1EW03 – Enabling Works Central

AWHe – Interim Report of the Trial Trench Evaluation at Hunts Green Farm (Grim's Ditch Environs), AC210/15

Site Code: 1C19HGFTT

Document no.: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-EV-REP-CS03_CL05-000003

MDF ref:

Revision	Date	Author	Checked by	Approved by	Revision Details:
C01	07/01/21	V. Hughes, D. Fernandes, S. Wilson	S. Roper, G. Crees	D. Bonner	First Issue

Contents List

Figure List

Plate List

Table List

1 Executive Summary

1.1.1 An archaeological trial trench evaluation was undertaken on land at Hunts Green, within the environs of Grim's Ditch, Buckinghamshire (henceforth the 'Site'). The site code allocated for this work was 1C19HGFTT. The evaluation was carried out from October to December 2020. The Site surrounds Grim's Ditch Scheduled Monument (List Entry 1021198), the archaeological investigation of which is covered by a separate Project Plan (Document Ref: 1EW03-FUS-EV-REP-CS03_CL05-009409).

1.1.2 The Site (C21023) lies off Kings Lane, 2km due north of Great Missenden, Buckinghamshire. The evaluation was targeted on land required for the main rail alignment, which in this section will be in a cutting, as well as associated engineering works that include environmental bunds and tree-planting alongside the cutting, as well as land needed for temporary soil storage areas as outlined in the Project Plan (Document Ref: 1EW03-FUS-EV-REP-CS03_ CL05-009432). The evaluation followed the methodology laid out in the Location Specific Written Scheme of Investigation (Document Ref: 1EW03-FUS-EV-REP- CS03_CL05-000002).

1.1.3 Of the 152 trenches proposed for excavation in the Project Plan, a total of 151 trenches were excavated. The excavation, recording and reinstatement of the trenches was undertaken between 19th October and 3rd December 2020. There were 89 trenches that revealed features of archaeological origin, although with varying quality of survival. One trench (T72) has not yet been excavated. The excavation of this trench will be undertaken at a later date under the existing Project Plan. There were 12 trenches moved from their original locations proposed in the Project Plan, as outlined in section 3.1.5 below.

1.1.4 The results included two separate sections of ditch that both follow the projected line of Grim's Ditch and could potentially be a continuation of the monument. However it is also possible that these ditch sections could be later additions to the monument, and unrelated to the original function of the Ditch monument. The earliest activity comprised flints indicating Mesolithic or early Neolithic activity in the general vicinity as well as sparse Middle Iron Age material. Most evidence on Site dated from the very late Iron Age and Romano-British period. This principally consisted of ditches and pits but also included possible waterholes and a stone surface. Evidence for later activity was limited to a small number of features probably associated with post-medieval quarrying activity. A large number of features were undated.

1.1.5 The key findings are summarised in this Interim Report in order to inform subsequent decision making for any further archaeological works at the Site.

2 Site Location

2.1.1 The Site lies off Kings Lane, 2km due north of Great Missenden railway station, centred on NGR 489270 203580. It occupies high ground, with the Misbourne Valley, Woodlands Park and the A413 Aylesbury Road to the west and Hunts Green Farm and the buildings of The Lee village to the east (Figure 1).

2.1.2 The Site lies within Community Forum Area 10 (Dunsmore, Wendover and Halton) and is within Archaeological Sub-Zone (ASZ) 2: Land to the West of Hunts Green Farm including the Grim's Ditch Scheduled Monument (NGR 489270 203580).

2.1.3 The Site occupies a roughly rectangular plot of land comprising two arable fields, the Northern and Southern Fields, separated by a trackway leading from Hunts Green Farm. The Site occupies an area of 32.15ha (Fusion Site GIS ID Ref: C21023) and the fields were under pasture during the works. The ground conditions were generally good, although the conditions worsened over the autumn-winter season with areas of standing water becoming established in the Northern Field.

2.1.4 The Site surrounds the surviving earthworks of the Cottage Farm section of the Grim's Ditch Scheduled Monument (henceforth referred to as 'Grim's Ditch').

3 Methodology

3.1.1 The evaluation was undertaken as outlined in the Project Plan and followed the methodology laid out in the Location Specific Written Scheme of Investigation. In the Project Plan, a total of 151 trenches were excavated. One trench, Tr 72, was not excavated due to access issues. The excavation of this trench will be undertaken at a later date, under the existing Project Plan. Thirteen trenches were moved through change control (Section 3.1.5-6 and Figure 2).

3.1.2 A total of 453 test pits were excavated within the footprint of the evaluation trenches (Figures 8 and 9) to recover unstratified artefacts from the topsoil through sieving. These comprised three test pits per trench. Three further test pits will be excavated within the footprint of T72 when that trench is excavated at a later date.

Aims

3.1.3 The general aims of the trenching were to:

- provide a record of the Site prior to any impact from the HS2 scheme
- confirm the presence/ absence, extent and depth of any surviving archaeological remains within the Site
- determine the nature, date, condition, state of preservation including any preservation bias, complexity and significance of any archaeological remains
- determine the likely range, quality and quantity of artefactual and environmental evidence present
- suggest measures, if appropriate and feasible, for further archaeological investigation to mitigate identified significant impacts
- contribute to the delivery of GWSI: HERDS Specific Objectives as specified in Section 4.2 of the project plan.

3.1.4 The HERDS Specific Objectives of the Trial Trench Evaluation were:

- KC2: Explore the location of Palaeolithic deposits, reconstruct past environments and investigate the relationship between climate variation and phases of human activity.
- KC5: Identifying settlement location and developing models for settlement patterns of the Mesolithic, Neolithic and Early Bronze Age.

- KC6: Understanding the evidence for change in the environment and management of the landscape for the Mesolithic and Early Neolithic periods.
- KC13: What was the date of the establishment of Grim's Ditch? What impact did it have on the landscape following its construction?
- KC15: Can we identify regional patterns in the form and location of Late Bronze Age and Iron Age settlements across the route, and are there associated differences in landscape organisation and enclosure?
- KC16: Investigate the degree of continuity that existed between Late Bronze Age and Iron Age communities in terms of population, mobility and subsistence strategies.
- KC18: Explore the evidence for increasing social complexity in the archaeological record in the Late Bronze Age and Iron Age and identify patterns of intraregional and regional variation.

Change Controls

3.1.5 The relocation of 13 of the excavated trenches from their original locations proposed in the Project Plan was implemented through change control, due either to proximity to monitoring boreholes or to the trackway installed to access the compounds on site which had to follow ground contours.

3.1.6 Where these trenches targeted geophysical anomalies they were adjusted to target the same anomalies, and maintain approximately the same orientation, given constraints of the Site:

- Trench 3 was moved 5m to the southeast on the same alignment as it lay beneath the trackway and a safe working margin was required (Document number TBC)
- Trench 6 was rotated approximately 10 degrees clockwise to be parallel to the trackway (Document number TBC)
- Trench 16 was moved 3m to the north to avoid the trackway (Document number TBC)
- Trench 24 was moved to the northeast to avoid the trackway (Document number TBC)
- Trench 32 was rotated approximately 10 degrees anticlockwise to be parallel to the trackway (Document number TBC)
- Trench 44 was initially rotated 90 degrees anticlockwise and positioned to the west of the trackway, but this was too close to the utilities exclusion zone and was further relocated to the east of the trackway (Document number TBC)
- Trench 51 was moved 2m to the north, but remained on the same alignment to maintain a sufficient margin of safety between the INFRA compound and the trench (Document number TBC)
- Trench 53 was moved 3m to the south to avoid both the trackway and equipment (Document number TBC)

- Trench 61 was rotated approximately 10 degrees anticlockwise to be parallel to the trackway (Document number TBC)
- Trench 72 was overlain by the compound installed for the Coombes tree felling and the trench is postponed until remobilisation in the new year 2021. The Trench was targeting the same geophysical anomaly as in Trench 58 and it would not effect the decision making process for the Site and but will be included in the final report for the Trial Trenching work of Hunts Green (Document number TBC)
- Trench 88 maintained approximately the same orientation but the southwest end was move 2m to the east for clearance away from monitoring boreholes, while still avoiding the utilities exclusion zone (Document number TBC)
- Trench 129 maintained the same orientation but moved southeast by 6m for clearance away from monitoring boreholes, to still avoid the utilities exclusion zone to the northwest (Document number TBC)
- Trench 146 maintained the same orientation but was moved north-eastward by approximately 2m to avoid monitoring boreholes (Document number TBC).

4 Factual Summary of Key Archaeological Findings

Site Geology

4.1.1 The underlying bedrock comprises chalk of the Lewes Nodular Chalk and Seaford Chalk Formations, formed approximately 84 to 94 million years ago in the Cretaceous Period in a local environment previously dominated by shallow seas. These are overlain by deposits of clay, silt, sands and gravels of the Clay-with-Flints formation laid down up to 23 million years ago, (BGS 2020).

4.1.2 Soils are described as well-drained flinty fine silty soils, over chalk or chalk rubble on the valley sides varying to well drained fine silty or loam, and variably flinty over chalk (Cranfield Online 2020).

4.1.3 The general absence of subsoil observed within most of the trenches demonstrates the Site has been truncated by ploughing, with 0.3m thick topsoil directly overlying the natural clay-with-flints geology for most of the area. The only intermittent areas of subsoil present were either preserved in the depressions of underlying archaeological features or towards the southeast, where the ground began to slope downhill. The dimensions of all topsoil land subsoil deposits are fully detailed in Appendix 2.

Test Pitting and Metal Detecting Archaeological Results (Figures 7-9)

4.1.4 The 453 test pits (Figures 7-9) only recovered a limited array of unstratified artefacts, which included pottery and CBM predominantly of post-medieval date. A small number of possible Prehistoric struck flints was also recovered. The vast majority of the test pits (419) produced no artefacts at all and no notable concentrations that warranted immediate further investigation were identified. Similarly, prior to detailed analysis, the results from any single test pit were not sufficiently significant to warrant individual discussion.

4.1.5 Metal detecting recovered a total of 38 artefacts from the topsoil across the Site. There were no notable scatters of metallic artefacts to indicate further investigation would be required. The only firmly dateable objects were of post-medieval or modern date. The majority of recovered objects were of copper alloy, although three silver artefacts were also recovered, two post-medieval/modern coins and a ring of uncertain date.

Trial Trench Evaluation Archaeological Results (Figures 2-6)

4.1.6 Of the 152 trenches proposed for excavation in the Project Plan, a total of 151 trenches were excavated, with the remaining Trench (T72) to be excavated at a later date. Archaeological features were found in 89 of the excavated trenches. The archaeological features were scattered relatively densely across parts of the Site, most notably within the Northern Field. Typically, features within the Southern Field were more difficult to interpret as definitely archaeological, as described in Table 1 below. While there were a number of features in this field confidently interpreted as of archaeological origin, with numerous others it was unclear within the confines of the evaluation trenches whether they were formed through archaeological or natural processes. There were also some notable areas within the Site that appeared more or less devoid of features, at least within the footprint of the evaluation trenches. Numerous natural/bioturbation features were identified, particularly within the Southern Field.

4.1.7 The types of archaeological features uncovered were predominantly linear ditches (approximately 140), with pits being the next most common feature type (approximately 37), and only limited numbers of (approximately 10) isolated postholes which did not form any cohesive structures. There were no structural or in-situ industrial remains preserved on the Site, although a stone surface was seen in Trench 27.

