# Goodhart Building University College Oxford Engineering test pits



## Written Scheme of Investigation



April 2013

## Client: Dr Roland Harris

Issue No: 1 Grid Reference: SP 51818 06198



## University College, Oxford. Goodhart Building - engineering test pits

## Written Scheme of Investigation for a Watching Brief

Centred on SP 51818 06198

## **Table of Contents**

1	Introduc	tion	5
	1.1	Project details	5
	1.2	Location, geology and topography	5
2	Archaeo	logical and Historical Background and Potential	5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	Previous investigations at the site	5
	2.3	Archaeological and historical background	7
	2.4	Potential	8
3	Project A	Aims	9
	3.1	General	9
4	Project S	Specific Excavation and Recording Methodology	9
	4.1	Scope of works	9
	4.2	Programme	9
	4.3	Site specific methodology	9
5	Project S	Specific Reporting and Archive Methodology	9
	5.1	Programme	9
	5.2	Content	10
	5.3	Specialist input	10
	5.4	Archive	10
6	Health a	nd Safety	10
	6.1	Roles and responsibilities	10
	6.2	Method statement and risk assessment	10
7	Monitori	ng of works	11
8	References1		



OA Standard Fieldwork Methodology Appendices	12
Appendix A. General Excavation and Recording Methodology	12
A.1 Standard methodology – summary	12
A.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	13
A.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	13
Appendix B. Geomatics and Survey	13
B.1 Standard methodology – summary	13
B.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	15
B.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	15
Appendix C. Environmental evidence	16
C.1 Summary of Standard methodology	16
C.2 Relevant Industry Standards and Guidelines	16
C.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	17
Appendix D. Artefactual evidence	17
D.1 Summary of Standard methodology	17
D.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	18
D.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	19
Appendix E. Burials	19
E.1 Summary of Standard methodology	19
E.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	20
E.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	21
Appendix F. Reporting	21
F.1 Summary of Standard methodology	21
F.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	23
Appendix G. List of specialists regularly used by OA	23
Appendix H. Documentary Archiving	25
H.1 Standard methodology – summary	25
H.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	26
H.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	
Appendix I. Health and Safety	26



	University College, Oxford. Goodhart Building - engineering test pits	v.1
I.1	Summary of Standard Methodology	26



## List of Figures

- Fig. 1 Site location
- Fig. 2 Proposed locations of test pits TP1 and TP2

## **1** INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Project details

- 1.1.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA) has been commissioned by Dr Roland Harris to undertake a watching brief at the Goodhart Building, University College Oxford (Fig. 1). The watching brief will monitor the excavation of two engineering test pits relating to the proposed refurbishment and redevelopment of the Goodhart Building.
- 1.1.2 The work is being undertaken in advance of the submission of a planning application. The proposal to refurbish and redevelop the Goodhart building is in its infancy. The test pits are required by construction consultants AKS Ward in order to clarify the nature of the foundations of the 1961-2 buildings. Although the engineering work does not fall under any conditions, a brief has been prepared by Dr Roland Harris, which sets out the requirements for an archaeological watching brief. This document outlines how OA will implement those requirements.
- 1.1.3 All work will be undertaken in accordance with local and national planning policies. Of particular relevance is Policy HE2 [archaeology] in the Oxford Local Plan 2001-16 (adopted November 2005). Furthermore all work will be carried out in full accordance with the appropriate sections of the Institute for Archaeologists (IFA) Code of Conduct, the IFA Code of Approved Practice for the Regulation of Contractual Arrangements in Field Archaeology, the IFA Standards and Guidance for an Archaeological Watching Brief, and the British Archaeologists and Developers Liaison Group Code of Practice. The watching brief will be undertaken as set out in both this document and the brief (Harris 2013).

## 1.2 Location, geology and topography

- 1.2.1 The site is centred on NGR SP 51818 06198.
- 1.2.2 The two test pits (TP1 and TP2) are located at the Goodhart Building, Logic Lane, Oxford (Fig.2).
- 1.2.3 The bedrock geology of the area is Oxford Clay Formation and West Walton Formation (Undifferentiated) Mudstone. Superficial deposits of Northmoor Sand and Gravel have also been recorded (BGS).

## 2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND POTENTIAL

## 2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 The archaeological and historical background to the site has been described in detail in the brief (Harris 2013) and is summarised below.

## 2.2 **Previous investigations at the site**

2.2.1 In July and August 1960 rescue excavations were carried out prior to construction of the Goodhart Building. These were followed, in October 1960 to January 1961, by more limited archaeological examination of foundation pits dug by the building contractors. The report makes no reference to any archaeological investigation within the substantial area excavated for the basement of the Goodhart Building. The aim of excavation had the aim of finding evidence of medieval Kybald Street (see section 2.3.9) and Saxon occupation. Accordingly, the initial trench was located largely below the Goodhart Seminar Room, adjacent to the dog-leg in Logic Lane that marks the point



where it crossed Kybald Street. This first trench encountered a Victorian cellar, so was expanded eastwards and north-eastwards by two adjacent trenches. These saw significant discoveries that included two prehistoric ditches (see section 2.3.3), Late Saxon pits (see section 2.3.5), and later medieval buildings, pits and evidence of Kybald Street. The findings of the subsequent inspection of the foundation pits dug by the contractors were less significant, but did include further evidence of the prehistoric ditches in three of the trenches: one of the foundation pits revealed the continuation of one of the two ditches excavated in the main archaeological trenches, and showed that it was curved and, thus, probably part of a ring-ditch.

#### Previous investigations in the vicinity

- 2.2.2 A number of investigations have taken place in the vicinity of the Goodhart Building. These include:
  - excavations of the site of the Angel Inn in 1876 which revealed a series of probably Late Saxon pits;
  - excavations at University College kitchens in 1892 which produced medieval pottery
  - University College Radcliffe Quadrangle where subsidence in 1940 revealed a stone-built chamber, probably an 18th century sump pit;
  - University College new Common Room where in 1969 a probable cess pit postdating the 17th century was uncovered along with post-medieval pottery
  - investigation of medieval rubbish pits in 1969 at 92-3 High Street
  - excavations between 2000-3 to the rear of 4a Merton Street (the site of a stone townhouse of c1200) revealed 11th century (or later) pits, an undercroft, a second building on the Kybald Street frontage and later medieval pits
  - an evaluation, trial holes, excavation and watching brief at University College kitchen and buttery between 2006-8 revealed that intact and deeply stratified archaeological levels survive as early as the 13th century. Remains of an earlier wall were uncovered, although it was unclear whether this was associated with a building pre-dating the college or part of a boundary wall between two plots of land fronting either Hight Street or Kybald Street. Construction trenches for the chapel (1639-41) and buttery (1859-61) were also observed. However, it is not completely clear whether the chapel construction trench relates to the original construction or to reconstruction work in the 17th century. Two further walls were observed during the watching brief that coincide with those depicted on James King's plan of 1848. The walls comprised the west wall of the larders/scullery and a wall defining the eastern extent of the Fellows' Garden. Very little late Saxon or Saxo-Norman pottery was present, with 13th to 14th century sherds (mainly of Brill/Boarstall wares) representing the earliest assemblage. However, late Saxon and Saxo-Norman pottery was discovered as residual material in later phases suggesting that the 10th-11th century deposits were disturbed by later action probably associated with the 13th century construction of the college.



