Centre for Archaeology Report 46/2005

Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from the Church of All Saints, Main Street, Fenton, South Kesteven, Lincolnshire

A J Arnold, R E Howard and Dr C D Litton

© English Heritage 2005

ISSN 1473-9224

The Centre for Archaeology Report Series incorporates the former Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report Series. Copies of Ancient Monuments Laboratory Reports will continue to be available from the Centre for Archaeology (see back cover for details).

Centre for Archaeology Report 46/2005

Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from the Church of All Saints, Main Street, Fenton, South Kesteven, Lincolnshire

A J Arnold, R E Howard and Dr C D Litton

Summary

Tree-ring analysis was undertaken on 24 core samples obtained from different timbers in the nave and south aisle roofs of the Church of All Saints, Fenton, in Lincolnshire. A further six sliced samples were obtained from oak boards covering the nave roof.

The analysis of these 30 samples produced a single site chronology, FENASQ02, comprising 4 samples (all from the oak boards), with a combined overall length of 184 rings. This site chronology was dated as spanning the years AD 1434 to AD 1617.

Unfortunately, given the nature of these dated samples, there is no sapwood, or heartwood/sapwood boundary, on any of them. It is thus not possible to reliably calculate an estimated felling date range for them. It is unlikely, however, that they were felled before AD 1632.

None of the samples from the structural timbers could be dated.

Keywords

Dendrochronology Standing Building

Author's address

Department of Mathematics, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD

Many CfA reports are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of archaeological information that was not available at the time of the investigation. Readers are therefore advised to consult the author before citing the report in any publication and to consult the final excavation report when available.

Opinions expressed in CfA reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of English Heritage.

Introduction

The Church of All Saints stands, in traditional style, adjacent to the Manor House and village green near the centre of the village of Fenton, in the district of South Kesteven, Lincolnshire (SK 878 506, Fig 1). It is built from a variety of materials including coursed ironstone rubble, Ancaster stone ashlar, and red brick, and contains remains from several periods. The interior includes two bays of a Norman arcade to the north side, the pillars having scalloped capitals, whilst the north aisle is pierced by a doorway of the Transitional period (ie late-twelfth century). The south arcade, with octagonal pillars, is probably of late-thirteenth century date. To the west end there is a tower of three stages with clasping buttress, belonging to the Perpendicular period (probably of fifteenth century date), as does, it is believed, the nave roof. The roof of the south aisle on the other hand is dated by an inscription to AD 1652. The chancel was rebuilt in AD 1838 and further restoration work, particularly to the north aisle roof, was undertaken in AD 1875.

Sampling

Sampling and analysis by tree-ring dating of the timbers of the nave, chancel, and aisle roofs were commissioned by English Heritage. The purpose of this was to establish the date of these roofs with greater certainty to inform a programme of repairs and renovation which is being currently being grant-aided by English Heritage. Sampling was undertaken at a time when the roof was being repaired, the removal of the lead and the boards beneath, and the provision of scaffold platform throughout giving a unique opportunity to access all the timbers at this time.

The roof of the nave and chancel consists of six bays formed by seven cambered tiebeams, the tiebeams supporting a ridge beam. There are single purlins to each pitch. Within each bay there are five common rafters. Whilst the majority of these timbers are of oak, and appear to be integral with each other and original, a small number of beams are of softwood, the ridge beam between truss 2 - 3 and between 3 - 4 for example. The tiebeam at the east gable end is also of softwood. Two views of the roof are given in Figure 2a/b.

The roof of the south aisle comprises four bays formed by five principal rafters, with common rafters of only slightly smaller scantling being found in between. In this instance more than half the timbers have been replaced with modern, probably nineteenth century, softwood beams. One of the principal rafters in this roof has been inscribed with the date '1652' and may represent a mid-seventeenth century repair phase. The north aisle roof is composed of simple small common rafters, these all being some form of modern softwood.

From these roof timbers a total of 24 core samples were obtained. Each sample was given the code FEN-A (for Fenton, site "A") and numbered 01 - 24. Sixteen samples, FEN-A01 - A16, were obtained from the nave and chancel roofs, with a further 8 samples, FEN-A17 - A24, being obtained from the timbers of the south aisle roof. The positions of these samples are marked on plans provided by English Heritage, these being reproduced here as Figure 3.