4.1.8 Trenches were categorised in the following manner:

Table 1 Provisional qualification of trenches

Category	Description	Northern Field Trench No.	Southern Field Trench No.	Total No.
B - Blank	Trenches contained no features, or only land drains	1, 3, 23, 29, 37, 46, 57, 71, 74, 75, 76, 80, 85, 86, 87	89, 92, 94, 95, 96, 100, 101, 121, 128, 133, 137, 144	27
N - Natural	Trenches had features which were investigated and determined to be of Natural origin, either rooting or geological.	5, 10, 12, 14, 17, 28, 32, 49, 56, 64, 77, 81, 84, 91	102, 103, 104, 112, 114, 116, 117, 119, 120, 125, 127, 129, 130, 131, 132, 138, 139, 140, 143, 145, 147	35
L – Low Significance	Trenches had features which were investigated and were either: of ambiguous origin, but probably natural; single isolated, undated discrete features;	16, 24, 30, 54, 63, 65, 79, 83, 88	105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 113, 115, 123, 151	19

	or single post-med features.			
M – Moderate Significance	Trenches had features which were investigated and there were several features of possible archaeological origin, with only poor or no finds assemblages.	4, 6, 18, 21, 22, 26, 33, 34, 35, 36, 39, 41, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 58, 62, 68, 69, 70, 78, 82, 93,	90, 97, 99, 111, 118, 122, 124, 126, 134, 136, 141,146, 148, 149, 150, 152	45
H – High Significance	Trenches had features which were of archaeological origin.	2, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 19, 20, 25, 27, 31, 38, 40, 43, 55, 59, 60, 61, 66, 67, 73	98, 135, 142	25

4.1.9 Blank trenches and those with only land drains or natural features are discounted from the remaining descriptions and discussion.

4.1.10 The majority of features comprised single cuts that contained a single fill. There were only a few instances of intercutting features or localised recutting of individual features. Most/all of the recutting events were for ditches, but the evidence does not indicate these can be neatly associated with any distinct phasing to the activity and could more likely be the result of piecemeal maintenance.

4.1.11 The most complex deposit and recut sequences were seen associated with the large ditches at the northern end of the site, these appear to align in a broadly sinuous north-easterly direction from the Grim's Ditch monument. All the features were sealed by the topsoil and subsoil and cut into the underlying geological substrate.

4.1.12 Most features were ditches or possible pits less than 1m wide and less than 0.5m deep. The exceptions were the larger ditches in the Northern Field in Trenches 7, 13, 20, 25, 31 and 40, and Trenches 55, 59 and 66 near Grim's Ditch. Also notable by their size was the uncertain feature in Trench 43 and a small number of pits such as those seen in Trenches 98 and 142.

4.1.13 The most significant features and trenches are discussed below.

Northern Field (Figures 4 and 5)

4.1.14 This area had 89 trenches, Trenches 1-88 and 91. Trench 72 was not excavated. A significant proportion of the features were clearly of archaeological origin with good finds assemblages, but there were also some undated features and others of a probable geological origin or the result of bioturbation.

4.1.15 Trench 2 contained a small ditch and recut, [900207 and 900210] with numerous worked flints recovered from the fills and a few pottery fragments also recovered. Although the pottery contained within the earlier ditch was of Late Iron Age/early Roman date the presence of residual worked flint clearly suggests earlier activity within the Site environs. In Trench 60

were two small ditches [906002 and 906004] and one possible ditch [906006]. Ditch [906002] had small, abraded middle Iron Age pottery fragments within its single fill.

4.1.16 Ditches within Trenches 35 and 41 corresponded closely with a rectangular geophysical anomaly, the pottery from their single fills suggesting that it might be a small enclosure of Roman date. Additionally a ditch of Roman date within the adjacent Trench 27 also appeared to correlate with a similar anomaly.

4.1.17 Trench 43 contained a large feature [904302] which was over 10m in diameter and the depth exceeded 1.2m below present ground level. It did not extend into the adjacent Trench 45. It appeared as one large and slightly irregular cut, the function and interpretation of which are uncertain. At present it appears most likely to be a large extraction pit or waterhole. The fills are consistent with gradual accumulation over time and a tertiary fill yielded a small assemblage of Middle Iron Age pottery.

4.1.18 Trench 66 revealed the presence of a large ditch [906604] on a north-west / south-east line similar to the projected continuation of the Grim's Ditch earthwork to the south-west. The ditch was over 5m wide and exceeded 1.2m depth below the present ground level. The upper fills were episodes of secondary silting, with flint nodule inclusions, and re-deposited natural sediments noted forming against the sides of the cut. Pottery recovered from these upper fills was dated to the Roman period. The only dated post hole within the Site was located to the east of Trench 66, within Trench 67, and contained Romano-British pottery.

4.1.19 Large features interpreted as parts of ditches were recorded in nearby Trenches 55 and 59. A possible ditch terminus or corner of a turning ditch was recorded at the end of Trench 55, [905502], this was over 1.2m deep and extended southwards. It could potentially be a continuation of the ditch recorded in Trench 66 as the fills were broadly similar, although undated.

4.1.20 The possible ditch terminus in Trench 59, [905902 and 905911], was on a north-east / south-west alignment. Its proximity to the ditches identified in Trenches 55 and 66 suggests a potential relationship, although that could not be confirmed within the confines of the evaluation trenches. Its fills appeared less similar which could suggest it may be unrelated. The ditches in Trenches 59 and 66 do not appear to correlate with the linear anomalies depicted in the geophysical survey.

4.1.21 There was evidence for a further large ditch aligned north-west / south-east and which appeared to be continuous through Trenches 7, 13, 20, 25, 31 and 40, seen as [900702, 901307 and 901307, 902007, 902512 and 902515, 903112 and 904002]. Based on its alignment and dimensions this could prove to be a continuation of the Grim's Ditch Monument. The ditch was over 5m wide and continued beyond 1.2m below present ground level. The fill sequences as recorded in the individual sections did vary along the length of this presumably continuous feature, and the finds recovered included discarded burnt/fired clay and slag, as well as probable Roman pottery, with all these finds being collected from the upper fills. The ditch appeared to have been infilled and gone out of use before a phase in which several smaller ditches were seen to truncate the upper fills.

4.1.22 A general concentration of features in the Northern Field are of probable Roman origin as suggested by the pottery recovered. Notably, Trench 8 contained an extensive feature

[900809=900811] that contained a significant amount of discarded burnt material, interpreted as a possible pit but only partially revealed in the trench. Trench 9 contained an undated ditch [900902] and medium sized pit [900904] while Trench 11 which contained several small undated pits [901103, 901110] with distinctive fills that included stones and burnt/fired clay. Trench 27 contained a possible stone surface (902703) of flint nodules which sealed two earlier undated features, ditch [902707] and probable pit [902712]. The stone surface was overlain by humic and charcoal-rich deposit which yielded Roman pottery (C2nd-4th), a lead weight and a small, coiled piece of metal, probably a silvered ring which may suggest the surface and the underlying features are at least of Roman date.

4.1.23 Later, probable post-medieval features included large curving features [904003, 904204, 905002] identified in Trenches 40, 42 and 50, with those in Trenches 40 and 42 containing small quantities of post-medieval CBM. It is also possible that these features could originate as natural hollows and may have been utilised for the purpose of holding water. The edge of a further possible waterhole type feature [908202] was seen in Trench 82.

4.1.24 Field drains recorded in the Northern Field were of both the sod type and the chalk field type. None contained ceramic pipes or are otherwise dated but the character of these features suggests they are post-medieval.

4.1.25 Several undated ditches [905103, 905104, 905802, 905805, 905809] were recorded in the Northern Field within Trenches 51 and 58.

Southern Field (Figures 5 and 6)

4.1.26 This area contained 63 trenches, Trenches 89, 90 and 92-152. There were areas where archaeological features appeared concentrated, but a large number also proved to be discrete features of probable geological origin or the result of bioturbation. Only a limited number of trenches contained features that could be dated.

4.1.27 In Trench 135 a large feature [913510] was recorded. Its fill sequence included a layer of unburnt flints probably used for consolidation of its base; a layer of discarded burnt material; and naturally silted deposits associated with the later stages/dis-use of the feature, which produced some Middle Iron Age pottery sherds. The function of the feature is unclear. One possibility is that it was utilised for the general discard of material from near-by activity or alternatively that it functioned as a 'burnt mound', although the topographical location is not conducive to the latter.

4.1.28 Trench 142 contained a large pit [914204]. Its four secondary fills appear to be consistent with the gradual accumulation of natural silting, two of which produced a small assemblage of Middle Iron Age pottery.

4.1.29 A small north-east / south-west ditch [912207] in Trench 122 yielded a fragment of Middle Iron Age pottery from its single fill along with pieces of post-medieval CBM, suggesting that the Iron Age pottery may be intrusive in a later feature.

4.1.30 A pit [914812] in Trench 148 contained a small assemblage of Late Iron Age/Early Roman pottery and a fragment of lead.

4.1.31 A large post-medieval circular pit [909807] was identified in Trench 98 and it may have functioned as a possible extraction pit or perhaps a well. The feature was over 1.2m deep.

4.1.32 The trenches in the north-western part of the Southern Field revealed the presence of parallel narrow linear features, that broadly corresponded to linear anomalies shown in the geophysical survey. Although undated they were thought to derive from post-medieval activity, probably related to drainage or agricultural practices.

Correlation with Geophysical Survey

4.1.33 The correspondence of features with geophysical anomalies was inconsistent, with some smaller features being corroborated such as the rectangular enclosure in the vicinity of Trenches 35 and 41. The majority of features, even some of significant size were not identified in the results of the geophysical survey. The probable continuous north-west / south-east ditch between Trenches 13 and 40 was not consistently indicated and only visible as a series of irregular anomalies of uncertain origin approximately in line with only part of the ditch. Overall, the geophysics survey did not predict significant or extensive remains within the Site and proved to be a largely unreliable indicator of the presence of archaeology. It failed to identify at least two substantial ditches and many smaller features. This might be argued to be the result of the similarities between the magnetic response of the ditch fills and the surrounding geology but conversely it was able to accurately identify less substantial features elsewhere within the Site. The same applies to the results of the LiDAR survey which suggested a T-shaped feature, north-east of the Grim's Ditch Monument and in proximity to trenches 47, 59, 66, 78 and 81, which is now thought to be a possible amalgamation of features rather than one clearly defined entity.

5 Interim Artefactual Summary

5.1.1 The bulk finds recovered during the Trial Trench Evaluation and Test Pitting comprised 1612 artefacts and ecofacts, weighing 35,182kg. The assemblage displayed material of prehistoric to modern date and was composed of; pottery (68%), ceramic building material (10%), metal objects (5.5%), PPR/slag (5%), flint (4.5%), faunal remains (4%), stone (2.5%) and charcoal (0.5%).

5.1.2 In addition to the bulk finds, 522 artefacts and samples of industrial debris, weighing 1,717kg, were retrieved from the paleo-environmental sample processing. The chronology of the materials spanned from prehistoric to modern date, with a large majority being of Roman chronology. This assemblage was represented by; pottery (42.7%), flint (34.3%), faunal remains (11.6%), Iron objects (5.7%), PPR/slag (3.4%), fired clay (1.6%), glass (0.5%) and ceramic building material (0.2%).

5.1.3 The group was largely fragmented but in otherwise good condition. Pottery fragments were predominantly Romano-British ceramics, although there were also a small number of Prehistoric and post-medieval to modern fabrics, with the latter almost exclusively collected from topsoil. The ceramics were mostly undiagnostic although various rims, base sherds or decorated fragments do feature in the assemblage. All finds have been cleaned and quantified by material type and trench, as summarised below (Table 2).

5.1.4 Preliminary spot-dating for the pottery and CBM, retrieved as bulk find, was provided by Dr Phil Mills and is available in Appendix 4/Table 8.

