

# St Stephen's Beacon, St Stephen-in-Brannel, Cornwall

Report on Geophysical Surveys, March 2023

Megan Clements, Neil Linford and Andrew Payne



# St Stephen's Beacon, St Stephen-in-Brannel, Cornwall

### Report on Geophysical Surveys, March 2023

Megan Clements, Neil Linford and Andrew Payne

St Stephen's Beacon Beacon Road Foxhole, Cornwall

NGR: SW 95983 54508

 Print:
 ISSN 2398-3841

 Online:
 ISSN 2059-4453

The Research Report Series incorporates reports by Historic England's expert teams, their predecessors and other researchers. Many Research Reports are interim, to make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. Although subject to internal quality assurance, they are not usually refereed externally and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers should consult the author before citing these reports.

For more information email Res.reports@HistoricEngland.org.uk or write to:

Historic England Fort Cumberland Fort Cumberland Road Portsmouth PO4 9LD

Opinions expressed in Research Reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

# Summary

Earth Resistance and Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) surveys were conducted at St Stephen's Beacon in the parish of St Stephen-in-Brannel, Cornwall as part of wider ongoing works to remove the Beacon from the Heritage at Risk (HAR) register. The Earth Resistance survey (0.53ha) identified the main beacon as well as internal areas of high and low resistance. Possible clay extraction pits have been detected and the impact of modern bonfires are evident in the data. Additional anomalies of a possible archaeological or natural origin have also been identified. The vehicle towed GPR survey (2.35ha) responded to modern surface features, such as footpaths across the site, and the underlying geology.

#### Contributors

The geophysical fieldwork was conducted by Megan Clements, Neil Linford and Andrew Payne.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the landowner's permission to allow access for the survey to be conducted. The cover image shows the vehicle towed GPR survey in progress looking over the southern ramparts of the beacon (photo taken by Neil Linford).

#### Archive location

Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road Portsmouth, PO4 9LD.

Cornwall and Scilly HER: 20650; 20651; 20695

#### Date of survey/research/investigation

The fieldwork was conducted between the 13<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> March 2023 and the report completed on the 1<sup>st</sup> June 2023.

#### **Contact details**

Dr Neil Linford, Geophysics Team, Historic England, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Southsea, Portsmouth, PO4 9LD. Tel: 02392 856761. Email: neil.linford@historicengland.org.uk.

# Contents

Introduction	1
Method	2
Earth Resistance	.2
Ground Penetrating Radar	.2
Magnetic survey	.4
Results	5
Earth Resistance Survey	.5
Ground Penetrating Radar Survey	.6
Conclusions	7
List of Enclosed Figures	8
References	9

# Introduction

Earth Resistance and Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) surveys were conducted at St Stephen's Beacon in the Parish of St Stephen-in-Brannel, Cornwall. The geophysical surveys were undertaken to support ongoing work by Historic England's (HE) South-West Region to remove St Stephen's Beacon (National Heritage List for England No.1003091) from the Heritage at Risk (HAR) register. The site consists of a large hilltop enclosure and an early Bronze Age cairn with evidence of post-medieval China clay extraction.

Prior to the geophysical survey, the Historic England Archaeological Investigation Team (AIT) also conducted a level 3 analytical earthwork survey and a contextual aerial survey of the Monument and its surrounding landscape.

The site lies over a metamorphic aureole of St Austell Intrusion lithium-mica bearing medium granite, with coarse granite to the north and an approximately northeast-southwest orientated intrusion of fine granite with megacrysts passing through the centre of the Beacon. Lower Devonian Meadfoot beds of calcareous slate, grit and thin limestone are found to the south metamorphosed by the granite intrusion (Geological Survey of Great Britain 1970). Well-drained, humuse, gritty loamy soils of Moor Gate (612b) association have developed over the site (Soil Survey of England and Wales 1983). The summit of the Beacon was recently cleared of vegetation by volunteers in advance of the non-intrusive survey work and is currently used as rough grazing with public access as a local amenity space. Weather conditions were wet and windy throughout the survey, with occasional spells of dry weather.

# Method

#### Earth Resistance

The Earth Resistance survey was focused on the area in the immediate vicinity of the upstanding remains at the summit of the Beacon.