## 2.3 Archaeological and historical background

#### Prehistoric

- 2.3.1 Excavations at Logic Lane in 1960-1 produced several struck flints, representing residual finds in medieval and later contexts, which included an end-scraper or long-flake probably of Mesolithic origins. Other residual finds of Mesolithic date have been found in Oxford, although no site has yet been identified.
- 2.3.2 Neolithic finds in the Logic Lane excavations were limited to a single sherd from a Peterborough Ware bowl and, possibly, some of the struck flints and a fragment of a pick made from red-deer antler. More substantial evidence for Neolithic occupation in Oxford is attested by other sites, and includes a Middle Neolithic enclosure at the Radcliffe Infirmary.
- 2.3.3 By contrast, the excavation provided significant evidence of Bronze Age activity on the site in the form of remains of two large ditches, one of which was a probable ring ditch. Finds include a barbed and tanged arrowhead. The ring-ditch suggests that the Logic Lane site represents part of a Bronze Age barrow cemetery.

#### Roman

2.3.4 Limited residual Roman finds were uncovered from the Logic Lane excavation. Other residual Roman pottery and *ex situ* building material has been discovered in sites in central Oxford. A denser concentration of such finds to the west of University College could suggest Roman occupation.

#### Saxon

- 2.3.5 A few late Saxon features were identified in the Logic Lane excavation comprising a putative beam slot, post hole and four pits. The pits produced a significant assemblage of late Saxon pottery.
- 2.3.6 A second substantial assemblage of Saxon pottery was excavated at 4a Merton Street in 2000-3. Possible late Saxon pits were also discovered at the site of the Angel Inn in 1876.
- 2.3.7 The occupation evidence is consistent with the fact that Oxford was an Anglo-Saxon *burh*. The extent of the *burh* is not entirely certain, although it has long been accepted that the area between the later medieval Eastgate and Schools Street/Oriel Street (in which the proposed development lies) represents an extension, perhaps of the early 11th century, or even 10th century.

#### Norman and later medieval

- 2.3.8 By the early to mid 11th century the site lay within the town centre and to the south of the High Street, which led to the Eastgate and to a crossing of the Cherwell beyond (later Magdalen Bridge). Use of the area set well back from the High Street at this period is demonstrated by the discovery of 11th century rubbish pits at Logic Lane, and 11th century (or later) pits at Postmaster's Hall Yard, Merton Street.
- 2.3.9 The excavations at Logic Lane showed that east-west Kybald Street (marked today by the dog-leg in Logic Lane, and its surviving western section) was created around 1130, possibly along the line of an 11th century boundary fence. The excavators also conclude that Logic Lane (which dog-legged across Kybald Street) was established at the same time or later, but this assumes that the lengths north and south of Kybald Street are coeval.

- 2.3.10 Documentary evidence of the High Street and Kybald Street plots in the area now occupied by the Goodhart Building and Goodhart Cottage survives from the early 13th century onwards, and records the location of the proposed development within several tenements and academic halls. The rear boundary of the High Street properties lay just south of Goodhart Cottage, so that the cottage, the northern part of the Goodhart Building and Cecily's Court lie within what were the rear parts of the plots of 83-7 High Street. The southern end of the Goodhart Building and the Goodhart Seminar Room lie within part of properties between Kybald Street and Merton Street, respectively comprising Nightingale Hall and Chimney Hall (both academic halls by 1293: Chimney Hall ceased to be recorded after 1426, at which point it either merged with Nightingale Hall which survived until c1460 or became part of a garden and vacant plot in this area).
- 2.3.11 In 1447 the eastern part of Kybald Street (i.e. east of Logic Lane) was closed, at which point it was called Harehall Lane or Nightingale Hall Lane.

## Post-medieval and modern period

- 2.3.12 The Logic Lane excavation of 1960-1 revealed two post-medieval rubbish pits, of 16th to 17th century date, which had been cut through medieval Kybald Street. Aga's 1578 map shows the area south of the High Street properties east of Logic Lane as given over to gardens, and the site of the Goodhart Building is again shown as gardens and yards on Loggan's more reliable map of 1675. By the date of Faden's map of Oxford (1789) buildings had extended southwards along the east side of Logic Lane nearly as far as the dog-leg. The 1876 Ordnance Survey 1:500 map shows that the Merton Street frontage had been mostly built up and that the rear of these plots and those fronting the High Street had been largely in-filled: the substantial blank area immediately east of the development shows the site cleared for the building of the Examination Schools.
- 2.3.13 The late 19th century saw University College acquire additional properties east of Logic Lane. In 1895-6 10 new rooms were built behind 88-9 High Street (University Hall), but all of this property was then replaced in 1902-3 by Moore's Durham Building. Unlike that of its predecessor, the rear wing of the new building was set back from Logic Lane behind a narrow garden. In 1935 the upper floors of 83 and 84 High Street were converted to 10 sets of undergraduate rooms. The upper floors of 85 High Street and Bostar Hall (86-7 High Street) were converted to student use in 1946 and 1949 respectively. A dedicated law library was created in the Durham Buildings in 1949-50.
- 2.3.14 By the late 1950s the development site was considered something of a mess and construction of the Goodhart building, Seminar Room and cottage began in 1961. The Goodhart building and Seminar Room were designed by Stirrat Johnson-Marshall, of Robert Matthew and Johnson- Marshall. The cottage was presumably designed by Stirrat Johnson-Marshall as well. The buildings were opened in 1962.
- 2.3.15 Cecily's Court is located between the rear of 83-5 High Street and the north elevations of the Goodhart building and Goodhart Cottage. It was designed by John Fryman of the Architects Design Partnership and was opened in 1974.

## 2.4 Potential

2.4.1 The site has a complex history, and - notwithstanding the archaeological excavation and construction programme of the early 1960s – has considerable archaeological potential. It is unclear, however, whether the excavation of the proposed test pits will extend beyond the ground disturbed in 1960-1.



## **3 P**ROJECT **A**IMS

## 3.1 General

- 3.1.1 The general aims of the work are to:
  - determine the character of any remains present without compromising any deposit which may merit investigation under future more extensive excavation;
  - ensure that deposits are removed (where appropriate and practicable) by proper controlled archaeological methods;
  - ensure that archaeological data is recovered from the areas subject to watching briefs;
  - determine or estimate the date range of any remains from artefacts or otherwise; and
  - determine the potential of the deposits for significant palaeo-ecological information.