Table 2 Provisional quantification and dating of artefactual remains from features

Artefact Type	Trench No.	Estimated quantity (count)	Weight (grams)	Provisional date
Ceramic: Ceramic building material	5, 8, 9, 11, 14, 18, 19, 20, 25, 27, 34, 38, 40, 43, 59, 67, 73, 98, 108, 122, 129, 137, 142, 149	169	13498	Roman – Post-medieval
Ceramic: Fired clay	7, 11, 20, 25, 27, 135	15	583	Uncertain
Ceramic: Pottery	2, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 27, 31, 35, 38, 40, 41, 43, 59, 60, 61, 66, 67, 69, 73, 99, 115, 118, 122, 135, 142, 148	1327	12153	Prehistoric / Roman / Modern
Charcoal	7	5	3	-
Faunal Remains	8, 9, 11, 27, 40, 93	127	351	-
Flint	2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 16, 19, 20, 22, 27, 31, 32, 34, 36, 40, 41, 54, 59, 61, 62, 63, 67, 70, 87, 124, 126, 135, 140, 148, 150, 151	251	1252	Prehistoric
Glass	13, 20, 27	3	3	Uncertain
Metalwork	7, 8, 11, 13, 16, 20, 25, 27, 28, 29, 31, 35, 39, 40, 41, 46, 49, 60, 62, 69, 70, 73, 77, 86, 92, 93, 98, 107, 108, 111, 120, 133, 139, 142, 148, 149, 152	119	1851	Post- Medieval / Modern
PPR / Slag	2, 7, 8, 11, 13, 18, 20, 25, 27, 35, 38, 40, 41, 66, 68, 73, 127	105	5842	-
Stone	8, 13, 43, 61	13	1363	Uncertain

5.1.5 The 453 test pits produced limited results from the sieving (Table 4). The three test pits associated with Trench 72 have not yet been excavated. The metal detecting recovered a small assemblage of finds from across the area, (full results pending), all from the topsoil. It was noted that there appeared to be more Roman period finds from the northern part of the field although there were no obvious concentrations of artefacts. There was no apparent correlation between flint artefacts and the locations of Prehistoric features.

Table 3 Provisional quantification and dating of artefactual remains from test pits and metal detecting.

Artefact Type	Trench (Test Pit) No.	Quantity (count)	Provisional date
Ceramic: Ceramic building material	5(2 & 3), 11 (1), 14(3), 19(1), 25(2), 34(3), 40(2), 43(2), 67(1), 98(1), 129(1), 137(3), 142(2)	14	Post medieval
Ceramic: Pottery	10(2), 18(3), 22(2), 38(1), 69(1), 73 (1) 99(2), 108(2), 118(1)	8	Post Medieval
Metal objects (all from metal detecting)	7, 13, 16, 20, 27, 28, 29, 31, 35, 39, 40, 46, 49, 62, 69, 70, 77, 86, 92, 93, 98, 107, 108, 111, 120, 133, 139, 149, 152	33	Roman to Modern
Flint fragments	2(2), 19(1), 20(2), 32(3), 54(2), 62(3), 63(2), 70(3), 87(1), 148(2)	10	Uncertain

6 Interim Palaeo-Environmental Summary

6.1.1 Palaeo-environmental sampling took place on 75 suitable deposits identified during the trial trench evaluation. These were taken from a range of features including ditches, pits and post holes for the retrieval of environmental data, dating evidence, finds and characterization of potential. No deposits suitable for specialist sampling were identified, and all bulk samples have been fully processed and are under assessment.

6.1.2 A total number of artefacts and ecofacts from the bulk samples will be available after the assessment. A list of sample numbers with associated trenches and bulk sample volumes undergoing processing is available in Appendix 3 (Table 8).

6.1.3 In addition to bulk samples, animal bone was hand retrieved, where encountered, across the Site. A total of 67 pieces of animal bone and teeth were recovered from four contexts, in Trenches 8, 9, 11 and 93. The assemblage was mostly fragmented, resulting in a large number of unquantifiable indeterminate mammals, and the general paucity of bone may indicate a low rate of preservation.

7 Provisional Interpretation and Discussion

7.1.1 The trial trench evaluation on land at Hunts Green Farm identified a significant number of features of archaeological origin.

7.1.2 The earliest activity on Site was indicated by the presence of prehistoric worked flint which may be broadly contemporary with the construction of the Grim's Ditch Monument. The earliest prehistoric pottery sherds collected from features within the Site appeared to be of Middle Iron Age date.

7.1.3 Grim's Ditch, although segmented, is thought to have been part of a single coherent system of land division or demarcation, extending across the top of the Chiltern Scarp. The trial trenching has identified two sections of ditch, one extending immediately to the north-east of the Scheduled Area, the other, separate, and continuing in the same direction further north-east. Both appear to align with the monument and could tentatively be interpreted as

continuations of the Grim's ditch monument, however it is also possible they represent later ditches that are unrelated to the monument or at least the original purpose of the monument.

7.1.4 A potential continuation of Grim's Ditch, to the immediate north-east of the extant (Scheduled) earthwork, could be indicated by the presence of a large ditch identified in Trench 66, possibly terminating or turning in Trench 55, and less likely so in Trench 59 (the ditch seen in Trench 59 is on a different alignment and less likely associated). The ditch section recorded in Trench 66 would appear to correlate with a shallow banked earthwork identified in a geophysical survey and remote sensing (LiDAR) survey undertaken as part of the HS2 baseline assessment. The results of the survey shows that the earthwork continues and likely terminates some 40m north-east of the Scheduled boundary and appeared to corroborate the evidence for a north-easterly continuation shown in the 1878 Ordnance Survey which shows the earthwork did continue for approximately 35m in that direction, in an alignment towards King's Lane (Grims Ditch Information Paper, Doc Ref: C252-ETM-EV-REP-020-000121_P02). Whilst it is clear that the ditch in Trench 66 along with the survey evidence does indicate the presence of a ditch and former earthwork continuing north-east of the monument. However given the segmented nature of the monument as a whole and given that the pottery dating recovered from Trench 66 was all Roman and from its upper fills, it is also possible the evidence belongs to a much later addition to the monument, and unrelated to the original function of the Ditch monument.

7.1.5 The possible large ditch evidenced in Trenches 7, 13, 20, 25, 31 and 40 could also be interpreted as a further north-eastern continuation of the Grim's Ditch Monument. Its size was not dissimilar to the putative continuation seen in Trenches 66 and 55 but again, the datable finds evidence, Roman pottery sherds, were all collected from the upper fills, and whilst it could be possible that this represents a continuation of the monument, it too could be a later cutting that was unrelated to the original monument or at least to the purpose of the original monument. The remote sensing (LiDAR) survey identified a possible ditch and bank earthwork adjacent to King's Lane in Rushmore Wood, 420m north of the Scheduled extant of the monument and it has been speculated that this could be a continuation of the monument, and that its presence adds weight to the possibility that King's Lane follows the monuments alignment further north-east of the Site. The ditch recorded in Trenches 7, 13, 20, 25, 31 and 40 would appear to align with and fit part of the gap between the Grim's ditch monument and the possible ditch and bank identified in the LiDAR survey in Rushmore Wood. However the nature of the ditch and bank earthwork in Rushmere wood would need to be proven in excavation and whilst it could be associated with the ditch identified in the Trial Trenching, it still cannot be definitively associated with the Grim's Ditch monument on this understanding alone.

7.1.6 If this is a continuation of Grim's Ditch then it demonstrates varying levels of survival along the length of the monument which was clearly maintained in some places but slighted in others. This stretch would have clearly varied in terms of formation and preservation to the extant Monument, that latter surviving in part due to being incorporated into woodland in the 1820s within a formalised garden.

7.1.7 No structures were identified during the trial trench evaluation, with just ten isolated post holes recorded (one of which produced Roman pottery sherds), and part of a stone surface in Trench 27 which could be of Roman date. The presence of slag (including smithing hearth

bottoms, hearth lining, dense slag, tap slag, run slag, furnace slag and hammerscale) and discarded burnt material in a number of features across the area would suggest that localised metal working was likely taking place within the Site or nearby. The evidence suggested that both iron smelting and smithing were taking place.

7.1.8 There were few features dated to the post-Roman periods demonstrating that the Site had probably become part of the general agricultural hinterland, which is supported by the small assemblages of post-medieval CBM and buttons, coins and bells recovered from the topsoil. The possible exception is the pit seen in Trench 98 which may be part of a wider extraction activity such as can be seen in the historic area of Brick's Wood which was exploited for chalk. It is possible that the feature may be similar to the circular disturbances seen on the Monument and all could potentially be related to extraction of material such as flints, clay or chalk.

7.1.9 The test pitting contributed little to the overall understanding of the Site beyond the general suggestion that Roman finds seemed slightly more common within the northern part of the Site. Similarly, the metal detecting contributed only limited results, with the vast majority of finds either undatable or of post-medieval/modern date.

8 Potential Contribution to Specific Objectives

8.1.1 The results of the evaluation demonstrated the Site has potential to contribute to the HERDS objectives outlined in the LSWSI and Project Plan for this Site:

Table 4Contribution to specific Objectives – Knowledge Creation

Specific Objective (KC)	Potential Contribution	Suggested Methods
KC2: Explore the location of Palaeolithic deposits, reconstruct past environments and investigate the relationship between climate variation and phases of human activity	The probable presence of solution features in the chalk, indicated by the remote sensing surveys is an opportunity to examine the possible presence of Pleistocene deposits and evidence for Palaeolithic occupation. The evaluation revealed that the chalk was sealed below clay-with-flints geology. Features were recorded cutting the clay, so the underlying chalk strata was not exposed in the trenches.There were no solution features identified and there seems little contribution that can be made to this objective based on the current results.	An examination of lower geologically exposed deposits encountered in future works may assist with this. Given the absence of any identified solution features or other geological deposits that might be associated with holding potential for Pliestocene activity, further pursuit of this objective could be through Geoarchaeological test pits that target the deeper geological sequence and to reach the chalk beneath the clay-with-flints capping.
KC5: Identifying settlement location and developing models for settlement patterns of the	Prehistoric worked flints were recovered from a small number of features across Site.	Specialist analysis of the flints to provide closer dating and ascertain what forms of activity were

Specific Objective (KC)	Potential Contribution	Suggested Methods
Mesolithic, Neolithic and Early Bronze Age.	Whilst unstratified and likely to be mostly residual within the later features, it is possible that some of the otherwise undated features could be associated with this activity, however this is not possible to prove at this Interim stag. Further refinement of artefactual dating, could provide better understanding of how evidence for these periods are represented	present on the Site during these periods.
KC6: Understanding the evidence for change in the environment and management of the landscape for the Mesolithic and Early Neolithic periods.	The fills of solution features in the chalk could provide research material for investigation of changes in the local environment in the post-glacial periods. However no features or artefactual spreads were identified in association with the chalk strata which was only partially exposed during the evaluation, with all features recorded cutting the overlying clay-with-flints. No solution features were identified.	An examination of lower geological strata in future works or dedicated test pitting or Trial Trenching to examine the chalk strata. Artefacts or features of Mesolithic/Early Neolithic date may be revealed during further Archaeological Recording.
KC13: What was the date of the establishment of Grim's Ditch? What impact did it have on the landscape following its construction?	The evaluation indicated a possible continuation of Grim's Ditch and a further possible discontinuous segment further north. Due to the depth of these features, none of the lower fills were able to be reached for the recovery of dateable artefacts or palaeoenvironmental sampling. The Site has the potential to identify evidence of activity contemporary to the construction and use of the monument which in turn could add to understanding of the date and origins of the monument. Additionally, the evaluation could also identify and date activity which predates the monument. Palaeoenvironmental evidence could provide a chronology for the changes in the surrounding landscape and the monument itself before, during and impact after its construction.	Archaeological Recording in the area of the possible continuation ditches would ascertain the nature, extent, date and development of the features recorded, notably the two sections of ditch that could represent a continuation of the Grims Ditch monument or provide a better understanding of how they relate to it, i.e. as potential later additions or as unrelated. Fully excavating these identified ditch sections to base may better define their likely period of origin and better determine their level of direct association with the Grim's ditch monument. A full profile of the ditch(es) and full sequence of fills to be examined, particularly with a palaeoenvironmental sampling method. Potential comparison of full excavation of the ditch segments to the results of future work pending (Topographic Survey and Trial Trench Evaluation at Grim's Ditch Scheduled Monument) which

