivers Teme and Severn indicates potential for prehistoric or Roman activity. Potential for the survival of evidence for medieval farming practices associated with the moated enclosure at Grove Farm was also identified. The geophysical survey did not identify any features of potential archaeological interest within the survey area.

A total of 38 evaluation trenches, representing a 3% sample of the area available for evaluation, was excavated in the southern two thirds of the proposed development area, in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) submitted to and approved by the Archaeological Officer for Worcester City Council. The northern third of the Site was unavailable for evaluation as it is currently under a conifer plantation. The evaluation was monitored by the City Archaeological Officer.

The evaluation located no evidence for either human habitation of the land or for previous human interaction with the land, other than that associated with post medieval agricultural practices. The only features encountered comprised tree holes and modern postholes relating to the recent use of the Site as orchards. Throughout the evaluation, including the scanning of the spoil heaps, no artefacts predating the post-medieval period were retrieved from the Site.

The evaluation has confirmed the conclusion of the geophysical survey that there are no archaeological features present within the area evaluated. Based upon the results of the evaluation trenches, the geophysical survey and the desk based assessment, the potential for uncovering archaeological remains within the as yet unevaluated plantation area of the Site may be regarded as low.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Acknowledgements

Wessex Archaeology would like to thank G L Hearn Limited for funding for the assessment. Thanks are due to Su Ross of GL Hearn Limited for facilitating access to the Site and providing drawings of the proposed development layout.

The fieldwork was undertaken under the direction of Barry Hennessey, this report was compiled by Peter Reeves and illustrated by Linda Coleman. The project was managed by Peter Reeves and Paul White.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

- 1.1.1 Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by GL Hearn Limited to carry out an archaeological field evaluation on land at Grove Farm, Worcester, Worcestershire (NGR 382200 254300, hereafter referred to as 'the Site', Figure 1), which is proposed for mixed commercial and light industrial development.
- 1.1.2 The Site had previously been the subject of an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), which included consideration of Cultural Heritage issues. This was prepared from an archaeological desk-based assessment of the Site (Wessex Archaeology 2006a). Although no known archaeological sites or findspots are indicated from the Site, its location on higher ground between the rivers Severn and Teme suggests some archaeological potential for remains from the prehistoric and Roman periods. Archaeological deposits relating to the Listed Medieval Farmhouse at Grove Farm, located to the east of the development area may extend into the Site. A geophysical survey of the Site (Stratascan 2006) did not identify any potential archaeological features.
- 1.1.3 A Draft Development Brief for the Grove Farm Business Park, produced by Worcester City Council in May 2005 states (para. 4.8.2) that an archaeological evaluation on the site will be required. Accordingly, further information on the archaeological implications of the proposed development prior to determination of a planning application was requested by the Archaeological Officer for Worcester City Council, in the form of an archaeological evaluation through trial trenching.

1.2 The Site, Topography and Geology

- 1.2.1 The Site comprises an approximately rectangular plot of land extending to 11.4 ha to the south of Bromyard Road. The Site is currently occupied by a conifer plantation to the north covering approximately 25% of the Site, with the remainder given over to arable farming. The Site is situated to the west of Worcester, in the parish of Rushwick. Grove Farm itself is located in the centre of the Site's eastern boundary.
- 1.2.2 The Site is located on a raised interfluvial area between the River Severn and River Teme and lies on a gentle slope falling from *c*. 32m above Ordnance Datum (aOD) at the western end to *c*.28m aOD at the eastern end. Geological maps indicate that the Site lies on Clay, overlying Marl (British Geological Survey, sheet).