## 4 PROJECT SPECIFIC EXCAVATION AND RECORDING METHODOLOGY

#### 4.1 Scope of works

4.1.1 A watching brief will be maintained during the excavation of two engineering test pits (Fig. 2).

#### 4.2 Programme

- 4.2.1 The exact timing of the works have yet to be confirmed, although the work is anticipated to take place in the week commencing April 15th 2013. The project will be managed for Oxford Archaeology by Ben Ford, Senior Project Manager.
- 4.2.2 All fieldwork undertaken by Oxford Archaeology (South) is overseen by the Head of Fieldwork, Dan Poore MIFA.

#### 4.3 Site specific methodology

- 4.3.1 A summary of OA's general approach to excavation and recording can be found in Appendix A. Standard methodologies for Geomatics and Survey, Environmental evidence, Artefactual evidence and Burials can also be found below (Appendices B, C, D and E respectively).
- 4.3.2 Site specific methodologies will be as follows:
  - (i) All impacts with the potential to impact on below ground archaeological deposits will be closely monitored by the Site Archaeologist.

## 5 PROJECT SPECIFIC REPORTING AND ARCHIVE METHODOLOGY

#### 5.1 Programme

- 5.1.1 The report will be completed within eight weeks of the completion of the fieldwork.
- 5.1.2 Three bound copies of the completed report will be provided to University College and one copy to David Radford, Oxford City Archaeologist. A PDF version of the report will be supplied to Dr Roland Harris.



5.1.3 A summary report will be sent to the editors of **South Midlands Archaeology** no later than three months after the end of the calendar year in which the work is undertaken.

#### 5.2 Content

5.2.1 The content of the report(s) will be as defined in Appendix F.

#### 5.3 Specialist input

5.3.1 OA has a large pool of internal specialists, as well as a network of external specialists with whom OA have well established working relationships. A general list of these specialists is presented in Appendix G; in the event that additional input should be required, an updated list of specialists can be supplied.

#### 5.4 Archive

- 5.4.1 The site archive will be deposited with Oxfordshire County Museum Service following completion of the project.
- 5.4.2 All digital products of the archive will be submitted on CD-ROM or DVD-ROM to Dr Roland Harris within eight weeks of the completion of the site works. The data will include:
  - all line drawings (plans, sections and elevations) in AutoCAD format;
  - all photographs as high quality non-proprietary raw files (DNG) or .tif images (with a minimum of 10 megapixel uninterpolated image size);
  - survey data, showing traverses (adjusted or otherwise), sideshots, witness diagrams, derivation of OSBM values etc.
- 5.4.3 A summary of OA's general approach to documentary archiving can be found in Appendix H.

## 6 HEALTH AND SAFETY

#### 6.1 Roles and responsibilities

- 6.1.1 The Senior Project Manager, Ben Ford, has responsibility for ensuring that safe systems of work are adhered to on site. He delegates elements of this responsibility to the Site Archaeologist who implements these on a day to day basis.
- 6.1.2 The Director with responsibility for Health and Safety at OA is Robert Williams (Chief Operations Officer); he is advised by the OA Group Health and Safety Coordinator, Dan Poore (NEBOSH Level 3).

#### 6.2 Method statement and risk assessment

- 6.2.1 A summary of OA's general approach to health and safety can be found in Appendix I. A risk assessment will be been undertaken and approved prior to commencing work and will be kept on site, along with OA's standard health and safety file, which will contain all relevant health and safety documentation.
- 6.2.2 The Health and Safety file will be available to view at any time.



#### 7 MONITORING OF WORKS

- 7.1.1 At least five days notice of the commencement of the works will be given to David Radford of Oxford City Council.
- 7.1.2 David Radford will have free access to the site (subject to Health and Safety considerations) and all records to ensure the works are being carried out in accordance with this WSI and all other relevant standards.

## 8 **R**EFERENCES

Harris, R. 2013. University College Oxford. Goodhart Building – engineering test pits. Brief for Archaeological Watching Brief

## OA STANDARD FIELDWORK METHODOLOGY APPENDICES

The following methods and terms will apply, where appropriate, to all OA fieldwork unless varied by the accompanying detailed Written Scheme of Investigation.

Copies of all OA internal standards and guidelines referred to below are available on request.

## APPENDIX A. GENERAL EXCAVATION AND RECORDING METHODOLOGY

#### A.1 Standard methodology – summary

#### Mechanical excavation

- A.1.1 An appropriate mechanical excavator will be used for machine excavation. This will normally be a JCB or 360° tracked excavator with a 1.5 m to 2 m wide toothless ditching bucket. For work with restricted access or working room a mini excavator will be used.
- A.1.2 All mechanical excavation will be undertaken under direct archaeological supervision.
- A.1.3 All undifferentiated topsoil or overburden of recent origin will be removed down to the first significant archaeological horizon, in successive, level spits.
- A.1.4 Following mechanical excavation, all areas that require examination or recording will be cleaned using appropriate hand tools.
- A.1.5 Spoil heaps will be monitored in order to recover artefacts to assist in the analysis of the spatial distribution of artefacts. Modern artefacts will be noted but not retained.
- A.1.6 After recording, evaluation trenches and test pits will usually be backfilled with excavated material in reverse order of excavation, and compacted as far as is practicable with the mechanical excavator. Area excavations will not normally be backfilled.

#### Hand excavation

- A.1.7 All investigation of archaeological levels will usually be by hand, with cleaning, examination and recording both in plan and section.
- A.1.8 Within significant archaeological levels the minimum number and proportion of features required to meet the aims of the excavation will be hand excavated. Pits and postholes will usually be subject to a 50% sample by volume. Linear features will be sectioned as appropriate. More complex features such as those associated with funerary activity will usually be subject to 100% hand excavation.
- A.1.9 In the case of evaluations, it is not necessarily the intention that all trial trenches will be fully excavated to natural stratigraphy, but the depth of archaeological deposits across the site will be assessed. The stratigraphy of a representative sample of the evaluation trenches will be recorded even where no archaeological deposits have been identified. Any excavation, both by machine and by hand, will be undertaken with a view to avoiding damage to any archaeological features or deposits, which appear to be worthy of preservation in situ.