Specific Objective (KC)	Potential Contribution	Suggested Methods
		includes proposed trenching across the Scheduled monument. Refined dating and specialist analysis of pottery sherds could assist with confirming the date/longevity of the monument.
KC15: Can we identify regional patterns in the form and location of Late Bronze Age and Iron Age settlements across the route, and are there associated differences in landscape organisation and enclosure?	Middle and Late Iron Age pottery was recovered from features across Site. Investigated further, these features could contribute to a greater understanding of settlement within the vicinity of the Site and contribute to a wider route-wide understanding of landscape organisation and settlement.	Archaeological Recording would ascertain significantly more detail regarding the nature, extent, date and development of the features and determine whether direct habitation or industrial evidence is present. Refined dating of pottery sherds and more detailed palaeoenvironemental sampling would assist with better understanding the sequence of activity.
KC16: Investigate the degree of continuity that existed between Late Bronze Age and Iron Age communities in terms of population, mobility and subsistence strategies.	The presence of large-scale cross-country earthwork boundaries (ie. Grim's Ditch) and the possible continuations within the Site have the potential to provide evidence of change/continuity through an examination of the chronology, form, use and longevity. It has been argued that such earthworks open physical routeways through the landscape enabling a greater interaction of different groups, leading ultimately to the formation of tribes as well as changes in agricultural practice. Changes in landscape use after the establishment of these boundaries will assist with understanding the impact they had on people, economy and infrastructure.	Archaeological Recording would reveal additional information about the extent of Iron Age occupation within the Site and how this developed after the establishment of Grim's Ditch. Further work may also reveal features of Bronze Age date which were perhaps contemporary with the construction of the monument, providing additional evidence of the Bronze/Iron Age transition. The identification of field/systems and enclosures would further reveal evidence of continuity or change during these periods. Refined dating and analysis of pottery sherds would enable a more detailed insight into this general period of activity.
KC18: Explore the evidence for increasing social complexity in the archaeological record in the Late Bronze Age and Iron Age and identify patterns of intraregional and regional variation.	As above, cross-country earthwork monuments also have the potential to add to understanding of geographical, as well as cultural, variation. The opportunity to examine archaeological activity within the immediate environs of a monument such as Grim's Ditch has the potential to provide direct evidence of how the complexity of society changed	Archaeological Recording would reveal further information about the development of the Site through the Iron Age, as well as any evidence for Late Bronze Age activity. Greater detail of the extent of Grim's Ditch is essential to understand it's impact on the landscape. Refined dating and analysis of pottery sherds would help

Specific Objective (KC)	Potential Contribution	Suggested Methods
	throughout these periods and the impact the construction of the monument had on them, perhaps facilitating the wider movement of peoples, goods and ideas. It seems likely that some of the activity recorded could be from the Bronze or Iron Age.	understand the movement of pottery on a local or regional scale.

Table 5 Specific Objectives - Community

Specific Objective (CE)	Potential Contribution	Suggested Methods
CE1: Marking and communicating the changes to landscapes and environments.	Virtual reality reconstructions showing the construction and evolving history of the monument.	Collection and analysis of environmental material. Capture of visual data to provide baseline and background
CE2: Identifying and sharing our stories	The investigation of Grim's Ditch and its landscape context focusses on the monument and its place in the wider landscape, and ways that local communities have understood their landscape and history through time.	Online blogs and use of social media to keep people updated.
CE3: Meeting the challenge of inspiring the next generation	Dissemination of the results, including the results of any further possible mitigation, to the wider public and younger audiences in particular at a later stage (after any further mitigation activity) and as part of the bigger public presentation for this part of the route section, in a manner to be determined by the Employer and Contractor.	Presentations to local schools and colleges, supported by online resources. Online blogs and use of social media to keep people updated.
CE4: Accessible information and knowledge sharing.	Post fieldwork: presentations to local history and archaeology societies in addition to events allowing local residents to meet and talk to members of the archaeological team and see and handle finds from the Site.	Presentations to interested local parties including; schools, colleges, societies and the general public. Such presentations may be in person or virtual and supported by online resources. Stand alone / pop up displays or in conjunction with local museums. Installation and maintenance of permanent information display boards at any accessible relevant locations.
CE5: Contribute to the process and facilitation of	Involvement and liaison with community groups: the 'Mystery of Grim's Ditch' project, local history societies and art groups.	Liaise with interested local parties including; schools, colleges, societies and the general public

audience project creation.		

9 Provisional Conclusion

9.1.1 With the exception of a small assemblage of broadly Prehistoric dated flint both unstratified and within later features, the earliest notable activity on Site appeared to be a small number of pits dated to the Middle Iron Age in Trenches 135 and 142, although small, perhaps residual assemblages of Middle Iron Age pot were also recovered from other features.

9.1.2 The results of the trial trench evaluation found possible evidence of a projected north-eastwards continuation of the Grim's Ditch Scheduled Monument in the form of a large ditch encountered in Trench 66, potentially terminating in Trench 55 and located immediately adjacent to the Scheduled monument, and a further substantial ditch also aligned approximately north-south encountered in Trenches 7, 13, 20, 25, 31 and 40 and also interpreted as a possible continuation of Grim's Ditch, perhaps a separate segment. However, the evidence was such that it was not possible to be certain that these ditches were continuations of Grim's Ditch and further work is required to confirm this. Dateable finds from both possible continuations were typically of late Iron Age/early Roman date although were principally recovered from upper fills and are simply indicative that the ditches were still open features during this period. Due to their depth, neither ditch was bottomed meaning that it was not possible to recover any dateable artefacts from the lower/primary fills to gain a greater understanding of when the ditch may have been constructed. Even if it had, such a substantial and long-lived land division would have, particularly in the years immediately following its construction, very likely have seen numerous episodes of refreshing or cleaning back to the original sides, leaving no evidence in section and removing the earliest evidence from the lowest fills. Only when it was allowed to begin naturally silting up would dateable artefacts start to accumulate.

9.1.3 Based on the recovered pottery, it would appear that the landscape of the Site underwent a major alteration in the period of the late Iron Age/early Roman transition, with the construction of ditches and pits, most likely relating to field systems and other agricultural activity. It seems highly likely that this activity within the Site is contemporaneous with the deposition of material within the upper fills of the substantial ditches described above. The evidence for metalworking could fit within a broadly agricultural context, as could the enclosure. This alteration may mark a shift in general use of the Site, perhaps away from open pasture to more organised arable activity. The pottery reflects some continued activity through the majority of the Roman period, but the assemblage seems to currently suggest that its zenith was during the first century AD.

9.1.4 Evidence which post-dated the Roman period was limited and consisted of a small number of post-medieval ditches and pits also likely related to agricultural activity, as well as a possible waterhole within Trench 40. Unstratified objects of post-medieval date were widely recorded within topsoil deposits suggesting widespread manuring and cultivation in the relatively recent past. There was no identified evidence for furrows associated with medieval or early

post-medieval cultivation, suggesting the Site may have reverted to pasture in the post-Roman period.

Recommended targeted Archaeological Recording

9.1.5 In order to fully ascertain the nature, extent, date and development of the features identified in the trial trenching and their relationship to Grim's Ditch, targeted Archaeological Recording within the Site is recommended. A possible continuation of the monument or another large ditch to the north-east was contained within the Northern Field. Targeted Archaeological Recording has the potential to confirm if Grim's Ditch does continue into the Site or what the relationship may be between the monument and other identified stretches of ditch. Crucially, by excavating a larger open area, it will enable the lower fills of these substantial ditches to be reached in order to potentially recover dateable artefacts from the primary silting episodes, but also for the recovery of palaeoenvironmental data. If the ditch(es) do prove to be a continuation of Grim's Ditch, a snail column sample through the entire sequence of ditch fills would provide crucial further understanding of the landscape within which the monument was constructed, maintained and eventually fell out of use. This would be especially significant if the evaluated ditch was of a different segment to the extant monument and would also assist in identifying if there was a shift of landscape use in the late Iron Age/early Roman period as suggested above. It may also assist in further refining the understanding of the date of such long linear earthworks and other major Prehistoric land divisions.

9.1.6 Even if the ditches prove not to be directly associated with the extant Grim's Ditch monument, then they are still substantial features and need to be better understood. More fully investigating their origin in terms of date and environmental evidence is crucial to understanding the archaeological sequence within the Site and surrounding area.

9.1.7 Further work would also help define the extent of Roman activity identified within the northern part of the Site as well as more information on the date and development of features during this period. It would also potentially assist in identifying the different areas of metal working or other industrial activities, which appears to have been occurring within the Site or nearby, as suggested by the presence of slag recovered from some features.

9.1.8 In the southern part of the Site, further Archaeological Recording would help understanding of the overall relationships and date of the disparate sections of ditch and other features identified within various trenches. The Site does not exist in isolation and further investigation of the features here would also contribute to the wider archaeological picture, when considered in conjunction with any features identified within the adjacent parcel (Field H) to the north-east, where the geophysics suggests a concentration of archaeological features. Trenching within Field H is forthcoming, the results of which may further help define a strategy of Archaeological Recording.

9.1.9 For the targeted Archaeological Recording, formal confirmation will be agreed with the Employer, following stakeholder consultation, through a Decision Record Notice.

Appendix 1 Context Summary

Table 6 Summary of results by context with finds and environmental data

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
2	900202	Deposit		ROOTING				
2	900203	Cut	900204	NATURAL FEATURE	0.14			200
2	900205	Cut		PIT	0.36			201
2	900207	Cut	900208	DITCH	0.39	pottery, flint		202
2	900210	Cut	900209	DITCH RECUT	0.19	flint		
4	900403	Deposit		ROOTING	0.07			
4	900404	Deposit		ROOTING	0.16			
4	900405	Cut	900406	POSSIBLE PIT	0.35			
4	900407	Cut	900408	DITCH TERMINUS	0.36			401
4	900409	Cut	900410	DITCH TERMINUS	0.12			
6	900602	Deposit		ROOTING	0.14	natural flint		
6	900603	Cut	900602	POSSIBLE PIT	0.47			
6	900605	Cut	900606	DITCH	0.46			
7	900702	Cut	900703-900710	BOUNDARY DITCH	pottery	Roman		

