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#### Abstract

Summary Over 5,000 hand-recovered animal bones and teeth were identified and recorded from West Cotton. The majority derive from four periods: early mediæval (1100-1250), mid-mediæval (1255 - 1400), late mediæval (1300 - 1450) and post-mediæval (1450-1800) and belonged to cattle, sheep, pig and horse, as well as a small number of other species. Some bones were also recovered by wet sieving. They and the hand-recovered bones include numerous remains of amphibia and some water voles which testify to the wetness of the environment.


Sheep were the most common taxon and their numbers increased with time at West Cotton. This increase and a shift towards older sheep culled in the mid-late mediæval probably reflect a countrywide trend towards increased wool production. Dog and cat were fairly common but wild animals such as deer were rare.

The bones had been severely fragmented by scavengers, which seems to characterise assemblages of animal bones from rural sites. Cut marks on horse, cat and dog bones as well as on the main food-animal bones probably reflect the importance of animal skins. Several butchered horse bones testify to the consumption of horse flesh.

Both cattle and sheep were similar in size to contemporary animals from some other sites in central England but larger than these taxa from outlying regions such as Cornwall and Northumberland. This regional variation in the size of farm animals may reflect the presence of "improved" animals in the centre of the country. There is no evidence for size-change of sheep and cattle between Saxon and late mediæval times in the West Cotton area.
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# THE SAXON AND MEDIÆVAL ANIMAL BONES EXCAVATED 1985-1989 FROM WEST COTTON, NORTHAMPTONSHIRE 

Umberto Albarella and Simon JM Davis

## INTRODUCTION

The Saxon-medixval deserted village of West Cotton (Northamptonshire, SP 976725 ; fig. 1) was excavated between 1985 and 1989 by the Northamptonshire Archaeology Unit directed by Dave Windell as part of the Raunds Area project. The site is located in the Nene valley, on a slightly raised gravel peninsula at the edge of the floodplain - at 35 m OD (Windell et al., 1990). It was intermittently occupied from Neolithic to post-mediæval (18th century) times.

Excavation revealed a late Saxon complex of timber buildings with an associated watermill. This complex was directly replaced in the earlier 12th century by a manor or manorial holding comprising a two-storey hall, a dovecote, a detached kitchen/bakehouse and a garderobe. Finds such as a chess piece and a silver ring indicate educated and wealthy residents. By the mid 13th century the original manorial ranges had been demolished and may have been replaced by a new manor further towards the coast. By the end of that century the entire area was given over to a series of tenements forming a hamlet with the mediæval buildings grouped around a central space. The remains of water channels, which served to fix the boundaries of the settlement, were also found. These tenements were deserted by the mid 15 th century and thereafter the site was utilized as pasture closes.

Material from West Cotton derives from the following periods:

| Late post-mediæval | (c. 1550-1800 AD) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Early post-mediæval | (c. $1450-1550 \mathrm{AD})$ |
| Late mediæval | (c. $1300-1450 \mathrm{AD)}$ |
| Middle mediæval | (c. $1250-1400 \mathrm{AD}$ ) |
| Early mediæval | (c. $1100-1250 \mathrm{AD}$ ) |
| Late Saxon | (c. $950-1100 \mathrm{AD}$ ) |
| Early-middle Saxon |  |
| Romano-British |  |

The Romano-British and Early-middle Saxon animal remains from West Cotton have not been dealt with here in detail. Their numbers are merely noted in table $1^{*}$. Only the mediæval assemblages were sufficiently large to allow a full zooarchaeological study. As it is possible to see above, there is a substantial chronological overlap between middle and late medirval. This arises from the process of progressive tenement desertion;

[^0]the middle medirval contexts being defined as those derived from occupation, continuing in some tenements to 1400 or later, while late mediæval contexts where those related to desertion, beginning in one tenement as early as 1300 . Therefore the two periods have generally been grouped together in our study as "mid-late mediæval" dated c. $1250-1450$ AD. An exception is our calculation of the frequencies of species, where, in order to discern the existence of a possible chronological trend, middle and late mediæval periods are kept separate.

Residuality was generally considered to be minimal. According to Chapman (pers. comm.) studies of the pottery indicate this was probably never more than about $5 \%$. However, in the "boundary ditches" in which c. $80 \%$ of the early medixval material was found, the amount of residual Saxon bones may be slightly higher.

The nature of the deposit differed with respect to period. The main differences can probably be summarised as follows (Chapman, pers. comm.):

Late mediæval: demolition rubble and robber trench fills
Middle medirval: occupation levels, largely yard and floor levels
Early mediæval: largely boundary ditch fills and some occupation levels.

The scarcity of collections of large animal bones from rural sites makes the West Cotton assemblage particularly important. The main aims of our study are:

- to examine what people were eating at West Cotton
- to try and ascertain what animal products besides meat were being produced, i.e., to deduce the nature of the economy and how this developed with time
- to understand animal husbandry practises at West Cotton
- to study butchery techniques, methods of food preparation and rubbish disposal on the settlement
- to examine changes with time (mainly early versus late Middle Ages)
- to see how West Cotton differs from other contemporary sites in England and to see whether the West Cotton faunal assemblage reflects countrywide developments in animal husbandry and economic trends

The West Cotton animal bones will be stored at the County Archive of the Northampton Museum.

## METHODS

Recovery. Most of the West Cotton animal remains were recovered by hand (table 1). However, a programme of wet and dry sieving was carried out on the site. Most of the soil samples were of 10 litres and were wet sieved through three sieves respectively $5 \mathrm{~mm}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 0.5 mm mesh. The sieved samples include very small specimens, such as isolated teeth of small mammals (table 2). Unfortunately these samples did not provide useful quantitative information because they were too small and derive from an unknown proportion of the complete deposit. However, three "whole earth" samples, each of 100 litres, were also sieved. Each is from a different period: late Saxon, early mediæval and middle mediæval. Unfortunately they too produced such a small number of animal bones ( 7,2 and 5 respectively) that quantitative analysis is not possible.

As table 1 shows, many small specimens, such as amphibian bones, were collected by hand, which suggests good recovery. However a bias against smaller specimens is to be expected. Indeed an under-representation of smaller anatomical elements and smaller species is quite evident.

In order to check whether recovery biasses were different in different periods we have calculated the relative frequency of isolated permanent incisors (i.e., small teeth which are easily overlooked) for the three main taxa in the two main periods (fig. 2). Although a slighter higher degree of recovery in the later period is apparent, the difference between the two periods is probably too slight to seriously affect the characteristics of the different assemblages. This difference must be borne in mind when the two samples are compared. The higher frequency of pig incisors (relative to the other species) is due to the larger size of these teeth compared to the molars, whereas the lower frequency of the sheep incisors is almost certainly due to their smaller size, relative to the molars.

Although we have been unable to calculate the general loss of smaller specimens, the list of bones from sieving (table 2) shows that more taxa than listed in table 1 were present on the site and that the relative frequency of the species would probably have been very different if all bones present in the soil had been recovered.

Identifications. Some closely related taxa were difficult to distinguish. Rather than try to identify all possibly "identifiable" elements, we decided to record only a selected suite of elements which, we believe, preserves all the quantitative aspects and is more reliable and less time consuming.

We were generally able to identify the following parts of the skeleton as either sheep or goat: $\mathrm{dP}_{3}, \mathrm{dP}_{4}$, distal humerus, distal metapodials (both fused and unfused epiphyses), distal tibia, astragalus, and calcaneum using the criteria described in Boessneck (1969), Kratochvil (1969), Payne (1969 and 1985). Since horncores are not necessarily present in both sexes and can be subject to different patterns of preservation, they were distinguished but not used to calculate the sheep:goat ratio.

The shape of the enamel folds (Eisenmann, 1981) was used for identifying equid teeth to species. Only molar rows and isolated teeth whose position within the jaw could be securely located were considered. All post-cranial bones were identified simply as "equid", although we noticed whether the shape of the metapodials and of the third phalanges was more "horse-like" or "ass-like".

The shape of the distal humerus was used to distinguish between brown hare and mountain hare. All other bones were merely recorded as "hare".

Small rodent (i.e., mouse size) post-cranial bones and incisors were recorded but identified as "small rodent". Any molar was used to distinguish mice from voles, whereas complete molar rows and isolated $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{~s}$ were used to distinguish house mouse from wood/yellow necked mouse and field vole from bank vole.

The closely related galliforms - domestic fowl, guinea fowl and pheasant - are difficult to distinguish. The presence of a spur on tarso-metatarsi was considered a diagnostic character of male domestic fowl/pheasant (being absent from guineafowl), whereas the lack of a continuous posterior keel was considered a diagnostic character for distinguishing between pheasant and domestic fowl/guinea fowl. Therefore a spurred tarso-metatarsus lacking the posterior continuous keel was securely identified as "domestic fowl". The presence or absence of an air-sac foramen on the proximal end of the femur was used to distinguish between pheasant and domestic fowl/guinea fowl. MacDonald's (1992) criteria for the scapula and carpo-metacarpus were used to distinguish domestic fowl/pheasant from guinea fowl.

All amphibian bones were identified to class level; differences in the shape of the pelvis were used to distinguish frog from toad.

Quantification. For a full description of the methods used for mammal bones see Davis (1992a). In brief, all mandibular teeth and a restricted suite of "parts of the skeleton always recorded" (i.e., a predetermined set of articular ends/epiphyses and metaphyses of girdle, limb and foot bones) were recorded and used in counts. These are: scapula (glenoid articulation), distal humerus, distal radius, carpal 2-3, distal metacarpal, ischial part of the acetabulum (pelvic girdle), distal femur, distal tibia, calcaneum, astragalus, distal metatarsal, proximal end of the first phalanx, and third phalanx. In order to avoid multiple counting of very fragmented bones, at least $50 \%$ of a given part had to be present for it to be counted. Broken, and therefore single, metapodial condyles of cattle, caprines and cervids were counted as halves, as were each of the two central pig metapodials. Metapodials of carnivores and lagomorphs were counted as quarters. One skull element (the zygomatic arch) was added to the list of countable elements in Davis (1992a). The radiale was ignored.

Horncores and antlers with a complete transverse section and "non countable" elements of particular interest (e.g., belonging to rarer species, anomalous size, interesting butchery marks or abnormalities), were recorded, but not included in the counts.

For birds the following elements were always recorded: articular end of scapula, proximal coracoid, distal humerus, proximal carpometacarpus, distal femur, distal tibiotarsus, distal tarsometatarsus.

For amphibia, the following were always recorded: humerus, radius, pelvis, femur, tibia, astragalus and calcaneum. Long bones were recorded when at least one half was present, whereas pelvis was recorded when the acetabulum was present.

Because of their scarcity on the site a "diagnostic zone" system (see Watson, 1979) was not adopted for fishes, but all fragments were recorded, in order to attest at least the presence of this aquatic resource.

Total number of fragments (NISP) and minimum number of individuals (MNI) were both calculated for the most common taxa. Since the side of the element was not recorded, the MNI was simply calculated by dividing each element by its number in the body. The MNI was calculated at the "higher level of aggregation" (Grayson, 1984), which means that it was calculated considering each period as a
single group, rather than calculating the MNI for smaller groups, such as units, and then summing them up in order to get the total for the period.

Ageing and sexing. The wear stage was recorded for all $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{dP}_{4} \mathrm{~s}$ and molars of cattle, caprines and pig, both isolated and in mandibles. Tooth wear stages follow Grant (1982) for cattle and pig and Payne (1973 and 1987) for sheep/goat. Mandibles with at least two teeth, whose wear stage was recordable, in the $\mathrm{dP}_{4} / \mathrm{P}_{4}$ $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ row were also assigned to the mandibular wear stages of $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Connor (1988) for cattle and pig and of Payne (1973) for caprines.

The fusion stage of post-cranial bones was recorded for all species. An epiphysis was described as "fusing" once spicules of bone have formed across the epiphysial plate joining metaphysis to epiphysis and while some open areas were still visible between epiphysis and metaphysis. An epiphysis was described as "fused" when this line of fusion was closed.

Bird bones with "spongy" (i.e., incompletely ossified or growing) ends were recorded as "juvenile".

Only for pig and domestic fowl was it possible to separate sexes using morphological characters. The size and shape of pig canines (and their alveoli) were used to distinguish boars from sows, whereas the presence or absence of a spur on the tarsometatarsus was the criterion used to distinguish cocks (and capons) from hens. (We are aware that exceptions may occur, so this method may not separate all male from female domestic fowl.) As far as other species are concerned any attempt to detect the sexual composition of the population had to rely on metrical analysis.

Measurements. Measurements taken are listed in appendix 2. These in general follow von den Driesch (1976).

Cattle $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ length and width $\left(\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~L}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~W}\right)$ are the maximum length and width of the crown. In order to take the maximum measurement some mandibles had to be carefully prised apart in order to extract the tooth. This was done in a way that as little destruction as possible was caused, enabling subsequent gluing together of the two pieces of mandible rami. The widths of caprine teeth are also the maximum widths of the crown and, in order to take these measurements, it was also sometimes necessary to prise apart the mandibles. Measurements taken on equid cheek teeth follow Davis (1987a). All pig measurements follow Payne and Bull (1988). In addition, the width of the central (i.e., second) pillar of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ was also measured.

Humerus HTC and Tibia Bd are, for all species, taken following the criteria described by Payne and Bull (1988) for pigs, while humerus BT is, in all other species, taken as in Davis (1992a). Measurements on cattle and caprine metapodials also follow Davis (1992a).
$\mathrm{W}_{\text {max }}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\text {min }}$ are the largest and smallest diameters at the base of horncores and antlers. L is the dorsal distance between the base and the top of the horn-core.

Gnawing and butchery. For all "countable" post-cranial bones gnawing and butchery marks were recorded. They were also recorded when present on mandibles, but not used for quantitative purposes.

Gnawing marks made by carnivores and rodents were differentiated. Signs of partial digestion (see Payne and Munson, 1985) were also recorded.

Butchery marks were described crudely as "chop", "cut" and "saw" marks. Their position was recorded only if considered particularly meaningful (e.g., cuts on the proximal or distal part of the metapodials), and not used for quantitative purposes.

## PRESERVATION

Fragmentation. One outstanding characteristic of the West Cotton animal bones is the high incidence of gnawing marks. Almost all these marks were caused by carnivores, only two bones (both from the mid-late mediæval period) were gnawed by rodents. The percentage of recorded gnawed post-cranial bones is only about $15 \%$ (fig. 3), but this figure is clearly a considerable underestimate of the real frequency of gnawed bones. Indeed some of the bones were unrecordable because they were gnawed: carnivores had completely removed the ends. For instance numerous badly chewed pig humerus shafts were observed, but the actual number recorded (i.e., with the medial part of the distal trochlea preserved) was very low. Furthermore we recorded the presence of gnawing marks only when we felt confident about their identification. It is likely that many other breakages were caused by carnivores.

A very high percentage of gnawed bones was also noticed at the nearby Burystead and Langham Road sites within north Raunds (Davis, 1992b), and we suggest that this is characteristic of rural sites.

In many instances bone surfaces showing the typical pattern of partial-digestion (as described by Payne and Munson, 1985) were also noticed. Most of them ( 23 out of a total of 34) were from the mid-late mediæval period, which corroborates our finding of greater scavenger activity in the later part of the Middle Ages. Only four bones from the early mediæval period were "digested".

However, a major cause of fragmentation was clearly human activity, many of the bones being chopped or cut (table 3), although these signs were often obliterated subsequently by dogs and taphonomic factors.

Preservation of the surface. While fragmentation was high, the preservation of the bone surface was generally quite good, although only very occasionally excellent, which suggests that the conditions in the soil had not severely affected the bones. Most of the bones from all periods and areas seemed to be in this good state.

Time variation. In order to check whether there were differences in the preservation patterns between the two main periods (early medixval and mid-late mediæval) some factors which should be indicative of the level of fragmentation were compared (fig. 3).

The generally high percentage of teeth, many isolated, is to be noted which almost certainly indicates high fragmentation; teeth are generally harder and relatively unpalatable to dogs. However the pattern seems to be different in the two periods, the number of teeth versus bones and of loose teeth versus teeth in mandibles being higher in the later period. Therefore it seems that the fragmentation is higher in the mid-late mediæval assemblage, and this must be taken into account when the results from the two periods are compared.

The difference in the nature of the deposits from which the bones are derived is probably the main cause of the different degree of fragmentation in the two periods. Whereas the early mediæval bones are largely derived from boundary ditche fills, the mid-late mediæval bones are mainly from occupation levels. Despite the evident recutting of the boundary ditches (Chapman, pers. comm.), the earlier bone assemblage is therefore likely to have suffered less post-depositional disturbance.

Despite the suggested difference in the fragmentation pattern between the two periods, no significant difference in the percentage of gnawed bones has been noticed (fig. 3). This is not surprising because, as stated above, dog activity was probably so intense that many of the post-cranial bones, especially of sheep and pig, became archaeologically invisible. This is also confirmed by the generally higher percentage of gnawed bones for the larger species (table 3). This is an unrealistic figure because dogs tend to prefer smaller bones which can easily enter into their mouth and be chewed until the epiphyses are completely abraded. In the Bronze Age site of La Starza (Southern Italy), where the degree of gnawing was equally high but also shafts were counted, an opposite result was obtained, pig and sheep bones being far more frequently gnawed than cattle bones (Albarella, forthcoming).

It is therefore clear that at West Cotton the percentage of gnawing marks do not represent a direct index of fragmentation and that post-cranial bones of caprines and pigs are almost certainly very under-represented. As the assumed different level of fragmentation suggests, this bias is probably stronger in the mid-late mediæval period.

Spatial variation. Given the high degree of dog activity we did not expect to find significant differences in the preservation patterns between different areas. Although in a few contexts articulated bones, which suggest primary deposition, were found, it is probable that most of the bones had been moved around the site by scavengers.

An attempt to compare the degree of fragmentation in the early mediæval period between ditch deposits and building deposits, has not shown any consistent variation. The two considered indexes of fragmentation, the percentage of teeth and that of isolated teeth, gave inconsistent results. The comparison is also made problematic by the small sample of bones coming from the buildings and their yards.

## FREQUENCY OF SPECIES

In different periods. Cattle, caprines, pig and equids represent more than $75 \%$ of the vertebrates and c. $90 \%$ of the mammals in all periods.

The relative frequencies of the main taxa were compared using both estimates of NISP and MNI (tables 4 and 5; figs. 4 and 5). We have little doubt that the MNI gives a more realistic figure. The NISP count is seriously affected by recovery and taphonomic factors (see above) so that the smaller species are under-represented.

According to the MNI, caprines represent the most common taxon in all periods, although this does not mean very much until the patterns of exploitation of each taxon are fully understood, and, of course, mutton was not necessarily the most common meat.

The rather high percentage of equid bones in all periods appears to be a character of this site. However it is not as outstanding as at Burystead/Langham Road where, in the mediæval period, equids were the most common taxon (Davis, 1992b). Grant (1988) suggests that, although exceptions exist, a high percentage of equid bones may be related to the presence of light soils where the horse-power was more efficient than ox-power. At West Cotton it is probable that both heavy and light soils were exploited (Campbell, pers. comm.), thus the high presence of equids is not entirely inconsistent with this hypothesis.

The relative frequency of the main species did not remain constant with time (tables 4 and 5; figs. 4 and 5). Although the two later medixval periods are not clearly chronologically distinct, an interesting trend can be noticed: caprines and equids gradually increase, whereas cattle and pig gradually decrease. However it is important to remember that we are dealing with a "closed" system - a fall in the frequency of one species will lead automatically to a rise in the others.

A $\chi^{2}$ test applied to the MNI count shows that there is a substantial difference in the composition of the faunal assemblage between early medixval and middle mediæval times ( $\chi^{2}=6.7$, with less than a $1 \%$ probability that the difference is due to chance), and that no difference exists between middle and late mediæval times ( $\chi^{2}=1.3$, which means that there is a c. $25 \%$ probability that this difference is due to chance). When applied to NISP the test showed in both cases a very substantial difference (with much less than $0.5 \%$ probability that it is due to chance). We are inclined to believe that the difference in the frequency of species is real also in the mid to late mediæval. The $\chi^{2}$ test failed to show any significant difference when applied to MNI, probably a consequence of the reduced sample size.

It is interesting to notice that the increase in caprines seems even more striking in the post-mediæval assemblage (table 4), when, despite its small size, the assemblage is largely dominated by this taxon.

The difference within the Middle Ages should, we suggest, be interpreted in the context of regional as well as local changes. The countrywide phenomena to bear in mind are: a) the increasing importance of wool production in medixval England, and b) the increasing use of horses for traction. The most important local change between early and mid-late medixval times was the transformation of the site from a manor house to a hamlet, with the consequent probable decline in status.

However, in order to try to explain this change in the faunal composition we will have to examine other questions in detail, such as the kill-off pattern and the size of the West Cotton animals.

In different areas. In order to check possible lateral variation, different areas had to be considered in different periods, because of the massive change in the topography of the site between early and mid-late medirval times.

For the early medirval period the frequency of the main taxa from the system of ditches and enclosures and from the buildings and their yards were compared (table 6). A slightly higher number of larger species was found in the ditch deposits. Whether this is due to differential recovery or differential taphonomic effects or to a real difference in the disposal patterns is uncertain. However, there are two main problems in interpreting these data: one is the probable mixing of bones by scavengers, the other is the small size of the sample from the buildings, which makes comparison between the two assemblages rather difficult.

For the mid-late mediæval period, the assemblages deriving from the different tenements were compared (table 7). Apart from minor differences, the four assemblages appear to have a similar composition. What is interesting is that the increase in caprines is confirmed for each single tenement, which supports our finding of a gradual increase of caprines over the site as a whole.

## CATTLE

Body parts. The distribution of different parts of the skeleton of cattle in the two main periods is shown in table 8. Differences in the frequency of different elements are probably due to recovery and preservation biasses. The smallest elements, such as isolated incisors, and the least dense and most fragile elements, such as distal femur and phalanges (Brain, 1967), are, not surprisingly, under-represented.

No major differences can be noticed between the two periods, apart from a slightly more marked scarcity of post-cranial bones in mid-late mediæval times, which is consistent with our assumption (see above) of poorer preservation in the later period.

The presence of all parts of the skeleton, including heads and feet, supports the assumption that animals were slaughtered locally.

Age. The wear stages of individual teeth are given in table 9 , while the age profiles, calculated using mandibular age stages of O'Connor (1988), are shown in table 10 and fig. 6. The complete list of mandibles which could be assigned to age stage is in appendix 1 .

In both periods most of the animals were killed when adult or older, although some younger specimens are also present (figs. 6 and 7).

This kill-off pattern is quite typical of medixval sites (Grant, 1988), and it is also consistent with the age of the animals in the nearby sites of Burystead and Langham Road (Davis, 1992b). Cattle were used mainly for traction, while milk and meat were generally only secondary products (Grand and Delatouche, 1950; Grant, 1988). The West Cotton age profile is consistent with this kind of exploitation, with most of the animals kept to maturity, for ploughing and probably for milk, and a few animals killed when younger for meat.

The use of cow's milk should be associated, not only with elderly animals, but also with the presence of some very juvenile calves. This is not evident in fig 6. However, it is possible that the most fragile juvenile mandibles were more easily fragmented, and some of the isolated teeth consequently overlooked. When loose teeth are also considered (table 9 and fig. 7), we can see that a number of deciduous premolars, some relatively unworn, were present.

Grant (1988) suggests that in the later part of the Middle Ages beef became more important, as the increase of more juvenile animals in some sites, such as Exeter (Maltby, 1979) and St. Andrew's Priory (O'Connor, 1993), seems to demonstrate. At Sandal Castle (Griffith et al., 1983) and Launceston Castle (Albarella and Davis, forthcoming), no change was noticed within medixval times, but an increase of calves was quite obvious by the 16th century.

The apparently higher number of juvenile cattle at West Cotton in the mid-late medixval period (table 10 and fig. 6) is significant when a $\chi^{2}$ is applied, although the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test failed to show any significance (table 11). The inconsistency between the two tests is probably due to the small size of the later mediæval sample. The result of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test cannot be taken as a demonstration of continuity in the kill-off pattern between the two periods. When the ratio between deciduous and permanent premolars is taken into account (fig. 7) only a very slight change between the two periods becomes apparent. Therefore we suggest tentatively at this stage that an increase in beef production occurred in the later period at West Cotton.

The fusion data are given in table 12. These also show that most of the animals were mature, although a number of juvenile cattle (unfused epiphyses) are also present. However, the intensive scavenging by carnivores is without doubt the cause of the under-representation of unfused bones. The absence of any apparent change between the two periods is not of any significance, both because of the small size of the sample in the later period and because of the difference in preservation pattern between the two periods (see above).

Size. Individual measurements of cattle teeth and bones are listed in appendix 2, while a summary of the variability of the most common measurements is given in table 13.

In figures 8 and 9 the width of the lower third molar tooth and the width of the distal astragalus are compared between the two periods at West Cotton and between this site and cattle measurements from Burystead/Langham Road (Northamptonshire, Late Saxon; Davis, 1992b), Launceston Castle (Cornwall, middle mediæval, Late mediæval and Early Post mediæval; Albarella and Davis, forthcoming), Leicester The Shires (Mid-Late Mediæval; Gidney, 1991a and 1991b), York Coppergate (Early Mediæval; O'Connor 1986). The two plots give consistent results as follows:

- No size change occurred at West Cotton during the Middle Ages (confirmed by a statistics test; table 14)
- No size difference was noticed between the late Saxon cattle from Burystead/Langham Road and those from West Cotton
- The cattle from the Northamptonshire sites appear to be larger than those
from any mediæval periods at Launceston Castle. The difference between the West Cotton and the Launceston animals is highly significant (table 14).

Furthermore the astragalus plot shows that:

- There is no size difference between the Early Mediæval cattle at West
Cotton and York
- Leicester cattle are intermediate in size between the West Cotton and
the Launceston ones. They are significantly smaller than the West Cotton animals (table 14).

It also appears that the size of the late Saxon and mediæval cattle from Northamptonshire and Yorkshire is more similar to that of the post-medixval than the medixval cattle at Launceston. The evidence then, seems to indicate regional as well as chronological variation in cattle size in medirval Britain.

It must also be noted that the small size of the Launceston animals is similar to that of the contemporary sites of Exeter in Devon and Prudhoe Castle in Northumberland (Albarella and Davis, forthcoming). It is thus tempting to suggest that the animals from the heart of the country (i.e., Northamptonshire) might have been larger (were they "improved" animals?) than those from more outlying and possibly more marginal areas in the west and north of the country. This hypothesis needs to be tested when more data from different sites and areas become available.

Sex. Since no morphological characters provide a means of distinguishing the sexes of cattle, measurements have to be used in order to investigate the question of the sex ratio.

A traditional method is to consider the relative measurements of metacarpals, which tend to be short and slender in cows, short and wide in bulls and long and slender in oxen (see for instance Higham, 1968). In fig. 10 we have plotted the ratio of minimum shaft width to length against the ratio of maximum distal width to length, in order to produce a diagram which is shape dependent and size independent. No separation of groups can be observed. Note also (table 13) that despite their presumed high sexual dimorphism the coefficients of variation of these indexes are not particularly high ( 8.5 and 8.6). This may indicate either that the morphological differences between sexes has been over-emphasized, or that the sample is comprised predominantly of one sex (cows, or more probably, cows and castrates).

The absence of bulls is quite likely. In some villages the general ratio between females and males was 10/12:1 (Grand and Delatouche, 1950) while in other villages or manorial systems it was considered too expensive to keep a bull, therefore the herd had to rely upon communal sires (Thornton, 1992).

Table 13 gives the coefficient of variation for the different measurements. It is interesting to notice that tooth and length measurements, which are probably less sex dependent, show smaller variability. The relatively high coefficient of variation of most of the other measurements suggests that other sexes besides females were probably present.

Shape and breed. Figure 10 shows that there is a fairly good correlation between the two metacarpal indexes. This is consistent with the possible presence of more sexes, though it may also indicate the presence of a single cattle type at West Cotton (see Albarella and Davis, forthcoming).

When the shape of the metatarsals is taken into account other interesting results can be detected. The West Cotton metatarsals are compared with those from medixval and post-mediæval levels at Launceston Castle in figure 11. Note that not only in terms of their size, but also in their shape characteristics, the West Cotton cattle appear to be more like the post-medixval than the mediæval Launceston cattle.

The evidence for shape as well as size, seems therefore to show that a different and perhaps more "improved" cattle type was present at West Cotton.

Abnormalities. The West Cotton cattle seemed to be in reasonable health:
pathological conditions were uncommon.
The absence or reduction of the third pillar (hypoconulid) on the $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ may be an inherited character. The frequency of this anomaly was calculated for the cattle third molars at West Cotton. 5 out of 58 in the early mediæval (i.e., $9 \%$ ) and 2 out of 40 in the mid-late mediæval (i.e., $5 \%$ ) of the $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~s}$ had a reduced or absent hypoconulids.

Asymmetry of the distal metatarsals, a condition characterized by the excessive medial growth of the medial condyle relative to the lateral one, has been noticed only in very few cases.

Butchery and bone working. There is little doubt that cattle bones at West Cotton represent butchery and food refuse. Almost $30 \%$ of the bones bore clear butchery marks (table 3) and the fragmentation of many of the others is probably also due to human activity.

Cut marks, especially those observed on the astragalus, were almost as frequent as chopping marks (tables 3 and 15). Most are probably connected with the severing of tendons. Two metapodials were smashed and burnt near the mid-shaft, which suggests extraction of marrow. A tibia from the mid-late mediæval period is the only sawn bone found on the site.

Cut marks on phalanges, distal metapodials and in one case also on the skull (frontal bone; table 16) almost certainly attest to skinning. In mediæval times, hides were a secondary, but important, product of the cattle carcass (Grand and Delatouche, 1950). One chopped horn-core indicates that horn working may also have been practised on the site.

We suggest that all slaughter and butchery activities took place on the site, and that all parts of the body were used - whether locally or for sale at market is, unfortunately unknown.

## CAPRINES

Identification. All the countable bones that we identified to species level proved to belong to sheep (table 1). This animal, in terms of numbers of individuals, was the most common of the food species at West Cotton - hardly surprising in view of its great importance. "Shepe.." in the opinion of Fitzherbert (1534) ".. is the mooste profytablest cattell that any man can have, ..". Only one horn-core of goat was present in the early-mid Saxon period and one proximal radius identified as "possible goat" was found in a post-medirval level.

The scarcity of goat is a general phenomenon in medixval England. At Burystead/Langham Road no trace of goat was found (Davis, 1992b). Historical evidence suggests that flocks of goats were kept mainly in the billy districts of England and Wales (Burke, 1834), so the absence of this animal from these Northamptonshire sites is not surprising.

Since goat was so rare, or even absent, from mediæval West Cotton, in this report "caprines" will be simply referred to as "sheep".

Body parts. Even more than for cattle, the distribution of parts of the skeleton of sheep is strongly determined by recovery and taphonomic factors. Incisors (generally isolated) and post-cranial bones are hugely under-represented relative to cheek-teeth. The former is true especially in the earlier period and the latter is true especially in the later period (table 17).

It is probable that, as in cattle, all parts of the skeleton were originally present in equal numbers, and therefore the sheep may have been slaughtered on the site.

Age. The pattern of sheep mortality at West Cotton is of crucial importance to our interpretation of the development of the economy at this site.

The wear stages of individual teeth are given in table 18, while the age profile, as calculated by mandibular age stages (Payne, 1973), is shown in table 10 and fig. 12. The complete list of mandibles which could be assigned age stages is in appendix 1.

