

LEAD AND STRONTIUM ISOTOPE COMPOSITIONS OF HUMAN
DENTAL TISSUES AS AN INDICATOR OF ANCIENT EXPOSURE
AND POPULATION DYNAMICS

The application of isotope source-tracing methods
to identify migrants among British archaeological
burials and a consideration of ante-mortem uptake,
tissue stability and post-mortem diagenesis

Janet MONTGOMERY BSc

submitted for the degree
of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Archaeological Sciences

University of Bradford

2002

Janet MONTGOMERY

LEAD AND STRONTIUM ISOTOPE COMPOSITIONS OF HUMAN
DENTAL TISSUES AS AN INDICATOR OF ANCIENT
EXPOSURE AND POPULATION DYNAMICS.

Keywords: Lead, strontium, isotopes, archaeological enamel, migration, exposure, diagenesis, TIMS, ICP-MS

Abstract: This thesis employs lead and strontium isotope analysis of teeth by TIMS to identify migrants amongst British archaeological cemetery populations since the Neolithic. The study evaluates the benefits of combining two independent isotope systems with the exposure information obtained from elemental concentrations of lead and strontium. It demonstrates that they provide complementary information about mobility but highlights how their efficacy fluctuates both spatially and temporally in the periods investigated. Strontium was useful in all periods but heavily biased towards maritime $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (~ 0.7092) making it a poor discriminant between coastal habitats where lead was superior. Lead utility changes following the advent of large-scale mining and metallurgy, when anthropogenic ore lead severs the link between geographical origin and lead exposure. A cultural focussing of British enamel signatures ensues accompanied by a concomitant rise in lead burdens. British lead exposure during the last two millennia appears more indicative of status and the cultural sphere (e.g. rural/urban) than geographical origin. The results are assessed in the light of migration theory and traditional archaeological and osteological indicators.

Samples used are core enamel and co-genetic primary crown dentine, which neither model nor remodel *in vivo* and thus remain representative of a constrained period of childhood. Modern and archaeological teeth are investigated to assess isotope variability intra-enamel, intra-tissue, intra-antimere, intra-dentition, intra-sibling and between mother/child pairs. Recommendations for future tissue sampling and standardisation are made. The fundamentals of tooth biomineralisation are reviewed and clarified, chiefly that incremental enamel structures relate to initial *formation* not *mineralisation*; lead and strontium are principally incorporated during mineralisation. Macromorphological preservation proved no guide to biogenic strontium or lead isotope integrity. Mature, but not immature, enamel proved highly resistant to diagenesis whether well preserved or not. Dentine was highly susceptible to diagenesis irrespective of preservation state and is proposed as a proxy for the time averaged isotope signature of the soil. Moreover, it is argued that lead and strontium behave differently in teeth; uptake mechanisms are different and they respond independently to subsequent migration. Results suggest soil leaches were useful but complex and the most suitable leach reagent may be specific to the soil type and isotope system.

Two Norse Period immigrants (male and female) were identified at Cnip, Lewis; the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures constrain their origin to Tertiary volcanics. In the North Atlantic these occur on Iceland, Faeroe Isles, and Antrim in Ireland but not Norway. No indubitable immigrants were identified at the Anglian cemetery at West Heslerton, Yorkshire but soil leaches and juveniles suggested a local $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signature range. "Non-locals" included both sexes, weapon burials and unaccompanied burials, providing no evidence for an immigrant group composed solely of male warriors. All analysed burials with wristclasps and cruciform brooches were non-local, supporting Hines' (1984) hypothesis that wristclasps confirm the presence of Norwegian immigrants during this period.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|------------|
| LIST OF FIGURES | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | x |
| PUBLICATIONS | xii |
| | |
| CHAPTER ONE IDENTIFYING MIGRATION: THEORY AND METHOD | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction and aims | 1 |
| 1.2 Migration or just simple mobility? | 3 |
| 1.3 Indirect methods of identifying migrations | 4 |
| 1.3.1 Historical evidence | 4 |
| 1.3.2 Artefact, settlement and cemetery evidence | 5 |
| 1.4 Direct methods of identifying migrants | 8 |
| 1.4.1 Non-metric traits | 9 |
| 1.4.2 Metric traits | 12 |
| 1.4.2.1 Craniometry | 12 |
| 1.4.2.2 Stature and robusticity | 14 |
| 1.5 How useful are traditional methods? | 15 |
| 1.6 Identifying migrants using skeletal isotope signatures: the hypothesis | 16 |
| 1.7 Structure of the thesis | 19 |
| | |
| CHAPTER TWO STRONTIUM AND LEAD IN THE BIOGEOSPHERE | 22 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 22 |
| 2.2 Strontium and lead in the geosphere | 22 |
| 2.2.1 Strontium geochemistry | 22 |
| 2.2.2 Lead geochemistry | 27 |
| 2.3 Strontium and lead in the biosphere | 33 |
| 2.3.1 Strontium uptake in plants | 35 |
| 2.3.2 Lead uptake in plants | 36 |
| 2.3.3 Strontium metabolism and uptake in mammals | 36 |
| 2.3.4 Lead metabolism and uptake in mammals | 39 |
| 2.4 Biokinetics of strontium and lead in skeletal tissue | 41 |
| 2.5 Conclusions | 44 |
| | |
| CHAPTER THREE HUMAN TEETH AS ANALYTICAL SAMPLES | 46 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 46 |
| 3.2 Dental notation system | 48 |
| 3.3 Formation and eruption of dentitions | 49 |
| 3.3.1 The deciduous dentition | 50 |
| 3.3.2 The permanent dentition | 51 |
| 3.4 Formation and maturation of enamel and dentine | 53 |
| 3.4.1 Formation versus maturation | 54 |
| 3.4.2 Mature enamel and dentine - description and composition | 56 |
| 3.4.3 Formation of enamel and dentine | 60 |
| 3.4.3.1 Dentine formation | 61 |
| 3.4.3.2 Enamel biomineralisation | 62 |
| 3.4.4 Structure of mature enamel | 66 |
| 3.4.5 Timing of enamel maturation | 68 |
| 3.5 Lead and strontium incorporation in enamel and dentine <i>in vivo</i> | 70 |
| 3.5.1 Distribution of Sr in modern human teeth | 71 |
| 3.5.2 Distribution of Pb in modern human teeth | 72 |
| 3.5.3 Implications for Sr and Pb tooth analysis | 74 |
| 3.6 Post-mortem behaviour of enamel and dentine | 77 |