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
7	900711	Cut	900712	DITCH	0.2			
8	900802	Cut	900803	DITCH	0.37	pottery	Roman	
8	900804	Cut	900805, 900806	DITCH	0.25	pottery, CBM, animal bone	Roman	
8	900807	Cut	900808	HEDGEROW	0.08	pottery	Roman	
8	900809	Cut	900810	PIT EXTENSIVE	0.2	pottery	Roman	
8	900811	Cut	900812, 900813, 900814, 900815	PIT EXTENSIVE	pottery, iron	Roman		
9	900902	Cut	900903	DITCH	0.27			
9	900904	Cut	900906, 900907	PIT	0.35	pottery, CBM, animal bone	Roman	
10	901002	Cut	901003	RUT / FURROW	0.22			
10	901004	Cut	901005	RUT / FURROW	0.22			
11	901103	Cut	901104, 901105, 901106, 901108	PIT	0.63	pottery, CBM, iron, slag	Roman	
11	901110	Cut	901111, 901112, 901113	PIT	0.6	pottery, CBM, iron, animal bone	Roman	
12	901202	Cut	901203	POSSIBLE POSTHOLE	0.23			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
12	901204	Cut	901205	POSSIBLE POSTHOLE	0.22			
13	901302	Cut	901303	DITCH	0.28	pottery	Roman	
13	901304	Cut		DITCH				
13	901305	Cut	901306	BOUNDARY DITCH	0.75	pottery	Roman	
13	901307	Cut	901308, 901309, 901310	BOUNDARY DITCH	pottery	Roman		
13	901311	Cut	901312	DITCH	0.16	pottery, iron	Roman	
14	901402	Cut	901403	ROOTING	0.09			
15	901502	Cut	901503	ROOTING	0.26			
15	901505	Cut	901506	UNCERTAIN DITCH	0.14			
15	901507	Cut	901504, 901508-1512	DITCH	1	pottery	Roman	
16	901602	Cut	901603	POSTHOLE	0.22			
16	901604	Cut	901605	POSTHOLE	0.12			
16	901606	Cut	901607	NATURAL FEATURE	0.1			
16	901608	Cut	901609	POSTHOLE	0.17	natural flint		
17	901702	Cut	901703	NATURAL FEATURE	0.14			
18	901802	Deposit		GEOLOGICAL FEATURE	0.09			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
18	901803	Cut	901804	PIT	0.15	slag		
18	901805	Cut	901806	NATURAL FEATURE	0.09			
18	901807	Cut	901808	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.13			
19	901902	Cut	901903	DITCH	0.16	pottery	Prehistoric to Roman	1900
20	902002	Cut	902003, 902004	DRAINAGE DITCH	0.65			
20	902005	Cut	902006	DITCH	0.2			
20	902007	Cut	902008, 902009, 902014, 902015	BOUNDARY DITCH	0.9	pottery	Roman	
20	902016	Cut	902013	DITCH RECUT	0.5			
20	902017	Cut	902010,902011, 902012	DITCH RECUT	0.5	pottery, CBM, slag	Roman	
21	902102	Cut	902103, 902104	DITCH	0.34			
21	902105	Cut	902106, 902107	DITCH	0.5			
21	902110	Cut	902111, 902112	DITCH	0.48	pottery	Roman	
21	902113	Cut	902108, 902109	DITCH RECUT				
22	902202	Cut	902203	NATURAL FEATURE				
22	902204	Cut	902205	NATURAL FEATURE	0.15			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
22	902206	Cut	902207	NATURAL FEATURE	0.12			
22	902208	Cut	902209	UNCERTAIN DISCRETE FEATURE	0.12	flint		
22	902210	Cut	902211	NATURAL FEATURE	0.06			
22	902212	Cut	902213	NATURAL FEATURE	0.08			
24	902402	Cut	902403	NATURAL FEATURE	0.12			
24	902404	Cut	902405	UNCERTAIN FEATURE	0.15			
25	902502	Cut	902503, 902507	DITCH	0.33	pottery, slag	Roman	
25	902504	Cut	902505, 902506	DITCH	0.57			
25	902508	Cut	902509,902510, 902511, 902521	DITCH RECUT	0.75	pottery, CBM, slag	Roman	
25	902512	Cut	902513, 902514	BOUNDARY DITCH	0.5	pottery, slag	Roman	
25	902515	Cut	902516	BOUNDARY DITCH				
25	902517	Cut	902518	DITCH	0.45			
25	902519	Cut	902520	DITCH	0.3			
26	902602	Cut	902603	LAND DRAIN	0.22			
26	902604	Cut	902605	LAND DRAIN	0.1			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
26	902606	Cut	902607	DITCH	0.63			
26	902608	Cut	902609	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.33			
27	902702	Cut	902703, 902704, 902705, 902706	INTERFACE	0.69	pottery, CBM, iron, lead, silver	Roman	
27	902707	Cut	902708-902711	DITCH	0.6	pottery	Roman	
27	902712	Cut	902713-902716	PIT	0.74	pottery	Roman	
28	902802	Cut	902802	NATURAL FEATURE	0.31			
28	902804	Cut	902805	NATURAL FEATURE	0.2			
30	903002	Cut	903003	NATURAL FEATURE	0.19			
31	903103	Cut	903104, 903105	DITCH	0.41			
31	903106	Cut	903107	ROOTING	0.4			
31	903108	Cut	903109	ROOTING	0.35			
31	903110	Cut	903111	ROOTING	0.1			
31	903112	Cut	903113-903115	BOUNDARY DITCH	pottery, flint	Roman		
31	903116	Cut	903117, 903118	DITCH	0.4			
31	903119	Cut	903120-903122	DITCH RECUT	0.44	pottery	Roman	

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
31	903123	Cut	903124	DITCH	0.85			
31	903126	Cut	903125, 903127	DITCH	0.55	pottery	Roman	
31	903128	Cut	903129	DITCH	0.38	flint		
31	903130	Cut	903136	NATURAL FEATURE	0.14			
31	903131	Cut	903132-903135	DITCH	0.85			
32	903202	Cut	903203	NATURAL FEATURE	0.16			
32	903204	Cut	903205	NATURAL FEATURE	0.14			
32	903206	Cut	903207	NATURAL FEATURE	0.37			
32	903208	Cut	903209	NATURAL FEATURE	0.28			
33	903303	Cut	903304	DITCH	0.41			
34	903402	Cut	903403	DITCH	0.19	flint		
35	903502	Deposit		ROOTING	0.12			
35	903503	Deposit		ROOTING	0.1			
35	903504	Cut	903505	POSSIBLE PIT	0.23			
35	903506	Cut	903507	ROOTING	0.41			
35	903508	Cut	903509	DITCH	0.19			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
35	903510	Cut	903511	NATURAL FEATURE	0.15	pottery, slag		
35	903512	Cut	903513	DITCH TERMINUS	0.32			
36	903602	Deposit	903607	GEOLOGICAL FEATURE	0.51			
36	903603	Cut	903604-903607	DITCH	0.35	flint		
38	903802	Cut	903803	DITCH	0.24	pottery, CBM, slag		
38	903804	Cut	903805	DITCH	0.38			
38	903806	Cut	903807	PIT	0.25			
38	903808	Cut	903809	ROOTING	0.21			
39	903902	Cut	903903	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.28			
39	903904	Cut	903905	ROOTING	0.14			
40	904003	Cut	904004	POND/WATER MANAGEMENT	0.72	CBM, iron		
40	904005	Cut	904006-904011	BOUNDARY DITCH	0.9	pottery	Roman	
41	904102	Cut	904103	DITCH	0.14	slag		
41	904104	Cut	904105	DITCH	0.22	pottery, slag	Roman	
41	904106	Cut	904107	ROOTING	0.09			
42	904203	Deposit		ROOTING	0.11			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
42	904204	Cut	904205, 904206	POND/WATER MANAGEMENT	0.85			
43	904302	Cut	904303, 904304, 904305	DITCH TERMINUS/PIT/POND	1.05	pottery	Prehistoric and Roman	
44	904402	Deposit		GEOLOGY	0.05			
44	904403	Cut	904404	DITCH	0.6			
44	904405	Cut	904406	POSSIBLE DITCH TERMINUS	0.41			
45	904502	Cut	904503	PIT	0.95			
45	904505	Cut	904506	LAND DRAIN	0.35			
47	904702	Cut	904703	DITCH	0.25			
47	904704	Deposit		NATURAL SILTING DEPOSIT	0.13			
48	904802	Cut	904803	DITCH	0.16			
48	904804	Cut	904805	DITCH	0.24			
48	904806	Cut	904807	DITCH	0.22			
48	904808	Cut	904809	DITCH	0.32			
48	904810	Cut	904811	ROOTING	0.2			
49	904902	Cut	904903	ROOTING	0.14			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
49	904904	Cut	904905	ROOTING	0.19			
49	904906	Cut	904907	LAND DRAIN or ROOTING	0.06			
50	905002	Cut	905003	POND / WATER MANAGEMENT	0.23			
51	905102	Deposit		ROOTNG				
51	905103	Cut	905104	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.43			
51	905105	Cut	905106	DITCH	0.7			
52	905202	Cut	905203	NATURAL FEATURE	0.3			
52	905204	Cut	905205, 905206, 905207	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.52			
53	905302	Cut	905303	DITCH / ROOTING	0.22			
53	905304	Deposit		SUBSOIL				
53	905305	Cut	905306	NATURAL FEATURE	0.34			
54	905403	Cut	905404	POSTHOLE	0.4			
54	905405	Cut	905406	ROOTING	0.26			
55	905502	Cut	905503, 905504, 905505,	BOUNDARY DITCH	0.88			
56	905602	Cut	905603	NATURAL FEATURE	0.16			
58	905802	Cut	905803, 905804	DITCH	0.85			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
58	905805	Cut	905806	DITCH	0.28			
58	905807	Cut	905808	ROOTING	0.22			
58	905809	Cut	905810	DITCH	0.15			
58	905811	Cut	905812	PIT	0.3			
58	905813	Cut	905814, 905815	PIT	0.41			
59	905902	Cut	905903-905910	DITCH	0.95	pottery, CBM, flint	Roman	
59	905911	Cut	905912	DITCH	0.4			
60	906002	Cut	906003	DITCH	0.58	pottery, iron	? Bronze Age	
60	906004	Cut	906005	DITCH	0.4			
60	906006	Cut	906007	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.4			
61	906102	Cut	906103	ROOTNG	0.14			
61	906104	Cut	906105	ROOTING	0.05			
61	906106	Cut	906107	PIT	0.35	pottery		
61	906108	Cut	906109	NATURAL FEATURE	0.17			
62	906202	Cut	906203	POSTHOLE	0.12			
62	906204	Cut	906205	DITCH	0.13			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
62	906206	Cut	906207	DITCH	0.28			
63	906302	Cut	906303	POSSIBLE PIT	0.21			
63	906304	Deposit		NATURAL DEPOSIT	0.14			
63	906305	Cut	906306	NATURAL FEATURE / HEDGE	0.21			
64	906402	Deposit		NATURAL DEPOSIT				
64	906403	Cut	906404	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.18			
65	906502	Cut	906503	ROOTING	0.5			
65	906504	Cut	906505	NATURAL FEATURE	0.51			
65	906506	Cut	906507, 906508	POSSIBLE PIT	0.53			
66	906602	Cut	906603	POSSIBLE PIT	0.25			
66	906604	Cut	906605-906608	BOUNDARY DITCH	pottery, slag	Roman		
67	906703	Cut	906704	POSTHOLE	0.09	pottery	Roman	
67	906705	Cut	906706	DITCH	0.21			
67	906707	Cut	906708	DITCH	0.74	pottery	Roman	
67	906709	Cut	906710	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.38			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
67	906711	Cut	906712	ROOTING	0.41			
68	906803	Cut	906804	ROOTING	0.24			
68	906805	Cut	906806	ROOTING	0.2			
68	906807	Cut	906808	DITCH	0.16			
68	906809	Cut	906810	ROOTING	0.35			
68	906811	Cut	906812, 906813	DITCH	0.55			
69	906902	Cut	906903	ROOTING	0.11			
69	906904	Cut	906905, 906906, 906907	DITCH	0.31			
70	907002	Deposit		BURROW				
70	907003	Deposit		ROOTING	0.26			
70	907004	Cut	907005, 907006	DITCH	0.6			
70	907007	Cut	907008	DITCH	0.35			
73	907302	Cut	907303-907305	POSSIBLE PIT	0.56			
73	907306	Cut	907307	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.11			
73	907308	Cut	907309	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.16			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
73	907310	Cut	907311-907313	PIT	0.68	pottery, CBM, iron	Roman to Modern	
77	907702	Cut	907703, 907708	NATURAL FEATURE	0.56			
77	907704	Cut	907705, 907709-907711	ROOTING	0.57			
77	907706	Cut	907707	NATURAL FEATURE	0.37			
78	907803	Cut	907404	NATURAL FEATURE	0.31			
78	907805	Cut	907806	NATURAL FEATURE	0.52			
78	907807	Cut	907808, 907809, 907810, 907811	DITCH	0.8			
79	907902	Cut	907903	POSSIBLE DITCH TERMINUS	0.24			
81	908102	Cut	908103	ROOTING	0.13			
81	908104	Cut	908105	NATURAL FEATURE	0.6			
82	908202	Cut	908203	POND / WATER MANAGEMENT	0.58			
82	908204	Cut		RUT				
82	908205	Cut		RUT				
82	908206	Cut		RUT				
82	908207	Cut		RUT				

