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## APPENDIX 1

rav data: stakeholes beneath stare circle barrows

The barrows are dealt with in the same order as in Chapter 7, and the stake circles are listed from the centre outwards (i.e. Circle A is the innermost circle in each case). Stakeholes belonging to mortuary structures etc. are listed at the end of each barrow.

Surface diameter and depth were noted in the field; intervals between stakes were measured from the plans and are therefore less precise. All measurements are given in millimetres. Where checked, all the holes were found to be pointed, raflecting the shape of the stake.
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## Circle A

No. | Surface |
| :--- |
| Diameter | Depth Interval Remarks

from

| A1 | 90 | 220 | 500 | from 494 | Earth fill. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A2 | 90 | 150 | 500 | Void |  |
| A3 | 80 | 190 | 600 |  |  |
| A4 | 90 | 190 | 400 | ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| A5 | 90 | 240 | 500 | " |  |
| A6 | 90 | 180 | 400 | " |  |
| A7 | 90 | 160 | 500 | Earth | fill. |
| A8 | 80 | 190 | 450 | Earth | fill, inclined outwards. |
| A9 | 70 | 240 | 500 | " |  |
| Al0 | 70 | 200 | 400 | " |  |
| All | 90 | 170 | 450 | " |  |
| A12 | 80 | 170 | 400 | " |  |
| Al3 | 80 | 200 | 400 | " |  |
| A14 | 90 | 260 | 450 | " |  |
| A15 | 120 | 230 | 450 | " |  |
| A16 | 80 | 260 | 400 | " |  |
| A17 | 90 | 250 | 500 | " |  |
| A18 | 100 | 310 | 450 | Void |  |
| A19 | 110 | 320 | 400 | Earth | fill, inclined outwards. |
| A20 | 100 | $2^{n}$ | $450 \text { Void }$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| No. | Surface Diameter | Depth <br> from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A21 | 75 | 190 | 600 | Earth fill. |
| A22 | 90 | 460 | 400 | Void |
| A23 | 60 | 250 | 450 | Void |
| A24 | Trial | trench | 650 | Earth fill. |
| A25 | Trial | trench | 450 |  |
| A26 | 120 | 230 | 450 | Void |
| A27 | 70 | 280 | 550 | " |
| A28 | 80 | 235 | 450 | , |
| A29 | 80 | 250 | 450 | " |
| A30 | 100 | 250 | 500 | " |
| A31 | 80 | 260 | 550 | " |
| A32 | 100 | 240 | 400 | " |
| A33 | 90 | 270 | 550 | Void, inclined outwards. |
| A34 | 110 | 350 | 400 |  |
| A35 | 90 | 280 | 350 | " |
| A36 | 80 | 250 | 350 | " |
| A37 | 100 | 300 | 400 | " |
| A38 | 50 | 230 | 800 | " |
| A39 | 90 | 340 | 400 | Void, inclined outwards. |
| A40 | 90 | 360 | 450 |  |
| A41 | 60 | 270 | 450 | " " " |
| A42 | 60 | 290 | 550 | " " " |
| A 3 | 60 | 200 | 450 | " " " |
| A44 | 30 | 190 | 500 | Earth fill. |
| A45 | 90 | 260 | 600 |  |
| A46 | 70 | 190 | 500 | " |
| A47 | 70 | 150 | 450 | " |
| A48 | 60 | 230 | 450 | " |
| A49 | 70 | 200 | 450 | " |
| A50 | 70 | 240 | 550 | " |
| A51 | 70 | 280 | 450 | " |
| A52 | 80 | 250 | 450 | " |
| A53 | 60 | 210 | 350 | " |
| A54 | 80 | 160 | 500 | " |
| A55 | 90 | 330 | 400 | " |
| A56 | 90 | 230 | 350 | " |
| A57 | 90 | 190 | 400 | " |
| A58 | 80 | 290 | 450 | " |
| A59 | 60 | 110 | 550 | " |
| A60 | 70 | 110 | 450 | " |
| A61 | 80 | 130 | 400 | " |
| A62 | 80 | 110 | 450 | " |
| A63 | 60 | 130 | 500 | " |
| A64 | 60 | 90 | 450 | " |
| A65 | 60 | 30 | 5 CJ | " |
| A66 | 70 | 165 | 400 | " |
| A67 | 60 | 80 | 500 | " |
| A68 | Trial | trench | 400 | " |
| A69 | Trial | trench | 400 | " |
| A70 | Trial | trench | 400 | " |
| A71 | 70 | 170 | 450 | " |
|  | Brenig 42 |  |  |  |
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| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth <br> from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| A72 | 70 | 210 | 500 | Earth fill. |
| A73 | 80 | 220 | 450 | $"$ |
| A74 | 60 | 215 | 500 | $"$ |
| A75 | 80 | 220 | 500 | $"$ |
| A76 | 80 | 240 | 400 | $"$ |
| A77 | 70 | 210 | 500 | $"$ |
| A78 | 90 | 280 | 450 | $"$ |
| A79 | 80 | 230 | 400 | $"$ |
| A80 | 90 | 280 | 500 | $"$ |
| A81 | 90 | 250 | 400 | $"$ |
| A82 | 80 | 210 | 500 | $"$ |
| A83 | 80 | 200 | 400 | Earth fil1, inclined outwards. |
| A84 | 60 | 110 | 450 | $"$ |
| A85 | 90 | 210 | 450 | $"$ |
| A86 | 80 | 165 | 350 | $"$ |
| A87 | 80 | 210 | 450 | $"$ |
| A88 | 80 | 200 | 550 | $"$ |
| A89 | 70 | 200 | 450 | $"$ |
| A90 | 70 | 170 | 450 | $"$ |
| A91 | 80 | 185 | 350 | $"$ |
| A92 | 80 | 190 | 400 | $"$ |
| A93 | 80 | 175 | 450 | $"$ |
| A94 | 90 | 190 | 450 | $"$ |

## Circle B

| No. | Surface <br> Dianeter | Depth <br> from OGS | Interval | Renarks |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| B1 | 60 | 190 | - | Earth fill. |
| B2 | 70 | 220 | 450 | $"$ |
| B3 | 80 | 220 | 550 | Void |
| B4 | 70 | 200 | 400 | $"$ |
| B5 | 80 | 230 | 500 | $"$ |
| B6 | 70 | 230 | 500 | $"$ |
| B7 | 70 | 220 | 500 | $"$ |
| B8 | 70 | 210 | 450 | $"$ |
| B9 | 70 | 210 | 500 | $"$ |
| B10 | 70 | 250 | 400 | $"$ |
| B11 | 70 | 200 | 500 | $"$ |
| B12 | 80 | 210 | 500 | $"$ |
| B13 | 70 | 240 | 450 | $"$ |
| B14 | 70 | 200 | 500 | $"$ |
| B15 | 60 | 190 | 400 | $"$ |
| B16 | 60 | 140 | 500 | $"$ |
| B17 | 60 | 170 | 450 | $"$ |
| B18 | 60 | 180 | 550 | Void, inclined outwards. |
| B19 | 60 | 170 | 400 | $"$ |
| B20 | 50 | 170 | 450 | $"$ |
| B21 | 60 | 170 | 500 | $"$ |
|  |  |  | Brenig 42 |  |
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Stakehole arc in Southeast Quadrant

| No. Surface | Depth Interval <br> Diameter <br> from $O G S$ |
| :--- | :--- |



Quadrilateral of Stakeholes in the Southeast Quadrant

No. Surface | Depth Interval Remarks |
| :--- |
| Diameter |

| $\mathbf{f}$ | 80 | 210 | - | Voild |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | 90 | 270 | - | $"$ |
| $h$ | 70 | 120 | - | $"$ |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | 70 | 120 | - | $"$ |

The Mortuary Structure
No. Surface Depth Interval Remarks Diameter from OGS

| a | 100 | 230 | - | Void |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| b | 80 | 150 | - | Void with traces of charcoal. |
| c | 80 | 175 | - | Plugged with charcoal. |
| d | 80 | 235 | - | Earth fill. |
| e | 80660 | 160 | - | D-shaped, plugged with charcoal. |
| f | $9 \times 50$ | 160 | - | D-shaped, plugged with charcoal. |
| g | 80 | 200 | - | Earth fill. |
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The fill of some stakeholes in all three circles was examined by Dr Helen Keeley, and her corments are included here. In general, the samples fron Circles A and B repeatedly showed woody structures partly replaced by iron oxides, suggesting that the word had decayed in situ. This phenomenom was not found in Circle C.

## Circle A

No. Surface | Depth Interval Remarks |
| :--- |
| Diameter |

| A1 | 100 | 200 | 550 | from A41 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A2 | 90 | 260 | 550 | Slight slope. |
| A3 | 100 | 240 | 500 | Wood traces, |


| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A20 | 60 | 200 | 600 | Wood traces; appeared in lower 0.03 m of turf; wood fragments from hole identified as hazel; sample as A19. |
| A21 | 60 | 130 | 400 | Slight slope; appeared in lower 0.10 $m$ of turf; wood fragment in tip of stakehole identified as hazel. |
| 122 | 50 | 110 | 450 |  |
| A23 | 60 | 130 | 350 | Oval section, wood traces. Sample was yellowish brown (10YR5/6) structureless silty loam containing stones up to 10 mm (5\%) and small pieces ( 5 mm ) of woody material. |
| A24 | 50 | 220 | 400 | Appeared as a void in the shale upcast from the grave-pit; sample as A23. |
| A25 | 70 | 150 | 650 | Wood traces. Sample was dark greyish brown (10YR4/2) structureless silt loam containing stones up to 20 mm (20\%) and partly iron-replaced woody material (30\%). |
| A26 | 70 | 200 | 350 | Wood traces; sample as A25. |
| A27 | 80 | 200 | 400 | Slight slope. |
| A28 | 90 | 200 | 650 | Wood traces. |
| A29 | 100 | 220 | 550 | - |
| 130 | 80 | 100 | 600 | Sample as A25. |
| A31 | 90 | 180 | 600 | Wood traces. Sample was dark brown (10YR3/3) silt loam containing aggregates of dark reddish brown (5YR3/4) material (possibly replacement of wood by iron oxides or iron pan) and stones up to 25 mm (10\%). |
| A32 | 80 | 200 | 650 | Wood traces, analysis suggests it was probably oak. Sample as A25. |
| A33 | 110 | 200 | 450 | Sample was grey (5YR6/1) silt loam without structure but with strong brown, distinct, fine mottles and stones up to 40 mm (30\%). |
| A34 | 100 | 220 | 400 | Sample as A33. |
| A35 | 90 | 230 | 400 | Sample as A33. |
| A36 | 90 | 110 | 450 | - |
| A37 | 70 | 220 | 500 | Wood traces. Sample was dark brown (10YR3/3) silt loam with fragments of strong brown oxidised parent material (shale), blocky structure, few stones up to 10 mm (1\%) and small wood particles with some iron replacement. |
| A38 | 60 | 200 | 400 | Appeared in lower 0.10 m of turf; wood traces. |
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| No. Surface | Depth <br> DLameter <br> from OGS | Interval Remarks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A39 | 100 | 200 | 350 |
| A40 | 70 | 280 | Appeared in lower 0.06 m of turf; <br> what were thought to be wood traces <br> revealed on analysis no recognisable |
| wood remains. |  |  |  |

## Circle B

No. Surface Depth Interval Remarks

Diameter
from OGS
B1
$80 \quad 130$

170

| B2 | 70 | 170 | 400 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B3 | 90 | 140 | 550 |
| B4 | 70 | 190 | 500 |

B5
B6
B7
$50 \quad 170$

500 from B56 Vertical. Sample was dark greyish brown (10YR4/2) structureless silty clay loam with small specks ( 1 mm diameter) of white (101k8/1) material. Yellowish red (5YR4/8) iron-replaced wood was present (5\%), also stones up to 30 mm (10\%) and rare fine roots.
Appeared in lower 0.04 m of turf; slight slope.
Appeared in lower 0.07 m of turf; slight slope.
Appeared in lower 0.03 m of turf; slight slope; wood fragment from fill 'possibly hazel'. Sample was yellowish brown (10YR5/4) silt loam, structureless and with $5 \%$ yellowish red (5YR4/8) iron-replaced wood; stones up to 30 mm (10\%).
Appeared in lower 0.04 m of turf; vertical.
Appeared in lower 0.03 m of turf; slight slope. Appeared in lower 0.06 m of turf; vertical. Vertical. Sample was dark brown (10YR3/3) structureless silty clay loam containing $15 \%$ stones up to 30 mm and 1\% yellowish red (5YR4/8) iron-replaced wood.

Brenig 41

Microfiche: Stakes. Brenig 41 -9-

| No. | Surface <br> Diameter |
| :--- | :--- |
| Depth <br> from OGS |  |

B9 $70 \quad 150 \quad 500$ Appeared as soft iron pan ring; wood traces, slight slope. Sample was yellowish brown (1OYR5/8) structureless silt loam containing $10 \%$ stones up to 20 mm and $1 \%$ ironreplaced wood.
Slight slope. Sample was very dark greyish brown (10YR3/2) silt loam with a high organic content (woody material) but no iron replacement. Structure was weak, fine, blocky with $5 \%$ stones up to 15 mm and rare, fine roots present.
B11 $50 \quad 220 \quad 550$

Appeared in lower 0.06 m of turf; vertical.
Slight slope.
Appeared as soft ring of iron pan, slight slope. Sample was yellowish brown (10YR5/4) silt loam with weak blocky structure containing 15\% large fragments of iron-replaced wood (up to 40 mm ) and $5 \%$ stones up to 15 mm . As B13, but vertical.
Slight slope.
Sample as B8.
Appeared in lower 0.06 m of turf, near vertical. Sample as B8.
Appeared in lower 0.05 m of turf;
slight slope.
Slight slope; sample as B8.
As B19.
As B19.
As B19. Sample was a dark brown (10YR4/3) structureless silt loam containing $15 \%$ stones up to 40 mm and $10 \%$ very dark grey (10YR3/1) rotting organic matter. Iron replacement of wood was present but rare.
Irregularly oval mouth, vertical.

| B23 | 140 | 130 | 500 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B24 | 100 | 120 | 550 |
| B25 | 100 | 130 | 500 |
| B26 | 90 | $18 C$ | 500 |
| B27 | 60 | 170 | 500 |
| B28 | 50 | 180 | 500 |
| B29 | 30 | 100 | 550 |
| B30 | 80 | 70 | 450 |

Oval mouth, slight slope.
Slight slope.
As B26, wood traces. Sample as B22. Slight slope.
As B28.
Vertical. Sample was yellowish brown (10YR5/4) structureless silty loam containing $10 \%$ stones up to 25 mm , fine roots but no wood present.
Appeared in lower 0.02 m of turf; Slight slope.
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No. Surface \begin{tabular}{l}

Depth | Interval |
| :--- |
| Diameter |
| from $O G S$ | Remarks

\end{tabular}

| B32 | 60 | 170 | 550 | Appeared in lower 0.04 m of turf; ?wood traces, vertical. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B33 | 60 | 110 | 450 | As B32, but slight slope. Sample as B30. |
| B34 | 80 | 150 | 500 | As B33. |
| B35 | 80 | 180 | 600 | Slight slope. |
| B36 | 80 | 170 | 450 | Slight slope. Sample was dark brown (1OYR3/3) structureless silt loam containing $1 \%$ yellowish red (5YR4/8) iron-replaced wood, $10 \%$ stones up to |
| B37 | 80 | 160 | 600 | As B36, wood traces. Sample was dark brown (7.5YR4/4) structureless silt loam containing rare (1\%) yellowish red (5YR4/8) iron replacement of wood, $5 \%$ stones up to 20 mm and fine roots. |
| B38 | 80 | 260 | 450 | As B37. Sample was structureless and consisted of yellowish red (5YR4/8) iron-replaced wood with patches of yellowish brown (10YR5/4) silt loam, stones up to 20 mm (10\%). |
| B39 | 80 | 320 | 500 | As B37. |
| B40 | 100 | 300 | 650 | Oval mouth, slight slope. |
| B41 | 100 | 230 | 550 | Appeared in lower 0.05 m of turf; vertical; ?wood traces. Sample was dark brown (10YR4/3) with patches of brownish yellow (10yR6/8) silty clay loam. Iron replacement of wood was noted, fine roots were present and stones up to 30 mm (10\%). |
| B42 | 100 | 230 | 500 | Appeared in lower 0.035 m of turf; slight slope. |
| B43 | 90 | 230 | 500 | Appeared in lower 0.04 m of turf; vertical. |
| B44 | 100 | 280 | 450 | Slight slope. |
| B45 | 90 | 210 | 350 | Appeared in lower 0.06 m of turf; slight slope; wood traces. Sample was brown (10YR5/3) silty clay loam with weak crumb structure, friable and containing much very dark greyish brown (10YR3/2) woody material partly iron-replaced - 5\% stones up |
| B46 | 90 | 200 | 500 | to 20 mm Vertical. |
| B47 | 80 | 260 | 450 | Appeared in lower 0.06 m of turf; vertical. |

No. \begin{tabular}{ll}
Surface <br>

Diameter \& | Depth |
| :--- |
| from OGS | <br>

Interval Remarks
\end{tabular}

B48 $70270 \quad 400$ Appeared in lower 0.05 m of turf; slight slope; charcoal flecks and wood traces. Sample was very dark grey (10YR3/1) friable silty clay loam with weak crumb structure, a high woody content, fine roots present, iron replacement absent and stones up to 15 mm (10\%).
As B48, no charcoal.

| B49 | 80 <br> B50 | 80 | 250 <br> 250 | 450 <br> 400 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B51 | 60 | 280 | As B48, no charcoal. <br> Appeared in lower 0.03 m of turf; <br> oval mouth, slight slope, wood <br> traces. Sample as B8. |  |
| B52 | 70 | 200 | 500 |  |
| Appeared in lower 0.04 m of turf; |  |  |  |  |
| slight slope; what were thought to be |  |  |  |  |
| wood traces revealed on analysis no |  |  |  |  |