As can be seen in figs. 12 and 7 and table 10, the kill-off pattern of sheep at West Cotton varies between the two mediæval periods. A statistical test confirms that in the earlier period a higher proportion of the sheep were killed at a younger age than in the mid-late mediæval (table 11). In the early mediæval period more sheep were slaughtered in wear stages C and D (c. 6 months -2 years old) whereas, in the midlate medixval period more were slaughtered in wear stage F (c. 3-4 years old). This result is confirmed by considering loose teeth and teeth in mandibles together (table 19): in the early mediæval $15 \%$ more animals were slaughtered within the second year.

This difference, although not striking, is important, because it suggests a change in the pattern of exploitation of the sheep. In both periods quite a wide range of ages was represented, which suggests a mixed economy, i.e., one in which meat, milk and wool were all important. Whereas in the earlier period the major emphasis was upon the production of meat, in the later period wool became somewhat more important. This does not mean that the economy shifted to specialized wool
production, but merely that in late mediæval times a high proportion of sheep were shorn of two or more fleeces before being slaughtered. The fact that the killing peak is in the fourth year and not later, indicates perhaps that the production of mutton was still important. Indeed Muffet (1655) suggests that the best mutton is not above four years old.

The increased importance of wool production probably also explains the increasing frequency of sheep with time (see above) and may also be correlated with the possible decrease in cattle age - a decreased production of mutton being compensated by an increase of beef from cattle slaughtered at a younger age.

In fig. 12 the age profiles of the West Cotton sheep are compared with those from Launceston and Burystead/Langham Road. It is interesting that the early medizval West Cotton (with its emphasis on meat) is similar to the late Saxon at Burystead, while the late mediæval West Cotton (with its emphasis on wool) is more similar to the late mediæval at Launceston. It is possible that, unlike size, we are here dealing with a countrywide chronological development.

The growing importance of wool production is certainly a regional rather than local phenomenon. The increase in the frequency of sheep has been attested in several other sites, such as Exeter (Maltby, 1979), Lincoln (O'Connor, 1982) and Barnard Castle (Jones et al., 1985). There is also historical evidence that, from the beginning of the 13th century, British wool was considered the finest in Europe, and that it was more frequently exported to areas such as Flanders and the Artois (Grand and Delatouche, 1950; Trow-Smith, 1957).

The bone fusion data (table 20) are unfortunately of little help, because of the poor preservation. They do not appear to confirm the age shift indicated by the teeth, but their interpretation is complicated by the differential preservation in the two periods and by the probable increase in wool production in the later period which may have entailed a greater proportion of wethers with their later fusing epiphyses (Hatting, 1983).

From our finding of an increase in numbers of sheep and an increase in the age of their slaughter we may infer an even greater area of land was used for sheep pasturage in the mid-late mediæval than sheep numbers alone would indicate. This is because both numbers and age have an "add-on" effect (we are grateful to Mark Robinson for this observation).

Size. Individual measurements of caprine teeth and bones are listed in appendix 2, while a summary of the variability of the most common measurements is given in table 21.

An attempt to metrically distinguish between first and second molars was undertaken by measuring the maximum width of the crown. This failed due to the large amount of overlap between these two teeth sizes as the absence of any bimodality in the curve of the $\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2}$ widths clearly shows (fig. 13).

In the same diagram it is possible to observe that, as with cattle, no size change occurred between the two medixval periods. This result is confirmed by the plot of the width of the distal tibia (fig.14; and see table 14 for the statistical test).

Comparison of the sheep size at different sites gives roughly the same results as for cattle: the West Cotton animals are definitely larger than the medixval sheep at Launceston (the difference being statistically "very significant"), but are the same size as animals from York (O'Connor, 1986). Unlike cattle, the West Cotton sheep are also the same size as animals from Leicester (Gidney, 1991a and 1991b; fig. 14 and table 14). Other sites in the west country, namely Exeter (Maltby, 1979) and Okehampton Castle (Maltby, 1982), like Launceston, had sheep smaller than West Cotton. Again, it would appear that since the beginning of the Middle Ages a larger and possibly more "improved" type was present in the central part of the country. The small size of sheep from south-western sites (Exeter and Taunton) was also noticed by O'Connor (1982).

Sex. Although no morphological criteria could be used to distinguish the sexes in sheep, the plot of size of a very sexually dimorphic element, such as the horn-core, was of interest in this respect (fig. 15). It is important to remember that this diagram does not indicate the sex ratio due to the different degree of preservation of male versus female horn cores. Ram horncores are especially robust while those of ewes are gracile. Moreover, ewes are often hornless: one polled skull from the post-medixval level was found (Plate 1a). Therefore an under-representation of ewes is to be expected.

Two groups can be seen in fig. 15, one with four very large horncores and another with a higher number of smaller specimens. Despite the reduced size of horncores in wethers (Hatting, 1983) the size difference between females and castrate horn cores is still probably sufficient for measurements to form separate plots. We therefore suggest that the two clusters in figure 15 belong to females and either castrates or entire males.

The possible presence of rams is of some interest. In the manor of Rimpton (Somerset) rams (as well as bulls, see above) were not kept during the first period of occupation of this settlement. Then rams were introduced, in a ratio of one ram for forty ewes, a proportion considered ideal in mediæval times (Thornton, 1992). In case the large hornocores belong to rams, their presence in both periods at West Cotton probably suggests either a high standard of husbandry or that the sheep flock was large enough to justify the keeping of sires. If they are wethers this may be taken as a further indication of wool production.


#### Abstract

Abnormalities. Apart for some traumatic injuries, very few pathologies were observed on the sheep remains from West Cotton. One horn-core from the early medixval period and another from the post-medixval (both of small size) carried depressions similar to "thumb prints", a condition which is considered to be due to environmental/metabolic stress (Albarella, in prep.).


Butchery and working. As for cattle and pig, approximately $20 \%$ of the sheep bones showed signs of butchery, but, unlike cattle, many more chopping than cut marks were noticed (table 3). Clearly bones of this animal are derived from food refuse.

Only one horn-core, a probable ram or wether from the early mediæval period, was definitely chopped at the base (Plate 1b). No saw marks were noticed. It is possible that the working of sheep horns was not particularly popular, and other materials, such as bone and antler, were preferred.

## PIG

Body parts. Due mainly to the extensive damage by scavengers, very few postcranial bones of pig were preserved, and the assemblage is dominated by the much more durable teeth (table 22). Pig bones are very porous and generally very greasy, and being mostly juvenile, must have been much preferred by dogs. The huge overrepresentation of pig teeth in archaeological faunal assemblages is often noted (see for instance Davis, 1987b; Davis, 1992b; and Albarella and Davis, forthcoming).

Skull fragments are also very infrequent (table 22), which supports our suggestion that the difference is due to taphonomic factors rather than a preference in antiquity for heads.

Age. The wear stages of individual teeth are given in table 23, while the age profiles, calculated by mandibular age stages (O'Connor, 1988), are shown in table 10 and figure 16. The complete list of mandibles which could be assigned to age stages is in appendix 1.

Despite the small sample size, especially in the later period, the ages of pig slaughter appear to have remained the same in both early and mid-late medixval periods at West Cotton. In both periods the age curve is dominated by immature and subadult animals (fig. 16), with only a few animals kept to older age, presumably for reproduction. This is a predictable pattern and is widespread. Pig husbandry has only one basic aim: the production of meat and lard.

The surprisingly low ratio of milk to permanent premolars (fig. 7) is probably a consequence of the higher fragility of the anterior part of the mandible in juvenile animals, as well as the greater tendency for milk teeth to drop out of the mandibular ramus. (Isolated teeth are more likely to be missed in excavation.) The same phenomenon was noticed at Launceston Castle (Albarella and Davis, forthcoming).

Size. Individual measurements of pig teeth and bones are listed in appendix 2, while a summary of the variability of the most common tooth measurements is given in table 24.

Plots of the widths of the first and second molar (fig. 17) show that no size change occurred at West Cotton between the early and mid-late Middle Ages. Note also the absence of any overlap between the measurements of the two teeth. On this basis isolated molars initially identified as $\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2}$ could be confidently identified as first or second molar.

The clear metrical distinction between the two teeth can be taken as indirect evidence for low variability of the West Cotton pigs. This is also confirmed by the generally rather low coefficient of variation of the
measurements (table 24) and probably attests to the presence of a single domestic population.

In figure 18 more tooth measurements are compared with a "standard" value calculated from the Neolithic pig sample from Durrington Walls (Albarella and Payne, forthcoming). This method not only allows a comparison of measurements from the two periods, but also the simultaneous consideration of different measurements and different elements, highlighting possible differences in proportions. The absence of any change between the two periods at West Cotton is confirmed and an interesting difference in the proportion of the medixval measurements, relative to the neolithic ones, can be noticed: in both periods at West Cotton, relative tooth size decreases towards the back of the jaw. Whether this is due to genetic or nutritional factors remains an open question. It will be interesting to explore this further.

Unlike cattle and sheep, no size variation was noticed between the West Cotton and the Launceston pigs (table 14). It seems that in mediæval England, pig-size was fairly uniform (at least as far as the teeth are concerned).

Sex. In table 25 the number of females and males, as identified from canine shape and size, is shown.

When all canines are considered, males appear to have been more common. However this figure is likely to be biased by recovery, because male canines are larger and therefore less likely to be overlooked. When only canines in mandibles (therefore not affected by recovery bias) are taken into account the ratio is reversed, and females appear to be more frequent.

This predominance of sows is unusual in archaeological sites, especially from "consumer" sites (see for instance Launceston Castle). However documentary evidence suggests that only one boar was kept per three sows on manorial sites (Thornton, 1992): a proportion which could be consistent with the West Cotton results.

Although caution is necessary because of the small sample, we suspect that the pig sex ratio shows that West Cotton was a "producer" as well as a "consumer" site, and that not all pigs were slaughtered for household consumption, but some young males were grown for sale at market.

Abnormalities. No abnormalities or pathologies which could be of archaeological
interest were noticed in the pig population.

Butchery and working. Because of the very small size of the post-cranial assemblage very little butchery evidence was available for pigs. However some butchery marks were recorded (table 3), which indicate that pig bones too represent butchery and kitchen waste.

Some pig bones, such as metapodials, seem to have been regularly worked (see Hylton and Chapman, forthcoming).

## EQUIDS

Identification. 29 specimens of equid (mandibles or loose teeth) were definitely identified as horse. Seven come from early mediæval, 20 from mid-late medixval and 2 from post-medixval levels. Despite frequent references to donkeys in early English books on agriculture, no trace of this animal could be found at West Cotton. It is interesting to quote Loudon (1844) who, in his section on the history of English agriculture from the time of Henry VIII to 1688 states (p. 40) that asses were not ".. propagated in England till a subsequent period." All metapodials and third phalanges at West Cotton were more similar to those of the horse rather than donkey.

Although the majority of the West Cotton equids are certainly horses, we still prefer to use the term "equids" for this taxon, as our sample of identified elements is small and our confidence in being able to identify post-cranial bones only fair (not as high as for sheep and goat).

Body parts. Unlike the other common species, equid post-cranial bones are slightly better represented than teeth (table 26). We think that this is mainly due to their larger size, and generally older age. Hence they are less prone to post-mortem destruction. It is also possible that a different mode of disposal was adopted for equid carcasses/bones.

Very few equid bones were in articulation, and no trace of burials was found. In terms of their general appearance, degree of damage and scavenging, and scattering around the site, there appears to be little to distinguish between equid bones and bones of sheep, cattle and pig. Therefore, as for cattle, sheep and pig, equid bones probably derive from many different individuals, rather than from a few buried skeletons.

Age. For ascertaining the age-at-death of the equids we have to rely on the ratio of milk to permanent premolar teeth (fig. 7) and on the fusion of limb-bone epiphyses (table 27). Both methods indicate (tenuously for the few teeth found) an age increase in the later mediæval period.

As far as the fusion of the epiphyses are concerned it is possible that the poorer preservation in the later period has biassed against the unfused bones. It is also possible to argue that the smaller number of milk premolars in the later period is simply due to chance.

Two other explanations are a) that the change is real, and that it reflects improved horse-management (i.e., fewer deaths of foals), or simply b) instead of breeding horses themselves, the inhabitants of West Cotton, in the later medixval period, preferred to buy horses elsewhere.

Size. Individual measurements of equid teeth and bones are listed in appendix 2, while a summary of the variability of the most common measurements is given in table 28.

Withers heights (fig. 19) were calculated using the factors in Vitt (1952). Converting the measurements to hands, all equids (including a few Saxon and post-mediæval specimens) derived from ponies, rather than horses (i.e.,
shorter than 14 hands 2 inches). However it must be remembered that we cannot rule out the possible presence of donkey.

Figure 19 shows that there is no apparent change in the heights of the animals between the two periods. The astragalus measurements (fig. 20) also show that, apart from two larger late Saxon specimens, the size of the equids from Burystead/Langham Road (Davis, 1992b) and West Cotton were similar. A plot (fig. 21) of measurements of the first phalanx shows a possible decrease in size between the two mediæval periods occurred. However, there are too few phalanges to be able to come to a definite conclusion (table 14).

Butchery. Table 3 shows that the frequency of chop and cut marks (as well as gnawing marks) on equid bones, although slightly lower in the earlier period, is comparable to that in cattle. However, whereas in cattle most of the cut marks are truly "butchery" marks as they can be related to the severing of tendons, in equids most of the cut marks are probably a consequence of skinning (table 15; Plate 1c). The skinning of equid hides seems to have become particularly common in the midlate mediæval period. The use of equid hides is well known from mediæval times (Grand and Delatouche, 1950; Langdon, 1989), but we are not aware of any other medirval site in which such a high number of skinning marks has been found.

A high number of butchery marks, chop as well as cut marks, was also found on the West Cotton equid bones (table 3; Plates 1d and 2a). Many of the "noncountable" elements were also butchered. In the early medixval period butchery marks are not as common as for cattle, but in the later period equid becomes the taxon with the highest frequency of identified butchery. Chopping marks are particularly common on metapodials, but were also noticed on all other bones in the skeleton (scapula, humerus, radius, pelvis, femur, tibia, calcaneum) and in any period, including late Saxon (only metapodials) and post-medirval.

Butchered equid bones are often found on mediæval archaeological sites, and also on some rural sites, such as Gorhambury (Locker, 1990) and Langham Road (Davis, 1992b) (see Albarella and Davis, forthcoming for a more comprehensive list). However in all these sites butchered bones represent only occasional finds, while at West Cotton they seem to be fairly frequent.

Despite the high percentage of butchery marks, unlike the other common species, we cannot take for granted that equid bones represent butchery and food refuse.

Since the proscription by Pope Gregory III (AD 732) the consumption of horse meat is generally considered to have been widely avoided and the only exploited part of the horse carcass was its hide. Nevertheless the butchery marks on the West Cotton equid bones provide clear evidence that horse flesh, although not necessarily regularly, was exploited. A more difficult question to answer is: by whom? There is indeed historical evidence that horse meat was used for feeding dogs. Markham (1633) recommends feeding "horse-flesh newly slaine, and warm at the feeding" to hunting hounds on their rest days, this being ".... the strongest and lustiest meat you can give them". The possibility that equid meat was eaten by the numerous dogs which lived on the site must therefore be considered likely, and the high percentage of gnawing marks is to be noted in this respect.

However, the similarity between the butchery pattern for the equids and the other food species is intriguing. The prohibition of hippophagy is undoubtedly a well entrenched aspect of English and even European life (but see Larousse, 1873 under Hippophagie). As long as horses were scarce and highly prized work animals it is easy to understand why there was such a taboo (see Harris, 1985). However, as these animals became more common as work beasts, we wonder whether the severity of the taboo did not decrease and besides being used to feed the dogs, horse flesh was occasionally consumed. For example during a sequence of wet seasons, poor harvests, and disease among stock between 1314 and 1321, Stows Annals record the suffering of lords of the manor and their retainers: "horse-flesh was counted great delicates" (Hollis, 1946). An early mediæval equid tibia was smashed and burnt near its mid-shaft, probably in order to extract the marrow (Plate 2b; A similar pattern of butchery was also noticed on two cattle metapodials; Plate 2c). Was this marrow really used to feed the dogs?

An equid metatarsal with some anomalous cut marks was found in a late Mediæval layer (Plate 2d).

Abnormalities. Two equid metatarsals (one from early mediæval and another from mid-late mediæval) had strong exostoses near their proximal ends, and another three (two from mid-late mediæval and one from post-mediæval) were affected by "spavin", a condition characterized by the fusion of tarsal bones to the proximal end of the metatarsal. Several causes of this condition have been suggested, including hereditary factors and working stress (Baker and Brothwell, 1980). It seems that this condition does not seriously impede the animal's ability to work, (Baker and Brothwell, 1980).

## OTHER MAMMALS

Deer. All three European species of deer are present (table 1), but in very small quantity. This is typical of both rural and urban sites (Albarella and Davis, forthcoming) and is not surprising since deer hunting was a privilege strictly restricted to the aristocracy (Clutton-Brock, 1984; Grant, 1988).

A small number of red deer and roe deer bones from both early and mid-late mediæval times are clearly butchery/food refuse, indicating that occasionally the prohibition on deer-hunting was ignored. A red deer chopped metatarsal from the

Saxon period should be added to this list, but it comes from the "river silts" and may therefore represent a residual specimen from prehistoric times (see table 1). Fallow deer is only represented, in late mediæval times, by a chopped proximal metatarsal.

A few antlers of both red and roe deer were also found. All show signs of working. Some are shed, which suggests that they were collected for craft purposes (Plate 3a). One deer bone (probably the shaft of a metatarsal) was also used for making a pipe or flute (Lawson, in prep.).

Canids. Dog bones are quite common (table 1), although this animal is rather more conspicuous by its destructive influence upon the bones in general. Few measurements could be taken (appendix 2), though most of the dogs seem to have been of ordinary size, and very small and very large specimens are missing. Two almost complete skulls were found, one from late Saxon and another from early mediæval times (Plate 3b). They are both from fairly large dogs, and the Saxon one resembles, in shape, an Alsatian.

Cut marks can be seen on the nasal-bone of the medixval skull (Plate 3c). There can be little doubt that these are a consequence of skinning. The same interpretation has been given for some skulls from a Roman well in Eastbourne (Serjeantson, 1989). Other evidence for skinning has been found on dog bones: three mandibles from the mid-late mediæval period have clear cut marks on the anterior-buccal side (Plate $3 \mathrm{~d})$.

Dog skins were commonly used in mediæval times, for instance for producing gloves (Shepherd, 1979, quoted by Serjeantson, 1989).

Since most of the dog bones were not butchered (table 3), dogs were probably not generally eaten. One possible exception is a canid (small dog?) pelvis with cut marks on the acetabulum, possibly the result of dismemberment (Plate 4a). Gnawing marks were also uncommon (table 3) and in general bones were less fragmented than those of food animals.

Only one definite fox bone (a metatarsal) was found (table 1). This animal was probably occasionally hunted for its fur.

Cat. Cat bones were found in all periods, and are especially common in the early Middle Ages (table 1).

Most of the cats were not only small but also gracile (see measurements in appendix 2). A plot of the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ measurements (fig. 22) shows that they were definitely smaller than the specimens from Launceston Castle (Albarella and Davis, forthcoming). Post-cranial bones plot in the very low part of the size range of Irish medixval cats (McCormick, 1988).

A fairly large number of bones were unfused, i.e., from young cats, a pattern also found at Exeter (Maltby, 1979) and in a few urban mediæval sites in Ireland (McCormick, 1988). It must be noted that far fewer unfused bones were found on the early Christian site of Lagore in Ireland (table 29 and fig. 23). High numbers of
juvenile cat bones were also found at Lincoln (O'Connor, 1982) and at King's Lynn (Noddle, 1977).

McCormick (1988) interprets the difference in the age pattern between early Christian and medirval sites in Ireland as a consequence of a different use of the animals. He suggests that whereas in pre-medixval times cats were kept mainly as pets, in mediæval times they were exploited for their pelts. His idea is also supported by the larger size of the animals in the early Christian period, which, together with the fusion evidence, seems to suggest the presence of a "well cared for" cat population. The association between immature bones and skin production has also been suggested by Serjeantson (1989).

Unlike Exeter, King's Lynn, Lincoln, Waterford and Dublin, at West Cotton two kinds of evidence point to the production of cat skins: juvenile age and skinning marks (table 16). Two mandibles from early mediæval, one mandible from mid-late mediæval (Plate 4b) and two distal humeri from early mediæval have clear cut marks, presumably caused by skinning.

Despite the common interpretation of cats kept for their pelts, there is little direct evidence from medixval British sites: cut marks on cat bones are not frequently reported. Sadler (1990) mentions the presence of cut marks on a pelvis from the manor house of Faccombe Netherton.

In conclusion we think that there is clear evidence that at West Cotton cats were used for their pelts, rather than being just pets (however, the two are not incompatible). Their role as rodent predators, well known from historical sources, must also be considered as should the fact that the Middle Ages were unhappy times for cats - they were looked upon as "familiars of the devil, companions of witches and even witches themselves" (Pond and Raleigh, 1979). Furthermore, we think that the West Cotton cat bones support McCormick (1988) and Serjeantson's (1989) assumption that juvenile age may be related to skin exploitation.

Mustelids. Several bones, both mandibles (Plate 4c) and post-cranial bones, of polecats were found in early, middle, and post-mediæval contexts (table 1). They come from different part of the site and therefore probably belonged to different animals.

When compared to modern specimens in the AML reference collection, it is clear that most of the West Cotton polecats were smaller than modern ones and that they are closer in size to ferret (i.e., domestic polecat) bones.

No cut or chop marks were found on any of the polecat bones. Nevertheless the possibility that we are dealing with wild animals caught for their pelts has to be considered a possibility. (This despite their disagreeable smell). The interest of the inhabitants of West Cotton in furs, skins and hides seems quite evident.

Their small size may of course indicate that these bones belong to ferrets. This animal is known to have lived in Britain at least from the 13th century, when it was reared mainly for catching rabbits (Owen, 1969). Consequently the scarcity of rabbits at West Cotton (table 1) does not support (though, of course, it does not exclude) this hypothesis.

The polecat - ferret question has, unfortunately, to be left open. If indeed a ferret, then it would represent the first archaeological evidence for this animal in Britain.

Van Damme and Ervynk (1988) identified two partial mustelid skeletons as ferrets from a 14th century pit at the Castle of Laarne in East Flanders. They made their identification on the basis of skull shape. They also observed that both upper and lower canines had been filed down, a technique known to have been used to prevent ferrets from killing their prey. Rabbit bones were also found on this site.

Weasel bones were found (table 1 and 2) as were bones from a mustelid intermediate in size between the weasels and stoats in the AML reference collection. The presence of weasels of normal size at West Cotton suggests that we are more probably dealing with a population of very small stoats rather than large weasels.

Polecats, stoats and weasels are all listed by Veale (1966, quoted by Serjeantson, 1989) as being among the animals exploited for fur in the Middle Ages. Baxter (1834) lists polecats, stoats and weasels under "vermin", mentioning that both weasels and polecats steal poultry etc. and suggests various ways of getting rid of them. However, he does mention (p. 626) that the weasel ".. is beneficial in some respects in destroying rats, mice, and other noxious vermin, .."

Lagomorphs. Lagomorph bones are not particularly common, especially in the early medirval period (table 1 and 2). Rabbit is very rare, whereas several bones of hare were found. Two humeri from early mediæval and one from mid-late medireval are securely identified as "brown hare".

Although not abundant, hare is the most common wild animal on the site, and it shows that hunting of small animals was undertaken, if on a small scale.

Beaver. A beaver femur was found in a "river silt" deposit supposedly from the early-mid Saxon period. However, a radiocarbon date has demonstrated that the bone is from the late Bronze Age ( $2900 \pm 60$ uncalibrated radiocarbon years BP; Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator no. 4740).

It must be mentioned here that an aurochs mandible was found in a redeposited "river silt" context. There is little doubt that this specimen too derives from prehistoric levels.

Historical records suggest that beaver survived in Wales as late as the end of the twelfth century AD (Corbet and Southern, 1977). Beaver bones were found in an 8th century level at Fishergate in York (O'Connor, 1991) and in a ninth century context at St Peter's Street in Northampton (Harman, 1979). From historical sources we know that beavers were hunted for their pelts, and especially for their sexual glands, which were supposed to have therapeutic power (Grand and Delatouche, 1950).

Other rodents. Several other rodent species were identified (table 1 and 2). They are all obviously under-represented because of their small size.

Water voles are common and their presence may be associated with the wet environment. It is not impossible that they were exploited, but no cut marks were noticed.

Rats do not seem to have been particularly numerous, their numbers were perhaps kept in check by the cats and dogs present on the site.

Rats and mice are typical commensal species, and they may be associated with the presence of grain deposits on the site.

Insectivores. Hedgehog may have had some value as a source of meat, but shrew and mole certainly represent animals which died by chance on the site. Most of the mole bones look very white and translucent, and are therefore probably intrusive.

## BIRDS

As at Burystead/Langham Road (Davis, 1992b) birds are not very common at West Cotton. It is difficult to compare the frequency of birds relative to mammals, since this is strongly related to the efficiency of the recovery. However, it must be noted that at Launceston Castle a decline in status of the site was clearly associated with a dramatic decrease in the number of bird bones (Albarella and Davis, forthcoming).

Galliforms. Since no clear trace of pheasant or guinea fowl was found and despite the fact that only two bones were definitely identified as domestic fowl, we assume that all galliform bones belonged to domestic fowl.

Domestic fowl was slightly more common in the early medixval period. All anatomical elements are more or less represented. In both periods, between $10 \%$ and $20 \%$ of the bones are juvenile, but this number is probably an underestimate in view of recovery, fragmentation and identification problems. 11 tarsometatarsi from the early mediæval period are unspurred (i.e., females) and only one has a clear spur (i.e., it is a male); three of them have spur scars and are probably also from males or capons (West, 1985). Only two tarsometatarsi come from the mid-late mediæval and they are both unspurred. Several bones, from both main periods, had chop and especially cut marks.

It is reasonable to suggest that domestic fowl were exploited for meat, eggs and feathers, but they were not among the chief food resources on the site.

Goose. This species is almost as common as domestic fowl and also decreases in the later period (table 1). Due to their rather large size they probably belonged to domestic goose. No clear bias was found in the distribution of its body-parts, and fewer juvenile bones were found than for domestic fowl, a pattern known also on other sites - see for instance Exeter (Maltby, 1979) and Launceston Castle (Albarella and Davis, forthcoming). Chop and especially cut marks were noticed on several bones.

One specimen from early medixval and two from mid-late mediæval are slender and quite small, and could therefore belong to one of the wild species.

Geese are common on British medixval sites and are known, from historical sources, to have been valued for their meat. Goose fat and feathers were also exploited. In view of the presence of a mill and malting activities (Windell et al., 1990) at West Cotton, it is interesting that geese were sometimes kept by mills and malting houses, where by-products were fed to the geese (Grand and Delatouche, 1950).

Duck. Duck bones are only slightly less common than goose bones, and also tend to decrease in the later period. They probably belonged to domestic duck, again due to their rather large size. They are mostly adult. Cut marks on their bones were also noticed.

One very small duck bone from an early mediæval context belongs to a garganey or, more probably, to a teal. A somewhat larger (but still small) bone comes from the mid-late medixval and may also derive from a wild duck.

Ducks are found much more rarely than geese both in archaeological sites and in historical sources. Their meat was not considered very valuable and duck were sometimes considered dirty and unpleasant animals (Grand and Delatouche, 1950). It is therefore possible that they are more closely associated with sites of low status.

Pigeon/dove. This species represents the most common bird in the mid-late mediæval period (table 1). It is, however, quite common also in the previous period, and its frequency supports the identification of the "circular foundation," part of the 12th century manor, as really a dovecote (see Windell et al., 1990). Nine of the 23 pigeon bones from the early mediæval come from this building.

Approximately $75 \%$ of the mid-late medixval pigeon bones also come from the area around the dovecote, which is thought to have survived into the earliest part of this period (1250-1300 AD; Chapman, pers. comm.).

Almost $30 \%$ of the pigeon bones were juvenile and all parts of the skeleton were more or less equally represented. Only one bone, from the early-mid medixval period, bears cut marks.

Since the size of the domestic pigeon is very variable we could not use metric criteria to distinguish between the different species. However, (and in spite of the circularity of our suggestion) the presence of the dovecote, could indicate most of the bones come from domestic animals kept on the site.

The pigeons were perhaps mainly used for their meat, and this is supported by the high number of juvenile animals. Pigeons were an important standby in mediæval times during winter when fresh meat was scarce, and they also provided valuable manure (Drummond and Wilbraham, 1939).

Other birds. Among other birds, several species of little economic value were found. Among these corvids are the most common (table 1). Neither small (e.g., jackdaw size) nor large corvids (raven size) were found, hence we assume all remaining specimens belong to the rook/crow group.

The traditional English dish containing young rooks notwithstanding, we are unsure whether the West Cotton rooks were eaten; very few juvenile bones were found.

The presence of several birds of prey (table 1) is interesting. Birds of prey are more commonly associated with castle sites, where they are known to have been used by the aristocracy for hunting. This is clearly not the case for West Cotton, where they might have been killed for amusement. The most common bird of prey is the red kite (several "non countable" bones were also found) which is supposed to be a scavenger. Perhaps these birds used to be commensal too, scavenging the village refuse, and hence becoming an easy target. Baxter (1834: 627) lists kite under vermin and considers it ".. an insidious thief attacking young poultry, pheasants, partridges, etc." and recommends a method for ensnaring this ".. by no means common" bird.

Today in Britain, the breeding area of the red kite is limited to the central part of Wales (Sharrock, 1976), though it was apparently more widespread in former times. Red kite bones have also been found on other mediæval sites in different regions, such as Fishergate in York (O'Connor, 1991) and Launceston Castle in Cornwall (Albarella and Davis, forthcoming) as well as in Northampton (Bramwell, 1979).

## OTHER VERTEBRATES

Amphibians. Large numbers of amphibian bones were found both in the hand collected assemblages and the sieved ones (tables 1 and 2). They probably all belong to the frog/toad group (i.e., Anura) and that tailed amphibians (i.e., Urodela), such as newts, are missing. However these newts are very small and could well have been overlooked.

Identification to genus level, undertaken on the pelvis alone, indicates that both frogs and toads were present in roughly equal numbers (table 1). Although toads tend to be less aquatic than frogs, a large overlap occurs between the habitats of the two taxa, especially during the reproduction season (Barry Clarke, pers. comm.).

The presence of amphibian bones in such large quantity indicates a wet environment, which is hardly surprising in view of the nearby location of the river. The presence of large numbers of water voles may well also be associated with the closeness of the river.

Fishes (identification by Andrew Jones). Fish bones are uncommon in any period, strange in view of the closeness of the river. Only four fish bones were found from the hand collected assemblage and 41 from sieved samples (tables 1 and 2). Most of them belong to relatively small fish, hence their scarcity in the hand-collected assemblages. However, compared to the number of amphibian bones, of similar small size, they still appear to have been quite uncommon. It really seems that for the West Cotton people were not keen on fish and/or fishing.

Most of fish bones come from contexts within buildings. Since they are presumably better preserved in these contexts it is possible that the poor representation of fish bones can be explained, at least in part, by their poor survival in external features.

Both freshwater fishes (eel, perch and cyprinid) and sea fishes (herring and ling) were identified.

The eel bones all belong to medium sized individuals, $40-70 \mathrm{~cm}$ in total length. They were probably fished in the river, following an old and still common British tradition. The early 14th century "Luttrell Psalter" depicts eel traps positioned in the leat of a watermill (Backhouse, 1989). This represents a scene from everyday life which could even typify West Cotton in earlier times. However, since large scale netting on the tidal reaches of the main estuaries was already practised in this period, eels may simply have been imported along with the herrings (Chapman, pers. comm.).