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| 3.6.1 | Diagenetic changes in skeletal tissue | 77 |
| 3.6.2 | Methods developed to identify diagenetic change | 80 |
| 3.6.3 | Methods developed to address diagenetic change | 82 |
| 3.6.3.1 | Pre-treatment procedures | 83 |
| 3.6.3.2 | Soil analysis | 87 |
| 3.7 | Conclusions | 89 |
| CHAPTER FOUR STRONTIUM AND LEAD ISOTOPE SOURCE-TRACING STUDIES OF SKELETAL REMAINS | | 92 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 92 |
| 4.2 | Methods of analysis | 93 |
| 4.3 | Combined Pb and Sr isotope studies | 94 |
| 4.4 | Pb isotope studies | 96 |
| 4.5 | Sr isotope studies | 105 |
| 4.6 | Conclusions | 115 |
| CHAPTER FIVE SAMPLES AND METHODS | | 118 |
| 5.1 | Tooth samples used in this study | 118 |
| 5.1.1 | Recording and assessment methods | 118 |
| 5.1.1.1 | Sample recording | 118 |
| 5.1.1.2 | Preservation | 119 |
| 5.1.1.3 | Age and sex estimation | 123 |
| 5.1.2 | Sample selection criteria | 127 |
| 5.1.3 | Sample pre-treatment | 129 |
| 5.1.4 | Samples analysed | 129 |
| 5.2 | Soil samples used in this study | 129 |
| 5.3 | Analytical method: ID-TIMS | 130 |
| 5.3.1 | Tooth sample preparation | 131 |
| 5.3.1.1 | Dental tools | 131 |
| 5.3.1.2 | Tooth dissection | 132 |
| 5.3.1.3 | ID-TIMS laboratory preparation | 135 |
| 5.3.2 | Soil sample preparation | 135 |
| 5.3.3 | Instrumental analysis by TIMS | 136 |
| 5.3.3.1 | Sr mass spectrometry | 136 |
| 5.3.3.2 | Pb mass spectrometry | 138 |
| 5.3.4 | Data handling | 139 |
| CHAPTER SIX PILOT STUDIES: RESULTS AND METHODOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT | | 143 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 143 |
| 6.1.1 | The aims of the pilot studies | 143 |
| 6.2 | Modern teeth | 144 |
| 6.2.1 | Results from modern teeth | 145 |
| 6.2.1.1 | Sr results | 146 |
| 6.2.1.2 | Pb results | 146 |
| 6.2.1.3 | Sr and Pb combined | 147 |
| 6.2.2 | Discussion of results | 147 |
| 6.2.2.1 | Subjects CM and AM | 147 |
| 6.2.2.2 | Subject MN | 149 |
| 6.2.2.3 | Subject BAB | 150 |
| 6.2.2.4 | Combined observations | 152 |
| 6.3 | Archaeological pilot study I: Site I Late mediaeval burials from Blackfriars, Gloucester | 154 |
| 6.3.1 | Introduction | 154 |
| 6.3.2 | Site geology | 157 |

