Wessex Archaeology

Race Bank and Docking Shoal Offshore Wind Farms Additional Areas in The Wash

Assessment of Marine Geophysical Data and Archaeological Impact Assessment

Final Report



August 2010

ASSESSMENT OF MARINE GEOPHYSICAL DATA AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

> Prepared by: Wessex Archaeology Portway House Old Sarum Park Salisbury SP4 6EB

For: **AMEC Power and Process Europe** St Matthews House Haugh Lane Hexham Northumberland NE46 3PU

On behalf of: Centrica Renewable Energy Limited Centrica plc Millstream Maidenhead Road Windsor Berkshire SL4 5GD

Report ref.: 62556.04

August 2010

© Wessex Archaeology Limited 2010 Wessex Archaeology Limited is a Registered Charity No.287786

ASSESSMENT OF MARINE GEOPHYSICAL DATA AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Report ref.: 62556.03

Title:	Race Bank and Docking Shoal Offshore Wind
	Farms – Additional Areas in the Wash
Author(s):	Cristina Serra, Paul Baggaley, Victoria Cooper
Managed by:	Caroline Budd
Origination date:	May 2010
Date of last revision:	August 2010
Version:	62556.04
Status:	Final
WA QA:	Euan McNeill
Summary of changes:	
Associated reports:	62550, 62551, 62553, 62554, 62555, 62556.01. 62556.02
Client Approval:	Sue King

ASSESSMENT OF MARINE GEOPHYSICAL DATA AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Report ref.: 62556.04

Summary

Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by AMEC Power and Process Europe, on behalf of Centrica Renewable Energy Limited to undertake an archaeological interpretation of marine geophysical data for the three proposed additional areas of Race Bank and Docking Shoal Offshore Wind Farms, associated with the export cable routes. This report comprises the interpretation of multibeam bathymetry, magnetometer and sidescan sonar data and an archaeological impact assessment covering the additional areas, and is in addition to and informed by the archaeological and impact assessments of the wind farms and cable routes conducted previously.

The results identified a total of 13 sites in **Area 1**, of which one is a probable wreck site of unknown identity which has no corresponding record of loss and two are UKHO wreck losses, for which there is no marine geophysical evidence of their presence or extent. A total of 25 recorded sites were identified in **Area 2** and none represented distinct wrecks or probable wreck material. A total of 80 sites were identified in **Area 3**, of which six represent distinct wreck sites or distinct pieces of probable wreck material.

A large amount of fishing debris and other material of likely modern origin was observed in **Areas 2** and **3**, making the archaeological interpretation of objects of definite anthropogenic origin very difficult. This resulted in the identification of 109 anomalies which are interpreted as not modern but, whose origins remain uncertain. On the basis of the Rochdale Envelope all anomalies are considered to be of archaeological interest. Further evidence via investigation, in areas where impact is proposed, following detailed scheme plans would allow for a more detail interpretation of these sites.

The assessment also identified a possible palaeo-channel within **Area 3**. Buried palaeo-channels are frequently of archaeological interest with respect to the potential survival of prehistoric deposits. Such deposits may yield archaeological material and provide valuable palaeo-environmental data for the reconstruction of past landscapes associated with early human presence.

In order to facilitate the design stages of the development, 50m temporary buffers have been placed around the extent of each known or probable wreck site (WA7038-WA7043). As the assessment of marine geophysical data did not establish the presence and extent of UKHO wreck loss records WA7100 and WA7001, a 100m temporary buffer has been placed on the wreck's previously recorded centre point.

In view of further evidence, no temporary buffers have been proposed for the 109 anomalies of uncertain origin. Until further evidence allows for a more detailed interpretation, these anomalies remain of archaeological interest. These anomalies can be addressed on a case by case basis in revisions to the Written Scheme of Investigation once a detailed development scheme has been agreed. These anomalies should be avoided where practical, however any anomalies which lie in the vicinity of the planned route will need to be investigated further during the design / construction phase. It is expected that these investigations, if required, will be undertaken as part of the pre-lay grapnel run.

ASSESSMENT OF MARINE GEOPHYSICAL DATA AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Report ref.: 62556.04

Acknowledgements

This report was commissioned by AMEC Power and Process Europe Ltd on behalf of Centrica Renewable Energy Limited. Wessex Archaeology would like to thank Sue King for her cooperation during the compilation of this report. Geophysical data was collected and supplied by Emu Ltd Limited and the assistance provided is gratefully acknowledged.

Datasets were provided for the 2006 and 2007 assessments by the National Monuments Record, the UK Hydrographic Office and Norfolk Historic Environment Record. Wessex Archaeology is grateful to the staff of all these organisations for their co-operation.

Cristina Serra processed and interpreted the marine geophysical data and carried out the assessment with quality control provided by Paul Baggaley. The impact assessment was carried out by Victoria Cooper. Kitty Brandon prepared the illustrations. The project was managed for Wessex Archaeology by Caroline Budd and quality assurance was carried out by Euan McNeill.

Data Usage and Copyright

Details of maritime sites were obtained from the National Monuments Record. The information supplied is © Crown copyright or © English Heritage copyright.

The digital use of Chart 108 and Chart 12000 was made under licence (ref. HO 820/020220/11). The following notice applies:

This product has been derived, in part, from Crown Copyright Material with the permission of the UK Hydrographic Office and the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office

(<u>www.ukho.gov.uk</u>) All rights reserved. NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION.

WARNING: The UK Hydrographic Office has not verified the information within this product and does not accept liability for the accuracy of reproduction or any modifications made thereafter.

ASSESSMENT OF MARINE GEOPHYSICAL DATA AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Report ref.: 62556.04

Contents

1 1.1 1.2 1.3	INTRODUCTION PROJECT BACKGROUND STUDY AREAS AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	1 1 1 3
2 2.1 2.2 2.3	DATUMS VERTICAL DATUM HORIZONTAL DATUM SEABED GEOLOGY	3 3 3 4
3 3.1		5
3.2	MARINE GEOPHYSICAL DATA ACQUISITION, PROCESSING AND INTERPRETATION Magnetometer Data	5 6 7
3.3	IMPACT ASSESSMENT	7
4	TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS AND DATA AUDIT	8
5 5.2 5.3	RESULTS	9 10 10 10 10
5.4	Background Known and Probable Wreck Sites Anomalies of Archaeological Interest	12 12 12
5.5	RESULTS FOR STUDY AREA 3	13 14 15
6 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	IMPACT ASSESSMENT. ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE. IMPACT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SIGNIFICANCE OF EFFECTS. SIGNIFICANCE OF EFFECTS. PROPOSED MITIGATION CUMULATIVE IMPACT ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	16 18 20 21 23 25

7	REFERENCES	27
APPI	ENDIX I: GAZETTEER OF DOCUMENTED LOSSES	29
APPI ANO	ENDIX II: GAZETTEER OF KNOWN SITES AND MARINE GEOPHYSICAL MALIES	31

Tables:

Table 1: Area 1 Coordinates	2
Table 2: Area 2 Coordinates	3
Table 3: Area 3 Coordinates	3
Table 4: Data Quality Rating Criteria for Archaeological Purposes	8
Table 5: Summary of Sites in Area 1	10
Table 6: Summary of Sites in Area 2	12
Table 7: Summary of Sites in Area 3	14

Figures:

Figure 1: Wash Cable Corridor and Additional Areas

Figure 2: Channels Identified in Area 3

Figure 3: Known Maritime Sites and Marine Geophysical Anomalies in Area 1

Figure 4: Example of Sidescan Sonar and Multibeam Bathymetry in Area 1

Figure 5: Known Maritime Sites and Marine Geophysical Anomalies in Area 2

Figure 6: Example of Sidescan Sonar and Multibeam Bathymetry in Area 2

Figure 7: Known Maritime Sites and Marine Geophysical Anomalies in Area 3

Figure 8: Examples of Sidescan Sonar, Magnetometer and Multibeam Bathymetry in Area 3

ASSESSMENT OF MARINE GEOPHYSICAL DATA AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Report ref.: 62556.04

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

- 1.1.1 Wessex Archaeology (WA) was commissioned by AMEC Power and Process Europe, on behalf of Centrica Renewable Energy Limited to prepare an archaeological assessment of additional marine geophysical data, acquired by Emu Ltd, covering three additional areas situated along the Wash cable corridor, and an impact assessment based on these findings. This is in addition to and informed by the previous work conducted in relation to the wind farms and their cable routes.
- 1.1.2 The Wash cable corridor leads to Docking Shoal and Race Bank offshore wind farms situated approximately 14km and 27km respectively off the north Norfolk coast (**Figure 1**). WA has previously undertaken archaeological assessments for proposed wind farms off the coast of Norfolk and Lincolnshire and their associated cable routes situated in The Wash (WA 2002a-b, a-c, 2007a-b, 2008a). These assessments highlighted known and potential archaeological sites within large study areas (**Figure 1**) encompassing the three Wash cable corridor additional areas.
- 1.1.3 This report has been produced to combine reports 62556.01, an archaeological assessment of marine geophysical data acquired by Emu Ltd. for the Wash cable route additional areas, and addendum 62556.02 comprising an impact assessment covering the additional areas.

1.2 Study Areas

1.2.1 The three additional areas of proposed development, **Areas 1, 2** and **3**, are attached to the proposed Wash cable route at approximately 40km, 20km and 3km respectively from shore. **Area 1** consist of an area of seafloor of 6 km², **Area 2** of 15.2 km² and **Area 3** of 6.7 km² (below and **Figure 1**)



1.2.2 The footprint for each of the three Study Areas is defined by the following coordinates:

Table 1: Area	1 Coordinates in	WGS84 datum, UT	Г М 31N
---------------	------------------	-----------------	----------------

Area 1	Area 6 km ²
Node A	340577E, 5890786N
Node B	337194E, 5887985N
Node C	334652E, 5888220N
Node D	337603E, 5890207N

Table 2: Area	2 (Coordinates	in	WGS84	datum,	UTM31N
---------------	-----	-------------	----	--------------	--------	--------

Area2	Area 15.2 km ²		
Node A	325623E, 5872049N	Node F	327154E, 5878194N
Node B	324763E, 5871724N	Node G	329121E, 5880453N
Node C	322202E, 5872392N	Node H	329587E, 5880674N
Node D	322435E, 5872765N	Node I	328225E, 5878383N
Node E	325014E, 5874565N	Node J	328034E, 5876632N

Table 3: Area 3 Coordinates in WGS84 datum, UTM31N

Area 3	Area 6.7 km2
Node A	315990E, 5861897N
Node B	314308E, 5858507N
Node C	313568E, 5858514N
Node D	314492E, 5862128N
Node F	315263E, 5863417N
Node G	317398E, 5863429N

1.2.3 A buffer of 500m has been placed around each of the three Study Areas in order to address sites situated on the edge of the proposed areas of development and those anomalies identified in the complete marine geophysical datasets, whose extents may encroach into the area defined by the development (**Figures 1, 3, 5, 7**).

1.3 Aims and Objectives

- 1.3.1 This assessment details the known archaeological resource situated within the three Study Areas as identified during previous assessments and during the assessment of marine geophysical data acquired for this project.
- 1.3.2 It was outside the scope of this report to produce new searches updating the known maritime records or to propose measures of mitigation.