Measurements were recorded over six 30m grids established with a Trimble R8s GNSS (Figure 1) using a Geoscan RM85 earth resistance meter, internal multiplexer, and a PA5 electrode frame in the Twin-Electrode configuration, to allow two separate surveys with electrode separations of 0.5m and 1.0m to be collected simultaneously. The 0.5m electrode separation coverage was designed to detect near-surface anomalies in the upper 0.5m of the subsurface whilst the 1.0m separation survey allowed anomalies to a depth of about 1-1.25m to be detected. For the 0.5m electrode separation survey readings were taken at a sample density of 0.5m x 1.0m whilst for the 1.0m separation survey they were taken at a density of 1.0m x 1.0m.

Extreme values caused by high contact resistance were suppressed using an adaptive thresholding median filter with radius 1m (Scollar *et al.* 1990). Additionally, rainfall during the survey modified the subsurface resistivity as measurements were taking place leading to some discontinuities between edges of adjacent grids. Hence, these discontinuities were corrected using an edge matching algorithm that partitions the columns of data adjacent to either side of each grid edge into high spatial frequency (local detail) and low frequency (regional) components then averaging the regional components so they match across the edge. The results for the near-surface 0.5m electrode separation survey are depicted as a greyscale image in Figure 3 superimposed on the base Ordnance Survey (OS) mapping data. Figure 5 shows the minimally processed data from both the 0.5m and 1.0m electrode separation data presented as trace plots and histogram equalised greyscale images following the suppression of extreme data values. Processed datasets are also presented as linear grayscale images after the application of Gaussian high-(5.0m radius) and low- (1.0m radius) pass filters to emphasise archaeological scale anomalies and suppress measurement noise respectively.

#### **Ground Penetrating Radar**

A 3d-Radar MkIV GeoScope Continuous Wave Step Frequency (CWSF) Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) system was used to conduct the survey collecting data with a multi-element DXG1820 vehicle towed, ground coupled antenna array (Linford *et al.* 2010; Eide *et al.* 2018). A roving Trimble R8s Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receiver was mounted on the GPR antenna array, that together with a second R8s base station was used to provide continuous positional control for the survey collected along the instrument swaths shown on Figure 2. The GNSS base station receiver was adjusted to the National Grid Transformation OSTN15 using the Trimble VRS Now Network RTK delivery service. This uses the Ordnance Survey's GNSS correction network (OSNet) and gives a stated accuracy of 0.01-0.015m per point with vertical accuracy being half as precise.

Data were acquired at a 0.075m x 0.075m sample interval across a continuous wave step frequency range from 40MHz to 2.99GHz in 4MHz increments using a dwell time of 2ms. A single antenna element was monitored continuously to ensure data quality during acquisition together with automated processing software to produce real time amplitude time slice representations of the data as each successive instrument swath was recorded in the field (Linford 2013).

Post-acquisition processing involved conversion of the raw data to time-domain profiles (through a time window of 0 to 75ns), adjustment of time-zero to coincide with the true ground surface, background and noise removal, and the application of a suitable gain function to enhance late arrivals. Representative profiles from the full GPR survey data set are shown on Figure 6. To aid visualisation amplitude time slices were created from the entire data set by averaging data within successive 2.5ns (two-way travel time) windows (e.g. Linford 2004). An average sub-surface velocity of 0.112m/ns was assumed following constant velocity tests on the data and was used as the velocity field for the time to estimated depth conversion. Each of the resulting time slices therefore represents the variation of reflection strength through successive ~0.14m intervals from the ground surface, shown as individual greyscale images in Figures 4, 7, 8 and 9. Further details of both the frequency and time domain algorithms developed for processing this data can be found in Sala and Linford (2012).

Due to the size of the resultant dataset a semi-automated algorithm has been employed to extract the vector outline of significant anomalies shown on Figure 11. The algorithm uses edge detection to identify bounded regions followed by a morphological classification based on the size and shape of the extracted anomalies. For example, the location of possible pits is made by selecting small, sub circular anomalies from the data set (Linford and Linford 2017).

### Magnetic survey

A magnetometer survey was also attempted using two Bartington grad-601 fluxgate magnetometers. However, this was unsuccessful due to equipment malfunction and no usable results were obtained.

# Results

#### Earth Resistance Survey

A graphical summary of significant earth resistance anomalies [**r1-6**] discussed in the following text superimposed on the base OS mapping data is provided in Figure 10.

The earth resistance survey has detected a broad high resistance response [**r1**] about 20m in diameter associated with the beacon itself. High-pass filtering (Figure 5C and 5F) reveals smaller scale variation with this including some very high magnitude anomalies with local maxima of around 80-160 ohms. Two low resistance local minima within [**r1**] potentially correspond with ground depressions of similar scale observed during the survey.