A perch preopercular (from a $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ long fish) and a cyprinid pharyngeal tooth plate (from a fish less than 15 cm long) also testify to some interest in riverine resources.

Herrings and ling had necessarily to come from the sea, and represent the only direct evidence of a resource which does not derive from the site or its immediate catchment area. Perhaps they were brought in smoked or salted. It is interesting that not only small fishes (herrings were $25-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ long) but also large fishes (a ling cleithrum being from an individual at least 1 metre long) were brought from the sea.

## THE SITE

Animals were, no doubt, extremely important at West Cotton, and served as sources of all kinds of food, such as meat, fat, milk, cheese and probably eggs. Hides, skins, dung and especially wool were certainly also very important, and no doubt animals and their products in excess of local requirements could have been sold/exchanged at market. In this way West Cotton would have been part of a wider economic system. Power from oxen and horses almost certainly aided in the preparation of the soil for crops and in their subsequent processing.

Food production was almost entirely derived from the domestic animals. Hunting and fishing were quite clearly subsidiary activities. Despite the presence of the river, some of the fish were imported rather than fished locally.

The animal bones fail to show any real change between different areas of the site. Most of the bones were probably not in their primary location, having been moved by dogs. However, in view of the presumed importance of dairy products and wool, areas specialised in these tasks must have been present on the site as documentary evidence indicates (Basing, 1990).

The mid-13th century change in the site does not seem to be reflected by any substantial change in the nature of the animal economy. Changes of course occurred
between the two periods, but they seem to be a consequence of regional economic trends, rather than the transformation from manor to hamlet.

There is little evidence of any possible decline in status of the settlement. Pigs, known to be more common on high status sites (Grant, 1988; Albarella and Davis, forthcoming), are slightly less frequent on the site when it became a hamlet, but this is more probably related to a much more general countrywide development (see Grant, 1988; Albarella and Davis, forthcoming), perhaps in some way connected with the increasing importance of wool sheep.

Birds, which may signify higher status, appear to have become less common with time at West Cotton. But the change is small and may simply reflect increasingly poor preservation. Furthermore pigeons, whose meat was much valued in the Middle Ages, actually increased in number.

We have no evidence that less meat was consumed. Non edible species, such as dogs and cats, which would have become relatively more common in times of low meat consumption, were more or less equally frequent in the two periods.

Real economic changes which occurred on the site, such as the increased importance of wool production and the possible replacement of some oxen by horses for ploughing, do not seem to bear any relation to the changes which occurred to the status of the site.

In conclusion, the development from manor to hamlet was not paralleled by any dramatic change for better or worse in the economic life of the inhabitants of West Cotton. Time passed, buildings metamorphosed, but the life of the peasants remained basically the same.

## WEST COTTON IN A MORE GENERAL CONTEXT

West Cotton and Burystead/Langham Road. The most obvious sites to compare with West Cotton are Burystead and Langham Road, also rural sites, located two miles away. Animal bones from these two sites have been studied as a single assemblage (Davis, 1992b). The comparison is unfortunately somewhat handicapped as at Burystead/Langham Road the largest sample is of late Saxon date, a period for which we only have a small sample of bones at West Cotton. Moreover no division in the mediæval period was feasible at Burystead, so none of the mediæval economic changes at West Cotton could be discerned at Burystead/ Langham Road.

However, we can observe many similarities between these two sites such as the extensive destruction of bones by scavengers, the prevalence of sheep in all periods, the importance of equids, and the age patterns of cattle and sheep suggesting a mixed economy.

It is also interesting that, as mentioned above (fig. 12), the sheep kill-off pattern in the late Saxon at Burystead resembles the early rather than the late mediæval at West Cotton. This could indicate a gradual trend towards increasing wool production with time.

The late Saxon cattle from Burystead/Langham Road are comparable in size with the medixval animals from West Cotton (fig. 13 and 14). Thus no size change has occurred in the cattle of Northamptonshire during the period late Saxon - late mediæval. What is apparent however, is a contemporary regional variation, with larger cattle in Northamptonshire, Yorkshire (O'Connor 1986) and Leicestershire (Gidney 1991a and 1991b) and smaller cattle in Cornwall (Albarella and Davis, forthcoming), Devon (Maltby, 1979) and Northumberland (Davis, 1987b). Unfortunately too few measurements of sheep were taken at Burystead/Langham Road to enable confirmation of our suggestion derived from the West Cotton data, that sheep showed a pattern similar to that of cattle.

In brief, it seems that the two sites, West Cotton and Burystead/Langham Road, had a very similar animal economy. Minor differences, such as the much longer list of identified taxa at West Cotton, probably simply reflect the larger size of the assemblage from this site.

Villages, towns, castles. Having compared the West Cotton faunal assemblage with another local one, figure 24 compares it with assemblages from other mediæval and post-mediæval villages, towns and castles countrywide. For the sake of consistency, we have had to use NISP data, probably a poorer estimate of the actual numbers of livestock, rather than MNI. (For a complete list of these sites see table 30 ).

With its relatively high percentage of sheep and low percentage of pig, the West Cotton faunal assemblage confirms our predicted village faunal composition - the West Cotton plot sits well within the distribution of plots of other rural sites. It must be noticed that, in mid-late medixval times, West Cotton is among the sites with the highest frequency of sheep. This could indicate that at West Cotton the wool production was particularly important, though we have to admit that it may merely reflect better recovery of smaller (i.e., sheep) bones and teeth. (Perhaps a combination of these two factors is the correct explanation.)

The scarcity of wild-animal remains is another factor that seems to characterize rural sites and to differentiate them from castles.

Any further attempt to view West Cotton in a general rural context is handicapped by the general smallness of faunal assemblages from villages.

Early, mid, late and post-medixval sites. Fig 25 separates sites by "period" rather than "type". The two West Cotton periods still fit quite well in the relative chronological period patterns. Although there are several exceptions. The frequencies of species at West Cotton seems also to represent a countrywide phenomenon: i.e., the increase of sheep and decrease of pig (see also Grant, 1988).

The increasing importance of equids, a tendency to slaughter sheep at an older age and cattle at a younger age have also been noticed on other sites, and may also reflect general trends.

A new economic system. As we have seen, the transformation from manor to hamlet did not dramatically change the West Cotton economy. Nevertheless, several changes did occur, which can reasonably be explained in terms of countrywide rather than local trends.

The absence of any size change of the West Cotton animals reflects the well attested stability of livestock in the Middle Ages (see Armitage, 1982). A substantial size increase, apparently gradual in sheep and sudden in cattle, appears to have occurred somewhat later - during the 16th-17th centuries (see Kerridge, 1967 for the historical evidence and Albarella and Davis, forthcoming for the archaeological evidence). Nevertheless we cannot assume that the absence of size increase necessarily reflects the lack of any improvement in husbandry techniques. Thornton (1992) has demonstrated that at Rimpton manor, Somerset, improvement in livestock productivity in the 13th and 14th century was not manifest as animal-size increase, but as improved fertility and reduced mortality. These are factors which would be extremely difficult to detect archaeologically.

However, other changes which occurred between the early and the late mediæval periods were archaeologically detectable, and we suggest that they could be linked. The increased importance of wool in the mid-late medirval period may to some extent have occurred at the expense of mutton production. At the same time a small decrease of pig numbers occurred, perhaps due to a decline of woodlands.

We suggest the possibility that a reduced mutton and pork supply was a cause of the increased extent to which cattle became a source of meat rather than power. If correct, we would be able to understand why we find an increase in the numbers of younger cattle in the mid-late medirval and we would be able to relate this altered strategy in cattle management with the increasing degree to which horses were used for power. Therefore it appears that the mid-late mediæval periods saw the introduction of a new economic system, in which wool, beef and horse-power had become more important, and mutton, pork and cattle power less important. This change was not at all revolutionary, but rather very gradual. In general terms, however, a contemporary observer would have seen these changes, but the similarities between the two periods would have seemed greater than the differences.

Archaeological and historical evidence suggest that changes in the rural economy were probably countrywide. We therefore suspect that the changed aspect of the site played only a minor role.

## CONCLUSIONS AND SUMMARY

Like many other medixval sites most of the bones found at West Cotton belong to cattle, sheep and pig. Equids, although slightly less frequent, must be added to the list of the most important animals in the economy of the site.

Other domestic animals such as dogs and cats were common, while wild mammals, in particular deer, were very rare. Among taxa of great interest is the polecat. Unfortunately we do not know whether it was the domestic form (i.e., ferret) or the wild animal.

Birds are not abundant, but their scarcity may to some extent be the result of recovery bias. The most common birds are domestic fowl, goose, duck and pigeon, which probably served as a subsidiary source of meat, fat, and dung as well as eggs and feathers. While a few wild geese and ducks were probably present, the pigeons, in view of the presence of a dovecote, were more probably all domestic.

Amphibians were very common, undoubtedly a reflection of the wet environment and the nearby river, while very few fish have been found. Eels fished from the river and herring purchased at market were both present.

The bones were severely fragmented as a result of the action of scavengers. The level of destruction seems to have been worse in the mid-late mediæval period.

The representation of different parts of the skeleton of all species has largely been influenced by scavenger action, preservation and recovery. No bias caused by human activity can be observed, and it is therefore possible that all animals were reared, slaughtered and butchered on the site.

Whereas goat seems completely absent from the mediæval periods, sheep represents the most common taxon, and its frequency even increased in the mid-late medixval period. Sheep were probably kept mainly for the production of wool, but meat and milk were also used. The increased number of adult animals in the later period can be related to the increasing importance of the wool production, which may also explain the increased number of sheep relative to other species.

Cattle were probably used mainly for traction, as well as meat and dairy products. This animal decreased in number in the course of the Middle Ages, probably as a consequence of the increased importance of sheep, and perhaps also because some of the work oxen were replaced by horses. This animal became slightly more frequent in the mid-late mediæval period. Our study of the kill-off pattern of cattle is handicapped by the small size of the mid-late medixval sample, however we can tentatively suggest that a higher number of juveniles were killed in the late period. This may indicate an increase of beef production and decreased use of cattle as work beasts.

Pig numbers also decreased in the mid-late medixval period - perhaps also a consequence of the increased number of sheep. However a general contraction of woodland must also be considered as a possible factor. Pigs were clearly exploited for meat and lard, as indicated by the high number of immature animals.

Equids - probably all horses - are quite common in all periods. They were clearly used for traction and, as the high number of butchered bones show, also for feeding dogs. Perhaps occasionally horse meat was eaten by people too - despite the well known taboo against horse flesh.

Clear cut marks on cattle, equid, dog and cat bones almost certainly reflect considerable interest on the part of the inhabitants of West Cotton in the use of these animals for their skins. The use of cat pelts is also supported by the young age at which they were killed.

Both cattle and sheep were comparable in size to contemporary animals from Yorkshire and Leicestershire but were larger than those animals from Cornwall and Northumberland. No size change occurred between the two mediæval periods at West Cotton. As far as cattle is concerned their size was similar to cattle from the nearby Northamptonshire site of Burystead/Langham Road. It is possible that large, and therefore perhaps "improved" cattle and sheep were kept in mediæval Northamptonshire.

The changes in the patterns of exploitation of animal resources which occurred between the two main medixval periods, namely the increased importance of wool and horse power, are more probably related to countrywide trends which have been documented in both historical and archaeological sources, although the transformation of the site from manor to hamlet may also have played a role.
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Table 1
Numbers of mammal, bird and amphibian bones (NISP) in all levels at West Cotton (sieved samples are not included). Sheep/Goat also includes the specimens identified to species and Amphibian also includes specimens identified to genus. Cases where only "non-countable" bones were present are denoted by a " + ". Percentages are given only for periods with larger samples. Pig metapodials and ruminant half distal metapodials have been divided by two, while carnivore and lagomorph metapodials have been divided by four. Due to the difficulty in distinguishing between upper and lower incisors, all equid incisors have been recorded and then divided by two.
1 Approximately $50 \%$ of the bones in this level, including goat, red deer and beaver, come from the "river silts". This deposit was supposed to be Saxon, but has not been directly dated, due to the absence of pottery. Since it is contiguous with the prehistoric level, some residual prehistoric material was expected. A radiocarbon date carried out on the beaver bone has indeed given a late prehistoric date of $2900 \pm 60$ uncalibrated radiocarbon years BP.

| PERIOD | Late Saxon | Early <br> Mediæval | Mid <br> Mediæval | Late <br> Mediæval | Late Post Mediæval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | n | n | n | n |
| Cattle | - | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| Sheep/Goat | 1 (1) | 14 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Pig | - | 7 | 2 (1) | - | - |
| Equid | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Dog | - | 2 | 1 (1) | - | - |
| Cat | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Weasel | - | - | + | - | - |
| Hare | - | - | 9 | - | - |
| Rat | - | 3 | 1 | - | - |
| Water vole | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Rat / Water vole | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Small Rodent | 3 | 24 | 22 (1) | - | 2 |
| Mouse | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Small Vole | - | 1. | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| House Mouse | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| wood / Yellow necked Mouse | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Field Vole | - | 5 | 4 | 1 | - |
| Bank Vole | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Mole | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Common Shrew | 1 (1) | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Duck | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| ?Snipe | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pigeon | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Crow / Rook | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Turdid | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Passeriform | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Bird | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Amphibian | 10 (5) | 80 (2) | 36 (2) | - | 2 |
| (Frog | - | 9 | 3 | - | - |
| (Toad | 1. | 4 | - | - | - |
| Herring | 2 | 26 | 5 | - | - |
| Eel | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Cyprinid | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fish | - | 3 | 1 | - | - |
| Total | 20 | 191 | 99 | 6 | 5 |

Table 2
Number of mammal, bird and amphibian bones (NISP) from sieved samples at West Cotton (water sieving, 0.5 mm mesh). Amphibian also includes the specimens identified to genus. Cases where only "noncountable" bones were present are denoted by a "+". Most of the bones are from 10 litre samples; bones from "whole earth" samples (100 litres) are specified in brackets.

|  | EARLY MEDIEVAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MID-LATE MEDIEVAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chopping |  | Cuts |  | Total butchery |  | Gnawing |  | Chopping |  | Cuts |  | Total butchery |  | Gnawing |  |
|  | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |
| Cattle | 85 | 16 | 56 | 11 | 137 | 26 | 130 | 25 | 29 | 16 | 25 | 13 | 51 | 27 | 57 | 30 |
| Sheep | 49 | 19 | 16 | 6 | 59 | 23 | 34 | 13 | 36 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 43 | 17 | 51 | 20 |
| Pig | 8 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 24 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 27 |
| Equid | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 15 | 26 | 22 | 25 | 21 | 23 | 15 | 45 | 30 | 48 | 32 |
| Dog | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 151 | 15 | 87 | 9 | 225 | 22 | 208 | 21 | 93 | 14 | 61 | 9 | 144 | 22 | 169 | 26 |

Percentages of butchered and gnawed postcranial bones at West cotton. Total butchery includes chop and cut marks (its value is higher carnivores or rodents. The percentage is calculated from the total number of postcranial bones in that period.

| PERIOD | Early Mediæval |  | Mid Mediæval |  | Late Mediæval |  | Early Post Mediæval |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\%$ | n | $\%$ | n | $\%$ | n | $\%$ |
| Cattle | 760 | 43 | 290.5 | 26 | 116 | 19 | 56 | 12 |
| Sheep/Goat | 531 | 30 | 499.5 | 44 | 325.5 | 54 | 309 | 67 |
| Pig | 318 | 18 | 174 | 15 | 56 | 9 | 35 | 8 |
| Equid | 176.5 | 10 | 159.5 | 14 | 101 | 17 | 64 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1785.5 |  | 1123.5 | 598.5 | 464 |  |  |  |

Table 4
Relative frequencies of the four main species (NISP) in the main levels at West Cotton (unsieved collection).

| PERIOD | Early Mediæval |  |  | Mid Mediæval |  |  | Late Mediæval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MNI |  | \% | MNI |  | \% | MNI | \% |
| Cattle |  | $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2}\right)$ | 26 | 20 | $\left(M_{1 / 2}\right)$ | 20 | 7 ( $M_{3}$ ) | 13 |
| Sheep/Goat |  | $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2}\right)$ | 48 | 63 | $\left(M_{1 / 2}\right)$ | 62 | $37\left(\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2}\right)$ | 66 |
| Pig |  | (CAN) | 22 | 12 | (CAN) | 12 | 7 (CAN) | 13 |
| Equid | 7 | (PE, TI) | 5 | 7 | (TI) | 7 | 5 (MT) | 9 |
| Total | 144 |  |  | 102 |  |  | 56 |  |

## Table 5

Minimum numbers of individuals (MNI) of the four main species in the main levels at West Cotton (unsieved collection). Those parts of the skeleton which indicated the highest MNI are given in parentheses.
CAN=canine, $\mathrm{PE}=$ pelvis, $\mathrm{TI}=$ tibia, $\mathrm{MT}=$ metatarsal.

|  | Ditches \& Enclosures <br> Southern <br> holding | Northern <br> holding | Eastern <br> enclosures | Total | Buildings <br>  <br> their |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yards |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 6

West Cotton. Early Mediæval. Lateral variation in the frequency of the main species (NISP).


Table 7
West Cotton. Lateral variation in the mid-late mediaval period. Frequencies of the main taxa (NISP) in the different tenements (which inciude the buildings and their yards)

|  | Early Mediæval |  |  | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NISP | MNI | \% | NISP | MNI | \% |
| INCISORS | 47 | 6 | 16 | 44 | 6 | 24 |
| DECIDUOUS + <br> PERMANENT <br> PREMOLARS | 160 | 27 | 73 | 108 | 18 | 72 |
| $M_{1 / 2}$ | 147 | 37 | 100 | 100 | 25 | 100 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 58 | 29 | 78 | 40 | 20 | 80 |
| CRANIUM | 15 | 8 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 12 |
| SCAPULA | 37 | 19 | 51 | 18 | 9 | 36 |
| HUMERUS | 25 | 13 | 35 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| RADIUS | 35 | 18 | 49 | 6 | 3 | 12 |
| METACARPAL | 36.5 | 20 | 54 | 17.5 | 10 | 40 |
| PELVIS | 59 | 30 | 81 | 12 | 6 | 24 |
| FEMUR | 14 | 7 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| TIBIA | 54 | 27 | 73 | 12 | 6 | 24 |
| ASTRAGALUS | 45 | 23 | 62 | 36 | 18 | 72 |
| CALCANEUM | 51 | 26 | 70 | 25 | 13 | 52 |
| METATARSAL | 32.5 | 18 | 49 | 8 | 5 | 20 |
| PHALANX 1 | 72 | 9 | 24 | 28 | 4 | 16 |
| PHALANX 3 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| TOTAL | 903 |  |  | 468.5 |  |  |

Table 8
West Cotton. Parts of the cattle skeleton by number of fragments (NISP) and minimum number of individuals (MNI). Unfused epiphyses are not counted.
Each individual tooth within mandibles has been counted, hence the total is greater than the total NISP (table 1).
The MNI has been calculated as follows:
Incisors and phalanges have been divided by 8 , deciduous + permanent premolars by $6, M_{1 / 2}$ by 4 , all other elements, except metapodials, by 2.
Metacarpal $=(\mathrm{MC1}+\mathrm{MC2} / 2+\mathrm{MP} 1 / 2+\mathrm{MP} 2 / 4) / 2$.
Metatarsal $=(\mathrm{MT} 1+\mathrm{MT} 2 / 2+\mathrm{MP} 1 / 2+\mathrm{MP} 2 / 4) / 2$.
Where:
MC1 = complete distal metacarpal.
MC2 = half distal metacarpal.
MT1 = complete distal metatarsal.
MT2 = half distal metatarsal.
MP1 = complete distal metapodial.
MP2 = half distal metapodial.
$\%=$ frequency of an element expressed in relation to the most common one (by MNI).

|  |  | C | V | E | H | a | b | c | d | e | £ | g | h | j | k | 1 | m | n | 0 | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Early Mediæval | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early Mediæval |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 10 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 4 | 3 |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  | Early Mediæval |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 | 15 | 7 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mid-Late Mediæval |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Early Mediæval | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 1 | 4 | 20 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2}$ | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |  |  | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 22 | 8 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | Early Mediæval |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mid-Late Mediæval | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 10 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 |
|  | Early Mediæval |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 17 |  | 10 | 9 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

Table 9

 eruption stages ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H}$ ) are coded as "a".

Tab1e 10

| Pig |  | Mandibular wear stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ј |  | I |  | $s$ |  | A |  | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  | Tot |
|  |  | n | \% | n | $\%$ | n | \% | n | \% | n | $\%$ | n |
|  | Mid-Late Mediaval | - | 0 | 5 | 36 | 7 | 50 | 2 | 14 | - | 0 | 14 |
|  | Eaxly Mediaval | 3 | 8 | 13 | 33 | 18 | 45 | 6 | 15 | - | 0 | 40 |



| Taxon | Periods compared | Test | Value | Probability (x) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cattle | Mid-Late Med. - Early Med. | K.S | 1.30 | 50\% < x < $75 \%$ |
| Cattle | Mid-Late Med. - Early Med. | $\chi^{2}$ | 7.43 | 0.5\% < x < 1\%** |
| Sheep | Mid-Late Med. - Early Med. | KS | 6.53 | $2.5 \%<x<5 \%$ * |
| Sheep | Mid-Late Med. - Early Med. | $\chi^{2}$ | 10.74 | $<0.5 \%$ * |

## Table 11

West Cotton. Significance of the differences between sheep and cattle kill-off patterns in different periods. The KolmogorovSmirnov test (Siegel 1956) and $\chi^{2}$ test (Spiegel 1961) compare the age profiles as calculated by the mandibular wear stage distribution (fig. 12).

Probability $=$ probability that the difference between the two groups is due to chance
** $=$ the difference is highly significant (with less than a $1 \%$ probability that it is due to chance)

* $=$ the difference is significant (less than $5 \%$ probability that the difference is due to chance)
no asterisk $=$ no significant difference (more than a $5 \%$ probability that it is due to chance)


Table 12
West Cotton. Cattle, fusion data. Fused and fusing epiphyses are amalgamated. Only unfused diaphyses, not epiphyses, are counted. Percentages calculated only for samples with a minimum of 10 specimens. a=acetabulum, p=proximal, d=distal.

MID-LATE MEDIEVAL

| Measurement | Mean | V | Min. | Max. | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{3}$ L | 356 | 5.8 |  |  |  |
| $M_{3}$ WA | 153 | 8.8 | 315 | 390 | 25 |
| Metacarpal BatF | 512 | 8.2 | 131 | 168 | 33 |
| Metacarpal Bd | 560 | 7.3 | 450 | 573 | 11 |
| Metacarpal a | 276 | 9.2 | 476 | 606 | 10 |
| Astragalus GLl | 612 | 5.3 | 226 | 318 | 10 |
| Astragalus Bd | 390 | 6.8 | 537 | 684 | 29 |
| Astragalus Dl | 335 | 6.4 | 332 | 442 | 28 |

EARLY MEDIEVAL

| Measurement | Mean | V | Min. | Max | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ | 356 | 5.9 | 309 | 385 | 17 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ WA | 155 | 6.4 | 138 | 175 | 22 |
| Scapula SLC | 458 | 19.6 | 313 | 675 | 15 |
| Humerus BT | 628 | 7.2 | 566 | 730 | 12 |
| Humerus HTC | 291 | 9.6 | 247 | 364 | 23 |
| Metacarpal GL | 1829 | 5.0 | 1605 | 1938 | 24 |
| Metacarpal SD | 293 | 10.3 | 221 | 339 | 27 |
| Metacarpal Bd | 555 | 10.5 | 448 | 663 | 26 |
| Metacarpal BatF | 503 | 9.2 | 394 | 595 | 23 |
| Metacarpal Dd | 295 | 7.9 | 247 | 343 | 18 |
| Metacarpal a | 273 | 9.9 | 227 | 324 | 22 |
| Metacarpal b | 265 | 9.9 | 223 | 310 | 22 |
| Metacarpal 1 | 227 | 9.8 | 193 | 267 | 27 |
| Metacarpal 4 | 212 | 10.7 | 179 | 248 | 25 |
| Pelvi LA | 604 | 6.1 | 505 | 658 | 22 |
| Tibia Bd | 563 | 8.2 | 465 | 655 | 35 |
| Astragalus GLl | 599 | 4.8 | 530 | 654 | 34 |
| Astragalus Bd | 380 | 6.6 | 335 | 443 | 30 |
| Astragalus Dl | 329 | 4.9 | 297 | 362 | 30 |
| Metatarsal GL | 2079 | 3.8 | 1930 | 2218 | 15 |
| Metatarsal SD | 227 | 6.5 | 201 | 261 | 17 |
| Metatarsal Bd | 495 | 7.5 | 426 | 567 | 16 |
| Metatarsal BatF | 452 | 8.4 | 381 | 522 | 16 |
| Metatarsal Dd | 286 | 5.3 | 260 | 315 | 14 |
| Metatarsal a | 237 | 8.4 | 204 | 279 | 15 |
| Metatarsal b | 225 | 7.3 | 198 | 256 | 15 |
| Metatarsal 1 | 212 | 7.2 | 191 | 248 | 17 |
| Metatarsal 4 | 200 | 6.8 | 176 | 233 | 18 |

Table 13
West Cotton: means, coefficients of variation (V), ranges and sample sizes for the cattle measurements. Fusing bones are included, unfused ones are not. A few measurements are approximated. All the measurements are in tenths of millimetres. Only samples of at least 10 measurements are given.

| Taxon | Measurement | Groups compared | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cattle | Astragalus Bd | LAU Late Med. - WC MidLate Med. | 0.000 ** |
| Cattle | Astragalus Bd | WC MidLate Med. - LEI MidLate Med. | 0.009 ** |
| Cattle | Astragalus Bd | WC MidLate Med. - LAU Mid Med. | 0.000 ** |
| Cattle | Astragalus Bd | WC MidLate Med. - WC Early Med. | 0.166 |
| Cattle | Astragalus Bd | LAU Mid Med. - WC Early Med. | 0.000 ** |
| Cattle | Astragalus Bd | WC Early Med. - York Early Med. | 0.069 |
| Sheep | Tibia Bd | LAU Late Med. - WC MidLate Med. | 0.000 ** |
| Sheep | Tibia Bd | WC MidLate Med. - LEI MidLate Med. | 0.395 |
| Sheep | Tibia Bd | WC MidLate Med. - LAU Mid Med. | 0.000 ** |
| Sheep | Tibia Bd | WC MidLate Med. - WC Early Med. | 0.979 |
| Sheep | Tibia Bd | LAU Mid Med. - WC Early Med. | 0.000 ** |
| Sheep | Tibia Bd | WC Early Med. - York Early Med. | 0.266 |
| Pig | M1wA | LAU Late Med. - wC MidLate Med. | 0.900 |
| Pig | M1WA | WC MidLate Med. - LAU Mid Med. | 0.400 |
| Pig | M1WA | WC MidLate Med. - WC Early Med. | 0.892 |
| Pig | M1wA | LAU Mid Med. - WC Early Med. | 0.510 |
| Equid | Phalanx 1 GL | WC MidLate Med. - WC Early Med. | 0.060 |

Table 14
West Cotton (WC), Launceston Castle (LAU), Leicester, The Shires (LEI) (Gidney 1991a \& 1991b) and York, Coppergate (YORK) ( $O^{\prime}$ Connor 1986). Significance of the size differences between different periods and different sites as indicated by a t-test. ** = the difference is highly significant (with less than a $1 \%$ probability that it is due to chance) no asterisk $=$ no significant difference (more than a $5 \%$ probability that it is due to chance)

|  | Early Med Cattle |  | Equid |  | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cat |  | Equ |  |
|  | n | \% |  |  | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |
| Scapula | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Humerus | 4 | 15 | - |  | 2 |  | - | 0 |
| Radius | 2 | 5 | 1 | 8 | - |  | - |  |
| Pelvis | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 18 |
| Femur | - | 0 | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Tibia | 4 | 7 | 1 | 8 | - | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| Astragalus | 12 | 27 | - |  | 12 | 33 | 1 | 8 |
| Calcaneum | 5 | 10 | - |  | 2 | 8 | - |  |
| Metapodials | 11 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 22 |
| Phalanx 1 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 26 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 37 |

Table 15
West Cotton. Numbers of cut marks recorded on body parts of cattle and equid. Percentages are given only for samples larger than 10 . Whereas cut marks on bones such as humerus, astragalus and calcaneum are generally produced while severing tendons, cut marks on phalanges, and probably metapodials too, are more probably due to skinning.

|  | Late Saxon | Early <br> Mediæval | Mid-Late <br> Mediæval | Post <br> Mediæval | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Cattle | 1 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 31 |
| Sheep | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Pig | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Equid | 0 | 6 | 20 | 2 | 28 |
| Dog | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Cat | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

## Table 16

West Cotton. Number of cut marks interpreted as due to skinning. These are cut marks on cranial extremities, such as nasal bones and anterior part of the mandible, and foot extremities, such as metapodials and phalanges.

|  | Early Mediæval |  |  | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NISP | MNI | \% | NISP | MNI | \% |
| INCISORS | 23 | 3 | 4 | 85 | 11 | 11 |
| DECIDUOUS + PERMANENT PREMOLARS | 211 | 36 | 52 | 238 | 40 | 40 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2}$ | 273 | 69 | 100 | 400 | 100 | 100 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 85 | 43 | 62 | 155 | 78 | 78 |
| CRANIUM | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| SCAPULA | 17 | 9 | 13 | 25 | 13 | 13 |
| HUMERUS | 29 | 15 | 22 | 30 | 15 | 15 |
| RADIUS | 25 | 13 | 19 | 15 | 8 | 8 |
| METACARPAL | 20 | 10 | 14 | 14.5 | 8 | 8 |
| PELVIS | 35 | 18 | 26 | 23 | 12 | 12 |
| FEMUR | 10 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| TIBIA | 48 | 24 | 35 | 49 | 25 | 25 |
| ASTRAGALUS | 5 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| CALCANEUM | 12 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 7 |
| METATARSAL | 19.5 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 8 |
| PHALANX 1 | 22 | 3 | 4 | 30 | 4 | 4 |
| PHALANX 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 842.5 |  |  | 1123.5 |  |  |

Table 17
West Cotton. Parts of sheep skeleton by number of fragments (NISP) and minimum number of individuals (MNI). Unfused epiphyses are not counted.
Each individual tooth within mandibles has been counted, hence the total is greater than the total NISP in table 1.
The MNI has been calculated as follows:
Incisors and phalanges have been divided by 8 , deciduous + permanent premolars by $6, M_{1 / 2}$ by 4 , all other elements, but metapodials, by 2 .
Metacarpal $=(\mathrm{MC1}+\mathrm{MC} 2 / 2+\mathrm{MP} 1 / 2+\mathrm{MP} 2 / 4) / 2$.
Metatarsal $=(\mathrm{MT} 1+\mathrm{MT} 2 / 2+\mathrm{MP} 1 / 2+\mathrm{MP} 2 / 4) / 2$.
Where:
MC1 $=$ complete distal metacarpal.
MC2 $=$ half distal metacarpal.
MT1 = complete distal metatarsal.
MT2 = half distal metatarsal.
MP1 = complete distal metapodial.
MP2 = half distal metapodial.
$\%=$ frequency of an element expressed in relation to the most common one (by MNI).

|  |  | c | v | E | H | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dr, | Mid-Late Medixval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 13 |  | 12 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
|  | Early Medixval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 12 |  | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Mid-Late Medizval |  | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 28 | 1 | 9 | 18 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early Medirval | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 | 12 |  | 8 | 9 |  | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | Mid-Late Medirval |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early Medirval |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 7 |  | 2 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ | Mid-Late medizval | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 51 | 6 |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early Medixval | 2 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 41 | 7 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $M_{1 / 2}$ | Mid-Late Medizval |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 27 | 20 | 124 | 2 | 6 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early medixval |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 1 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 50 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {m }}$ | Mid-Late Medirval |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 5 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 18 | 24 | 16 | 59 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early Medizval |  | 2 | 1. |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |  | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 32 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

west Cotton. Sheep wear stages of individual teeth 〈following Payne $1973 \& 1987$ ). Both teeth in mandibles and isclated teeth are included. Unworn isolated teeth which could have been in one of
Mid-Late Mediæval

| Age ranges | Tooth | Wear stage | \% killed within age range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cumulative \% } \\ & \text { killed } \end{aligned}$ | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-2 years | $36 \mathrm{dP}_{4}$ |  | 30\% | 30\% | c. 2 years |
| > 2 years | $85 \mathrm{P}_{4}$ |  | 70\% |  |  |
| 2-3 years | $10 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 2-4 | 5\% | 35\% | c. 3 years |
| 3-5 years | $76 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 5-10 | 36\% | 71\% | c. 5 years |
| 6-10 years | $59 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 11G | 28\% | 99\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } 10 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
| > 10 years | $2 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | >11G | 1\% | 100\% |  |
| Early Mediæval |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age ranges | Tooth | Wear stage | \% killed within age range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cumulative \% } \\ & \text { killed } \end{aligned}$ | Age |
| 0-2 years | $42 \mathrm{dP}_{4}$ |  | 44\% | 44\% | c. 2 years |
| > 2 years | $54 \mathrm{P}_{4}$ |  | 56\% |  |  |
| 2-3 years | $8 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 2-4 | 6\% | 50\% | c. 3 years |
| 3-5 years | $34 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 5-10 | 25\% | 75\% | c. 5 years |
| 6-10 years | 32 M | 11 G | 24\% | 99\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } 10 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
| > 10 years | $1 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | >11G | 1\% | 100\% |  |

West Cotton. Sheep, kill off pattern deduced from both single teeth $\left(\mathrm{dP}_{4} / \mathrm{P}_{4}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{M}_{3}\right)$
and teeth ( $\mathrm{dP}_{4} / \mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $M_{3}$ in mandibles, using the system suggested by Payne (1988).
Unworn $\mathrm{P}_{4} S$ are included and wear stages are as in Payne (1973).

|  | Early Mediæval |  |  |  | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fused |  | Unfused |  | Fused |  | Unfused |  |
| Element | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |
| Scapula d | 11 | 92 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 94 | 1 | 6 |
| Humerus d | 27 | 93 | 2 | 7 | 29 | 97 | 1 | 3 |
| Radius d | 7 | 28 | 18 | 72 | 2 | 13 | 13 | 87 |
| Metacarpal d | 8 | 38 | 13 | 62 | 7 | 44 | 9 | 56 |
| Pelvis a | 24 | 100 | - | 0 | 18 | 100 | - | 0 |
| Femur d | 3 | 30 | 7 | 70 | 5 | 50 | 5 | 50 |
| Tibia d | 38 | 79 | 10 | 21 | 40 | 83 | 8 | 17 |
| Calcaneum | 4 | 36 | 7 | 64 | 5 | 50 | 5 | 50 |
| Metatarsal d | 8 | 40 | 12 | 60 | 9 | 64 | 5 | 36 |
| Phalanx 1 p | 17 | 77 | 5 | 23 | 28 | 93 | 2 | 7 |

Table 20
West Cotton. Sheep, fusion data. Fused and fusing epiphyses are amalgamated. Only unfused diaphyses, not epiphyses, are counted. a=acetabulum, p=proximal, d=distal.