2 DATUMS

2.1 Vertical Datum

2.1.1 The vertical datum was Chart Datum at Skegness, 3.5m below Ordnance Datum Newlyn.

2.2 Horizontal Datum

- 2.2.1 The data was acquired by Emu Ltd in WGS84 datum and UTM31N projection with a Hemisphere Crescent DGPS system providing an accuracy of 2-3m. During the **Area 1** and **Area 2** surveys the sidescan sonar fish was accurately positioned using an Ultra Short Baseline (USBL) system providing 1m accuracy. Due to the shallow water depths of **Area 3**, the USBL was not used but the cable-out layback was applied to the data during acquisition. This provided less than 10m accuracy. The magnetometer cable-out layback was applied to the digital data during acquisition for all three areas.
- 2.2.2 The assessment of marine geophysical data has been undertaken in WGS84 UTM31N and the results have been presented in **Appendix I** accordingly.

2.3 Seabed Geology

- 2.3.1 The geology in **Area 1** comprises Upper Cretaceous Chalk overlain by Bolders Bank Formation deposited in the late Pleistocene (BGS 1991b). The Bolders Bank Formation is a Devensian Till consisting of sandy clay and gravel. According to Emu Ltd technical report, minimal Holocene sediments, predominantly sands and some sandy gravel, cover **Area 1**. Large sandwaves and areas of sand ripples have been digitised and illustrated in **Figure 3**.
- 2.3.2 The geology in **Area 2** comprises Lower Cretaceous bedrock, overlain by Swarte Bank Formation which, on the south-eastern part of the Study Area, is overlain by Bolders Bank Formation (Emu Ltd. 2009 and BGS 1991a and 1991b). A thin veneer of Holocene sand covers most of the Study Area with the exception of the eastern portion where large sandwaves are present. Large sandwaves and areas of sand ripples have been digitised and illustrated in **Figure 5**.
- 2.3.3 The Emu Ltd. (2009) geophysical report identified a number of channels incised into the bedrock. Buried palaeo-channels are frequently of archaeological interest with respect to the potential survival of prehistoric deposits. Such deposits may yield archaeological material and provide valuable palaeo-environmental data for the reconstruction of past landscapes associated with early human presence.
- 2.3.4 The geology in **Area 3** comprises Jurassic bedrock overlain by possible Swarte Bank Formation or Lowestoft Till and covered with Holocene alluvium consisting of marine and estuarine sands, silts, clays and peats (Emu Ltd. 2009). Peat and other organic deposits are of significant archaeological interest as key indicators of the palaeo-environments and former sea level variations which influenced patterns of early human settlement. Emu Ltd. reported several areas of acoustic blanking which may be associated with the decay of organic-rich deposits, although they could also indicate deep gas deposits. A veneer of Holocene sands covers the area in varying thicknesses (Emu Ltd 2009).
- 2.3.5 A buried meandering channel situated on the north-western part of the Study Area was identified during WA assessment of magnetometer data (**Figure 2**). Two additional channels separated by the Whiting Shoal plateau were observed in the bathymetry data. The central and deepest one is the Old Lynn Channel. They are both situated in the north-eastern part of the **Area 3** study area and are orientated north-south. The plateau dries up to 1.52m above CD (Emu Ltd. 2009) and is likely to have the cause of vessel wrecking. Two smaller channels were also observed orientated southwest-northeast to join the Old Lynn Channel (**Figure 2**).
- 2.3.6 The channels observed by Emu Ltd. and WA in the marine geophysical data were compared to information provided by Amec regarding Wisbech Channel variation. This briefing note was based on historic Admiralty Charts and a report from the mid 1970s which considered changes in the Nene outflow and the Great Ouse. The data was compared to the magnetometer assessment revealing correlation between many of identified channels and historic channel movement.
- 2.3.7 However, the meandering palaeo-channel in **Area 2** does not correlate to charted historic channel movements. The sinuous nature of this channel does match the tendencies of other 19th and 20th century channels illustrated in the briefing note but the evidence suggests that this channel either pre dates 1843 or that it was never recorded. Therefore, without further evidence it is not possible to establish the channel origin and date.

- 2.3.8 Three distinct areas of sandy deposits were observed in the bathymetry data. Sandwaves and sand ripples are most common on the western portion of the Study Area where the two western channels lie. A thin strip of sandy ripples is observed along the eastern flank of the Old Lynn Channel. A plateau of sandwaves is observed to the east of the eastern most channel. Sandwaves are generally 0.5-2m and may be big enough to bury archaeological remains. Large sandwaves and areas of sand ripples have been digitised and illustrated in **Figure 7**.
- 2.3.9 The presence of sandwaves in general has implications for the survival and condition of archaeological sites as well as the identification of their presence. The presence of sandwaves indicates that the environment is mobile, with archaeological sites possibly subject to cycles of exposure and burial which will make them vulnerable to deterioration. Additionally, sandwaves may be extensive enough to cover archaeological sites making their detection problematic.

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Approach

- 3.1.1 The methodology reflects best practice in carrying out archaeological desk-based assessments, as codified by the Institute for Archaeologists (IfA) Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Desk-based Assessment (IfA 2008), the JNAPC Code of Practice for Seabed Developers (JNAPC 2006) and the COWRIE Guidance Notes (COWRIE 2007, 2008).
- 3.1.2 The approach also reflects the requirements of Environmental Assessment arising from European Council Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by Directive 97/11/EC and Directive 2003/35/EC.
- 3.1.3 All records of known maritime sites, including wrecks and obstructions and casualties, and marine geophysical anomalies identified by WA during previous archaeological assessments and falling within the three Study Areas (Areas 1-3), have been used to aid the interpretation of the 2009 marine geophysical data acquired by Emu Ltd. It was outside the scope of this report to produce new desk-based search and update the maritime records falling within the Study Areas.
- 3.1.4 The principal sources consulted by WA in the 2006 and 2007 assessments for Docking Shoal and the Wash cable corridor and relevant to this report are as follows:
 - Records of wrecks and obstructions collated by the UK Hydrographic Office (UKHO) and obtained from Metoc plc as Seazone data;
 - Records of known wrecks and documented losses held in the maritime section of the National Monuments Record (NMR);
- 3.1.5 All known sites and marine geophysical anomalies were overlaid and assessed in ArcMap9 Geographical Information System (GIS).

3.2 Marine Geophysical Data Acquisition, Processing and Interpretation

3.2.1 WA was commissioned to assess the marine geophysical data acquired for the three additional cable route areas in the Wash. All the anomalies identified were cross-referenced in ArcMap9 GIS to establish an anthropogenic origin and assess their archaeological potential. All maritime records and anomalies associated with

one single object or forming an extended patch of material were grouped into sites and their details listed in a gazetteer in **Appendix I** and illustrated in **Figures 3-8**.

Sidescan Sonar Data

- 3.2.2 Emu Ltd. acquired sidescan sonar data with an Edgetech 4200 dual frequency sidescan sonar. The sidescan sonar data covers all three Study Areas in full with high (400kHz) and low (120kHz) frequencies, at a 100m range and a varying line spacing to suit the water depth. The data were recorded in *jsf* fomat and instrument layback correction was corrected during acquisition making use of a USBL within **Areas 1** and **2** and by manual logging for **Area 3**. WA did not alter this during the processing and interpretation of the dataset. The *jsf* files were converted to *cod* format by WA.
- 3.2.3 The sidescan sonar data was processed by WA using Coda Geosurvey software. This allowed the data to be replayed with various gain settings in order to optimise the quality of the images. The data were initially scanned to gain an understanding of the geological nature of the area and were then interpreted for any objects of possible anthropogenic origin and the position and dimensions of any such objects recorded.
- 3.2.4 An anomaly is defined as something which differs from the surrounding seabed and which has characteristics which suggest that it is of anthropogenic origin and of archaeological interest, as opposed to modern debris.
- 3.2.5 The form, size and/or extent of an anomaly acts as a guide to its nature. A single small but prominent anomaly may be part of a much more extensive feature that is largely buried. Similarly, a scatter of minor anomalies may define the edges of a buried but intact feature, or it may be all that remains as a result of past impacts from, for example, dredging or fishing. Anomalies that are not upstanding and are highly degraded, particularly those made of wood, can be difficult to identify even with data acquired at high frequency.

Magnetometer Data

- 3.2.6 Emu Ltd. acquired the magnetometer data with a Geometrics G882 caesium magnetometer. The magnetometer towfish cable-out was logged during acquisition. This varied between 50m and 80m. WA did not alter this during the processing and interpretation of the dataset. The data were made available to WA staff in digital format in three separate xyz files.
- 3.2.7 The magnetic data was processed to give an xyz file comprising grid co-ordinates (x,y) and total magnetic field strength (z). Each line of data was then processed to remove the regional magnetic field and also any large diurnal effects, which may have masked small magnetic anomalies of interest to this survey. The data was then gridded to produce a contour map of the survey area and plotted with the magnetic field strength values represented by graded colour bands to show changes in the magnetic field strength.
- 3.2.8 The magnetic anomalies were then assessed and the position and magnitude of all anomalies with a magnetic amplitude of 5nT or more were recorded as anomalies of possible archaeological interest. The magnitude of the anomalies is not proportional to their archaeological potential. The results of the magnetometer data assessment were cross-referenced to the anomalies identified in the sidescan sonar and multibeam bathymetry data in order to discriminate anomalies of modern origin.

Multibeam Bathymetry

- 3.2.9 Emu Ltd. acquired multibeam bathymetry with Reson Seabat 8101 240kHz head and Coda Octopus F180 precision attitude and positioning system. The data was tidally reduced by Emu Ltd. through the collection of Post Processed Kinematic (PPK) GPS data on board the survey vessel. The data were made available to WA staff in digital format in three separate xyz files gridded to 2m cell size.
- 3.2.10 The data was made into a surface by WA staff using IVS Fledermaus software and used to identify the larger, upstanding features observed on the sidescan sonar data as well as mapping the presence of mobile sediments such as sandwaves in which archaeological remains may be buried.

3.3 Impact Assessment

- 3.3.1 The methodology for this assessment takes account of guidelines set out in Historic Environment Guidance for the Offshore Renewable Energy Sector (COWRIE 2007) and Guidance for Assessment of Cumulative Impacts on the Historic Environment from Offshore Renewable Energy (COWRIE 2008).
- 3.3.2 There is a specific requirement to address the historic environment as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process. The requirements of EIA are defined in European Council Directives on Environmental Assessment 85/3378/EEC (amended in 1997 by Directive 97/11/EC).
- 3.3.3 The guiding principles for cultural heritage in EIA are presented in the COWRIE Guidance (2007: 28) and require the EIA to:
 - Assess all beneficial and adverse impacts on cultural heritage, including direct, indirect, temporary, permanent and cumulative effects.
 - Evaluate the significance of any impacts on the cultural heritage resource to take account of both the intrinsic value of the resource and how much it will be changed.
 - Use relevant international, national and local legislation and policy to explain the significance, and make explicit the basis for any statements concerning value or importance.
 - Consider a variety of approaches to mitigation, including design modification, appropriate investigation and recording measures.
 - Propose realistically achievable mitigation measures and fully monitor and document any agreed actions, including responsibility for their implementation.
- 3.3.4 With respect to these principles the impact of the development will be discussed with reference to the following:
 - Archaeological importance
 - Impact of proposed development
 - Significance of effects
 - Proposed mitigation

3.3.5 This report will also consider the cumulative impact of wind farms and aggregate extraction areas in close proximity to the additional areas in The Wash.

4 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS AND DATA AUDIT

4.1.1 WA was commissioned to audit and interpret the sidescan sonar, magnetometer, and multibeam bathymetry data acquired over the three Study Areas. The data audit concluded that the data was of average quality for archaeological purposes as detailed in **Table 4** below:

Table 4: Data Quality Rating Criteria for Archaeological Purposes

Good	Data which is clear and unaffected by weather conditions or sea state. The dataset is suitable for the interpretation of standing and partially buried metal wrecks and their character and associated debris field. These datasets also provide the highest chance of identifying wooden wrecks and debris.
Average	Data which is affected by weather conditions and sea state to a slight or moderate degree. The dataset is suitable for the identification and partial interpretation of standing and partially buried metal wrecks, and the larger elements of their debris fields. Wooden wrecks may be visible in these datasets, but their identification as such is likely to be difficult.
Variable	This category contains datasets with the quality of individual lines ranging from good or average to below average. The dataset is suitable for the identification of standing and some partially buried metal wrecks. Detailed interpretation of the wrecks and debris field is likely to be problematic. Wooden wrecks are unlikely to be identified.