Three high resistance anomalies [**r2**] to the southwest of the beacon could relate to outlying stonework associated with it, or natural variation in the background resistance caused by the underlying geology. Similarly, the north-south orientated area of low resistance [**r3**] between [**r2**] could be related to either an extension of the beacon or geological variation.

Two low resistance anomalies [**r4**] to the south correspond with the visible remains of bonfires mapped during the survey. Likely because the residue ash from the bonfires is water retentive thus creating low resistance anomalies in the data. A low resistance linear anomaly [**r5**] could, tentatively, represent a more significant ditch due to its proximity to the beacon, although it may also be associated with the remains of the bonfires.

In the southeast corner of the survey area are a cluster of discrete anomalies of mixed positive and negative responses [**r6**] corresponding with pits containing stones and likely to be related to clay extraction activity.

#### Ground Penetrating Radar Survey

A graphical summary of the significant GPR anomalies, [**gpr1-11**] discussed in the following text, superimposed on the base OS map data, is provided in Figure 11.

The very near-surface response between approximately 0.0 and 5.0ns (0.0 to 0.23m) contains anomalies due to compacted vehicle access **[gpr1**], foot paths **[gpr2**] and animal runs **[gpr3**], that reverberate through the deeper data. Although the uneven nature of the terrain over the beacon could not be covered by the GPR survey, a low amplitude anomaly **[gpr4**] partially corresponds with the visible remains of the bonfires at **[r4**]. Some areas of amorphous, high amplitude response **[gpr5**] are also found in the vicinity of **[r2**], but it is difficult to suggest any more definitive interpretation. There is some evidence for high-amplitude anomalies **[gpr6**] in close proximity to the beacon, mainly to the east, possibly representing further stonework associated with the cairn.

A series of linear low-amplitude anomalies [**gpr7**] are found across the summit of the beacon from approximately 15.0ns (0.68m) onwards and in general follow the orientation of the igneous intrusion, suggesting a geological origin. One deeper response [**gpr8**] is found to the south of the beacon with more shallow anomalies passing through the location of the cairn [**gpr9**] and immediately to the north [**gpr10**]. While [**gpr9**] and [**gpr10**] may represent more significant ditches this, perhaps, seems unlikely due to the apparent depth of burial from the surface. Other more amorphous areas of high amplitude response [**gpr11**] between 5.0 and 15.0ns (0.23 to 0.68m) are possibly associated with either the geological response or clay extraction works.

# Conclusions

The earth resistance survey has detected anomalies associated with the main beacon cairn, together with responses to areas of visible clearance bonfires and possible historic clay extraction. Additional anomalies of possible archaeological or geological origin are also evident within the data. The Ground Penetrating Radar survey has responded to near-surface compacted ground due to vehicle tracks, footpaths and animal runs with deeper, mainly low amplitude anomalies associated with the underlying igneous geology.


The geophysical surveys have not detected any unequivocal evidence for additional subsurface remains due to Bronze Age or later activity at the site. However, some anomalies to the southwest of the cairn, [**r2**] and [**gpr5**], may tentatively be suggested to have an association with it.


# List of Enclosed Figures


- Figure 1: Location of earth resistance survey superimposed over the base OS mapping data (1:2500).
- Figure 2: Location of GPR instrument swaths superimposed over the base OS mapping data (1:2500).
- Figure 3: Location of linear greyscale image of the 0.5m electrode separation earth resistance data superimposed over the base OS mapping data (1:1000).
- Figure 4: Location of GPR amplitude time slice between 47.5ns and 50.0ns superimposed over the base OS mapping data (2.14m to 2.25m) (1:2500).
- Figure 5: (A)Trace plot, (B) histogram equalised greyscale and (C) linear greyscale image of the minimally processed 0.5m mobile probe spacing earth resistance data after noise removal. (D), (E), and (F) show the same representations for the 1.0m mobile probe spacing data. (C) and (F) have also had the application of a Gaussian high- (5.0m radius) and low- (1.0m radius) pass filters (1:1000).
- Figure 6: Representative topographically corrected profiles from the GPR survey shown as greyscale images with annotation denoting significant anomalies. The location of the selected profiles can be found on Figures 2, 4 and 11.
- Figure 7: GPR amplitude time slices between 0.0 and 25.0ns (0.0 to 1.13m) (1:2500).
- Figure 8: GPR amplitude time slices between 25.0 and 50.0ns (1.13 to 2.25m) (1:2500).
- Figure 9: GPR amplitude time slices between 50.0 and 75.0ns (2.25 to 3.38m) (1:2500).
- Figure 10: Graphical summary of significant earth resistance anomalies superimposed over the base OS mapping data (1:1000).
- Figure 11: Graphical summary of significant GPR anomalies superimposed over the base OS mapping data (1:2500).


