

on behalf of AOC Archaeology Group

# Former Craigroyston High School Craigroyston Edinburgh

geophysical surveys

report 2612 March 2011



## **Contents**

1.	Summary	1
2.	Project background	2
3.	Historical and archaeological background	2
4.	Landuse, topography and geology	3
5.	Geophysical survey	3
6.	Conclusions	6
7.	Sources	7
Арре	endix: Written Scheme of Investigation	8

## **Figures**

i igui es	
Figure 1:	Site location
Figure 2:	Geomagnetic survey
Figure 3:	Geophysical interpretation of geomagnetic survey
Figure 4:	Resistance survey
Figure 5:	Geophysical interpretation of resistance survey
Figure 6:	Archaeological interpretation
Figure 7:	Trace plots of geophysical data

## 1. Summary

## The project

- 1.1 This report presents the results of geophysical surveys conducted in advance of proposed development at the former Craigroyston High School playing fields, Craigroyston, Edinburgh. The works comprised 2ha of geomagnetic survey and 1ha of earth electrical resistance survey.
- 1.2 The works were commissioned by AOC Archaeology Group and conducted by Archaeological Services Durham University.

## Results

- 1.3 No features of likely archaeological significance have been detected, possibly due to earlier landscaping at the site.
- 1.4 Land drains were detected in both the geomagnetic and resistance data.
- 1.5 Anomalies relating to landscaping works were almost certainly detected.
- 1.6 Services were detected.
- 1.7 The former all-weather playing surface, still extant in the west of the area, is evident in the geomagnetic data.
- 1.8 A concrete path, visible on the ground in places, was detected in the north of the area.

## 2. Project background

## Location (Figure 1)

2.1 The study area was located at the former Craigroyston High School playing fields, Craigroyston, Edinburgh (NGR centre: NT 21765 75599). The geophysical works comprised approximately 2ha of geomagnetic survey across the whole area and 1ha of electrical resistance survey across the eastern half of the area. A steep bank rose in the south to the B9085, Ferry Road; to the east was a school; to the north was another school and a BT training centre; to the west the area was bounded by Muirhouse Green.

## **Development proposal**

2.2 The development proposal is for housing (planning reference 10/01273/PPP).

## **Objective**

2.3 The principal aim of the surveys was to assess the nature and extent of any subsurface features of potential archaeological significance within the proposed development, so that an informed decision may be made regarding the nature and scope of any further scheme of archaeological works that may be required in relation to the development.

## Methods statement

2.4 The surveys have been undertaken in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation (Appendix) provided by the client, and with national standards and guidance (see para 5.1).

## **Dates**

2.5 Fieldwork was undertaken between 21st and 22nd February 2011. This report was prepared for 15th March 2011.

## Personnel

2.6 Fieldwork was conducted by Tom Fitton and Richie Villis (Supervisor). The geophysical data were processed by Richie Villis. This report was prepared by Richie Villis, with illustrations by David Graham, and edited by Duncan Hale, the Project Manager.

## Archive/OASIS

2.7 The site code is **ECS11**, for **E**dinburgh **C**raigroyston High **S**chool 20**11**. The survey archive will be supplied on CD to the client for deposition with the project archive in due course. Archaeological Services Durham University is registered with the **O**nline **A**cces**S** to the Index of archaeological investigation**S** project (**OASIS**). The OASIS ID number for this project is **archaeol3-94766**.

## 3. Historical and archaeological background

3.1 Historical Ordnance Survey maps of the area show little changed open agricultural land from the 1st Edition dated 1855 until 1948. Sometime between 1948 and 1953 a TA Centre was constructed to the north of the site. The school appears first on the maps in 1966. The Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Scotland (RCAHMS) holds plans and drawings of the school, by Edinburgh based architects AH Mottram & Son, dated 1959.

3.2 It is understood that little or no development has occurred on the site since the development of the playing fields in this period. No significant archaeological or historical events or features at the site are noted on the RCAHMS website.

## 4. Landuse, topography and geology

- 4.1 At the time of survey the proposed development area comprised an open field formerly used as a playing field by Craigroyston High School. The western half of the area was covered by a hardcore surface, formerly used as an all-weather playing surface. Six floodlights surrounded this playing surface.
- 4.2 The area was predominantly level with a mean elevation of approximately 50m OD.
- 4.3 The underlying solid geology of the area comprises Carboniferous sedimentary strata of the Gullane Formation.
- 4.4 During the preparation of this report an examination of British Geological Survey maps indicated that the southern half of the site is Worked Ground and that the northern half of the site is Made Ground, reflecting the landscaping works used to create the playing fields about fifty years ago.

# 5. Geophysical survey Standards

5.1 The surveys and reporting were conducted in accordance with English Heritage guidelines, Geophysical survey in archaeological field evaluation (David, Linford & Linford 2008); the Institute for Archaeologists (IfA) Draft Standard and Guidance for archaeological geophysical survey (2010); the IfA Technical Paper No.6, The use of geophysical techniques in archaeological evaluations (Gaffney, Gater & Ovenden 2002); and the Archaeology Data Service Guide to Good Practice: Geophysical Data in Archaeology (draft 2nd edition, Schmidt & Ernenwein 2010).

## Technique selection

- 5.2 Geophysical survey enables the relatively rapid and non-invasive identification of sub-surface features of potential archaeological significance and can involve a suite of complementary techniques such as magnetometry, earth electrical resistance, ground-penetrating radar, electromagnetic survey and topsoil magnetic susceptibility survey. Some techniques are more suitable than others in particular situations, depending on site-specific factors including the nature of likely targets; depth of likely targets; ground conditions; proximity of buildings, fences or services and the local geology and drift.
- 5.3 In this instance, both geomagnetic and electrical resistance surveys were required.
- 5.4 The geomagnetic technique, fluxgate gradiometry, involves the use of hand-held magnetometers to detect and record anomalies in the vertical component of the Earth's magnetic field caused by variations in soil magnetic susceptibility or permanent magnetisation; such anomalies can reflect archaeological features.
- 5.5 Earth electrical resistance survey can be particularly useful for mapping stone and brick features. When a small electrical current is injected through the earth it

encounters resistance which can be measured. Since resistance is linked to moisture content and porosity, stone and brick features will give relatively high resistance values while soil-filled features, which retain more moisture, will provide relatively low resistance values

## Field methods

- 5.6 A 20m grid was established across the survey area and tied-in to known, mapped Ordnance Survey points using a Trimble Pathfinder Pro XRS global positioning system with real-time correction.
- 5.7 Measurements of vertical geomagnetic field gradient were determined using Bartington Grad601-2 dual fluxgate gradiometers. A zig-zag traverse scheme was employed and data were logged in 20m grid units. The instrument sensitivity was nominally 0.03nT, the sample interval was 0.25m and the traverse interval was 1m, thus providing 1,600 sample measurements per 20m grid unit.
- 5.8 Measurements of electrical resistance were determined using Geoscan RM15D resistance meters and MPX15 multiplexers with a mobile twin probe separation of 0.5m. A zig-zag traverse scheme was employed and data were logged in 20m grid units. The instrument sensitivity was set to 0.10hm, the sample interval to 1m and the traverse interval to 1m, thus providing 400 sample measurements per 20m grid unit.
- 5.9 Data were downloaded on site into a laptop computer for initial processing and storage and subsequently transferred to a desktop computer for processing, interpretation and archiving.

## Data processing

- 5.10 Geoplot v.3 software was used to process the geophysical data and to produce both continuous tone greyscale images and trace plots of the raw (minimally processed) data. The greyscale images and interpretations are presented in Figures 2-6; the trace plots are provided in Figure 7. In the greyscale images, positive magnetic/high resistance anomalies are displayed as dark grey and negative magnetic/low resistance anomalies as light grey. Palette bars relate the greyscale intensities to anomaly values in nanoTesla for the geomagnetic data and ohm for the resistance data.
- 5.11 The following basic processing functions have been applied to the geomagnetic data:

clip clips data to specified maximum or minimum values; to

eliminate large noise spikes; also generally makes statistical

calculations more realistic

zero mean traverse sets the background mean of each traverse within a grid to

zero; for removing striping effects in the traverse direction

and removing grid edge discontinuities

destagger corrects for displacement of geomagnetic anomalies caused

by alternate zig-zag traverses

despike locates and suppresses iron spikes in gradiometer data

interpolate increases the number of data points in a survey to match

sample and traverse intervals; in this instance the data have

been interpolated to 0.25m x 0.25m intervals

5.12 The following basic processing functions have been applied to the resistance data:

adds or subtracts a positive or negative constant value to

defined blocks of data; used to reduce discontinuity at grid

edges

despike locates and suppresses spikes in data due to poor contact

resistance

increases the number of data points in a survey to match

sample and traverse intervals; in this instance the data have

been interpolated to 0.25m x 0.25m intervals

## Interpretation: anomaly types

5.13 Colour-coded geophysical interpretation plans are provided. Three types of geomagnetic anomaly have been distinguished in the data:

positive magnetic regions of anomalously high or positive magnetic field

gradient, which may be associated with high magnetic susceptibility soil-filled structures such as pits and ditches

negative magnetic regions of anomalously low or negative magnetic field

gradient, which may correspond to features of low magnetic susceptibility such as wall footings and other concentrations

of sedimentary rock or voids

dipolar magnetic paired positive-negative magnetic anomalies, which typically

reflect ferrous or fired materials (including fences and service

pipes) and/or fired structures such as kilns or hearths

5.14 Two types of resistance anomaly have been distinguished in the data:

high resistance regions of anomalously high resistance, which may reflect

foundations, tracks, paths and other concentrations of stone

or brick rubble

low resistance regions of anomalously low resistance, which may be

associated with soil-filled features such as pits and ditches

## Interpretation: features

- 5.15 A colour-coded archaeological interpretation plan is provided.
- 5.16 Regularly spaced, parallel, high resistance anomalies have been detected aligned north/south across the area. Some of these correspond to negative and dipolar magnetic anomalies, and almost certainly reflect land drains.