#### Recording

- A.1.10 Written descriptions will be recorded on proforma sheets comprising factual data and interpretative elements.
- A.1.11 Where stratified deposits are encountered a Harris matrix will be compiled during the course of the excavation.
- A.1.12 Plans will normally drawn at 1:100, but on urban or deeply stratified sites a scale of 1:50 or 1:20 will be used. Detailed plans will be at an appropriate scale. Burials will be drawn at scale 1:10 or recorded using geo-referenced digital photography.
- A.1.13 The site grid will be accurately tied into the National Grid and located on the 1:2500 or 1:1250 map of the area.
- A.1.14 A register of plans will be kept.
- A.1.15 Long sections of showing layers will be drawn at 1:50. Sections of features or short lengths of trenches will be drawn at 1:20.
- A.1.16 A register of sections will be kept.
- A.1.17 Generally all sections will be tied in to Ordnance Datum.
- A.1.18 A full black and white photographic record, illustrating in both detail and general context the principal features and finds discovered will be maintained. The photographic record will also include colour (digital) working shots to illustrate more generally the nature of the archaeological work.
- A.1.19 Photographs will be recorded on OA Photographic Record Sheets.

#### A.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- A.2.1 The Institute for Archaeologists' Standard and Guidance notes relevant to fieldwork are:
  - Standard and Guidance for Field Evaluation
  - Standard and Guidance for Excavation
  - Standard and Guidance for an Archaeological Watching Brief.
- A.2.2 These will be adhered to at all times.

#### A.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

- A.3.1 All fieldwork will be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the OA Field Manual (ed. D Wilkinson 1992), and the revised OA fieldwork manual (publication forthcoming).
- A.3.2 Further guidance is provided to all excavators in the form of the OA 'Fieldwork Crib Sheets a companion guide to the Fieldwork Manual'. These have been issued ahead of formal publication of the revised Fieldwork Manual.

## APPENDIX B. GEOMATICS AND SURVEY

#### **B.1 Standard methodology – summary**

B.1.1 The aim of OA methodology is to provide comprehensive survey cover of all investigation areas. Additionally, it is designed to provide coverage for any areas, beyond the original scope of the project, which arise as a result of further work. It



provides digital plans of all required elements of the project and locates them within an overall grid.

- B.1.2 It also maintains all necessary survey data and ensures that the relevant information is copied into the primary record, in order to ensure the integrity of the project archive. Furthermore, it ensures that all core data is securely stored and backed up. It establishes accurate project reference systems utilising a series of control stations and permanent base lines.
- B.1.3 The survey will be conducted using a combination of Total Station Theodolite (TST) survey utilising Reflectorless Electronic Distance Measurement (REDM) where appropriate, hand-measured elements and GPS (Global Positioning System).
- B.1.4 Before the main work commences, a network of control stations will be laid out encompassing the area. Control stations will be tied in to known points or existing features using rigorous metric observation. The control network will be set in using a TST to complete a traverse or using techniques as appropriate to ensure sufficient accuracy. A GPS, or other appropriate method, will be used to orientate the control network to National Grid or other recognised coordinate system.
- B.1.5 All control stations will be checked by closed traverse and/or GPS, as appropriate. The accuracy of these control stations will be accessed on a regular basis and reestablished accordingly. All stations will be recorded on Survey Control Station sheets.
- B.1.6 Each control station will be marked with a PGM (Permanent Ground Marker). Witness diagrams will include the full 3-D co-ordinates generated, a sketch diagram and measurements to at least three fixed details, written description of the mark and a photograph of the control point in its environs.
- B.1.7 Prior to entry into the field all equipment will be checked, and all pre-survey information will be logged onto the field computer and uploaded onto survey equipment as appropriate. The software in the field computer will be verified and all cabling between the GPS and/or TST and computer will be checked. Prior to conducting the survey the site will be reconnoitred for locations for a viable control network and check the line of sight and any possible hindrance to survey. Daily record sheets will be kept to record daily tasks and conditions.
- B.1.8 All spatial data will be periodically downloaded onto a field computer, and backed up onto CD, or DVD. It will be cleaned, validated and inspected.
- B.1.9 All survey data will be documented on daily survey record sheets. Information entered on these sheets includes key set up information (Instrument height etc.) as well as daily variables and errors/comments. All survey data will be digitally recorded in a raw format and translated during the download process this shall allow for any errors to be cross referenced with the daily survey record and corrected accordingly.
- B.1.10 A weekly summary of survey work will be produced to access development and highlight problems. This information also will be recorded on the weekly survey journal. Technical support for the survey equipment and download software shall be available at all times. In those instances where sites are remotely operated, all digital data will be backed up regularly and a copy returned to Oxford on a weekly basis.
- B.1.11 A site plan will initially be created by a rapid survey of relevant archaeological features by mapping their extent using a combination of TST and GPS. This will form the basis for deciding excavation strategy and will be updated as the excavation clarifies the extent of, and relationships between, archaeological features.

- B.1.12 Excavated archaeological interventions and areas of complex stratigraphy will be hand drawn. At least two Drawing Points (DPs) will be set in as a baseline and measurements taken off this by tape and offset. The hand drawn plans will be referenced to the digitally captured pre-site plan by measuring in the DPs with a TST or GPS. These hand drawn elements will then be scanned in, geo-referenced using the DPs as reference points and digitised following OA's digitising protocols. For further details on hand planning procedure please refer to the fieldwork guidelines.
- B.1.13 Where appropriate rectified photography may be used to record standing structures or burials. This will be carried out in line with Standard OA procedures for rectified photography.
- B.1.14 Survey data recorded in the field will be downloaded using appropriate downloading software, and saved as an AutoCAD Map DWG file, or an ESRI Shapefile. These files will be regularly updated and backed up with originals being stored on an OA server in Oxford.
- B.1.15 All drawings will be composed of closed polygons, polylines or points in accordance with the requirements of GIS construction and OA Geomatics protocols. Once created, additional GIS/CAD work will normally be carried out at the local OA central office or at on-site remote locations when appropriate. Support for all GIS/CAD work will be available from OA's Oxford Office during normal office hours. The aim of the GIS/CAD work is to produce workable draft plans, which can be produced as stand-alone products, or can be readily converted to GIS format. Any hand-drawn plans will be scanned and digitised on site in the first instance. Subsequent plans will be added to the main drawing as it develops.
- B.1.16 All plan scans will be numbered according to their plan site number. Digital plans will be given a standard new plan number taken out from the site plan index.
- B.1.17 All digital data will be backed up incrementally on CD or DVD. On each Friday the entire data directory will be backed up and returned to Oxford where it will be copied onto the OA projects server. Each CAD drawing will contain an information layout which will include all the relevant details appertaining to that drawing. Information (metadata) on all other digital files will be created and stored as appropriate. At the end of the survey all raw measurements will be made available as hard copy for archiving purposes.