## Circle C

No. Surface Depth Interval Remarks
C1
60
110
450 from C82
Slight slope to NN.
Sample was yellowish brown (10YR5/6) structureless silt loam with 10\% stones up to 30 mm and no evidence of wood.
Very slight outward slope.
Sample was strong brown (7.5YR5/8) structureless sandy silt loam containing $10 \%$ stones up to 20 mm and very small ( 2 mm ) pieces of very dark greyish brown (10YR3/2) woody material.
Sligit outward slope.
Slight outward slope. Sample as Cl. Slight outward slope.
-
Slight outward slope.
Slight inward slope. Sample as C13.
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| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl1 | 70 | 170 | 600 | - |
| Cl2 | 70 | 120 | 450 | - |
| C13 | 60 | 150 | 450 | Sample was a dark yellowish brown (10YR4/4) structureless silty loam containing rare wood fragments and stones up to 40 mm (15\%). |
| C14 | 50 | 110 | 550 |  |
| Cl5 | 60 | 150 | 550 | - |
| C16 | 80 | 110 | 600 | Sample as C13. |
| C17 | 70 | 140 | 500 |  |
| C18 | $120 \times 60$ | 180 | 500 | Oval mouth. Sample as Cl 3. |
| C19 | 70 | 230 | 500 |  |
| C20 | 70 | 220 | 650 | Slightly oval. |
| C21 | 70 | 230 | 650 | Sample as C13. |
| C22 | 60 | 180 | 600 |  |
| C23 | 60 | 120 | 550 | Sample was a dark brown (10YR4/3) structureless silt loam with 1\% strong brown mottles, stones up to 10 mm (5\%) but wood absent. |
| C24 | 50 | 70 | 600 |  |
| C25 | 50 | 110 | 550 | - |
| C26 | 50 | 160 | 650 | Sample as C13. |
| C27 | 60 | 150 | 500 |  |
| C28 | 60 | 120 | 550 | - |
| C29 | 40 | 80 | 650 | - |
| C30 | 70 | 90 | 550 | Sample as C23. |
| C31 | 60 | 70 | 400 |  |
| C32 | 50 | 70 | 700 | Charcoal flecks. |
| C33 | 40 | 60 | 500 |  |
| C34 | 60 | 110 | 550 | - |
| (C35) | 70 | 60 | 450 | Somewhat uncertain (shallow hole). |
| C36 | 50 | 80 | 600 | Sample as Cl. |
| C37 | 60 | 160 | 600 | - |
| C38 | 60 | 140 | 500 | - |
| C39 | 90 | 180 | 550 | Sample as C13. |
| C40 | 70 | 150 | 500 | Slight inward slope with flecks of charcoal. |
| C41 | $100 \times 60$ | 150 | 500 | Oval. |
| C 42 | 80 | 180 | 500 | - |
| C43 | 70 | 130 | 650 | Charcoal flecks. |
| C44 | 50 | 90 | 450 | Sample as C13. |
| C45 | 50 | 130 | 400 | - |
| C46 | 50 | 110 | 650 | - |
| C47 | 50 | 110 | 500 | Sample as Cl3. |
| C48 | 70 | 110 | 500 |  |
| C49 | 70 | 130 | 450 | - |
| C50 | 70 | 140 | 600 | Sample as C13. |
| C51 | 70 | 150 | 600 | - |
| C52 | 70 | 160 | 450 | - |
| C53 | 60 | 180 | 600 | - |
| C54 | $70 \times 40$ | 240 | ${ }^{500} \text { Bre }$ | Oval, charcoal flecks. Sample as Cl3. nig 41 |


| No. | Surface Diameter | Depth from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C55 | 70 | 180 | 350 | - |
| C56 | 70 | 280 | 600 | - |
| C57 | 80 | 230 | 550 | - |
| C58 | 50 | 190 | 450 | Sample as Cl3. |
| C59 | 50 | 220 | 500 | - |
| C60 | 70 | 230 | 450 | - |
| C61 | $70 \times 50$ | 170 | 550 | Slightly oval. Sample as Cl3. |
| C62 | 70 | 120 | 550 |  |
| C63 | 70 | 150 | 550 | Sampic as C13. |
| C64 | 60 | 120 | 450 |  |
| C65 | 140 | 150 | 500 | - |
| C66 | 70 | 120 | 500 | - |
| C67 | 70x50 | 140 | 500 | Slightly oval with a slight outwerd slope; ?wood traces. Sample as C13. |
| C68 | 60 | 160 | 450 |  |
| C69 | 60 | 200 | 500 | - |
| C70 | 60 | 170 | 500 | Very slight inward slope. |
| C71 | 60 | 160 | 500 |  |
| C72 | 60 | 170 | 500 | Charcoal flecks. |
| C73 | 90 | 180 | 450 | Charcoal flecks. Sample was brown (10YR4/3) structureless silty clay loam containing stones up to 40 mm (10\%), and charcoal with coatings of dark brown (10YR3/3) material. |
| C74 | 80 | 170 | 500 | Charcoal flecks. |
| C75 | 80 | 290 | 600 | Charcoal flecks. |
| 676 | 70 | 380 | 500 | Charcoal flecks. |
| C77 | 130x80 | 320 | 500 | Oval, charcoal flecks. Sample was very dark greyish brown (10YR3/2) structureless silt loam conta'ning 30\% stones up to 40 mm and small charcoal flecks. |
| (C78) | 50 | 50 | 200 | Pocket of soft pebbly yellowish clay in subsoil, ?stakehole. |
| C79 | 50 | 110 | 450 | Charcoal flecks. |
| C80 | 50 | 150 | 450 | - |
| C81 | 50 | 170 | 750 | ?wood traces. Sample as Cl3. |
| C82 | 60 | 230 | 700 | Charcoal flecks. |
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## Circle A

All stakeholes were circular with a sill containing flecks of charcoal etc. They inclined slightly outwards unless otherwise stated. The contents of some stakeholes were sampled by Dr Helen Keeley, and the results of her analysis are included here. The average diameter of each hole is given.

| No. Surface | Dept' <br> Diameter <br> from OGS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Interval |  |


| A1 | 50 | 180 |  | from A29 Sample was predominantly pale brown (10YR6/3) stlty clay with weak, fine blocky structure, containing isolated specks of dark red (2.5YR3/6) iron-replaced wood and pockets of dark greyish brown (10YR4/2) silty clay. (The fine pale brown - 10YR6/3 - material appeared to be the weathered product of the soft shale fragments noted in the samples). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A2 | 60 | 150 | 400 |  |
| A3 | 50 | 200 | 700 | - |
| A4 | 70 | 210 | 700 | Sample was a dark brown (7.5YR4/4) silty clay loam containing specks of dark red (2.5YR6/3) iron-replaced wood and pale brown ( $10 \mathrm{YR} 6 / 3$ ) silty clay with $10 \%$ stones up to 20 mm . |
| A5 | 70 | 220 | 400 |  |
| A6 | 70 | 230 | 500 | - |
| A7 | 80 | 190 | 500 | Sample consisted of a dark reddish brown (5YR3/2) structureless silty clay loam containing isolated specks of dark red (2.5YR6/3) and pale brown (10YR6/3) material and $5 \%$ stones up to 20 mm . |
| A8 | 100 | 230 | 500 | - |
| A9 | 90 | 280 | 650 | - |
| Al0 | 90 | 270 | 500 | Sample as A7. |
| All | 90 | 300 | 650 | Void |
| A12 | 80 | 330 | 550 | Void |

## No. Surface Depth Interval Remarks <br> Diameter

A13 80

400
Sample was greyish brown (10YR5/2) silty clay with coatings and stainings of reddish brown (5YR4/4) and pieces of dark reddish brown (5YR3/3) iron-replaced wood. Structure was weak blocky, roots were present, and stones up to 25 mm (30\%).
Oval mouth.
Vertical, appeared as void in 0.15 m of turf.
Vertical. Sample as A13.
Oval mouth, void.
Oval mouth, void, no charcoal. Sample
was structureless silty clay loam, dark yellowish brown (10YR4/4) in overall colour, containing speciks of pale brown (10YR6/3) silty clay and large fragments (up to 40 mm ) of iron-replaced wood (dark red 2.5YR6/3).

Oval mouth.
-
Vertical, no charcoal. Samp'e similar to A19 but contained no fragments of iron-replaced wood.
Oval mouth, no charcoal.
No charcoal.
No charcoal. Sample consisted of pale brown (10YR6/3) structureless silty clay with patches of dark greyish brown mottles (7.5YR5/6); roots were present (5\%) and 10\% stones up to 40 m.

Doubtful, stony patch of ground.
Appeared at 0.40 m high in turf mound, wood fragments identified as probably oak, partly void. Sample was dark reddish brown (5YR3/4) structureless silty clay loam containing 5\% strong brown mottles, 25\% stones up to 50 mm and specks of pale brown (10YR6/3) silty clay.

| A28 | 70 | 180 | 600 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A29 | 70 | 180 | 650 |

№ charcoal, vertical. No charcoal, vertical.

## Circle B

All stakeholes were vertical and filled. They appeared at the level of the old ground surface inless otherwise stated. Average diameter measurements given.

No. \begin{tabular}{ll}
Surface <br>
Diameter

 

Depth <br>
from OGS
\end{tabular} Interval Remarks

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { B2 } & 110 & 170 & 500\end{array}$

| B3 | 50 | $17^{n}$ | 450 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B4 | 90 | 170 | 500 |


| B5 | 80 | 170 | 650 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B6 | 90 | 150 | 500 |
| B7 | 90 | 220 | 550 |


| B8 | 100 | 150 | 600 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| B9 | 60 | 150 | 600 |

550 from B47 Sample was a brown (10YR5/3) silty clay loam with $5 \%$ fine strong brown (7.5YR5/6) mottles and pockets of dark brown (10YR4/3) silty clay loam. It had a weak fine blocky structure; $15 \%$ stones up to 30 mm and fine roots were present.
Oval mouth, upper half void. Sample was dark greyish brown (10YR4/2) silty clay loam with weak blocky structure, $15 \%$ stones up tp 30 mm and roots present. Evidence of iron replacement of woody tissue was given by strong brown (7.5YR5/6) fragments.
Upper half void.
Slopes outwards, upper half void; it appeared in lower 0.02 m of turf mound. Sample was dark red ( $2.5 \mathrm{YR} 3 / 6$ ) with iron-replaced wood. Roots were present and $5 \%$ stones up to 10 mm , also specks of pale brown (10YR6/3) clay, probably from weathering stones.
Oval mouth, upper half void.
Oval mouth, upper half void. Sample consisted of very fine pale brown (10YR6/3) material - probably a weathering product - which was structureless and contained ironreplaced wood (7.5YR5/6); also small pockets of dark brown (10YR4,'3) silty clay loam. Roots were absent but there were stones (5\%) up to 20 mm .
-
Sample contained predominantly iron-replaced wood, structureless and strong brown in colour (7.5YR5/6). Also present was very fine pale brown (10YR6/3) material - probably a weathering product - roots and 10\% stones up to 30 mm .
Brenig 40

Microfiche: Stakes. Brenig 40 -17-

## No. Surface Depth Interval Remarks Diameter from OGS

| B10 | 100 | 160 | 550 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| B11 | 120 | 160 | 500 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| B12 | 90 | 150 | 500 |
| B13 | 70 | 180 | 550 |
| B14 | 60 | 150 | 600 |
| B15 | 80 | 200 | 550 |
| B16 | 60 | 180 | 500 |
| B17 | 80 | 210 | 700 |
| B18 | 80 | 150 | 500 |


| B19 | 6) | 200 | 450 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| B20 | 80 | 280 | 550 |
| B21 | 70 | 220 | 550 |
| B22 | 60 | 200 | 600 |
| B23 | 70 | 240 | 600 |
| B24 | 70 | 230 | 650 |
| B25 | 70 | 190 | 500 |
|  |  |  |  |
| B26 | 90 | 250 | 600 |
| B27 | 100 | 290 | 600 |


| B28 | 70 | 220 | 500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B29 | 80 | 240 | 700 |
| B30 | 80 | 260 | 600 |
| B31 | 90 | 220 | 700 |
|  |  |  |  |
| B32 | 90 | 220 | 600 |
| B33 | 90 | 270 | 650 |
|  |  |  |  |
| B34 | 80 | 230 | 450 |

Slopes outwards. Sample was mostly dark brown (10YR4/3) silty clay loam with some pale brown (10YR6/3) weathered material; structureless, roots present and 10\% stones up to 20 mm , small isolated patches of strong brown (7.5YR5/6) material occurred probably iron-replaced wood.
-
Oval mouth.
Slopes outwards.
Sample as B1O. Slopes outwards.

Sample was predominantly dark red (2.5YR3/6) indicating replacement by iron oxides, with some pale brown (10YR6/3) weathered material; $10 \%$ stones up to 15 mm , roots rare and weak; fine blocky structure.
Oval mouth, appeared as void in lower 0.10 m of turf mound; lower part filled.
As B19.
As B19. Sample as B18.
As B19.
As B19.
Sample as B18.
Void, slopes outwards; traced up to 0.75 m into mound; traces of hurdling between B25 and B26.
As B25.
Partly void. Sample was predominantly pale brown (10YR6/3) weathered silty clay with fine blocky structure and some patches of dark red ( $2.5 \mathrm{YR} 3 / 6$ ) iron replacement.
-
Sample as B27.
Upper 0.15 m void, remainder loose yellow clay fill, oval. Traced to height of 0.40 m into mound. Slopes outwards; as B31. Appeared as void, lower part filled; traced to 0.40 m into mound. Sample as Bl 18 .
Oval mouth. Sample was similar to B27 but was structureless and contained $15 \%$ stones up to 20 mm .
Brenig 40

| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B35 | 90 | 220 | 500 |  |
| B36 | 80 | 220 | 550 | Stake was irregularly shaped, only lower 120 mm of hole was vertical; charcoal fragment at depth of 50 mm . |
| B37 | 70 | 220 | 600 | Slopes outwards, upper 100 mm void. Sample as B18. |
| B38 | 70 | 200 | 600 | Oval; appeared as shallow void. |
| B39 | 120 | 180 | 550 | Irregularly shaped hole plugged on one side with yellow clay. |
| B40 | 80 | 150 | 600 | - |
| B41 | 70 | 200 | 550 | Irregularly shaped hole, appeared as shallow void. Sample was very dark greyish brown (1OYR3/2) silty clay with small specks of dark red (2.5YR3/6) iron replacement, containing 5\% stones up to 20 mm and aggregates of pale brown (10YR6/3) weathering material. Structure was weak fine blocky. |
| B42 | 60 | 180 | 550 |  |
| B43 | 70 | 150 | 500 | - |
| B44 | 80 | 150 | 550 | - |
| B45 | 100 | 180 | 400 | Oval mouth; void, lower part filled. Sample was dark brown (7.5YR4/4) structureless silty clay loam with aggregates of pale brown (10YR6/3) silty clay and $25 \%$ stones up to 30 |
| B46 B47 | 80 70 | 150 160 | 400 500 | mm. <br> Oval mouth, partly void. Oval mouth, partly void. |

## Circle C

All stakeholes were circular, vertical and filled unless otherwise stated. Average diameters given.



| No. | Surface Diameter | Depth from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14 | 80 | 170 | 650 | As C13. |
| C15 | 100 | 210 | 550 | Slightly irregular. Sample was dark |
|  |  |  |  | brown (7.5YR3/2) structureless silty |
|  |  |  |  | clay containing flecks of dark red |
|  |  |  |  | (2.5YR3/6) iron-replaced wood, fine |
|  |  |  |  | roots, aggregates of light brownish |
|  |  |  |  | grey (10YR6/2) silt and stones up to |
| C16 | 80 | 160 | 650 | Slight outward slope. |
| C17 | 70 | 170 | 800 | As C16. |
| C18 | 80 | 140 | 600 | Sample was a brown (7.5YR4/4) |
|  |  |  |  | structureless silty clay with patches |
|  |  |  |  | of dark reddish brown (5YR3/3) iron- |
|  |  |  |  | rich silty clay (iron-replaced wood) |
|  |  |  |  | and stones up to 30 mm (15\%). |
| C19 | 60 | 100 | 750 | Slight outward slope. Sample was |
|  |  |  |  | predominantly light brown grey |
|  |  |  |  | (10YR6/2) structureless silty clay |
|  |  |  |  | containing flecks of dark red |
|  |  |  |  | (2.5YR3/6) iron-replaced wood and |
|  |  |  |  | es up to 20 mm (20\%). |
| C20 | 80 | 280 | 650 | - |
| C21 | 70 | 180 | 750 | Steep outward slope. |
| C22 | 100 | 130 | 900 | Slight outward slope. Sample was dark |
|  |  |  |  | brown (7.5YR3/2) structureless silty |
|  |  |  |  | clay containing patches of light |
|  |  |  |  | brownish grey (10YR6/2) material, |
|  |  |  |  | less than $5 \%$ fine, distinct strong |
|  |  |  |  | brown (7.5YR5/6) mottles and 10\% |
|  |  |  |  | stones up to 20 mm . Roots were |
|  |  |  |  | present. |
| C23 | 70 | 100 | 800 | As C22. |
| C24 | 70 | 140 | 700 | Steep (c. $40^{\circ}$ ) outward siope. |
| C25 | 70 | 100 | 650 | Squarish section. Sample as C15. |
| C26 | 80 | 180 | 650 | Appeared as a void in lower 0.12 m of |
|  |  |  |  | turf, slopes outwards. |
| C27 | 70 | 190 | 700 | - |
| C28 | 90 | 240 | 650 | Sample was brown (10YR4/3) silty clay |
|  |  |  |  | with weak crumb structure, patches of |
|  |  |  |  | light brownish grey (10YR6/2) silt |
|  |  |  |  | and stones up to 10 mm (5\%); faint |
|  |  |  |  | mottles (1\%) were noted, strong brown |
|  |  |  |  | (7.5YR5/6) in colour. |
| C29 | 140x70 | 230 | 650 | Noticeably oval. |
| C30 | 70 | 250 | 700 | Slight outward slope, some stones in fill. |

Microfiche: Stakes. Brenig 40 -21-

No. \begin{tabular}{ll}
Surface <br>

Diameter \& | Depth |
| :--- |
| from OGS |

\end{tabular} Interval Remarks

C31 $70 \quad 260 \quad 55$

| C32 | 70 | 230 | 600 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C33 | 70 | 270 | 600 |
| C34 | 80 | 210 | 600 |
| C35 | 80 | 240 | 600 |
|  |  |  |  |
| C36 | 100 | 190 | 500 |
| C37 | 80 | 110 | 600 |
| C38 | 70 | 230 | 450 |
| C39 | 70 | 220 | 600 |
| C40 | 80 | 190 | 600 |
| C41 | 90 | 270 | 600 |


| C42 | 80 | 220 | 600 | Stones up to 5 mm (less than 5\%). <br> Slightly oval. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C43 | 70 | 250 | 550 | D-sectioned, slight outward slope. <br> C44 |
| C45 | 80 | 230 | 500 | Sample as C28. |
| C46 | 90 | 190 | 600 | Slopes outwards. <br> Appeared as void in oGS and at a <br> height of 0.25 m in turf mound. |
| C47 | 80 | 80 | 230 | 450 |
| Sample as C41. |  |  |  |  |

Sample was dark brown (7.5YR4/4) silty clay loam with weak blocky structure, containing patches of dark red ( $2.5 \mathrm{YR} 3 / 6$ ) iron-replaced wood, aggregates of light brownish grey (10YR6/2) material and stores up to 25 mm (15\%).