- 5.17 'Herring-bone' arrangements of low resistance anomalies corresponding with positive and dipolar magnetic anomalies have been detected. These also almost certainly reflect systems of land drainage.
- 5.18 The rectangular high concentration of dipolar magnetic anomalies, bordered by strong positive magnetic anomalies, detected in the west of the area reflects the location of a former all-weather playing surface.
- 5.19 Chains of dipolar magnetic anomalies have been detected around the former all-weather playing surface. These almost certainly reflect power cables for the floodlights, which are evident in the data as large, intense dipolar magnetic anomalies.
- 5.20 The linear strong dipolar magnetic anomaly detected along the northern edge of the survey area corresponds to an existing concrete footpath.
- 5.21 The dipolar magnetic and low resistance anomalies detected at the bottom of the slope along the southern boundary of the site almost certainly reflect a ferrous pipe and/or drain; two inspection covers were noted on the ground.
- 5.22 A pair of small intense dipolar magnetic anomalies in the central-southern part of the site probably reflect sockets for goal-posts.
- 5.23 Broad, weak, linear magnetic anomalies have been detected aligned east/west. These are almost certainly associated with the former landscaping activities.
- 5.24 Strong dipolar magnetic anomalies detected along the east, south and west edges of the area reflect the locations of ferrous fences enclosing the playing fields. The large and strong dipolar magnetic anomaly at the centre of the south edge of the geomagnetic survey is due to an adjacent bus shelter.


## 6. Conclusions

- 6.1 Two hectares of geomagnetic survey and one hectare of earth electrical resistance survey were undertaken at the former Craigroyston High School playing fields, Craigroyston, Edinburgh, prior to proposed development.
- 6.2 No features of likely archaeological significance were detected, possibly due to earlier landscaping at the site.
- 6.3 Land drains were detected in both the geomagnetic and resistance data.
- 6.4 Anomalies associated with landscaping activities were almost certainly detected.
- 6.5 Services were detected.
- 6.6 The former all-weather playing surface, still extant in the west of the area, is evident in the geomagnetic data.
- 6.7 A concrete path, visible on the ground in places, was detected in the north of the area.

## 7. Sources

- David, A, Linford, N, & Linford, P, 2008 *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation*. English Heritage
- Gaffney, C, Gater, J, & Ovenden, S, 2002 *The use of geophysical techniques in archaeological evaluations*. Technical Paper **6**, Institute of Field Archaeologists
- If A 2010 Draft Standard and Guidance for archaeological geophysical survey. Institute for Archaeologists
- Schmidt, A, & Ernenwein, E, 2010 (draft) *Guide to Good Practice: Geophysical Data in Archaeology*. Archaeology Data Service
- RCAHMS http://canmore.rcahms.gov.uk/

## Appendix: Written Scheme of Investigation



## 1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The City of Edinburgh Council requires a programme of archaeological evaluation on the former Craigroyston playing pitches, Craigroyston, Edinburgh. This work is necessary in addressing Condition 4 on planning consent (Ref. 10/01273/PPP). The proposed development lies within the administrative area of the City of Edinburgh Council, which is advised on archaeological matters by the City of Edinburgh Council Archaeology Service (CECAS). A programme of archaeological works has been specified by CECAS and is required in keeping with the policies outlined in Scottish Planning Policy (Scottish Government, February 2010) and *Planning Advice Note 42* in order to record the extent and significance of any archaeological remains that may be present within the development area.
- 1.2 An assessment of the site's archaeological potential will require a phased response. This will consist of:
  - Phase 1 the undertaking of an archaeological evaluation (geophysical survey and trial trenching) of the 2 ha development area. This work will be designed to determine the nature, extent, condition, date and significance of any archaeological remains within the proposed site area;
  - Phase 2 the provision of an evaluation Data Structure Report detailing the findings of Phase 1. Should significant archaeological deposits be discovered, a mitigation strategy will be prepared compliant with Scottish Planning Policy (Scottish Government, February 2010) and PAN 42;
  - **Phase 3** given the discovery of significant archaeological material where preservation *in situ* proves infeasible a programme of archaeological mitigation works will be undertaken, as defined in a further Written Scheme of Investigation to be approved by CECAS.
- 1.3 This Written Scheme of Investigation details how the requirements of the project will be met. The first part is site specific while the Appendices detail AOC Archaeology Group's operating procedures and standards.

## 2 OBJECTIVES OF THE INVESTIGATION

- 2.1 The objectives of the archaeological evaluation are:
  - to determine the character, extent, condition, quality, date and significance of any archaeological remains within the defined subject area;

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 1 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

 should significant archaeological deposits be discovered, to prepare a mitigation strategy compliant with Scottish Planning Policy (Scottish Government, February 2010) and PAN 42.

## 3 ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION

## 3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 The details of the archaeological evaluation (geophysical survey and intrusive evaluation trenching), laid out below, are designed to meet the requirements of the City of Edinburgh Council as advised by CECAS.

## 3.2 Geophysical evaluation

- 3.2.1 Geophysical surveying enables the relatively rapid and non-invasive identification of potential archaeological features and can involve a variety of complementary techniques such as magnetometry, electrical resistivity, ground-penetrating radar and electromagnetic survey. It is proposed that two complementary techniques be used: geomagnetic survey (fluxgate gradiometry) and earth electrical resistance survey. Geomagnetic survey will be initially undertaken over 100% of the development area with resistivity survey carried out over approximately 50% of the development area where the geomagnetic survey indicates the greatest potential for further definition of subsurface anomalies.
- 3.2.2 Fluxgate gradiometry involves the use of hand-held magnetometers to detect and record anomalies in the vertical component of the Earth's magnetic field which are caused by variations in soil magnetic susceptibility or permanent magnetisation; such anomalies can reflect, for example, ferrous, stone, brick and soil-filled features. Electrical resistance survey is ideal for detecting stone features such as walls, paths and culverts, but can also detect soil-filled features, depending on ground conditions at the time of survey. When a small electrical current is injected through the earth it encounters resistance which can be measured. Since resistance is linked to moisture content and porosity, stone and brick features will give relatively high resistance values while soil-filled features, which retain more moisture, will provide relatively low resistance values.
- 3.2.3 A 20 m survey grid will be established at each survey location and recorded using a Trimble Pathfinder Pro XRS global positioning system (GPS) or a total station survey instrument. The survey area will be related to the Ordnance Survey National Grid and known mapped features.
- 3.2.4 Measurements of vertical geomagnetic field gradient will be determined using a Geoscan FM256 or Bartington Grad601-2 fluxgate gradiometer. A zig-zag traverse scheme will be employed and data logged in 20 m grid units. The sample interval will be set to 0.25 m and the traverse interval to 1 m, thus providing 1600 measurements per 20 m grid unit.
- 3.2.5 Measurements of earth electrical resistance will be determined using a Geoscan RM15D resistance meter with a twin probe array. A zig-zag traverse scheme will be employed and data logged in 20 m

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 2 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

grid units. The instrument sensitivity will be set to 0.1 ohms, the sample interval to 1 m and the traverse interval to 1m thus providing 400 sample measurements per 20 m grid unit.

- 3.2.6 Data will be downloaded on-site into a laptop computer for verification, initial processing and storage and subsequently transferred to a desktop computer for further processing, interpretation and archiving. Geoplot software will be used to process and interpolate the geomagnetic and resistance data to form arrays of regularly-spaced values at 0.25 m intervals and to produce continuous-tone greyscale images and trace plots of the raw (unfiltered) data. Plots of filtered data will be provided if appropriate.
- 3.2.7 A report will be produced identifying anomalies, their probable origin and the degree of certainty in their attribution.

#### 3.3 Evaluation by trial trenching

- 3.3.1 The evaluation will be achieved through the excavation of linear trenches using a mechanical excavator (JCB) equipped with a 2 m wide ditching bucket. The trenching will cover a 5% sample (1,000 m²) of the 2 ha development area. A trenching plan will be produced for approval by CECAS following submission of the geophysical survey report. Trench location, orientation and concentration will be determined by the following factors:
  - The trial trenches will be placed to ensure that anomalies identified by the geophysical survey are investigated;
  - Random distribution;
  - Trenches will be of varying lengths and set along differing orientations to maximize the opportunity of locating linear features which may cross the landscape;
  - The avoidance of modern disturbance;
  - Where archaeological features are encountered, trenches will be extended to define the full lateral extent of these features;
  - Where archaeological features are encountered, ancillary trenching will be undertaken in the local vicinity anticipating any non-nucleated or more dispersed distribution of associated features.
- 3.3.2 The trial trenching will aim to establish the extent, condition, character, quality and date of any archaeological features present. These trenches will be of varying lengths and sizes and set on varying orientations. Excavation will be in shallow units/spits until the first significant archaeological horizon or natural drift geology is reached. Trial trenches will be extended around specific archaeological features to determine their lateral extent (while remaining within the development area). All machine excavation will be supervised by an experienced field archaeologist.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 3 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- 3.3.3 All significant archaeological features will be cleaned and fully defined. A sufficient number of all significant features, will be excavated, sampled and recorded to determine their character, function, nature, date and significance.
- 3.3.4 Trenching will be stepped where localised ground conditions necessitate.
- 3.3.5 All trial trenching will be undertaken according to AOC Archaeology Group's standard operating procedures (Appendix 7, 7.1 to 7.29).
- 3.3.6 The palaeoenvironmental strategy will comprise the removal of two basic sample types for every hand-excavated context. Every archaeological context will be sampled by this impartial and nonjudgmental approach.
  - i) Routine Soil Samples; a representative 500g sample from every excavated soil context on site. This sample is used in the characterisation of the sediment, potentially through pollen analysis, particle size analysis, pH analysis, phosphate analysis and loss-on-ignition.
  - *ii*) Standard Bulk Samples; a representative 20 litre sample from every excavated soil context on site. This sample is used, through floatation sieving, to recover a subsample of charred macroplant material, faunal remains and artefacts.
- 3.3.7 Trenches will be back-filled by JCB excavator and tracked over to present a level surface (with some slight concave mounding along the length of the trench to allow for settling). No large stones will be left protruding from the surface of the back-filled trench nor left lying alongside the back-filled trench.

## 4 REPORTING

- 4.1 A report will be produced in the form of a Data Structure Report, prepared in accordance with current standard Historic Scotland procedural requirements and AOC Archaeology standard procedures (Appendix 8, Section 8.1 to 8.8). Specifically the Data Structure Report(s) will contain the following:
  - the location and National Grid Reference of the site;
  - a non-technical summary describing briefly the work undertaken and a brief outline of the phasing and history of the site;
  - a full descriptive text detailing the archaeological features identified as part of the evaluation and an interpretation of their date and purpose;
  - appropriate lists and diagrams summarising the contexts and artefacts recovered and the records made of them;
  - · a selection of digital photographs to illustrate the written report;
  - fully referenced bibliography;
  - · photographic print and slide registers as an Appendix;

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 4 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- · Discovery and Excavation in Scotland (DES) entry as an Appendix;
- analysis of the results of the works, including appropriate post-excavation appraisals.
- 4.2 Limited examination of artefacts will have been undertaken as an element of the report. However, should significant artefacts and samples be recovered there may be the need for further phases of work including post-excavation assessment / analyses of samples and small finds. The need for, and scale of, such further phases would be determined by CECAS. Given the necessity for such works their funding would be undertaken by the client.
- 4.3 In addition, Summary Reports on the work and its findings will be submitted to *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland* and the OASIS online archaeological reporting facility.
- 4.4 In the event of significant archaeology being discovered on-site that cannot be dealt with during the evaluation, a comprehensive Mitigation Strategy will be produced. The Mitigation Strategy will define the areas of archaeological significance and define the impact of the proposed development upon these. Proposals will be made as to the most appropriate course of action to limit the impact upon those features or areas of archaeological significance. Prior to completion of the Mitigation Strategy all proposed strategies will be discussed with the client and CECAS. The funding of such works will be borne by the client.
- 4.5 Four paper copies of the report and a digital copy will be supplied to the client for distribution to the relevant bodies.