#### **B.2** Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- B.2.1 English Heritage (2009), Metric Survey Specifications for Cultural Heritage
- B.2.2 English Heritage (2006), Understanding Historic Buildings A Guide to Good Practise
- B.2.3 English Heritage, (2007) Understanding the Archaeology of Landscapes A Guide to Good Recording practise

#### **B.3** Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

- B.3.1 OA South Metric Survey, Data Capture and Download Procedures
- B.3.2 OA South Digitising Protocols
- B.3.3 OA South GIS Protocols
- B.3.4 These will be superseded by the OA South Geomatics Manual (in progress).



## APPENDIX C. ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE

## C.1 Summary of Standard methodology

- C.1.1 Different environmental and geoarchaeological sampling strategies may be employed according to established research targets and the perceived importance of the strata under investigation. Where possible an environmental specialist(s) will visit the site to advise on sampling strategies. Sampling methods will follow guidelines produced by English Heritage and Oxford Archaeology. A register of samples will be kept. Specialists will be consulted where non-standard sampling is required (eg. TL, OSL or archaeomagnetic dating) and if appropriate will be invited to visit the site and take the samples.
- C.1.2 Geoarchaeological sampling methods are site specific, and methodologies will be designed in consultation with the geoarchaeological manager on a site by site basis.
- C.1.3 Bulk soil samples, where possible of 40 litres or 100% of a deposit if less is available, will be taken from potentially datable features and layers for flotation for charred plant remains and for the recovery of small bones and artefacts. Larger soil samples (up to 100L) may be taken for the complete recovery of animal bones, marine shell and small artefacts from appropriate contexts. Smaller bulk samples (general biological samples) of 10-20 litres will be taken from any waterlogged deposits present for the recovery of macroscopic plant remains and insects. Series of incremental 2L samples may be taken through buried soils and deep feature fills for the recovery of snails and/or waterlogged plant remains, depending on the nature of the stratigraphy and of the soils and sediments. Columns will be taken from buried soils, peats and waterlogged feature fills for pollen and/or phytoliths, diatoms, ostracods and foraminifera if appropriate. Soil samples will be taken for soil investigations (particle size, organic matter, bulk chemistry, soil micromorphology etc.) and possibly for metallurgical analysis in consultation with the appropriate specialists.
- C.1.4 Bulk samples from dry deposits will be processed by standard water flotation using a modified Siraf-style machine and meshes of 0.25mm (flot) and 0.5 or 1mm depending (residue). Heavy residues will be wet sieved, air dried and sorted. Samples taken exclusively for the recovery of bones, marine shell or artefacts will be wet sieved to 2mm. Waterlogged samples (1L sub-sample) and snail samples (2L) will be processed by hand flotation with flots and residues collected to 0.25mm (waterlogged plants) and 0.5mm (snails) respectively; these flots and residues will be sorted by the specialist. Samples specifically taken for insects, pollen, other microflora and microfauna, metallurgy and soil analysis will be submitted as whole earth to the appropriate specialists or processed following their instructions.

## C.2 Relevant Industry Standards and Guidelines

- C.2.1 English Heritage 2010. Waterlogged Wood: Guidelines on the recording, sampling, conservation and curation of waterlogged wood.
- C.2.2 English Heritage 2001. Archaeometallurgy. Centre for Archaeology Guidelines 2001.01.
- C.2.3 English Heritage 2011. Environmental Archaeology. A guide to the theory and practice of methods, from sampling and recovery to post excavation, (2<sup>nd</sup> ed)
- C.2.4 English Heritage 2004. Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates.



- C.2.5 English Heritage 2006. Archaeomagnetic Dating. Guidelines for Producing and Interpreting Archaeomagnetic Dates.
- C.2.6 English Heritage 2007. Geoarchaeology. Using Earth Sciences to Understand the Archaeological Record.
- C.2.7 English Heritage 2008. Luminescence Dating. Guidelines on Using Luminescence Dating in Archaeology.
- C.2.8 English Heritage 2008. Guidelines for the Curation of Waterlogged Macroscopic Plant and Invertebrate Remains.

## C.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

C.3.1 Oxford Archaeology 2005. Environmental Sampling Guidelines, 2nd ed.

## APPENDIX D. ARTEFACTUAL EVIDENCE

#### D.1 Summary of Standard methodology

- D.1.1 Before a site begins arrangements concerning the finds will be discussed with the Head of Finds. Information will be provided by the project manager about the nature of the site, the expected size and make-up of the finds assemblage and any site specific finds retrieval strategies. On-site requirements will be discussed and a conservator appointed who can be called on to make site visits if required. Special requirements regarding particular categories of material will be raised at this early stage for instance the likelihood of recovering assemblages of waterlogged material, large timbers, quantities of structural stone or ceramic building material. Specialists may be required to visit sites to discuss retrieval strategies.
- D.1.2 The project manager will supply the Head of Finds with contact details of the landowner of the site so that consent to deposit any finds resulting from the investigation can be sought.
- D.1.3 The on-site retrieval, lifting and short term packaging of bulk and small finds will follow the detailed guidelines set out in the OA Finds Manual (sections 2 and 3), First Aid for Finds and the UKIC conservation guidelines No.2.
- D.1.4 All finds recovered from site will be transported to an OA regional office for processing; local sites will return finds at the end of each day, away based sites at the end of each week. Special arrangements can be discussed for certain sites with the department manager before the start of a project. Larger long running sites may in some instances set up on-site processing units to deal with the material from a particular site.
- D.1.5 All finds qualifying as Treasure will be removed to a safe place and reported to the local Coroner according to the procedures relating to the Treasure Act (1996), and the Treasure (Designation) Order 2002. Where removal can not be effected on the same working day as the discovery, suitable security measures will be taken to protect the finds from theft.
- D.1.6 Each box of finds will be accompanied by a finds context checklist itemising the finds within each box. The number of bags of finds from each context and individual small find from each context will be recorded. A member of the processing team will check the list when it arrives in the department. There are separate forms for finds recovered from fieldwalking.



- D.1.8 All bulk finds are washed (where appropriate), marked, bagged and boxed by the processing team according to the guidelines set out in section 4 and 5 of the OA Finds Manual, First-aid for finds and the UKIC guidelines No.2. They must also take into account the requirements of the receiving museum. Primary data recording count and weight of fragments by material from each context is recorded on the site database.
- D.1.9 Unstable and sensitive objects are recorded onto the database and then packaged and stored in controlled environments according to their individual requirements. The advice of a conservator will be sought for sensitive objects in need of urgent conservation. All metalwork will be x-rayed prior to assessment (and to meet the requirements of most receiving museums).
- D.1.10 Finds recovered from the environmental sample processing will be incorporated into the main assemblage and added to the database.
- D.1.11 On completion of the processing and data entry a finds file for each archaeological investigation will be produced, a summary of which is available for the project manager. The assemblage is allocated an OA number for storage purposes. Bulk finds are stored on a roller racking system, metals in a secure controlled storage and organic finds are refrigerated where possible.
- D.1.12 The movement of finds in and out of the department storage areas is strictly monitored and recorded. Carbon copy transit forms exist to record this information. Finds will not be removed from storage without the prior knowledge of the Head of Finds.
- D.1.13 Finds information summarised in the finds compendium is used to assess the finds requirements for the post excavation stages of the project. The Finds department holds a list of all specialists used by OA (see below) both internal and external.
- D.1.14 On completion of the post excavation stage of the project the department prepares the finds assemblage for deposition with the receiving museum. Discussions will be held with the museum, the excavator and the head of finds to finalise any selection, retention or discard policy. Most museums issue strict guidelines for the preparation of archives for deposition with their individual labelling, packaging and recording requirements.