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
83	908302	Cut	908303	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.13			
83	908304	Cut	908305	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.14			
83	908306	Cut	908307	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.11			
84	908402	Cut	908403	POSSIBLE DITCH TERMINUS / NATURAL	0.4			
88	908802	Cut	908803	POSSIBLE DITCH				
90	909002	Cut	909003	ROOTING	0.2			
90	909004	Cut	909005	DITCH	0.2			
91	909102	Deposit		ROOTING				
91	909103	Deposit		ROOTING				
93	909302	Cut	909303	POSTHOLE	0.12	animal bone		
93	909304	Cut	909305	ROOTING	0.12			
93	909306	Cut	909307	CURVILINEAR POSSIBLE DITCH	0.1			
93	909308	Cut	909309	CURVILINEAR POSSIBLE DITCH TERMINUS	0.18			
97	909702	Deposit		ROOTING				
97	909703	Cut	909704	DITCH TERMINUS	0.27			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
98	909803	Cut	909804	ROOTING	0.22			
98	909805	Cut	909806, 909810, 909811, 909812, 909813	PIT		CBM		
98	909807	Cut	909808, 909809	PIT	0.75			
99	909902	Cut	909903	NATURAL FEATURE	0.36			
99	909904	Cut	909905	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.26			
99	909906	Cut	909907	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.22			
99	909908	Cut	909909	DITCH TERMINUS	0.09			
99	909910	Cut	909911	ROOTING	0.09			
102	910203	Cut	910204	ROOTING	0.4			
103	910303	Cut	910304	LAND DRAIN	0.15			
103	910305	Cut	910306	NATURAL FEATURE	0.44			
104	910403	Deposit		ROOTING				
105	910503	Cut	910504	LAND DRAIN	0.27			
105	910505	Cut	910506	POSSIBLE PIT	0.14			10501
106	910602	Cut	910603	POSTHOLE	0.32			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
107	910703	Cut	910704	POSTHOLE	0.27			
108	910802	Cut	910803	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.18			
108	910804	Cut	910805	ROOTING	0.11			
109	910902	Cut	910903	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.11			
109	910904	Cut	910905	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.11			
109	910906	Cut	910907	ROOTING	0.23			
109	910908	Cut	910909	ROOTING	0.25			
110	911002	Cut	911003	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.24			
110	911004	Cut	911005	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.46			
110	911006	Cut	911007	ROOTING	0.49			
110	911008	Cut	911008	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.15			
110	911010	Cut	911011	DITCH / LAND DRAIN				
111	911102	Cut	911006	NATURAL FEATURE	0.04			
111	911103	Cut	911109	DITCH TERMINUS	0.15			
111	911104	Cut	911005	NATURAL FEATURE	0.15			
112	911202	Cut		ROOTING				

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
113	911303	Cut	911304	POSSIBLE DITCH TERMINUS	0.21			
114	911402	Cut	911403	NATURAL FEATURE	0.46			
115	911503	Cut	911506	ROOTING	0.12			
115	911504	Cut	911505	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.14	pottery	Modern	
116	911602	Cut	911603	NATURAL FEATURE	0.31			
116	911604	Cut	911605	NATURAL FEATURE	0.38			
117	911702	Cut	911703	NATURAL FEATURE	0.09			
118	911802	Cut		ROOTING	0.35			
118	911803	Cut	911804	PIT	0.62			
118	911805	Cut	911806	DITCH	0.26			
118	911807	Cut	911808	ROOTING	0.14			
118	911809	Cut	911810	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.18			
120	912002	Deposit		ROOTING	0.09			
122	912203	Cut	912204	NATURAL FEATURE	0.14			
122	912205	Cut	912206	ROOTING	0.25			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
122	912207	Cut	912208-911213	DITCH	0.53	pottery, CBM	Prehistoric to undated	
123	912302	Cut	912305	ROOTING / LAND DRAIN	0.11			
123	912303	Cut	912306	ROOTING / LAND DRAIN	0.34			
123	912304	Cut	912307	LAND DRAIN	0.29			
124	912402	Cut	912403, 912404	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.3	flint		
125	912502	Deposit		ROOTING	0.1			
125	912503	Deposit		ROOTING	0.8			
126	912602	Cut	912603	POSSIBLE PIT	0.32			
126	912604	Cut	912605	ROOTING	0.43	flint		
126	912606	Cut	912607	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.12			
126	912608	Cut	912609	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.34			
126	912610	Cut	912611	ROOTING	0.09			
127	912702	Cut	912703	NATURAL FEATURE	0.21			
127	912704	Cut	912705	NATURAL FEATURE	0.24			
127	912706	Cut	912707	NATURAL FEATURE	0.35			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
127	912708	Cut	912709	NATURAL FEATURE	0.26			
127	912710	Cut	912711	NATURAL FEATURE	0.27			
127	912712	Cut	912713	NATURAL FEATURE	0.22	slag		
129	912902	Deposit		ROOTING				
130	913003	Cut	913004	ROOTING	0.1			
130	913005	Cut	913006	NATURAL FEATURE	0.29			
130	913007	Cut	913008	ROOTING	0.22			
131	913103	Cut	913102	NATURAL FEATURE	0.28			
131	913104	Cut		NATURAL FEATURE	0.25			
132	913202	Cut	913203	ROOTING	0.12			
132	913204	Cut	913205	ROOTING	0.22			
132	913206	Cut	913207	NATURAL FEATURE	0.14			
134	913403	Cut	913404	PIT	0.43			
134	913405	Cut	913414	ROOTING	0.13			
134	913406	Cut	913407	POSSIBLE PIT	0.47			
134	913408	Cut	913409	ROOTING	0.21			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
134	913410	Cut	913411	ROOTING	0.42			
134	913412	Cut	913413	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.26			
135	913502	Cut	913503	DITCH TERMINUS	0.32			
135	913504	Cut	913505	NATURAL FEATURE	0.2			
135	913506	Cut	913507	NATURAL FEATURE	0.2			
135	913508	Cut	913509	DITCH	0.28	flint		
135	913510	Cut	913511, 913512, 913513, 913514	PIT	0.82	pottery, fired clay	Prehistoric	
136	913602	Cut	913603	DITCH	0.19			
136	913604	Cut	913605	DITCH	0.37			
138	913802	Deposit		ROOTING	0.26			
139	913902	Cut	913905	NATURAL FEATURE	0.12			
139	913903	Deposit		NATURAL FEATURE				
139	913904	Deposit		NATURAL FEATURE				
140	914002	Cut	914003	ROOTING	0.19			
140	914004	Cut	914005	ROOTING				

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
141	914103	Cut	914008	ROOTING	0.06			
141	914104	Cut		DITCH				
141	914106	Cut	914007	PIT				
142	914202	Cut	914203	POSSIBLE PIT	0.12			
142	914204	Cut	914205, 914206, 914207, 914208	PIT	0.95	pottery	Prehistoric	
142	914209	Cut	914210	LAND DRAIN	0.2			
143	914302	Cut	914303	ROOTING	0.09			
145	914502	Cut	914503	ROOTING				
145	914504	Cut	914505	ROOTING				
145	914506	Cut	914507	ROOTING				
146	914602	Deposit		ROOTING	0.3			
146	914603	Deposit		ROOTING	0.2			
146	914604	Cut	914605	ROOTING OR POSSIBLE DITCH	0.2			
146	914606	Cut	914607	ROOTING OR POSSIBLE DITCH	0.32			
146	914608	Deposit		ROOTING	0.26			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
146	914609	Deposit		ROOTING	0.48			
147	914702	Cut	914703	ROOTING	0.09			
147	914704	Cut	914705	ROOTING	0.13			
148	914802	Cut	914803	NATURAL FEATURE	0.15			
148	914804	Cut	914805	NATURAL FEATURE	0.19			
148	914806	Cut	914807	DITCH / LAND DRAIN	0.23			
148	914808	Cut	914809	DITCH	0.32			
148	914810	Cut	914811	ROOTING	0.16			
148	914812	Cut	914213, 914814, 914814, 914815, 914816	PIT	0.84	pottery, lead	? Roman	
149	914902	Cut	914903	NATURAL FEATURE	0.08			
149	914904	Cut	914905	ROOTING	0.07			
149	914906	Cut	914907	POSSIBLE DITCH TERMINUS	0.18			
149	914910	Cut	914911	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.29			
149	914912	Cut	914913	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.2			
149	914914	Cut	914915	DITCH	0.26			

Trench No	Feature No (cut)	Type	Filled by	Feature/ Monument type	Depth (m)	Finds	Period	Sample Nos
149	914916	Cut	914922	DITCH	0.09			
149	914917	Cut	914919	DITCH	0.25	CBM		
149	914918	Cut	914920, 914921	ROOTING	0.36			
150	915002	Cut	915003	NATURAL FEATURE	0.17			
150	915004	Cut	915005	PIT	0.3			
150	915006	Cut	915007	DITCH	0.39			
150	915008	Cut	915009	NATURAL FEATURE	0.26			
151	915102	Cut	915103, 915104	ROOTING	0.6			
151	915105	Cut	915106	ROOTING	0.38			
151	915107	Cut	915108	ROOTING	0.3	flint		
151	915109	Cut	915110	ROOTING	0.64			
152	915203	Cut	915204, 915205	POSSIBLE PIT	0.4			
152	915206	Cut	915207	NATURAL FEATURE	0.25			
152	915208	Cut	915209, 915210, 915211	POSSIBLE DITCH	0.68			
152	915212	Cut	915213	NATURAL FEATURE	0.27			
152	915214	Cut	915215, 915216, 915217	POSSIBLE PIT	0.45			

Appendix 2 Topsoil and Subsoil Depths

Trench	Context No.	Interpretation	Depth (m)
1	900100	TOPSOIL	0.26
2	900200	TOPSOIL	0.27
3	900300	TOPSOIL	0.27
4	900400	TOPSOIL	0.34
4	900402	SUBSOIL	0.16
5	900500	TOPSOIL	0.21
6	900600	TOPSOIL	0.19
7	900700	TOPSOIL	0.2
8	900800	TOPSOIL	0.21
9	900900	TOPSOIL	0.26
10	901000	TOPSOIL	0.24
11	901100	TOPSOIL	0.19
12	901200	TOPSOIL	0.2
13	901300	TOPSOIL	0.23
14	901400	TOPSOIL	0.27
15	901500	TOPSOIL	0.3
16	901600	TOPSOIL	0.38
17	901700	TOPSOIL	0.27
18	901800	TOPSOIL	0.21
19	901900	TOPSOIL	0.2
20	902000	TOPSOIL	0.31
21	902100	TOPSOIL	0.28
22	902200	TOPSOIL	0.16
23	902300	TOPSOIL	0.25
24	902400	TOPSOIL	0.32
25	902500	TOPSOIL	0.38
26	902600	TOPSOIL	0.33
27	902700	TOPSOIL	0.19
28	902800	TOPSOIL	0.25
29	902900	TOPSOIL	0.4
30	903000	TOPSOIL	0.21
31	903100	TOPSOIL	0.23
31	903101	SUBSOIL	0.13
32	903200	TOPSOIL	0.37
33	903300	TOPSOIL	0.44
33	903301	SUBSOIL	0.08
34	903400	TOPSOIL	0.3
35	903500	TOPSOIL	0.28
36	903600	TOPSOIL	0.2
37	903700	TOPSOIL	0.44
38	903800	TOPSOIL	0.27

