


SITE CODE GMO '21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. 2001
Feature No.			

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 extent of site - exc. 0.15m thick 2 medium 3 dark grey brown 4 — 5 sandy clay 6 None noted 7 Machine.
---	--

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
---	--------------------------------------

Truncated? <i>No</i>	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? <i>Yes</i>
Root Penetration? <i>Yes - Grass.</i>	Is the deposit a laminate? <i>No</i>
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) <i>Yes - worm.</i>	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? <i>No</i>
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? <i>Distinct.</i>	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? <i>Yes</i>
Is the upper surface compacted? <i>No.</i>	Is there evidence of waterlogging? <i>No</i>
Is the deposit sealed? <i>No</i>	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? <i>No.</i>

Context Description
 Medium dark grey-brown sandy clay w/ no notable inclusions.
 Topsoil -



Drawing Nos. Photographs Digital Slide Print	Levels Highest Lowest:	Findings Lithics Metal Bone Glass Coarse Stone	<table border="1" style="font-size: small;"> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </table>																Other Pot CBM Hazelnut Leather Wood	SMF Nos	Samples

Interpretation
 Topsoil

Checked Interpretation	Initials Date Checked By Date
-------------------------------	--

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2- Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. 2002.
DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)		1 Extent of site - 50mm ~ 200mm thick 2 Fine 3 Dark yellow brown 4 — 5 Silty clay 6 None infrequent angular limestone cobbles 7 Hand Machine.	
CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
Truncated? Yes No.		Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? No	
Root Penetration? Yes - Grass + infrequent roots.		Is the deposit a laminate? No	
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm.		Has the deposit been created in a single episode? No	
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.		Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Yes	
Is the upper surface compacted? No.		Is there evidence of waterlogging? No	
Is the deposit sealed? Yes		Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.	
Context Description Fine dark yellow brown silty clay w/ infrequent angular limestone cobbles. Subsoil. deposit. up to 600mm thick @ bottom of site (NE)			
Stratigraphic Relationships			
<pre> graph TD 2001[2001] --- 2002[2002] 2002 --- 2030[2030] </pre>	Above Below Cut by Cuts Filled by Fill of Same as Within		
Drawing Nos. Photographs Digital Slide Print		Levels Highest Lowest:	
Findings Lithics Metal Bone Glass Coarse Stone		Other Pot CBM Hazelnut Leather Wood	
SMF Nos		Samples	
Interpretation Subsoil deposit - poss. colluvium?			
Checked Interpretation			Initials TP Date 22/6/21
			Checked By Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

SITE CODE GMQ'21.	Area Code Phase 2 Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Interface.	CONTEXT NO. 2003					
DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)		1 2 3 4 5 6 7						
CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation		1 Sub circular 2 — 3 2.06m wide x c. 2.38m long x 0.13m deep. 4 Irregular. 5 Uneven 6 Unclear 7 Unclear 8 N-S - long axis						
Truncated? No.		Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?						
Root Penetration? Yes.		Is the deposit a laminate?						
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc)? Yes - worm.		Has the deposit been created in a single episode?						
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Data Indistinct		Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?						
Is the upper surface compacted?		Is there evidence of waterlogging?						
Is the deposit sealed?		Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?						
Context Description Sub circular feature w/ very indistinct outline in plan and irregular/uneven sides and base. Feature was tested with a sondage to bedrock. see sketch on reverse.								
Stratigraphic Relationships								
	Above	Below	Cut by	Cuts	Filled by	Fill of	Same as	Within
2004		2004 2001	✓	2002	2004	✓	✓	✓
2003								
2002								
Drawing Nos. ✓	Levels Highest Lowest:	Finds Lithics ✓ Metal Bone Glass Coarse Stone	Other Pot CBM Hazelnut ✓ Leather Wood	SMF Nos	Samples			
Photographs								
Digital								
Slide								
Print								
Interpretation Decayed tree rooting which has resulted in slumping from the overlying topsoil.				Initials JP Date 22/6/21 Checked By Date				
Checked Interpretation								

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE G-MQ/21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL	CONTEXT NO. 2004.
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL	1 2.06m wide x c. 2.38m long x 0.13m deep.
1. Dimensions of context	2 sandy medium
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	3 So Very mixed - patchy light yellow brown
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	4 — w/frequent dark grey brown
4. Wet Munsell Number	5 sandy clay intrusions.
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	6 Hand tools infrequent charcoal & burnt clay
6. Inclusions	7 Hand tools
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	

CUT	1
1. Shape in plan	2
2. Corners	3
3. Dimensions / depth	4
4. Break of slope - top	5
5. Sides	6
6. Break of slope - bottom	7
7. Base	8
8. Orientation	

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? No
Root Penetration? Yes	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Indistinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Prob.
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.