#### D.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- D.2.1 UKIC, 1983, Packaging and Storage of Freshly-Excavated Artefacts from Archaeological Sites. Conservation Guidelines No.2. Archaeology Section, United Kingdom Institute for Conservation.
- D.2.2 UKIC, 1988, Excavated Artefacts and Conservation: UK sites Revised Edition. Conservation Guidelines No.1. Archaeology Section, United Kingdom Institute for Conservation.
- D.2.3 Society of Museum Archaeologists, 1993, Selection, retention and dispersal of Archaeological Collections. Download available via http://www.socmusarch.org.uk/publica.htm)
- D.2.4 Watkinson, D E & Neal, V, 1998, First Aid for Finds (3rd edition). RESCUE & UKIC



## D.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

D.3.1 Allen, L, and Cropper, C (internal publication only) Oxford Archaeology Finds Manual.

## APPENDIX E. BURIALS

## E.1 Summary of Standard methodology

- E.1.1 Human remains will not be excavated without a relevant licence/faculty and, where applicable (for example, a post medieval cemetery), a risk assessment from the local environmental officer.
- E.1.2 All human remains will be treated with due care and regard to the sensitivities involved, and will be screened from the public throughout the course of the works.
- E.1.3 Excavation will be undertaken in accordance with IFA (Roberts and McKinley 1993) and English Heritage and The Church of England guidelines (Mays 2005). For crypts and post-medieval burials the recommendations set out by the IFA (Cox 2001) in Crypt Archaeology: an approach, are also relevant.
- E.1.4 In accordance with recommendations set out in the English Heritage and Church of England (2005) document Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England, skeletons will not be excavated beyond the limits of the trench, unless they are deemed osteologically or archaeologically important.
- E.1.5 Where any soft tissue survives and/or materials (for example, inner coffins, mattresses and other paddings) soaked in body liquor, no excavation or handling of the remains will take place until an appropriate risk assessment has been undertaken. Relevant protocols (i.e. Cox 2001) for their excavation, recording and removal will be adhered to.
- E.1.6 OA does not excavate or remove modern burials (post-1907) and does not remove or open sealed lead coffins. Appropriate PPE (e.g. chemical suit, latex gloves) will be worn by all staff when working with lead coffins.
- E.1.7 Graves and their contents will be hand excavated in plan. Each component (for example, skeleton, grave cut, coffin (or remains of), grave fill) will be assigned a unique context number from a running sequence. A group number will also be assigned to all of these, and small finds numbers to features such as coffin nails, hobnails and other grave goods (as appropriate).
- E.1.8 Soil samples will be taken during the excavation of inhumations, usually from the region of the skull, chest, right hand, left hand, abdomen and pelvis, right foot and left foot. Infants (circa. less than 5 years) will normally be recovered as bulk samples. Soil samples will also be taken from graves that appear to contain no human bone.
- E.1.9 Burials (including the skeleton, cremation, coffin fittings, coffin, urn, grave goods / other) will be recorded by photographic and written record using specialised pro forma context sheets, although these records may only include schematic representations of the location and position of the skeletons, depending on the nature and circumstances of the burial.
- E.1.10 Where necessary, hand drawn plans (usually at 1:10, sometimes 1:5) will be made, especially of contexts where required details cannot be adequately seen using digital rectified photography (for example, urned cremations; undisturbed hob nails).
- E.1.11 Levels will be taken. For inhumations this will be on the skull, pelvis and feet as a minimum.

- E.1.12 Human remains that are exhumed will be bagged and labelled according to skeletal region and carefully packed into suitable containers (for example, acid free cardboard boxes) and transported to a suitable storage location. Any associated coffins and coffin fittings will be contained with the human remains wherever possible.
- E.1.13 Unurned cremations will not usually be half sectioned or excavated in spits, but recovered as a bulk sample.
- E.1.14 Wherever possible, urned cremations will be carefully bandaged, recovered whole and will be excavated in spits in the laboratory, as per the recommendations of McKinley (2004).
- E.1.15 Unless deemed osteologically or archaeologically important disarticuled bone / charnel will be collected and reserved for re-burial if immediate re-internment as close to its original position is not practicable. In some instances, a rapid scan of this material may be undertaken by a qualified osteologist, if deemed relevant.
- E.1.16 If undisturbed, pyre sites will normally be excavated in quadrants, at the very least in 0.5 m blocks of 0.5 m spits.
- E.1.17 Pyre debris dumps will be half sectioned or quadranted and will be subject to 100% sampling.
- E.1.18 Wooden and lead coffins and any associated fittings, including fixing nails will be recorded on a pro forma coffin recording sheet. All surviving coffin fittings will be recorded by reference to Reeve and Adams (1993) and the unpublished master catalogue that is being compiled by OA. Where individual types cannot be paralleled, they will be drawn and/ or photographed and assigned a style number. Biographical details obtained from legible departum plate inscriptions will be recorded and further documentary research will be made.
- E.1.19 Funerary structures, such as brick shaft graves and/or vaults will be hand-drawn at a scale of 1:10 or 1:20, as appropriate. Location, dimensions and method of construction will be noted, and the structure added to the overall trench plan.
- E.1.20 Memorials, including headstones, revealed within the areas of development will be recorded irrespective of whether they are believed to be in situ.
- E.1.21 Where required, memorials will be accorded an individual context number and will also be included as part of the grave group, if the association with a burial is clear.
- E.1.22 Memorials will be recorded on pro-forma context sheets, based on and following the guidelines set out by Mytum (2002), and will include details of:
  - Shape
  - Dimensions
  - Type of stone used
  - Iconography (an illustration may best describe these features)
  - Inscription (verbatum record of inscription; font of the lettering)
  - Stylistic type

## E.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

E.2.1 Cox, M, 2001 Crypt archaeology. An approach. IFA Paper No. 3



- E.2.2 Mays, S, 2005 Guidance for Best Practice for Treatment of Human Remains Excavated from Christian Burial Grounds in England. Church or England and English Heritage.
- E.2.3 McKinley, J, and Roberts, C, 1993 Excavation and post-excavation treatment of cremated and inhumed human remains, IFA Technical Paper No. 13
- E.2.4 McKinley, J, 2004 Compiling a skeletal inventory: cremated human bone. In Brickley, M, and McKinley, J (eds) Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains, IFA Technical Paper No. 7. 9-13.
- E.2.5 Mytum, H, 2000 Recording and Analysing Graveyards. CBA Handbook No. 15.
- E.2.6 Reeve, J, and Adams, M, 1993 The Spitalfields Project. Volume I The Archaeology Across the Styx. CBA Research Report No. 85
- E.2.7 The Human Tissue Act 2004

#### E.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

- E.3.1 Loe, L, 2008 The Treatment of Human Remains in the Care of Oxford Archaeology. Oxford Archaeology internal policy document.
- E.3.2 Excavating and recording human remains. Oxford Archaeology internal guidelines document.

