Kissonerga-Mylouthkia - Table 3
Presence ${ }^{1}$ of selected taxa by period and context type

| Period | Period 1A | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Period } \\ \text { 1B } \end{gathered}$ | Well shaft $(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Well } \\ \text { shaft } \\ (1 \mathrm{~B}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Building } \\ \text { fill } \\ \text { (1B) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pit } \\ \text { fill } \\ (1 \mathrm{~B}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of samples | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Litres of deposit (L) | 250 | 630 | 250 | 320 | 110 | 200 |
| All wheat | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Wheat grain | 60\% | 100\% | 60\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Wheat chaff | 80\% | 100\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| All barley | 80\% | 86\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% | 50\% |
| Barley grain | 80\% | 71.4\% | 80\% | 75\% | 100\% | 50\% |
| Barley chaff | 60\% | 57.1\% | 60\% | 100\% | - | - |
| All large seeded legumes | 80\% | 100\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| All fruit | 40\% | 43\% | 40\% | 50\% | 0 | 50\% |
| All oil/fibre plants | 80\% | 43\% | 80\% | 75\% | 0 | 0 |
| All wild/weed taxa | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Item |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Triticum monococcum | - | 29\% | - | 25\% | - | 50\% |
| Triticum cf. monococcum | 20\% | 14.3\% | 20\% | - | - | 50\% |
| Triticum dicoccum | 40\% | 43\% | 40\% | 75\% | - | - |
| Triticum cf. dicoccum | 20\% | - | 20\% | - | - | - |
| Triticum monococcum/dicoccum | 60\% | 29\% | 60\% | 25\% | ${ }^{-}$ | 50\% |
| Triticum sp. | 20\% | 71.4\% | 20\% | 75\% | 100\% | 50\% |
| Triticum spp. chaff ${ }^{2}$ | 80\% | 100\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Hordeum sativum | 80\% | 57.1\% | 80\% | 75\% | 100\% | - |
| Hordeum cf. sativum | - | 8.3\% | - | - | - | 50\% |
| Hordeum sativum chaff ${ }^{2}$ | 60\% | 57.1\% | 60\% | 100\% | - | - |
| Cereal grain indet. | 80\% | 100\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Lens spp. | 40\% | 100\% | 40\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| cf. Lathyrus sp. | 40\% | - | 40\% | - | - | - |
| Vicieae tribe | 40\% | 14.3\% | 40\% | 25\% | - | - |
| Leguminosae - large seeded | 80\% | 71.4\% | 80\% | 75\% | 100\% | 50\% |
| Ficus sp. | - | 29\% | - | 50\% | - | - |
| Pistacia spp. | 40\% | 14.3\% | 40\% | - | - | 50\% |
| Linum sp. | 80\% | 43\% | 80\% | 75\% | - | - |
| Nut shells | 40\% | 43\% | 40\% | 75\% | - | - |
| Root/tuber indeterminate | 60\% | - | 60\% | - | - | - |
| Wild grass taxa only | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Non-grass taxa | 60\% | 86\% | 60\% | 75\% | - | 100\% |

${ }^{1}$ Presence (or ubiquity) analysis is a way of showing the relative abundance of taxa within the assemblage by quantifying the number of samples in which it occurs, e.g. if hulled barley (Hordeum sativum) is found in 8 out of 10 samples within a sample group (context type, period, etc.), then it has a presence of $80 \%$ within that sample group.
${ }^{2}$ Chaff includes spikelet forks, glume bases and glume fragments for wheat taxa (Triticum spp.), rachis internodes and pedicels for barley (Hordeum spp.) and awn fragments and culm nodes and bases for Cereal indeterminate.