Context Description
 medium textured sandy clay w/ infrequent charcoal and burnt clay inclusions.
 Contained a single small piece of flint debitage from its upper surface. provenance is unclear, likely residual.



Drawing Nos. —	Levels	Findings	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest	Lithics <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot		
Digital	/	Metal	CBM	/	/
Slide		Bone	Hazelnut		
Print		Glass	Leather		
		Coarse Stone	Wood		

Interpretation
 Intrusive topsoil which has slumped into an underlying root hole.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 22/6/21
	Checked By
	Date


SITE CODE GMO'21	Area Code <i>Phase 2</i>	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT	CONTEXT NO. 2005
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	/
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	<i>sub circular</i>
	2	<i>NA</i>
	3	<i>moderate</i>
	4	<i>moderate</i>
	5	<i>gentle + concave</i>
	6	<i>gradual</i>
	7	<i>uneven</i>
	8	<i>NW-SE (long axis)</i>

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
sub circular feature w/ moderate b.o.s at top, gentle, concave sides and gradual B.O.S at bottom onto an uneven base. Filled by deposits (2006) + (2007)



Drawing Nos. <i>4 + 5</i> <i>sect. plan.</i>	Levels <i>Digital</i> Highest Lowest:	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Finds</th> <th>Other</th> <th>SMF Nos</th> <th>Samples</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Pot</td> <td>/</td> <td>/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>CBM</td> <td>/</td> <td>/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Hazelnut</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Leather</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Wood</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples	Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot	/	/	Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	/	Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut			Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leather			Coarse Stone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood		
Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples																												
Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot	/	/																												
Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	/																												
Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut																														
Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leather																														
Coarse Stone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood																														

Interpretation
Possibly a shallow pit, or a tree throw.

Checked Interpretation	Initials <i>TP</i>
	Date <i>25/6/21</i>
	Checked By
	Date


SITE CODE GMQ/21	Area Code Phase 2 Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL -	CONTEXT NO. 2006
----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--	----------------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>2 medium</td></tr> <tr><td>3 mid yellow brown</td></tr> <tr><td>4 /</td></tr> <tr><td>5 silty clay</td></tr> <tr><td>6 Infrequent angular limestone pebbles + boulders</td></tr> <tr><td>7 Hand tools</td></tr> </table>	1	2 medium	3 mid yellow brown	4 /	5 silty clay	6 Infrequent angular limestone pebbles + boulders	7 Hand tools
1								
2 medium								
3 mid yellow brown								
4 /								
5 silty clay								
6 Infrequent angular limestone pebbles + boulders								
7 Hand tools								

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td></tr> </table>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1									
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? No
Root Penetration? Yes	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Indistinct	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Prob.
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? Poss.

Context Description
 Medium textured mid yellow brown silty clay deposit w/ infrequent angular limestone pebbles + boulders.
 Primary fill of Poss. pit [2005].



Drawing Nos. 4 - Sect. 5 Plan	Levels Highest Lowest:	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Finds</th> <th>Other</th> <th>SMF Nos</th> <th>Samples</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Pot</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>CBM</td> <td>/</td> <td>/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Hazelnut</td> <td>/</td> <td>/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Leather</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Wood</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples	Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot			Metal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	/	Bone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	/	/	Glass	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Leather			Coarse Stone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wood		
Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples																												
Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot																														
Metal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	/																												
Bone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	/	/																												
Glass	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Leather																														
Coarse Stone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wood																														

Interpretation
 Likely silting up. low energy deposits deriving from ~~water~~ accumulation

Checked Interpretation	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>Initials</td><td>TP</td></tr> <tr><td>Date</td><td>25/6/21</td></tr> <tr><td>Checked By</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Date</td><td></td></tr> </table>	Initials	TP	Date	25/6/21	Checked By		Date	
Initials	TP								
Date	25/6/21								
Checked By									
Date									

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2 Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT.	CONTEXT NO. 2008.
----------------------------	---	---	-----------------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
---	---------------------------------

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 Irregular / sub-circular 2 3 c. 0.33m long x 0.31m wide x 95mm deep. 4 Sharp to south, gradual to north. 5 uneven / irregular 6 Sharp to south, gradual to north. 7 Uneven. 8 NA. / unclear.
---	--

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Irregular - sub-circular feature w/ sharp breaks of slope to south and gradual breaks of slope to north. The feature is not generally deep c.60mm, but in its south corner a small circular depression extends to a depth of c. 95mm - this is likely intrusion from rooting.