Slightly oval, slopes outwards.
Sample as C28.
Sample was dark red (2.5YR3/6) ironreplaced wood with black (7.5YR2.5/0) interiors.
Slight outward slope.
Sameshat oval, hits rock.
Slight outward slope. Sample as C28.
Outward slope.
Slightly oval, irregular shape.
Sample of upper fill was largely light brownish grey (10YR6/2) structureless sility clay containing patches of dark reddish brown (5YR3/4) iron-replaced wood, fine roots and stones up to 30 mm (15\%). Sample of lower fill was light brownish grey (10YR6/2), dark red (2.5YR3/6), and dark brown (7.5YR3/2) silty clay loam with no dominant colour, weak blocky structure and stones up to 5 mm (less than 5\%). tly oval
b-sectioned, slight outward slope.
Sample as C28.
Appeared as void in OGS and at a height of 0.25 m in turf mound. Sample as C41.
Outward slope, void.
Slight outward slope.
Slight outward slope. Sample as C19.
-
m into turf mound, contained some iron-replaced wood (unidentifiable). Sample as C41 (upper fill). void, somenhat irregular. Appeared as void 0.06 m into turf.

Microfiche: Stakes. Brenig 40 -22-

| No. Surface | Depth <br> Diameter <br> from OGS |
| :--- | :--- | Interval Remarks

C57 $70 \quad 130 \quad 650 \quad$ Appeared as partial void 0.05 m into turf mound, slight outward slope, wood fragment from iron pan at mouth identified as possibly hazel. Sample as C41 (upper fill).
Appeared as void 0.07 m into turf mound, outward slope. -
Void
Outward slope, void. Sample was very dark greyish brown (10YR3/2) silt loam with patches of light greyish brown (10YR6/2) structureless silt containing 20\% stones up to 40 mm stained with black (1OYR2.5/1) film.

| C62 | 70 | 150 | 650 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C63 | 70 | 180 | 650 |
| C64 | 70 | 130 | 650 | -

Flecks of charcoal.
Sample was yellowish brown (10YR5/6) silt loam with weak crumb structure, stones up to 15 mm (30\%) and small patches and aggregates of very dark greyish brown (10YR3/2) ashy material.

| C65 | 100 | 180 | 500 | - |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C66 | 80 | 220 | 650 | Appeared as void, slopes outwards. |

## Circle E

All stakeholes were circular, vertical and filled unless otherwise stated. Average diameters given.

No. Surface Depth Interval Remarks Diameter from OGS

| E1 | 100 | 300 | 800 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| from E67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| E2 | 110 | 310 | 700 | - |  |
| E3 | 90 | 260 | 800 | - |  |
| E4 | 100 | 260 | 750 | - |  |
| E5 | 80 | 260 | 750 | Outward slope. |  |
| E6 | 90 | 200 | 650 | As E5. |  |
| E7 | 100 | 240 | 650 | - |  |
| E8 | 100 | 180 | 750 | - |  |
| E9 | 80 | 150 | 800 | Slight outward slope. |  |
| E10 | 90 | 240 | 750 | - |  |
| E11 | 100 | 220 | 750 | Slight outward slope. |  |
| E12 | 100 | 350 | 700 | - |  |
| E13 | 100 | 220 | 750 | - |  |
| E14 | 70 | 270 | 750 | Slight outward slope. |  |
|  |  |  | Brenig 40 |  |  |


| No. | Surface Diameter | Depth from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E15 | 80 | 370 | 600 | - |
| E16 | 80 | 290 | 650 | Sample was a dark reddish brown (5YR3/3) silt loam with weak blocky structure, stones up to 15 mm (10\%) and roots present. |
| E17 | 80 | 330 | 700 |  |
| E18 | 70 | 300 | 950 | Sample as E16. |
| E19 | 100 | 380 | 750 |  |
| E20 | 90 | 460 | 700 | - |
| E21 | 90 | 340 | 750 | Slight outward slope. |
| E22 | 90 | 300 | 750 | Sample as E49. |
| E23 | 80 | 290 | 650 | - |
| E24 | 90 | 300 | 550 | - |
| E25 | 80 | 340 | 700 | Sample as E49. |
| E26 | 70 | 230 | 650 |  |
| E27 | 90 | 260 | 800 | Slight outward slope, with charcoal flecks. |
| E28 | 90 | 300 | 800 | Sample was dark brown (10YR4/3) silry clay loam with weak, medium crumb structure, $5 \%$ strong brown mottles (7.5YR5/6), $20 \%$ stones up to 40 mm and roots rare. |
| E29 | 90 | 280 | 700 | Flecks of charcoal. |
| E30 | 90 | 240 | 700 |  |
| E31 | 90 | 230 | 650 | Slight outward slope, some charcoal flecks. Sample was dark brown (10YR4/3) silty clay loam with weak fine crumb structure, $25 \%$ stones up to 50 mm and specks of dark reddish brown (5YR3/4) iron-replaced wood. |
| E32 | 90 | 290 | 650 |  |
| E33 | 90 | 260 | 750 | - |
| E34 | 90 | 390 | 750 | Outward slope. Sample was dark brown (7.5YR4/4) silt loam with weak crumb structure containing patches of greyish brown (10YR5/2) silt, distinct medium strong brown (7.5YR5/6) mottles (10\%) and stones up to 20 mm (15\%). |
| E35 | 90 | 340 | 700 |  |
| E36 | 90 | 300 | 600 | Outward slope. |
| E37 | 90 | 320 | 650 | As E36. Sample as E31. |
| E38 | 90 | 250 | 700 | As E36. |
| E40 | 80 | 260 | 700 | - |
| E41 | 80 | 250 | 850 | Outward slope. |
| E42 | 70 | 320 | 750 | Charcoal flecks. |
| E43 | 80 | 250 | 850 | Outward slope. |


| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E44 | 70 | 210 | 700 | As E43. Sample was a dark brown (7.5YR4/4) structureless silt loam with patches of reddish brown (5YR4/4) iron-replaced wood and stones up to 25 mm (15\%). |
| E45 | 80 | 300 | 1000 | As E43. |
| E46 | $120 \times 70$ | 210 | 700 | Noticeably oval mouth. |
| E47 | 120 | 180 | 750 | - |
| E48 | 80 | 270 | 800 | - |
| E49 | 100 | 260 | 700 | Outward slope. Sample was brown (10YR4/3) structureless silt loan containing patches of light brownish grey (10YR6/2) material, stones up to 50 mm (20\%) and distinct fine strong brown (7.5YR5/6) mottles. |
| E50 | 80 | 280 | 750 | Sample was greyish brown (10YR5/2) structureless silt loam containing 5\% fine distinct strong brown (7.5YR5/6) mottles and stones up to 30 mm (15\%). |
| E51 | 80 | 320 | 800 | motles and stones up to 30 mm (15\%) |
| E52 | 70 | 300 | 850 | Sample consisted of aggregates of light brownish grey (10YR6/2) silt loan with brown coatings (10YR4/3); fine distinct strong brown (7.5YR5/6) mottles and stones up to 30 mm (15\%); weak blocky structure. |
| E53 | 120x70 | 280 | 750 | Oval mouth. |
| E54 | 60 | 230 | 650 | - |
| E55 | 70 | 170 | 900 | Sample as E50. |
| E56 | 80 | 180 | 700 |  |
| E57 | 80 | 280 | 750 | - |
| E58 | 70 | 350 | 700 | Slopes outwards. Sample as E49. |
| E59 | 80 | 360 | 600 | As E58: |
| E60 | 90 | 250 | 750 | As E58. |
| E61 | 80 | 280 | 650 | As E58. Sample as E5O. |
| E62 | 80 | 250 | 700 | As E58. |
| E63 | 70 | 240 | 650 | As E58. |
| E64 | 90 | 280 | 850 | Slight outward slope. |
| E65 | 70 | 190 | 950 | - |
| E66 | 120 | 320 | 600 | Upper half void. |
| E67 | 110 | 360 | 700 | Sample as E49. |

Arc D
Circular and vertical unless otherwise stated.

| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth <br> from OGS |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| D1 | 90 | 230 |$\quad-\quad$| Interval | Remarks |
| ---: | :--- |
|  |  |

Microfiche: Stakes. Brenig 40 -25-


Details of Mortuary Structure at centre of Brenig 40
Stakeholes a-d form the four corners of the structure; their average diameter is 110 mm , rather stouter than most of the stakes in the circles. The distances between them are: $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}=1.13 \mathrm{~m} ; \quad \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c}=1.26$ $\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}=1.00 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{a}=1.22 \mathrm{~m}$.

Central Stakeholes
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { No. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Surface } \\ \text { Diameter }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Depth } \\ \text { from OGS }\end{array}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a } & 120 & 300\end{array}$
b $\quad 100 \quad 190+$
c $\quad 120 \quad 190$

Plug of charcoal in the top; vertical; void with a little stony clay and some charcoal at the bottom; stake had been squared.
Top removed by disturbance, original depth c. 310 mm ; vertical; fill of loose grey/brown clay and charcoal.
Found beneath a plank; vertical; bottom contained loose grey/brown clay and charcoal.

Brenig 40

Microfiche: Stakes. Brenig $40^{\circ}$-26-

| No. | Surface Diameter | Depth from OGS | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d | 100 | 230 | First appeared as a void; vertical; bottom contained loose grey/brown clay and charcoal. |
| $e$ and $f$ are not part of the |  |  |  |
| e | 70 | 230 | Vertical; grey/brown clay fill. Ill-defined, doubtful stakehole, oval mouth, brown clay fill. |
| f | 60-90 | 180 |  |

Details of Planks and Timbers

1. $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.34 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$.
2. $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$.
3. $0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.13 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$.
4. $0.29 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m} \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$.
5. $0.21 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$.
6. $0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Resting on clay and turves.
Resting on clay and turves.
Resting on clay and turves.
Lying on OGS.
Lying on OGS.
lying on OGS.

## Analysis of Stakehole Fill

The contents of Stakeholes $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ and d of the Mortuary Structure were examined in laboratory conditions. The sample from $b$ had a matrix colour grey (5YR6/1), masked by high charcoal and organic matter content which gave the sample an overall colour of 10YR3/2 (very dark greyish brown). The soil material was structureless silty loam containing $1 \%$ indistinct strong brown fine mottles and $20 \%$ stones up to 20 mm . It was not a natural soil, being a mixture of charcoal, stone and fine soil possibly washed into the stakeholes. Most of the wood was in the form of charcoal, so it was not possible to say that the post had decayed in situ. These comments also apply to samples from Stakeholes $c$ and $d$ which were yellowish brown (10YR5/6) silty loam (with the colour masked by charcoal), with a weak medium angular blocky structure and containing 15\% stones up to 20 mm .

Microfiche: Stakes. Brenig 45 -27-

BRENIG 45

All the stakeholes appeared as empty holes in the old ground surface unless otherwise stated.

Stakeholes in Central Area (a)

No. Surface Depth Interval Remarks Diameter from OGS

| a1 | 80 | 280 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| a2 | 100 | 240 | - | - |
| a3 | 80 | 300 | - | Survived 0.05 m into turf mound. |
| a4 | 80 | 300 | - | - |
| a5 | $110 \times 90$ | 230 | - | - |

## Circle A

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { No. Surface } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Depth } \\ \text { Diameter } \\ \text { from } O G S\end{array}\end{array}$

| A1 | 80 | 150 | 450 |  | from A26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A2 | 80 | 320 | 550 | - |  |
| A3 | 60 | 180 | 500 | - |  |
| A4 | 80 | 200 | 350 | Survived 0.10 m into turf mound. |  |
| A5 | 90 | 110 | 550 | Survived 0.18 m into turf mound. |  |
| A6 | 80 | 100 | 450 | Survived 0.19 m ii to to turf mound. |  |
| A7 | 90 | 280 | 300 | - |  |
| A8 | 90 | 220 | 450 | - |  |
| A9 | 80 | 200 | 450 | - |  |
| A10 | 90 | 200 | 400 | - |  |
| A11 | 75 | 190 | 350 | Flint flake embedded in side. |  |
| A12 | $70 \times 85$ | 230 | 450 | - |  |
| A13 | $85 \times 55$ | 170 | 500 | - |  |
| A14 | 80 | 140 | 400 | - |  |
| A15 | 80 | 190 | 350 | - |  |
| A16 | 80 | 160 | 400 | - |  |
| A17 | 60 | 160 | 350 | - |  |
| A18 | 70 | 150 | 250 | - |  |
| A19 | 80 | 180 | 400 | - |  |
| A20 | 90 | 220 | 400 | - |  |
| A21 | 70 | 230 | 450 | - |  |
| A22 | 90 | 240 | 500 | - |  |
| A23 | 80 | 230 | 400 | - |  |
| A24 | 80 | 240 | 400 | - |  |
|  |  |  | Brenig 45 |  |  |


| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth <br> from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| A25 | 70 | 210 | 450 | - |
| A26 | 80 | 200 | 400 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| A27 | 140 | 160 | Lies just north of the circle. |  |

Circle B

| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth <br> from <br> OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B1 | 90 | 430 | 450 |  |
| B2 | 100 | 420 | 450 | - |
| B3 | 100 | 405 | 450 | - |
| B4 | 125 | 410 | 500 | - |
| B5 | $100 x 80$ | 420 | 400 | - |
| B6 | 90 | 360 | 500 | - |
| B7 | 90 | 320 | 500 | - |
| B8 | 80 | 290 | 500 | Survived 0.62 m into turf mound. |
| B9 | 70 | 230 | 350 | Survived 0.15 m into turf mound. |
| B10 | 70 | 290 | 400 | Survived 0.40 m into turf mound. |
| B11 | 90 | 290 | 400 | - |
| B12 | $80 \times 110$ | 310 | 450 | - |
| B13 | 90 | 190 | 350 | - |
| B14 | 90 | 230 | 450 | - |
| B15 | 100 | 320 | 450 | - |
| B16 | 90 | 320 | 400 | - |
| B17 | 80 | 240 | 500 | Survived 0.16 m into turf mound. |
| B18 | 90 | 290 | 500 | Survived 0.18 m into turf mound. |
| B19 | $105 \times 90$ | 375 | 450 | - |
| B20 | 100 | 280 | 450 | - |
| B21 | 100 | 340 | 450 | - |
| B22 | 80 | 280 | 450 | - |
| B23 | 90 | 280 | 450 | - |
| B24 | $75 \times 110$ | 245 | 350 | - |
| B25 | 80 | 300 | 350 | - |
| B26 | 80 | 260 | 550 | - |
| B27 | $75 \times 85$ | 240 | 500 | - |
| B28 | 70 | 230 | 500 | - |
| B29 | 70 | 240 | 350 | - |
| B30 | 70 | 340 | 550 | - |
| B31 | $80 x 110$ | 300 | 500 | Survived 0.16 m into turf mound. |
| B32 | 80 | 250 | 450 | Survived 0.05 m into turf mound. |
| B33 | 95 | 300 | 450 | - |
| B34 | 95 | 310 | 450 | - |
| B35 | 100 | 340 | 600 | - |
| B36 | 100 | 450 | 600 | - |
| B37 | 120 | 400 | 500 | - |
| B38 | 100 | 390 | 500 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Circle C



Stakeholes outside Stone Wall

| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth <br> from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| d1 | 135 | 310 | - | d1-3 are near 'entrance' on N.W. |
| d2 | 60 | 100 | - | - |
| d3 | 120 | 430 | - | Carbonised wood; iron-replaced bark. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| d4 | 90 | 200 | - | d4-7 may be an overilap of Circle E. |
| d5 | 80 | 250 | 650 | Survived 0.06 m into turf mound. |
| d6 | 80 | 205 | 600 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| d7 | 60 | 120 | 650 | - |
| d8 | 70 | 90 | - | d8-11 form a 'rectangle' on S.E. |
| d9 | 60 | 80 | - | They are slighter than average. |
| d10 | $80 \times 90$ | 130 | - | - |
| d11 | 55 | 120 | - | Outward slope. |

## Circle E

| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth <br> from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| E1 | 60 | 90 | 700 |  |
| Erom E76 | - |  |  |  |
| E2 | 60 | 130 | 450 | - |
| E3 | 60 | 120 | 350 | - |
| E4 | 60 | 100 | 500 | - |
| E5 | 50 | 100 | 350 | - |
| E6 | 75 | 160 | 500 | - |
| E7 | 80 | 150 | 400 | - |
| E8 | 80 | 150 | 400 | - |
| E9 | 50 | 150 | 600 | - |
| E10 | 80 | 190 | 500 | - |
| E11 | 70 | 150 | 450 | - |
| E12 | 100 | 300 | 500 | Survived 0.05 m into turf mound. |
| E13 | 70 | 180 | 350 | - |
| E14 | 85 | 130 | 450 | - |
| E15 | 100 | 270 | 450 | Survived 0.09 m into turf mound. |
| E16 | 90 | 270 | 500 | - |
| E17 | 90 | 270 | 550 | - |
| E18 | 80 | 260 | 500 | - |
| E19 | 90 | 240 | 650 | - |
| E20 | $110 x 80$ | 280 | 400 | - |
| E21 | 90 | 280 | 650 | Survived 0.10 m into turf mound. |
| E22 | 110 | 290 | 650 | Survived 0.09 m into turf mound. |
| E23 | 90 | 360 | 500 | - |
| E24 | 90 | 190 | 500 | - |
| E25 | 90 | 305 | 500 | - |
| E26 | $80 x 90$ | 305 | 500 | - |
| E27 | 100 | 3190 | 550 | - |
| E28 | 100 | 190 | 450 | - |
| E29 | 95 | 240 | 600 | - |
|  |  |  | Brenig 45 |  |

Mi.crofiche: Stakes. Brenig 45 - 31 -

| No. | Surface <br> Diameter | Depth from OGS | Interval | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E30 | 70 | 180 | 600 | - |
| E31 | 90 | 230 | 500 | - |
| E32 | 90 | 230 | 700 | - |
| E33 | 80 | 270 | 600 | - |
| E34 | 95 | 340 | 600 | - |
| E35 | 90 | 350 | 550 | - |
| E36 | 65 | 130 | 500 | - |
| E37 | 80 | 170 | 600 | - |
| E38 | 90 | 260 | 500 | - |
| E39 | 80 | 150 | 600 | - |
| E40 | 70 | 140 | 550 | Survived 0.06 m into turf mound. |
| E41 | 100 | 300 | 500 | Survived 0.08 m into turf mound. |
| E42 | 80 | 180 | 550 | Survived 0.06 m into turf mound. |
| E43 | $80 \times 100$ | 230 | 450 | Survived 0.05 m into turf mound. |
| E44 | $70 \times 80$ | 140 | 550 | Survived 0.05 m into turf mound. |
| E45 | 60 | 140 | 500 | - |
| E46 | 60 | 90 | 600 | - |
| E47 | 85 | 190 | 800 | - |
| E48 | 80 | 220 | 650 | Survived 0.02 m into turf mound. |
| E49 | 90 | 300 | 650 | Survived 0.03 m into turf mound; small stones around top of hole. |
| E50 | $90 \times 105$ | 290 | 750 | Survived 0.03 m into turf mound. |
| E51 | 90 | 290 | 650 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E52 | $95 \times 30$ | 210 | 550 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E53 | 100x80 | 220 | 750 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E54 | 80 | 160 | 750 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E55 | $80 \times 50$ | 230 | 650 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E56 | 65 | 100 | 600 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E57 | 60 | 110 | 650 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E58 | 100 | 80 | 700 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E59 | 80 | 170 | 750 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E60 | 90 | 220 | 100 | Survived 0.07 m into turf mound. |
| E61 | 120 | 230 | 550 | - |
| E62 | 100 | 220 | 650 | - |
| E63 | 100 | 240 | 650 | - |
| E64 | 100 | 240 | 600 | - |
| E65 | 70 | 250 | 800 | - |
| E66 | 110 | 180 | 600 | - |
| E67 | 100 | 150 | 400 | - |
| E68 | 100x120 | 260 | 500 | Outward slope. |
| E69 | 120 | 240 | 600 | - 0.05 |
| E70 | 110 | 270 | 550 | Survived 0.05 m into turf mound; outward slope. |
| E71 | 100x80 | 280 | 600 | Survived 0.04 m into curf mound; outward slope. |
| E72 | 80 | 260 | 500 | Survived 0.04 m into turf mound. |
| E73 | $90 \times 120$ | 285 | 450 | Invard slope. |
| E74 | 80 | 185 | 650 | - |
| E75 | 90 | 190 | 450 | Survived 0.10 m into turf mound. |
| E76 | 150 | 170 | 650 |  |