1.3 Archaeological and Historical Background

- 1.3.1 The archaeological background is set out in the EIA and desk-based assessment and is summarised only here. A geophysical survey was undertaken across the evaluation area prior to the fieldwork.
- 1.3.2 There are no known sites, deposits or artefacts from any period within the Site boundary. A fish pond that may have been medieval in origin has recently been re-landscaped for fishing.
- 1.3.3 Grove Farm, a Grade II Listed Building is located in the centre, and outside, of the Site's eastern boundary. The extant eighteenth century buildings are located within a moated enclosure probably dating to the fifteenth century. The development proposals include a buffer zone around the farmhouse, thereby removing any direct impacts and reducing any indirect impacts on setting.
- 1.3.4 Cartographic analysis indicates that until recently large areas of the site were set to orchard. A central hedgeline running east to west appears to be represented on a map dating to 1741 and may, therefore, be considered as important under the historical criteria set out in the Hedgerow Regulations 1997.
- 1.3.5 The location of the Site on a raised interfluvial area between the rivers Teme and Severn indicates a moderate potential for the recovery of prehistoric or Roman activity. There is also a moderate potential for the survival of evidence for medieval farming practises associated with the moated enclosure at Grove Farm.
- 1.3.6 Geophysical survey (Stratascan 2006) did not identify any features of potential archaeological interest within the survey area. The survey did trace an anomaly, possibly of pedological origin that runs centrally through the survey area on a north-south axis. By calculating the presumed path of the anomaly beyond the survey area it is possible that it links to the pond in the southern part of the site and may, therefore, represent the remains of an ephemeral stream.

2 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

- 2.1 The evaluation aimed to enhance the existing archaeological data for the Site in order to enable informed decisions to be made on the archaeological potential of the Site, the likely impact of the proposed development and the mitigation measures required to remove, reduce or ameliorate those impacts, taking into account the quality and importance of the archaeology and the engineering requirements of the developer.
- 2.2 The objective of the evaluation was to establish within the constraints of the evaluation sampling strategy the presence or absence, location, extent, date, character, condition, and depth of any surviving remains within the Site.

3 METHODS

3.1 Evaluation Strategy

- 3.1.1 In order to enhance existing data, the Archaeological Officer for Worcester City Council requested a 3% evaluation of the Site, with a further 1% held as a contingency. The Site covers an area of approximately 11.4 ha of which 6.7 ha are to be developed. However, due to problems of access within the conifer plantation the available area for evaluation is approximately 4.5 ha.
- 3.1.2 A Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) (Wessex Archaeology 2006b) proposing the excavation of 38 trial trenches, representing a 3% sample of the area available for evaluation and a 2% sample of the Site overall, was submitted to and approved by the Archaeological Officer for Worcester City Council, prior to commencement of work on site.
- 3.1.3 The approved WSI provides for the excavation of an additional 18 trenches in the area (2.3 ha) covered by the conifer plantation, if required following submission of this report to the Archaeological Officer; this would take the overall site evaluation to a 3% sample.
- 3.1.4 Proposed trench locations were based on the results of the geophysical survey, the proposed development design and the known site constraints. Of the 38 trenches excavated, 4 were targeted at a specific feature, the possible ephemeral stream channel suggested by the geophysical survey. In order to prevent potential damage to flora, no trial trenches were located within 5 m of the central east-west aligned hedge.

3.2 Excavation and Recording

- 3.2.1 Prior to excavation, all trench locations were scanned for the presence of services.
- 3.2.2 All trenches were excavated by a machine using a wide toothless or ditching bucket, under the direction of an archaeologist. Mechanical excavation proceeded until archaeological remains or, in their absence, natural deposits (brickearth or gravel) were revealed, whichever was first encountered. All mechanical excavation was carried out under constant archaeological supervision. Trench records were compiled using *pro forma* sheets and representative sections of each trench were drawn at a scale of 1:10 or 1:20 as appropriate; a description of each trench is appended to this report (**Appendix 1**: Trench Summary Tables).
- 3.2.3 The spoil from all trenches was scanned for artefacts.
- 3.2.4 At the satisfactory conclusion of the evaluation, trenches were backfilled with arisings, levelled and compacted with a machine bucket.

3.3 Finds and Environmental Sampling

- 3.3.1 There were no finds predating the post medieval period retrieved throughout the course of the evaluation.
- 3.3.2 No archaeological features or deposits were encountered during the evaluation and therefore no samples were taken.

3.4 Monitoring

- 3.4.1 An appropriate programme of monitoring visits was agreed with Mr James Dinn, Archaeological Officer for Worcester City Council, in advance of the commencement of fieldwork.
- 3.4.2 The evaluation was undertaken in two stages with the northern sector completed first. Mr Dinn visited the site to confirm the absence of features and the trenches were backfilled.
- 3.4.3 Upon completion of the trenches in the southern sector Mr Dinn was informed of the lack of archaeological features within them and permission was granted for their backfilling.