4.1.2 The marine geophysical surveys for the Wash Additional Cable Route Areas 1 and 2 were undertaken by Emu Ltd. on board of the *RV Discovery* between 18th and 23rd May 2009. Area 3 was surveyed on board of the *Emu Surveyor* between 21st and 30th April 2009.

Bathymetry

- 4.1.3 The quality of bathymetry data was satisfactory as a datum for the other geophysical datasets but, due to the difficulties of surveying in shallow water, was not of sufficient resolution for the identification of isolated anomalies.
- 4.1.4 The acquisition of multibeam bathymetry data over **Area 3** was at 25m line spacing. The data quality was assessed as average as some small patches of seafloor had not been ensonified. This may be a result of navigation problems (as reported by Emu Ltd to WA by e-mail) caused by the very shallow water depths in the area.

Sidescan Sonar

4.1.5 The sidescan sonar data was acquired using both low and high frequency at a 100m range. The quality of the sidescan sonar data was average for archaeological purposes (**Table 4**), particularly in respect to the quality of ensonification. The high frequency did not always ensonify the sidescan sonar range in full, thus reducing the effective seafloor coverage. The quality of the data was also, at times, insufficient for a positive distinction between modern and archaeological material. For these two reasons WA reviewed both the low and high frequency datasets.

- 4.1.6 The survey line spacing varied according to the water depths shown on the Admiralty charts. Line spacing in **Area 1** varied between 50m, 75m and 100m, for the three datasets. The majority of sidescan sonar data was acquired at 50m line spacing. This line spacing together with a 100m range resulted in a total seabed overlap of 200%. This level of ensonification enhances the probability for the detection of objects and sites lying on the seafloor, and allows for more accurate positioning of objects identified from more than one survey line.
- 4.1.7 The sidescan sonar line spacing in **Area 2** was 100m. This line spacing together with a 100m range resulted in a total seabed overlap of 100%. This level of ensonification would not maximise the probability of detecting objects and sites lying on the seafloor, and does not allow for accurate positioning of objects identified from more than one survey line.
- 4.1.8 The sidescan sonar data in **Area 3** was acquired at 50m line spacing. This line spacing together with a 100m range resulted in a total seafloor overlap of 200%. This level of ensonification enhances the probability for the detection of objects and sites lying on the seafloor, and allows for more accurate positioning of objects identified from more than one survey line.

Magnetometry

- 4.1.9 Magnetometer line spacing ranged between 70-150m, increasing towards the east to within only three lines in 500m. This line spacing would not allow for the detection of sites of medium/low ferrous content.
- 4.1.10 The magnetometer data quality was considered as average for archaeological purposes with respects to seafloor coverage and data quality (**Table 4**). The magnetometer data were generally good, but some lines were affected by excessive noise. The magnetometer data were acquired at line spacings between 70-100m. Any objects of low ferrous content lying in between survey lines would not have been detected. In addition to this, the northern section of **Area 2**, approximately 1.2Km, was not surveyed with the magnetometer. This was verified by Emu Ltd via e-mail correspondence.
- 4.1.11 The magnetometer data acquired in **Area 3** was considered of good quality for archaeological purposes with regards to seafloor coverage but average overall as some lines were affected by excessive noise. The magnetometer data was acquired at line spacings of between 20-50m. Any objects of low ferrous content lying in between survey lines had a higher probability of detection. This is reflected in the results with the largest number of magnetometer anomalies identified in this area. Lines affected by noise would not have facilitated the detection of objects of low ferrous content.

5 RESULTS

- 5.1.1 The assessment of marine geophysical data was undertaken in conjunction with the records of wreck losses provided by the UKHO and NMR for WA's 2006 Desk-Based Assessment (WA 2006a) and the Admiralty Charts 108 and 1200. The assessment revealed a total of 118 sites and anomalies plus 23 documented losses. A list of sites and geophysical anomalies lying within the three Study Areas are presented in **Appendix II** and illustrated in **Figures 2-8**.
- 5.1.2 The anomalies identified during the 2007 assessment of marine geophysical data for the Wash cable corridor (WA 2007b) and partially covering each of the Study Areas,

were compared during this assessment but have not been listed or illustrated in this report.

5.2 Documented Losses

- 5.2.1 A total of 23 documented losses were provided by the NMR (**WA2000-WA2022**). These are listed in **Appendix I** and their position illustrated in **Figure 5**. Documented Losses refer to records of vessels lost in an area for which the exact position and physical state of preservation (if any) is unknown. Documented losses are referred to by the NMR as "Named Locations".
- 5.2.2 All 23 sites are recorded at the same Named Location which falls within **Area 2**, but since this is only an approximation, and these are often erroneous by miles, the 23 sites may or may not found within the Study Area. Sites such as these need to be assessed on an individual basis if they are to be subject to impact via the development scheme. It is expected that, in cases where avoidance is not practical, further survey work which could be carried out as part of the design/construction phases (for example via the pre-lay grapnel run) once the final cable route is decided, will provide data that will aid in more definitively determining the archaeological potential of these sites. The results of these investigations will inform any decisions with regards to mitigation, if deemed applicable.

5.3 Results for Study Area 1

Background

- 5.3.1 The assessment of the multibeam bathymetry data within **Area 1** indicated a water depth between 4.2m and 30.3m below Chart Datum (CD). In conjunction with the sidescan sonar the data also revealed a series of sandwaves (2-8m high) that may affect the identification of archaeological material. These were observed orientated northwest-southeast and covering the central portion of the Study Area but most prominently occurring in the southwest corner as illustrated in **Figure 3**. The second area of large sandwaves is observed on the north-eastern corner, just outside the footprint of the proposed area of development.
- 5.3.2 No features of archaeological interest were noticeable on the multibeam bathymetry or identified in the magnetometer data. A total of 13 sites and anomalies were identified within **Area 1** (**WA7000-WA7012**), three of which are known and probable wreck sites and ten are anomalies and group of anomalies anthropogenic origin and possible archaeological interest. All but **WA7000** lie inside the direct footprint of **Area 1**. These are listed in **Appendix II** and illustrated in **Figures 3** and **4**.

Area 1	Known Sites	Bright reflectors	Dark reflectors	Debris	Seafloor Disturbance	Magnetic only
Total: 13	3	1	4	4	1	0

Table 5: Summary of Sites in Area 1

Known and Probable Wreck Sites

5.3.3 A total of three wrecks sites were identified within **Area 1** Study Area. These are two UKHO records and a wreck identified in the sidescan sonar data (**Appendix II and Figures 3 and 4**).

- 5.3.4 According to the wreck data obtained in 2006, there are two UKHO recorded wreck losses (**WA7000-1**) for which no geophysical anomalies have been identified in the datasets. **WA7000** is a live record of a wreck believed to be a steamboat of approximately 100 years old and **WA7001** is a wreck of unknown origin and identity, located in an area of mobile seabed.
- The third wreck site (WA7002) was initially identified in the sidescan sonar data 5.3.5 Details of this wreck are listed in **Appendix II** and illustrated in **Figures 3** and **4**. WA7002 was interpreted as a likely wreck orientated southwest-northeast that appears partially exposed and measures 31m x 7.2m x 0.3m. The exposed section is characterised by two parallel edges, presumably the sides of the hull and angular internal detail. Two discrete parallel linear bright reflectors, continue south-westerly suggesting the wreck extends south-westerly under the sand. The site was only distinctively identified in the sidescan sonar data, whilst the multibeam bathymetry revealed a discrete wreck shape feature resting at the base of a large sandwave. The magnetometer survey lines at this point are 300m apart. With the closest survey line at 100m, the magnetometer may have been too far away to identify the anomaly. WA7002 was identified in an area where numerous anomalies were identified during the 2007 assessment of the Wash cable corridor marine geophysical data (WA 2007b). Since the site lies approximately 420m northeast of UKHO record WA7001, these two sites may be associated or could represent two separate wreck sites.
- 5.3.6 **WA7001** and **WA7002** are situated in an area of mobile sediments and large sandwaves, and they are thus likely over time to become buried and re-exposed.

Anomalies of Archaeological Interest

- 5.3.7 A total of ten anomalies or groups of anomalies were identified as objects of anthropogenic origin and archaeological interest (WA7003-WA7012). These include one bright reflector (WA7003), four dark reflectors (WA7004-7), four pieces of debris (WA7008-11) and one seafloor disturbance (WA7012) as summarised above in Table 5.
- 5.3.8 **WA7003** is a patch of bright reflectors situated by a large sandwave on the southeastern edge of the Study Area. The largest of which measure 15.5m x 6.7m and 8.4m x 5.2m. One other bright reflector (**WA6345**) of uncertain origin was identified 25m north of **WA7003** during the 2007 assessment of marine geophysical data. **WA6345** measured 5.7m x 2.4m (**Figure 3**).
- 5.3.9 WA7004-7 and WA7012 are four dark reflectors and a seafloor disturbance (Figure 3) identified in an area where other anomalies were reported in the 2007 assessment of marine geophysical data for the Wash cable corridor (WA 2007b). There is no correlation between the individual anomalies from the two assessments other than that they all fall in the same south-eastern part of the Study Area.
- 5.3.10 **WA7008-11** are isolated pieces of debris of unknown origin (**Figure 3**). They have been identified as man-made objects of possible archaeological interest. **WA7010** was identified as a group of three objects, two of which were distinct pieces of elongated debris measuring 7.2m x 2.7m and 8.5m x 2.8m and lying approximately 6m apart. The third object lies 30m to the north and measures 6.1m x 1.2m.

5.4 Results for Study Area 2

Background

- 5.4.1 The assessment of the multibeam bathymetry data within **Area 2** indicated a water depth between 12.2m and 47.7m below Chart Datum (CD). The greatest depth corresponds to a deep channel, Well Deep, located towards the north-western corner of the Study Area. The bathymetry and sidescan sonar data also revealed a series of sandwaves (1.3-6m) orientated approximately southeast-northwest and restricted to a northeast-southwest strip on the eastern edge of the Study Area. Their size diminishes to sand ripples towards the south-eastern corner of the Study Area. Their size diminishes to sand ripples towards the south-eastern corner of the Study Area.
- 5.4.2 No features of archaeological interest were identified in the multibeam bathymetry data in isolation; however, the sidescan sonar data revealed numerous sections of fishing gear and associated debris, most notably on the eastern side of the Study Area. The process of discrimination between modern and archaeological anomalies was particular difficult in this area due to the spread and quantity of modern material. Every effort was made to exclude all material believed to be modern debris. The sites and anomalies listed in **Appendix II** are believed to be possible or probable remains of archaeological interest.
- 5.4.3 A total of 25 anomalies and groups of anomalies were identified within Area 2 (WA7013-WA7037). They were all identified as objects of likely anthropogenic origin and archaeological interest. All but two (WA7014 and WA7017) lie inside Area 2's direct footprint. These are listed in Appendix II and illustrated in Figures 5 and 6.