## CATA'DCUE OF ILLUSTRATED WORKEN FLINT AND CHERT IMPLFAENTS

These iaplements are illustrated in Figs. 3.6-8 and App. 3.1-3. They comprise all the Mesolithic and Post-Mesolithic implements noted in the Tables and most, but not all, of the Unspecific retouched material. The unretouched material is not individually described here, but a selection is dramn and discussed in Chapter 3 (Fig. 3.5)

MICROLITHS (Fig. 3.6)

| $\frac{\text { Excav. }}{\text { Number }}$ | $\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { (after Jacobi 1978) }}$ | Blank | Rav Material | Excavation Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44:80E | 1 a | Blade | Black chert | OGS outside ring 5 |
| 44:94 | ?10 | Blade | Black chert | Make-up of inner bank? |
| 44:169E | $?$ 1a | Blade | Black chert | Deep in ors outside ring 6 |
| 44:150x | ? 2 a | Blade | Flint, pink | Below bi level, inside ring 5/6 |
| 45.151 | $1 a$ | Blade | Flint | From turf mound |
| 44:96E | 5 | Bladelet | Flint | Iron pan level = bi. satface |
| 53:C3 | 5 | Bladelet | Chert? | Subsoil, Sq. C3 |
| 53:84 | 56 | und. | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. B4 |
| 53:12 | 75 | uncl. | Flint | Subsoi1. Sq. 12 |
| 53:74 | 5 middle part | uncl. | Fint | Subsoil, 1974 exten ion |
| 53:C3 | ? 5 | bladelet | F1int | Subsoil. Sq. C3 |
| 53:C2 | ? 5b t/7a2 t | uncl. | F1i it | Subsoil, Sq. C2 |
| 53: DS | ? 5 b t/7a2 t | uncl. | Chert | Subsoil, Sq. DS |
| 53:13 | ? 5b t ? 7a2t | uncl. | Flint | Subsoil. Sq. 13 |
| 53:FS | ? 5 t/7al t | uncl. | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. F5 |
| 53:188 | ? 56 | uncl. | Banded cher* | Subsail, Sq. H 8 |
| 5374 | 5 m | uncl. | Flint, burnt | Subsoil, 1974 extension |
| 53:C8 | 5 m | uncl. | 7Banded chert | Sulsoil, Sq. C8 (1975) |
| 53:C7 | 7al | Bladelet | Honded chert | Subsoil, Sq. C7 (1975) |
| 53:ul | 7al point | Bladelet | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. D1 |
| 53: F6 | 7 al | Eladelet | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. F6 |
| 53:14 | 7 l p | unc 1. | Fint | Subsoil, Sq. 14 |
| 53:68 | 7 al p | unc 1. | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. G8 |
| 53: 15 | 7al p | unc 1. | ? Banded cliert | Subsoill, Sq. JS |
| 53:04 | 7al tail | ? Bladelet | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. C4 |
| 53:A1 | 7 al | ? Blade | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. Al |
| 53:H7 | 7 al t | uncl. | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. H7 |
| 53:118 | 7.2 | uncl. | Fint | Subsoil. Sq. $\mathrm{H8}$ |
| 53:68 | 7a2 | uncl. | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. G8 |
| 53:19 | 7 a 2 | ? Blade | Fint | Subsoil, 1974 extension |
| 44:97C | 7 i 2 | 7 Blade | Fiint | Just bencs'h iron pan = below BA teval 5/6 |
| 53:14 | 7 a 2 | uncl. | F14:3t | Subsoil, Sq. 14 |
| 45:297 | 7 a 2 | uncl. | 7 Chert | OSis bencatin barrow |
| 53: 86 | 7 a 2 | uncl. | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. E6 |
| 53:15 | 7 a 2 | $?$ Blade | Fiine | Subsoil, Sq. K5 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excav. } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { (after Jacobi 1966) }}$ | Blank | Raw Material | Excavation Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53:C1 | 702 | uncl . | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. Cl |
| 53: 33 | 7 a 2 p | uncl. | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. B3 |
| 53:A1 | 7 a 2 p | uncl. | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. Al |
| 53:177 | 7 a 2 p | uncl. | Flint | Subsoil. Sq. H7 |
| 53:146 | 7 m 2 p | uncl. | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. H6 |
| 53:C13 | 7 a 2 p | ? Blade | Fiint | Subsoil, Sq. Cl 3 |
| 53:D6 | 7a2 t | uncl. | Fint | Subsoil. Sq. D6 |
| 44:170F | 7a2 | $?$ Bladelet | Banded chert | Topsoil. above outer bank |
| 53:64 | 7 a 2 t | Bladelet | Flint | Subsoll, Sq. G4 |
| 44:12 | 9 | unc 1. | Flint | On surface of ring 1/3 |
| 8:20 | ? | unc 1. | Flint | OGS beneath cairn BG 8 |
| 44:96F | Unc1. | Blade + str.plat | Flint | OGS, soil truncated in BA 5/6 |
| 53:E7 | ? Unfinished | uncl. | Fint | Subsoil. Sq. E7 |
| 53:A12 | Unc1. (curved) | uncl. | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. 112 |
| 53:74 | Unc1. | uncl. | Flint | Subsoil. 1974 extenaion |
| 53: 12 | Unc 1. | PBlade + str.plat. | ? Banded chert | Subsol1, Sq. 12 |
| 53:14 | Unc1. frag. | Bladelet | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. 14 |
| 53:C4 | Unc1. | Bladelet | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. $\mathrm{C4}_{4}$ |
| 53: E7 | Unc1. | uncl. | Flint, burnt | Subsoil. Sq. E7 |
| 53:84 | Unc1. frag. | uncl. | Flint | Subsoil. Sq. E/ |
| 53:C3 | Une 1. | ? Bladelet | Flint | Subsol1, Sq. C3 |
| 53:E5 | Uncl. frag. | uncl. | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. ES |
| 53: 55 | Unc1. frag. | uncl. | Flint | Subsol1, Sq. ES |

MICRC BURINS (Fig. 3.7)

| Excav. <br> Number | Type | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platfore } \end{aligned}$ | Raw Material | Excavation Conlext |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53:83 | Krukowski | Bladelet | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | ? Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. B3 |
| 53:116 | Mid | unc 1. | nob | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. 16 |
| 53: 78 | Butt | Blade-like | $\gamma$ | Flint | Subsoi1, Sq. F8 |
| 53:ES | Butt | Blade-like | $\gamma$ | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. ES |
| 53:16 | Butt | Blade-like | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | Chert | Subsoil, Sq. 16 |
| 53:05 | Mid | Blade-like | nob | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. DS |
| 53:D3 | Mid | unc 1. | nob | Flint | Subsoi1, Sq. D3 |
| 53:64 | Fras. | unc 1. | nob | ? | Subsoi1, Sq. 64 |
| 53:A14 | Butl (rt. notch) | Bladelet | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. 114 |
| 44:170B | Hutt (rt, notch) | Bladelet | Y | Flint | Topso11, above outer bank I |
| 53: E3 | Mis-hit | Blade | nob | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. E3 |
| 53:14 | ? | ? Blade-like | neb | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. 14 |
| 53:84 | Mid | uncl. | nob | Flint | Subsoil, Sq. E4 |
| 44:82H | Unsnapped | blade | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | Black chert | OCS outside ring 5 |
| 45:1 | ? | Blade | nob | Flint | Toptoil |
| 53:A1 | ? Distal | unc 1. | nob | Flint | Subsoil. Sç. Al |



FINE SERRATED FLAKES (Fig. 3.8)

| Excay. Number | Type | Retouch Position, Shape, Angle 8 Type Descriptive Coment | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platforn } \end{aligned}$ | Raw Material | Excavation Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48:115 | Serrated | L.edge finely serrated for middle portion. | Trimaing Flake | Plain wide | Rolled pebble Flint, burnt. $t$ cortex. | Topso11, Area 01 |
| 45:176 | Serrated | Both edges serrated; l edge has line of gloss on teeth, on ventral face. | Blade frag. distal end | nob (broken) | Yellow/brown Flint | Topsoil |
| 45:266 | Serrated | L.edge serrated, edge damage on R,side. | Blade frag. distal end | nob <br> (broken) | Pale yellow/ brown Flint Cortex on tip. | From turf mound |
| 45:35 | Serrated ? | R.edge prob, serrated but vorn. | Blade frag. medial seg. | nob <br> (broken) | Light brown Flint | From turf mound |
| BURINS | (Fig. 3.8) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excav. <br> Number | Type | Retouch Position Shape, Angle 8 Type Descriptive Comment | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platforme } \end{aligned}$ | Raw Material | Excavation Context |
| 53:20 | Burin | Proximal end transversely truncated; one facet; opp. side has sporadic edge damage. | Blade-like Flake | Truncated | Light grey Flint | Subsoi1, 1974 extension |
| 45:183 | Burin | Proximal end transversely truncated; 2 or 73 facets. | Flake | Truncated | ```Opaque thite/ yellow Flint, f cortex, rolled.``` | Topsoil |
| 45:138 | Burin <br> Double ended | ```Proximal end transversely truncated by retouch; I facet. Distal end smapped, l facet.``` | Flake | Truncated | Light brown Flint, ? cortex, rolled | Topsoil |
| 45:141 | Burin | Distal end transversely truncated (slightly concave) 2 or $? 3$ facets. | Flake | ? Plain wide, edge trimed | Opaque grey Flint, cortex 102. | Topsoil |
| 53: B13 | Burin | Light marginal retouch on R. side, 1 facet. | Triming flake. Ilinge teraination | Plain | Orange/brown Flint, cortex 52 | Subsoil, Sq. B13 |
| 53:D | Burin | ? end retouched, 2 facets. | Flake <br> ?Prep. | nob | Opaque white Flint: cortex 907, abraded. | Unstratified |
| 44:1218 | Burin | Proximal end obliquely truncated by marginal retouch, I facet. | Blade-like hinge teraination | Truncated | light orange/ brown Flint. | OCS beneath inner bank 5/6 |
| 45:85 | Burin | Distal end obliquely <br> trimaed by marginal retouch <br> 1 facet. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blade-1ike } \\ & \text { Flake } \end{aligned}$ | Linear <br> lipped | Yellow/brown Flint | From turf mound |
| 45:244 | Burin ? | ```Proximal end (striking plat. remn⿱at) transversely retouched by marginal flaking, 1 wide facet.``` | Flake | Retouched away | Mottled pale grey Flint | From turi mound |
| 14:1 | Burin ? | Proximal end lightly trimed, short facet (? accidental), stepped; damage to R. side. | Flake | nob | Non-pebble. translucent Flint. | OGS beneath cnirn |
| 53:05 | Burin ? | ```Distal end retouched (?) invasive, serial. ? 3 fncets. Sporadic irreg. edge damoge.``` | Flake | ? 11pped | Brown/yellow Flint, old scar corticated. | Subsoil, Sq. D5 |
| 48:82 | Burin ? | Oblique edge of distal end trimmed by marginal ret. 1 narrow facet (?accidental) | Flake ?trim'ng | Plain | Pale grey Flint, trace of cortex. | Topsoil, Area 01 |
| 44.141K | Eurin + Scraper | Distal end obliquely retouched, 1 facet: edge 'strengthened'. Proximal end truncated by scraper-1ike retouch, rounded, aarginal ret, on l. edge. | Blade-like flake | Truncated | Orange Flint 1 cortex (thick) | OCS beneath inner bank 5/6 |
| 44:141A | Burin? | Distal end obliquely truncated, I facet; intersection of facet \& flake worn smooth. | Flake | Linear | Orange/brown Flint | OGS beneath inner bank 5/6 |

TRUNCATED BLADES (Fig. 3.8)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excav. } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Type | Retouch Position, Shape, Angle \& Type Descriptive Comment | Blank | $\frac{\text { Striking }}{\text { Platiorm }}$ | Raw Material | Excavation Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $48: 766$ | Truncated Blade | Distal end obliquely truncated by abrupt retouch. scaled. Marginal retouch on L. side. | Blade ? | nob <br> (broken) | Orange/brown Flint | Ares 07, midden |
| 48:10 | Truncated Blade | Distal end obliquely truncated by abrupt marginal retouch. Inverse light marginal ret, on $L$. side. | Blade ? | nob <br> (broken) | Yellow/grey Flint | Topsoil, Area 01 |
| $51: 43$ | Truncated Blade | Distal end obliquely truncated by abrupt serial flaking: sporadic irreg. spolling on L. side. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blade-like } \\ & \text { flake } \end{aligned}$ | Plain vide | Dark grey Flint, light cortication, trace fresh. cortex. | OGS beneath caira 5 |
| 45:208 | Truncated Blade | Distal end obliquely truncated by semi-convergent retouch. | Blade | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nob } \\ & \text { (broken) } \end{aligned}$ | Burnt Flint | From turf mound |
| 8:1 | Truncated Blade | Distal end obliquely truncated by abrupt serial <br> flaking. Inverse morginal ret. on both sides leading to distal end. | Blade ? | nob (broken) | Burnt Flint | Topsoll |

POST-MESOLITHIC FLIMTS (Figs. App.3.1 \& 2)
Grave goods, eg the burnt plano-convex-type knife froa B6 44; F20. described in Chapter 11; Fig. 11.9
ARRONHEADS (Fig. App.3.1)

| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Excav. }}{\text { Number }} \end{aligned}$ | Type | Retouch Position Shape, Angle 8 Type Descriptive Comment | Blank | Striking Platforn | Rav Material | Excavat ion Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45:65 | Leaf-shaped Green 4b | All-over scale flaking. bifacial. | uncl. | nob | Opaque ochre Flint | From turi mound |
| 46:15 | Leaf-shaped ? Green 3 / 4 b polished | All-over serial flaking <br> with edge ret.. bifacial; <br> scars ground on both faces. <br> Tip damaged. | unc1. | nob | Opaque honey col. Fiint | Topsoil |
| $51: 16$ | Leaf-shaped Green 3a | Invasive scale flaking on sides, bifacial. | ?Blade-1ike | Flaked avay | Non-pebble grey Flint | OGS beneath cairn |
| 48:162 | Chisel | Abrupt marginal retouch on sides, invasive serial flaking on trans. end. | ? from discarded core | Plaked avay | Non-pebble grey Flint | Residual, Area 01 |
| SCRAPERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excav. } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Type | Retouch Position | Blank | Striking Platform | Raw Material | Excavation Contert |
|  |  | Descriptive Comment |  |  |  |  |
| 44:4 | Scraper Extended end | ? Scaled, abrupt flaking on sides 8 end forming convex contour. | uncl. | Faceted | ```Non-pebble dark grey Flint``` | Above iron pan in centre of ring 2 |
| 44:219 | Scraper | Parallel serial, semiinvasive retouch on distal end 8 R. side. | TTrimaing flake | PFaceted | Non-pebble <br> mottled dark <br> grey Flint; <br> $10 \%$ fresh <br> white cortex. | OCS beneath outer bank 5/6 |
| 48:161 | Scraper ? | Abrupt retouch on distal end $s$ sides forming convex contour; flat irreg. scale flaking sinuch step fracturing on ventral face. | uncl. | Flaked away | ```Brown/grey mottled Flint``` | Residual, Area 01 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:733 } \\ & \text { (unillus) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scraper ? } \\ \text { (frog) } \end{gathered}$ | Marginci retouch forning rounded contour | uncl. | nob | Non-pebble brown Flint | Topsoil Area 07 |
| $51: 36$ | Scraper | Abrupt serial flaking on distal end 8 sides forning convex contour; flat invas. scale flaking on ventral face. | ?Trimming flake | Flaked away | Pale grey Fint | OCS bencath cairn 5 |


| Excav. Number | Type |  | Retouch Position Shape, Angle 8 Type Descriptive Coment | Blonk | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platform } \end{aligned}$ | Raw Material | Excavation Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47:1 | Scraper ? |  | TScaled, semi-abrupt flaking on R. side, rounded, end damaged. | Flake | Plain | Non-pebble mottled grey Flint, dorsal face abraded. | OGS beneath mound |
| 40:6 | Scraper |  | TScaled flaking on end $s$ sides forming rounded contour. | TTrimaing flake | Cortical | Non-pebble mottled grey Flint | From turf mound |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40: 14 \\ & \text { (unil1s) } \end{aligned}$ | Scraper? |  | Serial/scaled marginal ret. invasive, on end 8 L. side foreing rounded contour. | Trimaing flake | nob | ? chert | OCS beneath barrow |
| 45:29 | Scraper |  | TSealed shallow flakink on end 8 R. side forming round contour. | Flake | 2 facets | Burnt Fiint, trace of fresh cortex. | From turf mound |
| 45:105 | Scraper |  | Scale flaking (abrupt on end) round sides 8 end. <br> ? same flint at $\mathbf{4 0 : 6}$ | Flake | Narrou si. lipped 2 facets | Non-pebble mottled grey Flint | OCS beneath barrow |
| 45:279 | Scraper |  | Scale flaking on L.side, abrupt serial flaking on distal end \& R. side; ?reworked; angular contour. | Flake | Plain wide | Non-pabble brown Flint | From turf mound |
| 45:15 | Scraper |  | Marsinal semi-abrupt flaking on end 8 R. side (L. side damared): angular-straight contour. | Flake | Plain | Non-pebble dark grey Flint, $25 \%$ cortex. | From turf mound |
| 45:289 | Scraper |  | Abrupt serial flaking on end 8 R. side forming rounded. itres. contour; ?unfinished. | Trimaing <br> Clake | Truncated | Dark grey Flint | Unstratified |
| 8:17 | Scraper |  | TScaled semi-invasive abrupt flaking on end 8 L . side, chipping sporadic on R. side, rounded contour. | Flake | Plain vide | Non-pebble brown Flint | Topsoil |
| 51:78 | Scraper |  | Semi-invasive serial flak' $R$ into cortex on circumf. of flake, rounded contour. | Primary flake | ? | Pale arey Flint: $75 \%$ rolled cortex. | Layer 7 (Beaker settlement) |
| 51:86 | Scraper |  | ?Serial flaking, semi-invas. abrupt, one end 8 sides. rounded contour. | Flake | ? | ?Non-pebble pale grey mottled Flint 202 fresh cortex. | Layer 7 (Beaker settlement) |
| 44:18 | Scraper ? |  | Serial, semi-abrupt flak'g on rounded end; ? bifacial Ventral face v. undercut 8 re-flaked. | ?Flake | nob | Non-pebble <br> Flint burnt | Make-up of inner bank |
| Cairn <br> field | End Scraper | 7 | Minimal marginal serial flaking on rounded end. | Blade-like | Linear | Non-pebble brown Flint | Surface of mineral soil. |
| 7:2 | Scraper |  | Semi-abrupt serial flaking on rounded end; marginal abrupt flaking on straight L. side. | Flake | nob | ?Pebble dark Flint $75 \%$ abraded cortex. | Residual, topsoil |
| 7:6 | Scraper ? |  | Abrupt serial flaking, rounded contour: flat scale flaking on ventral face. | Splet pebble | ---- | Opaque pale grey Flint | Residual, topsoil |