4 RESULTS

- 4.1 None of the 38 evaluation trenches excavated exhibited any evidence for the presence of archaeological structures, features or deposits.
- 4.2 The only features encountered comprised tree holes and modern postholes relating to the previous use of the Site as orchards.
- 4.3 Throughout the evaluation, including the scanning of the spoil heaps, no artefacts predating the post-medieval period were retrieved from the site.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

- 5.1 The evaluation located no evidence for either human habitation of the land or for previous human interaction with the land, other than that associated with post medieval agricultural practices, in particular twentieth century orchard cultivation.
- 5.2 The evaluation has confirmed the conclusions of the geophysical survey that there are no archaeological features present within the area evaluated. Based upon the results of the evaluation trenches, the results of the geophysical survey and the desk based assessment, the potential for uncovering archaeological remains within the plantation area of the Site may be regarded as low.

7 ARCHIVE

7.1 Storage and deposition

- 7.1.1 The archive has been prepared in accordance with Standards for the Deposition of Archaeological Archives with Worcester City Council and to the standards set out in Management of Archaeological Projects (English Heritage 1991). The archive consists of paper records of trench descriptions and photographs and is currently stored at Wessex Archaeology, Portway House, Salisbury.
- 7.1.2 The Site Archive will be prepared for long-term storage in accordance with Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long term storage (Walker 1990) and Standards in the museum care of archaeological collections (Museums and Galleries Commission 1994). The archive will be deposited with Worcester City Museum.
- 7.1.3 Where necessary, the paper records of the Site archive will be security microfilmed prior to deposition.

8 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Stratascan 2006 Land at Grove Farm, Worcester: ArchaeoGeophysical Survey. Unpublished client report ref.
- Wessex Archaeology 2005 Land at Grove Farm, Worcester, Worcestershire. Desk Based Assessment. Unpublished client report, ref 61431.01
- Wessex Archaeology 2006 Land at Grove Farm, Worcester, Worcestershire. Written Scheme of Investigation. Unpublished client report ref 61432.01

APPENDIX 1: TRENCH SUMMARY TABLES

TRENCH 1			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
101	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with moderate gravel.	0.00-0.30m	
102	Sub soil. Mid Red Brown Clay with frequent gravel.	0.00-0.50m	
103	Natural. Red Brown clay with occasional sandy silt and gravel.	0.50m +	

TRENCH 2		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
201	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt with occ gravel.	0.00-0.28m
202	Natural. Mid-Light Red Brown Silt Clay with occ Sandy Gravel.	0.00-28m

TRENCH 3			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
301	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay.	0.00-0.25m	
302	Natural. Mid Red Brown Clay with patches of Yellow Brown Silt	0.25m+	

TRENCH 4			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
401	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.22m	
402	Subsoil. Mid Red Brown Sandy Silt with occ Gravel.	0.22-0.44m	
403	Natural Mid Red Brown Sandy Silt with occ Gravel and Clay patches.	0.44m+	

TRENCH 5			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
501	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with mod Gravel.	0.00-0.25m	
502	Subsoil. Mid Grey Brown Clay Silt with Gravel.	0.25-0.35m	
503	Natural. Light Yellow Brown Sandy Silt mottled with	0.35m+	
	Light Grey Silt Clays.		

TRENCH 6			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
601	Top soil. Mid-Light Brown Clay Silt with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.28m	
602	Subsoil. Light Yellow Brown Clay Silt with Gravel.	0.28-0.51m	
603	Natural. Light Yellow Brown Clay Silt with rare Gravel.	0.51-0.71m	
604	Natural. Mid Red Brown Clay with Gravel.	0.71m+	
605	Cut. Irregular. Root disturbance		
606	Blue Grey Sandy Gravel with manganese.		