## 5 ARCHIVE DEPOSITION

- 5.1 The archive from these works will be prepared for deposition in the National Monuments Record of Scotland within 6 months of the completion of all fieldwork.
- 5.2 The disposal of small finds will be conducted in accordance with Scottish legal requirements and according to the standard procedure, Appendix 7.26 -7.29.

## 6 OPERATIONAL FACTORS

## 6.1 Monitoring

6.1.1 AOC Archaeology will liaise with CECAS and the client to ensure that the timetable of works for the project is followed as closely as possible. A mobile phone will be present on site at all times.

## 6.2 Health & Safety

6.2.1 AOC Archaeology has always maintained high standards on-site and a copy of our Health & Safety policy can be supplied on request. All trenches will be backfilled the same day with no trenches being left open over-night. No equipment or machine plant will be left on-site overnight.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 5 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

#### 6.3 Timetable

6.3.1 The table below sets out the anticipated timetable for the evaluation project. CECAS will be kept informed of the precise schedule of the individual elements as soon as they are finalised.

Task	Schedule
Geophysical survey & submission of evaluation trenching plan	Week 1
Evaluation trenching	Weeks 2
Evaluation Data Structure Report	Week 3

## 6.4 Project Team and facilities

- 6.4.1 Mr. John Gooder, Operations Director, will manage the project. Mr. Erlend Hindmarch (Project Officer), has been provisionally identified to direct the evaluation in the field. The geophysical survey will be undertaken by Durham University Archaeological Services
- 6.4.2 Any resultant post-excavation analyses or conservation would be conducted by AOC Archaeology's in-house specialists and supervised by Dr Ciara Clarke who specialises in palaeoenvironmental issues (Appendix 24).
- 6.4.3 AOC Archaeology has all the facilities necessary to undertake all resultant works, including fully equipped conservation and palaeo-environmental laboratories, secure storage and walk-in refrigeration units.

## 6.5 Media contact

6.5.1 All enquires from the Press will be passed on to either Mr. Lawson or the Council Press Office. No statements will be made to the media without an instruction to do so by the above.

## 7 REFERENCES

Scottish Government 2010 Scottish Planning Policy, February 2010.

The Scottish Office Environment Department 1994. Archaeology - the Planning Process and Scheduled Monument Procedures. Planning Advice Note PAN42. January 1994.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 6 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

#### APPENDIX 1

## Desk-top assessment

The sources consulted as part of the desk-top study will depend on the type and level of data required and the material that is available to provide that information. Sources used may include, where available, all or some of the following listed below:

- i) Walkover survey (Appendix 5).
- The relevant Local Sites and Monuments Record(s) and the National Monuments Record.
- iii) British Geological Survey maps.
- iv) Ordnance Survey maps of the site and its locality.
- v) Tithe, Apportionment and Parish maps.
- vi) Historic (pre-Ordnance Survey) and Estate maps of the area.
- vii) Appropriate archaeological and historical journals and books.
- viii) Historical documents held in local museums, libraries, record offices and other archives. This may be a selective survey given the scope of potential historic documentation for some sites.
- ix) Unpublished material held by local professional and amateur archaeological organisations and museums.
- x) Aerial photographs held by local authorities, Sites and Monuments Record, the National Library of Aerial Photographs, Cambridge University Collection of Aerial Photographs and other local parties.
- xi) Scheduled Ancient Monuments Lists; listed building lists; registers of parks and gardens and battlefields; any local authority constraint designations (eg conservation Areas).
- xii) All available borehole, trial pit and geotechnical data from the site and its immediate environs.
- xiii) Plans of services locations held by statutory undertakers.
- xiv) Fire insurance maps.
- xv) Old and New Statistical Accounts (in Scotland).
- xvi) Building Control Records.
- xvii) Standing Building Assessment (Appendix 10).

## **APPENDIX 2**

## Geophysical survey

- 2.1 All geophysical survey work will be sub-contracted to an appropriate professional organisation but directly managed by AOC Archaeology.
- 2.2 Selection of techniques will be made in consultation with the survey organisation taking into account land use, geology, complicating factors (eg metal pipes and fences), known and/or suspected archaeology.
- 2.3 The report will contain background information on the site (as above) and a description of any anomalies located. An interpretation of the anomalies will also be given.
- 2.4 At least one plot of the data will be included, normally of dot density or grey scale type. Any enhancement of the image will be explicitly stated and the likely affect of the processing described
- 2.5 Clear interpretative plans will be provided in a form that a non-technical reader can understand.
- 2.6 Plots and interpretative diagrams will be reproduced at a scale from which exact measurements can be taken. These will normally be 1:1000 for detailed survey and 1:2500 for other plans.
- 2.7 The basic computerised data will form part of the site archive.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 7 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

#### APPENDIX 3

## Surface collection survey (fieldwalking)

- 3.1 This type of survey will only be carried out in suitable ground visibility conditions. This effectively restricts the technique to arable land which has been ploughed, harrowed and left to weather for several weeks in autumn to early spring.
- 3.2 The collection grid will align with the Ordnance Survey grid unless surveying for a linear scheme when the transects will be parallel to the centre of the scheme. The grid will be established using measured survey techniques.
- 3.3 The spacing of transects and length of collection units will be as specified in the main part of the Written Scheme of Investigation. Each transect will be 2m wide. Collection units will be logged using a numeric 12 figure National Grid Reference which will identify the southern end of the unit.
- 3.4 Transects will be measured cumulatively on the ground using fixed-length strings to avoid variation in individual pace. Sighting poles will be placed at opposite ends of the land parcel to mark transects.
- 3.5 All material considered to be man-made or not local to the area will be collected and recorded by the individual collection unit. The exception to this is where dense concentrations of building material are present when a representative sample is retained per collection unit.
- 3.6 Stone scatters, areas of soil discolouration and outcrops of natural substrata will be recorded and plotted by stint.
- 3.7 Pro-forma sheets will be used to record details of walker, soil/crop conditions, slope/topography, and lighting/weather conditions for each transect and presence/absence of finds for each collection unit.
- 3.8 Finds will be washed and sorted into groups in order to facilitate identification. Finds will be bagged according to artefact class within each collection unit.
- 3.9 Finds will be identified, quantified and recorded directly on to computer. The results will be plotted using a CAD graphics programme.
- 3.10 All significant artefact distributions will be plotted by field, group of fields or appropriate length for a linear scheme, at 1:2500, with separate plans for each period or relevant subdivision, indicating the numbers of artefacts per stint.
- 3.11 The pottery and other relevant artefacts will be scanned to assess the date range of the assemblage.
- 3.12 All finds and samples will be treated in a proper manner and to standards agreed in advance with the recipient museum or other body. These will be cleaned, conserved, bagged and boxed in accordance with the guidelines set out in UKIC's "Conservation Guidelines No 2".

## APPENDIX 4 Earthwork surveys

4.1

- Base points will be established using a Total Station.
- 4.2 Hachured plans will normally be prepared at 1:1250 or 1:2500 for most classes of earthwork. In certain cases more detailed survey by contouring will be carried out.
- 4.3 Appropriately experienced personnel will undertake the survey work.
- 4.4 All prepared plans will be presented with an accompanying descriptive text.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 8 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

## APPENDIX 5 Walkover Survey

- 5.1 The proposed study area will be walked over in a systematic manner. Approximately 30m wide transects will be used, although this can be reduced where conditions demand.
- 5.2 All features identified (including modern features) will be given a unique number. The location of each feature will be marked on a 1:10,000 map. A photographic and written record will be compiled.

## APPENDIX 6 Test pits

- 6.1 Spacing and size of test pits will vary according to local topography, geology, and known or potential archaeology. Spacing and size will be as specified in the Written Scheme of Investigation.
- 6.2 Test pits will be laid out in relation to the Ordnance Survey national grid.
- 6.3 The most appropriate tools will be used taking into account the prevailing conditions at the time of the work.
- 6.4 A specified volume of topsoil from each test pit will be sieved through a 10mm mesh.
- 6.5 Conditions, contexts and artefact totals will be recorded on pro-forma sheets.
- 6.6 Subdivisions within the excavated material will be based on soil stratigraphy and spits of 100mm within each stratigraphical unit.
- 6.7 All artefact totals will be recorded by class.
- 6.8 Finds will be washed and sorted into groups in order to facilitate identification. Finds will be bagged according to artefact class within each collection unit.
- 6.9 Finds will be identified, quantified and recorded directly onto computer where appropriate. The results will be plotted using a CAD graphics programme when appropriate.
- 6.10 All significant artefact distributions will be plotted by field, group of fields or appropriate length for a linear scheme at 1:2500, with separate plans for each period or relevant subdivision, indicating the numbers of artefacts per test pit.
- 6.11 The pottery and other relevant artefacts will be scanned to assess the date range of the assemblage.
- All finds and samples will be treated in a proper manner and to standards agreed in advance with the recipient museum or other body. These will be cleaned, conserved, bagged and boxed in accordance with the guidelines set out in UKIC's "Conservation Guidelines No 2".