39	903900	TOPSOIL	0.28
40	904000	TOPSOIL	0.3
40	904001	SUBSOIL	0.09
41	904100	TOPSOIL	0.23
42	904200	TOPSOIL	0.28
42	904201	SUBSOIL	0.09
43	904300	TOPSOIL	0.29
44	904400	TOPSOIL	0.28
45	904500	SUBSOIL	0.31
46	904600	TOPSOIL	0.26
47	904700	TOPSOIL	0.3
48	904800	TOPSOIL	0.26
49	904900	TOPSOIL	0.19
50	905000	TOPSOIL	0.28
51	905100	TOPSOIL	0.22
52	905200	TOPSOIL	0.3
53	905300	TOPSOIL	0.21
53	905304	SUBSOIL	-
54	905400	TOPSOIL	0.35
55	905500	TOPSOIL	0.39
56	905600	TOPSOIL	0.34
57	905700	TOPSOIL	0.25
58	905800	TOPSOIL	0.25
59	905900	TOPSOIL	0.21
60	906000	TOPSOIL	0.45
61	906100	TOPSOIL	0.16
62	906200	TOPSOIL	0.26
63	906300	TOPSOIL	0.28
64	906400	TOPSOIL	0.25
65	906500	TOPSOIL	0.3
66	906600	TOPSOIL	0.3
67	906700	TOPSOIL	0.3
67	906701	SUBSOIL	0.2
68	906800	TOPSOIL	0.31
69	906900	TOPSOIL	0.32
70	907000	TOPSOIL	0.27
71	907100	TOPSOIL	0.3
73	907300	TOPSOIL	0.25
74	907400	TOPSOIL	0.31
75	907500	TOPSOIL	0.3
76	907600	TOPSOIL	0.26
77	907700	TOPSOIL	0.33
78	907800	TOPSOIL	0.33
78	907802	SUBSOIL	-
79	907900	TOPSOIL	0.2

80	908000	TOPSOIL	0.3
81	908100	TOPSOIL	0.36
82	908200	TOPSOIL	0.11
83	908300	TOPSOIL	0.2
84	908400	TOPSOIL	0.25
85	908500	TOPSOIL	0.2
86	908600	TOPSOIL	0.2
87	908700	TOPSOIL	0.38
88	908800	TOPSOIL	-
89	908900	TOPSOIL	0.33
90	909000	TOPSOIL	0.24
91	909100	TOPSOIL	0.2
92	909200	TOPSOIL	0.28
93	909300	TOPSOIL	0.2
94	909400	TOPSOIL	0.2
95	909500	TOPSOIL	0.19
96	909600	TOPSOIL	0.2
97	909700	TOPSOIL	0.32
98	909800	TOPSOIL	0.27
98	909801	SUBSOIL	0.1
99	909900	TOPSOIL	0.2
100	910001	TOPSOIL	0.3
101	910100	TOPSOIL	0.25
101	910101	SUBSOIL	0.22
102	910200	TOPSOIL	0.22
102	910201	SUBSOIL	0.21
103	910300	TOPSOIL	0.21
103	910302	SUBSOIL	0.2
104	910400	TOPSOIL	0.26
104	910401	SUBSOIL	0.2
105	910500	TOPSOIL	0.26
105	910501	SUBSOIL	0.1
106	910600	TOPSOIL	0.25
107	910700	TOPSOIL	0.25
107	910701	SUBSOIL	0.2
108	910800	TOPSOIL	0.25
109	910900	TOPSOIL	0.22
110	911000	TOPSOIL	0.23
111	911100	TOPSOIL	0.22
111	911110	SUBSOIL	0.1
112	911200	TOPSOIL	0.25
113	911300	TOPSOIL	0.26
113	911301	SUBSOIL	-
114	911400	TOPSOIL	0.2
115	911500	TOPSOIL	0.2

115	911501	SUBSOIL	0.35
116	911600	TOPSOIL	0.26
117	911700	TOPSOIL	0.21
118	911800	TOPSOIL	0.15
119	911900	TOPSOIL	0.25
120	912000	TOPSOIL	0.19
121	912100	TOPSOIL	0.2
122	912200	TOPSOIL	0.21
122	912202	SUBSOIL	0.18
123	912300	TOPSOIL	0.29
124	912400	TOPSOIL	0.29
125	912500	TOPSOIL	0.3
126	912600	TOPSOIL	0.3
127	912700	TOPSOIL	0.25
128	912800	TOPSOIL	0.28
129	912900	TOPSOIL	0.29
130	913000	TOPSOIL	0.24
130	913001	SUBSOIL	0.18
131	913100	TOPSOIL	0.22
132	913200	TOPSOIL	0.21
133	913300	TOPSOIL	0.27
134	913400	TOPSOIL	0.27
134	913401	SUBSOIL	0.12
135	913500	TOPSOIL	0.27
136	913600	TOPSOIL	0.29
137	913700	TOPSOIL	0.2
138	913800	TOPSOIL	0.21
139	913900	TOPSOIL	-
140	914000	TOPSOIL	0.25
141	914100	TOPSOIL	0.31
141	914101	SUBSOIL	0.21
142	914200	TOPSOIL	0.36
143	914300	TOPSOIL	0.3
144	914400	TOPSOIL	0.31
144	914402	SUBSOIL	0.11
145	914500	TOPSOIL	0.25
146	914600	TOPSOIL	0.4
147	914700	TOPSOIL	0.29
148	914800	TOPSOIL	0.25
149	914900	TOPSOIL	0.3
150	915000	TOPSOIL	0.28
151	915100	TOPSOIL	0.29
152	915200	TOPSOIL	0.6
152	915202	SUBSOIL	-

Appendix 3 Provisional Quantification of Palaeoenvironmental Remains

Table 7 Provisional quantification of palaeo-environmental remains

Bulk Samples					
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
200	2	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13.				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
201	2	1	60	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13.				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
202	2	1	60	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
401	4	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
409	4	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	

		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
700	7	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
800	8	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
801	8	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
802	8	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
803	8	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
804	8	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
805	8	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
900	9	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1100	11	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1102	11	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1103	11	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1104	11	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1301	13	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1302	13	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1303	13	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1304	13	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1305	13	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1500	15	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1501	15	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1502	15	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1503	15	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1800	18	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
1900	19	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
2000	20	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
2001	20	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
2002	20	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
2003	20	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
2100	21	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
2501	25	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
2502	25	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
2503	25	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
2600	26	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
3100	31	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
3101	31	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
3102	31	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
3103	31	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
3104	31	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
3800	38	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
4000	40	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
4002	40	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
4300	43	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
4301	43	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
5400	54	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
5500	55	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
5900	59	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6000	60	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6001	60	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6100	61	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6200	62	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6201	62	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6600	66	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6604	66	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6605	66	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6606	66	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6700	67	1	30	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6701	67	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6702	67	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6703	67	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6800	68	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
6801	68	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
7700	77	1	50	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
9001	90	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
9301	93	1	10	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
9800	98	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
10501	105	1		uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
13501	135	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
13502	135	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
14201	142	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
14202	142	1	40	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	
Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .				
Sample No.	**Trench No.**	**No. taken**	**Vol. taken (L)**	**Vol. processed**	**No. assessed**
15200	152	1	20	uncertain	0
		Range of materials	**Range of species**	**Preservation and Taphonomy**	
		TBC	TBC	TBC	

Summary of potential to contribute to HERDS objectives	Assessment of materials and palaeo-environmental evidence could establish land use during the period of cultivation thereby possibly contributing to objective KC13 .

Appendix 4 Spot Dating of Pottery and CBM

Table 8 Spot-dating of pottery and CBM

Trench No.	Context	Feature type	Spotdate
2	900208	Ditch	80 BC-AD70
5	900500	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM Med+
7	900703	Ditch	AD 1 -70
7	900706	Ditch	AD 50-C2; CBM: Roman?
7	900708	Ditch	LC1-C3
7	900709	Ditch	AD 50-70
8	900803	Ditch	LC1-C3
8	900804	Ditch	AD 1 -70
8	900806	Ditch	MC3; CBM: Roman?
8	900808	Tree root hole/animal hole	LC1-C3
8	900814	Pit	LC3+; CBM: Roman?
9	900906	Pit	AD 1-70 or LC3+ CBM: Roman
9	900907	Pit	LC1-C2; CBM: Roman?
10	901000	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	AD 1-70
11	901100	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	AD 1-70; CBM: Med+
11	901102	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	AD 50-70; CBM: Med+
11	901104	Pit	AD 1-70 or LC3+
11	901105	Pit	AD 50-70
11	901106	Pit	AD 120-200; CBM: Roman
11	901108	Pit	LC3; CBM: Roman
11	901111	Pit	AD 10-60; CBM: MC2-MC3
11	901113	Pit	AD 120-350; prob C3/mc4
13	9013009	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	LC3
13	901303	Ditch	AD 1-70
13	901306	Ditch	120-200
13	901308	Ditch	AD 50-70
13	901312	Ditch	AD 1-70
14	901400	topsoil/Plougtohsoil etc.	CBM Med+
15	901504	Ditch	AD 50-70
15	901508	Ditch	AD 1-70
15	901509	Ditch	LC3
15	901511	Ditch	AD 50 -70
18	901800	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	MC3+
19	901900	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Roman?
19	901902	Ditch	LIA-AD 70
20	902008	Ditch	Roman, poss AD 50-70
20	902009	Ditch	AD 50-70(+)
20	902010	Ditch	AD 120-200; CBM: Roman
20	902011	Ditch	AD 50-70; CBM: Roman

20	902012	Ditch	AD 50-70
21	902112	Ditch	AD 120+
22	902200	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	Roman, poss C3/C4
25	902500	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Med+
25	902503	Ditch	Roman, poss C3+
25	902507	Ditch	C2; CBM: Roman?
25	902509	Ditch	Roman
25	902511	Ditch	AD 50-70; CBM: Med+
25	902513	Ditch	MIA
25	902514	Ditch	Roman
27	902704	Feature - general	LC3; CBM: Roman
27	902710	Ditch	AD 1-70
27	902714	Ditch	AD 1-70
31	903107	Tree root hole/animal hole	AD 1-70
31	903114	Ditch	AD 1-70
31	903120	Ditch	Roman
31	903125	Ditch	AD 1-70
34	903400	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Med+
35	903511	Feature - general	AD 50-70
38	903800	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	AD 1-70
38	903803	Ditch	AD 1-70; CBM: Med+
40	904000	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Roman
40	904004	Pond	CBM: Med+
40	904006	Ditch	AD 50-70
40	904009	Ditch	LIA-AD 70
41	904105	Ditch	Roman
43	904305	Ditch	MIA
59	905907	Ditch	LC1-C3
59	905910	Ditch	CBM: Med+
60	906003	Ditch	MIA
66	906606	Ditch	AD 1-70
67	906700	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Med+
67	906704	Posthole	AD 50-70 (-C2)
67	906708	Ditch	AD 1-70
69	906900	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	AD 1-70
73	907300	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	MIA
73	907311	Pit	Post Med; CBM: Med+
73	907313	Pit	AD 50-70; CBM: Med+
98	909800	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Roman?
98	909806	Pit	CBM: Med+
99	909900	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	AD 1-70
108	910800	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Med+
115	911504	Feature - general	Post Med
118	911800	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	Post Med

122	912211	Ditch	MIA: CBM: Med+
129	912900	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Med+
135	913511	Pit	MIA
135	913514	Pit	MIA
137	913700	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Med+
142	914200	Topsoil/Ploughsoil etc.	CBM: Med+
142	914206	Pit	MIA
142	914207	Pit	MIA
148	914814	Pit	AD 1-70
148	914815	Pit	AD 1-70
148	914816	Pit	AD 1-70
149	914919	Ditch	CBM: Med+

Appendix 5 Figures

204000N

C21023

489000E

490000E

203000N

Site extent

C21023 CRID ID



High Speed Two

Figure 1
Hunts Green
Site Location

Published

HS2

Registered in England. Registration number 06791686.
Registered office: 2 Snowhill, Queensway, Birmingham B4 6GA.