## APPENDIX F. REPORTING

#### F.1 Summary of Standard methodology

- F.1.1 For Watching Briefs and Evaluations, the style and format of the report will be determined by OA, but will include as a minimum the following:
  - A location plan of trenches and/or other fieldwork in relation to the proposed development.
  - Plans and sections of features located at an appropriate scale.
  - A section drawing showing depth of deposits including present ground level with Ordnance Datum, vertical and horizontal scale.
  - A summary statement of the results.
  - A table summarising the features, classes and numbers of artefacts contained within, spot dating of significant finds and an interpretation.
  - A reconsideration of the methodology used, and a confidence rating for the results.
  - An interpretation of the archaeological findings both within the site and within their wider landscape/townscape setting.
- F.1.2 For Excavations, a Post-Excavation Assessment and Project Design will generally be prepared, as prescribed by English Heritage Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE) 2006, Section 2.3. This will include a Project Description containing:
  - A summary description and background of the project.
  - A summary of the quantities and assessment of potential for analysis of the information recovered for each category of site, finds, dating and environmental data. Detailed assessment reports will be contained within appendices.

v.1



- An explicit statement of the scope of the project design and how the project relates to any other projects or work preceding, concurrent with or following on from it.
- A statement of the research aims of the fieldwork and an illustrated summary of results to date indicating to what extent the aims were fulfilled.
- A list of the project aims as revised in the light of the results of fieldwork and the current post-excavation assessment process.
- F.1.3 A section on Resources and Programming will also be produced, containing:
  - A list of the personnel involved indicating their qualifications for the tasks undertaken, along with an explanation of how the project team will communicate, both internally and externally.
  - A list of the methods which will be used to achieve the revised research aims.
  - A list of all the tasks involved in using the stated methods to achieve the aims and produce a report and research archive in the stated format, indicating the personnel and time in days involved in each task. Allowance should be made for general project-related tasks such as monitoring, management and project meetings, editorial and revision time.
  - A cascade or Gantt chart indicating tasks in the sequence and relationships required to complete the project. Due allowance will be made for leave and public holidays. Time will also be allowed for the report to be read by a named academic referee as agreed with the County Archaeological Officer, and by the County Archaeological Officer.
  - A report synopsis indicating publisher and report format, broken down into chapters, section headings and subheadings, with approximate word lengths and numbers and titles of illustrations per chapter. The structure of the report synopsis should explicitly reflect the research aims of the project.
- F.1.4 The Project Design will be submitted to the County Archaeological Officer or equivalent for agreement.
- F.1.5 Under certain circumstances (eg with very small mitigations), and as agreed with the County Archaeological Officer or equivalent, a formal Assessment and Project Design may not be required and either the project will continue straight to full analysis, or a simple Project Proposal (MoRPHE 2006 Section 2.1) will be produced prior to full analysis. This proposal may include:
  - A summary of the background to the project
  - Research aims and objectives
  - Methods statement outlining how the aims and objectives will be achieved
  - An outline of the stages, products and tasks
  - Proposed project team
  - Estimated overall timetable and budget if appropriate.
- F.1.6 Once the post-excavation Project Design or Project Proposal has been accepted, the County Archaeological Officer or his appointed deputy will monitor the progress of the post-excavation project at agreed points. Any significant variation in the project design will be agreed with the County Archaeological Officer.



F.1.7 The results of the project will be published in an appropriate archaeological journal or monograph. The appropriate level of publication will be dependent on the significance of the fieldwork results and will be agreed with the County Archaeological Officer. An OASIS (Online Access to the Index of Archaeological Investigations) form will be completed for each project as per English Heritage guidelines.

#### F.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

F.2.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA) adheres to the national standards in post-excavation procedure as outlined in English Heritage's Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE; EH 2006). Furthermore, all post-excavation projects take into account the appropriate regional research frameworks as well as national research agendas such as the Framework for Historic Environment Activities & Programmes in English Heritage (SHAPE; EH 2008).

## APPENDIX G. LIST OF SPECIALISTS REGULARLY USED BY OA

G.1.1 Below are two tables, one containing 'in-house' OA specialists, and the other containing a list of specialists who are regularly used by OA.

Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications
Lisa Brown	Early Prehistoric pottery	BA, PGDip, MLitt, MIfA
Paul Booth	Iron Age and Roman pottery	BA, FSA, MIfA
John Cotter	Medieval and Post Medieval pottery, Clay Pipe and CBM	BA (Hon.), MIfA
Cynthia Poole	CBM and Fired Clay	BA (Hon.), MSc
Edward Biddulph	Roman Pottery	BA (Hon.), MA, MIfA
Ian Scott	Metalwork and Glass	BA (Hon.)
Dan Stansbie	Roman Pottery	BA (Hon.), MA, AlfA
Leigh Allen	Metalwork and worked bone	BA (Hon.), PGDip
Dr Ruth Shaffrey	Worked stone artefacts	BA, PhD
Julian Munby	Architectural Stone	BA, FSA
Dr Rebecca Nicholson	Fish and Bird Bone	BA (Hon.), MA, D.Phil, MIfA, FSA Scot
Elizabeth Huckerby	Pollen and waterlogged plant remains	BA, MSc, MIfA
Lena Strid	Animal bone	МА
Dr Wendy Smith	Charred and waterlogged plant remains	BA, MSc, PhD, MIfA
Andrew Bates	Animal Bone	BA, MA
Dr Denise Druce Pollen	Charred plant remains and charcoal	BA, PhD, MIfA
Liz Stafford	Geoarchaeology and land snails	BA, Msc

#### Internal archaeological specialists used by OA



Specialist	Specialism		Qualifications
Nicola Scott	Archaeological a deposition	archive	ВА
Mike Donnelly	Flint		BSc, MIfA