## **APPENDIX 7**

## Machine excavated trenches

## Excavation

- 7.1 The entire site will be visually inspected before the commencement of any machine excavation. This will include the examination of any available exposures (eg recently cut ditches and geotechnical test pits).
- 7.2 Normally trench positions will be accurately surveyed prior to excavation and related to the National Grid. It may be necessary to survey the positions after excavation in some instances.
- 7.3 All machining will be carried out by plant of an appropriate size. Normally, this will be a JCB 3CX (or similar) or 360° tracked excavator with a 1.4 or 1.8m wide toothless bucket. Where access or working space is restricted a mini excavator such as a Kubota KH 90 will be used.
- 7.4 All machining will be carried out under direct control of an experienced archaeologist.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 9 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- 7.5 Undifferentiated topsoil or overburden of recent origin will be removed in successive level spits (approximately <0.5m) down to the first significant archaeological horizon.
- 7.6 Excavated material will be examined in order to retrieve artefacts to assist in the analysis of the spatial distribution of artefacts.
- 7.7 On completion of machine excavation, all faces of the trench that require examination or recording will be cleaned using appropriate hand tools.
- 7.8 All investigation of archaeological horizons will be by hand, with cleaning, inspection, and recording both in plan and section.
- 7.9 Within each significant archaeological horizon a minimum number of features required to meet the aims of the project will be hand excavated. Pits and postholes normally will be sampled by half-sectioning although some features may require complete excavation. Linear features will be sectioned as appropriate. Features not suited to excavation within the confines of narrow trenches will not be sampled. No deposits will be entirely removed unless this is unavoidable. As the objective is to define remains it will not necessarily be the intention to fully excavated all trenches to natural stratigraphy. However, the full depth of archaeological deposits across the entire site will be assessed. Even in the case where no remains have been located the stratigraphy of all evaluation trenches will be recorded.
- 7.10 Any excavation, whether by machine or by hand, will be undertaken with a view to avoiding damage to any archaeological features or deposits which appear to be demonstrably worthy of preservation in situ.
- 7.11 For palaeoenvironmental research different sampling strategies will be employed according to established research targets and the perceived importance of the strata under investigation. AOC Archaeology conventionally recovers three main categories of sample;
  - i) Routine Soil Samples; a representative 500g sample from every excavated soil context on site. This sample is used in the characterisation of the sediment, potentially through pollen analysis, particle size analysis, pH analysis, phosphate analysis and loss-onionition.
  - Standard Bulk Samples; a representative 10 litre sample from every excavated soil context on site. This sample is used, through floatation sieving, to recover a sub-sample of charred macroplant material, faunal remains and artefacts;
  - iii) Purposive or Special Samples; a sample from a sediment which is determined, in field, to either have the potential for dating (wood charcoal for radiocarbon dating or *in situ* hearths for magnetic susceptibility dating) or for the recovery of enhanced palaeoenvironmental information (waterlogged sediments, peat columns, etc).
- 7.12 Any finds of human remains will be left *in situ*, covered and protected. In Scotland the local police will be informed. If removal is essential this will only take place with police approval, and in compliance with Historic Scotland's Operational Policy Paper 'The Treatment of Human Remains in Archaeology'. In England and Wales the coroner's office will be informed. If removal is essential it will only take place under the relevant Home Office licence and local authority environmental health regulations.
- 7.13 All finds of gold and silver will be moved to a safe place. Where removal cannot be effected on the same working day as the discovery, suitable security measures will be taken to protect the artefacts from theft or damage. In Scotland the recovery of such material, along with all other finds, will be reported to the Queen's and Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer. In England and Wales the recovery of such material will be reported to the coroner's office according to the procedures relating to Treasure Trove.
- 7.14 After recording, the trenches will be backfilled with excavated material.

## Recording

7.15 For each trench, a block of numbers in a continuous sequence will be allocated.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 10 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- 7.16 Written descriptions, comprising both factual data and interpretative elements, will be recorded on standardised sheets.
- 7.17 Where stratified deposits are encountered a 'Harris'-type matrix will be compiled during the course of the excavation.
- 7.18 The site grid will be accurately tied into the National Grid and located on the 1:2500 or 1:1250 map of the area.
- 7.19 Plans will normally be drawn at a scale of 1:100, but on urban or deeply stratified sites a scale of 1:50 or 1:20 will be used. Burials will be drawn at 1:10. Other detailed plans will be drawn at an appropriate scale.
- 7.20 Long sections of trenches showing layers and any cut features will be drawn at 1:50. Sections of features or short lengths of trenches will be drawn at 1:20.
- 7.21 Generally all sections will be accurately related to Ordnance Datum. There may, occasionally, be instances where this is unnecessary when it will be agreed with the local authority's archaeological representative in advance.
- 7.22 Registers of sections and plans will be kept.
- 7.23 A full colour print and colour transparency photographic record will be maintained. This will illustrate the principal features and finds both in detail and in a general context. The photographic record will also include working shots to represent more generally the nature of the fieldwork.
- 7.24 A register of all photographs taken will be kept on standardised forms.
- 7.25 All recording will be in accordance with the standards and requirements of the *Archaeological Field Manual* (Museum of London Archaeology Service 3rd edition 1994).

#### Finds

- 7.26 All identified finds and artefacts will be collected and retained. Certain classes of material, ie post-medieval pottery and building material, may on occasion be discarded after recording if a representative sample is kept. No finds will be discarded without the prior approval of the archaeological representative of the local authority and the receiving museum.
- 7.27 Finds will be scanned to assess the date range of the assemblage with particular reference to pottery. In addition the artefacts will be used to characterise the site, and to establish the potential for all categories of finds should further archaeological work be necessary.
- 7.28 All finds and samples will be treated in a proper manner and to standards agreed in advance with the recipient museum. Finds will be exposed, lifted, cleaned, conserved, marked, bagged and boxed in accordance with the guidelines set out in United Kingdom Institute for Conservation's Conservation Guidelines No. 2.
- 7.29 In England and Wales, at the beginning of the project (prior to commencement of fieldwork) the landowner and the relevant museum will be contacted regarding the preparation, ownership and deposition of the archive and finds. In Scotland all archaeological material recovered belongs to the Crown and its disposal is administered by the Queen's and Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer.

## APPENDIX 8 Evaluation reports

- 8.1 The style and format of the evaluation report will be determined by AOC Archaeology, but will be compliant with Historic Scotland's issued guidance on Data Structure Reports. The report will include as a minimum the following:
  - A location plan of the site.
  - ii) A location plan of the trenches and/or other type of fieldwork strategy employed.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 11 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- iii) Plans and sections of features and/or extent of archaeology located. These will be at an appropriate scale.
- iv) A summary statement of the results.
- A table summarising per trench the deposits, features, classes and numbers of artefacts encountered and spot dating of significant finds.
- vi) Consideration to the methodology will be given along with a confidence rating for the results.
- 8.2 When an evaluation is followed by an excavation the procedures defined in English Heritage's Management of Archaeological Projects 2nd edition 1991 will be followed for immediate postfield archive preparation and initial assessment. It will then be agreed with the local authority's archaeological advisor which aspects will need to be taken forward to the report stage.

## APPENDIX 9

## Area excavation

- 9.1 Prior to the stripping of any area excavation, all appropriate surveys (eg geophysical, earthwork, contour) or sampling strategies (eg for topsoil artefact densities, metal detecting, phosphate analysis) will be undertaken.
- 9.2 In most cases sites will be mechanically stripped of topsoil and other overburden. An appropriate machine will always be used. This will normally be a 360° tracked excavator with a between 1.4 and 2.4m wide toothless bucket. In other cases a JCB 3CX (or similar), or for work with restricted access or working room a mini-excavator such as a Kubota KH 90 will be used. Suitably sized dumpers or lorries will be employed to remove spoil. No plant will be allowed to cross stripped areas.
- 9.3 All machining will be undertaken under the direct control of experienced archaeologists.
- 9.4 All undifferentiated topsoil or overburden will be removed down to the first significant archaeological horizon in level spits. The archaeological horizon to which the material will be cleared will have first been established by an evaluation or by the digging of test pits.
- 9.5 Depending on the aims of the project, the excavated spoil may be monitored in order to recover artefacts. Where their findspots are plotted this will usually be on a 2m grid.
- 9.6 The surface exposed by the stripping will be cleaned using appropriate hand tools.
- 9.7 Should the site grid not have already been established it will be done at the cleaning stage. The grid will normally be based on a 10m spacing and related to the National Grid. A temporary bench mark related to Ordnance Datum will be founded
- 9.8 After the cleaning and planning of the excavation area the sampling strategy will be finalised. This will take into account the project aims (which may need modifying at this stage) and the type, quality and quantity of remains revealed. The sampling strategy will normally seek to maintain at least the following levels;
  - all structures and all zones of specialised activity (eg funerary, ceremonial, industrial, agricultural processing) will be fully excavated and all relationships recorded;
  - ii) ditches and gullies will have all relationships defined, investigated and recorded. All terminals will be excavated. Sufficient lengths of the feature will be excavated to determine the character of the feature over its entire course; the possibility of re-cuts of parts of the feature, and not the whole, will be considered. This will be achieved by a minimum 10% sample of each feature (usually a 1m section every 10m).
  - Sufficient artefact assemblages will be recovered (where possible) to assist in dating the stratigraphic sequence and for obtaining ample ceramic groups for comparison with other sites;

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 12 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- iv) all pits, as a minimum, will be half-sectioned. Usually at least 50% (by number) of the pits will be fully excavated. Decisions as to which pits will be fully excavated will be taken in the light of information gained in the half-sectioning taking into consideration, amongst other things; pit function, artefact content and location;
- v) for post and stake holes where they are clearly not forming part of a structure (see above) 100% (by number) will be half-sectioned ensuring that all relationships are investigated. Where deemed necessary, by artefact content, a number may demand full excavation:
- vi) for other types of feature such as working hollows, quarry pits, etc the basic requirement will be that all relationships are ascertained. Further investigation will be a matter of onsite judgement, but will seek to establish as a minimum their extent, date and function;
- vii) for layers, an on-site decision will be made as to the limits of their excavation. The factors governing the judgement will include the possibility that they mask earlier remains, the need to understand function and depositional processes, and the necessity to recover sufficient artefacts to date the deposit and to meet the project aims.
- 9.9.1 For palaeoenvironmental research different sampling strategies will be employed according to established research targets and the perceived importance of the strata under investigation. AOC Archaeology conventionally recovers three main categories of sample;
  - i) Routine Soil Samples; a representative 500g sample from every excavated soil context on site. This sample is used in the characterisation of the sediment, potentially through pollen analysis, particle size analysis, pH analysis, phosphate analysis and loss-onignition;
  - Standard Bulk Samples; a representative 10 litre sample from every excavated soil context on site. This sample is used, through floatation sieving, to recover a sub-sample of charred macroplant material, faunal remains and artefacts;
  - iii) Purposive or Special Samples; a sample from a sediment which is determined, in field, to either have the potential for dating (wood charcoal for radiocarbon dating or *in situ* hearths for magnetic susceptibility dating) or for the recovery of enhanced palaeoenvironmental information (waterlogged sediments, peat columns, etc).
- 9.10 Any finds of human remains will be left *in situ*, covered and protected. In Scotland the local police will be informed. If removal is essential this will only take place with police approval, and in compliance with Historic Scotland's Operational Policy Paper 'The Treatment of Human Remains in Archaeology'. In England and Wales the coroner's office will be informed. If removal is essential it will only take place under the relevant Home Office licence and local authority environmental health regulations.
- 9.11 All finds of gold and silver will be moved to a safe place. Where removal cannot be effected on the same working day as the discovery, suitable security measures will be taken to protect the artefacts from theft or damage. In Scotland the recovery of such material, along with all other finds, will be reported to the Queen's and Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer. In England and Wales the recovery of such material will be reported to the coroner's office according to the procedures relating to Treasure Trove.