SERRATED FLAKES (Fig. App.3.1)

| $\frac{\text { Excav. }}{\text { Number }}$ | Type | Retouch Posilion, Shape, Angle 8 Type Descriptive Comment | Blonk | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platform } \end{aligned}$ | Rav Material | Excavation Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:5 | Serrated | Straight; denticulated on R. side, marginal retouch on concave L. side; gloss. | Blade-1ike | nob | Non-pebble brown Flint | From turf mound |
| 44:57 | Saw | Straight; denticulated on <br> L. side; gloss (inverse). | ?Trimeing flake | Linear | Non-pebble brown Flint | Above Iron pan in centre of ring 2 |


| $\frac{\text { Excav. }}{\text { Number }}$ <br> Number | Type | Retouch Position, Shape, Angle 8 Type Descriptive Comment | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platform } \end{aligned}$ | Rav Material | Excarntion Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48:729 | Serrated | Minute serrations on butt f of L. side, straightconcave; $R$, side dnmaged inverse marginal retouch. | Blade/ <br> flake <br> Hinge termination. | nob | ```Non-pebble pale grey mottled Flint``` | Residual, Area 07 |
| 48:740 | Serrated | trreg. denticulation on convex L. side; damaged serrations on irregular R. side. | Flake <br> Hinge termination | Faceted | Non-pebble dark grey Flint with fresh cortex. | Topsoil, Area 07 |
| 46:14 | Serrated | Straight, denticulated on both sides, inverse gloss on R. side. | PBlade/ <br> flake | Plain <br> vide | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?Non-pebble } \\ & \text { pale grey } \\ & \text { Flint } \end{aligned}$ | Topsoil |
| 46:17 | Serrated | 'enticulated on concave R. side; sporadic retouch on irregular L. side. | ? | nob | Non-pebble pale grey Flint | Topsoil |
| 46:26 | Serrated | Denticulation on straight $R$, side. | Blade/ <br> flake | Lipped | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-pebble } \\ & \text { mottled } \\ & \text { grey Flint } \end{aligned}$ | From disturbed area |
| 51:18 | Serrated | Serrations on straight L. side. | 7Blade/ <br> flake | nob | Non-pebble mottled grey Flint, 58 thin grey cortex. | From disturbed area |
| $\begin{aligned} & 51: 22 \\ & \text { (unil1us) } \end{aligned}$ | Serrated? | Serrations on straight <br> L. side. | B1ade/ <br> flake | nob | ?? Chert | Surface of clay bank (layer 4) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:71 } \\ & \text { (unil1s) } \end{aligned}$ | Serrated | Pronounced serrations on chip | Uncl. | nob <br> (broken) | Light grey Fift | Topsoil |

FABRICATORS (Figs. App.3.1 8 2)

| Excav. <br> Number | Type | Retouch Position, Shape, Angle 8 Type Descriptive Comment | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platforen } \end{aligned}$ | Rav Material | Excavat ion Contex: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45:275 | Fabricator ? <br> Piercer | Marginal retouch on sides converg'ng to form point at butt ad. | Flake | Flahed avay | Non-pebble translucent brown fint | From turf mound |
| 41:35 | Fabricator | Scaled semi-invasive ret. down R. side 8 on to end, bifacial: tip damaged but tool almost unworn. | Triving flake | Flaked away | Non-pebble mottled grey Flint | OCS bencath barrou |
| 44:896 | Fabricator | Proximal end inversely retouched to point (worn smooth): sporadic reteuch on rest of edges. | Blade-like | nob | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ? Pebble } \\ & \text { Flint } \end{aligned}$ | Make-up of inner bank 7 |
| 44:105 | Fabricator | Retouch on ancave end, tip worn. | PBIadelet core | --- | Non-pebble <br> dark grey <br> Flint | Make-up of inner bank 7 |
| 44:345 | Fabricator | Retouched on all 3 faces Triangular point heavily worn, base flattened. | uncl. | --- | Non-pebble brown Flint | From the filling of posthole (F.25) |
| 48:764 | Fabricator ? | Irregular marginal ret. on R. side. | Flake | nob | Non-pebble brown Flint | Topso11. Area 07 |
| 51:85 | Fabricator ? | Straight, abrupt scale llaking on atdan A end. Distal end worn smooth. | Blade/ <br> [lake | nob | Non-pebble brown Fiint | From stripped surface in central area. 5 |
| 53:C11 | Fabricator/ Point | Marginal vetouch around spur, worn smooth. | ?Core fragment | - | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. C11 |
| KNIVES | (Figs. App. 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excay. Humber | Type | Retouch Position Shape, Ansle 8 Type Descriptive Coment | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platfort } \end{aligned}$ | Raw Material | Excavation Cuntext |
| 53:7 | Knife ? | Abrupt marginal retouch on both sides, inverse on L. side. 202 fresh | Blade-1ike | nob | Non-pebble dark grey Flint | Subso:1, 1974 extension |


| $\frac{\text { Excav. }}{\text { Number }}$ | Type | Retouch Position, Shape, Angle \& Type Descriptive Comment | Blank | $\frac{\text { Striking }}{\text { Platfore }}$ | Ra, Material | Excavation Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:31 | Knife (frag) | Invasive scale flaking semi abrupt on L.side, marginal retonch on R. side, abrupt on inverse. | uncl. | nob | ```Non-pebble dark grey Flint``` | OCS beneath barrow |
| $\begin{aligned} & 41: 19 \\ & \text { (uni11us) } \end{aligned}$ | Knife ? | Semi -abrupt invasive scale flaking on straight L. side, sporadic irreg. scale flaking on R. side. | Blade-like | Shattered | Non-pebble blotchy grey Flint | From turf mound |
| 41:8 | Knife ? | Semi-abrupt flaking <br> on L. side, spolling on R. | uncl. | Linear | Non-pebble <br> grey Flint | From turf mound |
| 45:290 | Knite | Acute, scaled, semi-invas. flaking on L. side, irreg. marginal retouch on $k$. | Blade-like | Plain | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-pebble } \\ & \text { dark grey } \\ & \text { Flint } \end{aligned}$ | From turi mound |
| 14:4 | Knife (frag) | Straight serial flaking on damaged distal end, semiinvas.scale flaking bifacial on L. side, irreg. serial flaking bifacial on R. | uncl. | nob | ?Non-pebble <br> blotchy grey <br> Flint | From disturbed area |
| 46:16 | Knife (frag) | Abrupt serial flaking on straight L. side. | uncl. | nob | Burnt Flint | Topsoil |
| 51:171 | Knife / Scraper | Acute scale flaking semiinvasive on straight L.side semi-abrupt scale flaking on rounded 8 flattened distal end, irreg. sporadic marginal retouch on struight R. side. | Blade-like | nob | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-pebble } \\ & \text { dark grey } \\ & \text { Flint } \end{aligned}$ | OCS beneath cairn near primary grave 5 |
| 51:27c | Kinfe (frag) | Seni -invasive serial flak'g on straight $L$. side. | uncl. | nob | Burnt Flint | OCS beneath Semi Circle Cairn. 5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 51: 6 \\ & \text { (Fig, } \\ & 10.8 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Knite (frag) | Straight converging sides, L. side with abrupt serial 8 R. side with semi-invas. semi-acute scaled retouch. | Blade-like | nob | Burnt Flint | Disturbance above cremated bones (F.6) |
| 44:163 | Kife (frag) | Acute scale flaking semiinvasive on oblique l.side. | ?B1ade/ flake | nob | Burnt Flint | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From Charcoal Pit } \\ & \text { (F.7) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 44. 237 | Knife (frag) | Acute serial flaking semiinvasive on straight R.side. | Blade/ <br> flake | Cortical | Non-pebble blotchy grey Flint | OCS beneath outer bank 5/6 |
| 44:67 | Knffe ? (frag) | Abrupt/seni-abrupt serial flaking on both straight converging sides. | unct. | Flaked nway | ?Non-pebble luarnt Fifint | Make-up of outer bank 8 |
| 7:7 | Knife ? (frag) | Marginal retouch on convex R. side; abrupt ?serial ret. semi-invasive on straight $L$. | Blade/ flake | ? | Non-pebble <br> mottled <br> grey Flint | Residual, topsoil |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:537 } \\ & \text { (unillus) } \end{aligned}$ | Knife ? (frag) | Semi-invasive scale flak'g on pointed distal end. Fresh cortex. | unc 1. | nob | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-pebble } \\ & \text { dark grey } \\ & \text { Flint } \end{aligned}$ | Area 05, midden |

PIERCPRS (Figs. App.3.283)

| Excav. <br> Number | Type | Retouch Position Shape, Angle 8 Type Descriptive Comment | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platfora } \end{aligned}$ | Rav Material | Excavation Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42:4 | Plorcer | Retouch on distal end in concave arc of $f$-setting point, serrated R. side. | Blade-1ike | nob | Orange/brown Fint | From turf mound |
| Bulch Du | Piercer | Converging siden with targinal retouch, tip broken. | Flake ? | nob | Non-pebble pale grey Flint | Surface find |
| 45:287 | Piercer ? | Abrupt retouch foraing concuve areas at proximal end, converging to off-set point. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?Blade/ } \\ & \text { flake } \end{aligned}$ | Flaked avay | Reddish <br> Flint ?burnt | Unstratified |
| 44/45:18 | Piercer | Point formed by light marginal retouch at distal end. | Blade-1ike | Pinin wide | Pebble flint with cortex. | Surface of mineral soll |

VARIOUS IMPLEMENTS and MISCELLANEOUSLY RETOUCHFD PIECES (Figs. App.3.1-3)

| $\frac{\text { Excav. }}{\text { Number }}$ | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Retouch Posit ton, } \\ & \text { Shape, Angle } 8 \text { Type } \\ & \text { Descriptive Comment } \end{aligned}$ | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striking } \\ & \text { Platform } \end{aligned}$ | Raw Material | Excavation Context |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45:218 | Waisted Core Tool | Bifacial retouch on rounded distal end, both sides concave and abraded. | Flake <br> hinge <br> termination | Flaked away | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-pebble } \\ & \text { mottled } \\ & \text { grey Flint } \end{aligned}$ | From turi mound |
| 41:26 | Retouched Flake | Edge damage on R. side | Triming flake | Crudely <br> facetted | Non-pebble brown Flint | OCS beneath barrow |
| 41:16 | Ret ouched Flake | Semi-abrupt retouch forming rounded contour on side. | Flake (frap) <br> Thermal scar | nob | Non-pebble grey Flint | From turi mound |
| 8:2c | Misr. Retouch | Straight marginal retoach on R, side (inverse) | Flake (ras) | nob | Non-pebtle Flint | Topso 11 |
| 45:54 | Misc. Petouch | Abrupt marginal retouch on L.side. | Blade-1ike | nob | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Orange flint } \\ & \text { rolled } \end{aligned}$ | OCS beneath har row |
| 44:209 | Hisc. Retouch | Abrupt marginal retouch on both sides | unc 1. | Plain | Non-pebble grey Flint | Make-up of outer bank 8 |
| 44:64 | Trimaing flake | Possibly from a scraper | Refuv. f1. | Face of core | Non-pebble grey Flint | Make-up of outer bank 8 |
| 53:144 | Misc, Retouch | Abrupt marginal retouch in uncertain position | Frag. | nob | Burnt flint | Subsoil, Sq. B4 |
| 45:240 | Hisc. Retouch | ```Abrupt ret.forning slightly convex edge on L. side. (? retouch on an anvil)``` | Frag. | nob | Pale Flint | From turf mound |
| 44:191 | Misc. Retouch | Abrupt marginal retouch on both sides, straight on R., irregular (inverse) on L.side | Frag. | ifnear | Banded chert | From burial pit (F. 20) |
| 53:D7 | Hisc. Ketouch | ```Semi-invasive ret. of side bifacial invasive retouch on ? butt.``` | ? | nob | Banded chert | Subsoil, Sq. ${ }^{\text {d7 }}$ |
| 44:96C. | Noteh ? | Abrupt retouch forming concave area on R. side. | Frag. | nob | Burnt flint | Iron pan level BA surface |
| 53:74 | Notch ? | Abrupt retouch forning concave area, l.side, butt. | Bladelet | Linear | Pale brown | Subsoil. 1974 extension |
| 53:C2 | Hisc. Retouch | Abrupt inverse ret. on R. side. | Frag. | rob | Banded chert | Subsoil. Sq. C2 |
| 44:40 | Misc. Retouch | Alternate marginal ret. on R. side. | Blade | nob | Banded chert | Make-up of outer bank 8 |
| 45:165 | Hisc. Retouch | Abrupt marginal retouch on distal end $8 R_{\text {. }}$ side. | Blade-like | nob | Banded chert | Topsoil 1 |
| 44:3048 | Misc. Retouch | Marginal retouch on distal end, inverse irreg. retouch (?damage) on L. side. | unc 1. | nob | ```Non-pebble dark grey Flint``` | Make-up of inner bank 7 |
| 45:267 | Hisc. Retouch | Abrupt marginal retouch on L. side, butt half. | Biade-like | Linear | Blotchy grey Flint | From turf mound |
| 44:52 | Hisc. Rezouch | Irregular light damage (?retouch) on R. side | Flake | Plain wide | ```Non-pebble grey Flint, (resh cortex.``` | Above iron pan in centre of ring 2 |
| 53:F3 | Misc. Retouch | Straight abrupt retouch on L. side, ?unf, aicrolith. | Triming flake | nob | Brown Flint blotchy | Subsoll, Sq. F3 |
| 44:89A | Misc. Retouch Denticulate ? | Abrupt ret. (irreg. denticulation) on R.side $\&$ end. | Flake | Linear | Non-pebble brown Flint | Make-up of inner bank 7 |
| 53:D6 | Hisc. Retouch | Concave abrupt retouch on diatal end. | Trimaing flake | Plain | Pale grey Flint | Subsoil, Sq. D6 |
| 45:62 | Hisc. Retouch | Irreg. abrupt marginal ret. on R, side, butt half, forming concave area. | Flake | Linear | Orange Flint | From turf mound |
| 45:142 | Hisc. Retouch | Straight abrupt retouch on distal end. | Trimming flake | Dihedral? | Opaque grey Flint | Topsoil |
| 44:172 | Notch | Abrupt ret. in concave area at butt end, serial flaking on R. side. | Blade-like | nob | Orange pebble Flint, $45 \%$ rolled cortex. | OGS beneath outer bank 5/6 |

PETROGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF BEAKERS AND CINERARY URNS FROM BRENIG

David A. Jenkins, School of Agriculture and Forestry, University College of North Wales, Bangor.

## INTRODUCTION

This report contains the results of a petrographic study of 16 sherd samples from the excavations at Brenig. These are listed together with sone of their macroscopic attributes in Table 1. Seven of the samples were from cinerary urns (BG40, BG44 A\&B, BG45 A\&B, BG51 A and a food vessel (BG51 B), and an eighth was from an isolated sherd (BG44.185) from the same pit, F20, as BG44 A \& B. The remaining eight samples were beaker sherds from Brenig 51 (BG51 73, 83, 89, 133, 135, 174 \& 178). The limited amounts of sherd material available precluded heavy mineral and trace element analysis (Williams \& Jenkins 1976), and the study was therefore restricted to the microscopic examination of thin sections of the resin-iapregnated sherds to characterise, quantitatively where possible, their conposition and nature. The aims of this study were, first, to reveal affinities and differences between the various sherds within the group and, second, to identify possible geological sources for the materials used in their manufacture. Following an account of the methodology employed, the general characteristics of the individual
sherds and their components are described and summarised in tabulated form. The possible implications of these data are then discussed in relation to the grouping and provenance of the sherds.

## METHODS

Wherever possible sherds were subjected to a standard procedure. If available, floating undecorated fragments of the order of 2.5 wiw 2.5 cm were selected and their macroscopic features, as seen under a stereozoom binocular microscope, noted (i.e. colour, texture \& fabric in terms of clast and pore size, shape, density \& distribution). The fragment was then sawn int two halves with a dental diamond saw, and one half re-ignited overnight at $500^{\circ}$ in an electric muffle furnace with an oxidising environment to clarify its petrography. Re-ignited and unignited fragments were then placed vertically side-by-side in a glass tube, together with a label, and impregnated with a polystyrene resin system (Autoplax $+2 \% 28 \mathrm{C}$ hardener). Impregnation was carried out using a system diluted $1: 1$ with acetone; the tube was kept corked for several days, to encourage thorough impregnation, and then uncorked to allow the acetone to evaporate off and the resin to polymerise, a process which generally took a further 4-8 days and which was finished off overnight in a low temperature oven at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once hardened, a slice giving a transverse section through the two sherd fragments was cut off with a diamond saw, one surface of which was ground flat with alumina ( 10 m )
polished with diamond pastes $(6 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{~m} \in \mathrm{~m})$ and attached to a clean microscope slide. A thin section ( 30 m thick) was then prepared by the usual petrographic procedures using a Logitech lapping machine to take the section down to 50 m , followed by hand grinding to 30 m and again diamond polishing.

Material was also sampled to establish the geological context of the Brenig valley and its potential as a source of clast material. Thin sections were therefore made from solid rock outcrops in the normal petrographic way, whilst the superficial geology was sampled in the form of the $2-0.63 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction separated by wet sieving from the $B / C$ horizons of soil profiles, or from stream sediments if available. The sediment sample was impregnated with resin and a thin section prepared using the same procedure as described for the sherds.