Scale at A3: 1:5,000

0 40 80 100 200 200
Meters

Date: 05/02/21

Doc Number: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-GI-MAP-CS03_CL05-000008

Code 1 - Accepted

204000 N

489000E

490000E

HS2 Ltd accept no responsibility for any circumstances, which arise from the reproduction of this map after alteration, amendment or abbreviation or if it issued in part or issued incomplete in any way.

- Site extent
- Excavated evaluation trench
- Unexcavated evaluation trench
- Original design
- Grim's Ditch Scheduled Ancient Monument



High Speed Two

Figure 2
Hunts Green
Overview of excavated evaluation trenches

Published

HS2

Registered in England. Registration number 06791686.
Registered office: 2 Snowhill, Queensway, Birmingham B4 6GA.

Scale at A3: 1:4,000

0 30 60 90 100 200
Meters

Date: 05/02/21

Doc Number: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-GI-MAP-CS03_CL05-000008

Code 1 - Accepted

204000 N

Figure 4, 7

Figure 5, 8

Figure 6, 9

489000E

490000E

Site extent
Excavated
Unexcavated evaluation trench
Excavated evaluation trench
Grim's Ditch Scheduled Ancient Monument

Geophysics results:
- No Result Set
- Archaeology
- Drain
- Edge of Geophys area
- F1 Interpretation area
- F2 Interpretation area
- F3 Interpretation area
- Ferrous Halo
- Ferrous Noise
- Ferrous Object
- Ferrous
- Ferrous Zone
- Footpath
- Geology
- Halo
- Industrial burnt fired
- Interpretation areas
- Intermediate
- Made ground
- Natural
- Noise
- Old field boundary
- Overhead cable
- Pipe
- Plough
- Possible archaeology
- Ridge and Furrow
- Track
- Trend
- Uncertain
- Unknown



High Speed Two

Figure 3
Hunts Green
Geophysical survey results and archaeological features

Published

HS2

Registered in England. Registration number 06791686.
Registered office: 2 Snowhill, Queensway, Birmingham B4 6GA.

Scale at A3: 1:4,000

0 30 60 90 100 200
Meters

Date: 05/02/21

Doc Number: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-GI-MAP-CS03_CL05-000008

204000 N

TR0001 TR0002 TR0003 TR0004 TR0005 TR0006 TR0007 TR0008 TR0009 TR0010 TR0011 TR0012 TR0013 TR0014 TR0015 TR0016 TR0017 TR0018 TR0019 TR0020 TR0021 TR0022 TR0023 TR0024 TR0025 TR0026 TR0027 TR0028 TR0029 TR0030 TR0031 TR0032 TR0033 TR0034 TR0035 TR0036 TR0037 TR0038 TR0039 TR0040 TR0041 TR0042 TR0043 TR0044 TR0045 TR0046 TR0047 TR0048 TR0049 TR0050 TR0051 TR0052 TR0053 TR0054 TR0055 TR0056 TR0057 TR0058 TR0059 TR0060 TR0061 TR0062 TR0063 TR0064 TR0065 TR0066 TR0067 TR0068 TR0069 TR0070 TR072 TR0071 TR0073 TR0074 TR0075 TR0076 TR0077

489000E



- Site extent
- Excavated evaluation trench
- Unexcavated evaluation trench
- Excavated
- Grim's Ditch Scheduled Ancient Monument
- Cut
- Original design
- Geological deposit

High Speed Two

Figure 4
Hunts Green
Detail of trench results

Published

HS2

Registered in England. Registration number 06791686.
Registered office: 2 Snowhill, Queensway, Birmingham B4 6GA.

Scale at A3: 1:1,500

0 10 20 30 40 50
Meters

Date: 05/02/21

Doc Number: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-GI-MAP-CS03_CL05-000008

TR072
TR0073
TR0066
TR0067
TR0071
TR0075
TR0077
TR0076
TR0074
TR0078
TR0080
TR0079
TR0081
TR0082
TR0083
TR0086
TR0085
TR0084
TR0087
TR0088
TR0091
TR0089
TR0090
TR0092
TR0093
203500 N
TR0095
TR0094
TR0096
TR0097
TR0098
TR0100
TR0099
TR0101
TR0103
TR0102
TR0105
TR0104
TR0106
TR0107
TR0109
TR0108
TR0110
TR0112
TR0111
TR0113
TR0114
TR0115
TR0116
TR0120
TR0118
TR0119
TR0117
TR0121
TR0122
TR0123
TR0125
TR0124
TR0126
489000E
TR0129
TR0127
TR0131
TR0128
489500E

- Site extent
- Excavated evaluation trench
- Unexcavated evaluation trench
- Excavated
- Grim's Ditch Scheduled Ancient Monument
- Cut
- Original design
- Geological deposit



High Speed Two

Figure 5
Hunts Green
Detail of trench results

Published

HS2

Registered in England. Registration number 06791686.
Registered office: 2 Snowhill, Queensway, Birmingham B4 6GA.

Scale at A3: 1:1,500

0 10 20 30 40 50
Meters

Date: 05/02/21

Doc Number: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-GI-MAP-CS03_CL05-000008

Code 1 - Accepted

203500 N

TR0095 TR0094 TR0096 TR0097 TR0098 TR0099 TR0100 TR0101 TR0102 TR0103 TR0104 TR0105 TR0106 TR0107 TR0108 TR0109 TR0110 TR0111 TR0112 TR0113 TR0114 TR0115 TR0116 TR0117 TR0118 TR0119 TR0120 TR0121 TR0122 TR0123 TR0124 TR0125 TR0126 TR0127 TR0128 TR0129 TR0130 TR0131 TR0132 TR0133 TR0134 TR0135 TR0136 TR0137 TR0138 TR0139 TR0140 TR0141 TR0142 TR0143 TR0144 TR0145 TR0146 TR0147 TR0148 TR0149 TR0150 TR0151 TR0152

489500E

HS2 Ltd accept no responsibility for any circumstances, which arise from the reproduction of this map after alteration, amendment or abbreviation or if it issued in part or issued incomplete in any way.

- Site extent
- Excavated evaluation trench
- Unexcavated evaluation trench
- Excavated
- Grim's Ditch Scheduled Ancient Monument
- Cut
- Original design
- Geological deposit



High Speed Two

Figure 6
Hunts Green
Detail of trench results

Published

HS2

Registered in England. Registration number 06791686.
Registered office: 2 Snowhill, Queensway, Birmingham B4 6GA.

Scale at A3: 1:1,500

0 10 20 30 40 50
Meters

Date: 05/02/21

Doc Number: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-GI-MAP-CS03_CL05-000008

Code 1 - Accepted

204000 N

TR0001 TR0002 TR0003 TR0004 TR0005 TR0006 TR0007 TR0008 TR0009 TR0010 TR0011 TR0012 TR0013 TR0014 TR0015 TR0016 TR0017 TR0018 TR0019 TR0020 TR0021 TR0022 TR0023 TR0024 TR0025 TR0026 TR0027 TR0028 TR0029 TR0030 TR0031 TR0032 TR0033 TR0034 TR0035 TR0036 TR0037 TR0038 TR0039 TR0040 TR0041 TR0042 TR0043 TR0044 TR0045 TR0046 TR0047 TR0048 TR0049 TR0050 TR0051 TR0052 TR0053 TR0054 TR0055 TR0056 TR0057 TR0058 TR0059 TR0060 TR0061 TR0062 TR0063 TR0064 TR0065 TR0066 TR0067 TR0068 TR0069 TR0070 TR0071 TR0073 TR0074 TR0075 TR0076 TR0077

489000E

Site extent
Excavated evaluation trench
Test pit location
Grim's Ditch Scheduled Ancient Monument
Original design



High Speed Two

Figure 7
Hunts Green
Detail of test pit locations

Published

HS2

Registered in England. Registration number 06791686.
Registered office: 2 Snowhill, Queensway, Birmingham B4 6GA.

Scale at A3: 1:1,500

0 10 20 30 40 50
Meters

Date: 05/02/21

Doc Number: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-GI-MAP-CS03_CL05-000008

TR0066
TR0067
TR0071
TR0073
TR0074
TR0075
TR0076
TR0077
TR0078
TR0079
TR0080
TR0081
TR0082
TR0083
TR0084
TR0085
TR0086
TR0087
TR0088
TR0089
TR0090
TR0091
TR0092
TR0093
TR0094
TR0095
TR0096
TR0097
TR0098
TR0099
TR0100
TR0101
TR0102
TR0103
TR0104
TR0105
TR0106
TR0107
TR0108
TR0109
TR0110
TR0111
TR0112
TR0113
TR0114
TR0115
TR0116
TR0117
TR0118
TR0119
TR0120
TR0121
TR0122
TR0123
TR0124
TR0125
TR0126
TR0127
TR0128
TR0129
TR0131

203500 N

489000E

489500E

Site extent
Excavated evaluation trench
Test pit location
Grim's Ditch Scheduled Ancient Monument
Original design



High Speed Two

Figure 8
Hunts Green
Detail of test pit locations

Published

HS2

Registered in England. Registration number 06791686.
Registered office: 2 Snowhill, Queensway, Birmingham B4 6GA.

Scale at A3: 1:1,500

0 10 20 30 40 50
Meters

Date: 05/02/21

Doc Number: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-GI-MAP-CS03_CL05-000008

Code 1 - Accepted

203500 N

TR0095 TR0094 TR0096 TR0097 TR0098 TR0099 TR0100 TR0101 TR0102 TR0103 TR0104 TR0105 TR0106 TR0107 TR0108 TR0109 TR0110 TR0111 TR0112 TR0113 TR0114 TR0115 TR0116 TR0117 TR0118 TR0119 TR0120 TR0121 TR0122 TR0123 TR0124 TR0125 TR0126 TR0127 TR0128 TR0129 TR0130 TR0131 TR0132 TR0133 TR0134 TR0135 TR0136 TR0137 TR0138 TR0139 TR0140 TR0141 TR0142 TR0143 TR0144 TR0145 TR0146 TR0147 TR0148 TR0149 TR0150 TR0151 TR0152

489500E

HS2 Ltd accept no responsibility for any circumstances, which arise from the reproduction of this map after alteration, amendment or abbreviation or if it issued in part or issued incomplete in any way.

Site extent

Excavated evaluation trench

Test pit location

Grim's Ditch Scheduled Ancient Monument

Original design



High Speed Two

Figure 9
Hunts Green
Detail of test pit locations

Published

HS2

Registered in England. Registration number 06791686.
Registered office: 2 Snowhill, Queensway, Birmingham B4 6GA.

Scale at A3: 1:1,500

0 10 20 30 40 50
Meters

Date: 05/02/21

Doc Number: 1EW03-FUS_IFA-GI-MAP-CS03_CL05-000008

Appendix 6 Plates

Plate 1 - Trench 2 ditch [900207], looking west

Plate 2 - Trench 66 ditch [906604], looking south-east

Plate 3 - Trench 55 ditch [905502], looking south-east

Plate 4 - Trench 13 pre-ex view, looking west-south-west

Plate 5 - Trench 13 ditch [901304], looking east

Plate 6 - Trench 40 ditch [904005], looking south-east

Plate 7 - Trench 8 feature [900811], looking south

Plate 8 - Trench 11 general view of features pre-ex, looking south-west

Plate 9 - Trench 27 surface {902703), looking south-east

Plate 10 - Trench 43 feature [904302], looking south-east

Plate 11 - Trench 135 feature [913510], looking north

Plate 12 - Trench 142 ditch [914204], looking north-east