MID-LATE MEDIEVAL

| Measurement | Mean | v | Min | Max | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{dP}_{4} \mathrm{~W}$ | 65 | 5.1 | 56 | 71 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{~W}$ | 73 | 6.1 | 62 | 82 | 83 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | 81 | 5.4 | 69 | 89 | 80 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~W}$ | 83 | 4.4 | 74 | 91 | 148 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}$ | 77 | 6.9 | 62 | 90 | 193 |
| Scapula SLC | 174 | 8.3 | 141 | 196 | 17 |
| Humerus BT | 268 | 7.6 | 223 | 309 | 22 |
| Humerus HTC | 134 | 8.0 | 116 | 161 | 23 |
| Tibia Bd | 250 | 6.0 | 218 | 279 | 35 |
| Astragalus GLl | 268 | 3.4 | 253 | 280 | 10 |
| Astragalus Bd | 173 | 5.0 | 158 | 185 | 11 |
| Astragalus Dl | 148 | 3.5 | 141 | 157 | 10 |

EARLY MEDIEVAL

| Measurement | Mean | V | Min. | Max. | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dP $_{4} W$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $M_{1} W$ | 64 | 3.5 | 59 | 69 | 30 |
| $M_{2} W$ | 72 | 5.0 | 65 | 79 | 80 |
| $M_{3} W$ | 80 | 4.5 | 67 | 88 | 74 |
| $M_{1 / 2} W$ | 83 | 4.9 | 70 | 91 | 72 |
| Horncore W | max | 77 | 6.0 | 65 | 87 |
| Horncore W | 356 | 34.6 | 605 | 12 |  |
| Scapula SLC | 241 | 33.5 | 165 | 392 | 12 |
| Humerus BT | 176 | 7.2 | 157 | 192 | 13 |
| Humerus HTC | 273 | 5.6 | 255 | 307 | 15 |
| Pelvis LA | 136 | 5.4 | 122 | 153 | 21 |
| Tibia Bd | 269 | 5.4 | 247 | 296 | 18 |
|  | 249 | 4.4 | 229 | 273 | 34 |

Table 21
West Cotton: means, coefficients of variation (V), ranges and sample sizes for the sheep measurements. Fusing bones are included, unfused ones are not. A few measurements are approximated. All the measurements are in tenths of millimetres. Only samples of at least 10 measurements are given.

|  | Early Mediæval |  |  | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NISP | MNI | \% | NISP | MNI | \% |
| INCISORS | 92 | 16 | 52 | 56 | 10 | 53 |
| CANINE | 61 | 31 | 100 | 38 | 19 | 100 |
| DECIDUOUS + PERMANENT PREMOLARS | 108 | 18 | 58 | 44 | 8 | 42 |
| $M_{1 / 2}$ | 76 | 19 | 61 | 48 | 12 | 63 |
| $M_{3}$ | 28 | 14 | 45 | 15 | 8 | 42 |
| CRANIUM | 4 | 2 | 6 | - | - | - |
| SCAPULA | 10 | 5 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 21 |
| HUMERUS | 7 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| RADIUS | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| METACARPAL | 4.5 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 11 |
| PELVIS | 7 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| FEMUR | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - |
| TIBIA | 9 | 5 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| ASTRAGALUS | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| CALCANEUM | 5 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 16 |
| METATARSAL | 4.5 | 2 | 6 | 2.5 | 1 | 5 |
| PHALANX 1 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| PHALANX 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 427 |  |  | 236.5 |  |  |

Table 22
West Cotton. Parts of the pig skeleton by number of fragments (NISP) and minimum number of individuals (MNI). Unfused epiphyses are not counted.
Each individual tooth within mandibles has been counted, hence the total is greater than the total NISP in table 1.
The MNI has been calculated as follows:
Phalanges have been divided by 8 , deciduous + permanent premolars and incisors by 6 , $M_{1 / 2}$ by 4, all other elements, except metapodials, by 2.
Metacarpal $=(\mathrm{MC} / 2+\mathrm{MP} / 4) / 2$.
Metatarsal $=(M T / 2+M P / 4) / 2$.
Where:
$\mathrm{MC}=$ metacarpal.
MT = metatarsal.
MP = metapodial.
$\%=$ frequency of an element expressed in relation to the most common one (by MNI).

|  |  | c | v | E | н | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | n | j | k | 1 | m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dP. | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Early Medixval |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| P. | Mid-Late Medixval |  | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early Medixval | 2 | 1 |  |  | 10 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $M_{1}$ | Mid-Late Mediæval | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 2 |  | 1 |  |
|  | Early Medixval | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mid-Late Medizval |  |  |  |  | 9 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early Medixval |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |
| $M_{3}$ | Mid-Late Medixval |  |  | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early Mediæval | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 23


MID-LATE MEDIEVAL

| Measurement | Mean | V | Min. | Max. | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $M_{1} L$ | 165 | 6.0 | 147 | 182 | 21 |
| $M_{1} W A$ | 100 | 5.0 | 92 | 110 | 24 |
| $M_{1} W P$ | 105 | 5.0 | 97 | 116 | 19 |
| $M_{2}$ L | 205 | 4.2 | 189 | 224 | 21 |
| $M_{2}$ WA | 126 | 5.2 | 117 | 144 | 22 |
| $M_{2}$ WP | 128 | 5.3 | 119 | 144 | 21 |
| $M_{3}$ WA | 141 | 5.7 | 125 | 152 | 10 |

EARLY MEDIEVAL

| Measurement | Mean | V | Min. | Max. | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $d P_{4} L$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d P_{4} W$ | 189 | 2.7 | 177 | 196 | 10 |
| $M_{1} \mathrm{~L}$ | 87 | 3.6 | 81 | 93 | 11 |
| $M_{1} W A$ | 166 | 6.6 | 143 | 188 | 29 |
| $M_{1} W P$ | 100 | 5.9 | 90 | 111 | 27 |
| $M_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ | 106 | 6.4 | 94 | 118 | 28 |
| $M_{2} W A$ | 202 | 6.4 | 172 | 224 | 33 |
| $M_{2} W P$ | 124 | 4.8 | 114 | 134 | 30 |
| $M_{3} L$ | 126 | 5.7 | 117 | 137 | 31 |
| $M_{3} W A$ | 303 | 9.2 | 255 | 341 | 20 |
| $M_{3} W C$ | 141 | 5.7 | 127 | 161 | 25 |
|  | 138 | 4.2 | 125 | 146 | 22 |

Table 24
West Cotton: means, coefficients of variation (V), ranges and sample sizes for the pig measurements. A few measurements are approximated. All the measurements are in tenths of millimetres. Only samples of at least 10 specimens are given. Isolated $M_{1} s$ and $M_{2} s$, identified on the basis of different size (see fig. 17), are included.

| Period | Females | Males |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mid-Late Mediæval | 14 | $(2)$ | 24 |
| Early Mediæval | 21 | $(10)$ | 40 |
| Total | 35 | $(12)$ | 64 |

Table 25
West Cotton. Pig sex ratio. Both isolated canines and mandibles with canines are included. The numbers of canines in mandibles are given in parenthesis.

|  | Early Mediæval |  |  | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NISP | MNI | \% | NISP | MNI | \% |
| INCISORS | 25 | 3 | 43 | 27 | 3 | 38 |
| PREMOLARS + MOLARS | 51 | 5 | 71 | 81 | 7 | 88 |
| CRANIUM | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SCAPULA | 8 | 4 | 57 | 13 | 7 | 88 |
| HUMERUS | 8 | 4 | 57 | 13 | 7 | 88 |
| RADIUS | 12 | 6 | 86 | 9 | 5 | 63 |
| METACARPAL | 11 | 6 | 86 | 10 | 6 | 75 |
| PELVIS | 13 | 7 | 100 | 11 | 6 | 75 |
| FEMUR | 6 | 3 | 43 | 3 | 2 | 20 |
| TIBIA | 13 | 7 | 100 | 17 | 8 | 100 |
| AStragalus | 8 | 4 | 57 | 13 | 7 | 88 |
| CALCANEUM | 4 | 2 | 29 | 9 | 5 | 63 |
| METATARSAL | 9 | 5 | 71 | 13 | 7 | 88 |
| PHALANX 1 | 19 | 5 | 71 | 30 | 8 | 100 |
| PHALANX 3 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 20 |
| TOTAL | 190 |  |  | 254 |  |  |

Table 26
West Cotton. Parts of the equid skeleton by number of fragments (NISP) and minimum number of individuals (MNI). Unfused epiphyses are not counted.
Each individual tooth within mandibles has been counted, hence the total is greater than the total NISP in table 1.
The MNI has been calculated as follows:
Incisors (upper and lower) and premolars + molars have been divided by 12, phalanges by 4 , all other elements, except metapodials, by 2.
Metacarpal $=(\mathrm{MC}+\mathrm{MP} / 2) / 2$.
Metatarsal $=(\mathrm{MT}+\mathrm{MP} / 2) / 2$.
Where:
MC = metacarpal.
MT = metatarsal.
MP = metapodial.
$\%$ frequency of an element expressed in relation to the most common one (by MNI).

|  | Early Mediæval |  |  |  | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fused |  | Unfused |  | Fused |  | Unfused |  |
| Element | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | $\%$ |
| Scapula d | 5 |  | - |  | 9 |  | - |  |
| Humerus d | 7 |  | 1 |  | 12 | 100 | - | 0 |
| Radius d | 10 | 83 | 2 | 17 | 9 |  | - |  |
| Metacarpal d | 7 | 64 | 4 | 36 | 10 | 100 | - | 0 |
| Pelvis a | 7 |  | - |  | 7 |  | - |  |
| Femur d | 5 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | - |  |
| Tibia d | 9 | 69 | 4 | 31 | 17 | 100 | - | 0 |
| Calcaneum | 1 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Metatarsal d | 9 |  | - |  | 13 | 100 | - | 0 |
| Phalanx 1 p | 16 | 89 | 2 | 11 | 29 | 100 | - | 0 |

Table 27
West Cotton. Equid, fusion data. Fused and fusing epiphyses are amalgamated. Only unfused diaphyses, not epiphyses, are counted. Percentages are given for samples with a minimum of 10 specimens. $a=$ acetabulum, p=proximal, d=distal.

## MID-LATE MEDIEVAL

| Measurement | Mean | V | Min. | Max. | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Humerus HTC | 342 | 5.6 |  |  |  |
| Metacarpal Bd | 462 | 7.4 | 302 | 366 | 10 |
| Tibia Bd | 664 | 6.4 | 431 | 543 | 10 |
| Astragalus GH | 524 | 7.2 | 593 | 721 | 14 |
| Astragalus GB | 556 | 7.0 | 465 | 575 | 11 |
| Astragalus BFd | 483 | 7.3 | 485 | 599 | 11 |
| Astragalus LmT | 534 | 7.4 | 416 | 530 | 12 |
| Metatarsal Bd | 458 | 4.7 | 466 | 573 | 11 |
| Phalanx I GL | 765 | 4.2 | 412 | 485 | 11 |
| Phalanx I SD | 306 | 5.9 | 702 | 842 | 20 |
| Phalanx 1 Bd | 398 | 5.4 | 277 | 349 | 25 |
| Phalanx 1 Dd | 228 | 6.0 | 361 | 451 | 20 |

EARLY MEDIEVAL

| Measurement | Mean | V | Min. | Max. | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phalanx 1 GL | 799 | 8.2 |  |  |  |
| Phalanx 1 SD | 318 | 9.7 | 276 | 889 | 14 |
| Phalanx 1 BA | 414 | 7.3 | 376 | 456 | 15 |
| Phalanx 1 DA | 239 | 6.0 | 223 | 268 | 11 |

Table 28
West Cotton: means, coefficients of variation (V), ranges and sample sizes for the equid measurements. Fusing bones are included, unfused ones are not. A few measurements are approximated. All the measurements are in tenths of millimetres. Only samples of at least 10 measurements are given.

|  | Early Mediæval |  | Mid-Late Mediæval |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Fused | Unfused | Fused | Unfused |
| Humerus d | 10 | 2 | 4 | - |
| Femur d | 4 | 5 | $1^{2}$ | 3 |
| Tibia d | 3 | 4 | 2 | - |
| Metapodial d | $7^{1}$ | 9 | 4 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 are "fusing" |  |  |  |  |
| 2 This specimen is "fusing" |  |  |  |  |

## Table 29

West Cotton. Cat, fusion data. Only unfused diaphyses, not epiphyses, are counted. d=distal.

| SITE | TYpe | pertod | pubper | N. bos | .ovis | n.sus | \%Bos | sovis | \%sus | reprerence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abingdon, strrt Street | v | $L^{\text {LM }}$ | xv-xvy | 21 | 48 | 14 | 25 | 58 | 17 | Wilson 1979 |
| Abincion, Strrt streer | U | MM | XIYI-XIV | 229 | 453 | 127 | 28 | 56 | 16 | - " |
| Abinceon whet st. hiles strbet | U | MM | latexiti-early ${ }^{\text {dV }}$ | ${ }_{38} 6$ | 79 | 12 | 41 | 52 | 8 | Wilson 1975 |
| ABrngoon, west St. HELEN STREET | U | ${ }_{\text {EM }}^{\text {M }}$ M + M |  | 38 488 | -41 | 7 | ${ }_{45}^{44}$ | 48 | ${ }^{8}$ |  |
| ${ }_{\text {A AILESBUSURY }}^{\text {Castie }}$ | U | ${ }_{P M}^{M M}$ |  | 488 | 396 | 170 | 46 | 38 | ${ }_{4}^{16}$ | Jones G. 1983 |
|  | c | ${ }_{\text {EM }}+\mathrm{MM}$ | XIIT-XIV | 48 | ${ }_{67}^{22}$ | $4{ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{31} 6$ | ${ }_{43}^{31}$ | ${ }_{27}^{4}$ |  |
| BaRNard Castie | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { PM }}{ }$ |  | ${ }_{521}^{521}$ | 430 | ${ }^{279}$ | ${ }^{42}$ | 35 | ${ }^{23}$ | Jones R. et al. 1985a |
|  | c | ${ }_{\text {LM }}$ | $8{ }^{8}($ (xV-xVI) |  | 150 | 933 | 35 | 40 |  |  |
| вАтн | U | M | X-XIIT | 581 | 767 | 219 | 37 | 49 | 14 | Grant 1979 |
| Beverley, 33-35 Eastgate | v | Mn | 6-12 (XIII-XIV) | 3029 | 4558 | 808 | 36 | 54 | 10 | Scott 1992 |
| BEVERLEY, 33-35 EASTGATE | U | EM | 3-5 (XI-XII) | 2706 | 3499 | 622 | 40 | 51 | 9 |  |
| BEVERLEY, LURR LANE | U | ${ }^{\text {PM }}$ | (XVI) | 202 | 230 | ${ }^{54}$ | 42 | 47 | 11 | Scott 1991 |
|  | v | ${ }_{\text {mM }}$ | ${ }_{7}^{8}$ (XIIII-XIV) | 384 | 337 | ${ }_{50}^{137}$ | 45 | 39 | 16 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {BRAMER }}$ SASTLE | c | , |  | 274 | 182 | 254 | 39 | 26 | 36 | Westley 1977 |
| BRISTOL, MARY-LE-PORT | U | M |  |  | 571 | 113 | 49 | 42 | 8 | Noddle 1985 |
| BURYSTEAD \& LANGHAM ROAD | v | ${ }_{\text {PM }}^{\text {m }}$ | ${ }_{\text {XII-XV }}^{\text {postmed }}$ | ${ }_{142}^{181}$ | 199 86 | 79 49 | 39 <br> 52 | 43 <br> 32 | ${ }_{16}^{17}$ | ${ }_{\text {davis }}$ Racham 1990 |
| CARLISLE, BLACKFRIARS STREET | U | M | XII-XVI | 179 | 40 | 27 | 73 | 16 |  |  |
| castle lane | v | мм | xiri | 455 | 904 | 123 | 31 | 61 | 8 | Jones R. et |
| Cheddar palace | P | MM+LM | 6 (xIII-XVI) | 118 | 141 | 137 | 30 | ${ }^{36}$ | 34 | Higgs et al. 1 |
| Cheddar palace | P | $\underline{\text { En }+ \text { MM }}$ | ${ }^{4-5}$ ( ${ }^{\text {(1-XII }}$ ) | 274 | 95 | 57 | 64 | 22 | 13 |  |
| CHESTRR, DOMINICAN FRIARY | M |  | ${ }_{\text {XIV-XVI }}^{\text {xit }}$ | 331 210 | 217 67 | 182 184 | 45 45 | 30 15 | 25 40 | Morris 1990 |
| CHRISTCHURCH | U | ${ }^{\text {PM }}$ | postmed. | 73 | 75 | 25 | 42 | 43 | 14 | Coy 1983 |
| COPT HAY | v | ${ }_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MM}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { med } \\ 3}}{\text { med }}$ | ${ }_{98}^{88}$ | - 105 | ${ }_{124}^{21}$ | 35 | ${ }_{32}^{44}$ | ${ }_{38}$ | Pernetta |
| COPT HAY | v | ем | $1-2$ | 39 | 23 | 13 | 52 |  |  | Perneta 1974 |
| ${ }_{\text {SROITWICH }}^{\text {drot }}$ | U | ${ }_{\text {LM }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Sii-6 }}$ (XIII-XIV) | 58 | 60 | 38 | 37 | ${ }^{38}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | Locker 1992 |
| ${ }_{\text {BRRL }}{ }_{\text {drem }}$ | v | ${ }_{M}^{\text {M }}$ |  | ${ }_{373}$ | ${ }_{244}^{424}$ | 340 170 | ${ }_{47}^{46}$ | 31 | ${ }_{22}^{24}$ | Mainland 1993 |
| вскweek | v | мп | xiIt-xIV | 113 | 333 | 54 | 23 | 67 | 11 | Davis 1991a |
| EXETER | U | ${ }^{\text {PM }}$ | Pm1-Pm4 (XVI-xVIII) | 2156 | 2900 | 608 | 38 | 51 | 11 |  |
| EXEETER | U | ${ }_{\text {LM }}^{\text {LM }}$ | Mats-Mdg (XIII-XIV) | 2454 | ${ }_{2871}$ | 913 | ${ }_{39}^{40}$ | ${ }_{46}^{47}$ | ${ }_{15}^{13}$ | Mathy 1979 |
| faccombe netherton | v | ${ }_{\text {LM }}$ | XIII-XIV |  | 127 | 114 | 30 | 37 | 33 | Sadier 1990 |
|  | V | $M_{M}^{\text {m }}$ | xV and later | - ${ }_{1219}^{616}$ | ${ }_{942}^{682}$ | 754 283 | 30 50 | 33 39 | 37 12 1 | Maitby 1983 |
| GİOUCESTER, WEST GATE | U | M | 5-7 |  | ? | ? | 27 | 48 | 25 | -1103 |
| GORHAMBURY | v | M |  | 81 | 110 | 76 | 30 | 41 | 28 | Locker 1990 |
| GRENSTETN | $\checkmark$ | m | xI-xV | 130 | 214 | 78 | 31 | 51 | 18 | Ambros 198 |
|  | U | ${ }_{\text {PM }}$ | postmed. (XIV-xviIf) | ${ }^{1483}$ | ${ }^{1614}$ | 250 | ${ }_{5}^{44}$ | 48 | 7 | Levitan 198 |
| KING's Limm | U | $\mathrm{IM}^{\text {M }}$ | ${ }_{3}(\mathrm{XIV-XV})$ | 674 | 411 | 209 | 52 | 32 | ${ }_{16}^{12}$ | Nodd.e |
| King's Lynn | U | MM | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ ( XIIII -xIV) | 2493 | 1861 | 764 | 49 | 36 | 15 |  |
|  | c | ${ }_{\text {PM }}$ | ${ }_{9}($ (XVI -xvil) | 577 | 409 | 156 | ${ }_{51}^{92}$ | 36 | 14 | Alblerelia \& Davis, forthcoming |
| Launceston castie | c | ${ }^{\text {LM }}$ | 8 (mid-late xV) | 1185 | 854 | 764 | 42 |  | 27 |  |
|  | ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { MM }}{\text { LM }}$ | ${ }_{56-\mathrm{S} 10}^{6}$ ( (XV-XVI) | ${ }_{959}$ | ${ }_{970}$ | ${ }_{208}$ | 31 45 4 | 33 | 36 |  |
| LINCOLN, FLAMESGATE | u | mM | ${ }_{\text {STI-S5 }}(\mathrm{XIII}-\mathrm{xIV})$ | 919 | 856 | ${ }_{177}$ | ${ }_{47}^{45}$ | ${ }_{44}^{45}$ | 10 | Connor 1982 |
| Lincoln, bishops Palace | P | ${ }_{\text {LM }}$ | xv | 65 | 186 | 7 | 25 | 72 | 3 | Elidison 1975 |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {PM }}^{\text {M }}$ +L. M | 7 | $\stackrel{253}{?}$ | $\stackrel{254}{7}$ | \% | 31 | 40 43 | ${ }_{27}^{20}$ | Grant 1975 |
| MIDDLETTON STONEY | c | ${ }_{\text {LM }}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | $?$ | ? | ? | 26 | 38 | 37 |  |
| Middleton stinex | c | ${ }_{\text {MM }}$ |  |  | ? | ? | 21 | 47 | 32 |  |
|  | ט | ${ }_{\text {MM }}^{\text {M }}$ L LM |  | ${ }_{920}^{144}$ | ${ }_{557}^{121}$ | 31 217 | 49 <br> 54 | ${ }_{33}^{41}$ | 20 13 | Allison ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |
| NEWCASTLE, QUEEN STREET | U | MM | ${ }^{1-4 i i j}$ (XITI) | 475 | 227 | 111 | ${ }^{58}$ | 28 | 14 |  |
| NEWCASTLE, Closegate | ט | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Im}}{\mathrm{I}}$ | ${ }_{\text {xv-xvr }}$ | ${ }_{2} 299$ | 585 | ${ }_{6}^{8}$ | ${ }_{31}^{26}$ | 6 | 5 | Davis |
| newcastle, closegate $\mathrm{I} \& \mathrm{II}$ | U | Mm | xiri-xiv |  | 71 | 13 | 32 | 58 | 11 |  |
| NORTH ELMHAM PARK | v | PM | (XVI-XVII) | 1169 | 623 | 419 | 53 | 28 | 19 | Noddle 1980 |
| north elmham park | v | M | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 1025 | 1063 | 1225 | ${ }^{31}$ | 32 |  |  |
| North elman park | v | ${ }_{\text {EM }}$ | ${ }^{3-4}$ | 290 | 291 | ${ }^{321}$ | ${ }^{32}$ | 32 | 36 |  |
| NORTHAMPTON, ST PETER'S STREET | U | $\stackrel{\text { PM }}{ }$ | 5 (XVI-XVII) | ${ }_{58} 8$ | 100 | 12 | 34 | ${ }_{59}$ | ${ }_{7}$ |  |
|  | U | ${ }_{\text {LM }}^{\text {LM }}+\mathrm{MM}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{391}$ | 784 2066 | 107 | 30 30 | ${ }_{59}^{61}$ | ${ }^{8}$ |  |


| SItE | TYPE | period | PUBPER | n. bos | n.ovis | n.sus | \%B0S2 | \%ovis2 | \%SUS2 | reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Norwich, whiteprtars | U | EM | 2-3 (1ateX-XII) | 504 | 374 | 294 | 43 | 32 | 25 | Cartledge 1983 |
| OKEHAMPTON CASTLE | c | PM | postmed. | 631 | 467 | 54 | 55 | 41 | 5 | Maltby 1982 |
| OKEHAMPTON CASTLE | c | LM | late med. | 489 | 674 | 185 | 36 | 50 | 14 |  |
| Okehampton castle | c | MM | XIV | 264 | 271 | 214 | 35 | 36 | 29 | " |
| OXFORD CASTLE | c | ${ }_{\text {MM }}^{\text {M }}$ LM | xIIIT-midxy | 68 | 30 | 28 | 54 | 24 | 22 | Marples 1976 |
| OXFORD, QUEEN STREET | U | MM | 4a-4b (XIII) | 63 | 69 | 26 | 40 | 44 | 16 | Wilson et al. 1985 |
| OXFORD, QUEEN STREET | U | ${ }_{\text {PM }}$ | 5 b (XV-XVI) | 19 | 136 | 32 | 10 | 73 | 17 |  |
| OXFORD, TME HAMEL | U | PM | 9.10 (XVI) | 376 | 435 | 73 | 43 | 49 | 8 | Wilson \& Bramwell 1980 |
| OXFORD, THE HAMEL | U | ${ }_{\text {M M }}$ | 7-8 (lateXIII-XVI) | 415 | 551 | 194 | 36 | 47 | 17 |  |
| OXFORD, THE HAMEL | U | $\stackrel{\text { MM }}{\text { EM }}$ | ${ }^{4-5}{ }^{4-3}$ (XIIIT-XIV) | 370 257 | 4377 | ${ }_{141}^{232}$ | 31 | ${ }^{49}$ | 20 17 | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ |
| PORTCHESTER CASTLE (INN.BAIL.) | c | PM | c (xvi-xviI) | 89 | 88 | 27 | 44 | 43 | 13 | Grant 1985 |
| PORTCHESTER CASTLE (INN. BAIL) | c | MM | A-B (XIII-XIV) | 182 | 202 | 220 | 30 | 33 | 36 | " |
| PORTCHESTER CASTLE (OUT. BAIL.) | c | ${ }_{\text {LM }}$ | 6 (xV-XVI) | 70 | 99 | 13 | 38 | 54 | 7 | Grant 1977 |
| PORTCHESTER CASTLE (OUT. BAIL.) | c | MM | 3-4 (XIII-XIV) | 390 | 155 | 107 | 60 | 24 | 15 | " |
| PRUDHOE CASTLE Prumbor CASTLE | ${ }_{c}^{c}$ | $\stackrel{\text { PM }}{\text { L }}$ | 9-11 (midxVI-xVItI) | 351 177 | 352 85 | ${ }_{34}^{45}$ | 47 60 | 47 29 | ${ }^{5}$ | Davis ${ }_{\text {1 }}{ }^{19876}$ |
| PRUDHOE CASTLE | c | MM | 4-5 (XIII-XIV) | 249 | 129 | 141 | 48 | 25 | 27 | " |
| SANDAL CASTLE | c | PM | "+"-1 (XVI-XVIII) | 584 | 521 | 154 | 50 | 38 | 11 | Griffith et al. 1983 |
| sandal castle | c | LM | ${ }^{2-4}$ (XV) | 526 | 314 | 149 | 53 | 32 | 15 |  |
| SANDAL CASTLE SOUTHAMPTON | c | ${ }_{\text {PM }}$ | ${ }_{c}^{\text {c-6 ( }}$ (XVII-XVIIT) $)$ | 99 47 | 49 | 33 12 | 55 44 | 27 45 | 18 | 19 |
| SOUTHAMPTON | v | MM | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{XIIT}-\mathrm{XIV}$ ) | 73 | 62 | ${ }_{88}^{12}$ | 44 33 | 45 28 | 31 | Noddie ${ }_{\text {" }} 1975$ |
| SOUTHAMPTON | U | $\mathrm{EMM}_{+}+\mathrm{MM}$ | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ (XI-XIII) | 145 | 73 | 104 | 45 | 23 | 32 | " |
| SOUTHMMPTON, QUILTER'S VAULT | I | PM | C | 29 | 67 | 15 | 26 | 50 | 14 | Bourdilion 1979 |
| SOUTHAMPTON, QUIITER'S VAULT | ${ }_{\text {U }}$ | ${ }_{\text {EM }}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {B }}$ | -88818 | 55 442 | -32 | 50 42 | ${ }_{45}^{31}$ | 12 | " |
| TAUNTON, BENHAM'S GARAGE | U | PM | postmed. | 154 | 120 | 6 | 55 | 43 |  | Levitan 1984b |
| taunton, benham's garage | I | MM | 4 (XIII-XIV) | 1346 | 1316 | 125 | 48 | 47 | 4 |  |
| TAUATTON, BENHAM'S GARAGE | U | ${ }_{\text {EM M M M }}$ | 3 (XII-XIII) | 374 | 242 | 20 35 | 59 | 38 | 3 |  |
| TAUNTON, PRIORY BARN THRISLINGTON | v | ${ }_{\text {MM }}^{\text {EM }}$ M ${ }^{\text {M }}$ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {XIII-XIV }}$ (XIIII) | 199 252 | 367 249 | 35 67 | 33 | 61 | ${ }^{6}$ | " |
| totnes | U | PM |  | 79 | 169 | 21 | 29 | 63 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | Rackham 1989 |
| UPTON | v | EM +MM | XII-XIII | 106 | 452 | 23 | 18 | 78 | 4 | Nodaie et al. 1969 |
| WALTON | v | M | medizv. | 645 | 827 | 292 | 37 | 47 | 17 | Noddle 1976 |
| WALTON | v | EM | saxo-norman | 726 | 871 | 396 | 36 | 44 | 20 | " |
| west Cotton | v | MM +LM | mid-1ate med. (XIII-XV) | 406 | 825 | 230 | 28 | 56 | 15 |  |
| WEST COTTON | v | ${ }_{\text {EM }}$ | early med. (XII-XIII) | 760 | ${ }_{851}$ | 318 132 | 47 | 33 | 20 |  |
| WHARRAM PERCY | $\stackrel{\text { V }}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {LM }}^{\text {M }}$ | ${ }_{\text {XIII-XIV }}^{\text {XV-early }} \mathrm{XVI}$ | 328 438 | 851 886 | 132 126 | 25 30 | 65 61 | 10 | Ryder ${ }_{1} 1974$ |
| WINCHCOMBE | U | PM | xvt-xvis | 31 | 24 | 4 | 53 | 41 | 7 | Levitan 1985 |
| wINCHCOMBE | 0 | M | XII onwards | 280 | 259 | 23 | 50 | ${ }^{46}$ | 4 |  |
| York, FISHRRGATE | U | ${ }_{\text {EM }}$ | 4 (XI-XII) | 1025 | 660 | 237 | 53 | 34 | 12 | O'Connor 1991 |
| York, GENERAL ACCIDENT SITE | U | ${ }_{\text {EM }}^{\text {M }}$ +MM | ${ }_{10-11}^{12}$ (XIV) (XII-XIV) | 581 4059 | 200 1054 | 76 656 | 68 70 | 23 18 | 11 | O'Connor 1988 |
| YORR, GENERAL ACCIDENT SITE | O | EM | 3 (XI-XII) | 139 | 38 | 33 | 66 | 18 | 16 | " |
| YORK, SKELDERGATE | , | ${ }_{\text {LM }}^{\text {L }}$ | SkD-SkE (early ${ }^{\text {SV }}$ ) | 438 | 674 | 80 | 37 | 57 | 7 | $0^{\prime}$ Connor 1984 |
| York, skeldergate | U | EM | ${ }_{\text {SkX }}$ SKNN+SkZ ( $\mathrm{XI}-\mathrm{XII}$ ) | 1223 | 410 | 159 | 68 | 23 | 9 |  |
| York, petergate | U | MM | XI-XIV | 207 | 117 | 141 | 45 | 25 | 30 | Ryder 1971 |

Table 30
List of mediaval and postmediaval sites whose faunal assemblages are plotted in the tripolar diagrams (figs. 24 \& 25). Assemblages with less than 150 identified specimens have been excluded Key: C=castle, M=monastic, F=palace, U=urban, V=village. M=mediæval, EM=early medixval (late XI-XII), MM=middle mediæval (XIII-XIV), LM=late mediæval (XV-early XVI), PM=postmediæval. PUBPER is the code and date of each period in the original publication. In order to avoid confusion between period codes and dates, the periods are given in Arabic numbers, even if in the original publication they were numbered with Roman numbers
The number of fragments (NISP) is calculated in different ways by different authors; when a "diagnostic zones" method was used this has been preferred to the crude number of identified In most of the sites the figure Eor Ovis includes Capra.