TRENCH 7			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
701	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.25m	
702	Subsoil. Mid Red Brown Clay with occ Gravel.	0.25-0.35m	
703	Natural. Red Brown Clay with Sandy Silt and Gravel.	0.35m+	

TRENCH 8			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
801	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.19m	
802	Subsoil. Mid Brown Silt with occ Sand and com Gravel.	0.19-0.26m	
803	Natural. Red Brown Clay with Gravel and patches of Mid Brown Sandy Silt.	0.26m+	

TRENCH 9			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
901	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.30m	
902	Subsoil. Mid Red Brown Clay with patches Gravel.	0.30-0.35m	
903	Natural. Red Brown Clay with freq patches of Sandy Silt and Gravel.	0.35m+	

TRENCH 10			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
1001	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.16m	
1002	Subsoil. Mid Red Brown Silt with Gravel.	0.16-0.25m	
1003	Natural. Mid Red Brown Sandy Silt with bands of Mid	0.25m+	
	Red Clay.		

TRENCH 11			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
1101	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay mod Gravel.	0.00-0.29m	
1102	Subsoil. Mid Red Brown Clay with freq Gravel.	0.29-0.30m	
1103	Natural. Red Brown Clay with Gravel and silt patches.	0.30m+	

TRENCH 12		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
1201	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with mod Gravel.	0.00-0.30m
1202	Subsoil. Mid Red Brown Silt Clay with Sandy Silt patches.	0.30-0.45m
1203	Natural. Mid Red Brown Clay.	0.45m+

TRENCH 13		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
1301	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.30m
1302	Subsoil. Mid red Brown Silt Clay with occ Gravel.	0.30-45m
1303	Natural. V Light Brown Silt Clay.	0.45070m

1304 Natural. Mid Red Clay.

0.70m+

TRENCH 14		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
1401	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay.	0.00-0.27m
1402	Natural. Light Red Brown Silt with occ Quartzite pebbles.	0.27-0.65m
1403	Mid Red Brown Clay with fine Sand and Gravel.	0.65m+

TRENCH 15		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
1501	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.30m
1502	Subsoil. Mid Red Brown Silt Clay with occ Gravel.	0.30-0.40m
1503	Natural. Mid Red Brown Clay with Sand, Silt and Gravel.	0.40m+

TRENCH 16		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
1601	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay.	0.00-0.26m
1602	Natural. Mid Red Brown Clay with Gravel and Silt patches.	0.26m+

TRENCH 17		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
1701	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with mod Gravel.	0.00-0.18m
1702	Subsoil. Mid Red Brown Clay with freq Gravel.	0.18-26m+
1703	Natural. Red Brown Clay with mod Gravel, Sand and	0.26m+
	Silt Clay patches.	

TRENCH 18		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
1801	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt with com Gravel.	0.00-0.28m
1802	Natural. Mid Red Brown Silt Clay with patches of Silt and Gravel.	0.28m+

TRENCH 19		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
1901	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with mod Gravel.	0.00-0.30m
1902	Natural. Mid Brown Silt Clay with patches of Gravel.	0.30m+

TRENCH 20		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2001	Top soil. Mid Grey Brown Clay Silt with occ Gravel and Quartzite pebbles.	0.00-0.30m
2002	Natural. Yellow- Red Brown Clay with patches of	0.30m+

Gravel.

TRENCH 21`		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2101	Top soil. Mid Grey Brown Clay Silts with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.30m
2102	Natural. Mid-Dark Yellow Brown Clay with patches of Gravel.	0.30m+

TRENCH 22		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2201	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.30m
2202	Subsoil. Light Red Brown Slightly Sandy Silt with occ Gravel.	0.30-40m
2203	Natural. Mid Red Brown Sandy Silt with mod manganese.	0.40m+

TRENCH 23		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2301	Top soil. Mid-Dark Brown Clay Silt.	0.00-0.25m
2302	Natural. Mid Yellow Brown Silt Clay with rare Gravel	0.25m+
	and com manganese.	

TRENCH 24		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2401	Top soil. Dark Grey Brown Clay Silt with occ Gravel and Quartzite pebbles.	0.00-0.30m
2402	Natural. Mid Yellow Brown Alluvial Silts with occ Gravel.	0.30m+

TRENCH 25		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2501	Top soil. Dark Grey Brown Silt.	0.00-0.30m
2502	Natural. Mid Red Brown Clay Alluvial Silts.	0.30m+

TRENCH 26		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2601	Top soil. Mid Grey Brown Clay Silt with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.25m
2602	Natural. Light Red Brown Clay with patches of Gravel	0.25m+

TRENCH 27		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2701	Top soil. Mid-Dark Brown Clay Silt with sparse Gravel.	0.00-0.32m
2702	Natural. Mid Dark Red Brown Clay with com. Gravel.	0.32m+