## Recording

- 9.12 All on-site recording will be undertaken in accordance with the standards and requirements of the Archaeological Site Manual (Museum of London 1994).
- 9.13 A continuous unique numbering system will be employed.
- 9.14 Written descriptions, comprising both factual data and interpretative elements, will be recorded on standardised sheets.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 13 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- 9.15 Where stratified deposits are encountered a 'Harris'-type matrix will be compiled during the course of the excavation.
- 9.16 The site grid will be accurately tied into the National Grid and located on the 1:2500 or 1:1250 map of the area.
- 9.17 Plans will normally be drawn at a scale of 1:100, but on urban or deeply stratified sites a scale of 1:50 or 1:20 will be used. Burials will be drawn at 1:10. Other detailed plans will be drawn at an appropriate scale.
- 9.18 Long sections of trench edges or internal baulks showing layers and any cut features will be drawn at 1:50 or 1:20 depending on amount of detail contained. Sections of features will be drawn at 1:20
- 9.19 All sections will be accurately related to Ordnance Datum.
- 9.20 Registers of sections and plans will be kept.
- 9.21 A full colour print and colour transparency photographic record will be maintained. This will illustrate the principal features and finds both in detail and in a general context. The photographic record will also include working shots to represent more generally the nature of the fieldwork.
- 9.22 A register of all photographs taken will be kept on standardised forms.

#### Finds

- 9.23 All identified finds and artefacts will be collected and retained. Certain classes of material, ie post-medieval pottery and building material may on occasion be discarded after recording if a representative sample is kept. No finds will be discarded without the prior approval of the archaeological representative of the local authority and the receiving museum.
- 9.24 All finds and samples will be treated in a proper manner and to standards agreed in advance with the recipient museum. Finds will be exposed, lifted, cleaned, conserved, marked, bagged and boxed in accordance with the guidelines set out in United Kingdom Institute for Conservation's Conservation Guidelines No. 2.
- 9.25 In England and Wales, at the beginning of the project (prior to commencement of fieldwork) the landowner and the relevant museum will be contacted regarding the preparation, ownership and deposition of the archive and finds. In Scotland all archaeological material recovered belongs to the Crown and its disposal is administered by the Queen's and Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer.

## Archiving, post-excavation and publication

- 9.26 Following completion of each stage or the full extent of the fieldwork (as appropriate) the site archive will be prepared in the format agreed with the receiving institution.
- 9.27 On completion of the archive a summary report will be prepared. This will include;
  - an illustrated summary of the results to-date indicating to what extent the project aims were fulfilled;
  - a summary of the quantities and potential for analysis of the information recovered for each category of site, artefact, dating and palaeoenvironmental data;
  - iv) proposals for analysis and publication.
- 9.28 The proposals for analysis and publication will include;
  - i) a list of the revised project aims arising from the fieldwork and post-excavation
  - a method statement which will make clear how the methods advocated are those best suited to ensuring that the data-collection will fulfil the stated aims of the project;
  - a list of all tasks involved in meeting the stated methods to achieve the aims and produce a report and research archive in the stated format;

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 14 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- iv) details of the research team and their projected work programmes in relation to the tasks. Allowance will be made for general project-related tasks such as project meetings, management, editorial and revision time;
- a publication synopsis indicating publisher, report format and content shown by chapters, section and subheadings with the anticipated length of text sections and proposed number of illustrations.
- 9.29 The summary report embracing the analysis and publication proposals will be submitted to the client and the local authority's archaeological representative for approval.
- 9.30 Any significant variation in the project design, including timetables, proposed after the agreement of the proposals must be acceptable to the local authority's archaeological representative.
- 9.31 The results of the project will be published in an appropriate archaeological journal or monograph. The suitable level of publication will be dependent on the significance of the project results, but as a minimum the basic requirements of Appendix 7.1 of Management of Archaeological Projects (English Heritage 1991) will be met.

## APPENDIX 10 Standing Building Assessment

- 10.1 A standing building assessment will normally take place in concordance with a Conservation Plan, but may also form part of a Desk-Based Assessment if required.
- 10.2 A visual inspection will be made of both the interior and exterior of the building(s) with a view to establishing the extent of the architecturally important elements that should be included in a later phase of historic building recording work.
- 10.3 A brief written record will be made in addition to digital photography of areas of interest to support recommendations and outline architectural features within the building(s).

## **APPENDIX 11**

## Historic Building Recording: The Written Record (Levels 0-6)

- 11.1 Pro forma building recording sheets will be used for the basic written record of the building(s) including comments on the condition, construction techniques, materials, fixtures and fittings and interpretation of function. A competent analysis will be made of all building phases and any relationship between buildings. Day Book records will also be kept for any levels of recording above I evel 1
- 11.2 At Level 4, the written record will encompass a thorough context description of each broad phase of construction and alteration with a view to formulating a stratigraphic matrix of the site.

## **APPENDIX 12**

## Historic Building Recording: Photography (Levels 1-5)

- 12.1 Photography will take place at all levels of building recording, and will be undertaken with a single lens reflex camera with through-the-lens (TTL) light metering. A standard 28-90mm lens will be used at all times except where wider or shorter angle lenses are required for longer elevation photography and detailed photography.
- 12.2 The camera will be placed at mid-height to the subject with due care and attention to lighting situations. Two shots will be taken of each feature, undertaken by a light-meter reading of a two-step change in aperture. This change up or down will depend on light conditions.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 15 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- 12.3 Interior photography will be undertaken with appropriate lighting conditions and the use of a tripod. Where light access is still guite minimal, an automatic flash will be used.
- 12.4 All photography will be taken on colour slide and black & white negative film, such as Kodak PLUS-X or Ilford FP4, or approved equivalent. It should be exposed and processed to an archival standard, i.e., fix and wash in accordance with the manufacturers specifications.
- 12.5 The use of a digital camera may be used as a reference to survey and drawn elevations and ground plans on-site.

#### **APPENDIX 13**

#### Historic Building Recording: Rectified Photography and Photogrammetry (Level 3)

- 13.1 An external contractor will carry out rectified photography and photogrammetry in compliance with the following guidelines:
  - All photography will be carried out with an approved type of camera. Details of the camera used may be supplied on completion of the project.
  - ii) The smallest permissible photographic negative scale will normally be defined as follows: for 1:50 scale plotting, negative scale should be no more than 1:200 and for 1:20 scale plotting, negative scale should be no more than 1:200.
  - iii) All rectified photography will be taken on black & white negative film, such as Kodak PLUS-X or Ilford FP4, or approved equivalent. It should be exposed and processed to an archival standard, i.e., fix and wash in accordance with the manufacturers specifications.

## **APPENDIX 14**

## Historic Building Recording: Elevation Recording (Level 2)

- 14.1 All elevations drawn or surveyed will be a 'preservation by record' of the current state of the building. The following categories will be recorded:
  - All architectural features with associated decorative detail including windows, doors, quoin stones, string courses, roof lines and other structural stonework and jointing.
  - ii) Fixtures and fittings such as drainpipes and guttering, signs, brackets and vents.
  - iii) Later modifications and/or damage to the building such as structural cracks, areas of erosion, patches of rendering, blocked doorways, windows and other openings.
- 14.2 Large or small repetitive features such as windows, capitals, mouldings, etc. sampling will be undertaken as appropriate.
- 14.3 Where the façade is of stone construction each individual stone may be recorded. However, in most instances, a representative area, usually 1m², will be sufficient, although windows, corner stones and other architectural details will always be fully recorded. The degree of recording for ashlar will be depend upon the scale with which the elevation is to be produced and will be determined in advance of the start of works. When drawings are carried out at 1:50, a single line between the joints of the stone will normally be considered satisfactory. However, if there is a considerable gap between the stones, both sides of the stone will be shown. At a scale of 1:20 or larger, then all joints will normally be shown except where the stone is very fine ashlar.
- 14.4 Elevation recording by hand will normally take place if it is inappropriate to do so by survey. The size and complexity of an elevation will determine what on-site scale will be required. In general, a scale of 1:50 will be deemed appropriate with a larger scale adopted if portions of this elevation are more complex. For highly detailed architectural detail a scale of 1:1 may be appropriate.
- 14.5 All hand-drawn measured elevations and detail will be drawn using water-resistant paper with a hard 4H – 6H pencil. A levelled datum line will be taken through the centre of the elevation with

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 16 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

offset measurements. All datum points will be accurately positioned within the site either by hand or by survey.

#### **APPENDIX 15**

## Historic Building Recording: Elevation Recording - By Survey (Levels 2-4)

- Where appropriate, elevations may be recorded by radiation survey using a reflectorless EDM (REDM) Leica TCR 705. This method of survey allows the accurate capture of data of upper floor levels. If more than one elevation is to be recorded, then a traverse will be created around each building or group of buildings. Extra stations may be set up in places where there is limited access. Values in the traverse will be adjusted by Bowditch adjustment to compensate for any errors in measurement. The adjusted values will then be calculated using LisCAD Plus v5.0 (Surveying and Engineering Module). Co-ordinates will be located by resection from existing traverse points. The survey data will be downloaded to a laptop computer on-site via Leica Office software. All measurements taken by survey will consist of three-dimensional co-ordinates relating to the Ordnance Survey National Grid.
- 15.2 The recording of an elevation will not be carried out by survey equipment if:
  - i) There are too many obstructions:
  - ii) The surface of the building is too dark or mossy;
  - iii) There is too much curved architectural detail;
  - iv) The distance required to set up the survey equipment in front of the elevation is too large (i.e., more than 25m) or too short to capture data from the upper levels of the elevation.
- 15.3 Where appropriate, elevations carried out by survey will be supplemented by detail measured by hand

#### **APPENDIX 16**

## Historic Building Recording: Interior Recording (Levels 2-4)

- 16.1 The recording of the interior(s) of the building(s) will consist of a written record and, where appropriate, measured sketch plans of the ground plan and the roof elevations based on the following guidelines:
  - i) Critical analysis of the interior condition, construction, materials, fixtures and fittings will be made using pro forma recording sheets.
  - ii) Measured interior ground plans of each room of the interior will be carried out using tapes and a Leica Disto™ Classic electronic distance measurer.
  - iii) All measured plans will contain: notes on the size of structural members, and finishes; floor levels, change in levels, and ceiling heights; direction of stair rises in plan with each riser numbered; the positions of service entry points, plant and machinery and sanitary fittings; below-ground drainage; soil and vent stacks and rainwater pipes where appropriate.