## References

- Eide, E, Linford, N, Persico, R and Sala, J 2018 'Advanced SFCW GPR systems' *in* Persico, R, Piro, S and Linford, N (eds), *Innovation in Near-Surface Geophysics Instrumentation, Application, and Data Processing Methods* Amsterdam: Elsevier, 253-285.
- Geological Survey of Great Britain 1970 Newquay, Geological Survey of England and Wales, Sheet 346. Solid and Drift Edition Drift. Southampton, The Director General of the Ordnance Survey.
- Linford, N 2004 'From Hypocaust to Hyperbola: Ground Penetrating Radar surveys over mainly Roman remains in the U.K.'. *Archaeological Prospection*, **11** (4), 237-246.
- Linford, N 2013. *Rapid processing of GPR time slices for data visualisation during field acquisition.* In Neubauer, W, Trinks, I, Salisbury, R and Einwogerer, C (Editors), Archaeological Prospection, Proceedings of the 10th International Conference, May 29th - June 2nd 2013 2013 (Vienna: Austrian Academy of Sciences Press). 176-78.
- Linford, N and Linford, P 2017. The application of semi-automated vector identification to large scale archaeological data sets considering anomaly morphology. In Jennings, B, Gaffney, C, Sparrow, T and Gaffney, S (Editors), 12th International Conference of Archaeological Prospection, 12-16th September 2017 2017 (Bradford: Archaeopress Archaeology). 138-9.
- Linford, N, Linford, P, Martin, L and Payne, A 2010 'Stepped-frequency GPR survey with a multi-element array antenna: Results from field application on archaeological sites'. *Archaeological Prospection*, **17** (3), 187-198.
- Sala, J and Linford, N 2012 ' Processing stepped frequency continuous wave GPR systems to obtain maximum value from archaeological data sets '. *Near Surface Geophysics*, **10** (1), 3-10.
- Scollar, I, Tabbagh, A, Hesse, A and Herzog, I 1990 Archaeological Prospecting and *Remote Sensing*. Topics in Remote Sensing. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Soil Survey of England and Wales 1983 Soils of England and Wales, Sheet 5 South West England, 1:250,000 scale soil map, Lawes Agricultural Trust, Harpenden.











#### ST STEPHEN'S BEACON, STSTEPHEN-IN-BRANNEL, CORNWALL Earth resistance survey, March 2023

0.5m electrode separation data

(A) Trace plot of minimally processed data




(B) Histogram equalised greyscale image of minimally processed data after noise removal





288.25 385.12 482.00 191.38 ohms

- 1.0m electrode separation data
- (D) Trace plot of minimally processed data




(E) Histogram equalised greyscale image of minimally processed data after noise removal







1:1000

**90**m











### ST STEPHEN'S BEACON, ST STEPHEN-IN-BRANNEL, CORNWALL GPR amplitude time slices between 0.0 and 25.0ns (0.0 to 1.13m), March 2023



Geophysics Team 2023


I:2500

relative reflector strength






### ST STEPHEN'S BEACON, ST STEPHEN-IN-BRANNEL, CORNWALL GPR amplitude time slices between 25.0 and 50.0ns (1.13 to 2.25m), March 2023



Geophysics Team 2023


I:2500

relative reflector strength






### ST STEPHEN'S BEACON, ST STEPHEN-IN-BRANNEL, CORNWALL GPR amplitude time slices between 50.0 and 75.0ns (2.25 to 3.38m), March 2023




Geophysics Team 2023


I:2500

relative reflector strength











# Historic England's

# **Research Reports**

We are the public body that helps people care for, enjoy and celebrate England's historic environment.

We carry out and fund applied research to support the protection and management of the historic environment. Our research programme is wide-ranging and both national and local in scope, with projects that highlight new discoveries and provide greater understanding, appreciation and enjoyment of our historic places.

More information on our research strategy and agenda is available at HistoricEngland.org.uk/research/agenda.

The Research Report Series replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series, the Architectural Investigation Report Series, and the Research Department Report Series.

All reports are available at HistoricEngland.org.uk/research/results/reports. There are over 7,000 reports going back over 50 years. You can find out more about the scope of the Series here: HistoricEngland.org.uk/research/results/about-the-research-reports-database.

Keep in touch with our research through our digital magazine *Historic England Research* HistoricEngland.org.uk/whats-new/research.