

Building 941 (UAV Works), Boscombe Down Airfield, Amesbury, Wiltshire

Archaeological Watching Brief Report



Ref: 75450.02 October 2010



Archaeological Watching Brief Report

Prepared for:
QinetiQ (Managed Services)
Building A8 Room 1038
Cody Technology Park
Ively Road,
Farnborough
Hants GU14 0LX

by
Wessex Archaeology
Portway House
Old Sarum Park
SALISBURY
Wiltshire
SP4 6EB

Report reference: Project 75450.02

October 2010

© Wessex Archaeology Limited 20010 all rights reserved Wessex Archaeology Limited is a Registered Charity No. 287786



Archaeological Watching Brief Report

DISCLAIMER

THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT WAS DESIGNED AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF A REPORT TO AN INDIVIDUAL CLIENT AND WAS PREPARED SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF THAT CLIENT. THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT DOES NOT NECESSARILY STAND ON ITS OWN AND IS NOT INTENDED TO NOR SHOULD IT BE RELIED UPON BY ANY THIRD PARTY. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW WESSEX ARCHAEOLOGY WILL NOT BE LIABLE BY REASON OF BREACH OF CONTRACT NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE FOR ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL) OCCASIONED TO ANY PERSON ACTING OR OMITTING TO ACT OR REFRAINING FROM ACTING IN RELIANCE UPON THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT ARISING FROM OR CONNECTED WITH ANY ERROR OR OMISSION IN THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THE REPORT. LOSS OR DAMAGE AS REFERRED TO ABOVE SHALL BE DEEMED TO INCLUDE, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, ANY LOSS OF PROFITS OR ANTICIPATED PROFITS DAMAGE TO REPUTATION OR GOODWILL LOSS OF BUSINESS OR ANTICIPATED BUSINESS DAMAGES COSTS EXPENSES INCURRED OR PAYABLE TO ANY THIRD PARTY (IN ALL CASES WHETHER DIRECT INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL) OR ANY OTHER DIRECT INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE

QUALITY ASSURANCE

SITE CODE	75450	ACCESSION CODE	CLIENT CODE
PLANNING APPLICATION REF.	N/A	NGR	NGR 417542 140015

VERSION	STATUS*	PREPARED BY	APPROVED BY	APPROVER'S SIGNATURE	DATE	FILE
75450.02	I	PH/AIM	NDT	M		X:\PROJECTS\75450\WATCHING BRIEF REPORT.DOC

* I= INTERNAL DRAFT E= EXTERNAL DRAFT F= FINAL



Archaeological Watching Brief Report

Contents

	Summary	
	Acknowledgements	۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۷
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT	1
3	METHODOLOGY	3
4	WATCHING BRIEF RESULTS	3
5	FINDS AND ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING	4
6	CONCLUSIONS	4
7	THE ARCHIVE	4
8	REFERENCES	
J	8.1 Bibliography	5

Appendix 1: OASIS ID: wessexar1-85078

Figure

Figure 1 Site location and extent of fieldwork

Plates

Front cover: Extent of Site looking northwards Back cover: Extent of Site looking westwards



Archaeological Watching Brief Report

Summary

Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by QinetiQ (Managed Services) to undertake an archaeological watching brief to monitor ground works and record any archaeological features and deposits that might be impacted by the construction of a car park and building foundation pads on the northeastern side of an existing aircraft hanger, Building 941. This building lies immediately to the northwest of an especially well preserved disc barrow and it was deemed that there was the potential for significant archaeological remains to have survived in the proposed development area.

No evidence of archaeological finds or features were observed at the proposed foundation level during the course of the watching brief. The results of the watching brief appeared to show that the site of the car park and new building had been extensively terraced during the original construction of a foundation pad for the hanger. This work is very likely to have effectively removed any shallow archaeological features and deposits within the immediate environs of the hanger.



Archaeological Watching Brief Report

Acknowledgements

This project was commissioned by QinetiQ (Managed Services) through Mr. Mark Newman and Ms Nicola Jarvis to whom Wessex Archaeology is grateful to in this regard. Wessex Archaeology would also like to thank David Mascaline, Morgan Sindall Site Manager for the project for his help and assistance throughout the course of the project.