| | | |
|---------|---|-----|
| 6.3.3 | Samples | 157 |
| 6.3.4 | Results for Blackfriars, Gloucester | 158 |
| 6.3.4.1 | Sr results | 158 |
| 6.3.4.2 | Pb results | 158 |
| 6.3.4.3 | Pb and Sr combined | 159 |
| 6.3.5 | Archaeological outcomes of the Blackfriars case study | 160 |
| 6.4 | Archaeological pilot study II: Sites 4, 5 and 6 Late Roman burials from Mangotsfield, Winchester and Spitalfields | 161 |
| 6.4.1 | Introduction | 161 |
| 6.4.1.1 | Mangotsfield, Bristol | 161 |
| 6.4.1.2 | Eagle Hotel, Winchester | 162 |
| 6.4.1.3 | Spitalfields, London | 162 |
| 6.4.2 | Site geology | 163 |
| 6.4.2.1 | Mangotsfield, Bristol | 163 |
| 6.4.2.2 | Eagle Hotel, Winchester | 164 |
| 6.4.2.3 | Spitalfields, London | 164 |
| 6.4.3 | Samples | 164 |
| 6.4.3.1 | Mangotsfield, Bristol | 164 |
| 6.4.3.2 | Eagle Hotel, Winchester | 164 |
| 6.4.3.3 | Spitalfields, London | 165 |
| 6.4.4 | Results for Late-Roman burials | 166 |
| 6.4.4.1 | Sr results | 166 |
| 6.4.4.2 | Pb results | 167 |
| 6.4.4.3 | Sr and Pb combined | 168 |
| 6.4.5 | Outcomes of the Late-Roman studies | 168 |
| 6.4.5.1 | Mangotsfield, Bristol | 168 |
| 6.4.5.2 | Eagle Hotel, Winchester | 169 |
| 6.4.5.3 | Spitalfields, London | 169 |
| 6.5 | Archaeological pilot study III: Site 8 Neolithic burials from Monkton-up-Wimbourne | 171 |
| 6.5.1 | Introduction | 171 |
| 6.5.2 | Site geology | 172 |
| 6.5.3 | Samples | 174 |
| 6.5.4 | Results from Monkton-up-Wimbourne | 174 |
| 6.5.4.1 | Sr results | 174 |
| 6.5.4.2 | Pb results | 175 |
| 6.5.4.3 | Sr and Pb combined | 175 |
| 6.5.5 | Outcomes of the Monkton study | 176 |
| 6.6 | Discussion and methodological developments | 177 |
| 6.6.1 | Diagenesis | 177 |
| 6.6.1.1 | Inter-tissue comparisons | 177 |
| 6.6.1.2 | The Pb coffin burials | 180 |
| 6.6.1.3 | Soil leaches | 182 |
| 6.6.2 | Implications for archaeological studies of migration and exposure | 183 |
| 6.6.2.1 | Sr | 183 |
| 6.6.2.2 | Pb | 184 |
| 6.6.2.3 | Sr and Pb | 185 |
| 6.6.2.4 | Inter- and intra-tooth variation | 185 |
| 6.7 | Conclusions | 186 |
| 6.7.1 | Conclusions drawn from modern teeth | 186 |
| 6.7.2 | Conclusions drawn from archaeological teeth | 187 |

| | | |
|----------------------|---|------------|
| CHAPTER SEVEN | IDENTIFYING ANCIENT MIGRATION CASE STUDIES I: ANGLIAN BURIALS AT WEST HESLERTON | 213 |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 213 |
| | 7.1.1 Aims of the study | 213 |
| | 7.1.2 The paradox of the adventus Saxonum | 214 |
| 7.2 | Archaeological background, context and samples | 217 |
| | 7.2.1 Site geology | 220 |
| | 7.2.2 Settlement and subsistence evidence | 221 |
| | 7.2.3 The skeletal remains | 223 |
| | 7.2.4 Burial practice | 227 |
| | 7.2.5 Grave goods | 230 |
| | 7.2.6 Samples | 234 |
| 7.3 | Results | 237 |
| | 7.3.1 Sr results | 237 |
| | 7.3.2 Pb results | 238 |
| | 7.3.3 Pb and Sr results combined | 238 |
| 7.4 | Discussion of results and data analysis | 239 |
| | 7.4.1 Diagenesis | 239 |
| | 7.4.2 Pb and Sr data | 243 |
| | 7.4.3 Pb data analysis | 246 |
| | 7.4.4 Sr data analysis | 249 |
| 7.5 | Discussion of archaeological indicators of migration | 255 |
| | 7.5.1 Cemetery development and grave location | 255 |
| | 7.5.2 Skeletal | 256 |
| | 7.5.3 Burial practice | 258 |
| | 7.5.4 Grave goods | 259 |
| | 7.5.5 Provenancing – possible origins | 261 |
| 7.6 | Conclusions | 263 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER EIGHT | IDENTIFYING ANCIENT MIGRATION CASE STUDIES II: IRON AGE AND NORSE BURIALS ON THE ISLE OF LEWIS | 272 |
| 8.1 | Introduction | 272 |
| | 8.1.1 Aims of the study | 273 |
| | 8.1.2 Cnip and Galson in the wider archaeological context | 276 |
| 8.2 | Archaeological background, context and samples | 280 |
| | 8.2.1 Iron Age long cist cemetery at Galson | 280 |
| | 8.2.2 Norse cemetery at Cnip, Uig | 280 |
| | 8.2.3 The geology of Lewis | 281 |
| | 8.2.4 Settlement and subsistence evidence | 285 |
| | 8.2.5 The skeletal remains | 289 |
| | 8.2.5.1 Stature | 289 |
| | 8.2.5.2 Pathology | 290 |
| | 8.2.5.3 Familial traits and grouping | 291 |
| | 8.2.6 Burial practices | 294 |
| | 8.2.6.1 The Iron Age cemetery at Galson | 294 |
| | 8.2.6.2 The Norse cemetery at Cnip | 299 |
| | 8.2.7 Grave goods | 300 |
| | 8.2.8 Samples | 303 |
| 8.3 | Results | 304 |
| | 8.3.1 Sr results | 304 |
| | 8.3.2 Pb results | 305 |
| | 8.3.3 Pb and Sr combined | 306 |
| | 8.3.4 Diagenesis | 307 |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------|
| 8.4 | Discussion of results | 308 |
| 8.4.1 | Identifying the local signature | 310 |
| 8.4.2 | Galson 93 | 311 |
| 8.4.3 | Cnip D and E | 313 |
| 8.4.4 | Cnip A | 316 |
| 8.5 | Conclusions | 317 |
| CHAPTER NINE CONCLUSIONS | | 327 |
| 9.1 | Introduction | 327 |
| 9.2 | Teeth | 328 |
| 9.3 | Diagenesis | 330 |
| 9.4 | Sample selection and preparation | 333 |
| 9.5 | Pb versus Sr | 334 |
| 9.6 | Utility of archaeological indicators | 338 |
| 9.7 | Soil analysis | 339 |
| 9.8 | Suggestions for future work | 340 |
| REFERENCES | | 347 |
| PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS | | 382 |
| APPENDICES | | |
| | <i>APPENDIX I Data tables</i> | <i>A I</i> |
| | <i>APPENDIX II Site and sample tables</i> | <i>A II</i> |
| | <i>APPENDIX III Laboratory procedure flow chart</i> | <i>A III</i> |
| | <i>APPENDIX IV Glossary of terms</i> | <i>A IV</i> |