## **APPENDIX 17**

## Historic Building Recording: Standard Report Illustrations (Level 6)

All final illustrations for archive will be produced digitally on the Computer-Aided Drawing package, AutoCAD 2000i/2000LT and/or Adobe Illustrator v9/v10. A standard methodology will be used with all drawings adhering to the following guidelines:

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 17 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- 17.2 <u>Line Weight</u>. The appropriate line weight will depend on anticipated plot scale and may need editing if the output scale is to change. The degree of detail used will affect the line weight utilised in the finished drawing. All fine architectural detail (stonework, moulded stonework, brickwork, etc.) will be produced at a line weight of 0.05mm. More general architectural features (outlines of doors and windows, etc.) will be produced at a line weight of 0.09mm. A much heavier line will indicate the changing of plane in complex elevations.
- 17.3 <u>Text</u>. Text will be made clear and informative, with orientation, position, size and letter spacing remaining appropriate to the layout of the plotted sheets.
- 17.4 <u>Scale</u>. No archaeological or historic building survey will be carried out without a particular scale or range of scales in mind.
- 17.5 <u>Layers.</u> The layering system in Computer Aided Drawing packages allow the separation of data into specified criteria. To achieve this, there is an AOC standard layering system. This system is largely based on the coding system inherent in the use of the reflectorless EDM Leica TCR705.
- 17.6 <u>Digital Archiving</u>. All drawings are produced at a 1:1 scale for easy scaling in .dxf or .dwg format. At the end of a project, all data is stored on CD-ROM.

## **APPENDIX 18**

## Historic Building Recording: Dendrochronological Analysis (Level 3)

- 18.1 Dendrochronological analysis of timbers from standing building is primarily undertaken to provide accurate dates for its construction. Where appropriate, samples may be taken for analysis to provide information on the source and quality of the timber, thus informing on the social and economic context of the building.
- 18.2 Samples for analysis will take place under the following conditions:
  - That the timber sample taken is from a species where date chronologies already exist, namely oak and pine.
  - ii) A minimum of eight timbers per phase or building are required to cross-match results.
  - iii) The ring patterns inherent in a timber sample must be over a certain length, usually seventy rings.
- The method of the removal of samples of timber will be to use a corer attached to a power-driven drill, removing a core leaving a hole in the timber 10mm in diameter. The core will be taken so that a maximum radius from pith to bark is taken, thus ensuring the maximum numbers of growth rings for analysis. Timbers will be selected which have retained a full ring sequence as possible (i.e., those where the outermost rings have not been trimmed off or destroyed by woodworm).
- 18.4 Where it is impossible to use this intrusive method of sample, for example, in the case of painted ceilings and carved panels, the ring sequence can be measured *in situ* using a hand lens. Silicone rubber casts can also be taken where the end grain is exposed.

## **APPENDIX 19**

## Historic Building Recording: Paint and Wallpaper Analysis (Level 3)

19.1 Paint and/or wallpaper analysis will usually only take place where layers that have been applied over the years have not been removed. Where appropriate, paint analysis can take place by methods of scraped samples or thin section analysis. Cross-sections may also be obtained from samples of paint to reveal a stratigraphy of paint layers.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 18 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

## **APPENDIX 20**

## Historic Building Recording: Reporting (Levels 0-6)

- 20.1 The style and format of the final report on historic building recording works will be determined by AOC Archaeology, but will be compliant with Historic Scotland's issued guidance on Data Structure Reports. The content of this report will depend greatly in the level of works that have taken place but at minimum will include:
  - A location plan of the site showing the areas under investigation numbered and crossreferenced in the text;
  - ii) A summary statement of the results;
  - iii) An introduction, methodology and results of the works;
  - iv) Photographic plates to illustrate the text.
- Where a programme of historic building recording has taken place at Level 2 or above, the Data Structure Report will contain a number of illustrations, the format of which is outlined in more detail in Appendix 17.

## APPENDIX 21 Watching Briefs

- 21.1 Where the archaeologist (Watching Brief Officer) has no remit over the working methodology of the site (specification of machine or depth of excavation). The Watching Brief Officer will simply observe the works and record their nature and form. Where the Watching Brief Officer specifies the site methodology, ie type of machine and depth of excavation. AOC Archaeology's preferred approach is to consider the Watching Brief Area as a large evaluation trench and follows in general, Appendix 7.
- 21.1 It is important to stress that the client determines the area affected and unless instructed by a curator the Watching Brief Officer has no power to extend the area unless it is to fully excavate a human body that otherwise would have been truncated by the works.
- 21.2 In addition to the general principles outlines in Appendix 7 the following approaches will be undertaken:
  - i) a record will be made of all site attendances;
     in general a written and photographic record will be kept of the excavated sediments;
  - ii) where archaeological features are identified and they can be dealt with in less than two hours this work will be undertaken by the Watching Brief Officer. Recording and excavation protocols will follow Appendices 7.9 –7.11;
  - iii) where archaeological remains requiring more than two hours of excavation and recording, the Watching Brief Officer will stop the works and both the curator and the client will be contacted to devise a mitigation strategy. All delays will be kept to a minimum. Any resultant excavation and recording work will be in keeping with the methods outlined in Appendix 9;
  - iv) the extent of the watching brief area will not be recorded unless specifically required by either the client or the curator. Where such recording is required the area will be accurately recorded by total station and linked into the Ordnance Datum;
  - v) Reporting of Watching Briefs will follow methods specified in Appendix 8.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 19 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

## APPENDIX 22 General

- 22.1 The requirements of the Brief will be met in full where reasonably practicable.
- 22.2 Any significant variations to the proposed methodology will be discussed and agreed with the local authority's archaeological representative in advance of implementation.
- 22.3 The scope of fieldwork detailed in the main part of the Written Scheme of Investigation is aimed at meeting the aims of the project in a cost-effective manner. AOC Archaeology Group attempts to foresee all possible site-specific problems and make allowances for these. However there may on occasions be unusual circumstances which have not been included in the programme and costing. These can include;
  - i) unavoidable delays due to extreme weather, vandalism, etc;
  - ii) trenches requiring shoring or stepping, ground contamination, unknown services, poor ground conditions:
  - extensions to specified trenches or feature excavation sample sizes requested by the local authority's archaeological advisor;
  - iv) complex structures or objects, including those in waterlogged conditions, requiring specialist removal.

#### Health and Safety

- 22.4 All relevant health and safety legislation, regulations and codes of practice will be respected.
- 22.5 With the introduction of the Construction, Design and Management Regulations 1994, AOC Archaeology works with Clients, Main Contractors, and Planning Supervisors to create a Health and Safety Plan. Where CDM regulations apply, each project will have its own unique plan.

#### Insurances

- 22.6 AOC Archaeology holds Employers Liability Insurance, Public Liability Insurance and Professional Indemnity Insurance. Details can be supplied on request.
- 22.7 AOC Archaeology will not be liable to indemnify the client against any compensation or damages for or with respect to;
  - i) damage to crops being on the Area or Areas of Work (save in so far as possession has not been given to the Archaeological Contractor);
  - ii) the use or occupation of land (which has been provided by the Client) by the Project or for the purposes of completing the Project (including consequent loss of crops) or interference whether temporary or permanent with any right of way light air or other easement or quasi easement which are the unavoidable result of the Project in accordance with the Agreement;
  - iii) any other damage which is the unavoidable result of the Project in accordance with the Agreement;
  - iv) injuries or damage to persons or property resulting from any act or neglect or breach of statutory duty done or committed by the client or his agents servants or their contractors (not being employed by AOC Archaeology) or for or in respect of any claims demands proceedings damages costs charges and expenses in respect thereof or in relation thereto.
- 22.8 Where excavation has taken place evaluation trenches will be backfilled with excavated material but will otherwise not be reinstated unless other arrangements have previously been agreed.

  Open area excavations normally will not be backfilled but left in a secure manner unless otherwise agreed.

## Copyright and confidentiality

22.9 AOC Archaeology will retain full copyright of any commissioned reports, tender documents or other project documents under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 with all rights

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 20 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

- reserved; excepting that it will provide an exclusive licence to the Client in all matters directly relating to the project as described in the Written Scheme of Investigation.
- 22.10 AOC Archaeology will assign copyright to the client upon written request but retains the right to be identified as the author of all project documentation and reports as defined in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.
- 22.11 AOC Archaeology will advise the Client of any such materials supplied in the course of projects which are not AOC Archaeology's copyright.
- 22.12 AOC Archaeology undertake to respect all requirements for confidentiality about the Client's proposals provided that these are clearly stated. In addition AOC Archaeology further undertakes to keep confidential any conclusions about the likely implications of such proposals for the historic environment. It is expected that Clients respect AOC Archaeology's and the Institute of Field Archaeologists' general ethical obligations not to suppress significant archaeological data for an unreasonable period.

#### Standards

- 22.13 AOC Archaeology conforms to the standards of professional conduct outlined in the Institute of Field Archaeologists' Code of Conduct, the IFA Code of Approved Practice for the Regulation of Contractual Arrangements in Field Archaeology, the IFA Standards and Guidance for Desk Based Assessments, Field Evaluations etc., and the British Archaeologists and Developers Liaison Group Code of Practice.
- 22.14 Project Directors normally will be recognised in an appropriate Area of Competence by the Institute of Field Archaeologists.
- 22.15 Where practicable AOC Archaeology will liaise with local archaeological bodies (both professional and amateur) in order that information about particular sites is disseminated both ways (subject to client confidentiality).

## APPENDIX 23 Specialist staff

The following specialist staff may be used on this project depending on the type of artefacts and soil samples recovered during the course of the fieldwork.

## AOC Archaeology Staff:

Dr. Clare Ellis Soils and sediments analysis

Dr. Anne Crone Dendrochronology, charcoal and timber analysis

Dr. Ciara Clarke Pollen analysis
Mr. Rob Engl Lithics & coarse stone

Ms. Melissa Melikian Human bone
Ms Jackaline Robertson Macroplant specialist

Ms Lynne Fouracre Soil analysis

Mr Alan Duffy Charcoal identification
Ms Pieta Greaves Artefact conservation

## Sub-contractors

Mr. Bob Clark Industrial archaeology & coal-mining
Ms Marta McGlynn Historic designed landscapes
Dr. Ruby Ceron-Carasco Marine shell and fish bone
Dr. Ann MacSween Prehistoric pottery

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 21 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

Ms. Naomi Crowley Ms. Amanda Clydesdale Building material, medieval and post-medieval pottery Plaster, paint and wallpaper analysis

## APPENDIX 24 Post-excavation

## 24.1 Sample Flotation

Sample flotation is a water recovery technique designed to separate organic remains from the soil matrix. A Siraf style system of flotation and wet-sieving will be operated by the archaeological contractor. This system comprises an enclosed area of water into which the soil samples are deposited and agitated. Due to the difference in densities of organic and inorganic remains the light fractions will float, the heavy fractions will sink and the silt fraction will be washed away. The resulting floating material (flot) is collected in sieves of 0.3 mm and 1 mm, the non-floating residue (retent) is wet-sieved through a 1 mm mesh.