Area 2	Known Sites	Dark reflectors	Debris	Mound	Seafloor Disturbance	Magnetic only
Total: 25	0	11	5	1	3	5

Table 6: Summary of Sites in Area 2

5.4.4 The UKHO and NMR searches undertaken in 2006 by WA resulted in a total of 23 Documented Losses. Although the approximate position given by the NMR falls within **Area 2**, as illustrated in **Figure 5**, their location cannot be confirmed. Any of these may or may not be within each of the Study Areas. The 23 records include eight WWII aircraft, which would be automatically protected under the Protection of Military Remains Act (1986); two 18th century vessels and 13 early 19th century vessels as detailed in **Appendix I**.

Known and Probable Wreck Sites

5.4.5 No wreck sites or objects of distinctively archaeological origin were identified within **Area 2.**

Anomalies of Archaeological Interest

5.4.6 A total of 25 anomalies or groups of anomalies were identified as objects of likely anthropogenic origin and archaeological interest (WA7013-WA7037). These include 20 anomalies identified in the sidescan sonar data alone and five magnetometer anomalies for which there is no visual evidence on the sidescan or multibeam bathymetry datasets. The sidescan sonar anomalies consists of 11 dark reflectors, five pieces of debris, one mound and three seafloor disturbances as summarised in **Table 6** above. These are listed in **Appendix II** and illustrated on **Figures 5** and **6**.

- 5.4.7 All but six sidescan sonar anomalies (WA7014, WA7016-7, WA7019, WA7027 and WA7037) are situated along the area of sandwaves dominating the eastern part of the Study Area. This is also an area characterised by a large amount of fishing gear and other modern debris. The loss of fishing gear on the seafloor is indicative of the presence of seafloor obstructions, some of which potentially could be archaeological remains. These anomalies may also represent modern debris of no archaeological interest. The origin of these anomalies cannot be clarified until further evidence is available.
- 5.4.8 There are three sites amongst the 25 which may be more significant due to their size and distinct detail. These are **WA7016**, **WA7026** and **WA7029**. These are detailed in Appendix II and illustrated on **Figures 5** and **6**.
- 5.4.9 **WA7016** (**Figure 5**) is a distinct patch of material identified in a flat featureless seafloor. The patch is formed by three distinct dark reflectors, probably forming part of the same structure. All together they measure 24.6m x 7m.
- 5.4.10 **WA7026** is a patch of debris situated amongst sandwaves and is associated with a distinct linear feature interpreted as a cable/rope associated with fishing gear. **WA7026** measures 77m x 16.4m 0.3m and its origin cannot be established with the available data. The cable/rope appears to go through the site, suggesting **WA7026** was already on the seafloor and the cable/rope snagged on it. However, it is also possible that **WA7026** is part of the fishing gear or other modern debris. **WA7026** is illustrated on **Figures 5** and **6**
- 5.4.11 **WA7029** is an oval shaped mound resembling that of a partially buried shipwreck. Given that it is surrounded by material of modern origin it may represent a partially buried portion of cable or fishing gear. The site is situated amongst sandwaves and appears to be producing some scouring. **WA7029** measures 21.3m x 5.5m x 0.3m (**Figure 5**).
- 5.4.12 A total of five anomalies were identified in the magnetometer data alone (WA7033-WA7037). These were identified in a dense area of linear debris associated with fishing gear. They are likely to represent modern debris but without conclusive sidescan sonar evidence, it remains possible that these anomalies may represent material of archaeological interest. These anomalies have been illustrated on Figure 5.

5.5 Results for Study Area 3

Background

- 5.5.1 The assessment of the multibeam bathymetry data within **Area 3** indicated a water depth between 3.5m and 16m below Chart Datum (CD). It revealed the old Lynn channels orientated north-south, along with a second set of channels, probably a current or historic channel of the Nene, trending northeast southwest. Some isolated patches of sandwaves on the eastern and western edges of the study area, and most predominant areas of sand ripples on the western side of the study area, were also identified (**Figure 7**).
- 5.5.2 Additionally, a series of small, sinuous, buried palaeochannels were identified on the magnetometer data in the northwest of the study area (**Figure 7**). Both of these sets of channels are of potential archaeological interest: The historic channels for artefactual information (e.g. small buried wrecks in partially silted up sections of channel), and the buried palaeochannels for both artefactual and palaeoenvironmental information.

- 5.5.3 The process of discrimination between modern and archaeological was also difficult in this area. Every effort has been made to exclude all material believed to be modern debris. The sites and anomalies listed in **Appendix II** are believed to be possible or probable remains of archaeological interest.
- 5.5.4 No features of archaeological interest were identifiable on the multibeam bathymetry alone but the assessment of all datasets identified a total of 80 sites and isolated anomalies within Area 3 (WA7038-WA7117). There are six known or probable wreck sites (WA7038-WA7043) and 74 anomalies or groups of anomalies of anthropogenic origin and archaeological interest (WA7044-WA7117). All but five anomalies (WA7059, WA7074, WA7083, WA7115, and WA7116) lie inside Area 3's direct footprint. These are listed in Appendix II and illustrated in Figures 7.

Table 7: Summary of Sites in Area 3

Area 3	Known Sites	Dark reflectors	Debris	Seafloor Disturbance	Magnetic only
Total: 80	6	15	6	6	47

5.5.5 The **Area 3** sidescan sonar data revealed numerous sections of fishing gear and associated debris which, to a lesser extent than **Area 2**, obstructed the process of discrimination between modern and archaeological anomalies. The loss of fishing gear on the seafloor is indicative of the presence of seafloor obstructions, some of which potentially could be archaeological remains. Every effort was made to exclude all material believed to be modern debris.

Known and Probable Wreck Sites

- 5.5.6 A total of six sites were identified within Area 3. All but one, WA7039, are known or probable wreck sites (WA7038-WA7043). These are detailed in Appendix II and illustrated in Figures 7 and 8.
- 5.5.7 **WA7039** (Figure 8) is a known patch of debris measuring 92m x 80m x 0.7m which has a magnetic amplitude of 23nT. The find correlates with an UKHO record of dumped stones, the largest of which measures 5.5m x 1.6m x 0.4m. This feature is likely to be a ballast mound.
- 5.5.8 **WA7038** (Figure 8) is a wreck orientated east-west with a large patch of associated debris on its southern side. The site is recorded by the UKHO as a wreck of unknown identity. The wreck measures 21m x 7m x 1.5m and has a magnetic value of 19.36nT. The debris field extents for 24m to the south.
- 5.5.9 **WA7040** was identified as a group of angular structures that appear detached or partially buried. There are two main fragments, the largest measuring 5m x 4m with a distinct magnetometer anomaly situated approximately 100m southeast. The site extends east-west for at least 24.7m x 15.8m into an area of small sand ripples.
- 5.5.10 **WA7041** lies approximately 200m east of **WA7038** and has been identified as a series of parallel and angular dark reflectors orientated east-west suggesting the remains of a wreck measuring 11.3m x 3.7m. The site was identified in the magnetometer data and has a magnetic amplitude of 57nT.

- 5.5.11 **WA7042** is a probable wreck site with pieces of debris in close proximity (30m and 80m to the south) and magnetometer anomalies within 140m to the north. The site measures 37.4m x 8m and has a magnetic value of 11.24nT. The site is situated on the western plateau in a similar orientation to the surrounding sandwaves, whose heights range between 0.1-0.3m.
- 5.5.12 **WA7043** is an oval shaped dark reflector orientated east-west and measuring 10.4m x 6.3m. Situated in an area of featureless seafloor the site is likely to represent the remains of a wreck. Despite the narrow line spacing, the magnetometer did not reveal any anomaly for this site. Three isolated magnetometer anomalies lie within 135 m radius of the wreck site.

Anomalies of Archaeological Interest

- 5.5.13 A total of 74 anomalies and groups of anomalies were identified as objects of likely anthropogenic origin and archaeological interest situated within Area 3 Study Area (WA7044-WA7117). All but five anomalies (WA7059, WA7074, WA7083 and WA7115-6) lie inside Area 3's direct footprint. There are a total of 15 dark reflectors, five pieces or patches of debris, six areas of seafloor disturbance and 47 magnetometer anomalies as summarised above in Table 7, detailed in Appendix II and illustrated in Figure 7.
- 5.5.14 There are a total of 15 dark reflectors, three of which are most notable for their size or character. These are anomalies **WA7044**, **WA7045** and **WA7046**. **WA7044** and **WA7045** are likely to be associated with each other and form part of a possible wreck site. The area around these anomalies is characterised by surfacing linear outcrops. **WA7044** and **WA7045** may represent a possible wreck or a geological formation. The **WA7044** site measures 21m x 4m consisting of three objects arranged in a line approximately 10m apart and orientated east-west. Individual measurements for the objects are 4m x 3.4m; 4.9m x4.2m and 7.7m x 3.9m. **WA7045** is the fourth anomaly associated with **WA7044**. It has been identified approximately 55m to the south and measures 7.8m x 3.6m.
- 5.5.15 **WA7046** (**Figure 8**) is a distinct dark reflector resembling a patch of material and a possible wreck site situated in a featureless seafloor. **WA7046** measures 29.4m x 8.7m and has been identified 128m north of **WA7064**.
- 5.5.16 **WA7047** and **WA7048** are two dark reflectors likely to be pieces of debris associated with site **WA7039**, the UKHO site of dumped stones, due to their close proximity (60m). Both anomalies were detected in the magnetometer survey with respective magnetic amplitudes of 17.48nT and 22.8nT.
- 5.5.17 A total of five pieces of debris (**WA7058-WA7063**) were identified as distinct angular objects but only **WA7060** has got an associated magnetometer anomaly (measuring 7.2nT).
- 5.5.18 An additional patch of material consisting of several scatters of debris and bright reflectors (WA7064) were identified approximately 300m north-west of WA7065-WA7069. WA7064 measures 70.6m x 29.2m x 0.2m, it is orientated north-south and has an associated magnetometer anomaly of 29.8nT. The largest component object measures 24m x 8m. WA7059 is the southern most site in Area 3 and lies just outside the area's footprint. It has been identified as an angular object of likely archaeological interest measuring 10m x 4m.

- 5.5.19 A total of six areas of seafloor disturbance were identified within **Area 3.** These are **WA7065-WA7070**, four of which have an associated magnetometer anomaly. These are **WA7065-WA7067** and **WA7069**.
- 5.5.20 WA7065-WA7068 are four mounds of material with distinct magnetometer anomalies. WA7065 measures 16m x 11m and has a magnetometer anomaly of 11nT. WA7066 measures 8m x 7m and has a magnetometer anomaly of 22.66nT. WA7067 measures 6.4m x 5.1 and has a magnetic anomaly of 51nT. WA7068 is the smallest measuring 6.6m x 3.4m.
- 5.5.21 WA7069 is a seafloor disturbance consisting of a central mound and four surrounding ones. It measures 22m x 13.3m and has an associated magnetometer anomaly of 154nT. The mounds of material are similar to those discussed above (WA7065-WA7068) and are situated on a featureless seafloor on the eastern edge of Area 3. Two additional magnetometer anomalies believed to be associated with WA7069 are situated 30m to the east and have a magnetic amplitude of 6nT (WA7074) and 153nT (WA7116).
- 5.5.22 The magnetometer identified a total of 47 anomalies representing ferrous objects for which no evidence has been identified in the sidescan or multibeam bathymetry datasets. These are **WA7071-WA7117** and their magnetometer amplitude range from 5-1365nT. **WA7117** was identified as a distinct dipole of 1365nT magnetic amplitude. This is likely to be associated with a modern piece of debris, possibly a buoy, but no evidence of such has been identified on the other datasets or on the admiralty charts. This anomaly was also identified during the 2007 assessment of marine geophysical data undertaken by WA (2007b).