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER ONE

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1.1 | Simplified geology map of Northern Europe and the North Atlantic region | 2 |
| 1.2 | Illustration of cranial morphology | 10 |
| 1.3 | The movement of Pb and Sr through the biogeosphere | 17 |
| 1.4 | Simplified geology map of Great Britain and Ireland showing sample and site locations | 20 |

CHAPTER TWO

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.1 | Plots of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ versus $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ showing the relative fields for Pb ores from Scotland, Wales, England and Ireland | 32 |
|-----|---|----|

CHAPTER THREE

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 3.1 | Longitudinal tooth section with anatomical terms used in this study | 47 |
| 3.2 | Schematic diagram of enamel biomineralisation | 63 |
| 3.3 | Schematic diagram of initial enamel mineral spacing and growth, on existing dentine surface | 65 |
| 3.4 | Micrograph of fractured human deciduous molar enamel | 67 |

CHAPTER FIVE

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 5.1 | Time resolved analysis ^{208}Pb profiles across the enamel of two halves of a longitudinally sectioned tooth. | 133 |
|-----|--|-----|

CHAPTER SIX

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 6.1 | Plan of the excavated 20m trench at Blackfriars, Gloucester | 156 |
| 6.2 | Section and plan of the Neolithic site at Monkton-up-Wimbourne | 173 |
| 6.3 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio versus Sr concentration for modern subjects | 189 |
| 6.4 | Plots of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios for modern subjects | 190 |
| 6.5 | Plot of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ versus Pb concentration for modern subjects | 191 |
| 6.6 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio versus $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratio for modern subjects | 192 |
| 6.7 | Plot of Sr versus Pb concentrations in enamel and dentine of modern subjects | 193 |
| 6.8 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio v Sr concentration for Blackfriars samples | 194 |
| 6.9 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio v Sr concentration for Late-Roman samples | 195 |
| 6.10 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio v Sr concentration for Monkton samples | 196 |
| 6.11 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio v Sr concentration for all archaeological pilot studies | 197 |
| 6.12 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of intra-tooth tissues for Blackfriars samples | 198 |
| 6.13 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of intra-tooth tissues for Late-Roman samples | 199 |
| 6.14 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of intra-tooth tissues for Monkton samples | 200 |
| 6.15 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of intra-tooth tissues for all archaeological pilot studies | 201 |
| 6.16 | Plots of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios for Blackfriars samples | 202 |
| 6.17 | Plots of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios for Late-Roman samples | 203 |
| 6.18 | Plots of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios for Monkton samples | 204 |
| 6.19 | Plots of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios for all archaeological pilot studies | 205 |
| 6.20 | Plot of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratios of intra-tooth tissues for Blackfriars samples | 206 |
| 6.21 | Plot of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratios of intra-tooth tissues for Late-Roman samples | 207 |
| 6.22 | Plot of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratios of intra-tooth tissues for Monkton samples | 208 |
| 6.23 | Plot of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratios of intra-tooth tissues for all archaeological pilot studies | 209 |
| 6.24 | Plot of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ v Pb concentration for all archaeological pilot studies | 210 |
| 6.25 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio v $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratio for all archaeological pilot studies | 211 |
| 6.26 | Plot of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ v $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratios for all archaeological pilot studies | 212 |

CHAPTER SEVEN

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 7.1 | Map showing the distribution of Anglian cemeteries in northern and eastern Yorkshire | 214 |
| 7.2 | Plan of the West Heselton settlement and cemetery sites | 219 |
| 7.3 | Plan of the West Heselton Anglian and Neolithic / EBA burials in areas 2B and 2BA showing the location of individuals analysed in this study | 220 |
| 7.4 | Plan of the Anglian weapon burials in part of area 2B and 2BA | 231 |
| 7.5 | Histogram illustrating the relationship between the type of burial soil and enamel preservation at West Heselton | 240 |
| 7.6 | Preliminary statistical analysis of the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios for West Heselton enamel samples | 248 |
| 7.7 | Box and whisker plots of the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio data for West Heselton enamel samples | 251 |
| 7.8 | Plan showing the locations of “local” and “non-local” burials at West Heselton | 256 |
| 7.9 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio v Sr concentration for West Heselton enamel samples | 267 |
| 7.10 | Plots of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios for West Heselton enamel samples | 268 |
| 7.11 | Plot and histogram of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ v Pb concentration for West Heselton enamel samples | 269 |
| 7.12 | Plots of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ v $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratios for West Heselton enamel samples | 270 |
| 7.13 | Pb mixing diagram for West Heselton enamel samples | 271 |