At the time of sampling it was noted that while most of the boards removed from the roof were of softwood, perhaps having been laid down in one of the nineteenth century repairs phases, a small number of them were of oak. Although slightly broken and split, it was possible to obtain a further six samples, FEN-A25 – 30, as sliced samples. Although it is known that the boards are from the nave roof, their exact original locations are not known and their positions are not shown on the location plans.

Details of the samples are given in Table 1. In this Table, all frames and timbers are numbered from west to east, following that of the plans provided, and identified on a north - south basis as appropriate.

The Laboratory would like to take this opportunity to thank Alex McIntyre for his help in providing details on the site and in arranging access during repair work. We would also like to thank the staff of John Coxon, Roofing Ltd, for their help and assistance during sampling and for their interest and enthusiasm for the project.

Analysis

Each of the 30 samples obtained was prepared by sanding and polishing and their annual growth-ring widths were measured. The growth-ring widths of all 30 samples were compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping procedure (see appendix). At a minimum value of t=4.4, very slightly lower than the usual minimum figure of t=4.5, a single group comprising 3 samples, FEN-A26, A27, and A30, could be formed

The three cross-matching samples were combined at their indicated off-set positions to form FENASQ01, a site chronology of 166 rings. This site chronology was then satisfactorily dated by comparison to a number of relevant reference chronologies for oak as spanning the years AD 1452 to AD 1617.

Site chronology FENASQ01 was then compared to all the remaining ungrouped samples. With the majority of samples there was no further satisfactory cross-matching. There was, however, a match between FENASQ01 and sample FENA25, with a low, though maximum, value of t=4.1. This is found when the first ring of FEN-A25 is at minus 18 rings relative to the first ring of site chronology FENASQ01, the first ring date of which is AD 1452.

To check this cross-match, sample FEN-A25 was compared individually to a full range of reference chronologies. This indicated a series of satisfactory cross-matches with a number of these reference chronologies giving the sample a first ring date of AD 1434 and a last measured ring date of AD 1529. Such a date is consistent with this sample's cross-match with site chronology FENASQ01.

Because of this the three samples of the site chronology and sample FEN-A25 were combined to make a new site chronology, FENASQ02, with a combined overall length of 184 rings. The relative positions of these four samples are shown in the bar diagram, Figure 4. Site chronology FENASQ02 was then dated by comparison to a number of reference chronologies as spanning the years AD 1434

to AD 1617. Evidence for this cross-matching is given in the *t*-values of Table 2.

All the remaining ungrouped samples were than compared individually to a full range of reference chronologies, but there was no further satisfactory cross-matching.

Interpretation and conclusion

Analysis by dendrochronology has produced a single site chronology, FENASQ02 comprising 4 samples, its 184 rings dated as spanning the years AD 1434 to AD 1617. Unfortunately, given that the samples are from boards which have been heavily trimmed to fit close together, there is no sapwood or even heartwood/sapwood boundary on any of the dated samples. It is thus not possible to calculate a felling date range for the timbers represented. It is unlikely, however, that the timbers were felled before AD 1632, this date being based on a 95% probability of a minimum of 15 sapwood rings. Given the last measured ring date, however, the next possible historical context into which the boarding might fit is the mid-seventeenth century work on the south aisle roof denoted by one of the main rafters here inscribed with the date '1652'.

A large number of samples, 26 in total, remain undated. Although all of them have sufficient rings for dating, the numbers of rings on them are generally low, with most of them having only 55 - 65 rings. Some of the samples also show slightly complacent rings which can also make cross-matching and dating difficult. These factors, along with some possible reuse of older material, and substantial replacement by later inserts making many of the timbers in effect singletons, might account for the lack of cross-matching between samples and the dating of the samples individually.