The watching brief was undertaken by Phil Harding who also compiled this report. The illustrations were prepared by Linda Coleman and the project was managed for Wessex Archaeology by Andy Manning.



Archaeological Watching Brief Report

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project background

- 1.1.1 Wessex Archaeology (WA) was commissioned by QinetiQ (Managed Services) to undertake an archaeological watching brief during ground works to construct a new car park and office facilities covering an area of approximately 720 square metres which were located immediately adjacent to an existing hanger (Building 941) at Boscombe Down Airfield, Amesbury, Wiltshire, located at National Grid Reference (NGR) 417542 140015, hereafter referred to as 'the Site'.
- 1.1.2 The proposed construction was a permitted airfield development, for which no planning application, and therefore no Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI), was necessary. Nevertheless in view of the proximity of a well preserved Bronze Age disc barrow, QinetiQ (Managed Services) commissioned Wessex Archaeology to undertake an archaeological watching brief to monitor all necessary ground works during the early stages of the project to ensure that any significant archaeological remains would be dealt with in an appropriate manner.

1.2 The Site, location and geology

- 1.2.1 The Site lay on the northeastern side of former concrete aircraft hanger (Building 941) which is located in the northern half of the Boscombe Down Airfield, approximately 300m to the north of the main runway.
- 1.2.2 The Site lies at the eastern end of a shallow coombe that itself forms a tributary to deeper coombe that drains west towards the valley of the River Avon. The ground surface at this point is approximately 110 m aOD and the natural geology is mapped as Upper Chalk (BGS 1976, Sheet 298).
- 1.2.3 The development area lay on the northeastern side of the hanger. A slight break of slope was visible around the northern fringes of this building which suggested that it may have been terraced into the hillside at the time of its construction.

2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT

2.1 Boscombe Down Airfield

- 2.1.1 The airfield at Boscombe down has a long history. Military flights from Boscombe Down commenced in 1917 with experimental operations beginning at the start of World War II in 1939-40. The airfield was expanded in the early 1950s and the existing 'Hardened Aircraft Shelters (HAS) hangers (including Building 941) were constructed in the late 1970s.
- 2.1.2 The airfield has been the subject of large and small-scale archaeological investigations from the 1940s to the present day.



- 2.1.3 Just over 60 separate monuments, features or findspots are recorded on the Wiltshire Sites and Monuments Record (Wiltshire SMR) as lying within the boundaries of the airfield. These include several Bronze Age round barrows and a Late Bronze Age Wessex Linear Ditch.
- 2.1.4 A concentration of Iron Age and Romano-British pits, inhumations and a double-ditched enclosure associated with settlement were found during levelling work on the eastern boundaries of the airfield in 1949 (Richardson 1951).
- 2.1.5 The results from more recent watching briefs and a programme of trenched evaluation identified further archaeological remains, including ancient field systems and land boundaries, pits and graves (AC Archaeology 2001; Wessex Archaeology 2006, Wessex Archaeology 2007, Wessex Archaeology 2008 and Wessex Archaeology 2009). An evaluation by AC Archaeology in 2001 had identified that areas adjacent to the runway and taxiways have been significantly affected by modern 'cut and fill' groundworks with a severe impact on the archaeological resource. However, the survival of pockets of well-preserved archaeological deposits and features have been found across the airfield.

2.2 The Site

- 2.2.1 The area immediately to the southwest of the modern hanger is occupied by a well preserved disc barrow (Amesbury 86). This barrow was first recorded in detail by Crawford and Keiller (1928) who described it as being situated on the down 'SW of a derelict aerodrome'. The barrow was 63 yards (69m) in diameter with 'no less than four tumps, in a line across the centre, from SW to NE. Only the largest, (in the centre) shows any signs of having been disturbed'.
- 2.2.2 Crawford and Keiller (op cit) added that 'the whole down is in a natural state and appears never to have been ploughed up in modern or prehistoric times'. However by 1952 The Victoria County History (Pugh and Crittall 1957) described the barrow as being 'on arable', although it is unclear whether this extended across the monument itself. Only two smaller tumps west of the principal central mound could be discerned.
- 2.2.3 Aerial images of the area immediately around Building 941 and on-site inspection show clear evidence that the site of the hanger had been substantially reduced in level to form a terrace during its construction. The terrace slope extends approximately 20m to the north and east of the hanger, but only extended 8m to the west of the hanger, which avoided any impact to the barrow.