CHAPTER EIGHT

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 8.1 | Map of Lewis & Harris showing simplified geology and machair locations | 273 |
| 8.2 | Map of the early historic Kingdoms of Scotland | 275 |
| 8.3 | Map of northern Europe showing sailing routes during the Viking period | 277 |
| 8.4 | Map of the British Isles showing the areas settled by Scandinavians | 278 |
| 8.5 | Site location map of the Iron Age cemetery at Galson | 279 |
| 8.6 | Map showing the location of Viking burials A-G on the Cnip headland | 282 |
| 8.7 | Plan of Bronze Age cist burial at Cnip | 283 |
| 8.8 | Combined plan of all reported burials at Galson | 295 |
| 8.9 | Schematic section through the Galson cemetery | 296 |
| 8.10 | Schematic section showing the stratigraphic location of a stone cist burial in the Galson raised beach | 297 |
| 8.11 | Plan of Norse kerbed burials at Cnip (C-E) | 301 |
| 8.12 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio v Sr concentration for Galson and Cnip samples | 320 |
| 8.13 | Plot of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of intra-tooth tissues for Galson and Cnip samples | 321 |
| 8.14 | Plots of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ v $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios for Galson and Cnip enamel and soil samples | 322 |
| 8.15 | Plots of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ v $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios for Galson and Cnip enamel samples | 323 |
| 8.16 | $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratio v Pb concentration for Galson and Cnip enamel samples | 324 |
| 8.17 | $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ v $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ for Galson and Cnip enamel samples | 325 |
| 8.18 | Plot showing grouping of Galson Iron Age samples by $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ v $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ and Sr and Pb concentrations | 326 |

CHAPTER NINE

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 9.1 | $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio v $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ plot of combined case study data showing differential variability of enamel-Sr and Pb | 344 |
| 9.2 | $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ v $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ plot of combined case study data showing cultural focussing of the enamel-Pb isotope ratios with increasing concentration | 345 |
| 9.3 | $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ v $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ plot of combined case study data showing cultural focussing of the enamel-Pb isotope ratios through time | 346 |

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER TWO

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Table 2.1 | Estimated range of Pb isotope ratios for anthropogenic English Pb | 31 |
|-----------|---|----|

CHAPTER THREE

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Table 3.1 | Dental shorthand system used in the study | 48 |
| Table 3.2 | Deciduous tooth formation timing | 50 |
| Table 3.3 | Permanent tooth formation timing | 52 |
| Table 3.4 | Composition of permanent enamel and dentine | 59 |

CHAPTER FIVE

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 5.1 | Enamel preservation classification | 120 |
| Table 5.2 | Dentine preservation classification | 121 |
| Table 5.3 | Attrition classification | 122 |
| Table 5.4 | Stages of root formation and resorption | 122 |

CHAPTER SEVEN

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table 7.1 | Notable burials which could not be analysed | 235 |
| Table 7.2 | Statistical analysis of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ enamel ratios | 247 |
| Table 7.3 | Anglian burials separated into Local and Non-local groups using $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios | 254 |
| Table 7.4 | Distribution of dated burials between local and non-local groups | 255 |
| Table 7.5 | Distribution of grave goods in jewellery burials | 260 |

CHAPTER EIGHT

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 8.1 | List of known burials from Galson Iron Age cemetery | 290 |
| Table 8.2 | Summary of selected developmental and environmental traits observed amongst Lewisian adults | 292 |
| Table 8.3 | Grave and burial attributes of Lewisian individuals | 298 |

APPENDIX I

AI

| | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| <i>A1</i> | <i>Results table for archaeological human tooth samples</i> | |
| <i>A2</i> | <i>Results table for archaeological animal tooth samples</i> | |
| <i>A3</i> | <i>Results table for modern human tooth samples</i> | |
| <i>A4</i> | <i>Results table for soil and rock leaches</i> | |

APPENDIX II

AII

| | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| <i>A5</i> | <i>List of archaeological sites investigated</i> | |
| <i>A6</i> | <i>List of archaeological human tooth samples</i> | |
| <i>A7</i> | <i>List of archaeological animal tooth samples</i> | |
| <i>A8</i> | <i>List of modern human tooth samples</i> | |
| <i>A9</i> | <i>List of soil and rock samples</i> | |

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My interest in science was nurtured from an early age by my father, Harold Gordon Keighley, a mountaineer, potholer, pilot and practical scientist who filled our home with books, chemistry sets and microscopes and our holidays with museums, caves and mountains. What drew me to archaeology was the opportunity to study many subjects with time depth and there are surely very few modern disciplines where this remains possible. However, my concern has been that whilst never aspiring to become a polymath in the great tradition of da Vinci, Dürer, Darwin, and Dodgson, I was merely incapable of making up my mind and have inadvertently become a dabbler instead. Throughout, my worry was that I had missed something so fundamental it is left unsaid in the literature of an unfamiliar subject. If I have, it is certainly not the responsibility of the many colleagues from all those disciplines who gave me the benefit of their learning and guidance.