All flots and retents are air dried, bagged and labelled accordingly. Throughout this process all equipment is kept clean to prevent contamination of the samples. For each sample, a Sieving Assessment sheet is completed. This gives basic information about the sample, retent and flot. Prior to flotation and wet-sieving, the volume of each sample is measured by means of a graduated bucket

If in a sample a high concentration of clay can be observed and therefore separation of the different fractions of the soil is difficult, an aqueous solution of defloculant 'Calgon' is added and the sample is left to soak overnight, before processing by flotation and wet-sieving.

Sample flotation will be carried out on site and/or at the premises of the archaeological contractor.

#### 24.2 Sample Wet sieving

Sample wet sieving, also a water recovery technique, is carried out in laboratory conditions and is designed to recover waterlogged material. For the recovery of waterlogged botanical material, small soil samples (0.5 to 1.0 litre) are processed through a 0.3 mm sieve. The sediment is placed in a bucket with water and agitated before being washed through the 0.3 mm sieve. This process is repeated until the sample is totally disaggregated. The resulting material is stored in water or ethanol depending on the length of the storage period. Sample wet sieving can also be used to recover larger waterlogged material such as leather and wood in which case larger volumes of soil are processed.

## 24.3 Sample Dry sieving

Sample dry sieving is carried out to retrieve smaller artefacts that might be missed during normal excavation procedure, eg. small sherds of pottery and bone. Done in laboratory conditions, all samples are air dried in the first instance. Done in the field, the samples are processed with the sample in a field-moist state. In both cases the sample is passed through a 4 mm mesh and any items of interest are recovered and recorded.

## 24.4 Residue sorting

All residue (retent) sorting is carried out in laboratory conditions, and is designed to recover not only material that might be missed during normal excavation procedure (see dry sample sieving), but also material that would be impossible to recover during normal excavation procedure eg. charred and uncharred plant remains, insect remains and small fragments of charcoal.

The volume of the residue is recorded and then passed through a set of sieves (mesh sizes 8 mm, 4 mm, 2 mm and 1 mm). Each fraction is spread out onto a separate tray, is scanned with the

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 22 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

naked eye and all items of interest are recovered. Under normal circumstances all identifiable material from all fractions is recovered. The only exception to this is burnt wood (charcoal) which is only retrieved from the > 4 mm fractions. All material recovered is bagged individually by material type and the material types and weights recorded on the Retent Sorting Sheet. Also recorded on this sheet are the project number, context number, area, sample number, the sorters initials, date, sample volume, retent volume and percent of the retent sorted. Under normal circumstances 100 % of all fractions are sorted. In those instances where this is not the case, this will be recorded. Where no material is recovered from a retent, the Retent Sorting Sheet will be filled out as usual, with the word sterile written across it.

#### 24.5 Flot sorting

All flot sorting is carried out in laboratory conditions. The volume of each flot is measured. The flots are sorted by means of a low powered binocular microscope. The macro plant remains and other archaeological or ecological material are extracted from the flots and put into gelatine capsules or glass tubes. An estimate of the number of items recovered and the species represented are recorded. The charcoal larger than 4mm is extracted from the flots and weighed. All extracted items are bagged and labelled accordingly.

#### 24.6 Routine Soils Analysis

All the samples taken on-site will have a routine partner. Four standard routine soil tests will be carried out by the archaeological contractor. These are pH analysis, Loss on Ignition, Calcium Carbonate content and Easily available phosphate content.

The pH value is the measure of the acidity (H+) or alkalinity (OH+) of the sample. Dissolving a portion of the soil in distilled water, then measuring the sample using pH meter carries this out. This is to allow us to estimate the potential for preservation within the sediment.

Loss on Ignition is the measure organic content of the sample. This is measured by burning a small amount of the sediment in a furnace at 400°C for four hours. By measuring the weight before and after burning the organic content can be calculated. The organic content allows us to examine whether manuring or treatment of the natural soil has taken place.

Calcium Carbonate content can be measured by dissolving a few grains of the sample using Hydrochloric acid. If calcium carbonate is present then a small amount of Carbon Dioxide is given off, the greater the amount of  $CO_2$  released the greater the amount of  $CaCO_2$ . The Calcium Carbonate content shows us if there is any natural calcium carbonate within the sediment, or if not, any mortar or shell has been included artificially.

The amount of phosphate within a sample is examined at the same time as  $CaCO_2$ . After the  $CO_2$  has been released Ascorbic acid is applied, if Phosphate is present a colour change will occur. The phosphate content may show the presence of animals or to a lesser degree indicate where animals were kept.

## 24.7 Soil Micromorphological Analysis

Micromorphology is the study of undisturbed soils and loose sediments and other materials at a microscopic scale. A 25-30 micron thick slice of soil or sediment is mounted on glass and studied using a petrographic microscope. The samples are prepared for thin section analyses at the Department of Environmental Science, University of Stirling using the methods outlined by Murphy (1986). The samples are analysed using the descriptive terminology of Bullock *et al* (1985) and FitzPatrick (1993).

Bullock, P., Fedoroff, N., Jongerius, A., Stoops, G., Tursina, T. & Babel, U.1985 *Handbook for soil thin section description*. Wolverhampton: Waine research Publications.

FitzPatrick, E.A.1993. Soil microscopy and micromorphology. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 23 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

Murphy, C. P. 1986. *Thin section preparation of soils and sediments*. Berkhamsted: AB Academic Press.

#### 24.8 Charcoal ID

Only charcoal retrieved from the 4mm sieve (see Sieving and Sorting procedures) is used for species identification, mainly because fragments below that threshold are too small to identify. If there is no charcoal larger than 4mm present then attempts will be made to identify the largest fragments present for the purpose of C14 samples.

Surfaces are prepared for identification by using a surgical blade to prise off flakes of charcoal revealing fresh surfaces on which diagnostic features can be identified. The charcoal fragment is bedded in sand for examination under a reflected-light microscope.

On average, up to 10 fragments of charcoal are identified per bulk sample. If a single species is present then identification can stop at 5 fragments. However, if a great variety of species is present, ie more than four, then identification should continue until the analyst is happy that a representative sample has been examined. Unusual or exotic species should be bagged and labelled separately within the bulk sample.

Other variables, such as whether the fragment is young roundwood, with sub-bark surfaces intact, whether it has come from a large piece of wood and whether it is fast or slow grown, should be noted.

Species identification is undertaken with reference to Schweingruber's (1982)

#### 24.9 Wood ID

Waterlogged wood; Surfaces on waterlogged wood are prepared for identification by using a cutthroat razor or a double-sided razor blade to pare off thin-sections which are cell-thick and transparent so that diagnostic features can be identified. It is consequently difficult to identify fragments of waterlogged wood smaller than 10 mm<sup>2</sup>. The thin-sections are temporarily mounted in water on slides for examination under a transmitted-light microscope.

Sampling for identification is carried out on the same basis as that for charcoal. Species identification is undertaken with reference to Schweingruber's (1982) *Microscopic Wood Anatomy* and the in-house reference collection of the archaeological contractor.

## 24.10 Non-charcoal charred plant macrofossil analysis and Waterlogged plant analysis

Analysis of the charred plant macrofossils and waterlogged plants involves identification, quantification and interpretation. Identification of the macro plant remains is done using a low power binocular microscope with x10 and x40 magnifications. The modern reference collection of the archaeological contractor and various seed atlases (Beijerinck 1947, Berggren 1969 & 1981 and Anderberg 1994) will be used to ease identification. The botanical nomenclature follows Flora Europaea (Tutin *et al* 1964-1981). A standardised counting method is used for quantification. Habitat information for the plant species will be taken from Hanf (1983).

## 24.11 Dendrochronological analysis

Sample size and species type; Three conditions are necessary to ensure the successful dating of a building or archaeological site. The timber must be a species for which there are already dated chronologies which in the UK usually means oak. Cross-matching is a statistical process, and therefore a number of timbers are required, usually at least 8 per building or phase. Finally, and for the same reasons the ring-patterns must be over a certain length, usually 70 rings. With these conditions observed it can be relatively straightforward to obtain a date for a building.

On-site sampling; In situ timbers in a standing building are usually sampled using a corer, which is attached to a power-driven drill and removes a core leaving a hole in the timber 10 mm in diameter. The core must be taken so that the maximum radius from pith to bark is sampled, thus ensuring the maximum number of growth-rings for analysis. It is also important to select those timbers which

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 24 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

have retained as full a ring sequence as possible, ie those where the outermost rings have not been trimmed off or destroyed by woodworm.

Coring is an intrusive method of sampling and it is occasionally impossible to use this method, as in the case of painting ceilings and carved panels. If the end-grain is exposed the ring sequence can be measured *in situ* using a hand lens. Silicone rubber casts can also be taken.

If structural timbers have been removed during the renovation of a building then slices, approximately 50 mm thick can be sampled by saw, usually a chainsaw, from a point along the timber where the maximum radius survives.

Timbers only survive below ground in waterlogged conditions. Waterlogged timbers are sampled as above, by the removal of a 50 mm slice by sawing.

## Sample preparation;

Cores are mounted in angle moulding and then the surface is prepared by paring with a Stanley knife followed by fine sanding with Wet&Dry sandpaper until the ring-pattern is clear and measurable.

Slices (dry): The surface of the slice is sanded, usually with a power sander, using progressively finer sandpaper until the ring-pattern is clear and measurable. It is often necessary to finish off the surface with W&D sandpaper.

Slices (wet); The slice is usually frozen for 24 hours and then the surface is planed flat using a Surform plane. This often achieves the necessary clarity of ring-pattern but where the wood is particularly hard it will be necessary to use a razor blade to pare the surface to achieve a clear ring-pattern.

Silicone rubber casts; These are fixed to battens of wood using silicone rubber, for ease of measurement

Measurement and analysis; The samples are measured on a custom-made measuring table and the data logged onto the computer using DENDRO (Tyers 2000). Data graphing and statistical analysis are also carried out using the same package.

## APPENDIX 25 Conservation

## 25.1 Conservation principles

The principles, ethical codes and techniques of conservation are under constant review by both practitioners and professional bodies. The archaeological contractor's approach to conservation will reflect current theory and practice, as recommended by the United Kingdom Institute for Conservation, the Scottish Museums Council, Resources for Museums and Galleries, the International Council on Museums and the International Institute for Conservation.