6 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

6.1 Archaeological Importance

- 6.1.1 The archaeological importance of the sites within the three additional survey areas has been assessed in line with current best practice as advised by *Marine Class Descriptions and Principles of Selection in Aggregate Areas* (WA 2008b).
- 6.1.2 There are no wrecks or sites within the three Study Areas that are subject to statutory protection. Records from the National Monuments Record (NMR) include eight WWII aircraft which would be automatically protected under the Protection of Military Remains Act (1986). However, these records refer to reports of losses located at an arbitrary point on the seabed within **Area 2** for which no actual remains have yet been discovered (**Figure 5**). It is possible that remains of these aircraft may be discovered during the installation and/or decommissioning of the cable route and the associated wind farms. All such remains would be of importance to their country of origin.
- 6.1.3 Likewise, 15 wrecks are recorded by the NMR as having been lost in the area and recorded at the same arbitrary location within **Area 2** (**Figure 5**). Two of these reported losses date to the 18th century and thirteen to the early 19th century. While these records relate to as yet undiscovered remains it is possible that installation and/or decommissioning of the cable route, and the associated wind farms, may reveal remains which may be of national or possibly international importance.

- 6.1.4 The archaeological assessment of marine geophysical data from the Wash cable corridor additional areas identified 118 sites and anomalies of anthropogenic origin and consequently of potential archaeological interest (**Figures 3, 5 and 7**). However, 109 of these had geophysical signatures that were not clear enough to discern whether they represent anthropogenic material of modern origin or material of greater archaeological importance. In particular, a large amount of fishing debris and other material of likely modern origin was observed in **Areas 2** and **3** rendering the interpretation of anomalies problematic. The archaeological importance of these sites cannot currently be discerned.
- 6.1.5 The assessment of the additional areas has established the presence of nine known or probable wrecks, three in **Area 1** and six in **Area 3**. Three of these are reported by the UKHO as unknown wrecks and one as a 'foul'. This 'foul' has been interpreted as a ballast mound which may indicate the location of a wreck. The level of information provided by these records is insufficient to assess their importance.
- 6.1.6 The remaining probable wrecks have been observed in the marine geophysical data. Without further information regarding, for example, the identity, age or condition of these wrecks it is not possible to assess their importance.
- 6.1.7 The assessment also indicated a number of incised channels and potential organic rich deposits, both of which are of archaeological interest. Many of the channels appear to correlate to historic channel movements although a potential palaeo-channel in **Area 3** may be of prehistoric origin.
- 6.1.8 All deposits associated with palaeo-channels and the possible peat deposits in Area 3 have the potential to yield archaeological material and palaeo-environmental data facilitating the reconstruction of past landscapes associated with early human activity. As such, any palaeo-environmental or artefactual material revealed during the installation and/or decommissioning of the cable route, and associated wind farms, may be significant.
- 6.1.9 The archaeological assessment of the additional areas has revealed potential aircraft, wrecks and prehistoric deposits of possible archaeological potential.
- 6.1.10 The importance of archaeological sites or material is commonly assessed with regard to a number of factors including:
 - age: period of time in which a monument, site or artefact was in use;
 - function: contemporary use and meaning of a site or monument for the society that created it;
 - type: technological properties of a monument and their regional and chronological variations;
 - rarity: scarcity of surviving examples;
 - survival and/or condition: extent of preservation;
 - fragility and/or vulnerability: potential threats to continued preservation;
 - group value: location in relation to other sites or monuments;
 - documentation: previous investigation of the site or similar sites;
 - associations: contemporary associations with historical people or events.
 - scientific potential: potential of site or monument to contribute to scientific enquiry;
 - outreach potential: potential of a monument as a visual, educational and recreational resource.

- 6.1.11 These factors help to characterise a site or feature, to assess how representative it is in comparison to other, similar sites, and to assess its potential to contribute to knowledge, understanding and public outreach. In most cases, statutory protection is only provided to a site or feature judged to be an above average example in regard to these factors.
- 6.1.12 The current level of information available for the known sites identified within the archaeological assessment of the Wash cable route additional areas is insufficient to assess their importance with regard to the above factors. Until such time as further information becomes available these known sites, including buffer, should be avoided for the purposes of cable routing.
- 6.1.13 Acquisition of further information will only become necessary if direct impacts to known sites cannot be avoided and further exploratory archaeological work will then be required. Any sites impacted during the installation and/or decommissioning of the cable route will need to be assessed for importance on a site by site basis, this work could be carried out as part of the initial design/construction phase of the works during the pre-lay grapnel run / ROV surveys. Following these investigations exclusion zones would be proposed where relevant and mitigation, if required (in areas where the cable could not be re-routed), would be agreed and outlined via the updated WSI.

6.2 Impact of Proposed Development

Development Overview

- 6.2.1 The following information is taken from the 2006 AMEC report Race Bank Rochdale Envelope.
- 6.2.2 Up to four 132 kV submarine cables will be required in order to export electricity from the wind turbines to the on shore substation. Cables will be typically laid in pairs with approximately 50 m separation distance between each pair of cables. The cable corridor will be 2.5 km wide from the offshore substation towards the intertidal zone. It will then narrow to approximately 500 m to cross the intertidal zone converging in an approximate 50 m corridor width at the beginning of the salt marsh.
- 6.2.3 The submarine cables will be buried in order to provide protection to the cable. Three techniques currently exist for subsea cable laying: ploughing, jetting and rock cutting.
- 6.2.4 Ploughing tools employ a plough blade, known as a 'share' that cuts a narrow trench into the seabed and holds it open long enough to depress the cable to the bottom of the trench. Ploughing is considered trenchless since the seabed closes behind the share. Ploughing tools are traditionally towed by a suitable vessel or winched by a barge.
- 6.2.5 Jetting tools excavate the seabed by pumping seawater onto the seabed causing it to fluidise and disperse. Jetting tools can be towed or self propelled.
- 6.2.6 Due to ground condition variation and the shallow depths in which the cable installation vessel must operate, a plough or a jet assisted plough is thought to be the most appropriate tool for carrying out burial operations in the site conditions associated with The Wash.
- 6.2.7 Two main methods exist for the laying and burial process:

- Simultaneous lay and burial: as the cable is reeled out from the spool it is buried immediately by a burial tool usually towed or winched by a laying vessel/barge or by its own method of propulsion.
- Post lay burial: The cable is laid first on the sea bed and is then buried at a later time by a suitable burial tool.
- 6.2.8 The exact burial method employed will depend on the geophysical properties along the route, the submarine cable burial depth required and the machine type employed.
- 6.2.9 Further protection may be necessary for the cable in areas where the cable is exposed or is deemed to be at risk of exposure. Protection may be afforded by means of rock dumping, the laying of concrete mats or the use of synthetic fronds.
- 6.2.10 Prior to any submarine cable burial operation a Route Clearance (RC) operation and a Pre-Lay Grapnel Run (PLGR) operation must be undertaken. The RC operation consists of detecting and removing obstructions that may lie on the proposed route of the new cable installation. The PLGR operation consists of towing a snagging device behind a suitable vessel in order to clear the path of debris that may compromise burial operations.

Identification of Effects

6.2.11 When identifying effects the Rochdale Envelope worst case scenario approach has been applied.

Installation Effects

- 6.2.12 The installation effects can be summarised as follows:
 - Direct Impacts:
 - Potential damage to prehistoric land surfaces from ploughing and jetting;
 - Potential damage and destruction of shipwrecks and aircraft from ploughing and jetting;
 - Potential damage to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric land surfaces from vessel anchors during installation.

Operational Effects

- 6.2.13 The operational effects can be summarised as follows:
 - Direct Impacts:
 - Potential damage to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric land surfaces from vessel anchors during maintenance;
 - Indirect Impacts:
 - Potential damage to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric land surfaces due to increased erosion resulting from changes in scour and sedimentation as a consequence of the development.
 - Potential protection of shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric land surfaces due to increased sediment cover resulting from increased sedimentation as a consequence of the development.

Decommissioning Effects

- 6.2.14 The decommissioning effects can be summarised as follows:
 - Direct Impacts:

 Potential damage to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric land surfaces from vessel anchors during decommissioning.

6.3 Significance of Effects

- 6.3.1 When identifying effects the Rochdale Envelope worst case scenario approach has been applied.
- 6.3.2 Although the current geophysical dataset has been archaeologically assessed, and sites of potential archaeological interest identified, it has not been possible to definitively determine the precise nature of all of the highlighted geophysical anomalies. Consequently, it is difficult to fully assess the significance of any effects on the sites during the installation, operation or decommissioning of the cable route and associated wind farms at this time. It is expected that further, more detailed survey work will take place once details of the development scheme are more precisely known.
- 6.3.3 However, all direct impacts to archaeological sites will permanently alter or damage archaeological deposits and material and/or disturb or destroy relationships between deposits and material and their wider surroundings. Therefore, all direct impacts will have a significant adverse effect.
- 6.3.4 If direct impacts to known sites cannot be avoided it will be necessary to assess the significance of the effect on each site individually so that appropriate mitigation can be established.
- 6.3.5 Likewise, while it is possible to suggest the importance of potential sites that may be revealed during the course of the development it will only be possible to fully assess the significance of the effects on a site by site basis if and when they are impacted.
- 6.3.6 There is also potential for indirect impacts. Changes in scour and sedimentation associated with the installation and/or decommissioning of the cable route, and associated wind farms, may expose wrecks, aircraft and prehistoric land surfaces to erosion. Changes may also have a positive effect on sites if increased sedimentation leads to the burial of an archaeological site providing increased protection to a wreck, aircraft or prehistoric landscape.
- 6.3.7 Increased erosion will be permanent and negative and, as such, will have a significant adverse effect. However, the effects on known sites of indirect impacts from, for example, scour and changes to sedimentation patterns are difficult to quantify. Localised, scheme specific studies have, for the most part, indicated little change in sedimentation from wind farm construction.

- 6.3.8 The project Environmental Statement indicates that, based on the present understanding of sediment transport at Race Bank Offshore Wind Farm, cable scour may occur in areas of bedform movement if the buried inter-array cables become exposed (Centrica 2009). It is not anticipated that the export cables would become exposed through scour action alone and countermeasures will be employed to mitigate against any scour.
- 6.3.9 The impact resulting from multiple schemes remains relatively under-researched but it is probable that any changes in scour would be limited in the area of the cable route following installation.

6.4 **Proposed Mitigation**

- 6.4.1 One of the key principles shared by the historic environment and other environmental concerns is the precautionary principle, the primary aim of which is the prevention of damage to the environment by proactively putting in place protective measures, rather than having to attempt to repair damage (which may be irreversible) after it has occurred (COWRIE 2007: 6).
- 6.4.2 A Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) has been produced by WA on behalf of Centrica Renewable Energy Limited setting out the design and implementation of mitigation for the Race Bank Offshore Wind Farm. This outlines the agreed measures to be taken to protect known and potential archaeology during the course of the development. An annex to this report will be produced, covering the Wash cable route additional areas, once the final development scheme is established.
- 6.4.3 With regard to archaeological sites, this annex will consider that preservation *in situ* is the preferred means of mitigation. This has primarily been achieved with the implementation of exclusion zones around all discrete sites or more extensive area identified within an EIA. Exclusion zones preclude development related activities within their extents and have been widely applied in offshore contexts to sites and anomalies with known or potential archaeological significance.
- 6.4.4 However, as the marine historic environment of the UK is still largely unknown and poorly documented it is often not possible to fully assess the extent or importance of an archaeological site (see section 6.1). The mitigation methodology presented here, therefore, proposes a two tier strategy with the implementation of temporary buffers as well as exclusion zones.
- 6.4.5 The primary distinction between a temporary buffer and an exclusion zone relates to the current level of information available for a site or area. Where records are sufficient to assess the extent and importance of a known site or area then an exclusion zone to preclude development related activity may be implemented. However, where sites, areas or anomalies have been identified for which there are insufficient records to warrant the implementation of exclusion zone then a temporary buffer should be established.
- 6.4.6 Any site, area or anomaly assigned a temporary buffer will require further investigation before the impact of the proposed development can be assessed and further mitigation measures proposed. Further mitigation may include the implementation of an exclusion zone or measures to reduce, remedy or offset disturbance.