Firstly I thank the Department of Archaeological Sciences, University of Bradford for awarding me the NERC studentship (GT04/97/19SBA) and to NERC itself for giving me the opportunity to carry out doctoral research. I acknowledge the contribution of my supervisors: Dr. Paul Budd, particularly for initial encouragement, obtaining the NIGL measurement grants that enabled me to carry out the analysis of my chosen samples and for advice on aspects of archaeometallurgy and lead isotopes. Dr. Charlotte Roberts, University of Durham, inspired my initial undergraduate interest in human remains and gave me access to the Blackfriars teeth. From the beginning, her astute supervision ensured I never lost sight of the intended “end product” of a trained, independent researcher. Latterly, I thank Charlotte and Dr. Carl Heron for reading my drafts and offering invaluable advice and positive encouragement that greatly improved the final version. Also, I thank Dr. Christopher Knüsel for stepping in whilst Charlotte undertook a Nuffield Foundation research sabbatical and for imparting his extensive knowledge on the finer points of tooth anatomy and the biomechanics of skeletal tissue. He and Dr. Randy Donahue served as my PhD advisory committee at Bradford.

I am indebted to geochemists Drs. Barbara Barreiro and Jane Evans at the NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory for their painstaking guidance and endless patience during my laboratory training in the intricacies of strontium and lead isotope analysis and the preparation of my samples. They performed all the TIMS analyses in this thesis. To Jane Evans and geologist Dr. Rona McGill, NIGL, I also owe an immeasurable debt of gratitude for their great good sense and clarity of vision and for commenting on various chapters. Many other scientists at NIGL made me welcome and treated me with considerably more respect than I am sure my inexperience deserved. In particular, Carolyn Chenery, Steve Noble, and Pamela Kempton all gave their time to answer questions and demonstrate laboratory procedure. Dr. Richard Thomas, University of Western Sydney, Nepean, Australia, originally suggested using lead as a complementary system to strontium for this project and he and Jo Jaric were enthusiastic collaborators on many aspects of the work.

Dr. Randolph Haggerty, my undergraduate tutor, gave me my first strontium isotope off-prints (Price et al. 1994; Sealy et al. 1995) that ignited my interest in human migration studies. Thanks are due to Dr. Dave Lucy who taught me to thin-section and dissect teeth and Dr. David Whittaker and Lionel Rawle, Dental School, University of Wales College Medical School, Cardiff, for practical help and insights into oral biology. I am grateful for assistance given by Dr. Cathy Batt, Dr. Julie Bond, Anthea Boylston, Simon Blockley, Jean Brown, Dr. Mary Lewis, Jason Maher and Professor Mark Pollard, University of Bradford and Dr. Andrew Millard and Mark Trickett, University of Durham. In particular, I thank my “partners in crime” Dr. Nigel Melton and Dr. Ruth Young who submitted before me (as I knew they would) and gave me continual personal and professional support. Nigel also read drafts, making many suggestions that greatly improved the archaeology in Chapters Six, Seven and Eight.

Many archaeologists, curators and osteologists gave me the opportunity and permission to carry out destructive analysis on teeth from burial sites under their control. Notably, I thank Tim Neighbour, CFA Archaeology, Edinburgh who directed excavations at Galson and together with Andrew Dunwell and Alastair Rees carried out the last excavations on the Norse cemetery at Cnip. Tim broached and organised

these Lewis case studies and provided me with pre-prints, references and site reports. Chapter Eight was greatly improved by his positive criticisms and helpful pointers. I would also like to acknowledge the help and permission to analyse the teeth from Lewis given by Dr. Alison Sheridan Assistant Keeper of Archaeology, National Museums of Scotland and Richard Langhorne, Museum nan Eilean, Stornoway. Mary McLeod, the Western Isles Archaeologist, Museum nan Eilean, and Mike Church identified and took the soil samples I requested from Galson and Cnip.

Christine Flaherty, University of Columbia, New York and UMIST, kindly gave me access to her aDNA results at West Heslerton and Monkton-up-Wimbourne and originally suggested the West Heslerton study to me to complement her doctoral research using aDNA at the site. Through her, Dominic Powlesland and Christine Houghton from the Landscape Research Centre, Yedingham kindly gave permission for my work to go ahead and provided encouragement, information and a much appreciated pre-print of the West Heslerton catalogue and synthesis. I am also grateful to Dominic for arranging for me to obtain soil samples from the estate and to Prof. Margaret Cox, School of Conservation Sciences, University of Bournemouth for discussions about the skeletal remains from this site. Archaeologist Martin Green allowed me to take a wide range of samples from his unique Neolithic excavation at Monkton-up-Wimbourne. Phil Grestorex at the Gloucester Archaeological Unit supplied me with unpublished reports and site plans as well as a set of wonderful slides of the Blackfriars excavation in Gloucester. Paul McCulloch, Winchester Museums Service, Historic Resources Centre gave permission for tooth samples to be taken from the Eagle Hotel site in Winchester and Andy Young, Avon Archaeological Unit those from the Roman limestone coffin at Mangotsfield, Bristol. Chris Thomas of MoLAS, then site supervisor (now Project Manager for the Spitalfields Market site), gave permission to sample the female buried in the Spitalfields Roman lead coffin as well as a sample of the coffin Pb and arrangements were kindly made by Bill White, the osteologist at MoLAS. Also thanks are due to Jackie McKinley, Wessex Archaeology and Gerry Barber, Rheumatology Unit, Bristol Hospital for providing details from their skeletal reports on Monkton-up-Wimbourne and Mangotsfield respectively.