## External archaeological specialists regularly used by OA

Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications
Lynne Keys	Slag	BA (Hon.)
Quita Mould	Leather	BA, MA
Penelope Walton Rogers, The Anglo Saxon Laboratory	Identification of Medieval Textiles	FSA, Dip.Acc
Dana Goodburn Brown	Conservation	BSc (Hon.), BA, MSc
Steve Allen, York Archaeological Trust	Conservation	BA, MA, MAAIS
Dr Richard McPhail	Soils, especially Micromorphology	BA (Hon.), MSc, PhD
Dana Challinor	Charcoal	MA (Hon.), MSc
Dr Nigel Cameron	Diatoms	BSc, MSc, PhD
Dr David Smith	Insects	BA (Hon.), MA, PhD
Professor Adrian Parker	Phytoliths and pollen	BSc (Hons.), D.Phil
Dr David Starley	Slag	BSc, PhD
Wendy Carruthers	Charred and waterlogged plant remains	
Dr Sylvia Peglar	Pollen	PhD
Dr John Whittaker	Ostracods and Foraminifera	BA (Hons), PhD
Dr John Crowther	Soil Chemistry	MA, PhD
Dr Martin Bates	Geoarchaeology	BSc, PhD
Professor Mark Robinson	Insects, molluscs, waterlogged plant remains	MA, PhD
Dr Dan Miles	Dendrochronology	D.Phil, FSA
Dr Jean-luc Schwenninger	Optically Stimulated Luminescence Dating	PhD
Dr David Higgins	Clay Pipe	BA, PhD, MIfA
Dr Hugo Lamdin	Flint	BSc, PhD, FSA Scot, MIfA



Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications	
Wymark			

## APPENDIX H. DOCUMENTARY ARCHIVING

#### H.1 Standard methodology – summary

- H.1.1 The documentary archive constitutes all the written, drawn, photographic and digital records relating to the set up, fieldwork and post-excavation phases of the project. This documentary archive, together with the artefactual and environmental ecofact archive collectively forms the record of the site. The report is part of the documentary archive, and the archive must provide the evidence that supports the conclusions of the report, but the archive may also include data which exceeds the limitations of research parameters set down for the report and which could be of significant value to future researchers.
- H.1.2 At the outset of the project OA Archive department will contact the relevant local receiving museum or archive repository to notify them of the imminent start of a new fieldwork project in their collecting area. Relevant local archiving guidelines will be observed and site codes, which integrate with the receiving repository, will be agreed for labelling of archives and finds.
- H.1.3 During the course of the project the Archive department will assist the Project Manager in the management of the archive including the cataloguing and development technique suitable for photographic archive requirements.
- H.1.4 The site archive will be security copied either by microfilming and the master sent to English Heritage as part of the National Archaeological Record or it will be digitally scanned and stored in a dedicated archive section of the OA computer network. A copy of the work as microfiche diazo or .pdf/a on disk will be sent to the receiving museums with the hard copy. This will act as a safeguard against the accidental loss and the long-term degeneration of paper records and photographs.
- H.1.5 Born digital data where suitable will be printed to hard copy for the receiving museum but if the format is such that it needs maintaining in digital form a copy will be sent to the receiving museum by CD. Back-up copies will be stored on the OA digital network and or posted to the ADS in accordance with AAF & ADS guidelines. In most cases a digital copy of the report will be included in the OASIS project library hosted by ADS.
- H.1.6 Prior to deposition the Archive department will contact the museum regarding the size and content of the archive and discuss any retention and dispersal policies which may be applicable in line with local and SMA Guidelines ' Selection, Retention & Dispersal of Archaeological Collections' 1993
- H.1.7 The site archive will then be deposited with the relevant receiving museum or repository at the earliest opportunity unless further archaeological work on the site is expected. The documentary archive will include correspondence detailing landowner consent to deposit the artefacts and any copyright licences in accordance with the receiving museum guidelines.
- H.1.8 Oxford Archaeology will retain full copyright of any commissioned reports, tender documents or other project documents, under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 with all rights reserved; excepting that it will provide a licence to the client in all matters directly relating to the project as described in the Written Scheme of Investigation.

v.1



- H.1.9 OA will advise the client of any such materials supplied in the course of projects which are not OA's copyright.
- H.1.10 OA undertakes to respect all requirements for confidentiality about the client's proposals provided that these are clearly stated. It is expected that such conditions shall not unreasonably impede the satisfactory performance of the services required. OA further undertake to keep confidential any conclusions about the likely implications of such proposals for the historic environment. It is expected that clients respect OA's general ethical obligations not to suppress significant archaeological data for an unreasonable period.

## H.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- H.2.1 At the end of the project the site archive will be ordered, catalogued, labelled and conserved and stored according to the following national guidelines:
- H.2.2 The 2007 AAF guide Archaeological Archives A Guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation. Brown D.
- H.2.3 The IFA Standard & Guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives
- H.2.4 The UKIC's Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long-term storage
- H.2.5 The MGC's Standards in the museum care of archaeological collections
- H.2.6 Local museum guidelines such as Museum of London Guidelines: (http://www.museumoflondonarchaeology.org.uk/English/ArchiveResearch/DeposResou rce) will be adopted where appropriate to the archive collecting area.
- H.2.7 The site archive will be prepared to at least the minimum acceptable standard defined in Management of Archaeological Projects 2, English Heritage 1991.

## H.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

H.3.1 The OA Archives Policy.

## APPENDIX I. HEALTH AND SAFETY

## I.1 Summary of Standard Methodology

- I.1.1 All work will be undertaken in accordance with the OA Health and Safety Policy (Revision 13, August 2009), the OA Site Safety Procedures Manual, a site-specific Risk Assessment and, if required, Safety Plan or Method Statement. Copies of the sitespecific documents will be submitted to the client or their representative for approvals prior to mobilisation, and all relevant H and S documentation will be available on site at all times. The Health and Safety documentation will be read in conjunction with the project WSI.
- I.1.2 Where a site is covered by the The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations (2007), all work will be carried out in accordance with the Principal Contractor's Construction Phase Plan.
- I.1.3 All work will be carried out according to the requirements of all relevant legislation and guidance, including, but not exclusively.
  - The Health and Safety at Work Act (1974),
  - Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations (1999),



- Manual Handling Operations Regulations 1992 (as amended in 2002),
- The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations (2007), and
- The Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (1995).





Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey's 1:50,000 map of 1994 with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Licence No. 854166



Figure 2. Proposed locations of test pits TP1 and TP2

C A CARLON AND THE RECTOR OF A CARLON AND AN
three Sides. base to level be under base a beening pall
- to underside under base d bearing pad
RELIMINARY
ELAND REES ROBERTS ARCHITECTS
25 City Read, Cambridge CB1 1DP tel 01223 366555 fax 01223 312682 info@fmrchitects.co.uk
versity College, Oxford
dhart Building
und Floor Plan
drawa by date D @A1 TGD Nov '10 yout number rev
6-XP-01 - C Freeland Rees Roberts Lad Company No. 5399379