- 6.4.7 It is possible that previously unknown archaeological sites or material may only be encountered during the course of the installation and/or decommissioning of a scheme. Hence, measures should be taken to reduce the impact of development in this instance. Such measures, outlined in the current WSI (WA 2010a, 2010b) may include an archaeological watching brief and/or the establishment of a formal protocol to ensure that any finds and promptly reported, archaeological advice is obtained, and any recovered material is stabilised, recorded and conserved (COWRIE 2007: 44).
- 6.4.8 Where preservation *in situ* through the implementation of exclusion zones is not practicable, disturbance of archaeological sites or material should be offset by appropriate and satisfactory measures, also known as preservation by record. In these circumstances, the effects of the development can be remedied by carrying out excavation and recording prior to the impact occurring (COWRIE 2007: 44). The impact of the development may also be remedied by restabilising sites that have been destabilised, but not destroyed, or by offsetting damage to a site by detailed analysis and safeguarding of otherwise comparable sites elsewhere.
- 6.4.9 The annex to the Race Bank Offshore Wind Farm WSI will consider the above recommendations and will take account of the objectives of a WSI as laid out in the COWRIE Guidance (WA 2007: 43):
 - Set out the respective responsibilities of the developer, main contractors, and archaeological contractors/consultants, to include contact details and formal lines of communication between the parties and with archaeological curators;
 - Ensure that any further geophysical and geotechnical investigations associated with the project are subject to archaeological input, review, recording and sampling;
 - Provide for archaeological involvement in any diver and/or ROV obstruction surveys conducted for the scheme;
 - Establish the exact position and extent of archaeological exclusion zones, and methodologies for their monitoring, modification and/or removal;
 - Propose measures for mitigating effects upon any archaeological material encountered during the operation and decommissioning of the scheme;
 - Establish the reporting, publication, conservation and archiving requirements for the archaeological works undertaken in the course of the scheme.
- 6.4.10 The proposed mitigation with regard to the categories of site identified by the archaeological assessment of the additional areas is outlined below.

Known and Probable Ship Wrecks

- 6.4.11 The current level of information suggests that temporary buffers of 50m placed around the extents of each site are recommended for seven of the wrecks (WA70002, WA7038-WA7043). As the position and extent of wrecks WA7000 and WA7001 could not be verified during the assessment of marine geophysical data, temporary buffers of 100 m around the reported position are recommended.
- 6.4.12 No development related activities should be carried out within the temporary buffers until measures to reduce, remedy or offset disturbance have been established or until further information allows the buffer to be removed or an exclusion zone to be implemented.

Anomalies of Archaeological Interest

- 6.4.13 A total of 109 anomalies within the three Study Areas were identified as of potential anthropogenic origin and archaeological interest although the geophysical signatures could not identify what they represent. Hence, these anomalies require further archaeological investigation to ascertain their origin
- 6.4.14 In order to facilitate the design of the development scheme, no temporary buffer zones are currently proposed for these anomalies. Where practical these anomalies should be avoided. However, in areas where these anomalies are densely concentrated and avoidance is not possible a route should be proposed and the sites subject to impact should be assessed on a case by case basis during the initial design construction phase. This will result in either excluding those anomalies of archaeological significance or disregarding those comprising modern debris. These proposed exclusion zones and required mitigation will be outlined in the annex to the existing WSI once the development scheme has been established and further information on the anomalies has been obtained via routine construction surveys (Pre-lay Grapnel Run).

Palaeo-channels and Prehistoric Land Surfaces

6.4.15 Provision should be made, where practicable, for the complete recovery of cores containing pre-inundation prehistoric material and/or organic deposits, and for the analysis of a suitable number of core samples. WA employ a five stage geotechnical assessment process which would be applicable to any planned geotechnical works within the study areas. Provision for such works should be included in the annex to the WSI.

Potential Wrecks or Aircraft

- 6.4.16 The archaeological assessment identified 23 wrecks or aircraft reported lost at an arbitrary point in **Area 2**. It is possible that remains of these craft may be discovered during the course of the installation and/or decommissioning of the cable route and associated wind farms.
- 6.4.17 Due to the presence of large sandwaves, and the lack of clarity of many of the anomalies identified in the geophysical datasets, not all sites may have been detected and wrecks and aircraft may exist undetected within the Study Areas. The mobility of this seabed environment suggests that archaeological sites may be subject to cycles of exposure and re-burial. Therefore, if any further sidescan sonar or magnetometer survey is to take place, with suitable line spacing, then the data should be subject to archaeological assessment.
- 6.4.18 In addition, measures to deal with such discoveries should be set out in the annex to the WSI including provision for excavation, recording, recovery of artefacts, structures or samples, and the subsequent analysis, reporting, archiving and publication (COWRIE 2007: 6).

6.5 Cumulative Impact Assessment

6.5.1 Cumulative impacts are those that result from incremental changes to the historic environment caused by multiple impacts within a development project and/or in combination with past, present and future developments (COWRIE, 2008). Cumulative impacts include recurrent physical or direct impacts diminishing the historic environment due to the development of a number of offshore installations over time and over a wide area. Cumulative impacts also include changes to the perception of the historic environment due to impact on the setting of historic monuments from offshore developments.

- 6.5.2 The sites considered for this cumulative impact assessment are as follows:
 - Lynn Offshore Wind Farm;
 - Inner Dowsing Offshore Wind Farm;
 - Lincs Offshore Wind Farm;
 - Docking Shoal Offshore Wind Farm;
 - Race Bank Offshore Wind Farm;
 - Sheringham Shoal Offshore Wind Farm;
 - The Wash Cable Route Corridor;
 - Additional Areas in The Wash;
 - Area 481 Aggregate Extraction Area.
- 6.5.3 All of the above developments have been subject to archaeological assessments that have identified all known wrecks, and assessed geophysical data as part of an attempt to identify unknown losses. These reports have also assessed the potential for the presence of submerged prehistoric archaeology.
- 6.5.4 Mitigation against damage to known sites, and geophysical anomalies that may represent currently unknown shipwrecks, aircraft or other features of anthropogenic origin, will comprise the implementation of temporary buffers or exclusion zones. By avoiding archaeological sites the cumulative direct impact on known sites from turbine, installation, cable laying, anchoring and dredging, resulting from the above schemes, will be negligible.
- 6.5.5 Present understanding indicates only minimal and localised changes in scour and sedimentation resulting from wind farm construction. However, such changes may still serve to both cover up, and therefore protect, or uncover and destabilise sites. This can be addressed by the generally adopted principle that further geophysical studies, undertaken during the working life of the projects, will be archaeologically assessed. Thus any currently known or unknown sites exposed by scour or changes to sedimentation could be identified and the general archaeological principals of evaluation can be applied to identify the importance and significance of the effects and remedial mitigation can be applied.
- 6.5.6 Turbine installation, and possibly cable laying, may pose a threat to as yet unconfirmed prehistoric archaeology although the anchoring of vessels are unlikely to penetrate the seabed to a depth sufficient to cause concern. However, the percentage of the seabed that is subject to permanent negative direct impacts from the foundations of wind farms is relatively small. Therefore, the cumulative impact of the construction of offshore wind farms upon any submerged prehistoric deposits is likely to be small. However, the large number of foundation structures planned indicates that there is still some potential for impact. The procedures for dealing with such discoveries are laid out in individual WSI for each development with the aim of minimising any potential damage.
- 6.5.7 Changes to the perception of the historic environment, due to the impact on the setting of historic monuments from offshore developments, are likely to be negligible. Several offshore wind farms have been constructed or are being planned in this industrialised region. The Wash cable route additional areas will have no impact on the setting as all installations are sub surface.

6.5.8 A positive cumulative effect of studies relating to developments is the accumulation of archaeologically interpreted geophysical and geotechnical data regarding submerged and sub-bottom, prehistoric land surfaces and palaeo-environmental evidence. This developing resource is indicating the broad spread of palaeo-landscape and palaeo-environmental data off the east coast. It is anticipated that any evidence derived from the Wash cable route additional areas assessment will add to this body of data

6.6 Summary

6.6.1 The following table (over) summarise the impact assessment outlined above:

Importance	Known Archaeology	Potential Archaeology
	Currently unknown	Potentially high national/international
Impact Installation Effects	Direct Impacts: • Potential damage ploughing and jetti • Potential damage	e to prehistoric land surfaces from ing; e and destruction of shipwrecks and
Operational Effects	 Potential damage land surfaces from Direct Impacts: Potential damage land surfaces from Indirect Impacts: Potential damage land surfaces from 	to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric e to increased erosion resulting from
Decommissioning Effects	 changes in scour of the developmer Potential protect prehistoric land s cover resulting f consequence of th Direct Impacts: Potential damage land surfaces decommissioning. 	and sedimentation as a consequence ant. tion of shipwrecks, aircraft and surfaces due to increased sediment from increased sedimentation as a ne development. to shipwrecks, aircraft and prehistoric from vessel anchors during
Significance of Effects	Direct Impacts	Indirect Impacts
	Localised. Permanent and negative impact. Significant adverse effect.	Localised, possibly more widespread. Permanent and negative impact. Significant adverse effect. Increased protection: Localised, possibly more widespread. Temporary and positive impact. Significant beneficial effect.
Mitigation	Known Archaeology	Potential Archaeology
	Shipwrecks: WA70002, WA7038- WA7043: 50m temporary buffers WA7000 and WA7001: 100m temporary buffers Unidentified Anomalies: Measures to reduce or avoid impact set out in WSI	Prehistoric Archaeology: Provision for geotechnical survey set out in WSI Measures to reduce impact and deal with discoveries set out in WSI Shipwrecks and Aircraft: Archaeological assessment of any further marine geophysical survey Measures to reduce impact and deal with discoveries set out in WSI
Cumulative Impact Direct impacts to known sites Indirect impacts to known and potential sites Direct impacts to potential sites Changes to perception of historic environment Developing knowledge resource	 Negligible cumulat temporary buffers a Minimal cumulat assessment of geo Small percentage scheme specific pro Industrial landscap installations Positive effect of action 	tive impact due to implementation of and exclusion zones tive impact with archaeological physical data to monitor changes of seabed likely to be impacted, ocedures to minimise effect be, no further impact from sub surface ccumulation of data