I thank John Le-Pelley, Cosmudent Dental Laboratory Ltd., Halifax, who enthusiastically crafted a false tooth for a 5000-year-old lady, without charge, and subsequently produced several marvellous replicas, to replace the ones I destroyed, that nobody could distinguish from the real thing. Dr. Petra Krause, CETAC and Alan Cox, CAS, University of Sheffield gave me the benefit of their expertise in LA-ICP-MS and analysed many samples for me. Julian Richards, BBC, opened doors that may otherwise have remained shut and kindly gave permission for me to use his photographs in publications and presentations. Jane Brayne generously provided slides of her reconstruction illustrations and gave me permission to use them in a similar manner.

And finally, I am indebted to Professor Tony Fell, whose assistance went far beyond his official role as Deputy Director of the Graduate School. Also, to my mother, Jean Keighley, for years of baby-sitting and to my daughters, Constance and Abigail for providing me with samples, understanding and for not complaining - much. Many of their schoolfriends, in particular Melissa Nash, also willingly sacrificed their teeth for my project, as did Barbara Barreiro. Moreover, I am endlessly grateful to my youngest daughter, Sophia for being the best of babies and giving us, in truth, not a single disturbed night since she was born in July 2001. And finally to my husband, Peter, whose practical help in producing the final text and all the illustrations was invaluable, but more importantly for his unceasing care and support and an unwavering belief that I could do this. When I finished my undergraduate dissertation, I wrote "*Tomorrow we go fishing*". Well girls, hang the fishing, I know a few good caves I haven't visited in years.....

Janet Montgomery March 12th 2002

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

ACADEMIC PUBLICATIONS

- Budd, P., J. Montgomery, A. Cox, P. Krause, B. Barreiro, & R.G. Thomas. 1998. The distribution of lead within ancient and modern human teeth: implications for long-term and historical exposure monitoring. *The Science of the Total Environment* **220**: 121-136.
- Budd, P., B.L. Gulson, J. Montgomery, P. Rainbird, R.G. Thomas, & S.M.M. Young. 1999. The use of Pb- and Sr-isotopes for the study of Pacific Islander population dynamics, in J.-C. Galipaud and I. Lilley (ed.) *The Pacific from 5000 to 2000BP: colonisation and transformations*, pp. 301-311. Paris: Institut de Recherche pour le Développement.
- Montgomery, J., P. Budd, A. Cox, P. Krause, & R.G. Thomas. 1999. LA-ICP-MS evidence for the distribution of lead and strontium in Romano-British, medieval and modern human teeth: implications for life history and exposure reconstruction, in S.M.M. Young, A.M. Pollard, P. Budd, and R.A. Ixer (ed.) *Metals in Antiquity, BAR International Series 792*, pp. 258-261. Oxford: Archaeopress.
- Montgomery, J., P. Budd, & J. Evans. 2000. Reconstructing the lifetime movements of ancient people: a Neolithic case study from southern England. *European Journal of Archaeology* **3**: 407-422.
- Budd, P., J. Montgomery, B. Barreiro, and R. G. Thomas. 2000. Differential diagenesis of strontium in archaeological human tissues. *Applied Geochemistry* **15**:687-694.
- Budd, P., J. Montgomery, J.E. Evans, & B. Barreiro. 2000. Human tooth enamel as a record of the comparative lead exposure of prehistoric and modern people. *The Science of the Total Environment* **263**: 1-10.
- Budd, P., J. Montgomery, J. Evans, & C. Chenery. 2001. Combined Pb-, Sr- and O-isotope analysis of human dental tissue for the reconstruction of archaeological residential mobility, in J. G. Holland and S. D. Tanner (ed.) *Plasma source mass spectrometry: the New Millennium*. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry, 311-326.
- Budd, P., C. Chenery, J. Montgomery, & J. Evans. In press. You are where you ate. Isotopic analysis in the reconstruction of prehistoric residency, in M. Parker Pearson (ed.) *Food and Identity*. Oxford: Archaeopress.
- Trickett, M.A., P. Budd, J. Montgomery, & J. Evans. In press. An assessment of solubility profiling as a decontamination procedure for the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ analysis of archaeological human skeletal tissue. *Applied Geochemistry*.
- Budd, P., C. Chenery, K. Morphet, & J. Montgomery. In review. Laser fluorination oxygen isotope analysis of human dental enamel as an indicator of infant weaning. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*.

CONFERENCE PAPERS AND ABSTRACTS (presenter in bold type)

- Barreiro, B.**, P. Budd, C. Chenery, and J. Montgomery. 1997. Combined Pb-, Sr- and O-isotope Compositions of Human Dental Tissues in Life History Reconstruction. Paper presented at the *Applied Isotope Geochemistry Conference, Lake Louise, Canada, September 1997*.
- Budd, P.**, J. Christensen, R. Haggerty, A. N. Halliday, J. Montgomery, and S. M. M. Young. 1997. The measurement of biogenic lead within archaeological mammalian dental enamel for life history reconstruction and pollution exposure monitoring. Paper and abstract. *213th ACS National Meeting, San Francisco April 13-17, 1997*, p35-GEOC.
- Budd, P., B. L. Gulson, J. Montgomery, P. Rainbird, **R. G. Thomas**, and S. M. M. Young. 1997. The use of Pb- & Sr-isotopes for the study of Pacific Islander population dynamics. Paper and abstract presented at *Australian Archaeometry: Retrospectives for the Millenium, Sydney, 1997*, Abstract 72.
- Montgomery, J.**, P. Budd, A. Cox, P. Krause, & R.G. Thomas. 1997. LA-ICP-MS evidence for the distribution of lead and strontium in Romano-British, medieval and modern human teeth: implications for life history and exposure reconstruction, Poster presented at *Metals in Antiquity International Symposium Harvard, Mass. September 10-13th 1997*.
- Montgomery, J., P. Budd, B.A. Barreiro, C. Chenery, **C.A. Roberts**, R.G. Thomas. 1998. Life history reconstruction through combined Pb-, Sr- and O-Isotope analysis of dental tissues. Poster

presented at the *Paleopathology Association Annual Meeting, Salt Lake City, Utah, March 31st – April 1st 1998.*