Bibliography

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1982 unpubl A master tree-ring chronology for England, unpubl computer file *MGB-EOI*, Queens Univ, Belfast

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1987 List 20 no 3 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, **18**, 53 – 4

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1994 List 57 no 8 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: results, *Vernacular Architect*, **25**, 36 – 40

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1996 List 65 no 8 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: results, *Vernacular Architect*, **27**, 78 – 81

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1997 List 75 no 7a/c, 8 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results: general list, *Vernacular Architect*, **28**, 124 – 7

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 An East Midlands master tree-ring chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham, Dept of Classical and Archaeol Studies, Monograph Series, III

Tyers, I, and Groves, C, 1999 unpubl England London, unpubl computer file LON1175, Sheffield Univ

Table 1: Details of samples from All Saints Church, Fenton, Lincolnshire

Sample number	Sample location Nave and chancel roof timbers	Total rings	*Sapwood rings	First measured ring date	Last heartwood ring date	Last measured ring date
FEN-A01	Tiebeam 1 (west most)	57	С			
FEN-A02	Tiebeam 6	55	С			
FEN-A03	Tiebeam 5	56	h/s	Allow some some sides sides sides		
FEN-A04	Tiebeam 4	61	h/s		the second second line	
FEN-A05	Tiebeam 3	73	h/s	100 AND 100 AND 100 AND 100	and the state was been and	2 (see an an an an an an
FEN-A06	Tiebeam 2	59	h/s			
FEN-A07	Ridge beam, truss 1 - 2	71	h/s			
FEN-A08	Ridge beam, truss 2 - 3	57	С			were note that that have
FEN-A09	Ridge beam, truss 3 - 4	71	h/s		And 100 100 100 100	where balls where about some
FEN-A10	North purlin, truss 4 - 5	66	С			
FEN-A11	South purlin, truss 5 - 6	60	С			
FEN-A12	Ridge beam, truss 6 - 7	61	h/s			
FEN-A13	North purlin, truss 5 - 6	65	h/s			and part the same same term
FEN-A14	South purlin, truss 4 - 5	54	h/s	Note and all the first star	And they and they part that	
FEN-A15	South purlin, truss 3 - 4	62	h/s			
	South aisle timbers					
FEN-A16	Rafter 20	54	h/s			
FEN-A17	Rafter 17	60	h/s			
FEN-A18	Rafter 15	58	h/s			

Table 1: continued

Sample number	Sample location	Total rings	*Sapwood rings	First measured ring date	Last heartwood ring date	Last measured ring date
	South aisle timbers continued	U	0	U	0	Ũ
FEN-A19	Rafter 13	56	h/s	C and the loss and the set		
FEN-A20	Rafter 12	55	h/s			
FEN-A21	Rafter 11	56	h/s			And any high time and the
FEN-A22	Rafter 6	72	h/s		And the own the state of	
FEN-A23	Rafter 5	58	h/s		when here and were two data	Not the loss and loss
FEN-A24	Rafter 3	63	h/s			
	Loose oak roof boards					
FEN-A25	Oak board, exact location unknown	96	no h/s	AD 1434		AD 1529
FEN-A26	Oak board, exact location unknown	166	no h/s	AD 1452	and and and and and may	AD 1617
FEN-A27	Oak board, exact location unknown	146	no h/s	AD 1471	and the set of the set	AD 1616
FEN-A28	Oak board, exact location unknown	114	no h/s	2. NOT THE AND THE AND		and and the set of
FEN-A29	Oak board, exact location unknown	101	no h/s			
FEN-A30	Oak board, exact location unknown	62	no h/s	AD 1531		AD 1590

*h/s = the heartwood/sapwood boundary is the last ring on the sample c = complete sapwood on timber, all or part lost during sampling

Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site chronology FENASQ02 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1434 and last ring date is AD 1617

Reference chronology	Span of chronology	<i>t</i> -value	
Manor House, Sutton in Ashfield, Notts	AD 1441 - 1656	9.8	(Howard et al 1996)
Mansfield Woodhouse Priory, Notts	AD 1432 – 1579	9.2	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1987)
East Midlands	AD 882 – 1981	9.1	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
England	AD 401 – 1981	8.2	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982)
Lowdham Old Hall, Lowdham, Notts	AD 1422 - 1527	7.9	(Howard et al 1997)
21 Church St, Mansfield, Notts	AD 1439 – 1584	7.6	(Howard et al 1994)
15/19 Station St, Mansfield Woodhouse, Notts	AD 1431 – 1538	7.4	(Howard et al 1997)
London England	AD 413 – 1728	6.0	(Tyers and Groves 1999)



© Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 2a: View of the nave roof looking from east to west

Figure 2b: View of the south aisle roof looking from east to west





Figure 3: Plan of the roof to show timbers sampled (after Peter McFarlane, architect)



Figure 4: Bar diagram of the samples in site chronology FENASQ02

white bars = heartwood rings no h/s = there is no heartwood/sapwood boundary on the sample Data of measured samples - measutrments in 0.01 mm units

95

FEN-A25A 96

127 180 137 133 51 55 126 140 148 189 147 142 83 125 107 151 86 65 62 84 137 156 188 120 83 52 97 124 151 172 172 125 169 218 146 170 179 183 179 178 183 285 109 130 124 196 172 175 159 178 167 157 185 226 90 77 76 61 76 110 138 155 132 142 129 149 135 118 130 108 92 131 143 104 101 101 108 101 95 96 92 87 107 91 155 150 150 186 170 135 138 111 168 123 123 136

127 178 142 132 61 61 105 151 161 179 174 150 76 134 104 167 77 64 82 82 156 144 165 118 83 60 88 118 144 175 163 141 168 207 155 153 189 175 138 181 191 251 147 141 130 203 179 181 152 185 179 166 172 214 105 72 85 63 65 113 125 159 123 154 117 154 129 127 132 105 98 133 136 94 117 81 116 105 91 100

113 158 149 135 172 147 180 139 133 160 194 170 88 130 140 174 134 174 175 203 166 142 127 215 123 112 79 108 118 124 113 141 161 205 160 230 133 85 100 105 93 93 132 139 157 162 144 164 294 243 199 179 226 273 268 218 211 302 184 188 180 131 120 131 147 102 119 142 124 164 182 143 143 113 156 150 175 223 173 233 253 204 152 165 142 149 158 209 264 236 216 230 292 199 156 110 131 166 152 192 142 144 149 152 152 146 157 202 194 211 217 155 193 154 118 107 111 116 127 134 124 149 151 139 93 100 106 165 214 206 168 139 150 179 143 156 113 137 96 105 109 82 82 99 111 91 95 95 110 128 129 189 193 171 205 185 189 148 192 68

137 158 157 147 182 183 174 129 146 155 180 172 78 130 156 166 144 171 167 195 160 138 133 222 120 125 77 112 113 110 113 160 133 199 170 232 130 79 108 91 75 106 138 148 161 149 151 161 221 216 214 187 223 260 264 212 207 315 177 156 156 128 105 156 146 96 118 132 140 158 168 161 147 119 160 136 185 187 184 250 244 197 145 166 133 148 164 201 273 222 235 229 292 161 155 123 119 160 165 185 151 151 139 157 148 154 158 198 189 217 196 168 185 149 125 95 122 120 119 142 123 157 153 135 84 91 122 175 195 225 165 135 153 180 149 178 92 134 107 100 100 84 82 96 102 85 90 114 106 134 138 184 176 175 196 195 181 181 192 111

144 314 275 262 340 254 245 239 268 218 310 308 311 292 302 249 302 192 148 196 127 128 166 212 245 309 276 180 204 287 200 190 165 190 173 217 147 146 205 173

233 314 277 249 345 288 260 219 292 209 346 336 322 295 325 242 288 207 174 202 139 144 172 208 247 309 282 179 195 280 201 192 181 178 175 230 160 158 199 163

17

126 80 54 73 66 84 70 91 81 71 71 111 97 100 90 116 114 106 114 102 124 107 102 114 73 99 114 102 118 125 109 111 158 145 99 86 77 111 139 131 187 138 134 103 139 120 122 137 153 124 112 142 132 140 121 119 121 140 127 166

184 157 148 139 126 81 69 71 103 95 108 67 73 85 72 89 87 83 105 78 88 93 119 123 144 94 84 100 80 86 110 88 118 134 95 110 103 101 85 90