Figure 1
Map to show the location of West Cotton, Burystead and Langham Road.

## West Cotton

\% of isolated incisors


Fig. 2
The percentage of isolated incisors is:
$\left[M N I\right.$ of incisors $/\left(M N I\right.$ incisors $\left.\left.+M N I d P / P+M N I M_{1} / M_{2}+M N I M_{3}\right) \times 100\right]$.

West Cotton
taphonomic effects


Fig. 3
Degree of fragmentation and damage to the teeth and bones as shown by:
a) Percentage of teeth expressed as a proportion of all teeth and postcranial bones.
b) Percentage of isolated $M_{1} s$ and $M_{2} s$ expressed as a proportion of all $M_{1} s$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~s}$ (cattle, sheep and pig only)
c) Percentage of gnawed postcranial bones.

Fig. 4 West Cotton
Frequencies of main domestic taxa (NISP)


Fig. 5 West Cotton
Frequencies of main domestic taxa (MNI)


Fig. 6 West Cotton
Cattle, \% of mandibles by age stage

age stages from O'Connor (1988)

## West Cotton

\% of deciduous premolars


Fig. 7 . Percentages of deciduous premolars of the four main taxa at West Cotton calculated by $[\mathrm{dP} /(\mathrm{dP}+\mathrm{P})] \times 100$. Due to the difficulty in distinguishing between premolars and molars in equids, the equid percentage is calculated as $\{d P /[d P+\{(P+$ M) $/ 21]\} \times 100$.

Numbers of $(d P+P)$ for cattle, sheep and pig and $[d P+(P+M) / 2]$ for equid are given above the bars.
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5 Late Mediaeval




Figure 8


Figure 9
Cattle astragali: Distal width. A comparison between specimens from Burystead/Langham Road (Northants.), Launceston Castle (Cornwall), York, Coppergate (O'Connor, 1986), Leicester, The Shires (Gidney, 1991a and 1991b) and West Cotton

Fig. 10 West Cotton
Shape of cattle metacarpals




Figure 11
Cattle Metatarsals: Distal width v greatest length.
A comparison between specimens from Launceston Castle (Cornwall) and West Cotton


Fig. ${ }^{\prime 2}$ Sheep, percentages of mandibles by age stage at different sites and in different periods. Age stages are from Payne (1973). All mandibles with two or more teeth with recordable wear in the $d P_{4} / P_{4}-M_{3}$ row were considered. At both West Cotton and Launceston Castle no significant differences were noticed between this method and the one wich considers only mandibles with recordable wear on $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{P}_{4}$.


Fig 13
Variation of sheep molar size at West Cotton


Figure 14
Sheep tibia: Distal width. A comparison between specimens from Launceston Castle (Cornwall), York, Coppergate (O'Connor, 1986), Leicester, The Shires (Gidney, 1991a and 1991b) and West Cotton. Fusing specimens are included, unfused ones are excluded.

Fig. 15 West Cotton sheep horncores


Fig. ${ }^{16}$ West Cotton
Pig, \% of mandibles by age stage

age stages from O'Connor (1988)

## West Cotton <br> pig molars





Fig. 17
Scatter diagram of anterior and posterior widths of pig $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $M_{1} / M_{2}$. Since there is no overlap between the two groups, isolated $M_{1} / M_{2} s$ can be confidently identified as either smaller $M_{1} s$ and or larger $M_{2} s$.



Fig 18
Variation in West Cotton pig tooth measurements. A comparison of the pig teeth with a standard Neolithic pig sample from Durrington Walls, England (Albarella \& Payne, in prep.), using the log ratio technique (Payne \& Bull 1988). Isolated $M_{1} s$ and $M_{2} s$, identified on the basis of their different size (see fig have been included.

West Cotton, equid withers heights in metres

Fig. 20 West Cotton, Burystead \& Langham Equid astragalus


Fig. 21 West Cotton

## Equid 1st phalanx



Fig. 22 Mediaeval cat size M1 length versus width


Fig 23 Cat fusion data
comparison of several mediaeval sites


WC early and mid-late med. are unified

$x$ Castles

- Towns
- Villages

回 West Cotton
Figure 24
English Mediæval - post-Mediæval castle, town and village faunal assemblages: towns, castles and villages compared

x Early and mid Mediæval (11th - 14th century)

- Later Mediæval (15th - early 16th century)
- post Mediæval

O West Cotton
Figure 25
English Mediæval - post-Mediæval castle, town and village faunal assemblages: change of food animals through time

Legends for Plates 1-4 Animal bones from West Cotton. Scale in centimetres

Plate 1a Cranial part of a hornless sheep skull, (unit 6232, box 84) early post-Mediæval, c. 14501550

Plate 1b Chopped sheep horn core (unit 1349, box 32) early Mediæval, c. 1100-1250
Plate 1c Horse first phalanx (unit 6347, box 88) early Mediæval, c. 1100-1250. Note the cut marks across the shaft, probably the result of skinning

Plate 1d Distal end of a chopped equid metapodial (unit 309, box 14) late Mediæval, c. 1300-1450

Plate 2a Distal equid metatarsal (unit 1135, box 29) mid-Mediæval, c. 1250-1400.
Plate 2b Distal equid tibia (unit 1696, box 43) early Mediæval, c. 1100-1250
Plate 2c Cattle metatarsal (unit 3051, box 49) mid-Mediæval, c. 1250-1400
Plate 2d Distal equid metatarsal with anomalous marks across the articular surface (unit 761, box 23) late Medixval, c. 1300-1450

Plate 3a Chopped red deer antler (unit 2095, box 47) early Mediæval, c. 1100-1250
Plate 3b Dog skull (unit 783, box 24) early Mediæval, c. 1100-1250
Plate 3c Magnified view of 3b to show the nasal bones with cut (skinning?) marks
Plate 3d Dog mandible with cut marks (unit 6238, box 84) mid-Mediæval, c. 1250-1400

Plate 4a Canid (small dog?) pelvis with cut marks on the acetabulum ridge possibly made during dismemberment (unit 226, box 11) early post-Mediæval, c. 1450-1550

Plate 4b Cat mandible with cut marks (unit 246, box 12) late Medixval, c. 1300-1450
Plate 4c Polecat/ferret mandible (unit 684, box 22) late post-Mediæval, c. 1550-1800



Plate 2


Plate 3


Plate 4

## Appendix 1.

West Cotton. Mandibular tooth wear stages for the main species. Tooth wear stages for cattle and pig follow Grant (1982), for sheep/goat follow payne (1973 \& 1987). Mandibular wear stages for cattle and pig follow O'Connor (1988), for sheep/goat follow Payne (1973). Only mandibles with two or more teeth (with recordable wear stage) in the $\mathrm{dP}_{4} / \mathrm{P}_{4}-\mathrm{M}_{3}$ row are given. "P" = tooth present, but wear stage not recordable.

Mandibular wear stages:
Cattle \& Pig:
$J=$ Juvenile
I = Immature
$S=$ Subadult
$A=A d u l t$
$E=E l d e r l y$
Sheep/Goat:
$B=2-6$ months
$C=6-12$ months
$D=1-2$ years
$E=2-3$ years
$F=3-4$ years
$G=4-6$ years
$H=6-8$ years
$I=8-10$ years

| Period | Box | Taxon | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mandibular stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post Mediaeval | 7 | Cattle |  | f | H |  |  | J |
| Post Mediaeval | 15 | Cattle |  | f | H |  |  | J |
| Post Mediaeval | 76 | Cattle |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | m | m | E |
| Late Mediaeval | 30 | Cattle |  | j | f | c | C | S |
| Late Mediaeval | 81 | Cattle |  | k | 9 | b |  | S |
| Late Mediaeval | 83 | Cattle | b |  | k |  |  | A |
| Late Mediaeval | 22 | Cattle |  |  |  | f | b | A |
| Late Mediaeval | 21 | Cattle | 9 |  | 1 | k |  | A/E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 29 | Cattle |  | j | c |  |  | I |
| Mid Mediaeval | 37 | Cattle |  | j | c | V |  | I |
| Mid Mediaeval | 17 | Cattle |  | g | d |  |  | I |
| Mid Mediaeval | 87 | Cattle |  | j | f | E |  | I |
| Mid Mediaeval | 36 | Cattle |  | j | f |  |  | I/S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 38 | Cattle |  | j | f |  |  | I/S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 64 | Cattle |  | k | 9 | b |  | S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 25 | Cattle |  |  | g | b | c | A |
| Mid Mediaeval | 86 | Cattle |  |  | j | j | d | A |
| Mid Mediaeval | 36 | Cattle |  |  | k | h | d | A |
| Mid Mediaeval | 46 | Cattle |  |  |  | j | g | A |
| Mid Mediaeval | 62 | Cattle | f |  | k | k | 9 | A |
| Mid Mediaeval | 19 | Cattle | e |  | k |  |  | A/E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 85 | Cattle |  |  | 1 | k | j | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 17 | Cattle | f |  | m | 1 | 1 | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 26 | Cattle | $g$ |  | m | 1 | j | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 32 | Cattle | 9 |  | m | 1 | 1 | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 62 | Cattle |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | m | m | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 125 | Cattle |  | C | V |  |  | J |
| Early Mediaeval | 150 | Cattle |  |  | H |  |  | J |
| Early Mediaeval | 100 | Cattle |  | j | a |  |  | J |
| Early Mediaeval | 48 | Cattle |  | f | b |  |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 24 | Cattle |  | f | b | C |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 124 | Cattle |  | j | b |  |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 134 | Cattle |  | k | c | V |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 121 | Cattle |  | j | f | V |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 46 | Cattle |  | j | 9 | E |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 46 | Cattle |  | j | 9 | H |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 104 | Cattle |  |  | 9 | H |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 95 | Cattle | f |  | e |  |  | I/S |
| Early Mediaeval | 44 | Cattle |  | j | e |  |  | I/S |
| Early Mediaeval | 140 | Cattle |  | j | f |  |  | $I / S$ |
| Early Mediaeval | 29 | Cattle | a |  | 9 |  |  | I/S |
| Early Mediaeval | 31 | Cattle |  | m | 9 | 9 | E | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 137 | Cattle | a | j | 9 | b |  | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 113 | Cattle |  |  | $j$ | g |  | S/A |
| Early Mediaeval | 119 | Cattle |  |  | j | 9 | C | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 153 | Cattle |  |  | j | j | 9 | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 118 | Cattle | d |  | k | 9 | d | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 160 | Cattle | e |  | k | 9 |  | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 111 | Cattle |  | k | k | 9 | b | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 118 | Cattle | 9 |  | k | 9 | 9 | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 33 | Cattle |  | n | k | g | b | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 31 | Cattle |  |  | 1 | j | 9 | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 161 | Cattle | £ |  | k | j | 9 | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 107 | Cattle |  |  |  | b | c | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 51 | Cattle |  |  |  | 9 | b | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 140 | Cattle |  |  |  | h | c | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 28 | Cattle |  |  |  | j | 9 | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 106 | Cattle |  |  |  | k | 9 | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 139 | Cattle | e |  |  |  | 9 | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 117 | Cattle | 9 |  | 1 | k | g | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 45 | Cattle | f |  | k |  |  | A/E |
| Early Mediaeval | 24 | Cattle |  |  | 1 | k |  | A/E |
| Early Mediaeval | 70 | Cattle |  |  | 1 | k |  | A/E |
| Early Mediaeval | 71 | Cattle | f |  | 1 |  |  | A/E |
| Early Mediaeval | 96 | Cattle |  |  | 1 | $k$ | j | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 152 | Cattle |  |  | 1 | k | 1 | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 72 | Cattle |  |  | k | k | j | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 46 | Cattle | f |  | 1 | k | j | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 126 | Cattle | f |  | 1 | k | j | E |


| Period | Box | Taxon | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mandibular stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Mediaeval | 142 | Cattle | f |  | 1 | k | j | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 43 | Cattle | 9 |  | 1 | k | j | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 113 | Cattle | 9 |  | 1 | k | j | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 50 | Cattle | 9 |  | 1 | k | k | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 46 | Cattle | j |  | 1 | k | k | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 51 | Cattle | f |  | 1 | k | k | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 114 | Cattle |  |  | m | k |  | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 41 | Cattle |  |  | m | 1 |  | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 107 | Cattle |  |  | m | 1 | 1 | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 127 | Cattle |  |  | m | 1 | 1 | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 136 | Cattle | 9 |  | m | k |  | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 58 | Cattle | 9 |  | m | 1 | 1 | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 26 | Cattle | $j$ |  | m | 1 |  | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 122 | Cattle |  |  |  | k | j | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 46 | Cattle |  |  |  | k | k | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 134 | Cattle |  |  |  | k | k | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 115 | Cattle | f |  |  | 1 | P | E |
| Late Saxon | 161 | Cattle |  | f | E |  |  | J |
| Late Saxon | 161 | Cattle |  | f | E |  |  | J |
| Late Saxon | 9 | Cattle |  | j | c |  |  | I |
| Late Saxon | 52 | Cattle |  | j | c |  |  | I |
| Late Saxon | 130 | Cattle |  | j | f | E |  | I |
| Late Saxon | 141 | Cattle |  | m | j | f | V | S |
| Late Saxon | 141 | Cattle | f |  | 1 | k | j | E |
| Late Saxon | 132 | Cattle | 9 |  | 1 | k | k | E |
| Early Mid Saxon | 157 | Cattle |  | e | b |  |  | I |
| Early Mid Saxon | 157 | Cattle |  | j | c |  |  | I |
| Early Mid Saxon | 129 | Cattle |  | j | f | V |  | I |


| Post Mediaeval | 76 | Sheep |  | 13L | 0 |  |  | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post Mediaeval | 15 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 8A | V |  | C |
| Post Mediaeval | 19 | Sheep |  | 16L | 8A |  |  | C/D |
| Post Mediaeval | 20 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9A | 7A | 0 | D |
| Post Mediaeval | 16 | Sheep/Goat |  | 18L | 9A |  |  | D |
| Post Mediaeval | 9 | Sheep/Goat | E |  | 9A | 8A | E | D |
| Post Mediaeval | 28 | Sheep/Goat | H |  | 9A | 7A | 0 | D |
| Post Mediaeval | 15 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9A | 9A | 9 G | E |
| Post Mediaeval | 18 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 8A | 2A | E |
| Post Mediaeval | 18 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9A | 9A |  | $E / F$ |
| Post Mediaeval | 20 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 9A |  |  | E/F |
| Post Mediaeval | 20 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A | 9A |  | E/F |
| Post Mediaeval | 6 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 9G | F |
| Post Mediaeval | 20 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Post Mediaeval | 22 | Sheep/Goat | 9 A |  | 9A | 9A | 7G | F |
| Post Mediaeval | 6 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Post Mediaeval | 88 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Post Mediaeval | 17 | Sheep/Goat | 12S |  | 11B | 9A | 9 J | F |
| Post Mediaeval | 17 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Post Mediaeval | 13 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 11B |  |  | F/G |
| Post Mediaeval | 82 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 15A | 9A |  | F/G |
| Post Mediaeval | 16 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 13 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 10A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 18 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 12A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 13 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 10 A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 13 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 11B | 9A | 11G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 18 | Sheep/Goat | 12A |  | 15A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 18 | Sheep/Goat | 12S |  | 10A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 18 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 11B | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 20 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 12A | 9A | 10G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 6 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 12 A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Post Mediaeval | 13 | Sheep/Goat | 14 S |  | 15A |  |  | G/H/I |
| Post Mediaeval | 22 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 15A | 10A | 11 G | H |
| Post Mediaeval | 7 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 15A | 12A | 13 H | I |
| Late Mediaeval | 14 | Sheep |  | 14L | 6A |  |  | C |
| Late Mediaeval | 79 | Sheep |  | 14L | 6A | V |  | C |
| Late Mediaeval | 20 | Sheep |  | 16L | 6 A |  |  | C |


| Period | Box | Taxon | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mandibular stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Late Mediaeval | 12 | Sheep |  | 16L | 7A |  |  | $C / D$ |
| Late Mediaeval | 77 | Sheep |  |  | 9A | 6A |  | D |
| Late Mediaeval | 36 | Sheep |  | 17L | 9A | 2A |  | D |
| Late Mediaeval | 17 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9A | 9A | 7A | E |
| Late Mediaeval | 21 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A | 8A | 5A | E |
| Late Mediaeval | 21 | Sheep/Goat | 8B |  | 9A | 9A |  | $E / F$ |
| Late Mediaeval | 12 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A |  |  | E/F |
| Late Mediaeval | 79 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 12A | 9A | 8G | F |
| Late Mediaeval | 21 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 9G | F |
| Late Mediaeval | 79 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 10A | 9A |  | F |
| Late Mediaeval | 29 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 8A | 7 G | F |
| Late Mediaeval | 33 | Sheep/Goat | 9 A |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Late Mediaeval | 8 | Sheep/Goat | 10A |  | 12A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Late Mediaeval | 25 | Sheep/Goat | $11 S$ |  | 10 A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Late Mediaeval | 46 | Sheep/Goat | 11S |  | 9A | 9A. | 10 H | F |
| Late Mediaeval | 21 | Sheep/Goat | 11 S |  | 10A |  |  | F/G |
| Late Mediaeval | 79 | Sheep/Goat | 11 S |  | 12 A | 9A |  | F/G |
| Late Mediaeval | 81 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 14 A |  |  | F/G |
| Late Mediaeval | 30 | Sheep/Goat | 12S |  | 12 A |  |  | F/G |
| Late Mediaeval | 21 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Late Mediaeval | 81 | Sheep/Goat | 12S |  | 11B | 9A | 11G | G |
| Late Mediaeval | 12 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 12A |  | 11G | G |
| Late Mediaeval | 21 | Sheep/Goat | 12S |  | 12A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Late Mediaeval | 21 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 13B | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Late Mediaeval | 35 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 15A |  |  | G/H |
| Late Mediaeval | 81 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 10A | 11 G | H |
| Late Mediaeval | 17 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 14A | 10A | 11 G | H |
| Late Mediaeval | 15 | Sheep/Goat | 15A |  |  | 15A | 12G | I |
| Mid Mediaeval | 25 | Sheep |  | 14 L | H |  |  | B |
| Mid Mediaeval | 13 | Sheep |  | 14L | 6A | C |  | C |
| Mid Mediaeval | 39 | Sheep |  | 14L | 8B | E |  | C |
| Mid Mediaeval | 25 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 7A |  |  | C/D |
| Mid Mediaeval | 36 | Sheep |  | 16L | 8A |  |  | $C / D$ |
| Mid Mediaeval | 108 | Sheep |  | 16L | 8A |  |  | $C / D$ |
| Mid Mediaeval | 77 | Sheep |  | 18L | 8A |  |  | C/D |
| Mid Mediaeval | 26 | Sheep |  | 13 L | 9A | 7A |  | D |
| Mid Mediaeval | 39 | Sheep |  | 22L | 9A | 6A |  | D |
| Mid Mediaeval | 61 | Sheep |  | 22 L | 9A | 7A | 0 | D |
| Mid Mediaeval | 63 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 7A | E | D |
| Mid Mediaeval | 58 | Sheep/Goat | V |  | 9A | 7A |  | D |
| Mid Mediaeval | 84 | Sheep/Goat | 8B |  | 9A | 5A |  | D |
| Mid Mediaeval | 17 | Sheep/Goat | 0 |  | 9A | 7A |  | D |
| Mid Mediaeval | 14 | Sheep/Goat | E |  | 9A | 8A |  | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 84 | Sheep/Goat | E |  | 9A | 8A |  | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 64 | Sheep/Goat | 2B |  | 9A | 9A |  | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 22 | Sheep/Goat | 5B |  | 9A | 7A |  | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 35 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 8A | 6A | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 35 | Sheep/Goat | 6A |  | 9A | 9A | 7A | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 29 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A | 9A | 6A | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 35 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 5A | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 30 | Sheep/Goat | 6A |  | 9A | 8A | 2A | E |
| Mid Mediaeval | 86 | Sheep/Goat | 7A |  | 9A |  |  | E/F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 110 | Sheep/Goat | 7A |  | 9A | 9A |  | $E / F$ |
| Mid Mediaeval | 91 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 8A. |  | E/F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 14 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A |  |  | E/F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 37 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  |  | 9A |  | $E / F / G$ |
| Mid Mediaeval | 88 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 8G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 5 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 9G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 66 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9A | 9A | 10H | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 36 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A | 9A | 6 G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 84 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 10 A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 88 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 11B | 9A | 9H | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 17 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 8A | 8G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 37 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 8A | 8G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 32 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 7 G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 40 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 8G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 6 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 25 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 37 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 37 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 62 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |


| Period | Box | Taxon | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mandibular stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid Mediaeval | 10 | Sheep/Goat | 11S |  | 10A | 9A | 10G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 88 | Sheep/Goat | 11 S |  | 11A | 9A | 8G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 61 | Sheep/Goat | 115 |  | 12A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 48 | Sheep/Goat | $11 S$ |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 25 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 14B | 10A | 10G | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 62 | Sheep/Goat | 12S |  | 9A | 9 A | 10 H | F |
| Mid Mediaeval | 85 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 15A | 10A |  | $F / G$ |
| Mid Mediaeval | 80 | Sheep/Goat | 9 A |  | 11B |  |  | F/G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 39 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 11 B | 9A |  | F/G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 108 | Sheep/Goat | 11 S |  | 11 A |  |  | F/G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 39 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 11B | 9A |  | F/G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 87 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 11G | G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 77 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 12A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 37 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 13A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 86 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 14A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 39 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 15A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 65 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 15A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 35 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 10A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 35 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 11B | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Mid Mediaeval | 26 | Sheep/Goat | 15A |  | 15A | 10A |  | $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}$ |
| Mid Mediaeval | 108 | Sheep/Goat | 15A |  | 15A |  |  | $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{I}$ |
| Mid Mediaeval | 39 | Sheep/Goat | 12S |  | 15A | 10A | 11G | H |
| Mid Mediaeval | 37 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 12 A | 11G | H |
| Mid Mediaeval | 37 | Sheep/Goat | 14S |  | 15A | 12A | 11 G | H |
| Mid Mediaeval | 15 | Sheep/Goat | 14 S |  | 15A | 12 A |  | H/I |
| Early Mediaeval | 117 | Sheep |  | 13L | H | C |  | B |
| Early Mediaeval | 48 | Sheep |  | 13 L | 8A |  |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 42 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 2A |  |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 49 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 3A |  |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 101 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 5A |  |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 26 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 5B |  |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 105 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 6 A |  |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 46 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 6A | C |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 135 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 7A | E |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 92 | Sheep |  | 14L | 8A | E |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 47 | Sheep |  | 16 L | 5A |  |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 42 | Sheep |  | 18L | 8A | E |  | C |
| Early Mediaeval | 161 | Sheep |  | 16 L | 7A |  |  | $C / D$ |
| Early Mediaeval | 27 | Sheep |  | 16 L | 8A |  |  | C/D |
| Early Mediaeval | 113 | Sheep |  | 16 L | 8A |  |  | C/D |
| Early Mediaeval | 45 | Sheep |  | 17 L | 8A |  |  | C/D |
| Early Mediaeval | 113 | Sheep |  | 17L | 8A |  |  | $C / D$ |
| Early Mediaeval | 72 | Sheep |  | 13L | 2 A |  |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 110 | Sheep |  | 14L | 7A | 2 A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 110 | Sheep |  | 14 L | 8A | 2A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 137 | Sheep |  | 17 L | 9A |  |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 142 | Sheep? | 0 | 20 L | 9 A | 7A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 34 | Sheep |  | 21L | 9 A |  |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 40 | Sheep |  | 22 L | 9A | 2 A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 136 | Sheep |  | 22L | 9A | 7A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 41 | Sheep |  | 23L | 9A | 2A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 40 | Sheep/Goat |  | 17L | 8A |  |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 48 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 5A | V | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 44 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9 A | 2A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 100 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9 A | 2A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 138 | Sheep/Goat | C |  | 9A | 7A | V | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 30 | Sheep/Goat | E |  | 9 A | 7A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 135 | Sheep/Goat | V |  | 9 A | 6A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 48 | Sheep/Goat | V |  | 9 A | 7A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 29 | Sheep/Goat | V |  | 9 A | P |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 58 | Sheep/Goat | 0 |  | 9A | 7 A |  | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 41 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9A | 8A | E | D |
| Early Mediaeval | 161 | Sheep |  | 21M | 9A | 8A |  | D/E |
| Early Mediaeval | 27 | Sheep |  | 23L | 9A | 8A |  | D/E |
| Early Mediaeval | 44 | Sheep |  | 23 L | 9A | 9A | 1B | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 44 | Sheep |  | 23L | 9A | 9A | 1 B | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 153 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9A | 2A | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 33 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A | 9A | 4A | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 30 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A | 9A | 5A | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 103 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A | 9A | 5A | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 105 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 8A | 7A | E |


| Period | Box | Taxon | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mandibular stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Mediaeval | 136 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 5A | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 113 | Sheep/Goat | E |  | 9 A | 8A |  | E |
| Early Mediaeval | 112 | Sheep/Goat | 7A |  | 9 A | 8A |  | E/F |
| Early Mediaeval | 128 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9 A | 9A |  | $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ |
| Early Mediaeval | 102 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9 A | 9A | P | E/F |
| Early Mediaeval | 40 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9 A | 9A |  | $E / F$ |
| Early Mediaeval | 94 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A | P | P | $E / F$ |
| Early Mediaeval | 10 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 8A | 8G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 43 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9 A | 10G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 47 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 10 G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 58 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 9A | 9 A | 8G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 101 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 10 A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 23 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 96 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 11B | 11 B | 8G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 105 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 12A | 9A | 8G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 69 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 12A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 8 | Sheep/Goat | 9 A |  | 9 A | 9A | 8G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 138 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 9A | 9 A | 8G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 154 | Sheep/Goat | 11S |  | 10A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 137 | Sheep/Goat | 11S |  | 9A | 9A | 9G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 46 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 10A | 9A | 8G | F |
| Early Mediaeval | 103 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 10A | 9 A |  | F/G |
| Early Mediaeval | 19 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 10A |  |  | F/G |
| Early Mediaeval | 121 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9 A | 11 G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 126 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9 A | 11G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 146 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 9A | 11G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 126 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 11B | 9A | 11G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 8 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 15A | 9A | 10 G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 32 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 15A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 112 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 15A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 46 | Sheep/Goat | 9A |  | 15A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 63 | Sheep/Goat | 115 |  | 12A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 72 | Sheep/Goat | 11 S |  | 12 A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 135 | Sheep/Goat | 115 |  | 14 A | 9A | 11 G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 50 | Sheep/Goat | 115 |  | 15A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 43 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 10A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 31 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 12A | 9 A | 10G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 31 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 12A | 9A | 11G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 49 | Sheep/Goat | 12S |  | 14A | 9 A | 11 G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 42 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 15A | 9 A |  | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 102 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 15A | 9 A | 11 G | G |
| Early Mediaeval | 92 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 10 A | 11G | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 135 | Sheep/Goat |  |  |  | 10A | 11G | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 44 | Sheep/Goat |  |  | 15A | 10 A | 11G | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 127 | Sheep/Goat | 11S |  | 15A | 10 A | 11G | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 31 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 15A | 10A |  | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 33 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 15A | 10A | 11G | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 10 | Sheep/Goat | 14 S |  | 15A | 10A | 11G | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 105 | Sheep/Goat | 14 S |  | 15A | 11A | 11G | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 30 | Sheep/Goat | 14 S |  | 15A | 11B | 11 G | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 92 | Sheep/Goat | 14 S |  | 15A | 12A | 11G | H |
| Early Mediaeval | 42 | Sheep/Goat | 15A |  | 15A | 15A |  | H/I |
| Early Mediaeval | 71 | Sheep/Goat | 14 S |  | 15A | 12A | 12G | I |
| Late Saxon | 105 | Sheep |  | 13 L | 7A |  |  | C |
| Late Saxon | 162 | Sheep/Goat | 6A |  | 9 A |  |  | $E / F$ |
| Late Saxon | 105 | Sheep/Goat | 7A |  | 9A |  |  | E/F |
| Late Saxon | 105 | Sheep/Goat | 8A |  | P | 9A | 10 G | F |
| Late Saxon | 9 | Sheep/Goat | 12 S |  | 14A |  |  | $F / G$ |
| Early Mid Saxon | 139 | Sheep |  | 17 L | 9A | 6 A | 0 | D |
| Early Mid Saxon | 104 | Sheep |  | 23L | 9 A |  |  | D/E |
| Late Mediaeval | 16 | Pig | V |  |  | a |  | I |
| Mid Mediaeval | 19 | Pig | E |  | d | a |  | I |
| Mid Mediaeval | 48 | Pig | a |  | e | a |  | I |
| Mid Mediaeval | 35 | Pig | b |  | f | a |  | I |


| Period | Box | Taxon | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mandibular stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid Mediaeval | 39 | Pig | a |  | e |  |  | I/S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 58 | Pig | a |  | h |  |  | I/S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 85 | Pig |  |  |  | d | H | S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 91 | Pig |  |  | h | e |  | S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 62 | Pig |  |  | j | e | a | S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 21 | Pig | b |  | $g$ | c |  | S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 87 | Pig | b |  | h | c |  | S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 63 | Pig | b |  | h | d | E | S |
| Mid Mediaeval | 80 | Pig | P |  |  | g | b | A |
| Mid Mediaeval | 111 | Pig | f |  | 1 | h | b | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 30 | Pig |  | e | H |  |  | J |
| Early Mediaeval | 101 | Pig |  | f | C | H |  | J |
| Early Mediaeval | 101 | Pig |  | 9 | C | H |  | J |
| Early Mediaeval | 14 | Pig |  |  |  | a | C | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 123 | Pig |  |  | d | E | C | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 30 | Pig |  |  | d | a |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 117 | Pig |  |  | d | a |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 118 | Pig |  |  | f | a |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 127 | Pig |  |  | h | a |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 103 | Pig |  | 9 | b |  |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 125 | Pig |  | 9 | c |  |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 117 | Pig |  | j | a |  |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 145 | Pig |  | k | d | V |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 95 | Pig | V |  | e | a |  | I |
| Early Mediaeval | 14 | Pig | a |  | e |  |  | I/S |
| Early Mediaeval | 95 | Pig | a |  | h |  |  | I/S |
| Early Mediaeval | 110 | Pig | b |  | f |  |  | I/S |
| Early Mediaeval | 151 | Pig | b |  | f |  |  | I/S |
| Early Mediaeval | 134 | Pig |  |  |  | e | a | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 160 | Pig |  |  |  | e | a | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 34 | Pig |  |  |  | 9 | a | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 127 | Pig |  |  | 9 | c |  | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 120 | Pig |  |  | h | e | a | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 88 | Pig | C |  | 1 | E | a | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 138 | Pig | a |  |  | c |  | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 118 | Pig | a |  | e | c | E | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 103 | Pig | a |  | h | b | E | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 103 | Pig | a |  | h | e | a | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 125 | Pig | b |  | d | c |  | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 155 | Pig | b |  | h | d |  | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 26 | Pig | b |  | j | e | H | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 94 | Pig | b |  | j | e | H | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 42 | Pig | c |  | m | f | a | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 150 | Pig | 9 |  | e |  |  | S |
| Early Mediaeval | 116 | Pig |  |  |  | f | b | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 114 | Pig |  |  |  | h | d | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 46 | Pig | d |  | m | h | b | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 115 | Pig | e |  | k | a | c | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 71 | Pig | f |  | m | h | c | A |
| Early Mediaeval | 113 | Pig | f |  | m | m | £ | A |
| Late Saxon | 112 | Pig |  |  | e | a |  | I |
| Late Saxon | 17 | Pig | a |  | 9 | a |  | I |
| Late Saxon | 104 | Pig |  | 1 | c | V |  | I |
| Late Saxon | 162 | Pig | a |  | f |  |  | I/S |
| Late Saxon | 162 | Pig | d |  | h |  |  | I/S |
| Late Saxon | 112 | Pig |  |  |  | d | a | S |