Prior to microscopic examination it is useful to prepare a magnified (x10) photographic record of the thin section. This was conveniently achieved as a negative print by projecting the slide directly into Kodak projection paper using a Leitz slide projector: a 1 cm grid wes superimposed to facilitate recording of, and reference to, specific features by means of a grid reference on the print, which could also be annotated. Under the polarising microscope the composition of the sherd was studied and recorded quantitatively (vol. x) using a Swift Automatic Point Counter involving some $500-1000$ point analyses. The components distinguished were 'void', 'matrix', 'grains', 'grog' and 'clast', the
intention being tr provide some parameter of the original 'clay' texture and to attempt to distinguish material added as 'temper' (i.e. 'clasts' and/or 'grog'), although the distinction between these components is to some extent arbitrary. For this purpose 'matrix' was recorded as the silt and clay (i.e. <63 asing the limit current in international soil science), larger monomineralic particles being recorded as grains (i.e. $63-200 』$, and occasionally up to 630 m ), and polymineralic fragments $>630$ ■ (but by petrographic extrapolation occasionally down to 200 as 'clasts'. The recognition of 'grog' depended on its contrasting colour, fabric, orientation, etc., and was in some cases obvious but in others it merged imperceptibly with the matrix of the host sherd and was therefore unavoidably underestimated. Details of the various components were recorded such as colour, shape, distribution, mineralogy, petrography, etc., and specific features noted such as the presence of bioliths (phytoliths, diatoms, spicules, etc.), charcoal, spores, distinctive quartz overgrowths, and so on. The texture of the matrix was described qualitatively by comparison with thin sections of soils in established cextural classes (Hodgson 1974) and the fabric recorded according to the manner and degree of the preferred orientation of its clay, as evident in aggregate birefringence, using the terminology of Brewer (1964).

RESULTS

The quantitative and qualitative data resulting from petrographic analysis are sumarised in Table 2. Fron these it can be seen that the different attributes of the sherds examined are shared in varying proportions and combinations. It is therefore convenient to describe, for the group as a whole, features shown by the individual components matrix, voids, grains, clasts and grog.

## Matrix

This, as usual, is the dominant component varying from $50-70 \%$ by volume of the clasts studied. The texture and fabric are variable. At one extreme sherds are composed of a dense clay (C) showing strongly preferred orientation over large areas as revealed by the aggregate birefringence, and a fabric that is 'masepic' as defined by Brewer (1964). Textures grade through silty clays (ZC) to clay loams (CL), in which preferred orientation is moderately developed with 'vosepic' fabric, and ultimately to clay silts (CZ) in which only weak orientation and a 'silasepic' fabric is displayed. The cinerary urns belong to the coarser silty clay and clay loam groups (except for BG40 - clay) whilst the beaker sherds comprise the finer textured clays (except for BG51.73 - clay silt).

## Voids

Voids comprise $5-12 \chi$ by volume of the sherds. They tend to be discontinuous, irregular, linear and subparallel to the sherd surface and are presumably the product of manufacture: ovoid pores are also sometimes present (e.g. BG51 178). Occasionally the voids contain spores (as in BG45 A \& B, BG51 89 \& 174) and rarely isotropic yellow brown coatings (as in BG51 73 \& 174), which are presumably of pedogenic origin reflecting the environment of burial.

Grains

Detrital 'grains' within the coarse silt - fine sand range are dominated in most samples by the ubiquitous subangular to subrounded quartz. These are accompanied by varying amounts of quartz aggregates, plagioclase, K-felspars and rare perthite - (BG51 A) and microcline (BG51 174) both of whose occurrences, it will be noted, coincide with those of coarse silicic clasts. Well-rounded quartz grains occur less frequently, and only in one sherd (BG51 A) wis such a grain detected with an euhedral overgrowth. Other detrital minerals noted include muscovite and, less commonly, biotite together with hornblende, occasional pyroxene, and rare apatite and rutile. The most obvious association detectable between these components and the sherds is that
between the ferromagnesian aingrals and the beaker sherds, exceptions being their absence fron BG51 155a \& BG51 178, and the presence of an isolated grain of hornblende detected in the cinerary urn sherd BG45 A.

Similarly bioliths are absent fron the cinerary urn sherds and were only detected in sone of the beaker sherds, although charcoal fragments had been incorporated into, again, only the urn sherd BG45 A. The bioliths take the form of various occasional phytoliths (BG51 135, 174 \& 178) and rare pinnularid diatons (BG51 144).

## Clasts

Clasts are mostly subrounded/subangular in shape and thus detrital in nature. They include a reaarkable diversity of rock types, the main features of which are briefly noted below.

Vein quartz: aggregates of large anhedral unstrained quartz crystals, often traversed by trains of dust inclusions and carrying rare rouleaux of chlorite (e.g. BG45 B).

Mudstone: fine-grained rock comprised of silt-size quartz, chlorite and hydrous mica and carrying thin veins of quartz, typical of many Lower Palaeozoic argillaceous rocks (e.g. PG44 185).

Orthoquartzite: well-sorted angular fine-grained quartz sand, poorly cemented, occasionally sericitic or iron-stained (e.g. BG44 185).

Lithic sandstone: poorly-sorted mediun to coarsc sandstone with angular grains often displaying pressure-welded contacts and occasionally a weakly developed foliation, and sometimes iron-stained. Mononineralic grains are most commonly composed of quartz with occasional large $K$ felspars, perthite and muscovite; the lithic fragments are composed of rhyolitic and rare trachytic (?) material, fine quartzite, sshistose quartz, slate, perthitic and microgranophyric granite and rare altered mafic igneous rock material (?) (e.g. BG51 83).

Metaquartzite: well-sorted, cenented, subangular quartz, occasionally showing visible overgrowths or iron-stained, verging into an interlocking quartz mosaic.

Slate: fine-grained, strongly foliated.

Fine silicic igneous: rhyolitic asterial comprises a frequent clast type and shows a number of distinct varieties. Most commonly, it takes the form of a fine-grained granular quartz/felspar mosaic with occasional
saall felspar phenocrysts and granules of iron ore, and sometimes carrying sericite or a brown aica, and rare zircon, apatite or clinozoisite grains. Occasionally the fabric tends to a distinctive 'felted' (e.g. BG44 185) appearance or displays well-developed spherulitic structure (e.g. BG51 B), shadowy streaky structures suggestive of flow banding or even of a welded tuff (BG51 B). Some of these ciasts may be heavily impregnated with ferric oxides.

Coarse silicic igneous: a coarser grapular (sometimes consertal) rock type consisting of quartz (with acicular rutile inclusions) zoned sodic plagioclase, sericitised $K$-felspar, perthite, microcline, muscovite, chlorite and rare zircon and clinozoisite: occasional patches are nicrogranophyric (e.g. BG51 A).

Coarse mafic igneous: large irregular prismatic grains of hornblende (both pale green and oxidised brown) enclosing poikilitically pale green granules of clinopyroxene and zoned laths of Ca-plagioclase ('pyroxene hornblendite'?): of alten altered extens to fibrous chlorite or ferric oxides (e.g. BG51 73). In addition clasts of typical dolerite occur carrying clinopyoxenes and occasional cored prisms of apatite (e.g. BG51.89).

## Gros

The abundance of fragments of previous potsherds used as a filler (i.e. 'grog') appears to be bimodal, values being $1 x$ or less in five samples and ranging from $\mathbf{7 - 2 7 \%}$ in the other eleven. The higher values
occur in the beaker sherds and also in the 'early' (BG45 A \& B) - as compared to the 'late' - cinerary urns. Grog fragments are distinguished from the host matrix by differences in colour, texture, fabric, or simply orientation. This distinction is clearly defined in some cases whilst in others, where the grog matches closely the host sherd, recognition is difficult: in such cases (i.e. BG51 73, 89 \& 133) the values quoted in Table 1 are probably underestimated, the vol. $x$ 'atrix' being inflated at the expense of 'grog'. Conversely, it is sometimes difficult to distinguish 'grog' from mudstone clasts, especially when sectioned parallel to their bedding, and the latter may therefore have been underestimated relative to the former, for example in BG51.178. Where an earlier generation of clasts is present within grog fragments, their petrology is similar to that of clasts in the host sherd (e.g. fine silicic igneous clasts in $44 \mathrm{~A} \& \mathrm{~B}$ ). In a few cases there are suggestions of at least two successive generations of grog recognisable (e.g. BG51 $174 \& 178$ ).

Features of particular interest, interpreted as grog, occur in beaker sherd BG51 83. These take the form of irregular fragments, a contrasting pale brown in colour, with a fine-grained matrix and strikingly vesicular nature ( ${ }^{-30 x}$ ). Some of the voids tend to a distinctive rhomboidal shape reminiscent of calcite cleavage fragments, and they of ten contain a brown, shrunken patch of amorphous aaterial: the clay matrix contains only rare silt-sized quartz grains and displays strong aggregate birefringence resulting from a vosepic fabric. These
fragments are interpreted as grog derived from some earlier calcitetempered pot, subsequently decalcified, similar to a type observed from Neolithic contexts at Trefignath and Din Dryfol (Group 3: Jenkins 1987) and also observed in sherds from Gwernvale, and recorded for example by Peacock (1977), but of which no trace has otherwise been detected at Brenig. Furthermore, these grog fragments themselves also contain what is presumably an earlier generation still of darker, denser grog fragments.


Figure 1: Clast content and composition of sherds


Figure 2: Principle Component Analysis of the sherds

Jenkins ：TABLE 2：Petrographic Analytical Data（1）

| SHERD <br> NUMBER | 号 | 免 | 皆 | ర్ర |  | 在 | 0 世 0 0 0 0 0 |  | 昮 | 咸 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POT } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 7 | 61 | C | 0 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 31 | urn |
| 44 （185） | 6 | 62 | CL | 1 | － | 4 | － | － | － | 27 | urn |
| 44.1 | 10 | 57 | CL | 1 | － | 4 | － | － | － | 28 | urn |
| 44．B | 6 | 70 | ZC | 9 | － | 2 | － | － | － | 13 | urn |
| 45.1 | 6 | 66 | ZC | 26 | － | 1 | － | ？ | － | 1 | urn |
| 45．B | 9 | 57 | CL | 27 | － | 2 | － | － | － | 5 | urn |
| 51.4 | 5 | 53 | ZC | 1 | － | 3 | － | － | － | 38 | urn |
| 51．B | 8 | 58 | C | 0 | － | 9 | － | － | － | 25 | FV urn |
| 51.73 | 15 | 70＊ | CZ | 7＊ | － | 1 | ＋ | ＋ | － | 6 | Beaker |
| 51.83 | 10 | 54 | C | 1 | 2.3 | 2 | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | 33 | Beaker |
| 51.89 | 8 | 80＊ | C | 10＊ | － | 0.5 | － | ＋ | － | 2 | Beaker |
| 51.133 | 6 | 83＊ | C | 10＊ | － | 1 | － | ＋ | － | 0.3 | Beaker |
| 51.155 （a） | 11 | 69 | C | 10 | － | 4 | ＋ | － | － | 7 | Beaker |
| 51.155 （b） | 6 | 67 | C | 24 | － | 0.4 | ＋ | ＋ | － | 1 | Beaker |
| 51.174 | 6 | 70 | C | 22 | － | 1 | － | ＋ | ＋ | 1 | Beaker |
| 51.178 | 10 | 66 | ZC | 21 | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | Beaker |

Jenkins ：TABLE 2 ：Petrographic Analytical Data（2）：Details of Clasts

| SHERD NUMBER |  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岗 } \\ & \text { n } \\ & \text { un } \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\sim} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { ゴ } \\ & \text { ㅁ } \\ & \text { 5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \text { c } \\ & \text { 券 } \\ & \text { 를 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { \#̈ } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { E } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | septxo•os + - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \underset{y}{3} \\ & \overrightarrow{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{y}{4} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 31 | － | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ 24.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \% \\ 1.2 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 5.0 \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| 44 （185） | 27 | － | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ 20.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \% \\ 1.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | ＋ | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| 44．A | 28 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \% \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \% \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \% \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | － | ＋ | － | － | － | － | － | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \% \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ |
| 44．B | 13 | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & 1.3 \end{aligned}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ 11.7 \end{array}$ | － | ＋ | ＋ | － | － | － | － | － |
| 45．A | 1 | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | － | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| 45．B | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 2.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 2.5 \end{aligned}$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| 51.4 | 38 | － | － | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \% \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \% \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \% \\ 1.9 \end{array}$ | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ 34.2 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \% \\ 1.1 \end{array}$ | － |
| 51．B | 25 | － | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & 9.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \% \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ 14.3 \end{array}$ | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | － | － | － | － |
| 51.73 | 6 | － | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \% \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | ＋ | － | ＋ | － | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \% \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | － |
| 51.83 | 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ 24.8 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \% \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \% \\ 1.7 \end{array}$ | ＊ | ＋ | － | － | － | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \% \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \% \\ 1.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \% \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ |
| 51.89 | 2 | － | － | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | － | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \% \\ & 1.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ | － |
| 51.133 | 0.3 | － | － | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | － | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | － |
| 51．155（a） | 7 | － | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & 3.1 \end{aligned}$ | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | － | ＋ | － | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | － |
| 51.155 （b） | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ | － |
| 51.174 | 1 | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | － | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | ＋ | － | － | ＋ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \% \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \% \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | － |
| 51．178 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | － | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | － | － | － |

DISCUSSION

These petrographic analytical data will be used to assess, firstly, general affinities and groupings amongst the total group of sherds. The data will then be interpreted, insofar as is possible, in terms of both the provenance of the material used in the manufacture of the sherds, on the basis of the petrography of the clasts and mineralogy of the matrix, and also of the manufacturing processes involved, as reflected in the fabric.

## Groupings amongst the sherds

Visual inspection of the data in Table 2 suggests various possible divisions and aff' ities. Firstly, the isolated sherd BG44 185 shows a sufficient similarity to urn BG44 A in its grain, grog, and clast content and composition to suggest that it is part of the same pot and its isolation in the pit must be due to animal disturbance. By contrast, the two sherds both bearing the number bG51 155 (indicating adjacent discovery) differ sufficiently in clast content and composition to justify their being considered to derive from two different pots.

As a group there are the affinities already noted according to clast and mineral content. These group together the beaker sherds (except for BG51 178) by their afic igneous clast content and detrital
ferromagnesian grains (pyroxene, amphibole, biotite); except for BG51.83, the Beaker sherds are also linked with the Bronze Age urns from Brenig 45, by their lower clast content (1-7\%; cf. 13-38\%). Examining the clast content in detail, however, it is noteworthy that clast types occur in all possible combinations despite their diversity and that they therefore appear to comprise a single interlinked, if varied, group. For example, BG51.83, although dowinated by silicic and sedimentary/me amorphic rock types in common with the other sherds of high clast content, also contains traces of mafic igneous material. These relationships are displayed graphically in Fig. 1.

Taking all the quantitative data into account, the relationships can be resolved by Principal Component Analysis, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Here the first two axes, which account for nearly $50 \%$ of the Trace, are plotted; they are determined mainly by aatrix, grog, lithic sandstone, slate \& vesicular grog \%, and by orthoquartzite \& fine silicic igneous $x$ respectively. Fron this it can be seen that, except for BG51 83, the beaker sherds group together, and, moreover, that the urns from Brenig 45 are associated with this group rather than with the other urns. Beaker BG51 83 is separate from all other sherds, presumably because it alone carries the distinctive vesicular grog and also the slate clasts.

## Provenance of component materials

Identification of rock types used as temper, or of the mineral suite within the 'clay' natrix, raises the possibility of locating their geographic source should these components prove to be geologically distinctive. Clay and temper may derive from different sources, and in the case of grog fragments further sources may again be involved. However, there is a problem in obtaining representative analyses due to the liaitations imposed by the sampling. Clasts can only be identified in a thin section which is usually limited to a few square centimetres at the most, and within which only a small number of clasts may be present: in the sherds from Brenig the number of clasts seen varied from only two (BG45 B) or four (BG51 155b, BG51 178) to over 100 (BG51 83). Where small numbers are involved the significance of clast petrology can therefore only be by presence and not by absence. Also, since clast fragments are small ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mm}-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the Brenig sherds) it is often difficult to identify rock types with certainty, especially when coarsegreined or altered. Matrix mineralogy is also difficult to establish adequately from a thin section and such studies require 'heavy mineral analysis' (Williams \& kins 1976) and thus larger amounts of sherd than were available in the Brenig st"dy.

Clast shape can be informative, since rounded clasts may denote a natural detrital source such as a stream, lake or shore deposit. Markedly angular and irregular clasts might suggest that solid rock
material had been crushed to obtain the temper, as is possibly the case with sone of the hornblende fragments. The subangular, sub-rounded clasts generally observed in the present study imply the use of a natural deposit. This conclusion is reinforced by the remarkable diversity of rock types encountered, which is more likely to have been provided by a natural deposit derived from an area of complex geology than by a mixture of crushed rock types. With regard to the clay, a few clues are provided by the mineralogy observed in thin section. There is a correspondence betreen matrix and clasts evident in the correlation between the occurrence of detrital ferromagnesian minerals and mafic igneous clasts, and between detrital felspars and coarse silicic igneous clasts. Other minerals such as the ubiquitous quartz are less diagnostic except in special cases: for example overgrowths in optical continuity over a red pellicle on well-rounded grains would be indicative of the Trias. No such grains were seen in the Brenig sherds thus excluding a Triassic source, although one euhedral overgrowth on a rounded grain without a pellicle was seen in the small urn BG51 A. Further information on the source of 'clay' used for the matrix is provided by the presence or absence of biogenic material such as phytoliths and diatons. These were only seen in beaker sherds BG51 174 \& 178, which suggests that the clay used for these pots was derived from a 'colonised' deposit such as a topsoil or alluvium, whereas for the other pots a 'sterile' source was used, such as a subsoil or glacial/periglacial deposit.

As to possible geographic sources for the material used to manufacture the Brenig sherds, the clast petrography is informative. The diverse rock types present are not available locally at Brenig, which is an area of relatively simple solid geology, outcrops being restricted to Lower Palaeozoic mudstones and sandstones (ribbon-banded mudstones of the Nantglyn Flags Group: Warren et al. 1984). Nor are such materials likely to be present in the superficial geology, since the glacial and periglacial deposits are also essentially local in origin, Snowdonian/Arenig rhyolites, granites, tuffs and dolerites being seen only rarely (Warren et al. 1984): this was confirmed by the two analyses of local strean sediments. The multiple combinations of the different rock types suggest that a single source was involved in an area of complex geology encompassing in close proximity sedimentary, metamorphic, and both coarse/fine, mafic/silicic igneous rocks, amongst them the distinctive varieties such as the lithic sandstone, the hornblende rock, and the spherulitic rhyolites.

Some of these rock types occur individually in Snowdonia, but the nearest potential source of such a concentrated assemblage of all these rocks is to be found in the Welsh Borderland (Earp \& Hains 1971). In this region numerous small inliers of the (presumed) Precambrian occur as at the Wrekin, Long Mynd, Pontesford and Malvern. Within these areas the 'Uriconian' encompasses a suite of calc-alkali lavas and tuffs ranging fron basalt through to rhyolite together with dolerite and granophyre intrusions: spherulitic rhyolites, for example, have been
recorded at Wrockwardine and Earl's Hill. The underlying 'Malvernian' includes quartz-aica schists and hornblende-biotite gneisses together with diorites and hornblende granites, whilst the overlying 'Longmyndian' comprises a suite of derived sedimentary rocks. Strean sediments examined from the Malvern and Shelve areas have, amongst the 630-2000 fraction, many rock types siailar to those in the sherd clasts. However, identifying any specific source in the Borderland would require more detailed information and intensive fieldwork.