- Montgomery, J.**, C.A. Roberts, P. Budd, B.A. Barreiro, C. Chenery. 1999. Life History Reconstruction Through Combined Pb-, Sr- and O-Isotope Analysis of Dental Tissues. Oral paper given at the *New Research in Archaeology and Archaeological Sciences Postgraduate Conference, University of Bradford, 17th-18th June 1999.*
- Budd, P., **J. Montgomery**, B.A. Barreiro, C. Chenery, and J.A. Evans. 1999. Combined Pb-, Sr- and O -isotope ratio measurement of ancient human teeth for the determination of ancient migration. Abstract and oral paper given at the European Association of Archaeologists 5th Annual Meeting, Bournemouth 14-19th September, 1999, p122.
- Budd, P.**, J. Montgomery and J.A. Evans 2000. Multiple isotope analysis for the reconstruction of ancient migration: a Neolithic travelogue from southern England. Paper given at Food, Identity and Culture in the Neolithic, University of Sheffield 4-5th February 2000.
- Neighbour, T.** and **J. Montgomery**, J.A. Evans, P. Budd. 2000. You are what you eat: skeletal clues to the impact of the Norse on the Western Isles. Joint oral paper given at *Gall-Ghaidheil: The Western Isles in the Viking World, Stornoway, Isle of Lewis, 3-7th April 2000.*
- Montgomery, J.**, P. Budd, C. Chenery, J.A. Evans, D. Powlesland and C. Roberts. 2000. Invader or Invaded? The application of isotopic tracing techniques to identify immigrants in archaeological burials. Oral presentation given at *Current Approaches to Medieval Archaeology, Durham University 15-16th April 2000.*
- Montgomery, J., **J.A. Evans** and P. Budd. 2000. Sr and Pb Isotopes as a tool for tracking human historical and ancient migrations. Abstract. Oral paper given at *Geoscience 2000, University of Manchester 17-20th April 2000*, p69.
- Budd, P.**, J. Montgomery, J.A. Evans and C. Chenery. 2000. Combined Pb-, Sr- and O-isotope analysis of archaeological human dental enamel to determine immigration and place of origin. Oral paper given at the *British Association of Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology Conference, University of Bradford, 1st – 3rd September 2000.*

SEMINARS AND INVITED LECTURES

- Montgomery, J.** December 11th 1996. Extracting wisdom from teeth: an isotopic tool to investigate the ethnic interface. Postgraduate seminar, *Dept. of Archaeological Sciences, University of Bradford.*
- Montgomery, J.** P. Budd, B.A. Barreiro, C. Chenery, A. Cox, P. Krause. November 30th 1998. Roman or Briton? Identifying migrants with isotopes. Departmental research seminar presented at the *Department of Archaeological Sciences, University of Bradford.*
- Budd, P.**, **J. Montgomery**, J.A. Evans October 29th 1998. Long in the tooth? Strontium isotopes in the reconstruction of ancient migration. Seminar, *Dept. of Biomolecular Sciences UMIST.*
- Montgomery, J.** July 1st 1998. Humans – what can you do with them? Seminar given at The Natural History of Ancient People Day School held in the *Department of Archaeological Sciences, University of Bradford.*
- Montgomery, J.** P. Budd, J.A. Evans. March 17th 1999. Human mobility in the Neolithic: a study using Pb and Sr isotopes. Postgraduate seminar, *Dept. of Archaeological Sciences, University of Bradford.*
- Montgomery, J.** 13th November 1999. Osteology Workshop presented to the *National Association for Gifted Children, Bradford.*
- Montgomery, J.**, P. Budd, J.A. Evans, C. Chenery, D. Powlesland. 22nd March 2001. The use of combined Pb, Sr and O-isotope analysis to identify prehistoric migration: an Anglo-Saxon case study from West Heslerton, Yorkshire. Invited seminar given at the *Stable Isotopes in the Marine and Lacustrine Environment, NIGL, British Geological Survey, Keyworth.*
- Evans, J.A.**, R. Bullman, P. Budd, J. Montgomery, C. Chenery. November 2001. You are what you eat: isotopes and migration studies. Research seminar given in the *Department of Archaeology and Geology, University of Leicester.*
- Evans, J.A.**, R. Bullman, P. Budd, J. Montgomery, C. Chenery. September 2001. You are what you eat: isotopes and migration studies. Invited lecture presented to the *British Antarctic Survey.*
- Evans, J.A.**, R. Bullman, P. Budd, J. Montgomery, C. Chenery. January 8th 2002. You are what you eat: isotopes and migration studies. Invited lecture presented to the *British Geological Survey, Keyworth.*