## Appendix 2.

West Cotton. Measurements of vertebrate bones and teeth, arranged by taxon, part of skeleton and period. All measurements are in tenths of a millemetre. See text for an explanation of how measurements are taken. Measurements are given in the following order: horncores, teeth, postcranial bones.

Key :
Taxa (TAX) are coded as follows:

| B | Bos (cattle) |
| :--- | :--- |
| O | Ovis/Capra (sheep/goat) |
| OVA | Ovis (sheep) |
| CAH | Capra (goat) |
| S | Sus (pig) |
| EQ | Equidae (equid) |
| CEE | Cervus elaphus (red deer) |
| LE | Lepus (hare) |
| LEE | Lepus europaeus (brown hare) |
| ORC | Oryctolagus cuniculus (rabbit) |
| RA | Rattus (rat) |
| ART | Arvicola terrestris (water vole) |
| RAV | Rattus/Arvicola terrestris |
| CAS | Castor fiber (beaver) |
| CAF | Canis familiaris (dog) |
| FEC | Felis catus (cat) |
| MUP | Mustela putorius (polecat/ferret) |
| MUX | Mustela |
|  | exminea/nivalis(stoat/weasel) |
| ERE | Erinacaeus europaeus (hedgehog) |
| TAL | Talpa (mole) |
| AMP | Anura (frog/toad) |
| GAG | Gallus gallus (chicken) |
| GNP | Gallus/Numida/Phasianus |
|  | (chicken/guinea fowl/pheasant) |
| GN | Gallus/Numida |
| GP | Gallus/phasianus |
| ANA | Anas (duck) |
| ANS | Anser (goose) |
| COL | Columba (pigeon/dove) |
| TU | Turdus (turdid) |
| CO | Corvus frugilegus/corone (rook/crow) |
| MIM | Milvus milvus (red kite) |
| FAL Falco (?kestrel) |  |

The following taxa are also mentioned in this report, but they did not have any measurable element:

Dama dama (fallow deer)
Capreolus capreolus (roe deer)
Vulpes vulpes (fox)
Mus musculus (house mouse)
Apodemus sylvaticus (wood mouse)
Apodemus flavicollis
(yellow-necked mouse)
Clethrionomys glareolus (bank vole)
Microtus agrestis (field vole)
Sorex araneus (common shrew)
Gallinago gallinago (snipe)
Pluvialis apricaria (golden plover)
Pluvialis squatarola (grey plover)
Vanellus vanellus (lapwing)
Phalacrocorax aristotelis (cormorant)
Buteo buteo (buzzard)
Accipiter nisus (sparrowhawk)
Rana (frog)
Bufo (toad)
Anguilla anguilla (eel)
Perca fluviatilis (perch)
Molva molva (ling)
Clupea harengus (herring)
Cyprinidae (cyprinid)

Parts of skeleton (ELEM) are coded as follows:
HC horncore (antler in deer)
CO coracoid
SC scapula
HU humerus
RA radius
MC metacarpal (carpometacarpus in birds)
PE pelvis
FE femur
TI tibia (tibiotarsus in birds)
AS astragalus
CA calcaneum
MT metatarsal (tarsometatarsus in birds)
MP metapodial
P1 1st phalanx

Periods (PER) are coded as follows:
LPMED late postmediaeval
EPMED early postmediaeval
LMED late mediaeval
MMED mid mediaeval
EMED early mediaeval
LSAX late Saxon
SAXN early-mid Saxon

Epiphysial fusion/age (FUS) is coded as follows:

F fused
H fused/fusing
G fusing
UM unfused metaphysis
UE unfused epiphysis

Pig canines (SEX) are coded as follows:
AF female alveolus
AM male alveolus
F female canine
M male canine

The presence/absence of a spur on a bird tarsometatarsus is coded as follows:

A absent
P present
S scar

Approximate measurements are designated:

```
c - within 0.2 mm
e - within 0.5 mm
```

| PER | box | UN | ELEM | tax | L | $W_{\text {max }}$ | $W_{\text {man }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMED | 27 | 6116 | нс | B |  | 346 | 288 |
| LMED | 91 | 4264 | HC | B |  | 400 | 272 |
| MMED | 39 | 1620 | HC | B |  | 336 | 312 |
| EMED | 25 | 858 | HC | B | 844 | 346 |  |
| EMED | 31 | 1257 | HC | B | c 1132 | 462 | 354 |
| EMED | 42 | 1596 | HC | B |  | 509 |  |
| EMED | 100 | 4419 | HC | B |  | 568 | 497 |
| EMED | 113 | 6505 | HC | B |  | 534 | 437 |
| EMED | 120 | 6552 | HC | B | 1514 | c 552 | 451 |
| EMED | 138 | 4884 | HC | B |  | c 407 |  |
| EMED | 142 | 5621 | HC | B |  | 402 | 266 |
| EMED | 150 | 7000 | HC | B | 1045 | 404 | 332 |
| Lsax | 104 | 4472 | HC | B |  | 373 | 294 |
| LSAX | 130 | 6762 | HC | B |  | 447 | 360 |
| LSAX | 135 | 4614 | нС | B |  | 500 | 436 |
| LPMED | 76 | 6000 | HC | OVA | 533 | 218 | 177 |
| EPMED | 28 | 1098 | HC | ova | 869 | 323 | 186 |
| EPMED | 82 | 6168 | HC | OVA |  | 262 |  |
| LMED | 11 | 243 | HC | OVA |  |  | 155 |
| LMED | 11 | 243 | HC | OVA |  | 307 | 202 |
| LMED | 13 | 288 | HC | OVA |  | 306 | 197 |
| LMED | 29 | 1152 | HC | OVA |  | 304 | 175 |
| MMED | 25 | 837 | нС | ova |  | 273 | 185 |
| MMED | 25 | 992 | HC | ova |  | e 510 | 333 |
| EMED | 14 | 337 | HC | ova |  | 293 | 187 |
| EMED | 24 | 783 | HC | OVA | c 553 | 248 | 197 |
| EMED | 27 | 1047 | HC | OVA | e 1320 | 605 | 392 |
| EMED | 32 | 1349 | HC | OVA | e 730 | 291 | 234 |
| EMED | 41 | 1661 | HC | OVA |  | 315 | 204 |
| EMED | 98 | 4375 | HC | OVA | e 1500 | 558 | 351 |
| EMED | 110 | 6450 | HC | OVA |  | 311 | 204 |
| EMED | 114 | 6513 | HC | OVA | e 1440 | 499 | 370 |
| EMED | 127 | 6561 | HC | OVA | 626 | 262 | 169 |
| EMED | 134 | 4508 | HC | OVA | 947 | 321 | 203 |
| EMED | 137 | 4801 | HC | OVA |  | 256 | C 165 |
| EMED | 139 | 4921 | HC | OVA |  | 323 | 220 |
| LSAX | 47 | 2047 | HC | OVA |  | 471 | 342 |
| SAXN | 158 | 7109 | HC | CAF |  | 294 | c 185 |
| MMED | 25 | 839 | HC | CEE |  | 363 | 355 |
| EMED | 47 | 2095 | HC | CBE |  | 468 | 336 |


|  <br>  |  <br>  |  |  | 罳 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
|  <br>  |  <br>  |  <br>  |  | $\underline{3}$ |
|  |  |  | $\square \square_{0}^{\infty}$ | 克 |
|  | $\omega \omega \omega \sim \omega \omega^{\omega}$ |  |  | 3 |
|  |  |  | 岗 | $\square$ |
|  |  |  | 云氙気趸 |  |


| Per | box | UN | cax | M3L | M3w |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emed | 116 | 6532 | B | 335 | 149 |
| EMED | 117 | 6540 | B | 357 | 135 |
| EMED | 118 | 6540 | B |  | 166 |
| EMED | 118 | 6542 | B | 336 | 152 |
| EMED | 119 | 6545 | B | 369 | 154 |
| EMED | 124 | 6603 | B | 366 | 162 |
| EMED | 125 | 6604 | B | 320 | 144 |
| EMED | 125 | 6612 | в | 363 | 150 |
| EMED | 127 | 6733 | B | 350 | 152 |
| EMED | 134 | 4608 | B |  | 154 |
| EMED | 139 | 4921 | в | 382 | 158 |
| EMED | 140 | 5574 | B | 352 | 155 |
| EMED | 142 | 5623 | B | c 377 | c 171 |
| EMED | 152 | 7054 | B | 342 | 140 |
| EMED | 153 | 7068 | B | 345 | 162 |
| EMED | 154 | 7075 | B | 341 | 159 |
| EMED | 155 | 7077 | B | 346 | 150 |
| EMED | 161 | 7222 | B | 332 | 147 |
| LSAX | 132 | 4494 | B |  | 144 |
| LSAX | 141 | 5609 | B | 340 | 144 |
| LSAX | 141 | 5609 | B | 397 | 169 |
| LSAX | 143 | 5625 | B | 363 | 156 |


| PER | Box | W | tax | $\mathrm{dP}_{4} \mathrm{~W}$ | M W | M ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | $M_{3}{ }^{\text {W }}$ | $M_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPMED | 6 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  | 82 |  |
| LPMED | 6 | 5 | - |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| LPMED | 6 | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| LPMED | 6 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| LPmed | 6 | 6 | - |  |  | 88 | 87 |  |
| LPMED | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 |  | 79 | 88 | 87 |  |
| Lemed | 13 | 263 | - |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| LPMED | 13 | 263 | 0 |  | 68 | 80 | 84 |  |
| LPMED | 13 | 263 | 0 |  | 72 | 83 | 82 |  |
| LPMED | 13 | 263 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 75 |  |  |  |
| LPMED | 13 | 263 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 73 | 84 | 85 |  |
| LPMED | 13 | 294 | - |  | 75 |  |  |  |
| Lemed | 15 | 347 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 80 |  |
| LPMED | 15 | 347 | - |  | 72 | 77 | 79 |  |
| Lemed | 19 | 497 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 72 |  |
| $1{ }^{\text {L M M }}$ d | 19 | 571 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 67 |
| LPMED | 19 | 571 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| LPMED | 19 | 571 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 80 |  |
| LPMED | 22 | 684 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 87 |  |
| LPMED | 22 | 684 | - |  |  |  | 82 |  |
| LPMED | 22 | 684 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 73 | 83 | 85 |  |
| LEMED | 22 | 684 | - |  | 75 | 82 | 84 |  |
| LPMED | 25 | 802 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| LPMED | 25 | 802 | - |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| LPMED | 25 | 802 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 86 |  |
| LPMED LPMED | 76 19 | 6000 497 | ${ }_{\text {OVA }}^{\text {O }}$ | 63 | 73 |  |  | 71 |
| LPMED | 19 | 571 | ova | 61 |  |  |  |  |
| LPMED | 19 | 571 | ova | 62 |  |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 6 | 3 | - |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| EPMED | 6 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| EPMED | ${ }^{6}$ | 3 | O |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| EPMED | 6 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| EPMED | ${ }^{6}$ | 3 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 84 |  |
| EPMED | 5 | 3 | 0 |  | 70 | 82 | 81 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}$ | 7 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{71} 82$ |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}^{\text {EPMED }}$ | 7 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | $7{ }_{74}^{71}$ |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | - |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| EPMED | 7 |  | - |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| EEMED | 7 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 68 72 |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}$ | 7 | 4 | - |  |  |  |  | 72 73 |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | - |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | - |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| EPMBD | 7 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| EPMED | 7 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 79 80 |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}$ | 7 | 4 | - |  |  |  | 77 |  |
| EPMED | 7 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 79 |  |
| EPMED | $?$ | 4 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 88 |  |
| EEMED | 7 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 83 |  |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 62 | 77 | 73 |  |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| EPMBD | 9 | 70 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| EPMBD | 9 | 70 | - |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 73 |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 9 9 | 70 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |  |  |  | 78 80 |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | - |  |  |  | 75 |  |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{84}$ |  |
| EEMED | 9 | 70 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | - |  |  |  | 81 |  |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | - |  |  |  | 91 |  |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 69 | 77 |  |  |
| EPMED | 10 | 216 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| EPMED | 10 | 217 | - |  |  |  | 87 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}^{\text {EPMED }}$ | 11 | 222 | - |  |  |  |  | 76 85 |
| EPMED | 11 | 222 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 84 |  |
| EPMED | 11 | 226 | - |  |  |  | 85 |  |


| PER | Box | UN | tax | $\mathrm{dP}_{4}{ }^{\text {W }}$ | M, W | $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | M, W | $\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2}{ }^{\text {Wr }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| EPMED | 1.3 | 296 | - |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | - |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 87 |  |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | 0 | 63 |  |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| EPMED | 15 | 350 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| EPMED | 16 | 330 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | - |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}^{\text {EPMED }}$ | 15 | 390 390 | - |  |  |  |  | 87 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 71 |
| EEMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | - |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}$ | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 83 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}$ EPMED | 16 | 390 390 | - |  |  |  | 89 78 |  |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 76 |  |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | 0 |  |  |  | 80 |  |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 82 | 82 |  |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | $\bigcirc$ | 64 | 74 |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 16 | 391 |  |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| EPMED | 17 | 431 | - |  | 75 | 77 | 81 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMMED }}$ | 17 | 431 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 74 | 79 | 82 |  |
| EPMED | 18 | 458 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| EPMED | 18 | 453 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| EPMED | 18 | 458 | - |  |  |  |  | 87 |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}$ | 18 | 465 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| EPMED | 18 | 466 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 68 78 |
| EPMED | 18 | 466 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| EPMED | 18 | 465 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 71 |
| EPMED | 18 | 465 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{78}^{82}$ |  |
| EPMED | 18 | 466 | - |  |  |  | 68 |  |
| EPMED | 18 | 466 | 0 |  | 71 | 78 | 81 |  |
| EPMED | 18 | 466 | 0 |  | 68 | 75 | 73 |  |
| EPMED | 18 | 466 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 73 | 82 | 81 |  |
| EPMED | 18 | 466 | 0 |  | 79 | 85 |  |  |
| EPMED | 18 | 465 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 70 | 80 | 82 |  |
| EPAED | 18 | 466 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 73 | 81 | 82 |  |
| EPMED | 18 | 469 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| EPMED | 20 | 575 | 0 |  | 67 | 75 |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}$ | 20 | 575 <br> 575 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 76 | 84 | 86 |  |
| EPMED | 20 | 575 | ${ }_{0}$ |  | 74 | 79 | 81 |  |
| EPMBD | 20 | 576 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 81 | 88 |  |  |
| EPMED | 20 | 590 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| EPMED | 20 | 590 | - |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| EPMBD | 20 | 613 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| EPMED | 21 | 680 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| EPMED | 21 | ${ }^{680}$ |  |  |  |  | 86 |  |
| EPMED | 28 | 1056 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| EPMED | 28 | 1056 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 76 | 78 | 83 |  |
| EPMED | 29 | 1131 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| EPMED | 34 | 1476 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}^{\text {EPM }}$ | 48 | 3038 3038 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| EPMED | 76 | 6003 | 0 |  |  |  | 88 | 85 |
| EPMED | 76 | 6003 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| EPMED | 81 | 6167 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 86 |  |
| EPMED | 81 | 6167 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 86 |  |
| EPMED | ${ }_{82}^{82}$ | 6168 6168 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 72 |


| PER | box | UN | Tax | $\mathrm{dP}_{4}{ }^{\text {W }}$ | M ${ }_{2}$ W | M ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{W}}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 82 | 6158 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| EPMED | 82 | 6168 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| Epmed | 82 | 6168 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| EPMED | 82 | 6158 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| EPMED | 82 | 6168 | - |  |  |  | 74 |  |
| EPMED | 82 | 6168 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 82 |  |
| EPMED | 82 | 6168 | - |  |  |  | 77 |  |
| EEMED | 82 | 5168 | - |  |  |  | 83 |  |
| EPMED | 82 | 6168 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 74 | 83 |  |  |
| EPMED | 84 | 6203 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| EPMED | 84 | 6203 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| EPMED | 84 | 6232 | - |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| EPMED | 88 | 296 | - |  | 76 | 85 | 88 |  |
| EPMED | 110 | 6442 | - |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| EPMED | 110 | 6442 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| EPMED | 6 | 3 | ova | 72 |  |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | OVA | 62 |  |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | OVA | 71 |  |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 15 | 350 | OVA | 69 | 73 |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 18 | ${ }_{4}^{456}$ | OVA | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| EPMBD | 76 | 6003 | ova | 67 | 85 |  |  |  |
| LMED | 8 | 10 | 0 |  | 77 | 81 | 82 |  |
| $\underline{L M E D}$ | 11 | 243 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| LMED | 11 | 243 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| LMED | 11 | 243 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 90 |
| LMED | 11 | 244 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| ${ }_{\text {LMED }}^{\text {LMED }}$ | 11 11 | 244 244 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 71 83 |
| LMED | 11 | 244 | - |  |  |  | 79 |  |
| LMED | 12 | 246 | - |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| LMED | 12 | 246 | - |  |  |  |  | 71 |
| LMED | 12 | 246 | - |  |  |  |  | 73 |
| LMED | 12 | 246 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{84}^{80}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {LMED }}^{\text {LMED }}$ | ${ }_{12}^{12}$ | 246 246 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |  |  | 82 | 84 |
| LMED | 12 | 246 | - |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| LMED | 12 | 249 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| LMED | 12 | 249 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| LMED | 12 | 249 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| LMED | 12 | 249 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 85 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {L M }}^{\text {LMED }}$ | 12 | 249 249 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 80 |  | 80 |  |
| LMED | 12 | 249 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 64 |  | 83 |  |
| LMED | 13 | 288 | - |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| LMED | 13 | 288 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| LMED | 14 | 297 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| LMED | 14 | 341 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 80 |  |
| LMED | 14 | 343 | - |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| LMED | 14 | 343 365 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| ${ }_{\text {LMED }}^{\text {LMED }}$ | 15 16 | 365 402 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |  | c 78 | 87 |  |
| LMED | 17 | 418 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| LMED | 17 | 419 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 76 | 80 | 84 |  |
| LMED | 17 | 447 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| LMED | 17 | 447 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| LMED | 17 | 447 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| LMED | 17 | 447 447 |  |  |  |  |  | 75 81 |
| ${ }_{\text {LMED }}^{\text {LMED }}$ | 17 | 4447 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 65 | 75 | 80 | 81 |
| LMED | 18 | 475 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 73 |
| LMED | 19 | 539 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| LMED | 19 | 549 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| LMED | 19 | 549 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| LMED | 19 | 549 | 0 |  |  |  | 86 |  |
| LMED | 20 | 591 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 84 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {LMED }}^{\text {L }}$ | 20 | ${ }_{624}^{624}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 81 83 |
| LMED | 20 | 624 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| LMED | 20 | 624 | - |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| LMED | 20 | 624 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| LMED | 20 | 624 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 83 |  |
| LMED | 21 | 536 | - |  | 75 | 86 | 90 |  |
| LMED | 21 | 646 | - |  |  | 77 | 85 |  |
| LMED | 21 | 650 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| LMED | 21 | 650 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 82 |


| per | BOX | un | tax | $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ W | $\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\text {W }}$ | M ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | M, W | $M_{1,2} \mathrm{~W}^{\text {W }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMED | 21 | 652 | ova | 70 |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 28 | 1058 | ova | 65 |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 36 | 1547 | ova | 67 | 78 |  |  |  |
| LMED | 77 | 6007 | ova |  | 74 | 83 |  |  |
| LMED | 79 | 6134 | ova | 62 | 71 |  |  |  |
| LMED | 79 | 5134 | ova | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 83 | 6195 | OVA | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 5 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| MMED | 6 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| MMED | 6 | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| MMED | 6 | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 88 | 87 |  |
| MMED | 6 | ${ }^{6}$ | - |  | 79 | 88 | 87 |  |
| MMED | 10 | 204 | - |  | 72 | 85 | 88 |  |
| MMBD | 14 | 303 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| MMED | 14 | 315 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| MMED | 14 14 | 316 317 31 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 71 82 |
| MMED | 14 | 317 | - |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| MMED | 14 | 323 | - |  | 74 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 14 | 325 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| MMED | 14 | 339 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| MMED | 14 | 339 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| MMED | 14 | 344 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 86 |  |
| MMED | 14 | 344 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 75 | 83 |  |  |
| MMED | 15 | 345 399 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 79 | 79 |  |
| MMED | 17 | 403 | - |  | 77 | 84 |  |  |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | - |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | - |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 72 79 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | - |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | c 83 | 82 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 81 |  |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | 0 |  | 75 | 87 | 91 |  |
| MMED | 19 | 551 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 81 |  |
| MMED | 20 | 619 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 87 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {MMED }}$ MMED | 21 | 634 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 79 |  |
| MMED | 21 | 668 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 75 | 75 |
| MMED | 21 | 668 | 0 |  |  |  | 87 |  |
| MMED | 22 | 688 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 73 | 75 |  |  |
| MMED | 22 | 696 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| MMED | $\begin{array}{r}23 \\ 23 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 701 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| MMED | 23 23 | 736 747 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 74 70 |
| MMED | 23 | 759 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| MMED | 23 | 759 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 88 |
| MMED | 23 | 759 | - |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| MMED | 25 | 840 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 82 |  |
| MMED | 25 | 840 | - |  | 75 | 81 | 80 |  |
| MMED | 25 25 | 860 860 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 71 | 82 | 82 | 75 |
| MMED | 26 | 888 | - |  |  |  | B2 | 74 |
| MMED | 26 | 916 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| MMED | 26 | 979 | - |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| ${ }_{\text {MMED }}$ | ${ }_{26}^{26}$ | 979 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 72 | 77 |  | 75 |
| MMED | 27 | 1008 | 0 |  |  |  | 84 |  |
| MMED | 28 | 1106 | 0 |  |  |  | 82 |  |
| MMED | 29 | 1135 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| MMED | 29 | 1135 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| MMEED | 29 29 | 1135 1138 | ${ }_{0}^{\circ}$ |  | 73 | 87 | 87 |  |
| MMED | 30 | 1200 | - |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| MMED | 30 | 1200 | o |  | 80 | 87 | 89 |  |
| MMED | 30 | 4248 | - |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| ${ }_{\text {M M }}$ | $\begin{array}{r}32 \\ 33 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 11315 | - |  | 76 | 80 | 85 |  |
| MMED | 33 | 1440 | - |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| MMED | 33 | 1440 | - |  |  |  | 83 |  |
| MMED | 33 | 1440 | - |  |  |  | 80 |  |



| PER | B0x | un | Tax | dP, ${ }^{\text {W }}$ | M ${ }_{1}$ W | $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | M, W | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 67 |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 67 |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| MMSD | 62 | 4165 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 82 |  |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | 0 |  | 79 | 84 | 85 |  |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | 0 |  | 70 | 79 | 83 |  |
| MMED | 62 | 4168 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| MMED | 62 | 4168 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 83 |  |
| MMED | 62 | 4168 | 0 |  |  |  | 81 |  |
| MMED | 62 | 4168 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 82 |  |
| MMED | 63 | 4208 | - |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| MMED | 63 | 4216 | - |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| MMED | 63 | 4216 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 83 | 85 |  |
| MMED | 64 | 4222 | - |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| MMED | 64 | 4222 | $\bigcirc$ |  | ${ }^{71}$ | 78 |  |  |
| MMED | 64 65 | ${ }_{4234}^{4228}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 63 | 74 | 80 | 73 |
| MMED | 66 | 4256 | - |  | 74 | 84 | 83 |  |
| MMED | 77 | 5004 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 68 | 80 | 83 |  |
| MMED | 77 | 6005 | - |  |  |  | 85 |  |
| MMED | 78 | 6044 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| MMED | 78 | 6115 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| ${ }_{\text {MMED }}$ | 78 78 | 6115 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| MMED | 78 | 6115 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| MMED | 78 | 6115 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 88 |  |
| MMED | 81 | 6161 | 0 |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| MMED | 81 | 6161 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 81 |  |
| MMED | 82 | 6170 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| ${ }_{\text {MMED }}$ | 82 | 6170 | - |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| MMED | 82 | 6185 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 85 |  |
| MMED | ${ }_{84}^{84}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6221}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 77 | ${ }_{78}^{86}$ |  |  |
| MMED | 84 | 6237 | - |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| MMED | 84 | 6237 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 70 | 81 | 81 |  |
| MMED | 84 | 6238 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| MMED | 85 | 6243 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| MMED | ${ }_{85}^{85}$ | 6243 6249 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 79 | 85 |
| MMED | 85 | 6249 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 62 | 69 |  | 85 |
| MMED | 85 | 6251 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| MMED | 85 | 6258 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| MMED | 85 | 5258 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| MMED | 85 | 6258 | 0 |  |  |  | 79 |  |
| MMED | 86 86 | 6262 6265 | 0 |  |  |  | 81 | 82 |
| MMED | 86 | 6265 | 0 |  | 74 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 86 | 6266 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| MMED | 86 | 6266 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 64 | 78 | 85 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {MMED }}$ | 87 87 | 6287 6289 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 71 |
| MMED | 87 | 6289 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| MMED | 87 | 6289 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| MMED | 87 | 6289 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 80 |  |
| MMED | 87 | 6289 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 84 |  |
| MMED | 87 | 6289 | 0 |  |  |  | 85 |  |
| MMED | 87 | 6291 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| MMED | 87 87 | ${ }_{6}^{6291}$ | - |  |  |  |  | 72 73 |
| MMED | 87 | 6291 | - |  |  |  | 86 |  |
| MMED | 87 | 6291 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 74 | 82 |  |
| MMED | 88 | 6378 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| MMED | 88 | 6378 |  |  |  | 82 | 86 |  |
| MMED | 88 | 6378 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 69 | 81 | 84 |  |
| MMED | 88 88 | 6389 6397 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 82 | 71 |
| MMED | 88 | 6397 |  |  | 77 | 83 | 87 |  |
| MMED | 91 | 4235 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| MMED | 91 | 4235 | 0 |  | 78 | 85 |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {MMED }}$ | 91 | 4276 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| MMED | 92 | 4292 | 0 |  |  |  | ${ }_{79}$ |  |
| MMED | 108 | 6246 | 0 |  | 71 |  |  |  |