Manufacturing processes

The fabric, void, clast and grog sontent reflect the choice of component proportions and the manner in which the pots were produced. It is of interest that the $\boldsymbol{x}$ grog and $x$ clast show a strong negative correlation ( $r=-0.82$ : $P<0.1$ ) which would inply that they were alternative choices of sources of temper used to make up a total of 1739\% (excluding the three sherds where grog $\%$ is probably an underestinate). The range presumably reflects the technological requirements of the manufacturing processes used for the particular clays, and is not evident from macroscopic examination of the sherds. The grog is of further interest in that it indicates a conscious reuse of pottery material, sometimes repeatedly, despite the apparent local availability of detrital material as temper. It can be inagined that
such a choice could well have had ritualistic motivation. Much of this reuse involved pottery of comparable composition and origin, perhaps 'wasters' lying around the workshop. The vesicular grog in BG51 83, however, indicates that extraneous pottery was used in at least one case.

## CONCLUSIONS

Detailed examination of thin sections of the sixteen sherds under the petrological aicroscope has provided an insight into their interrelationships and origins. The petrographic data support suggestions that certain sherds derive from the same pot (e.g. BG44 A \& 185) whilst others do not (e.g. BG51 155 a \& b). Overall, the data on fabric and composition reveal a general coherence amongst the beaker sherds with which the earlier urns (BG45 A \& B) also show an affinity: one beaker sherd (BG51 83) is anomalous in this respect, and is also distinguished by its unusual grog content which is interpreted as deriving from a distinctive foreign calcite-tempered pot material, subsequently decalcified. By contrast, the pots with middle (BG40 \& BG51 A \& B) and later (BG44 A \& B) dates can be distinguished by their higher clast and lower grog contents and by the lack of coarse igneous material amongst their clasts.

As to provenance, the data are also informative due to the distinctive nature of the clast petrography, despite the linitations inposed by the small sample size of sherds that could be examined. The subrounded detrital nature of their clasts and their biolith content suggests that some of the beakers were made from surface soil or other deposits, but that the remainder involved the use of subsurface or glacial deposits and only occasionally of crushed rock. Whilst a diverse range of rock types is represented in the clasts, their various conbinations in individual sherds suggest derivation from within a single source area, albeit complex and variable. Brenig itself can be excluded as such a source of material used in the manufacture of the pots analysed by virtue of its incompatible solid and superficial geology.

The most likely area to provide variable nixtures of rhyolites, granites, dolerites, lithic sandstones, schist, etc., would be one of the outcrops of the Precambrian in the Welsh Borderland, such as the Shelve or Malvern. Through a detailed examination of sediments from such areas it is possible that a specific source aight be identified by the matching of distinctive rock-types such as the spherulitic rhyolite 'hornblendite' and lithic sandstone. Distinctive petrographic assemblages have been described for the clasts in Iron Age pottery from the southern part of the Welsh Borderland by Peacock (1968). These include granite, quartz-diorite and nica schist material (i.e. 'Malvern Group A') as well as Palaeozoic linestones ('Group B1'), Mesozoic
oolitic linestones ('Group B2') and Silurian sandstones ('Group C'). Nothing comparable to Group B has been seen within the Brenig sherds, and although in some sherds there may be affinities with Groups A and C, it would require futher work to verify this possibility. This potential association between pottery in north Wales and the Welsh Borderland is one that has already been noted from other sites such as Moel-y-Gaer and Dinorben. It hints at a tradition possibly extending from the late Neolithic to the Iron Age, and is in strong contrast to the apparent local origins of Neolithic pottery fron Trefignath (Jenkins 1987) and Bronze Age pottery from Anglesey (Williams \& Jenkins 1976).
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TABLE 1: Sherd and other samples analysed

| Sherd No. | Group | Unoxiaised colour | ```max thickness (ma)``` | Laboratory thin section No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 A | urn | brown | 12 | 1432a $k$ b |
| 44 B | urn | red brown | 8 | 1429 |
| 44.185 | urn fragent | grey brown | 5 | 1430 |
| 40 | urn | dark grey brown | 8 | 1431 |
| 51 A | urn | orange brown* | 7 | 1433a \& b |
| 51 B | food vessel | grey brown | 6 | 1436 a \& b |
| 45 A | urn | grey brown | 9 | 1433c |
| 45 B | urn | grey brown | 9 | 1434 |
| 51.73 | Beaker | brown | 12 | 1438 |
| 51.83 | Beaker | grey brown* | 14 | 1437 |
| 51.89 | Beaker | grey brown | 8 | 1452a \& b |
| 51.133 | Beaker | grey brown* | 8 | 1464 a \& b |
| 51.155a | Beaker | grey brown | 5 | 1451 |
| 51.155b | Beaker | grey brown | 6 | 1451 |
| 51.174 | Beaker | brown* | 8 | 1463a \& b |
| 51.178 | Beaker | brown* <br> * denotes a dark <br> reduced interi | $7$ <br> rey-black zone | 1471 |
| Strean gravel - NE Brenig (SH.988568) 2.0-0.63ma <br> Stream gravel - NW Brenig (SH.968562) 2.0-0.63ma |  |  |  | 1604 |
|  |  |  |  | 1605 |

## Note

The urns from Brenig 44 have a late C14 date ( no. 30). The urns from Brenig 40 and 51 have dates in the middle period of cemetery activity (nos. $10,11,18,20$ ); so do the beaker sherds (no. 19).
The urns from Brenig 45 have the earliest $\mathbf{C 1 4}$ dates (nos. $12 \& 13$ ).

# NOTES ON THE BEAKER POTTERY RABRICS FROM EXCAVATIONS AT BRENIG SITE 51. CLWYD 

By Dr T.C. Darvill (then of the University of Southampton)

Macroscopic inspection of the Beaker pottery assemblage recovered from below Brenig 51 revealed that the doninant fabric present was characterised by its slightly greasy feel and the presence of occasional stone and grog additives to the clay matrix. This basic fabric appeared to have been used for both thin-walled and thick-walled vessels alike. Macroscopically observable variation in firing conditions, surface colour and the frequency of non-plastic inclusions led to the suggestion that there aight be some variability within the fabrics themselves, and accordingly five samples were selected for detailed analysis which seened to cover the variability previously noted. The sherds were used for the production of thin sections so that fabrics and the nonplastic inclusions therein could be studied in detail under a conventional petrological microscope. Table 1 sets out a list of sherds sampled and the thin-section number given to each.

Under the microscope it was clear that the basic mineral suites in each sample were essentially the same, the variation being caused primarily by different treatment during production (e.g. degree of aixing, firing atmosphere, amount of additives etc.). For this reason
it is appropriate to describe only one slide here: $N 345$ being selected as it showed the rock inclusions rather more clearly than the other samples by virtue of having a greater density of inclusions.

The groundaass is fine-grained, anisotropic, and contains within it fine muscovite aica flecks up to 0.1 a long and fine quartz grains, rounded to sub-angular in shape, and mostly less than 0.08 ma across, although exceptional grains are as large as 0.1 macross. The groundmass is dark, due to the presence of a considerable amount of unburnt carbon, and consequently little can be said about the texture of the matrix. Set within the groundaass are two principal additives. The first comprises grog pellets which are rounded and up to 1.5 an long. They appear in a contrasting fabric to the matrix in which they are set as they contain no visible aica, very little quartz and occasional rhyolite fragments. This grog must thereiore derive from pots aade from clay other than that used for making the pots under study here. The second major non-plastic inclusions are fragents of altered sandstone, probably at one tive a greywache sandstone. These occur in angular fragments up to 0.35 mm across.

It is difficult to make precise statements regarding the origin of the fabric under discussion. It should not be assumed that the clay and the rock additives derive from the same place, since either could have been transported some distance before being mixed and used to make pottery. In the absence of comparative clay samples no source can be
suggested for the clay itself, and because the rock fragments are too small for detailed characterisation, and the spread of comparable rock outcrops is large, only vague hirts can be given regarding the source of the tempering. The site on which the sherds were found is set on the Ludlow beds of the Silurian system (Saith \& George 1961, 50-51), which do contain various siltstones, mudstones and altered greywaches. The spread of the Ludlow beds is wide, however, extending from the north coast of Wales near Conwy as far south as Llangollen. No firn origin can be given wi hin that area, but the Denbighshire Moors and Clwydian Hills are probably the most likely areas since it is here that the coarser components of the Ludlow series are to be found. It is not possible, without a certain amount of fieldwork near the site, to say whether the pottisry could have been made locally or would have had to have been imported.

TABLE 1 : Sherds sampled for analysis

Sherd number
Thin-section number (1)

Brenig 51/121 N343
Brenig 51/109 N344
Brenig 51/104 N345
Brenig 51/90 . N346
Brenig 51/81 N347
(1) Thin-section numbers relate to the Thin-section Library at the University of Southampton, Department of Archaeology, where the slides are now housed.
petrological report for brenig 48. find No. 717

Dr Elaine Morris, then of the University of Southampton.

My reference no. - NG.

A single, saall rin sherd was recovered from the surface of the subsoil from within the round wooden structure beneath BG48:07 (Fig. $x \times x$ ). The fabric is slightly sandy, slightly vesicular, and smoothed on the surface. This soft fabric is reduced to a dark brown to grey/black colour. ie vertical-shaped ria has an internal double groove and a single one on the outside.

Macroscopically, this vesicular fabric is recognisable by its surface which is moderately to sparsely pitted with irregular voids, generally up to 3.5 macross. Unfortunately, a fresh break to the sherd's section revealed no identifiable inclusions other than a saall amount of fine quartz in a loosely structured matrix. There was no reaction from the fabric to an application of dilute hydrochloric acid.

Microscopically, this is an anisotropic clay matrix which has rare fragments of mica, and is generally well-mixed throughout. The background inclusions in the matrix consist of a $5-10 \%$ concentration of
sub-angular quartz from 0.1 ma or less in size, and less than $1 \%$ concentration of angular quartz 0.1 me or larger. There is also a 510\% concentration of dark red/black, frequently opaque, argillaceous matter which may be natural clay pellets, which vary from 0.05 mm - 1.0 man inse.

In addition to the above inclusions, there are amorphous-shaped lumps of quartz cemented within a clayey matrix which is lighter in colour than the general sherd matrix. These lump are mudstones, argillaceous sedimentary rocks consisting of quartz grains floating in a solid matrix of mud. The quartz in the mudstone is less than 0.15 mm across and is of a high concentration - more than 25\%. These nudstones are loosely structured and frequently they have been partially or completely weathered out, leaving irregularly-shaped voids. The largest mudstone frageent visible (only one thin section was prepared) measured 5.2 m long, but the average length is 2.0 ma . These mudstones, or their voids, comprise $10-15 \%$ of this example. They are probably a natural inclusion in the clay, and not a temper additive.

Extremely similar material has been identified macroscopically, and confirmed petrologically, from Iron Age contexts at the following sites:

Breidden (all vesicular pottery is mudstone fabric)

| Ffridd Faldwy | ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| The Berth | ) |
| Midsumer Hill Camp | ( " ) |



| Credenhill | ) The mudstone fabric |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kenchester (Iron Age occupation) forthcoming | ) sites is less than |
|  | ) 18 of the total |
| Croft Ambrey | ) Iron Age pottery |
| Danes Camp. Conderton | ) by weight from that |
|  | ) site |

This distribution and rare presence of Malvernian inclusions in a saall number of examples (to be published in detail elsewhere - thesis, Southampton University) suggests that a source for this fabric may lie in west Worcestershire. This is supported by the presence of mudstones in this area (Earp \& Hains 1971, 72-77; 88). The pottery distribution strongly follows the River Severn valley, and is in contrast to the distribution of Malvernian $\mathrm{Bl}_{1}$ fabric wares (Peacock 1968, 421-22; Fig. 2):- where there is a large proportion of $B_{1}$ fabric material, i.e. at Beckford, Croft Ambrey and Sutton Malls, there is very little or no mudstone fabric pottery; while at Midsummer Hill Camp, Blackstone, The Berth and Breidden there is no $\mathrm{Bl}_{1}$ fabric pottery, only mudstone fabric pottery. The majority of these sites have yielded Malvernian A fabric material.

The rim and vessel forms, rare decoration of stamped and lineartooling, and occasional additional rocks and minerals all suggest that this mudstone fabric may belong to the Malvern Hills complex of Iron Age pottery production in this region. However, the source for this fabric may prove to be up to $8-15 \mathrm{~km}$ from the Hills themselves. Nevertheless, the mudstone aterial could be considered a candidate for inclusion in the general terminology of 'Iron Age Malvernian pottery'.

SECOND PETROLOGICAL REPORT FOR BRENIG 48 FINDS Nos. 730 and 739

My Reference Nos., - NH and NI, respectively.

Two saall sherds were submitted for examination in response to the first report on BG48-Find No. 717. These three sherds constitute the entire collection of hand-made, prehistoric pottery found on the surface of the subsoil at the centre of the post-ring building on this site.

Find no. 739(NI) is a small fragment of a probable body sherd. It is black in colour and seems to be sooted (?pre- or post-depositional sooting). This sherd is slightly vesicular and very light in weight. Microscopically, by thin-sectioning and petrological analysis, the fabric of this sherd proved to be identical to the mudstone fabric of BG48 - Find No. 717 described in detail in the first report.

Find No. $730(\mathrm{NH})$ is another sadll probable rim sherd which is black in colour. This sherd is not vesicular and appears to be much denser than either BG48 - Find No. 717 or 739. Microscopically, the fabric of this sherd is extremely similar (?identical) to the Iron Age Malvernian Group C Fabric identified by Peacock (1968, 423-24; Fig. 3:18-23). This sandstone fabric is most likely derived from the Cowleigh Park beds located immediately to the west of the Malverns in Hereford and Worcester. In detail, the fabric consists of an optically anisotropic red/brown clay matrix containing frequent, crushed coarse sandstone
fragments. These fragments are angular in shape, and contain round grains of quartz in a granular cement. One piece of sandstone contained a fragment of plagioclase felspar (albite twinning), and another piece had a lava fragnent. The fabric also has a background of sub-angular quartz grains measuring about 0.05 macross and rare clay pellets. Three pieces of rhyolite were also present. They measured fron 1.0 me 3.0 macross. It is interesting to note the similarity in ceramic fabric assenblages between the Iron Age occupations at the Breiddin hillfort and Brenig 48. Petrological analysis of the Breiddin collection by Dr David Willians (Musson pers.coma.) has demonstrated the presence of both mudstone fabrics and probable Malvernian Group $\mathbf{C}$ pottery on that site. Thus, the identification at Brenig 48 of two types of Iron Age pottery probably produced in the Malvernian area of Hereford and Worcester places this seemingly isolated site within the broader social-ceramic network of the West Midlands-Welsh Borderland.
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## APPENDIX 5

## HUMAN CREMATIONS FROM THE BRENIG VALLEX

Carole A. Keepax and T.P. O'Connor, Ancient Monuments Laboratory, London.

Twenty-two samples of cremated bones from ten sites in the Brenig Valley were subaitted for study. A further seven samples were examined in the field. With one exception the sites were thought to be Bronze Age in date.

Some of the samples contained bone only, but many were submitted with the surrounding deposit. These samples were generslly passed through a 1 mis sieve and the bone separated by hand. The bone was then divided into anatomical groups (e.g. long bones, skull), and each part weighed separately (see Table 1). The samples examined in the field were not weighed. Wherever possible, coments are made on the age, sex and number of individuals present in each sample.

## Brenig 42

BG42:15 "On old ground surface in SE quad. - possible pyre site (F3)".

A few tiny fragments of unidentifiable burnt bone are present.

## Brenis 40

BG40:4 "From disturbed area at centre of barrow, associated with sherds of a Collared Urn".

This sample contains calcined bone fragments representing most parts of the body (see Table 1). Some of the tooth fragments suggest that the individual may have been fairly elderly.

## Brenis 41

BG41:27 A sample thought to be wood: "from the base of the grave pit".

The structures observed resemble highly decayed bone.

BG41:32 A sample thought to be wood: "from the edge of the grave pit".

Heavily iron-stained deposit with iron-replaced material, possibly bone.

Breni\& 45 : Burial 1
BG45:196 "Fron central grave pit (disturbed by Victorian robber-pit)".

There is a saall amount of human calcined bone (mainly long bone shaft fragments).

BG45:66. "Bottom of Turf Stack Mound", assumed to be spill from central grave. Examined in the field.

There are only a few fragments, minly long bone shafts.

BG45:20 "From Victorian robber-pit".
A few fragments of calcined long bone shaft are present, probably human.

Brenit 45 : Burial 2

BG45:32 (F9) Examined in the field.

These are the fairly well-calcined remains of an adult, probably in the age range 25-45 years. The sex is indeterainate though there are indications that the individual may have been a female. Most parts of the body are represented; there is no evidence suggesting that more than one individual is present.

Brenig 45 : Burial 3

BG45:8 (F4) Examined in the field.

These are the fairly well-calcined remains of a mature adult. There are some indications that the individual may have been male. Most parts of the body are represented, and there is no evidence to suggest that more than one individual is present. The hand, long bones, and vertebrae display slight bony lipping, probably due to degenerative joint disease (osteoarthritis).

Brenig 45 : Burial 4

BG45 : Cremation found in 1971. "Content of cremation pit from the top of the turf stack mound".

This deposit was previously examined at Liverpool University; our comments agree with theirs, i.e. that there is a small quantity of unidentifiable human bone.

Brenig 45 : Burial 5
BG45:185 (F21) "Cremation in top of turf stack mound".
A very snall amount of calcined human bone (probably from an adult) is present. This consists mainly of tooth roots and fragments of phalanges.

BG45:184 (F21) "Contents of a cremation pit in top of turf stack mound (see sample 185)".
This large soil sample yielded less than 1 g of bone, not identifiable as human.

Brenis 45 : Burial 6
BG45: F3 (Urn 1) "Contents of Urn". Examined in the field.
This urn contained the well-calcined renains of a fairly young adult, probably male. Most parts of the body are represented. There is no evidence to suggest that more than one individual is present.

BG45:6 (F3) "Bone from outside Urn 1". Examined in the field.
A very small amount of well-calcined bone (mainly fragments of skull vault and long bone shafts) is present. There is no good evidence to indicate the age and sex of this obviously incomplete individual, but the general thickness of the bones suggests that this was not a child.

Brenis 45 : Burial 7
BG45:Urn 2. "Contents of saall urn in palisade trench". Examined in the field.

Very few well-calcined, poorly-preserved bones are present. Much of the bone was reduced to very small, unidentifiable fragments. A few fragments of long bone shaft and skull, some deciduous tooth crowns with unformed roots, and left and right petrous bones were identified. The state of the dental development and general size of the bones indicate that the child was new-born or not older than six months at the t'ne of death.