Drawing Nos. 6+	Levels Digital.	Findings	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs Plan Section. Digital Slide Print	Highest Lowest:	Lithics Metal Bone Glass Coarse Stone	Pot CBM Hazelnut Leather Wood	/	< 3 >

Interpretation
Poss. a disturbed post/stakehole - or material which has been pushed down by rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 2/7/21
	Checked By
	Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

SITE CODE GMO'21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Fill.	CONTEXT NO. 2009
	Feature No.		


DEPOSIT / FILL	1 0.33m long x 0.31m wide x c. 95mm deep.
1. Dimensions of context	2 medium.
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	3 mixture dark grey brown w/ patches of dark yellow brown
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	4 —
4. Wet Munsell Number	5 Sandy clay
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	6 Occasional - Frequent Charcoal fragments
6. Inclusions	7 No Trowel.
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	

CUT	1
1. Shape in plan	2
2. Corners	3
3. Dimensions / depth	4
4. Break of slope - top	5
5. Sides	6
6. Break of slope - bottom	7
7. Base	8
8. Orientation	

Truncated? No.	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes
Root Penetration? Poss. - none directly observed.	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc)? Yes - worm.	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Yes Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No.	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No.
Is the deposit sealed? No.	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.

Context Description

The mixed nature of this deposit indicates it is formed of different constituent parts, possibly indicating backfill, collapse or some other form of disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6 + 16. - Plan section	Levels Digital	Highest	Lowest:
Photographs			
Digital Slide Print			

Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Lithics	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pot		^
Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	< 3 >
Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	/	v
Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leather		
Coarse Stone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood		

Interpretation

Poss. backfill of a stake or posthole - or material pushed in by tree rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 2/7/21
	Checked By
	Date


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2. Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT	CONTEXT NO. 2010
----------------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
---	---------------------------------

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 Sub-oval 2 NA 3 c. 0.26m long x 0.21m wide x 0.14m deep. 4 Sharp. 5 steep + concave - slightly undercutting on south end. 6 sharp 7 rounded + uneven 8 N-S. (long axis)
---	--

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bloturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Sub-oval feature w/ sharp breaks of slope, steep concave sides and a rounded, uneven base. Filled by deposit (2011).



Drawing Nos. 6 - Plan, 17 - section	Levels Digital.	Findings	SMF Nos	Samples										
Photographs Digital Slide Print	Highest Lowest:	<table border="1" style="font-size: small;"> <tr><td>Lithics</td><td>Pot</td></tr> <tr><td>Metal</td><td>CBM</td></tr> <tr><td>Bone</td><td>Hazelnut</td></tr> <tr><td>Glass</td><td>Leather</td></tr> <tr><td>Coarse Stone</td><td>Wood</td></tr> </table>	Lithics	Pot	Metal	CBM	Bone	Hazelnut	Glass	Leather	Coarse Stone	Wood	/	^ < 4 > v
Lithics	Pot													
Metal	CBM													
Bone	Hazelnut													
Glass	Leather													
Coarse Stone	Wood													

Interpretation
Poss. Posthole or stakehole - likely the removal event rather than construction. Also possible this represents material pushed down by rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TB
	Date 2/7/21
	Checked By
	Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2. Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL.	CONTEXT NO. 2011
----------------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 0.21m wide x >0.4m long x 0.13m deep. 2 medium 3 mixture of dark grey brown/dark yellow brown 4 — 5 Sandy clay 6 Occasional - frequent charcoal fragments 7 Trowel.
---	---

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
---	--------------------------------------

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes
Root Penetration? Poss. note directly observed	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No.	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? No.	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No

Context Description
 Poorly sorted
~~Poss. backfill~~ mixed deposit formed from different constituent parts, possible backfill or collapse or other form of disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6 + 17. - Plan - Sections	Levels Digital	Highest	Lowest:	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Other Pot <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos	Samples ^ < 4 > v
---	----------------	---------	---------	--	--	---------	----------------------------

Interpretation
 Poss. Backfill of a stake or posthole or material pushed in by tree rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP Date 11/8/21 Checked By Date
------------------------	---

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT	CONTEXT NO. 2012
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	/
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	Sub-oval cut
	2	
	3	0.14m wide x D. 16m long x 90mm deep
	4	moderate
	5	steep
	6	moderate
	7	flat
	8	NA

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
 Sub oval feature w/ ^{moderate} ~~steep~~ breaks of slope, steep sides and a flat base.
 Filled by deposit (2013)