## 25.2 Security

The archaeological contractor will take all reasonable precautions to ensure the security of items brought in for conservation. The building will be protected by intruder detector systems; all conservation items will be kept in a secure locked store when not being worked on, and will not be left unattended. Particularly valuable items will be stored in a safe where required. A heat and smoke detection system will also be in operation 24 hours a day.

## 25.3 Insurance

Artefacts for conservation will not covered by the contents insurance of the archaeological contractor. Insurance cover can be arranged for individual items and collections, but this is expensive. Clients are normally advised that the cheapest option is to extend their own insurance for these items for a fixed period. If required, the archaeological contractor could arrange additional insurance, and these costs would be passed on.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 25 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

The archaeological contractor will have full professional indemnity cover for all its staff.

#### 25.4 Health and safety

All relevant Health and Safety legislation, Regulations, Guidelines and Codes of Practice will be respected; Health and Safety plans will be compiled where Construction, Design and Management Regulations 1994 apply.

#### 25.5 Conservators and allied specialist services

*Professionalism*: The conservators of the archaeological contractor will be graduates of approved conservation courses, and will have a thorough knowledge of current conservation practices in their particular specialist fields. The conservators will have been actively encouraged to broaden their skills and experience, and to obtain professional accreditation through the United Kingdom Institute for Conservation or PACR.

## 25.6 Specialist post-excavation analyses

Other services which the archaeological contractor will be able to offer are:

wood identification and woodworking analysis tree ring dating pollen analysis building materials analysis metal artefacts metalworking and glass working debris materials analysis textile analysis insects fish and shells bird bones plant remains bone identification soils specialist/geologist artefact specialist

## 25.7 Documentation

fibre identification leather identification

Conservation complements the work of other professionals by preventing the deterioration of the artefact, and by ensuring that the wider community benefits from the additional information recovered about an artefact in the course of conservation work.

Conservation reports are normally supplied as a hard copy, but can also be supplied on disc in a variety of formats, according to the client's requirements. Reports are normally printed on paper with a guaranteed life expectancy of 150 years; photographic materials are processed to professional industry standards such as Q-Lab.

## 25.8 Archival considerations

The archaeological contractor will endeavour to ensure that the materials used to document artefacts undergoing treatment have a reasonable life span. Paper used will have an estimated lifetime of 150 years (HMSO specification), and all photographic films will be processed to industry standards by a processing company that specialises in high quality work for professional photographers. Radiography films and chemicals will be fresh and well within their expiry dates. All

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 26 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

labelling of boxes etc. will be carried out with archival quality inks; labels will generally be duplicated for safety's sake.

Wherever possible, the archaeological contractor will consider the archiving requirements for the site, and may consult the receiving museum or archive about their requirements; the archaeological contractor will follow guidelines proposed by the Association of Museum Archaeologists.

The archaeological contractor will abide by current guidelines on the care and disposal of artefacts and human remains, as set out in:

The Disposal and Allocation of Finds
Publication and Archiving of Archaeological Projects
Treatment of Human Remains in Archaeology
Archaeological Project Design, Implementation and Archiving

#### 25.9 Museum of London Guidelines

Museum of London requirements for conservation, recording, documentation, packing and archiving will be applied where these are a pre-condition.

#### 25.10 Assessment and estimating

The assessment determines the condition of the artefact and the best means to ensure its survival. Radiography (x-raying) of the object is normally carried out at an early stage, and is compulsory for iron objects, which have poor survival prospects, and for some copper alloy artefacts.

The estimate for the work normally applies for six months; it may be necessary to review it thereafter. Conservation rates are agreed by negotiation.

#### 25.11 Recording

Text and image records (paper, digital and/or film as appropriate) will be made of all artefacts before conservation commences. Any information recovered during cleaning and conservation (eg associated material, residues, corrosion products, manufacturing techniques) will be carefully recorded, with samples taken where necessary. Soil removed from an artefact during the process will normally be retained and returned with the object, unless the excavator and/or client decides that it is not required. Where necessary, experts will be consulted on the nature of any material discovered during cleaning or conservation of artefacts. All samples and slides will become part of the site archive and remain with the artefact.

The conservation report will also include recommendations for the care and curation of the assemblage; special finds with particular packing requirements will have clear handling and lifting instructions on the outside of any packaging.

#### 25.12 Conservation Record

The conservation assessment sets out the proposed treatments for each type of artefact or material: these treatments can be discussed with the client, and with the museum, to take into account any priorities and display requirements. (See Section 9, Assessment)

# 25.13 Radiography

The archaeological contractor will x-ray all excavated iron objects, as well as some of the copper alloy, and any other items as requested by the excavator: information from the x-rays are incorporated into the conservation report. All metal artefacts can be x-rayed if required; only film and chemicals within their expiry date are used, washing periods are the optimum to maximise film preservation.

X-rays normally become part of the archive, and are returned to the client, with full details of exposure time and voltages used.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 27 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

#### 25.14 Record photography

All artefacts selected for conservation will be photographed (on colour slide film) at least once; usually before and after conservation, with a label and scale in the frame. Unusual artefacts, noteworthy features or modified conservation treatments will be photographed whenever appropriate.

All images will be recorded in the conservation report, and each slide labelled with the context and find number. The archaeological contractor will use Professional grade film, and a professional developing service to ensure maximum film stability. The slides form part of the conservation archive, and will remain with the artefact.

#### 25.15 On-site conservation and conservation on call

A conservator can be available on site if required, and the conservators of the archaeological contractor can provide immediate advice over the phone at any time (specific arrangements must be made for out of hours working).

Advice on packing, lifting and transporting artefacts may be given in the early stages of a project.

#### 25.16 Conservation treatments

The requirements of each artefact will be considered individually, and any remedial treatments carried out will use only recognised conservation treatments and approved materials. The archaeological contractor will be committed to CPD, which ensures that its conservation staff are fully cognisant with new developments in the field.

#### 25.17 Post-excavation storage

It is recognised that budgetary arrangements may mean considerable time can elapse between excavation and conservation or Finds Disposal. All finds will be examined by a conservator on receipt; packing and storage materials will be renewed as necessary, and the archaeological contractor will ensure that all finds will be kept in a secure, stable environment until conservation treatments begin. Any finds that require immediate treatment will undergo conservation as soon as the conservators have consulted the Project Field Officer. Large volume storage at 1° C and -20° C; and storage for waterlogged material will be available in-house.

# 25.18 Packing

All artefacts will be packed in suitable inert materials, with silica gel if required. Fragile objects will be supported by Ethafoam, or similar, and lifting and handling instructions on the container. Especial care will be taken for artefacts, which will be going into long term storage. All containers will be carefully labelled, and box lists supplied.

# APPENDIX 26

### Archiving and finds disposal

#### 26.1 Finds disposal

All artefacts and ecofacts recovered during an excavation sponsored by Historic Scotland (HS) are reported directly to HS via their own collections registrar. If all material has been fully analysed at this point, it is in most cases, transferred to an HS store. HS's Finds Disposal Panel (FDP) with permission of the Queen and Lord Treasurers Remembrencer (Q&LTR) then allocates the material to the appropriate museum for long term storage and possible display.

Artefacts and ecofacts recovered from excavations sponsored by other funding bodies are reported to the Crown via the Treasure Trove Advisory Panel (TTAP). The TTAP with permission of the Q&LTR then allocates the material to the appropriate museum for long term storage and possible

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 28 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com

display. Once the material has been allocated, it is then the museum's responsibility to arrange collection from the archaeological contractor.

#### 26.2 Archiving

All archiving will be undertaken according to standards and guidelines set out by the National Monuments Record of Scotland (NMRS), located at the Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Scotland (RCAHMS). The archives of all archaeological works will be deposited to the NMRS.

# APPENDIX 27 Publications

#### 27.1 General

All publications by the archaeological contractor will be clear, correct and concise accounts of what was done and will reach standards acceptable to the archaeological profession. Final reports will be published within five years of the end of fieldwork. Publications should be published in popular archaeological, general and specialist formats to inform a wide readership of what work was done and must be made available to both lay and professional audiences for the foreseeable future. Publications must also provide good value for money in terms of the content and style of the publications. In DES entries and journal publications the role of the client will be fully acknowledged. In the popular publications and monographs suggested below the role of the client will be more fully promoted, with the display of the client's logo on the cover and a foreword by their representative. The over-riding aim of the procedures outlined in this section is to ensure that, during the duration of the project, a continuous stream of information about the archaeological works is made available for peer review and public consumption. The following stages and publication vehicles are envisaged;

#### 27.2 DES entries

After the completion of each piece of on-site work, whether it be a watching brief, evaluation, setpiece excavation or building recording exercise a Data Structure Report (DSR) will be produced (see Fieldwork procedures). These are not reports intended for publication but they usually include a short summary which will be submitted for publication in *Discovery and Excavation Scotland* (DES), an annual summary of fieldwork published by the Council for Scottish Archaeology. It is proposed that an individual entry for each piece of on-site work will not be submitted; rather a single entry summarising all the works carried out in any one year will be compiled by the Project Manager. The DES summary is a standard requirement of planning authority archaeologists and ensures that notice of ground-breaking works is disseminated throughout the archaeological community.

# 27.3 Journal publications

Reports on the results of excavations are normally published either as an article in an academic journal or as a monograph in an appropriate series, depending on the scale of the results. The results of the set-piece excavations will be published as journal articles with reference to other onsite works such as watching briefs and building recording, where appropriate. The publication of these articles will follow on timeously from the completion of post-excavation works.

### 27.4 Monograph publications

The results of all the on-site works will be drawn together in a single volume, a monograph designed primarily for academic consumption. This will be published within 5 years of the completion of on-site works.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 29 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com


#### 27.5 Popular publications

The results of all the on-site works will also be drawn together in 'popular' publications that augment the academic publications in making the results available to a wider public. This is a method of providing 'community gain' to the local and national community in return for its consent, through the planning process, to alter or demolish elements of the archaeological heritage. Popular publications may include, as deemed appropriate by the client, Internet reports within the web site of the archaeological contractor, printed colour booklets, leaflets, on-site interpretative panels and exhibitions.

#### 27.6 Editorial procedures

The archaeological contractor will apply their in-house editorial policy and procedures, through which any projects nominated for publication are normally submitted.

© AOC Archaeology 2011 | PAGE 30 OF 30 | www.aocarchaeology.com







on behalf of


AOC Archaeology Group Former Craigroyston High School Craigroyston Edinburgh


geophysical surveys report 2612


Figure 1: Site location
















# Geomagnetic data





Former Craigroyston High School Craigroyston Edinburgh

geophysical survey report 2612

Figure 7: Trace plots of geophysical data

# Resistance data