94 84 54 76 73 76 75 87 63 83 65 109 101 84 104 98 113 103 115 104 121 105 96 116 92 85 116 103 128 136 114 124 154 155 119 92 79 119 154 142 189 108 138 110 138 127 113 128 159 119 125 148 134 144 116 122 125 132 128 155

181 154 169 120 134 66 75 84 94 90 94 77 68 76 70 96 84 89 86 94 86 113 102 111 155 118 66 85 100 86 89 87 120 130 95 93 111 96 86 94

FEN-A26A 166

FEN-A26B 166

FEN-A27A 146

93 166 161 140 135 163

125 159 148 154 141 156

75 89 74 109 71 84

75 75 87 96 79 107

FEN-A27B 146

FEN-A25B 96

88 93 97 103 145 158 139 188 174 132 125 116 169 112 126 155

APPENDIX

Tree-Ring Dating

The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or *dendrochronology* as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Laboratory's Monograph, 'An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Building' (Laxton and Litton 1988) and, Dendrochronology; Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates (English Heritage 1988). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost random-like, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure 1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths for oaks, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of oak timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring.

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure 1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction or soon after. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the University of Nottingham Tree-Ring dating Laboratory

1. **Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers.** Together with a building historian the timbers in a building are inspected to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample *in situ* timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure 2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8 to 10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.



Fig 1. A wedge of oak from a tree felled in 1976. It shows the annual growth rings, one for each year from the innermost ring to the last ring on the outside just inside the bark. The year of each ring can determined by counting back from the outside ring, which grew in 1976.



Fig 2. Cross-section of a rafter showing the presence of sapwood rings in the left hand corner, the arrow is pointing to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S). Also a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil.



Fig. 3 Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measure twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis.



Fig 4. Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure 2; it is about 15cm long and 1cm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost in coring. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspection of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory's dendrochronologists are insured.

- 2. *Measuring Ring Widths*. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure 2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig 3).
- 3. Cross-matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig 4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t*-value (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum *t*-value among the *t*-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a *t*-value of at least 4.5, and preferably at least 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton and Litton 1988; Laxton et al 1988; Howard et al 1984-1995).

This is illustrated in Fig 5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN-C04, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the *bar-diagram*, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg the sequence of ring widths of C08 matches the sequence of ring widths of C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of C45, and similarly for the others. The actual *t*-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the *t*-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum found between these two among all the positions of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the ringwidth sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a *site sequence* of the building being dated and is illustrated in Fig 5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences of the four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. Thus in Fig 5 if the widths shown are 0.8mm for C45, 0.2mm for C08, 0.7mm for C05, and 0.3mm for C04, then the corresponding width of the site sequence is the average of these, 0.55mm. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

The straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal *t*-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. It is a modification of the straight forward method and was successfully developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988).

4. **Estimating the Felling Date.** As mentioned above, if the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree. Actually it could be the year after if it had been felled in the first three months before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases. The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called *sapwood* rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the *heartwood*, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, sapwood can be seen in the corner of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure 2, both indicated by arrows. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling so that the date of the last ring on the sample is only a few years before the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made and used for the average number of sapwood rings in mature oak trees (English Heritage 1998). A fairly conservative range is between 15 and 50 and that this holds for 95% of mature oaks. This means, of course, that in a small number of cases there could be fewer than 15 and more than 50 sapwood rings. For example, the core CRO-A06 has only 9 sapwood rings and some have obviously been lost over time - either they were removed originally by the carpenter and/or they rotted away in the building and/or they were lost in the coring. It is not known exactly how many sapwood rings are missing, but using the above range the Laboratory would estimate between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and a maximum of 41 (=50-9). If the last ring of CRO-A06 has been dated to 1500, say, then the estimated felling-date range for the tree from which it came originally would be between 1506 and 1541. The Laboratory uses this estimate for sapwood in areas of England where it has no prior information. It also uses it when dealing with samples with very many rings, about 120 to the last heartwood ring. But in other areas of England where the Laboratory has accumulated a number of samples with complete sapwood, that is, no sapwood lost since felling, other estimates in place of the conservative range of 15 to 50 are used. In the East Midlands (Laxton et al 2001) and the east to the south down to Kent (Pearson 1995) where it has sampled extensively in the past, the Laboratory uses the shorter estimate of 15 to 35 sapwood rings in 95% of mature oaks growing in these parts. Since the sample CRO-A06 comes from a house in Cropwell Bishop in the East Midlands, a better estimate of sapwood rings lost since felling is between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and 26 (=35-9) and the felling would be estimated to have taken place between 1506 and 1526, a shorter period than before. (Oak boards quite often come from the Baltic and in these cases the 95% confidence limits for sapwood are 9 to 36 (Howard et al 1992, 56)).