7 REFERENCES

AMEC, 2006, Race Bank – Rochdale Envelope, unpublished report

- British Geographical Survey (BGS), 1991a, East Anglia Sheet 52° N-00° 1:250 000 Series Quaternary Geology. BGS, NERC
- British Geographical Survey (BGS), 1991b, Spurn Sheet 52° N-00° 1:250 000 Series Quaternary Geology. BGS, NERC
- Centrica, 2009, Race Bank Environmental Statement, published Environmental Statement Report
- COWRIE, 2007, Historical Environment Guidance for the Offshore Renewable Energy Sector, COWRIE Ltd ref.: ARCH-11-05
- COWRIE, 2008, Guidance for Assessment of Cumulative Impacts on the Historic Environment from Offshore Renewable Energy, COWRIE Ltd ref.: CIARCH-11-2008
- EEC, 1985, EIA Directive 85/337/EEC, Official Journal No. L175, 05/07/1985: pp.40-48, Council of the European Community
- English Heritage, 2002, Military Aircraft Crash Sites: archaeological guidance on their significance and future management, English Heritage
- Emu Ltd 2009, Docking Shoal and Race Bank Offshore Wind Farms. Additional Geophysical Survey Areas in the Wash. Report ref. 09/J02/1437/0893
- European Union, Council Directive 97/11/EC of 3rd March amending Directive 85/337/EEC, Official Journal No. L073, 14/03/1997: 005, Council of the European Union
- European Union, Council Directive 2003/35/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council providing for public participation in respect of the drawing up of certain plans and programmes relating to the environment and amending with regard to public participation and access to justice Council Directives
- Institute for Archaeologists, 2008, Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Desk-based Assessments, IfA
- Joint Nautical Archaeology Policy Committee, 2006, Code of Practice for Seabed Development, JNAPC
- Wessex Archaeology, 2002a, Lynn and Inner Dowsing Offshore Wind Farms: Maritime Archaeological Assessment, unpublished report ref: 51145.02
- Wessex Archaeology, 2002b, Lynn and Inner Dowsing Offshore Wind Farms: Terrestrial Archaeological Assessment, unpublished report ref: 51145.04
- Wessex Archaeology, 2006a, Wash Cable Route Corridor Archaeological Desk-based Assessment, unpublished report ref: 62550.01
- Wessex Archaeology, 2006b, Race Bank and Docking Shoal Offshore Wind Farms Archaeological Desk-based Assessment, unpublished report ref: 62550.02

- Wessex Archaeology, 2006c, Docking Shoal Offshore Wind Farms and Wash Cable Route Corridor Geophysical Data Audit and Review, unpublished report ref: 62550.04
- Wessex Archaeology, 2007a, Docking Shoal Offshore Wind Farm Archaeological Assessment, unpublished report ref.: 62550.05
- Wessex Archaeology, 2007b, Wash Cable Route Corridor. Archaeological; Assessment, unpublished report ref.: 62550.06
- Wessex Archaeology, 2007c, Aggregate Licence Area 430, Aircraft Crash Remains. Technical Report. Unpublished report ref.: 65220.02
- Wessex Archaeology, 2008a, Race Bank Offshore Wind Farm Archaeological Assessment, unpublished report ref.: 62554.04
- Wessex Archaeology, 2008b, Marine Class Descriptions and Principles of Selection in Aggregate Areas, EH Project No. 5383, ALSF Report ref 67040.02
- Wessex Archaeology, 2010a, Docking Shoal Offshore Wind Farm Archaeological Monitoring and Mitigation Written Scheme of Investigation, unpublished report ref.: 62555.03
- Wessex Archaeology, 2010b, Race Bank Offshore Wind Farm Archaeological Monitoring and Mitigation Written Scheme of Investigation, unpublished report ref.: 62555.04

APPENDIX I: GAZETTEER OF DOCUMENTED LOSSES

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Description	External Reference
2000	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Heinkel HE 1115B S4+DH; German Heinkel He 111 bomber which was shot down in the Wash. It was part of the coastal flying corps; Date lost: 1939	1399693
2001	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Heinkel HE1115B S4+GH; German Heinkel He 111 bomber which was shot down in the Wash; Date lost: 1939	1399688
2002	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Hurricane MK I V7376; British fighter; Date lost: 1940	1357686
2003	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Stirling MK III EH960; British heavy bomber; Date lost: 1944	1356979
2004	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Lancaster MK III ED826; British heavy bomber; Date lost: 1944	1356978
2005	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Armstrong WHITWORTH WHITLEY MK V T4201; British heavy bomber. Date lost: 1940	1352258
2006	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Agenoria; English craft; Date lost: 1823	1351099
2007	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Hms Ocean Gift II; British fishing vessel; Date lost: 1917	1349396
2008	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Hester; English craft; Date lost: 1820	1346835
2009	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Alliance; English craft; Date lost: 1820	1346834
2010	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Sloop of unknown identity; Date lost: 1820	1346833
2011	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Samuel; British craft which foundered in the Wash en route from Blyth to Herne Bay; a wooden sailing vessel; Date lost: 1786	1328352
2012	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Wellington MK IC P9276; British bomber; Date lost: 1940	1322653
2013	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Mosquito MK II DZ305; British fighter, Date lost: 1943	1318466
2014	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Neutral; British cargo vessel which foundered off King's Lynn while en route from London to Wisbech. Laden with nuts and oranges, a wooden sailing; Date lost: 1826	1315839
2015	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Henrietta; English sloop; Date lost: 1881	1302414
2016	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: John; British craft which foundered off King's Lynn during a gale, while en route from Blyth to Dover; a wooden sailing vessel; Date lost: 1833	1238107
2017	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Eight Friends; English craft which foundered off Kings Lynn, possibly after departing from Brancaster; a wooden sailing vessel; Date lost: 1773	1217120
2018	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Providence; English craft; Date lost: 1822	930136
2019	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Greyhound; British smack; Date lost: 1887	928649

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Description	External Reference
2020	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	English lugger of unknown identity; Date lost: 1911	927563
2021	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Name: Lizzie; English smack; Date lost: 1909	927534
2022	Documented Wreck loss	323075	5872784	Craft of unknown identity that foundered between the Outer Knock Buoy and the Long Sand; a wooden sailing vessel; Date lost: 1833	1316103, 1316121, 1316122

1.- The WA coordinates are in WGS84 UTM31N

2.- Positions have been provided by the NMR

3.- The above gazetteer is illustrated in Figure 5

APPENDIX II: GAZETTEER OF KNOWN SITES AND MARINE GEOPHYSICAL ANOMALIES

Area 1

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Length	Width	Height	Total Magnetic	Description	External
				(m)	(m)	(m)	Amplitude (nT)		Reference
7000	Wreck	334284	5888485					Live UKHO record of a wreck	UKHO8600
								believed to be a steamboat,	NMR913198
								approximately 100years old	
7001	Wreck	337048	5888235					Live UKHO record of a wreck of	UKHO 8599
								unknown origin or identity	
7002	Wreck	337369	5888502	30.9	7.2	0.3		Possible wreck site. Structure found	
								in an area where other objects have	
								been identified in previous	
								assessments (2007)	
7003	Bright reflector	336856	5888039	76	70	0		Patch of bright reflectors situated by	
								a large sandwave. The largest ones	
								measure 15.5m x 6.7m and 8.4m x	
								5.2m. One other bright reflector	
								(WA6345) of uncertain origin was	
								identified 25m N of this site	
								boundary during the 2007	
								assessment of marine geophysical	
								data. WA6345 measured 5.7m x	
								2.4m	
7004	Dark reflector	337452	5888251	3	2.3	0.5		Object found in an area where other	
								objects have been identified in	
								previous assessments (2007)	
7005	Dark reflector	336413	5888575	4.1	0.9	0.2		Object found approximately 60m	
								north of WA7012	
7006	Dark reflector	336380	5888523	4.5	1.3	0.3		Object found approximately 60m	
								south of WA7005	
7007	Dark reflector	337817	5888589	10	5.8	0		Possible piece of debris. Object	
								found in an area where other objects	
								have been identified in previous	
								assessments (2007)	
7008	Debris	336705	5889326	7	4.3	1.3		Angular object in isolation	
7009	Debris	340426	5890663	7.9	0.4	0.1		Thin and curved edge possible linear	
								feature or partially buried object	

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Length (m)	Width (m)	Height (m)	Total Magnetic Amplitude (nT)	Description	External Reference
7010	Debris	334820	5888232	8.5	2.8	0		Two pieces of elongated debris measuring 7.2m x 2.7m and 8.5m x 2.8m lie approximately 6m apart. A third anomaly lies 30 north of these two objects. The third one measures 61m x 1.2	
7011	Debris	336354	5888857	9.7	4.2	0.8		Isolated object of unknown origin	
7012	Seafloor disturbance	337187	5887983	16.1	8.7	0		Object found in an area where other objects have been identified in previous assessments (2007)	

Area 2

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Length (m)	Width (m)	Height (m)	Total Magnetic Amplitude (nT)	Description	External Reference
7013	Dark reflector	325374	5872036	4.6	4.6	0		possible debris	
7014	Dark reflector	325003	5874565	8.2	2	0		Unknown origin	
7015	Dark reflector	325401	5872091	15.1	9.1	0		Possible debris	
7016	Dark reflector	326621	5876920	24.6	6.9	0		Patch of material, possible wreck site	
7017	Dark reflector	323843	5874015	5.3	2.3	0		Fairly rounded object, possibly debris	
7018	Dark reflector	325089	5873097	5.2	3	0.8		Distinct object of unknown origin	
7019	Dark reflector	325821	5875548	30.4	10.9	0		Possible patch of material	
7020	Dark reflector	325891	5872757	2.1	11.5	0		Distinct site situated perpendicular to sandwaves	
7021	Dark reflector	327731	5876042	20.8	2.4	0		Elongated dark reflector possibly a piece of linear debris	
7022	Dark reflector	326547	5874997	10.2	4.1	0.5		Possible piece of debris, likely to be part of fishing gear but cannot be confirmed.	
7023	Dark reflector	326276	5874742	7.9	3.9	0		Unknown origin, fairly rounded but likely to be associated with fishing gear.	
7024	Debris	327884	5876756	12.3	3.1	0		Object of unknown origin next to seafloor disturbance	

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Length (m)	Width (m)	Height (m)	Total Magnetic Amplitude (nT)	Description	External Reference
7025	Debris	327588	5876343	2.1	1.7	0.2		Angular piece of debris	
7026	Debris	326560	5874286	77	16.4	0.3		Likely modern debris associated with linear feature but could also be a linear feature caught on archaeological remains.	
7027	Debris	326390	5876512	31.5	4.1	0.8		Distinct object, possibly a section of fishing gear	
7028	Debris	327571	5876077	8.1	6.1	0.3		Patch of material associated with linear feature (cable)	
7029	Mound	326512	5874020	21.3	5.5	0.3		Shipwreck shaped mound of unknown origin	
7030	Seafloor disturbance	326785	5874304	10.1	20.8	0.2		Patch of material and seafloor disturbance possibly associated with a wreck site.	
7031	Seafloor disturbance	327872	5876678	33.3	47.2	1		Area of seafloor disturbance and pieces of debris of unknown origin largest measuring 10m x 6m x 0.5m. Possibly associated with fishing gear, but cannot be confirmed.	
7032	Seafloor disturbance	326785	5874702	7.7	19	0		Patch associated with nearby linear feature/cable	
7033	Magnetic	325811	5873846				11.66	in an area of linear debris	
7034	Magnetic	325251	5872490				6.67	in an area of linear debris, likely to be modern but cannot be verified on the sidescan sonar data	
7035	Magnetic	325496	5873167				6.46	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7036	Magnetic	325375	5873238				5.94	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7037	Magnetic	323002	5872248				4.85	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Length	Width	Height	Total Magnetic	Description	External
				(m)	(m)	(m)	Amplitude (nT)		Reference
7038	Wreck	314630	5859633	20.9	6.9	1.5	19.36	Wreck orientated east-west with a	UKHO10128
								large patch of associated debris on	NMR913175
								its southern side. Site recorded by	
								the UKHO as a wreck of unknown	
								identity	
7039	Debris	315210	5861513	91.8	79.9	0.7	23	Distinct patch of debris of unknown	UKHO10132
								origin. Correlates with UKHO record	
								of dumped stones - foul- Two distinct	
								magnetometer anomalies over this	
								site. Linear feature caught on an	
								object. Not included in the gazetteer.	
								One of the largest objects measures	
70.40		044700	5004040	1.0		-		5.5m x 1.6m x 0.4m	
7040	VVreck	314790	5861216	4.9	3.9	0		Angular feature, likely to be a wreck	
								section. Distinct magnetometer	
								anomaly approximately 100m	
70.44		044045	5050000	44.0	0.7	-	67	southeast	
7041	VVreck	314845	5859600	11.3	3.7	0	57	Series of parallel dark reflectors	
								resemble a wreck site with	
70.40		04.4000	5004057	07.4	7.0	-	44.04	associated magnetometer anomaly.	
7042	VVreck	314386	5861057	37.4	7.8	0	11.24	Likely wreck site with associated	
								magnetometer anomaly. Note that	
								other pieces of debris lie in close	
								proximity (30 and 80 metres south).	
								An additional magnetometer anomaly	
70.40	M/rook	014704	5000400	10.4	0.0	0		Nel abarrad dark reflector likely to	
7043	VVIECK	314704	2000100	10.4	0.3	0			
7044	Darle raflactor	240002	5000040	01	4	0		De a wieck.	
7044	Dark reliector	310093	5863310	21	4	0		Three objects in a line orientated	
								east-west and at approximately 10m	
								apart. Form one approximately 55m	
								south. Possible wreck site. Individual	
								4.9m and 7.7 m x 2.0 m	
7045	Dork roflactor	216672	5062260	70	2.6	0		4.2111 and 7.7111 X J.9111	
1045	Dark reliector	3100/3	002200	1.0	3.0	U		One of four, possible wreck debris.	