TRENCH 28		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2801	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt Clay with mod Gravel.	0.00-0.30m
2802	Natural. Mid Red Brown Clay with patches of Blue Grey Clay and freq Gravel.	0.30m+

TRENCH 29		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
2901	Top soil. Mid-Dark Brown Clay Silts with occ Gravel	0.00-0.32m
2902	Natural. Mid Red Brown Clay with patches of Gravel.	0.32m+

TRENCH 30		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
3001	Top soil. Mid Grey Brown Clay Silt with occ Gravel	0.00-0.35m
	and Quartzite pebbles.	
3002	Natural. Mid Yellow Brown Clay with occ Gravel.	0.35m+

TRENCH 31		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
3101	Top soil. Mid Grey Brown Clay Silt with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.28m
3102	Natural. Light-Dark Yellow Brown Clay with Gravel	0.28m+
	spread to the North of trench.	

TRENCH 32		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
3201	Top soil. Mid Grey Brown Silt with occ gravel and	0.00-0.30m
	Quartzite pebbles.	
3202	Natural. Mid Red Brown Alluvial Silt.	0.30m+

TRENCH 33		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
3301	Top soil. Mid Brown Silt with sparse Gravel.	0.00-0.35m
3302	Natural. Mid Red Brown Silt with sparse Gravel and	0.35m+
	manganese.	
	Modern machine slot and steel anchor rod at mid point	
	also burned grass within top soil.	

TRENCH 34		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
3401	Top soil. Mid Brown Sandy Silt with sparse Gravel .	0.00-0.34m
3402	Natural. Mid Yellow Brown Silt Sand with sparse Gravel and com. manganese.	0.34m+


	TRENCH 35	
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)

3501	Top soil. Mid-Dark Brown Silt with rare Gravel.	0.00-0.33m
3502	Natural. Light Yellow Brown Sandy Silt with com.	0.33m+
	Gravel and manganese.	

TRENCH 36			
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)	
3601	Top soil. Dark Grey Brown Clay Silt with occ Gravel.	0.00-0.38m	
3602	Natural. Light Yellow Brown Alluvial Silt with occ Gravel.	0.38m+	
3603	E-W spread of Dark Grey Brown Homog Silts at South end of trench. Root disturbance, poss old hedge line.		
3604	As above.		
3605	Fill of post hole. As above with coke pieces.	0.20x0.08	
3606	Post hole, one of five, straight sides and flat base prob by hand posthole auger.		

TRENCH 37		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
3701	Top soil. Dark Grey Brown Silt .	0.00-0.30m
3702	Natural. Mid Red Brown Alluvial Silts	0.30m+

TRENCH 38		
Context No.	Description	Depth (m)
3801	Top soil. Dark Grey Brown Clay Silt with occ Gravel	0.00-0.30m
3802	Natural. Yellow Brown Clay with occ Gravel.	0.30m+



Site location showing location of trenches as excavated

	C DESERTS DALL SCORE A Centry
2 44	Crem Series
AND MERCHAN	/ Marshall Barshall 357
apte 4 B A204	
24755	
	WORCESTER
A States	
Dines	
Green	
MS/	
Bive	AL R D - Cath
PI PI	
THE SITE	Digit's And States and State
	Cherry Cherry Orchard
per Wick Bor o Wick	
Episcolar IP	
Lower with the second	Mano
	Fm X 1 532 0 500
Ham Hill	pick Bridge
awshill Avenue Fowick Han	
End P16	
E EIIO	and the second sec
	f
Trenches as excavated	
Diantation	
Plantation	
Landscaping areas	
0	100m
0	100m
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	му.
0	му.
0	му.
0	му,



WESSEX ARCHAEOLOGY LIMITED. Head Office: Portway House, Old Sarum Park, Salisbury, Wiltshire SP4 6EB. Tel: 01722 326867 Fax: 01722 337562 info@wessexarch.co.uk www.wessexarch.co.uk London Office: Unit 113, The Chandlery, 50 Westminster Bridge Road, London SE1 7QY. Tel: 020 7953 7494 Fax: 020 7953 7499 london-info@wessexarch.co.uk www.wessexarch.co.uk