Even more precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure 2 was taken still had complete sapwood but that none of the soft sapwood rings were lost in coring. By measuring into the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 2 cm, a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings lost, say 12 to 15 rings in this case. By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 35 years later we would have estimated without this observation. In the example, the felling is now estimated to



t-value/offset Matrix

Fig 5. Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them.

The *bar diagram* represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (*offsets*) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values.

The *t*-value/offset matrix contains the maximum *t*-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it. Thus, the maximum *t*-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the *t*-value is then 5.6.

The *site sequence* is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.

have taken place between AD 1512 and 1515, which is much more precise than without this extra information.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on a sample, but none of the heartwood rings are, then an estimate of the felling-date range is possible by adding on the full compliment of, say, 15 to 35 years to the date of the last heartwood ring (called the heartwood/sapwood boundary or transition ring and denoted H/S). Fortunately it is often easy for a trained dendrochronologist to identify this boundary on a timber. If a timber does not have its heartwood/sapwood boundary, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

- 5. Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence collected by dendrochronologists over the years that oak timbers used in buildings were not seasoned in medieval or early modern times (English Heritage 1998 and Miles 1997, 50-55). Hence provided all the samples in a building have estimated felling-date ranges broadly in agreement with each other, so that they appear to have been felled as a group, then this should give an accurate estimate of the period when the structure was built, or soon after (Laxton *et al* 2001, figure 8 and pages 34-5 where 'associated groups of fellings' are discussed in detail). However, if there is any evidence of storing before use or if there is evidence the oak came from abroad (eg Baltic boards), then some allowance has to be made for this.
- 6. Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to cross-match it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Fig 6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Fig 6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton (1988), but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.
- 7. Ring-width Indices. Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988) and is illustrated in the graphs in Fig 7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence of (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller later growth from about 1900 onwards when the tree is maturing. A similar phenomena can be observed in the lower sequence of (a) starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequence of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the immature and mature growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain, that are associated with the common climatic signal. This makes cross-matching easier.



Fig. 6 Bar diagram showing the relative positions and dates of the first rings of the component site sequences in the East Midlands Master Dendrochronological Sequence, EM08/87



Fig 7. (a) The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known. Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences.

Fig 7. (b) The *Baillie-Pilcher* indices of the above widths. The growth-trends have been removed completely.

REFERENCES

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973, A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bulletin*, **33**, 7-14

English Heritage, 1998 Dendrochronology; Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates, London

Hillam, J, Morgan, R A, and Tyers, I, 1987, Sapwood estimates and the dating of short ring sequences, *Applications of tree-ring studies*, BAR Int Ser, **3**, 165-85

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984-95, Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results, *Vernacular Architecture*, **15-26**

Hughes, M K, Milson, S J, and Legett, P A, 1981 Sapwood estimates in the interpretation of tree-ring dates, *J Archaeol Sci*, **8**, 381-90

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1988 An objective method for forming a master ring-width sequence, *P A C T*, **22**, 25-35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series III

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent Master Dendrochronological Sequence for Oak, AD 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90-8

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Howard, R E, 2001 *Timber; Dendrochronology of Roof Timbers at Lincoln Cathedral*, English Heritage Research Transactions, 7

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of Dendrochronology, *J Archaeol Sci*, 18, 29-40

Miles, D W H, 1997 The interpretation, presentation and use of tree-ring dates, *Vernacular* Architecture, **28**, 40-56

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, An Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London