Drawing Nos. 10 + 6 - Section Plan	Levels Digital	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs Digital Slide Print	Highest	Lithics <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot		^
	Lowest:	Metal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	<5>
		Bone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut		u
		Glass <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Leather		
		Coarse Stone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wood		

Interpretation
 Poss. posthole/stakehole - if so - likely to represent removal rather than construction.
ALSO Possible this is the interface of material pushed down by rooting

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 11/8/21
	Checked By
	Date


SITE CODE G MQ '21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL	CONTEXT NO. 2013.
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL	1 0.14m wide x 0.16m long x 90mm deep
1. Dimensions of context	2 medium
2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine)	3 Mixture of dark grey-brown + dark yellow brown
3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY	4 -
4. Wet Munsell Number	5 Sandy clay
5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay)	6 Occasional - frequent charcoal fragments
6. Inclusions	7 Trowel.
7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	

CUT	1
1. Shape in plan	2
2. Corners	3
3. Dimensions / depth	4
4. Break of slope - top	5
5. Sides	6
6. Break of slope - bottom	7
7. Base	8
8. Orientation	

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes.
Root Penetration? Poss. none directly observed	Is the deposit a laminate? No.
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No.	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No.
Is the deposit sealed? No.	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.

Context Description
~~Poss.~~ Mixed deposit formed from distinct poorly sorted constituent parts. possible backfill or collapse / other disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6 + 10 - Plan - section	Levels Digital	Highest	Lowest:	Finds Lithics [] Pot [] Metal [] CBM [] Bone [] Hazelnut [] Glass [] Leather [] Coarse Stone [] Wood []	Other	SMF Nos	Samples <5>
Photographs							
Digital							
Site							
Print							

Interpretation
 Poss. backfill of a stakehole ~~posthole~~ or material pushed in by tree rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 11/8/21
	Checked By
	Date


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT.	CONTEXT NO. 2014
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	/
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	Elongated sub-oval
	2	NA
	3	0.48m long x 0.28m wide x 0.60m deep.
	4	moderate.
	5	moderate even slope
	6	moderate.
	7	Uneven.
	8	SW-NE.

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
 Elongated sub-oval feature w/ moderate breaks of slope, even sloping sides and an uneven base.
 Filled by deposit (2015)



Drawing Nos. 6 + 15 - plan - section	Levels Digital	<table border="1"> <tr><th colspan="2">Finds</th><th>Other</th><th>SMF Nos</th><th>Samples</th></tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Pot</td><td></td><td>^</td></tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>CBM</td><td>/</td><td>< 6. ></td></tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Hazelnut</td><td>/</td><td>✓</td></tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Leather</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Wood</td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples	Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot		^	Metal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	< 6. >	Bone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	/	✓	Glass	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Leather			Coarse Stone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wood		
Finds			Other	SMF Nos	Samples																											
Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot		^																												
Metal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	< 6. >																												
Bone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	/	✓																												
Glass	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Leather																														
Coarse Stone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wood																														
Photographs Digital Slide Flint	Highest Lowest:																															

Interpretation
 poss. posthole / stakehole - if so, likely representative of removal rather than construction.
ALSO Possible this is the interface of material pushed down by roofing

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 11/8/21
Checked By	
Date	


SITE CODE GMO'21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL.	CONTEXT NO. 2015
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 0.48m long x 0.28m wide x 80mm deep.
	2 medium
	3 mixture of dark grey brown / dark yellow brown
	4 -
	5 Sandy Clay
	6 Occasional - frequent Charcoal flecks.
	7 Hand Trowel

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes
Root Penetration? None observed	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Biurbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm.	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No.
Is the deposit sealed? No	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.

Context Description
 Mixed deposit formed from different poorly sorted constituent parts, possible backfill or collapse or other form of disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6, 15 - Plan - Section	Levels Digital	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Pot Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Leather Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Wood	SMF Nos 1	Samples < 6 >
Photographs	Highest			
Digital Slide Print	Lowest:			

Interpretation
 Poss. Backfill of a skate/pothole or material pushed in by tree rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials JP
	Date 11/8/21
	Checked By
	Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ/2	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT.	CONTEXT NO. 2016.
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 sub-oval
	2 /
	3 0.2m long x 0.15m wide x 50mm deep.
	4 gradual
	5 gentle, concave.
	6 concave gradual
	7 concave
	8 to 4 WSW - ENE

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Sub-oval pit feature w/ ~~moderate~~ gradual breaks of slope, ~~sharp~~ gentle, concave sides and a concave base. Filled by deposit (2017).