The major parts of the skeleton are represented and therefore there is no reason to suppose that an incomplete body was cremated. The bones are poorly preserved because of their originally frail nature. Any discussion of 'ear bone rituals' is therefore not justified in this case.

BG45:39 "From just outside the 'wall' beneath the 'cairn'". Examined in the field.

A very small amount of unidentifiable bone is present.

## Brenis 8

BG8:8 "Isolated scatter of bone in central grave pit in dark loam".
A few fragments of unidentifiable bone (probably unburnt) are present. These cannot be definitely identified as human.

BG8:11 "Main mass of cremated bone in dark loan fill in central grave".

A few fragments of poorly-preserved burnt bone are present. Most of these seen to be from long bones. Some fragments are thinner than usual, suggesting that the individual may have been inmature.

## Brenis 46

BG46:1\&2 (F9) "Cremation in small stone cist near edge of cairn".
A minimun of one adult individual is present; most parts of the body are represented (see Table 1).

## Brenis 14

BG14:8 "Entire contents of a cremation pit beneath the central area of cairn".

Most parts of the body of a single individual are represented (see Table 1). This was probably an adult. There is no evidence suggesting the presence of more than one person.

## Brenig 6

BG6:09A "Fron disturbed turf and stone mound of cairn, associated with grey soil".

A few fragments of calcined bone are present. These cannot be identified as definitely human.

## Brenid 51

BG51:F7 "Contents of Urn B". Primary burial.

The remains were partly embedded in a ferruginous 'iron pan' material. They represent a minimun of two individuals, as shown by duplication of the pisiforn bones. The bones of the two individuals could not be distinguished, but it appears that both were adults; their sex is uncertain. All long bones were well represented, although it is impossible to assess whether this applies to both individuals or not. The presence of numerous small extremity bones also suggests cremation of the entire body, as mentioned above (see Table 1).

Two vertebral centra display distinct osteophytoses around their margins. An apparently lipped proximal articulation of a metatarsal is also present, These changes are caused by degenerative joint disease (osteoarthritis), and indicate that at least one of the individuals was probably middle-aged or older.

BG51:F6 "Contents of small pit in central area".

The reaains are probably those of a juvenile (in the order of 11 years old). This age estimate was based mainly on the teeth, which included a deciduous canine. The sex could not be determined. All parts of the body are represented, suggesting that the body had not been allowed to decay before removal to the cremation fire (see Table 1). No pathological abnormalities were noted.

## Brenig 44

BG44:294(F43) "Contents of a pit in the centre of the Ring Cairn".

These are the well-calcined remains of an adult, probably not very advanced in age. Most parts of the body are well represented (see Table 1). There is nothing to suggest that more than one individual is present.

BG44:Spoil heap "Scraps of bone from central cremation (F43)".

A small amount of well-calcined bone from an adult individual, including long bone shaft fragments, tooth roots and bones from the hand, was identified.

BG44: F20 "Contents of Pot $A$ ".

The remains of an adult are present. There is no evidence suggesting the presence of more than one individual. Most parts of the body are represented (see Table 1).

BG44:F20 "Contents of Pot B ".
The well-cal ined remains of at least tho individuals are represented, as indicated by the identification of two right petrous bones). The presence of partially-developed tooth crowns and unfused epiphyses sugsests that one individual was a child, probably about 5 years old. Teeth and bone frageents indicate that the other individual was an adult, but probably not very advanced in age. Weights are indicated on Table 1.

BG44:147 "From Pit B in the cairn built against the ring on the west. This pit belonged to the latest phase of activity ".

There are a few saall and eroded fragments of bone, not necessarily human.

## Brenis 48

BG48 01:97 "From the nidden in front of the house in Area 01".
A few fragnents of calcined, unidentifiable bone are present, together with a few fragments of herbivore teeth and the unburnt lower third molar of a cow.
(Animal bones identified by Mr. R. Jones).

TABLE 1 Weights of anatomical groups in Brenig creations (g)

Saple Long Stull i Verte- Pelvis Pectoral Bxtren- Ribs Hisc. fotal
No. bones teeth brae ities

| BG 11 | 116 | 33 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 170 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B6 40 | 450 | 160 | 20 | 31 | 0 | 30 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| B64 Pot 4 | 288 | 138 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 141 | 519 |
| BG4 Pot B | 122 | 304 | 25 | 11(?) | 12 | 33 | 19 | 192 | 1678 (2 indivs.) |
| B644:P43 | 609 | 221 | 57 | 5(?) | 3 | 14 | 15 | 114 | 1694 |
| B6 46 | 300 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 114 |
| B651:P6 | 165 | 108 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 46 | 5 | 0 | 366 |
| B651:P1 | 182 | 109 | 18 | 21 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 0 | 128 (2 indivs.) |

Appendix 7
glacial and lacustrine sediments of the brenig valley: analytical RESULTS.

Edward Derbyshire, Professor of Physical Geography, University of Leicester.

These analyses were carried out for the Dee and Clwyd Water Board in connection with the engineering of the dam.

The bedrock surfaces of the Brenig-Fechan valley and large areas of moorland to che east are mantled by thicknesses of up to 42 m of till, sands and gravels left behind by the Pleistocene glaciers and their meltwaters, and often interbedded with lacustrine deposits. The tills are well-graded to clast-dominated in type. The tills are characterised by both subvertical and subhorizontal fissures, a strongly consistent pebble and granule orientation and a loose to compact microfabric. They are regarded as a mixture of subglacial lodgement and meltout tills (i.e. deposited beneath the glacer by plastering on to the surface and by slow melting out of debris-rich basal ice, respectively). The clasts are predominantly of Denbigh Grit lithology and generally subangular to subrounded with occasionally striated facets. They are clearly of southerly to south-westerly provenance, as is the grey (R7 5/2 Munsell) silty-clay matrix. The clay-size fractions are made up of quartz, muscovite and chlorite derived as rock flour by the glacial grinding of
the local bedrock, clay minerals attributable to atmospheric weathering being absent. Reaction varies from neutral to mildy acidic. Pedogenic modification (alteration by soil forming processes) is limited to the upper 4 m , where acidic to strongly acidic reaction is common. However, even here, mineralogical alteration is only superficial. The yellow colour of the till fines ( 2.5 Y 6/4) is due to finely divided iron hydroxides, which coat clast surfaces and till fissures, together with manganese oxides which locally act as a cement.

Tills
The Brenig tills are well graded to matrix dominated lodgement and meltout tills, the matrix being of cohesive type (McGown \& Derbyshire, 1977). Typical grading envelopes of material finer than 60 mm (Fig. 1) show a gravelly, sandy clay silt, $45-59 \%$ being in the clay and silt range and an average of $20 \%$ in the sand grades. This reflects the origin of the till from abrasion of greywacke bedrock. The logprobability plot (Fig. 2) brings out the sharp break at the lower end of the coarse silt grade, separating the matrix from the clast population (McGown 1973) in the manner typical of comminution tills.

The tills are clearly of southerly to south-westerly provenance as indicated by the dominance of clasts of gritstone and siltstone (Denbigh Grits). This provenance is confirmed by long-axis orientation study of clasts from selected samples of till from the eastern (large) drumin (Fig. 3) at the dam site.

The fabric of the tills may be expressed in terms of selected structural components, namely the joints, the disposition of the a-b planes of included clasts (i.e. the largest plane within the clast form), and the organisation of the matrix (microfabric).

Four major joint suites appear indicated. These are made up of two high angle joint sets, one dipping at $72^{\circ}$ towards $012^{\circ}$ true and the second dipping at $92^{\circ}$ towards $285^{\circ}$. The deduced mean direction of ice movement (Fig. 4) almost precisely bisects these two joint set directions, suggesting a major principal stress from between south and west. This might be explained by shear stresses (Kupsch 1955) from a glacier overriding previously deposited till.

The macro-fissility so common in overconsolidated tills (Elson 1961) is expressed in this till by two low-angle fissure suites. The first, dipping at $10^{\circ}$ towards $035^{\circ}$, bisects the angle between the drumlin axis and the median pebble orientation. The second, dipping at an average of $13^{\circ}$ towards $300^{\circ}$, closely approximates the azimuth of the adjacent sideslope of the drumlin and the a-b planes of the contained pebble- and granule-size clasts.

The disposition of the a-b plane (or the maximm projection areas) of till clasts is a sensitive indicator of the pressure field within the till during its deposition. It is broadly complementary to the pebble long-axis orientation. The dip and azimuth of the a-b planes of till particles (the till fabric) are plotted on a Schmidt net (lower hemisphere). It can be seen (Figs. 3-6) that the fabric, the drumlin
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form and the dominant fissure population (expressed as macro-fissility) are closely related. The disposition of the clasts is original, but the sympathetic fissure population has probably been accentuated by pressure release.

Stereograms of the disposition of the a-b planes and a-axes of the same clasts are presented for comparison (Figs 5 and 6). The a-axis maximum is much weaker than that for elongate pebble-size clasts (Fig. 3). In the case of Figs 5 and 6, all clasts were measured rather than just those with clearly developed long axes, and the dominance of platy or wedge-shaped clasts over rods results in a redur?d maximum in the aaxis plot. Nevertheless, the modal orientation ( $245^{\circ}$ ) is very similar to that of the pebble-size clasis $\left(225^{\circ}\right)$.

A small sample of grey till from a borehole was air-dried, fractured and vacum-coated with gold palladium and viewed at a variety of magnifications in a scanning electron microscope.

The matrix was found to ccisist of silt and clay sized quartz fragments, together with clay minerals (see below). The handling properties of the till matrix are those typical of silts, but the grading curves indicate that the predominant grain size is a silty clay. This apparent anomaly is explained by the aggregation of the clays into silt-size units ('domains'). The fabric also includes clusters of clay (in domains with stepped face-to-face structure) and occasional single clay grains which act as delicate bridges between silt-size clusters. Clay aiso acts as a coating on the larger grains. Figure 7 shows the
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features described. The sample has much in common with microfabrics of modern, dilated lodgement tills although it does contain "ome compact, aligned aggregations similar to the matrix of some lightly overconsolidated Pleistocene lodgement tills.

Some sub-horizontal aggregates of clay and silt particles occur within this loose fabric, suggesting that this till sample is normally to only lightly over-consolidated, and probably of subglacial lodgement origin.

## Silty Clays

The grading envelope (Fig. 1) shows a predomisance of clay (45-55\%) with fine to medium silts ( $40 \%$ ) and some sand. Occasional pebbles ('dropstones') occur.

The rhythmically bedded silts, in doublets typically $1 \mathrm{~mm}-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in thickness, are the product of seasonal variation in the sedimentation rate of fines in a proglacial lake contained in the Brenig valley by ice from the Snowdon-Arenig ice-shed which sealed the valley at the southern (outflow) end. The rhythmites are best developed in the saddle and at depth (c. 30 m ) north of the eastern (large) drumlin crest. With proximity to the surface and to the onset (up-glacier) slope of the eastern drumlin, the silts become less and less clearly graded and thinner and less regular in occurrence. Silts do not appear to be significant in the upper 30 m of the onset face of the drumlin, and below this level, while occasionally laminated, they are nowhere
rhythmically bedded. In surface exposure, the silts may be finely laminated (average thickness 1.0 mm ) and remarkably uniform. The handling properties of the lacustrine deposits suggest a higher silt content than that found in the grading curves. This probably arises from deflocculation in the laboratory of the silt-size aggregates of clay particles making up these deposits.

In order to assess this possibility, small samples of grey and yellow silts were taken from two boreholes. These were air-dried and vacuum-coated with gold palladium prior to inspection in $\varepsilon$. scanning electron microscope.

The major structural units were found to be aggregations of silts: these aggregates reach 25 microns in diameter. The majority of the constituent grains are of silt-size, confirming the impression gained from the hand specimens, within which voids are evenly distributed. It is also evident, however, that many of these silt-size ccmponents are made up of clusters of clay-size particles. In fact, as Fig. 8 indicates, the clay-size particles are clustered together intc silt-size domains with a predominance of face-to-face contact. Single-grain fabric is absent. A degree of flocculation is suggested, therefore. In addition, the fabric includes some delicate clay-silt bridges and buttresses typical of many modern, normally consolidated lacustrine silty clays.

The silty clays slake readily in distilled water and in the unconfined state and, when peptized, consist predominantly of clay-size
grains. Elemental analysis using the electron microscope showed the major peak to be aluminium with notable peaks for chlorine and silicon. The iron peak was diminutive.

Where they overlie bedrock, both the tills and the lacustrine silts are yellow to varying degrees due principally to limonitic staining. The yellow colour in the tills is often irregular, patchy and often concentrated about small joints and matrix-clast interfaces. Sometimes, however, it affects the whole till or rhythmite mass. The discoloured material is more cohesive, slightly less permeable and may have a higher moisture content.

## X-ray Diffraction Analysis

In order to assess the degree to which weathering was responsible for the yellow colour, X-ray diffraction analysis was undertaken.

In the laboratory, a suspension of each sample was deposited on three glass slides and air-dried. One slide was scanned untreated, a second was heated to at least $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two hours and scanned, and a third was suspended in ethylene glycol vapour for one hour at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then scanned. Length of scans was from $3-30^{\circ} 2$ using CuK radiation and a Ni filter. The statistical error is $3 \%$.

The X-ray diffractograms from both grey and yellow rhythmites and tills are shown below (Figs $9 \& 10$ ).
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The principal minerals present are, aside from quartz (001 peak at 26.6 degrees 2 ), muscovite (peaks readily recognizable at about 10A ( 8.80 degrees 2 ) and 5A ( 17.8 degrees 2 ), and a chlorite with peaks at 14.25A ( 6.3 degrees 2 ), 72A ( 12.2 degrees 2 ), 4.75A ( 18.7 degrees 2 ) and 3.57A ( 25.2 degrees 2). Some traces from the till fines show feldspar peaks at 3.18A (28.0 degrees 2 : plagioclase) and 3.25A (27.4 degrees 2 : orthoclase).

The muscovite is well crystallised, as shown by the sharp peaks, and probably of the $1 M$ polytype, there being no evidence of the 1 Md polytype with its disordered structure, yielding broad peaks (illites). The disappearance on heating of reflections of higher order than 14 A identifies the chlorite component and its weak 001 and 003 reflections suggest it is the Fe-rich variety. There is no evidence of interstratified, mixed-layer minarals of either the montmorillonite-halloysite-kaolinite family or the montmorillonite-chlorite-muscoviteillite suites. The minor peak at about 7.9A (11 degrees 2 ) in the yellow silt is an unidentified non-clay mineral.

The striking similarity between the diffractograms for all samples is evident: they closely follow X-ray diffractogram traces for powders of the local bedrock types. The well-crystallised nature of the muscovite and the absence of illite and the interstratified mixed-layer clay minerals is consistent with the suggestion on process given below.

The yellow-staining of both tills and rhythmites is due to the presence of finely divided Fe . Closed tube and other tests suggest
limonite/goethite. In the joints and clast-matrix interfaces in the near surface samples from the core trench, faces were found to have a lining of material whose properties suggest the presence of haematite. This has not been encountered at depth and must be ascribed to pedogenic processes which would be interesting in view of this mineral's pedogenic occurrence in British soils being associated with palaeosols.

The pH of the samples varies from neutral ( 7.1 for till at a depth of 40 m ) to slightly acid (6.4-6.0 for grey tills of core trench at 5 $m$ depth and 6.6 for grey and yellow clays in boreholes) and distinctly acid (4.8-5.0 for yellow till in the core trench at a depth of 4 m and at 27.3 m in a borehole).

While the result from the core trench is that to be expected, the low pH of the yellow till from the borehole is from material adjacent to bedrock and 3 m below grey silts with a pH of 6.6. It is the diffractogram (Fig. 10 upper) of this acidic sample which shows the highest Fe background.

Taken together, these results favour the view that alteration at depth has been restricted to slow movement of ground water from the subjacent shales, which themselves are rich in limonite staining along the frequent joints and bedding planes. The limonite and associated low pH values probably originate from the oxidation of a pyritic facies of the Denbigh Grits. The diffuse limonite staining is not due to normal (subaerial) weathering but to local perched watertables and slow permeation of water associated with spring sources.
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The average depth of surficial weathering ( 4 m ) is comparable with that for clay tills of the last ice advance in Scotland ( $3 \mathrm{~m}-4 \mathrm{~m}$ ). This superficial nature of the weathering of the glacial sediments, the local stratigraphy at Brenig and the very limited frost churning (geliturbation) of the upper surface of the glacial deposits does not accord with prolonged exposure through an interglacial period, followed by a period of glacial cold, and then the warm, moist postglacial, and is sufficient to suggest some reappraisal of Embleton's (1970) opinion that the Denbigh Moors were not overriden by ice during the last glaciation of north-east Wales. Although it is clear that adjacent areas of north Wales have suffered more than one ice inundation, clearcut evidence for multiple glaciation in the Denbigh Moors is lacking. This and their gently moulded morphology led Embleton to suggest that they were not imundated by ice during the last (Devensian) glaciation. However, the evidence and detailed results reported here would seem to be at variance with this conclusion.
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App. 7.1 Grading envelopes from Brenig tills (lower) and glacilacus-trine silty clays (upper).


App. 72 Log. - probability curve expressing mean size distribution of till made up of two components (matrix and clasts) typical of comminution products.


App. 7.3 Plot of the long axis orientation of elongate pebbles at a site near the crest of the eastern drumlin at the Brenig dam site. The dominant sub-horizontal fissure population falls within the two cyclographic traces. Class intervals shown below.


App. 7.5 Fabric diagram (Schmidt net) for till in the Brenig drumlin expressed as normals to the maximum projection plan of contained pebbles and granules. Class intervals as below. The dominant joint set at this point is shown by the cyclographic trace and the pole to it by the dot which falls within the mode of the pebble fabric.


App. 7.4 Fabric diagram (Schmidt net) showing disposition of conjugate sub-vertical joint sets (solid black and hatched). Also shown (light stipple) are the low angle fissure set ( 13 dip toward 300 azimuth) interpreted as a desposition feature, and the low angle fissures dipping at 10 along an azimuth of 035 (medium stipple) interpreted as the product of stress relief.


App. 7.6 Fabric diagram showing the orientation of the long axes of the same pebbles and granules used to construct Fig.3. Class intervals as below. The box symbols indicate the median orientation. Compare with Fig. 1 and see text.

PER CENT PER 1 PER CENT

15
10 $\square$ $>5$ $\square$ $>1$


App. 7.7 Scanning electron photo micrograph showing a vertical section in the matrix of the Brenig till. The scale bar represents $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. See text.


App. 7.8 Scanning electron photo micrograph of vertical face of glociloustrine silty days. The scale bat represents $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. See text.


App. 7.9 X-ray diffraction traces for yellow (upper) and grey (lower) rhythmites at the Brenig dam site. Qz : quartz; Ch: chlorite ?Ka: ?Kaolinite.


App.7.10 X-ray diffraction traces for yellow (upper and grey (lower) tills at the Brenig dam site. Abbreviations as in Fig.9.