3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Aims and scope

- 3.1.1 The watching brief was intended;
 - To identify the presence or absence of archaeological remains within the proposed development area
 - To investigate and establish the extent, date, character, relationship, condition and significance of any archaeological features, artefacts and deposits
 - To ensure the preservation by record of any recorded archaeological features
 - To provide information which could be used to inform any subsequent archaeological mitigation, if required.

4 WATCHING BRIEF RESULTS

4.1 Methodology

- 4.1.1 Initial stripping of the topsoil and overburden was undertaken using a small tracked excavator fitted with a range of toothless buckets, according to the requirements of the work.
- 4.1.2 All ground works were undertaken under constant archaeological supervision. Spoil tips were also checked as a matter of routine for archaeological material.

4.2 Results

- 4.2.1 The removal of a thin, poorly sorted, topsoil horizon revealed a general area of flinty 'subsoil'. This deposit extended away from the margins of the hanger northwards across the entire area of the proposed car park to the base of the terrace feature, visible as break of slope around the northern and eastern side of the hanger.
- 4.2.2 The terrace slope was most marked at the northeastern end of the development area across which the office facilities block is to be constructed. The terrace was approximately 0.40 m deep at its deepest part and thinned towards the eastern edge of the Site. It was characterised by 'clean' chalk with a distinctly 'sharp' contact with the overlying topsoil. The lack of any weathered surface chalk or flinty sorted horizon suggested that the natural chalk had been truncated by the construction of the terrace.
- 4.2.3 Removal of the 'subsoil' across the area of the proposed car park, to the foundation level, revealed compact chalk rubble mixed with flecks of topsoil. Fragments of modern brick and pieces of iron were also present in the chalk rubble. In places, especially near existing concrete aprons, the rubble overlay a concrete blinding layer for the hanger indicating that the entire profile was made-up ground. The foundation level of the car park area was crossed by a number of modern service trenches, drains and lightning conductors. Beyond the northeastern edge of the terrace edge, the natural chalk appeared relatively undisturbed.



4.2.4 During the stripping of the overburden to the foundation level no evidence of archaeological features, deposits or finds were found.

5 FINDS AND ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING

5.1 Finds

5.1.1 No archaeological finds were recovered during the course of the watching brief.

5.2 Environmental sampling

5.2.1 No archaeological features or deposits were found during the course of the watching brief which would have been suitable for sampling.

6 CONCLUSIONS

- 6.1.1 The watching brief was commissioned to record any archaeological features and deposits that might be impacted by the construction of a car park and building on the northeastern and eastern side of Building 941, an existing aircraft hanger. The work was considered essential in view of the significant well preserved disc barrow immediately to the southwest of the hanger which indicated the potential for the survival of archaeological remains within the Site.
- 6.1.2 The work confirmed the initial pre-works observations that the Site had been extensively terraced during construction of the original foundation pad of the hanger, reducing the ground level within the development area by at least 0.40m in places. This work is likely to have effectively removed any shallow archaeological features and deposits within the immediate environs of the hanger.
- 6.1.3 It is possible, but unlikely, that deeper features may survive within the Site, masked by the backfilled chalk that was used to reinstate the area around the hanger at the time of its construction. However, the present development will involve no impact below the stripped foundation level and thus any surviving features will be unaffected.

7 THE ARCHIVE

- 7.1.1 The site archive will be prepared for long-term storage in accordance with the documents Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long term storage, Standards in the Museum Care of Archaeological Collections (Museums and Galleries Commission 1992) and Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections; Guidelines for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland (Society of Museum Archaeologists 1993).
- 7.1.2 The project archive (comprising one A4 folder containing all project records) is currently held at the offices of Wessex Archaeology at Old Sarum, Salisbury, Wiltshire under the Project Code 75450. In due course the archive will be deposited with the Salisbury and South Wiltshire Museum.
- 7.1.3 All records will be copied to microfilm. This will comply with the requirements presented in the document *Microfilming for Archaeological Archives* (RCHM). Wessex Archaeology will contact the National Monuments Record



to check their requirements. The microfilm and one diazo duplicate will be submitted to the recipient museum, and one diazo duplicate submitted to the National Monument Record, Swindon.