Drawing Nos. 6 14 - Plan - Section	Levels digital	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs	Highest	Lithics <input type="checkbox"/>	Pot <input type="checkbox"/>		^
Digital	Lowest:	Metal <input type="checkbox"/>	CBM /	/	< 7 >
Slide		Bone <input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut /		✓
Print		Glass <input type="checkbox"/>	Leather <input type="checkbox"/>		
		Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/>	Wood <input type="checkbox"/>		

Interpretation
Poss. post/stakehole - if sub- likely to represent removal rather than construction.
ALSO - Possible this is the interface of material pushed down by rooting

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 11/8/21
	Checked By
	Date


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL -	CONTEXT NO. 2017
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 0.2m long x 0.15m wide x 50mm deep 2 medium 3 mixture of dark grey brown / dark yellow brown. 4 - 5 Sandy clay 6 Occasional - frequent charcoal fragments. 7 Trowel.
---	--

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
---	--------------------------------------

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes.
Root Penetration? Poss. - none directly observed	Is the deposit a laminate? N.
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No.
Is the deposit sealed? No	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.

Context Description
Mixed deposit formed from different, poorly sorted constituent parts, possible backfill or collapse or other form of disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6 14 -Plan -Section	Levels Digital	Highest	Lowest:	Finds Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	Other	SMF Nos	Samples ^ < 7.7 v
Photographs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Digital <input type="checkbox"/> Slide <input type="checkbox"/> Print							

Interpretation
Poss. backfill of a ~~the~~ stake/posthole or material pushed in by rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP Date 11/8/21 Checked By Date
------------------------	---


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2. Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT.	CONTEXT NO. 2018
----------------------------	--------------------------------------	---	----------------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
---	---------------------------------

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 Sub-circular 2 ✓ 3 @ 90mm long x 0.1m wide x 70mm deep 4 moderate 5 moderate/even slope 6 Sharp 7 Concave / pointed 8 No.
---	--

Truncated? Yes	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
 Sub-circular feature w/ moderate B.O.S at top, moderate/even sloping sides, sharp B.O.S at bottom and a concave/pointed base.
 Filled by deposit (2019).



Drawing Nos. 6 - Plan 13 - Section	Levels Digital	Highest	Lowest:	Finds Lithics [] Pot [] Metal [] CBM [] Bone [] Hazelnut [] Glass [] Leather [] Coarse Stone [] Wood []	Other	SMF Nos	Samples { 8 }
---	----------------	---------	---------	---	-------	---------	------------------

Interpretation
 Poss. post/stakehole - if so - likely to represent removal rather than construction.
 ALSO - Possible this is the interface of material pushed down by rooting

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP Date 11/8/21 Checked By Date
------------------------	---

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMO'21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL	CONTEXT NO. 2019
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	● 40 mm long x 0.1m wide x 70mm deep
	2	medium
	3	mixture of dark grey brown / dark yellow brown
	4	-
	5	Sandy Clay
	6	Occasional - frequent charcoal fragment.
	7	Trowel.

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	/
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated? No.	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes.
Root Penetration? Poss. none directly observed	Is the deposit a laminate? No.
Biolturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No.	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No.
Is the deposit sealed? No.	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.

Context Description
Mixed deposit formed of different poorly sorted lumps of soil deriving from different origins - possible backfill/ collapse or other disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6 - Plan, 13 - Section	Levels Digital	Highest	Lowest:	Findings Lithics <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Hazelnut <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/>	SMF Nos	Samples ^ < 8 > v
-------------------------------------	----------------	---------	---------	--	---------	----------------------------

Interpretation
Poss. Backfill of a stake or posthole or material pushed in by tree rootings.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP Date 11/18/21 Checked By Date
------------------------	--

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT.	CONTEXT NO. 2020
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	/
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	Oblong Sub-rectangular
	2	
	3	0.14m wide x 0.19m long x 30mm deep.
	4	gradual
	5	gentle
	6	gradual
	7	flat.
	8	N-S.