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Length (m)	Width (m)	Height (m)	Total Magnetic Amplitude (nT)	Description	External Reference
7046	Dark reflector	316163	5862397	29.4	8.7	0		Patch of material, possible wreck site	
7047	Dark reflector	315127	5861370	7.6	7.2	0	17.48	Either a curved linear feature or the edge of a structure. It may be associated with the patch of stones approximately 60m northeast. Magnetometer anomaly associated wit this feature. Two other pieces of debris approximately 20m east. These measure 2.4m x 1.m and 3.2m x 3.4m	
7048	Dark reflector	315123	5861579	2.3	2.2	0	22.8	Possible piece of debris near debris field with associated magnetometer anomaly	
7049	Dark reflector	314099	5858546	4.8	3.4	0			
7050	Dark reflector	314639	5859582	1.1	1.2	0		Likely piece of debris. Possibly associated with nearby wreck	
7051	Dark reflector	316094	5862633	8.8	9.1	0		Oval shaped feature, seafloor disturbance	
7052	Dark reflector	315481	5861632	3	2	0.3		Object of unknown origin with long scour	
7053	Dark reflector	315238	5860651	6.1	2.8	0		Angular object	
7054	Dark reflector	315284	5860626	1.6	1.4	0		Elongated object of unknown origin	
7055	Dark reflector	315497	5863148	2.3	2	0		possible causing seafloor disturbance	
7056	Dark reflector	314838	5860129	16.9	1	0		Elongated feature or linear of unknown origin. Could be the edge of a structure or wreck. In an area of multiple magnetometer anomalies	
7057	Dark reflector	315019	5860333	89.4	6.4	0		Mound of unknown origin situated in an area of multiple magnetometer anomalies.	
7058	Dark reflector	314240	5860423	4.8	0.7	0		Elongated object amongst sandwaves. Fairly linear. Possibly fishing gear or cable	
7059	Debris	314160	5858452	10.1	4.1	0		Angular feature of likely archaeological interest	

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Length (m)	Width (m)	Height (m)	Total Magnetic Amplitude (nT)	Description	External Reference
7060	Debris	315202	5861084	5.7	3.2	0	7.21	Angular dark reflector. One or two objects. Unknown origin but with possible associated magnetometer anomaly approximately 35m west	
7061	Debris	315274	5860647	2.1	1.3	0.1		Angular object with scour	
7062	Debris	315460	5861784	2.4	2.2	0.2		Angular object with scour	
7063	Debris	315204	5861134	4.6	3.4	0.3		Piece of debris with scour all around and linear feature (scour or object?). One of two objects of unknown origin	
7064	Debris field	316162	5862269	70.6	29.2	0.2	29.78	Several scatters of debris and bright reflectors, largest one measuring 24m x 8m given by position. Magnetometer anomaly associated with this site	
7065	Seafloor Disturbance	315855	5862190	16.1	11.3	0	9.55	Distinct seafloor disturbance, one of three. With distinct magnetometer anomaly. An additional object (1.8m x 0.2m x 0.4m) may be associated. It s situated 25m se	
7066	Seafloor Disturbance	315863	5862229	8.1	7	0	10.01	Distinct seafloor disturbance, one of three. Adjacent object measures 4m x 0.5m. With associated magnetometer anomaly	
7067	Seafloor Disturbance	315900	5862207	6.4	5.1	0	42.22	Seafloor disturbance; Smallest of three patches of material with associated magnetometer anomaly. Small object (0.8m x 0.5m x 0.1m) situated 10m SW of main mound	
7068	Seafloor Disturbance	315887	5862208	6.6	3.4	0		Seafloor disturbance	
7069	Seafloor disturbance	315773	5861523. 79	22	13.3		154.06	Central mound and four surrounding ones with an associated magnetometer anomaly. Feature of unknown origin. Possibly modern	
7070	Seafloor disturbance	314464	5861288	7.2	12.6	0		Feature of unknown origin found in isolation	

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Length (m)	Width (m)	Height (m)	Total Magnetic Amplitude (nT)	Description	External Reference
7071	Magnetic	314464	5861288				4.73	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7072	Magnetic	315568	5863035				4.91	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7073	Magnetic	316479	5863328				5.14	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7074	Magnetic	314938	5860091				6.28	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7075	Magnetic	315791	5861492				6.47	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7076	Magnetic	315410	5863381				6.6	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7077	Magnetic	314693	5860281				6.69	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7078	Magnetic	315593	5861586				6.74	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7079	Magnetic	314648	5862085				7.16	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7080	Magnetic	315332	5861008				7.27	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7081	Magnetic	314723	5859418				7.28	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7082	Magnetic	314736	5861020				7.5	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7083	Magnetic	315159	5860388				8.35	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7084	Magnetic	314462	5858809				8.82	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7085	Magnetic	313821	5859497				9.03	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7086	Magnetic	314292	5859796				9.21	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7087	Magnetic	314728	5860317				9.63	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7088	Magnetic	314371	5861495				10.77	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7089	Magnetic	315463	5862778				11.18	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7090	Magnetic	314149	5860088				11.47	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7091	Magnetic	314733	5859778				13.66	Anomaly near patch of debris	
7092	Magnetic	315102	5861614				14.13	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7093	Magnetic	314382	5859393				15.06	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7094	Magnetic	315243	5862833				22.06	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7095	Magnetic	314693	5862263				24.38	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7096	Magnetic	314487	5861154				25.33	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7097	Magnetic	314306	5859956				26.53	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7098	Magnetic	315178	5862377				27.05	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7099	Magnetic	314107	5859956				29.8	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	

WA ID	Classification	Easting	Northing	Length (m)	Width (m)	Height	Total Magnetic	Description	External Reference
7100	Magnetic	316368	5863380	()	()	()	30.68	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7101	Magnetic	315873	5862256				32.64	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7102	Magnetic	314653	5860103				35.5	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7103	Magnetic	314538	5862183				38	Two magnetometer dipoles lying next to each other (14m apart). Smallest measures 16nT	
7104	Magnetic	314543	5861397				42.7	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7105	Magnetic	314127	5860015				44.63	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7106	Magnetic	314338	5860763				45.88	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7107	Magnetic	314829	5860443				48.66	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7108	Magnetic	314472	5861768				50.51	Anomaly re-identified. The 2007 assessment identified it as an object with a total magnetic amplitude of 5nT	
7109	Magnetic	313997	5859545				64.05	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7110	Magnetic	314541	5861487				69.97	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7111	Magnetic	314538	5859633				77.63	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7112	Magnetic	314703	5860878				87.27	Two magnetic dipoles lying next to each other. Smallest measures 14nT	
7113	Magnetic	314291	5861182				91.33	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7114	Magnetic	314843	5861111				101.79	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7115	Magnetic	314032	5858671				122.97	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7116	Magnetic	315720	5861190				153.1	Isolated magnetometer anomaly	
7117	Magnetic	315801	5861514				1364.62	Anomaly re-identified. The 2007 assessment identified it as an object with a total magnetic amplitude of 7nT	

1.- The WA coordinates are in WGS84 UTM31N

2.- Positions and dimensions are given as a guide only3.- All above gazetteers are illustrated in Figures 2-8



Wash Cable Corridor and Additional Areas



Channels Identified in Area 3

Figure 2



Known Maritime Sites and Marine Geophysical Anomalies in Area 1

A: **WA7002:** Probable wreck site measuring 31m x 7.2m x 0.3m. Structure found in an area where other objects have been identified in previous assessments (2007).

B: Multibeam bathymetry view of WA7002.

	Drawing Projection: UTM WGS84 z31N. Digital data reproduced from Ordnance Survey data © Crown Copyright 2009 All rights reserved. Reference Number: 100020449. This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.					
	Date:	01/03/10	Revision Number:	0		
Wessex	Scale:	A=1:2,000 B=NA	Illustrator:	KMN		
LLL Archaeology	Path:	W:\Projects\62556\Drawing Office\Report Figs\Geophys\10-03-01				

Known Maritime Sites and Marine Geophysical Anomalies in Area 2

A: WA7026: Likely modern debris associated with linear feature or a linear feature caught on archaeological remains (77m x 16.4m x 0.3m)

B: Multibeam bathymetry view of WA7026.

	Drawing Projection: UTM WGS84 z31N. Digital data reproduced from Ordnance Survey data © Crown Copyright 2009 All rights reserved. Reference Number: 100020449. This material is for client report only © Wessex Archaeology. No unauthorised reproduction.					
	Date:	01/03/10	Revision Number:	0		
Wessex	Scale:	A=1:2,000 B=NA	Illustrator:	KMN		
LLL Archaeology	Path [.]	W:\Projects\62556\Drawing Office\Report Figs\Geophys\10-03-01				

Example of Sidescan Sonar and Multibeam Bathymetry in Area 2

Known Maritime Sites and Marine Geophysical Anomalies in Area 3

A: **WA7038:** Wreck orientated east-west with a large patch of associated debris on its southern side. Site recorded by the UKHO as a wreck of unknown identity. Site measures 21m x 7m x 1.5m and has a total magnetic amplitude of 9.8nT.

D: **WA7039:** Distinct patch of debris of unknown origin measuring $91m \times 80m \times 0.7$ and has a magnetic amplitude of nearly 23nT. One of the largest objects measures $5.5m \times 1.6m \times 0.4m$. The site correlates with UKHO record of dumped stones.

G: **WA7046:** Distinct patch of material of archaeological interest measuring 29.4m x 8.7m. It may represent the remains of a wreck site. WA7046 may be associated with **WA7064.**

Examples of Sidescan Sonar, Magnetometer and Multibeam Bathymetry in Area 3

Date:

Scale:

Path:

F	WA7046.	

01/03/10	Revision Number:	0			
A, D, G=1:2,000	Illustrator:	KMN			
W:\Projects\62556\Drawing Office\Report Figs\Geophys\10-03-01					

WESSEX ARCHAEOLOGY LIMITED. Registered Head Office: Portway House, Old Sarum Park, Salisbury, Wiltshire SP4 6EB. Tel: 01722 326867 Fax: 01722 337562 info@wessexarch.co.uk Regional offices in Edinburgh, Maidstone and Sheffield For more information visit www.wessexarch.co.uk

Registered Charity No. 287786. A company with limited liability registered in England No. 1712772.