8 REFERENCES

8.1 Bibliography

- AC Archaeology, 2001, Boscombe Down FSTA: Results of Archaeological Trench Evaluation, unpublished client report 1001/2/0, April 2001
- Crawford, O.G.S and Keiller, A., 1928, Wessex from the Air, Clarendon Press, Oxford
- Pugh, R.B and Crittall, E., 1957, A History of the County of Wiltshire.

 Volume 1 (part 1) Archaeological Gazetteer, Victoria County History
 of Wiltshire
- Richardson, K.M., 1951, The Excavation of Iron Age Villages on Boscombe Down West, *Wiltshire Archaeological and Natural History Magazine* 54, 123-168
- Wessex Archaeology, 2006, Boscombe Down Airfield Fire Hydrant Works, Amesbury, Wiltshire: Archaeological Watching Brief Report, unpublished client report 62260.03
- Wessex Archaeology, 2007, Boscombe Down Airfield New Accommodation Block (OP Tamarin) Amesbury, Wiltshire: Archaeological Watching Brief Report, unpublished client report 66980.02
- Wessex Archaeology, 2008, Boscombe Down Airfield New Accommodation Block (OP Tamarin) Amesbury, Wiltshire: Archaeological Watching Brief Report, unpublished client report 66982.02
- Wessex Archaeology, 2009, Boscombe Airfield Batching Plant, Amesbury, Wiltshire: Detailed Gradiometer Survey Report, unpublished client report 71000.01, February 2009



Appendix 1: OASIS ID: wessexar1-85078

Project details

Project name Building 941 (UAV works) Boscombe Down Airfield, Amesbury,

Wiltshire

Short description Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by QinetiQ (Managed

of the project

Services) to undertake an archaeological watching brief to monitor ground works and record any archaeological features and deposits that might be impacted by the construction of a car park and building foundation pads on the northeastern side of an existing aircraft hanger, Building 941. This building lies immediately to the northwest of an especially well preserved disc barrow and it was deemed that there was the potential for significant archaeological remains to have survived in the proposed development area. No evidence of archaeological finds or features were observed at the proposed foundation level during the course of the watching brief. The results of the watching brief appeared to show that the site of the car park and new building had been extensively terraced during the original construction of a foundation pad for the hanger. This work is very likely to have effectively removed any shallow archaeological features and deposits within the immediate environs of the hanger.

Project dates Start: 09-08-2010 End: 10-08-2010

Previous/future

work

No / Not known

Type of project Field evaluation

Site status Area of Archaeological Importance (AAI)

Current Land use Transport and Utilities 2 - Other transport infrastructure

Monument type NONE None Significant Finds NONE None

Project location

Country England

Site location WILTSHIRE SALISBURY AMESBURY Boscombe Down Airfield

Postcode SP4 0BT Study area 1.00 Hectares

Site coordinates SU 17542 40015 51.1585946636 -1.749125317930 51 09 30 N 001

44 56 W Point

Height OD / Depth Min: 110.00m Max: 110.00m

Project creators

Name of Wessex Archaeology

Organisation

Project brief Wessex Archaeology

originator

Project design Wessex Archaeology



originator

Project A Manning

director/manager

Project supervisor PA Harding
Type of Developer

sponsor/funding

body

Name of QinetiQ

sponsor/funding

body

Project archives

Physical Archive No

Exists?

Digital Archive Salisbury and South Wiltshire Museum

recipient

Digital Archive ID 75450

Digital Media 'Survey'

available

Paper Archive Salisbury and South Wilts Museum

recipient

Paper Archive ID 75450

Paper Media 'Context sheet', 'Correspondence', 'Diary', 'Drawing', 'Map', 'Notebook -

available Excavation', 'Research', 'General Notes', 'Unpublished Text'

Project bibliography 1

Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Publication type

Title BUILDING 941 (UAV WORKS), BOSCOMBE DOWN AIRFIELD,

Author(s)/Editor(s) Harding, PA Other 75450.02

bibliographic details

Date 2010

Issuer or publisher Wessex Archaeology

Place of issue or Salisbury

publication

Description Short printed illustrated report

Entered by Andrew Manning (a.manning@wessexarch.co.uk)

Entered on 26 October 2010