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Sub-rectangular feature with gradual breaks of slope and flat base. Filled by deposit (2021)



Drawing Nos. 6 12 - Plan, - Section	Levels Digital	Highest	Lowest:	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples													
Photographs Digital Slide Print				<table border="1"> <tr><td>Lithics</td><td></td><td>Pot</td></tr> <tr><td>Metal</td><td></td><td>CBM</td></tr> <tr><td>Bone</td><td></td><td>Hazelnut</td></tr> <tr><td>Glass</td><td></td><td>Leather</td></tr> <tr><td>Coarse Stone</td><td></td><td>Wood</td></tr> </table>	Lithics		Pot	Metal		CBM	Bone		Hazelnut	Glass		Leather	Coarse Stone		Wood		
Lithics		Pot																			
Metal		CBM																			
Bone		Hazelnut																			
Glass		Leather																			
Coarse Stone		Wood																			

Interpretation
Poss. post / stakehole - it so likely represents removal rather than construction.
ALSO Possible this is the interface of material pushed down by rooting

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 11/8/21
	Checked By
	Date

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL.	CONTEXT NO. 2021
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 0.14m wide x 0.14m long x 30cm deep.
	2 medium
	3 mixture of dark grey brown / dark yellow brown
	4 -
	5 Sandy Clay
	6 Occasional - frequent charcoal fragments
	7 Trowel

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8

Truncated? No.	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes.
Root Penetration? Pass. None directly observed	Is the deposit a laminate? No.
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Pass.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Pass.
Is the upper surface compacted? No.	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No.
Is the deposit sealed? No.	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.

Context Description
Mixed deposit formed from different poorly-sorted constituent parts, possible backfill ~~at~~ /collapse or other disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6 + 12. - Plan Section	Levels Digital Highest Lowest:	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Finds</th> <th>Other</th> <th>SMF Nos</th> <th>Samples</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Pot</td> <td></td> <td rowspan="6">< 9 > ✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>CBM</td> <td>/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Hazelnut</td> <td>/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Leather</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Wood</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples	Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot		< 9 > ✓	Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	/	Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leather		Coarse Stone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood					
Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples																												
Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot		< 9 > ✓																												
Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/																													
Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	/																													
Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leather																														
Coarse Stone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood																														

Interpretation
Pass. backfill of a stake/posthole or material pushed in by tree rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 11/8/21
	Checked By
	Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features

CONTEXT RECORDING SHEET


SITE CODE GMO'21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT.	CONTEXT NO. 2022.
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	/
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	Sub-circular
	2	/
	3	80mm wider 60mm long 45mm deep.
	4	moderate.
	5	steep
	6	gradual
	7	concave.
	8	NA

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bloturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
sub-circular feature w/ moderate BOS at top, steep sides, gradual BOS at bottom and a concave base. Filled by deposit (2023).



Drawing Nos. 6 - Plan 11 - Section	Levels Digital Highest Lowest:	<table border="1"> <tr><th colspan="2">Finds</th><th>Other</th><th>SMF Nos</th><th>Samples</th></tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Pot</td><td></td><td rowspan="5" style="text-align: center;"> \wedge $\langle 10 \rangle$ \vee </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>CBM</td><td>/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Hazelnut</td><td>/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Leather</td><td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Wood</td><td></td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples	Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot		\wedge $\langle 10 \rangle$ \vee	Metal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/	Bone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	/	Glass	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Leather		Coarse Stone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wood	
Finds		Other	SMF Nos	Samples																								
Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot		\wedge $\langle 10 \rangle$ \vee																								
Metal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CBM	/																									
Bone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	/																									
Glass	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Leather																										
Coarse Stone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wood																										

Interpretation
Subs. - Poss. post/stakehole - if so, likely associated w/ removal rather than construction.
ALSO possible this is the interface of material pushed down by rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 11/8/21
	Checked By Date

© ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH SERVICES

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL	CONTEXT NO. 2023.
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 80mm wide x 60mm long x 45mm deep. 2 medium 3 mixture of dark grey brown / dark yellow brown. 4 — 5 Sandy Clay 6 Occasional & frequent charcoal fragments 7 Trowel.
---	---

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
---	--------------------------------------

Truncated? No.	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes
Root Penetration? Poss. none directly noted	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm.	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? No	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No

Context Description
Mixed deposit formed of different, poorly sorted, constituent parts, possibly ^{indicating} backfill / collapse or other form of disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6 11 - Plan. - Section	Levels Digital	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs Digital Slide Print	Highest Lowest:	Lithics [] Metal [] Bone [] Glass [] Coarse Stone [] Pot [] CBM [] Hazelnut [] Leather [] Wood []	/	/	(10)

Interpretation
Poss. backfill of a stake / posthole or material pushed in by rooting

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 21/10/21
	Checked By
	Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ/21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT.	CONTEXT NO. 2024.
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
---	---------------------------------

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 Elongated sub-oval 2 3 0.29m long x 0.1m wide x 90mm deep 4 gradual 5 steep-convex. 6 moderate. 7 concave 8 NE-SW
---	--

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Bioturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Elongated sub-oval feature w/ gradual BOS at top, steep convex sides, moderate BOS at bottom and a concave base.
Filled by deposit (2025).



Drawing Nos. 6 - Plan, 9 - Section	Levels Digital	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs Digital Sites Print	Highest Lowest:	Lithics Metal Bone Glass Coarse Stone	Pot CBM Hazelnut Leather Wood	/	^ < > ∪

Interpretation
Poss. stake/posthole - if so likely represents removal rather than construction.
ALSO possibly the interface of material pushed down by roofing

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 11/8/21
	Checked By
	Date


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL	CONTEXT NO. 2025
	Feature No.		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 0.29m long x 0.1m wide x 90mm deep 2 medium 3 mixture of dark grey brown / dark yellow brown 4 / 5 Sandy clay 6 Occasional - frequent charcoal fragments 7 Trowel.
---	--

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
---	--------------------------------------

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes.
Root Penetration? Poss. none directly observed.	Is the deposit a laminate? No.
Bloturbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No.
Is the deposit sealed? No.	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.

Context Description
 Mixed deposit formed from different poorly sorted constituent parts, possible backfill or collapse or other form of disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6 Plan 9 Section.	Levels Digital	Highest	Lowest:	Finds Lithics / Metal / Bone / Glass / Coarse Stone /	Other Pot / CBM / Hazelnut / Leather / Wood /	SMF Nos	Samples { 11 }
--------------------------------	----------------	---------	---------	--	--	---------	-------------------

Interpretation
 Poss. Backfill of a stake or posthole or material pushed in by tree rooting

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP Date 11/8/21 Checked By Date
------------------------	---

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2 Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL	CONTEXT NO. 2027.
----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--	-----------------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1 0.15m long x 0.13m wide x 85mm deep 2 medium 3 mixture of dark grey-brown and dark yellow-brown 4 5 Sandy clay 6 Occasional - frequent charcoal fragments 7 Trowel
---	--

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
---	--------------------------------------

Truncated? No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes
Root Penetration? Poss. none directly observed	Is the deposit a laminate? No
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc)? Yes - worm	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No
Is the deposit sealed? No	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No

Context Description
Mixed deposit formed of different, poorly sorted constituent parts, possible backfill or collapse or other form of disturbance.



Drawing Nos. 6 - Plan, 8 - Section	Levels Digital	Finds: Lithics, Metal, Bone, Glass, Coarse Stone Other: Pot, CBM, Hazelnut, Leather, Wood	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs: Digital, Site, Print	Highest, Lowest		/	^ (2) v

Interpretation
Poss. backfill of a stake/posthole or material pushed in by rooting

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP Date 11/8/21 Checked By Date
------------------------	---


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2 Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) CUT.	CONTEXT NO. 2028.
----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--	----------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td></tr> </table>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1 Sub-oval</td></tr> <tr><td>2 ✓</td></tr> <tr><td>3 0.21m long x 0.15m wide x 0.0m deep</td></tr> <tr><td>4 moderate</td></tr> <tr><td>5 steep</td></tr> <tr><td>6 moderate</td></tr> <tr><td>7 concave</td></tr> <tr><td>8 NW-SE.</td></tr> </table>	1 Sub-oval	2 ✓	3 0.21m long x 0.15m wide x 0.0m deep	4 moderate	5 steep	6 moderate	7 concave	8 NW-SE.
1 Sub-oval									
2 ✓									
3 0.21m long x 0.15m wide x 0.0m deep									
4 moderate									
5 steep									
6 moderate									
7 concave									
8 NW-SE.									

Truncated?	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?
Root Penetration?	Is the deposit a laminate?
Biurbation (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?
Is the upper surface compacted?	Is there evidence of waterlogging?
Is the deposit sealed?	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?

Context Description
Sub-oval feature w/ moderate breaks of slope, steep sides and concave base. Filled by deposit (2029).



Drawing Nos. 6 7 -Plan section	Levels Digital	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples
Photographs Digital Slide Print	Highest Lowest:	Lithics Metal Bone Glass Coarse Stone	Pot CBM Hazelnut / Leather Wood	/	✓ 43.7 ✓

Interpretation
~~is~~ Poss. post/stakehole - if so, likely to represent removal rather than construction
ALSO possible this is the interface of material pushed down by rathig.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP
	Date 11/8/21
	Checked By
	Date

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ'21	Area Code Phase 2 Feature No.	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) FILL	CONTEXT NO. 2029.
----------------------------	--	---	-----------------------------

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td><td>0.21m long x 0.15m wide x 80mm deep</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>medium</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>mixture of dark grey-brown / dark yellow-brown</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>—</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>Sandy Clay</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>Occasional - frequent charcoal fragments</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>Trowel</td></tr> </table>	1	0.21m long x 0.15m wide x 80mm deep	2	medium	3	mixture of dark grey-brown / dark yellow-brown	4	—	5	Sandy Clay	6	Occasional - frequent charcoal fragments	7	Trowel
1	0.21m long x 0.15m wide x 80mm deep														
2	medium														
3	mixture of dark grey-brown / dark yellow-brown														
4	—														
5	Sandy Clay														
6	Occasional - frequent charcoal fragments														
7	Trowel														

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td></td></tr> </table>	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8	
1																	
2																	
3																	
4																	
5																	
6																	
7																	
8																	

Truncated? No.	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering? Yes.
Root Penetration? Poss. none directly observed	Is the deposit a laminate? No.
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?) Yes - worm.	Has the deposit been created in a single episode? Poss.
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc? Distinct.	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period? Poss.
Is the upper surface compacted? No.	Is there evidence of waterlogging? No.
Is the deposit sealed? No.	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind? No.

Context Description
~~See~~ Mixed deposit of different, poorly sorted constituent parts, possibly indicative of backfill/collapse or intrusion.



Drawing Nos. 6 7 -plan -section	Levels Digital	Finds	Other	SMF Nos	Samples															
Photographs Digital Slide Print	Highest Lowest:	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>Lithics</td><td></td><td>Pot</td></tr> <tr><td>Metal</td><td></td><td>CBM</td></tr> <tr><td>Bone</td><td></td><td>Hazelnut</td></tr> <tr><td>Glass</td><td></td><td>Leather</td></tr> <tr><td>Coarse Stone</td><td></td><td>Wood</td></tr> </table>	Lithics		Pot	Metal		CBM	Bone		Hazelnut	Glass		Leather	Coarse Stone		Wood		/	^ <13> v
Lithics		Pot																		
Metal		CBM																		
Bone		Hazelnut																		
Glass		Leather																		
Coarse Stone		Wood																		

Interpretation
 Poss. backfill of a stake/posthole or material pushed in by rooting.

Checked Interpretation	Initials TP Date 11/8/21 Checked By Date
-------------------------------	---

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features


SITE CODE GMQ 21	Area Code Phase 2	Context Type (Fill, Deposit, Cut, Interface) Deposit	CONTEXT NO. 2030
	Feature No. —		

DEPOSIT / FILL 1. Dimensions of context 2. Texture (Coarse, Medium, Fine) 3. Colour (verbal) WET / DRY 4. Wet Munsell Number 5. Composition (Sand / silt / clay) 6. Inclusions 7. Method of excavation (e.g. Mattock, trowel, leaf)	1	4.5m + X length strip X ~ 30mm
	2	Fine
	3	Orange yellow (dry) / more yellow when wet
	4	—
	5	Clay (slight silt component?)
	6	None. < Some limestone flakes
	7	Machine

CUT 1. Shape in plan 2. Corners 3. Dimensions / depth 4. Break of slope - top 5. Sides 6. Break of slope - bottom 7. Base 8. Orientation	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	

Truncated?	No	Has the upper surface been exposed to weathering?	No
Root Penetration?	Yes	Is the deposit a laminate?	Yes
Biolumination (e.g. Worm, mole etc?)	YES	Has the deposit been created in a single episode?	No
Is the upper surface distinct, graded, uneven etc?	Distinct	Has the deposit accumulated over a long period?	Yes
Is the upper surface compacted?	Yes	Is there evidence of waterlogging?	No
Is the deposit sealed?	Yes	Has deposit been formed by flowing water/standing water/wind?	No

Context Description
 Backed up against limestone outcrop lying directly on the natural rock, undulating base dependent on fractured bed rock.
 - Sweet clay has leached out of subsoil??



Drawing Nos. —	Levels Highest Lowest: —	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Finds</th> <th>Other</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Lithics</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Pot</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Metal</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>CBM</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bone</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Hazelnut</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Glass</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Leather</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse Stone</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Wood</td> </tr> </table>	Finds		Other	Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot	Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	CBM	Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut	Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leather	Coarse Stone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood	SMF Nos. —	Samples —
Finds		Other																				
Lithics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pot																				
Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	CBM																				
Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazelnut																				
Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leather																				
Coarse Stone	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood																				

Interpretation
 natural clay caught by a ridge of limestone.

Initials jm
Date 9/2/21
Checked By
Date

Checked Interpretation

Sketch Plan on reverse showing relationship to other features