| PER | bох | un | taX | $\mathrm{ap}_{4} \mathrm{~W}$ | M ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~W}$ | M $\mathrm{W}^{\text {W }}$ | M, W | $M_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| emed | 34 | 1469 | ova | 62 | 69 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 40 | 1645 | ova | 65 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 40 | 1652 | ova | 63 | 73 | 77 |  |  |
| EMED | 41 | 1664 | OVA | 65 | 72 | 84 |  |  |
| EMED | 41 | 1668 | OVA | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 42 | 1680 | ova | 59 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 42 | 1680 | OVA | 66 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 42 | 1696 | ova |  | 72 | 80 |  |  |
| EMED | 43 | 1716 | ova | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 44 | 1742 | ova | 54 | 74 | 84 |  |  |
| EMED | 44 | 1742 | OVA | 53 | 73 | 84 |  |  |
| EMED | 45 | 2009 | ova | 61 | 68 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 46 | 2032 | ova |  | 65 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 47 | 2088 | OVA | 65 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 48 | 3045 3066 | OVA | 69 64 | 79 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 72 | 5050 | ova | 65 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 92 | 4303 | ova | 62 | 71 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 97 | 4361 | OVA | 65 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 110 | 6451 | ova |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 110 | 6451 | ova |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 113 | 6495 | ova | 62 | 69 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 113 117 | 6505 6540 | OVA | 66 63 | 74 70 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 135 | 4611 | ova | 65 | 74 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 136 | 4671 | ova |  | 71 | 80 |  |  |
| EMED | 137 | 4873 | OVA | 67 | 74 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 142 | 5623 | ova | 68 | 70 | 79 |  |  |
| EMED | 161 | 7222 | ova | 66 | 74 | 78 |  |  |
| EMED | 161 | 7222 | ovA | 65 | 73 |  |  |  |
| LSAX | 9 | 74 | 0 |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| LSAX | 104 | 4472 | - |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| LSAX | 105 | 4497 | - |  |  |  | 79 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {LSAX }}$ | 112 | 6485 | - |  |  |  |  | 71 |
| LSAX | 131 | 6485 6836 | $\stackrel{ }{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | 80 78 |
| LSAX | 162 | 7289 | - |  | 73 |  |  |  |
| LSAX | 105 | 4494 | ova | 64 | 74 |  |  |  |
| Saxn | 133 | 4585 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| SAxN | 139 | 4952 | ova | 63 |  |  |  |  |
| SAXN | 139 | 4952 | ova | 61 | 86 | 74 |  |  |
| SAXN | 104 | 4466 | ova | c 61 | 72 |  |  |  |


| PER | box | UN | tax | SEX | $\mathrm{dP}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{CP}_{4}{ }^{\text {W }}$ | $M_{1} \mathrm{~L}$ | M ${ }^{\text {WA }}$ | M, WP | $M_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{WA}$ | $M_{3} \mathrm{WP}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ | M, WA | M, WC | $M_{1} ; 2 \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{WA}$ | $M_{1}:$ WP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | s |  |  | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 11 | 225 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 95 | 99 |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173 | 96 | 105 |
| EPMED | 38 | 1605 | s |  | 177 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 84 | 6206 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 121 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {SPMED }}$ | 84 | 6206 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 | 91 | 103 |
| EPMED | 84 | 6232 |  |  | 188 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 11 | 245 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 | 129 | 129 |
| LMED | 12 | ${ }_{2}^{297}$ | S |  |  | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 16 | 402 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  | 213 | 124 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 17 | 414 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 179 | 110 |  |
| LMED | 17 | 447 | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 203 | 120 | 119 |
| LMED | 20 | 577 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 198 | 119 | 124 |
| LMED | 77 | 6007 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 79 | 6129 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143 | 136 |  |  |  |
| LMED | 80 | 6150 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 315 | 145 | 145 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 14 | 344 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 154 | 92 | 97 |
| MMED | 19 | 548 | S |  |  |  | 164 | 100 | 106 | 199 | 122 | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | ${ }_{21}^{21}$ | 640 | S |  |  |  | 169 | 97 | 101 | c 102 | 117 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 23 | 716 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182 | 102 | 107 |
| MMED | 23 | 760 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212 | 144 | 140 |
| MMED | 30 35 | 1191 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125 |  | 161 | 107 | 99 |
| MMED | 35 | 1525 | s |  |  |  | 159 | 100 | 101 | 197 | 128 | 126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 36 36 | 1540 1543 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 196 160 | 129 93 | 128 100 |
| MMED | 36 36 | 1544 | s |  | 196 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 36 | 1550 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 177 | 96 | 104 |
| MMED | 37 37 | 1571 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 38 | 1578 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 293 | 140 | 122 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 38 | 1606 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170 | 103 | 110 |
| MMED | 39 48 | 1644 3024 | s | ${ }^{A F}$ |  |  | 172 162 | 106 97 | ${ }_{103}^{112}$ | 205 | 124 | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 48 | 3043 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 213 | 128 | 132 |
| MMED | 58 | 4008 | s |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 58 62 | 4021 | $\stackrel{s}{s}$ |  |  |  | 177 165 | +9888 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 107 \\ & 115\end{aligned}$ | 215 | 121 139 | 144 | c 345 | 138 | 149 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 63 | 4216 |  |  |  |  | 158 | 92 | 102 | 207 | 121 | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 64 | 4222 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212 | 131 | 140 |
| MMED | ${ }_{7}^{64}$ | 4228 6004 | S |  | 171 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 332 | 152 | 141 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 80 | 6151 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189 | 127 | 130 | 330 | 150 | 142 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 85 | 6241 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 | 119 | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 85 | 6245 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 218 160 | 128 98 | 132 105 |
| MMED | 85 | 6245 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c 142 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 85 | 6249 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 156 | c 101 | 106 |
| MMED | 86 87 | 6265 6289 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175 | 96 | 105 |
| MMED | 87 | 6291 | s |  |  |  | 149 | 97 | 104 | 196 | 122 | 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 88 | 6397 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 294 | 142 | 139 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 9110 | 4276 6436 | $\stackrel{s}{s}$ |  |  |  | c 170 | 103 | 114 |  | 133 |  | 261 | 133 | 129 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 110 | 6436 | s |  |  |  | 147 | 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 111 | 6462 | s | F |  |  |  | 104 |  | 204 | 127 | 128 | c 280 | 146 | 135 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 14 | 328 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 210 | 132 | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 14 | 328 | s | F |  |  | 169 | 99 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 24 24 | 783 783 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129 |  | 201 | 118 | 120 |
| EMED | 26 | 900 | s |  |  |  | c 157 |  | 105 | 198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 29 | 1183 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 30 30 | 1194 1196 | $\stackrel{s}{s}$ |  | 189 | 82 | 175 | 103 | 110 | 215 | 132 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 31 | 1247 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 195 | 124 | 123 |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}$ | 31 34 | 1262 1469 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 202 |  | 127 | c 260 | 127 | 128 | 207 | 119 | 117 |
| EMED | 42 | 1676 | $s$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176 | 109 |  |
| EMED | 42 | 1696 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 299 | 145 | 141 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 42 | 1696 | s | F |  |  |  |  |  | 212 | 121 | 121 | 316 | 136 | 137 |  |  |  |


| PER | Box | $\mathrm{un}^{\text {u }}$ | tax | sex | $\mathrm{dP}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{dP}_{4} \mathrm{~W}$ | $M_{1} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{WA}$ | M ${ }_{\text {WP }}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ | M $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{WA}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{WP}$ | $M_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ | M, WA | M, WC | $M_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1,2}$ WA | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ 2 ${ }^{\text {WF }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emed | 46 | 2035 | $s$ | F |  |  |  | 90 |  | c 197 | 114 | 122 | e 255 | 130 | 133 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 46 | 2038 | 5 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 48 | 3045 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c 210 |  |  |
| EMED | 51 | 3101 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 328 | 143 | 134 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 58 69 | 4003 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 310 | 148 146 1 | 143 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 71 | 5041 | s | AM |  |  |  |  |  | 185 | 117 | 126 | 301 | 137 | 138 |  |  |  |
| gMED | 88 | 6348 | s |  |  |  | c 150 | 104 |  | 216 | 134 | 137 | 341 | 150 | 146 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 94 | 4317 | s |  |  |  | 165 | 103 | 111 | 206 | 133 | 134 |  | 142 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 95 | 4339 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 95 | 4339 | S | P |  |  | 172 | 107 99 | 1104 |  | 122 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 99 | 4383 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 100 | 4391 | s |  | 191 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 101 | 4449 | S |  | 196 | 91 | 178 | 111 | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 101 | 4427 | s |  | 190 | 93 | 179 | 106 | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 103 | 4461 | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ |  | 194 | 87 | 177 | 104 | 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 103 | ${ }_{4461}^{4461}$ | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |  | 152 | 97 | 103 | 203 | 122 | 125 | 375 | 136 139 | 1418 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 108 | 4333 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 209 | 123 | 125 |
| EMED | 110 | 6453 | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |  | 161 | 105 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 113 | 6490 | s | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 113 | 6502 | s | AM |  |  |  |  |  | c 177 | c 121 |  | 280 | 132 | 133 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 113 | 6505 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 268 | 136 | 125 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 114 | 6505 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  | c 172 | 121 | 120 | 296 | 150 | 134 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 115 | 6525 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 119 |
| SMED | 115 | 6526 6530 | S | M |  |  | c 146 | 94 | 104 | 175 | 117 | 123 | 283 | 136 | 132 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 116 | 6531 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197 | 127 | 124 | c 321 | 140 | 144 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 117 | 6540 | S |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | 220 |  | 126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 117 118 | 6540 6540 | S |  |  | 88 | 164 154 | 99 90 | 108 | 184 | 120 | 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 118 | 6541 | s |  |  |  | 177 | 105 | 112 | 214 | 130 | c 133 |  | 161 | 146 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 118 | 6542 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 280 | 136 | 133 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}$ | 120 123 | 6558 | S |  |  |  | 180 | 94 | ${ }_{103}^{116}$ | 210 | 130 | 135 | c 339 | 150 | c 137 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 123 | 6589 | s |  |  |  | 188 | 100 | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMED | 124 | 6603 | S |  | 187 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}$ | 125 | 6612 | s |  | 177 |  | 168 | 92 | 96 | 210 | 129 | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 127 | 6560 | S |  |  |  | 165 |  | 106 | 205 | 119 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 127 | 6575 | S |  |  |  | 158 | 94 | 99 | 202 | 122 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 128 132 | 6755 4386 | S |  | 191 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175 | 98 | 97 |
| EMED | 134 | 4508 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 206 | 133 | 135 |  | 149 | 140 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 138 | 4884 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194 | 119 | 123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 138 <br> 145 | 4892 6801 | S | M | ${ }_{188}^{188}$ | 89 | 175 | 101 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 150 | 7000 | s |  |  |  | 164 | 98 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 151 | 7035 | s | M |  |  | 152 | 100 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 154 155 | 7076 | S |  |  |  | 143 | c 90 | 94 | 192 | 115 | 120 |  |  |  | 192 | 119 | 121 |
| EMED | 156 | 7088 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 314 | 135 | 137 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 156 | 7088 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 324 | 144 | 146 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 156 150 | 7088 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 12 |  |
| EMED | 160 | 7185 | s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 | 131 | 132 | 341 | 141 | 141 |  |  |  |
| LSAX | 17 | 411 | s | F |  |  | c 154 |  | 103 | 187 | 108 | 117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {LSAX }}^{\text {LSAX }}$ | 104 112 | 4472 6485 | S |  | 181 | 86 | 166 | 97 | 106 | 212 | 132 | 136 | e 335 | 146 |  |  |  |  |
| LSAX | 1.12 | 6485 | s |  |  |  | c 153 | 96 | 102 | c 198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lsax | 112 | 6485 | S | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LSAX | 162 | 7280 | s |  |  |  | 158 | 102 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LSAX | 162 | 7280 | s |  |  |  | 154 | 99 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PER | box | UN | tax | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{\text {, }}$ | $M_{1} L_{\text {L }}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ | $M_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $M_{3} L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~W}_{\text {a }}$ | $M_{2} W_{b}$ | $M_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ | $M_{1,2} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | EQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 202 | 117 | 93 |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 350 | EQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 271 | 135 | 107 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EPMED }}$ | 15 16 | 350 390 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}^{\text {E }}$ |  |  |  |  | 234 | 135 | 208 | 130 | 122 |  | 119 | 112 | 309 | 142 | 144 |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | EQ | 322 | c 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPMED | 84 | 6203 | EQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 313 | 137 | 139 |  |  |  |
| LMBD | 12 | 250 | EQ | 293 | 109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 19 | 512 | EO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 241 | 126 | 122 | 311 | 122 | 122 |  |  |  |
| LMED | 61 | 4157 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}$ | 306 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {LMED }}$ | 77 | 6006 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}^{\text {EQ }}$ | 307 | 101 |  |  |  |  | 224 |  | 121 | 236 |  | 108 | 346 |  | 108 |  |  |  |
| LMED | 83 | 6195 | EQ | 316 | 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 10 | 204 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | ${ }_{32}^{21}$ | 639 1315 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}^{\text {EQ }}$ | 317 | 103 | 258 272 | 128 149 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { c } 242 \\ \hline 253\end{array}$ | 133 152 | 213 230 | 133 147 | 119 131 | 243 | 137 | 127 | 336 | 125 | 113 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 48 | 3043 | EQ | 315 | 114 | 272 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 49 | 3051 | EQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 261 | 138 | 133 |
| ${ }_{\text {MMED }}$ | 49 | 3051 4270 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}^{\text {EQ }}$ | 311 296 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 91 | 4276 | EQ | 321 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 50 | 3096 | ${ }^{\text {EQ }}$ | 275 | 99 | 259 | 144 | 240 | 151 | 224 | 141 | 127 | 239 | 134 | 117 | 305 | 124 | 113 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 69 | 5010 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}$ | 299 | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | $\begin{array}{r}95 \\ 102 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 43337 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}^{\text {EQ }}$ |  |  |  |  | 242 | 155 | 217 | 151 | 124 | 233 | 132 | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 107 | 4556 | EQ | 313 | 117 | 264 | 154 | 265 | 158 | 247 | 157 | 148 | 242 | 137 | 129 | 315 | 133 | 124 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 121 | 6552 | EQ | 327 | 104 | 262 | 132 | 249 | 244 | 224 | 131 | 127 | 234 | 124 | 117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 122 | 6555 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}^{\text {ER }}$ | 322 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 307 | 131 | 123 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}$ | 125 | 6604 | EQ | 325 | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 125 | 6605 | EQ | 341 | 107 | 301 | 115 | 327 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}$ | 127 131 | 6683 6822 | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}^{\text {EQ }}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}329 \\ \hline 298\end{array}$ | ${ }_{102}^{126}$ | 245 | 139 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 154 | 7075 | EQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 255 | 124 | 129 | 294 | 114 | 113 |  |  |  |
| EMSD | 155 | 7081 | EQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 321 | 138 | 128 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 156 | 7088 | EQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243 | 131 | 128 |
| LSAX | 163 | 7302 | EQ | 326 | 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PER | box | UN | tax | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ | P, W | $\mathrm{p}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}$ | $M_{1} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{~W}^{\text {w }}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | $M_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ | $M_{3}{ }^{\text {W }}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{PA}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ | $M_{1}-M_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ | HEIGHT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 34 | 1476 | Caf | 91 | 49 | 107 | 54 | 11 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LMED } \\ & \text { LMED } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 243 \\ & 624 \end{aligned}$ | CAF | 73 | 34 | 78 | 37 | 91 | 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 178 \\ & 173 \end{aligned}$ | 67 | 73 | 55 | 41 | 34 | 554 | 521 | 290 | 258 | 274 | 196 |
| mmed | 32 | 1.315 | CaF | 82 | 45 |  |  | 125 | 53 | 230 |  | 93 | 69 |  |  |  | 702 | 331 |  | 376 | 243 |
| MMED | 50 | 3098 | CaF | 74 | 43 | 104 | 52 | 11 | 60 | 223 | 97 | 91 | 70 |  |  | 741 | 639 | 390 | 332 | 362 | 235 |
| MMED | ${ }_{61}^{61}$ | 4158 4158 | ${ }_{\text {CAF }}^{\text {CaF }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 103 | 76 64 | 51 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 61 | 4158 | cap | 81 | 45 | 108 | 52 | 118 | 60 | 224 | 89 | 86 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 61 | 4158 | CAF | 105 | 44 | 114 | 46 | 125 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MNED | 65 | 4231 | CaF |  |  |  |  | 96 | 46 | 181 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {MMED }}$ | 65 78 | 4234 6115 | $\mathrm{CAF}_{\text {CaF }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 204 | 93 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 80 | 6151 | CAF | 96 | 52 | 112 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 84 | 6238 | cap | 101 | 47 | 114 | 51 | 134 | 63 | 229 | 88 | 92 | 68 |  |  | 795 | 748 | 428 | 376 | c 367 | 228 |
| MMED | 88 109 | 6409 6433 | CAF |  |  |  |  | 102 | 51 | 231 | 91 | 90 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 166 |
| MMED | 109 | 6433 | CAF | 102 | 48 | 115 | 52 | 132 | 63 | 226 | 93 | 92 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  | 371 |  | 227 |
| EMED | 24 | ${ }^{783}$ | CAF | 102 | 50 | 114 | 57 | 126 | 58 | 232 | 96 | 95 | 72 | 52 | 44 | 360 | 212 | 425 | 367 | 366 | 366 |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}$ | 48 100 | 3032 4391 | ${ }_{\text {CAF }}$ | 86 | 42 | 104 | 46 |  | 55 | ${ }_{233}$ | ${ }_{82}$ | 91 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 100 | 4392 | CAF | 85 | 39 | 91 | 43 |  | 55 |  |  | 91 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 329 | 364 | 199 |
| EMED | 100 | 4419 | CAF | 86 | 47 | 102 | 56 |  |  | 227 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 374 | 334 |  | 246 |
| EMED | 101 | 4445 | CAF |  |  |  |  | 124 | 68 | 213 | ${ }_{84} 93$ | ${ }_{86}^{96}$ | 72 65 |  |  |  |  | 404 |  | 365 | 233 |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 1124 | 6593 | ${ }_{\text {CAF }}$ | 63 | 33 | 80 | 41 | 95 | 51 | 177 | 888 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 304 | 266 | 338 | 244 |
| EMED | 125 | 6609 | CaF |  |  |  |  | 93 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 126 | 66455 | ${ }_{\text {cap }}$ | 91 | 45 |  |  | 94 120 122 | 52 | 216 | 84 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 157 | 7101 | CAF | 87 | 45 | 98 | 50 | 112 | 61 | 205 | 84 | 76 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 360 |  | 236 |
| LSAX | 161 | 7254 | CAF | 70 | 36 | 78 | 40 | 93 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153 |


| PER | box | un | tax | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ | P, W | $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}{ }^{\text {W }}$ | $M_{1} \mathrm{~L}$ | M ${ }_{1}$ W | $P_{3}-M_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ | height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMED | 12 | 246 | FEC |  |  |  |  | 56 | 26 | 67 | 27 |  |  |
| LMED | 35 | 1531 | FEC |  |  |  |  | 62 | 29 | 75 | 32 | 184 | 95 |
| LMED | 79 | 6134 | FEC |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | 31 |  | 89 |
| MMED | 35 | 1506 | FEC |  |  | 50 | 23 | 68 | 28 | 75 | 33 | 186 | 90 |
| MMED | 36 | 1535 | FEC |  |  | 48 | 24 | 59 | 27 | 64 | 29 | 178 | 95 |
| MMED | 36 | 1535 | FEC |  |  | 48 | 24 | 60 | 27 | 65 | 28 | 175 | 93 |
| MMED | 38 | 1585 | FEC |  |  | 48 | 23 | 65 | 29 | 75 | 32 | 181 | 88 |
| EMED | 105 | 4496 | FEC |  |  | 51 | ${ }^{24}$ | 72 | 29 | 76 | 33 | 185 | 87 |
| EMED | 116 | 6530 | Fec |  |  | 45 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| LPMED | 22 | 684 | mup |  |  | 28 | 18 | 42 | 20 | 77 | 30 |  | 69 |
| MMED | 65 | 4238 | MUP |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 | 34 |  | 90 |


| PER | box | un | ELEM | TAX | FuS | SLC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPMED | 10 | 152 | Sc | B | F | 395 |
| EPMED | 76 | 6003 | sc | B | F | 485 |
| EPMED | 81 | 6167 | sc | в | F | 468 |
| LMED | 81 | 6156 | sc | B |  | 470 |
| LMED | 19 | 539 | sc | B | F | 427 |
| MMED | 39 | 1620 | sc | B |  | 335 |
| MMED | 36 | 1550 | SC | в |  | 445 |
| MMED | 87 | 6331 | sc | в |  | 501 |
| MMED | 25 | 837 | sc | B | F | 419 |
| MMED | 63 | 4216 | SC | в | UM | 171 |
| EmED | 137 | 4873 | sc | B |  | 675 |
| EMED | 11.5 | 6529 | SC | B |  | 313 |
| EMED | 139 | 4921 | sc | в |  | 446 |
| EMED | 100 | 4419 | SC | B |  | 366 |
| EMED | 10 | 139 | sc | B | F | 424 |
| EMED | 29 | 1124 | sc | B | F | 439 |
| emed | 43 | 1697 | sc | B | F | 464 |
| EMED | 51 | 3099 | sc | 8 | F | 407 |
| EMED | 117 | 6540 | sc | в | F | 497 |
| EMED | 148 | 6995 | sc | B | F | 410 |
| EMED | 135 | 4621 | sc | в | F | 509 |
| EMED | 138 | 4888 | SC | 日 | F | 381 |
| EMED | 113 | 6504 | Sc | B | F | 594 |
| EMED | 150 | 7000 | sc | B | F | 497 |
| EMED | 97 | 4363 | sc | в | F | 454 |
| LSAX | 105 | 4498 | Sc | B | F | 448 |
| SAXn | 97 | 4359 | SC | B |  | 386 |
| SAXN | 104 | 4456 | sc | в | F | 453 |


| PER | box | UN | elem | tax | fus | вт | нтC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | ни | B | F | 668 | 302 |
| EPMED | 18 | 466 | Hu | в | F | c 620 |  |
| IMED | 61 | 4156 | HU | B | G |  | 252 |
| MMED | 27 | 1033 | Hu | в | H | 621 | 277 |
| EMED | 33 | 1393 | HU | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | F | 615 | 266 |
| EMED | 51 | 3101 | HU | B | F |  | 270 |
| EMED | 119 | 6543 | HU | B | F |  | 297 |
| EMED | 129 | 6781 | HU | B | F |  | 336 |
| EMED | 154 | 7077 | HU | в | F |  | 323 |
| EMED | 45 | 2031 | Hu | B | F | 697 | 307 |
| EMED | 75 | 5090 | HU | B | F | 602 | 275 |
| EMED | 68 | 5008 | Hu | B | F | 612 | 284 |
| EMED | 138 | 4888 | HU | B | F |  | 266 |
| EMED | 124 | 6603 | нu | B | F |  | 311 |
| EMED | 153 | 7074 | Hu | в | F |  | 318 |
| EMED | 160 | 7146 | HU | B | ${ }^{5}$ |  | 310 |
| EMED | 131 | 5806 | HU | 8 | F |  | 284 |
| EMED | 121 | 6552 | Hu | B | F |  | 305 |
| EMED | 121 | 6553 | HU | B | F | c 730 | 364 |
| EMED | 76 | 5224 | Hu |  | G | 622 | 284 |
| EMED | 24 | 783 | HU | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 623 | 269 |
| EMED | 116 | 6538 | Hu | B | н | 615 | 261 |
| EMED | 43 | 1696 | HU | B | H | - 610 | 266 |
| EMED | 154 | 7076 | Hu | B | H | 593 | 276 |
| EMED | 123 | 6586 | HU | B | H | c 566 | 247 |
| EMED | 150 | 7000 | Hu | B | H |  | 276 |
| EMED | 135 | 4634 | Hu | в | н | 656 | 309 |
| LSAX | 162 | 7287 | нu | в | F | 674 | 299 |
| L.SAX | 152 | 7288 | Hu | B | F | 689 | 290 |
| LSAX | 141 | 5609 | Hu | B | F | 672 | 293 |
| LSAX | 112 | 6485 | ни | B | н | 724 | 333 |
| SAXN | 157 | 7109 | ни | B | G | 626 | 284 |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 132 | 4386 | RA | B | F | 2795 |
| EMED | 99 | 4381 | RA | B | F | 2480 |
| EMED | 117 | 6540 | RA | B | G | 2657 |
| EMED | 99 | 4386 | RA | B | G | 2963 |


| PER | Box | UN | elem | tax | fus | GL | Bd | Dd | SD | BatF | a | b | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | MC | B | F | 1860 | 548 | 298 | 280 | 505 | 262 | 261 | 224 | 211 |
| LMED | 25 | 803 | MC | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | F |  | 570 |  |  | 523 | 277 | c 266 | 235 |  |
| LMED | 19 | 549 | MC | в | F |  | e 585 |  |  | 570 |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 78 | 6125 | мс | в | F |  |  |  |  | 510 |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 79 | 6132 | MC | E | F | c 1747 |  |  | 283 |  |  |  |  |  |
| mmed | 16 | 399 | MC | B | F | 1916 | 598 | e 327 | 307 | 533 | 284 | 275 | 242 | 230 |
| MIEED | 37 | 1552 | MC | B | F | 1819 | 564 | 289 | 288 | 529 | 272 | 261 | c 228 | 211 |
| MMED | 38 | 1591 | MC | B |  |  |  |  |  | 573 | 318 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 39 | 1620 | MC | ${ }^{8}$ | F | 1857 | 514 |  | 273 | 450 | 253 | 247 |  | 195 |
| Mmed | 39 | 1644 | MC | B | F | 1853 | 560 |  | 306 | e 520 | c 282 |  | 229 |  |
| MMED | 108 | 6202 | MC | B | F |  | 536 | 284 | 280 | 469 | c 259 | 257 | 218 | 203 |
| Mmed | 85 | 6248 | MC | E | F | 1873 | 588 | 315 | 297 | 505 | 289 | 282 | 234 | 209 |
| MMED | 87 | 6288 | MC | B | F |  | 606 | 332 | 320 |  | 297 | 282 | 251 | 237 |
| MMED | 63 | 4216 | MC | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | G | 1759 | 476 | 258 | 239 | 451 | 226 | 222 | 187 | 186 |
| MMED | 86 | 6266 | MC | в | UM |  |  |  | 184 |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 131 | 6813 | MC | B | F | 1806 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 251 |  | 208 |
| EMED | 24 | 783 | MC | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | F |  |  |  | 281 |  |  |  |  |  |
| EmED | 88 | 6347 | MC | в | F | 1731 | 491 |  | 264 | 446 |  |  |  | 191 |
| EMED | 88 | 6347 | MC | B | F | 1938 | 637 | 343 | 327 | 554 | 303 | 310 | 267 | 247 |
| EmED | 70 | 5037 | MC | в | F | 1717 | 490 |  | 262 | 443 | 238 | 231 | 203 | 191 |
| EMED | 71 | 5040 | MC | B | F | 1871 | 602 | 325 | 291 | 533 |  | 286 | 256 | 242 |
| EMED | 26 | 926 | $\mathrm{MC}^{\text {C }}$ | 8 | F | 1817 |  |  | 259 |  |  |  | 218 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 119 | 174 6543 | ${ }_{\text {MC }} \mathrm{MC}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | F | 1787 | 511 609 | 276 | 259 339 | ${ }_{5}^{471}$ | 247 293 | 236 290 | 200 248 | 186 229 |
| EmED | 120 | 6549 | MC | B | F | 1834 | 512 | 292 | 273 |  | 251 | 240 | 207 | 190 |
| EMED | 155 | 7077 | MC | B | $F$ |  | 483 | c 247 |  | 452 | c 227 | 223 | 195 | 186 |
| EMED | 150 | 7001 | MC | B | F | 1852 | 605 | 301 | 305 | 521 | 299 | 276 | 243 | 226 |
| EMED | 41 | 1658 | MC | B | F |  | 561 | c 272 |  | 496 | c 275 | c 265 | 210 | 200 |
| emed | 46 | 2036 | MC | B | F | 1797 | 598 | 315 | 327 | 538 | 286 | 280 | 240 | 223 |
| EMED | 45 | 2037 | MC | B | F | c 1744 |  |  | 272 |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 70 | 5021 | MC | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }_{F}^{\text {F }}$ | 1862 |  |  | 306 |  | 292 |  | 246 |  |
| EMED | 140 | 5594 | MC | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }_{\text {F }}$ |  | C 470 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emb | 59 | 4108 | MC | B | F | 1718 | 498 | 270 | 276 | 454 | 238 | c 234 | 208 | 195 |
| EMED | 111 | 6481 | MC | в | F | 1936 | 610 | c 312 | 335 | 541 | 303 | 274 | 254 | 240 |
| EMED | 122 | 6571 | MC | B | F | c 1822 | c 540 | c 285 | 306 | 520 |  |  | 213 | 197 |
| EMED | 124 | 6593 | MC | B | F | 1854 | 584 |  | 301 | 505 | 280 | 279 | 242 | 220 |
| EMED | 125 | 6606 | MC | B | F | 1932 | 663 |  | 339 | 595 | 324 | 300 | 265 | 248 |
| EMED | 151 | 7035 | MC | B | F | 1857 |  |  | 295 |  |  |  | 223 |  |
| EMED | 107 | 5360 | MC | B | ${ }_{\text {F }}^{\text {F }}$ |  | 559 | 296 |  | 508 | 286 | 250 | 231 | 217 |
| EMED | 140 | 5606 | MC | ${ }_{8}$ | F | 1906 | 598 | c 304 | 330 | 550 | 272 | 274 | 238 | 235 |
| EMED | 142 | 5623 | MC | B | F | 1932 | 505 | 279 | 265 | 475 | 237 | 234 | 201 | 184 |
| EMED | 142 | 5623 | MC | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | F | 1938 | 506 | 273 | 270 | 479 | 240 | 236 | 200 | 184 |
| EMED | 103 | 4451 | MC | B | F |  | 578 | 295 | 271 | 487 | 277 | 270 | 225 | 209 |
| EMED | 94 | 4328 | MC | B | ${ }_{\text {F }}$ | 1910 | 543 | c 300 | c 316 |  | 257 | 258 | 233 | 220 |
| EmED | 106 | 4501 | MC | B | F | 1605 | e 448 |  | 221 | 394 |  |  | 193 | 179 |
| EMED | 145 | 6894 | MC | B | F | 1686 | 604 | 317 | 313 | 538 | 296 | 279 | 246 | 236 |
| EMED | 145 | 6894 | ${ }_{\text {MC }}$ | B | F | 1841 | 623 |  | 300 | 539 | 292 | 307 | 236 | 225 |
| EMED | 122 | 6573 | MC | B | UM |  |  |  | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |
| LSAX | 161 | 7228 | MC | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | E | 1778 | 503 | 277 | 269 | 444 | 248 | 235 | 206 | 191 |
| LsAX | 162 | 7291 | MC | B | UM |  |  |  | 198 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SAXN | 133 | 4585 | MC | в | F |  | 518 | 287 |  | 480 | 256 | 242 | 220 | 204 |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EMED | 42 | 1696 | CA | B | F | 1142 |
| EMED | 45 | 2030 | CA | B | F | 1195 |
| EMED | 45 | 2030 | CA | B | G | 1326 |
| LSAX | 9 | 74 | CA | B | F | 1200 |


| 咗 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & i n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  ம | Nio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 㗹 | is in $^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ¢估 的 |
| 害 | $m \infty$ | mmmm |  | $\infty \infty$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 量 } \\ & \text { 易 } \end{aligned}$ | 思思 |  |  | 田思 思 |
| 䂞 | 萝等 |  |  <br>  |  |
| ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\text { NJ }}$ |  |  | अ ${ }_{\text {M }}^{\sim}$ |
| 号 |  |  |  <br>  |  |



|  <br>  |  | 吻 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 罥 |
|  <br>  <br>  |  | S |
|  |  | 畕 |
|  |  | 曷 |
| 000000 | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  |  | 思 |
| 0 ○ 0 | － |  |
|  | $\underbrace{\text { ¢ }}_{0}$ | \％ |
| $0 \quad 0$ | ก0 |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |


| PER | Box | UN | ELEM | tax |  | GLI | Bd | D1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMED | 33 | 1386 | As | B |  | 591 | 378 |  |
| EMED | 31 | 1264 | AS | в |  | 568 | 369 | 314 |
| EMED | 24 | 783 | AS | з |  | 617 | 385 | 344 |
| EMED | 24 | 783 | AS | B |  | 622 | 386 |  |
| EMED | 59 | 4119 | AS | в |  | 639 |  | c 358 |
| EMED | 146 | 6968 | AS | в |  | 648 | 430 | 348 |
| EMED | 147 | 6988 | AS | в |  | 591 | 391 | 334 |
| EMED | 147 | 6989 | A．S | в |  | 536 | 335 | 302 |
| EMED | 33 | 1406 | AS | B |  | 598 |  |  |
| EMED | 25 | 904 | AS | B |  | 530 | 339 | 297 |
| EMED | 45 | 2007 | AS | в |  |  | 354 |  |
| EMED | 49 | 3066 | AS | в |  | 606 |  | 335 |
| EMED | 67 | 928 | AS | в |  | 612 | 405 | 344 |
| EMED | 119 | 6543 | AS | B |  | 585 | 356 | 322 |
| EMED | 126 | 6643 | AS | B |  |  | 393 | 325 |
| EMED | 152 | 7054 | AS | B |  | 568 | 374 | 315 |
| EMED | 154 | 7077 | AS | в |  | 616 | 382 | 338 |
| EMED | 154 | 7077 | AS | в |  | 622 | 378 | 341 |
| EMED | 46 | 2037 | AS | в |  | 587 |  | 325 |
| EMED | 134 | 4608 | AS | B |  | 580 | 362 | 320 |
| EMED | 138 | 4888 | AS | B |  | 555 | 349 | 310 |
| EMED | 98 | 4370 | AS | B |  | 651 | 389 | 351 |
| EMED | 48 | 3045 | AS | B |  | 589 | 382 | 328 |
| EMED | 59 | 4109 | AS | B |  | 607 |  | 323 |
| EMED | 103 | 4457 | AS | в |  | 582 | 371 |  |
| EMED | 113 | 6503 | AS | в |  | 593 | 354 | 328 |
| EMED | 115 | 6526 | AS | B |  | 590 | 366 | 324 |
| EMED | 149 | 6997 | AS | B |  | 605 | 411 | 319 |
| EMED | 122 | 6569 | AS | в | c | 603 |  |  |
| EMED | 126 | 6633 | AS | B |  | 604 | 396 | 340 |
| EMED | 149 | 6999 | AS | B |  | 593 | 395 | 318 |
| EMED | 149 | 6998 | AS | B |  | 654 | 443 | 362 |
| EMED | 140 | 5608 | AS | B |  |  | 419 |  |
| EMED | 96 | 4353 | AS | B |  | 615 | 365 | 345 |
| EMED | 101 | 4434 | AS | B | c | 586 | 376 | 319 |
| EMED | 133 | 4555 | AS | B |  | 626 |  | 346 |
| EMED | 145 | 6894 | AS | в |  | 597 | 375 | 309 |
| LSAX | 9 | 74 | AS | 3 |  |  | 366 |  |
| LSAX | 9 | 74 | AS | B |  | 605 | 382 | 324 |
| LSAX | 17 | 411 | AS | 3 |  | 604 | 445 | 377 |
| LSAX | 130 | 6762 | AS | в |  |  |  | c 330 |


| PER | Box | un | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL | Bd | Dd | SD | BatF | a | b | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPMED | 22 | 684 | MT | B | $F$ | 1971 | 473 | 289 | 215 | 431 | 219 | 211 | 213 | 198 |
| LMED | 12 | 245 | MT | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | F |  | c 465 | e 275 |  | 420 | 228 | 212 | 213 | 191 |
| LMED | 79 | 6132 | MT | в | F | c 2080 | 455 | c 261 | 222 | 416 | 217 | 207 | 192 | 176 |
| MMED | 17 | 412 | MT | B | F | 2086 | 493 | 291 | 233 | 460 | 232 | 220 | 219 | 210 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | MT | ${ }^{3}$ | F | 2082 | 484 | 275 | 232 |  | 231 | c 215 | 198 | 186 |
| MMED | 109 | 6433 | MT | в | F | c 2122 | 516 | 293 | 240 | 481 | 246 | 233 | 215 | 203 |
| EMED | 24 | 783 | MT | B | F |  | 556 | 295 |  | 516 | 266 | 243 | 228 | 209 |
| EMED | 112 | 6487 | MT | B | F | 2164 | 535 | 308 | 245 | 481 | 263 | 246 | 236 | 217 |
| EMED | 107 | 5420 | MT | B | F | c 2173 | 567 | 315 | c 261 | 522 | 279 | 256 | 248 | 233 |
| EMED | 42 | 1685 | MT | B | F | 2093 | 514 | 295 | 225 | 415 | 244 | 236 | 219 | 207 |
| EMED | 42 | 1696 | MT | B | F |  |  | 296 | 232 | 459 | 243 |  | 220 | 209 |
| EMED | 42 | 1696 | MT | в | F | 2031 | 461 | 280 | 213 | 432 | 221 | 207 | 201 | 189 |
| EMED | 42 | 1696 | MT | B | F | 2084 | 467 | 283 | 225 | 431 | 221 | 212 | 211 | 192 |
| EMED | 148 | 6995 | MT | B | F | c 2004 | c 499 |  | 210 | c 459 | c 247 | c 2225 | c 208 | c 205 |
| EMED | 9 | 66 | MT | 3 | F | e 2012 | 473 | 282 | 226 | 431 |  | 207 | 209 | 202 |
| EMED | 45 | 2031 | MT | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 1998 | 475 | 285 | 226 | 434 | 227 | 220 | 201 | 191 |
| EMED | 72 | 5067 | MT | 8 | F |  | - 530 | c 284 |  |  |  |  | 216 | 206 |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 137 13 | $\stackrel{4859}{ }{ }_{291}$ | ${ }_{\text {MT }}^{\text {MT }}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{F}}$ | - 2060 | 472 | c 268 | 224 | 432 | 223 | 217 | 196 | 187 |
| EMED | 13 59 | ${ }_{4108}^{291}$ | ${ }_{\text {MT }}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{F}}$ | - 21930 | 426 | 260 | ${ }_{201}^{226}$ | 381 | 204 | 238 198 | 191 | 208 176 |
| EMED | 103 | 4460 | MT | B | F | c 2040 | 483 |  | 245 |  | 229 |  | 207 | 191 |
| EMED | 120 | 6552 | MT | B | F | c 2131 | 482 | 286 | 241 | 445 | 231 | 219 | 205 | c 200 |
| EMED | 43 | 1705 | $\mathrm{MT}^{\text {MT }}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | F |  |  |  |  | 505 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}^{\text {EMED }}$ | 142 104 | 5623 4479 | ${ }_{\text {MT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }_{\text {F }}^{\text {F }}$ | 2218 2133 | 481 495 | 269 | 214 232 | 446 439 | 229 233 | ${ }_{231}^{217}$ | ${ }_{212}^{192}$ | 193 195 |
| EMED | 71 | 5048 | MT | B | H |  |  |  | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 10 | 139 | $\mathrm{MT}^{\text {m }}$ | B | UM |  |  |  | 218 |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 51 | 3101 | MT | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }^{F}$ | 2196 |  |  | 265 |  | 273 |  | 240 |  |
| EMED | 45 | 2031 | MT | B | F | c 2022 |  |  | 219 |  |  | 217 |  | 201 |
| LSAX | 143 | 5625 | MT | в | F | 2211 | 479 | 276 | 215 | 449 | 228 | 215 | 202 | 179 |


| PER | вох | Un | SLEM | TAX | fus | SLC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPMED | 10 | 1.51 | SC | $\bigcirc$ |  | 191 |  |
| LPMED | 19 | 571 | SC | 0 | F | 201 |  |
| EPMED | 11 | 222 | SC | 0 |  | 199 |  |
| EPMED | 18 | 458 | SC | $\bigcirc$ |  | 166 |  |
| EPMED | 20 | 575 | SC | $\bigcirc$ |  | 186 |  |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | sc | $\bigcirc$ | F | 168 |  |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 170 |  |
| EPMED | 10 | 217 | sc | $\bigcirc$ |  | 149 |  |
| EPMED | 10 | 217 | SC | 0 | F | 159 |  |
| LMED | 11 | 243 | SC | $\bigcirc$ |  | 175 |  |
| LMED | 13 | 295 | SC | $\bigcirc$ |  | 173 |  |
| LMED | 16 | 402 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 182 |  |
| LMED | 12 | 246 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 157 |  |
| LMED | 19 | 549 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 176 |  |
| LMED | 83 | 6198 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 159 |  |
| LMED | 17 | 447 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | H | 158 |  |
| LMED | 78 | 6127 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | н | 185 |  |
| mmed | 37 | 1553 | SC | 0 |  | 188 |  |
| MMED | 37 | 1551 | sc | $\bigcirc$ |  | 185 |  |
| MMED | 64 | 4222 | SC | $\bigcirc$ |  | 196 |  |
| MMED | 78 | 6115 | SC | 0 |  | 191 |  |
| mmed | 23 | 759 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 182 |  |
| MMED | 39 | 1644 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 176 |  |
| maEd | 14 | 303 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 176 |  |
| MMED | 23 | 709 | SC | 0 | F | 141 |  |
| MMED | 78 | 6115 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | H | c 162 |  |
| MMED | 39 | 1644 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | UM | 88 |  |
| Emed | 34 | 1469 | Sc | 0 |  | 174 |  |
| EMED | 27 | 1016 | SC | 0 |  | 175 |  |
| EMED | 115 | 6529 | SC | 0 |  | 184 |  |
| EMED | 40 | 1645 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 183 |  |
| EMED | 42 | 1695 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 185 |  |
| EMED | 71 | 5046 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 157 |  |
| Embd | 72 | 5054 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 192 |  |
| EMED | 112 | 6488 | SC | 0 | F | 181 |  |
| EMED | 142 | 5623 | SC | 0 | F | 165 |  |
| EMED | 113 | 6499 | ${ }^{\text {SC }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | F | 189 |  |
| EMED | 100 | 4396 | SC | $\bigcirc$ | F | 158 |  |
| EMED | 45 | 2007 | SC | 0 | H | 160 |  |
| EMED | 123 | 6575 | ${ }_{\text {SC }}^{\text {SC }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {H }}^{\text {H }}$ | 190 |  |
| EMED | 126 | 6633 | SC | 0 | TM | 117 |  |
| SAXN | 97 | 4359 | Sc | 0 | F | 192 |  |
| PER | Box | un | ELEM | tax | FUS | BT | HTC |
| LPMED | 6 | 5 | Hu | 0 | H | 266 | 132 |
| LPMED | 13 | 263 | HU | 0 | ${ }^{\text {H }}$ |  | 118 |
| LPMED | 13 | 263 | HU | ova | F | 293 | 147 |
| EPMED | 20 | 575 | HU | 0 | F |  | 126 |
| EPMED | 20 | 575 | HU | 0 | F |  | 136 |
| ERMED | 20 | 613 | HU | $\bigcirc$ | F | 277 | 144 |
| EPMED | 7 |  | HU | $\bigcirc$ | H |  | 118 |
| EPMED | 11 | 222 | Hu | OVA | F | 261 | 131 |
| EPMED | 11 | 222 | HU | OVA | F | 266 | 133 |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | Hu | OVA | F |  | 126 |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | HU | OVA | F | 274 | 149 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | HU | ova | F | 257 | 126 |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LPMED | 19 | 497 | RA | 0 | F | 1330 |
| ERMED | 11 | 226 | RA | 0 | F | 1343 |
| EPMED | 18 | 458 | RA | 0 | F | 1258 |
| LMED | 14 | 341 | RA | 0 | F | 1329 |
| EMED | 126 | 6633 | RA | 0 | F | 1412 |
| EMED | 145 | 6905 | RA | 0 | F | 1324 |


| PER | Box | un | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL | Bd | Dd | SD | a | b | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 10 | 217 | MC | ova | F |  | 288 | 176 |  | 135 | 131 | 111 | 118 |
| EPMED | 20 | 613 | MC | ova | F |  | 246 | 154 |  | 116 | 115 | 102 | 96 |
| LMED | 83 | 6198 | MC | ova | G | 1103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMED | 80 | 6145 | MC | ova | UE |  | 251 | 160 |  | 123 | 118 | 115 | 110 |
| MMED | 39 | 1635 | MC | ova | F |  | 217 | c 135 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 110 | 6452 | MC | ova | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 1108 | 233 |  | 126 | 112 | 11 | 94 | 91 |
| MMED | 65 | 4235 | MC | ova | F | 1133 |  |  | 121 |  | 104 |  | 100 |
| MMED | 25 | 820 | MC | ova | F | e 1138 |  |  | 124 |  |  |  |  |
| EMED | 59 | 4119 | Mc | ova | F | 1088 | 234 | 138 | 129 | 110 | 204 | 96 | 89 |
| EMED | 117 | 6540 | MC | ova | F | 1193 | 229 | 150 | 128 | 107 | 104 | 103 | 97 |
| EMED | 150 | 7001 | MC | ova | F | 1251 | C 232 | 147 | 138 | 112 |  | 101 | 96 |
| EMED | 46 | 2036 | MC | ova | F | 1223 | 239 | 147 | 125 | 111 | 108 | 105 | 95 |
| EMED | 72 | 5054 | MC | ova |  |  | 235 | c 151 |  | 116 | 110 | 98 | 98 |
| EMED | 156 | 7088 | MC | ova | F | 1129 | 242 | 155 | 127 | 114 | 107 | 102 | 95 |
| EMED | 105 | 4481 | MC | oVA | F | 1191 | 243 | 151 | 134 | 114 | 131 | 104 | 98 |
| EMED | 135 | 4634 | MC | ova | F | 1305 | 262 | 160 | 140 | 121 | 120 | 107 | 101 |
| LSAX | 131 | 6844 | MC | ova | F |  | 234 |  |  | 113 | 111 | 109 | 103 |



|  |  <br>  |  | 第 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | べのびちちゃ | 欭 |
|  |  <br>  | moganun | 5 |
|  |  | 因早早曷睤 | 哭 |
|  |  |  | 包 |
| $Q \Omega Q Q \square$ |  | คロロロサण | 眞 |
|  | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| NNNNNNNNNNN |  |  | ${ }_{\square}^{\infty}$ |


| PER | Box | UN | ElEM | tax | fus | Bd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MMED | 30 | 1200 | TI | OVA | F | 232 |
| MMED | 63 | 4208 | TI | ova | F | 266 |
| MMED | 39 | 1637 | TI | ova | F | 222 |
| MMED | 35 | 1506 | TI | OVA | F | 259 |
| MMED | 36 | 1544 | TI | ova | F | 245 |
| MMED | 35 | 1528 | TI | ova | F | 249 |
| MMED | 35 | 1528 | TI | ova | F | 272 |
| MMED | 34 | 1490 | TI | ova | F | 254 |
| MMED | 19 | 548 | TI | ova | F | 267 |
| mMED | 27 | 1008 | TI | ova | F | 235 |
| MMED | 27 | 1008 | TI | ova | F | 258 |
| MMED | 88 | 6396 | TI | OVA | F | c 218 |
| MMED | 77 | 6005 | TI | ova | F | c 225 |
| MMED | 85 | 6245 | TI | ova | F | 255 |
| MMED | 88 | 6378 | TI | ova | $F$ | 235 |
| MMED | 110 | 6436 | TI | ova | F | 265 |
| MMED | 109 | 6433 | TI | ova | F | 271 |
| MMED | 20 | 616 | TI | ova | G | 243 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | TI | ova | G | 279 |
| MMED | 38 | 1617 | TI | ova | G | 257 |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | TI | ova | G | c 253 |
| MMED | 64 | 4222 | TI | ova | G | 254 |
| MMED | 37 | 1560 | TI | ova？ | G | 273 |
| MMED | 48 | 3042 | TI | $\bigcirc$ |  | 266 |
| MMED | 23 | 732 | TI | － | F | 243 |
| MMED | 63 | 4215 | TI | $\bigcirc$ | ve | 245 |
| Emed | 33 | 1358 | TI | ova | F | 232 |
| EMED | 24 | 783 | TI | ova | F | 257 |
| EMED | 40 | 1645 | TI | ova | F | 249 |
| EMED | 107 | 4555 | TI | ova | F | 254 |
| EMED | 161 | 7222 | TI | ova | F | 259 |
| EMED | 133 | 4594 | TI | ova | F | 243 |
| EMED | 42 | 1696 | TI | ova | F | 240 |
| EMED | 31 | 1257 | TI | ova | F | 247 |
| EMED | 153 | 7068 | TI | OVA | F | 252 |
| EMED | 41 | 1668 | TI | ova | F | 248 |
| EmED | 133 | 4604 | TI | ova | F | 249 |
| EMED | 134 | 4608 | TI | ova | F | 245 |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}$ | 99 | 4383 | TI | OVA | ${ }_{\text {F }}^{\text {F }}$ | 233 |
| EMED | 19 | 495 | TI | ova | F | 267 |
| EMED | 76 | 5153 | TI | ova | F | 272 |
| EMED | 103 | 4457 | TI | ova | F | 234 |
| EMED | 113 | 6505 | TI | ova | F | 246 |
| EMED | 122 | 6563 | TI | ova | F | 241 |
| EMED | 121 | 6554 | TI | ova | F | 253 |
| EMED | 124 | 6593 | TI | ova | F | 249 |
| EMED | 127 | 6658 | TI | ova | F | 245 |
| EMED | 155 | 7089 | TI | ova | F | 240 |
| EMED | 146 | 6918 | TI | ova | F | 264 |
| EMED | 97 | 4369 | TI | ova | F | 251 |
| EMED | 58 | 4000 | TI | ova | F | 259 |
| EMED | 105 | 4491 | ${ }_{\text {TI }}$ | ova | F | 255 |
| EMED | 145 | 6894 | TI | ova | F | 236 |
| EMED | 27 | 1047 | TI | ova | G | 273 |
| EMED | 137 | 4847 | TI | ova | G | 229 |
| EMED | 123 | 6574 | TI | ova | G |  |
| EMED | 105 | 4496 | TI | ova | － | 256 |
| EMED | 161 | 7222 | TI | ova？ | F | 275 |
| EMED | 103 | 4451 | TI | ova？ | F | 264 |
| EMED | 33 | 1418 | TI | － | F | 257 |
| Emed | 137 | 4797 | TI | － | F | c 248 |
| EMED | 142 | 5623 | TI | $\bigcirc$ | F | 238 |
| Lsax | 141 | 5609 | TI | ova | F | 238 |
| SAXN | 158 | 7109 | TI | ova | G | 252 |


| Per | box | Un | ELem | max | GL1 | Bd | D1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPMED | 6 | 5 | As | ova | 305 | 196 | c 173 |
| LPMED | 25 | 802 | AS | ova | e 300 | 201 | 154 |
| L.PMED | 22 | 684 | AS | $\bigcirc$ | 303 |  | 166 |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | AS | OVA | 249 | c 166 | 41 |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | AS | ova | 138 | 162 |  |
| EPMED | 6 | 3 | AS | ova | 291 | 191 | 162 |
| EPMED | 20 | 575 | AS | ova | 261 | 167 | 141 |
| LMED | 16 | 400 | AS | ova | 273 | 178 | 154 |
| LMED | 34 | 1488 | As | ova | 273 | 169 | 145 |
| MMED | ${ }^{20}$ | 516 | AS | ova | 258 | 158 | 147 |
| MMED | 22 | 688 | As | ova | 273 | 185 | 153 |
| MMED | 30 | 1205 | AS | ova | 258 | 158 | 143 |
| MMED | 25 | 853 | AS | ova | 253 | 165 | 141 |
| MMED | 26 | 915 | AS | ova | 280 | 180 | 144 |
| MMED | 108 | 6375 | AS | ova | 275 | 177 | 147 |
| MMED | 111 | 6472 | AS | $\bigcirc$ | 267 | 164 | 150 |
| MMED | 85 | 6242 | AS | 0 |  | 177 |  |
| MMED | 78 | 6115 | AS | 0 | 277 | 182 | 157 |
| EMED | 120 | 6552 | AS | 0 | 274 |  | 154 |
| EMED | 43 | 1723 | AS | ova | 257 | 170 | 147 |
| EMED | 151 | 7002 | AS | ova | 276 | 177 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | CA | OVA | F | 546 |
| EPMED | 17 | 431 | CA | OVA | F | 534 |
| EPMED | 20 | 576 | CA | OVA | F | 538 |
| EPMED | 7 | 4 | CA | OVA | F | 542 |
| EPMED | 20 | 575 | CA | OVA | F | 502 |
| LMED | 19 | 564 | CA | OVA | F | 516 |
| MMED | 23 | 712 | CA | OVA | F | 506 |
| EMED | 10 | 148 | CA | OVA | F | 504 |
| EMED | 116 | 6530 | CA | OVA | F | 538 |
| EMED | 95 | 4331 | CA | OVA | F | 582 |


| PER | box | un | ELEM | tax | FUS | GL | вd | Dd | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 17 | 431 | MT | Ova | F |  | 221 | 148 |  |
| LMED | 17 | 447 | MT | ova | F |  | 239 | 168 |  |
| MMED | 20 | 614 | MT | ova | F |  | 245 | 157 |  |
| MMED | 39 | 1635 | MT | ova | F | 1360 | 247 | 162 | 127 |
| MMED | 36 | 1544 | MT | ova | F |  | c 236 | 149 |  |
| MMED | 38 | 1617 | MT | ova | F | 1181 | 229 | 141 | 113 |
| MMED | 35 | 1525 | MT | OVA | F | c 1230 | 226 |  |  |
| MMED | 21 | 640 | MT | ova | F | 1293 | 228 | 151 | 112 |
| MMED | 108 | 6264 | MT | ova | F | 1385 | 236 | 156 | 124 |
| EMED | 155 | 7078 | MT | ova | F |  | 216 | 147 | 105 |
| EMED | 148 | 6993 | MT | ova | F | 1348 | 219 | 159 | 103 |
| EMED | 99 | 4388 | MT | ova | F | c 1267 | 238 | 156 | 116 |
| EMED | 157 | 7105 | MT | ova | F |  | c 219 |  | c 103 |
| EMED | 142 | 5623 | MT | ova | F | 1296 | c 222 | c 140 | 106 |
| EMED | 151 | 7014 | MT | ova | F | 1220 | 219 | 146 | 105 |


| 号 |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 等 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { \％}}$ | $E$ | $\stackrel{\text { ² }}{\sim}$ |
| 害 |  |  |  |
| 易 |  |  |  |
| 品 |  |  |  |
| 近 |  |  |  |
| \％ | 的 楌 m |  | 4．M40000000 |
| \％ | nosomonomes | conomacosion |  |
| 总 |  |  |  |
| 弓 |  |  |  |
| 参 |  |  | \％¢xine |
| 曾 |  |  |  |


| PER | box | UN | ELEM | taX | fuS | SLC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 123 | 6578 | sc | EQ |  | 520 |  |  |
| LMED | 81 | 6164 | SC | Eq |  | 500 |  |  |
| LMED | 17 | 447 | SC | EQ | $F$ | 555 |  |  |
| LMED | 83 | 6197 | SC | ${ }_{50}$ | F | 479 |  |  |
| MMED | 58 | 4018 | sc | $\mathrm{BQ}^{2}$ |  | 533 |  |  |
| MMED | 23 | 759 | SC | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ |  | 601 |  |  |
| MMED | 36 | 1544 | sc | ${ }^{2}$ | F | 558 |  |  |
| MMED | 26 | 968 | SC | E0 | F | 489 |  |  |
| MMED | 108 | 6389 | SC | EQ | F | 601 |  |  |
| MMED | 85 | 6263 | SC | EQ | F | 445 |  |  |
| EMED | 70 | 5038 | Sc | EC |  | 609 |  |  |
| EMED | 128 | 6735 | sc | EQ | F | 612 |  |  |
| LSAX | 162 | 7287 | sc | EQ |  | 540 |  |  |
| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | gL | вт | HTC |
| Epmed | 84 | 6203 | Hu | Eq | $F$ |  | 586 | 328 |
| LMED | 19 | 549 | Hu | EQ | F |  | e 640 | 326 |
| LMED | 12 | 249 | HU | EQ | ${ }^{4}$ |  | c 665 | 354 |
| LMED | 34 | 1498 | HU | ${ }_{\text {EP }}$ | H |  | 697 | 344 |
| LMED | 21 | 652 | HU | EQ | H |  | 699 | 348 |
| MMED | 48 | 3018 | HU | EQ | F |  | 601 | 302 |
| MMED | 76 | 3092 | HU | EQ | F |  |  | c 340 |
| MMED | 72 | 5072 | HU | EQ | H |  |  | 328 |
| MMED | 18 | ${ }_{472}^{474}$ | HU | EQ | H |  |  | 362 |
| MMED | 82 | 6174 | HU | EQ | H |  | c 741 | 366 |
| MMED | 88 | 6344 | HU | E8 | H |  |  | c 347 |
| EMED | 107 | 4556 | HU | $\mathrm{EP}^{\text {e }}$ | F |  | e 612 | 343 |
| EMED | 31 | 1246 | HU | ER | ${ }_{F}^{\text {F }}$ |  | 738 | 401 |
| EMED | 51 | 3099 | HU | Eq | F | 2693 | 599 | 338 394 |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}$ | 117 152 | 6540 7054 | HU | ${ }_{\text {EC }}^{\text {ER }}$ | F |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { c } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}394 \\ 352 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| EMED | 103 | 4458 | HU | EQ | F |  | 654 | 330 |
| EMED | 122 | 6572 | HU | EQ | $\stackrel{H}{4}$ |  |  | 388 |
| EMED | 30 | 1190 | ни | Eq | UE |  | 539 | 327 |
| PER | Box | UN | ELEM | tax | FUS | GL | Bd |  |
| EPMED | 80 | 6141 | RA | EQ | F | 3427 |  |  |
| LMED | 35 | 1526 | RA | EQ | F | c 3040 |  |  |
| LMED | 30 | 1209 | RA | EQ | F | 3107 |  |  |
| LMED | 77 | 6006 | RA | ${ }^{\text {EQ }}$ | F | 3173 | 696 |  |
| MMED | 21 | 632 | RA | ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | F | 3257 |  |  |
| MMED | 31 | 1251 | RA | Ee | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  | C 626 |  |
| MMED | 86 | 6263 | RA | EC | G | 3240 |  |  |
| EMED | 34 | 1469 | RA | EQ | F | 2955 | 560 |  |
| EMED | 120 | 6549 | ${ }^{\text {RA }}$ | EP | F | 2961 | 635 |  |
| EMED | 150 | 7001 | RA | B0 | F | 3146 |  |  |
| EMED | 98 | 4377 | RA | E0 | F | 3404 |  |  |
| EMED | 10 | \% 218 | ${ }_{\text {RA }}$ | ${ }_{\text {E }}^{\text {E }}$ | F |  | c 6988 |  |
| EMED | 143 | 5623 | RA | EQ | F | 3096 | 649 |  |


| PER | Box | UN | ELEM | tax | FUS | GL | Bd | Dd | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 80 | 6149 | Mc | EQ | F |  | 457 | 344 |  |
| EPMED | 80 | 6141 | MC | EQ | F | 2064 | 449 | 320 |  |
| LMED | 12 | 249 | MC | EQ | F |  | 431 |  |  |
| LMED | 21 | 650 | MC | EQ | F |  | 458 | 360 |  |
| LMED | 30 | 1209 | MC | EQ | F | 1997 | 452 | 339 | 311 |
| LMED | 77 | 5007 | MC | EQ | F | 2098 | 459 | 336 | 299 |
| MMED | 17 | 449 | MC | EQ | F |  | 480 | c 353 |  |
| MMED | 35 | 1528 | MC | EQ | F |  | 432 | 319 |  |
| MMED | 23 | 760 | MC | EQ | F | 2170 | 482 | 330 | 297 |
| MMED | 88 | 6397 | Mc | EQ | F | 2116 | 431 |  | 306 |
| MMED | 83 | 6196 | MC | EQ | F | 2050 | 447 | 335 | 304 |
| MMED | 49 | 3051 | MC | EQ | F |  | 543 | 400 |  |
| EMED | 24 | 783 | MC | EQ | F |  | c 445 |  |  |
| EMED | 135 | 4613 | MC | EQ | F | c 2050 | 442 | 327 | 292 |
| EMED | 28 | 1075 | MC | EQ | F |  | 451 | c 354 |  |
| EMED | 118 | 6542 | MC | EQ | F | 2183 | 460 |  | 301 |
| EMED | 46 | 2036 | MC | EQ | F | 2024 | 456 | 328 | 305 |
| EMED | 121 | 6553 | MC | EQ | F | 2261 | 497 | 367 | 332 |
| LSAX | 129 | 6762 | MC | EQ | F | 1971 | 439 | 317 | 289 |
| SAXN | 158 | 7109 | MC | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ | F | 2099 | c 446 |  | 310 |


| PER | Box | un | ELEM | tax | FUS | Lar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 123 | 6578 | PE | EQ | F | 558 |
| LMED | 30 | 1209 | PE | EQ | F | 556 |
| MMED | 35 | 1528 | PE | EQ |  | 541 |
| MMED | 18 | 472 | PE | EQ |  | 561 |
| MMED | 38 | 1578 | PE | EQ | F | 498 |
| MMED | 85 | 6252 | PE | EQ | F | 539 |
| MMED | 108 | 6383 | PE | EQ | F | 576 |
| MMED | 78 | 6044 | PE | EQ | F | 573 |
| EMED | 129 | 6543 | PE | EQ | F | 588 |
| EMED | 68 | 5008 | Ps | EQ | F | 567 |
| EMED | 68 | 5008 | PE | ${ }_{\text {E }}$ | F | 589 |
| EMED | 154 | 7074 | Ps | EQ | F | 607 |
| EMED | 34 | 1469 | Ps | EQ | F | 552 |
| EMED | 76 | 5233 | PE | EQ | F | 561 |
| EMED | 42 | 1676 | ${ }^{\text {PE }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Eq }}$ |  | 630 |
| EMED | 111 | 6481 | PE | EQ | F | 562 |
| EMED | 146 | 6913 | PE | EQ | F | 584 |


| PER | box | un | elem | tax | FUS | GL | Bd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 11 | 222 | TI | EQ | F |  | 654 |
| EPMED | 15 | 350 | T | EQ | F | 3180 |  |
| EPMED | 82 | 6168 | TI | EQ | F |  | 707 |
| LMED | 34 | 1479 | TI | EQ | F |  | 721 |
| LMED | 20 | 593 | TI | EQ | F |  | 610 |
| LMED | 80 | 6142 | TI | EQ | F |  | 620 |
| LMED | 81 | 6164 | TI | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | F |  | 662 |
| MMED | 25 | 828 | TI | EQ | F |  | 674 |
| MMED | 39 | 1635 | TI | EQ | F |  | 700 |
| MMED | 36 | 1543 | TI | EQ | F |  | 655 |
| MMED | 35 | 1525 | TI | EQ | F |  | 673 |
| MMED | 35 | 1515 | TI | EQ | F |  | 712 |
| MMED | 21 | 677 | TI | EQ | F |  | 714 |
| MMED | 25 | 881 | TI | EQ | F | 2989 | 593 |
| MMED | 30 | 1191 | TI | EQ | F |  | 686 |
| MMED | 66 | 4248 | TI | EQ | F |  | C 662 |
| MMED | 44 | 1754 | TI | EQ | F |  | 607 |
| EMED | 34 | 1469 | TI | EQ | F | 3277 | 671 |
| EMED | 27 | 1047 | TI | EQ | F | 3259 | 696 |
| EMED | 102 | 4447 | TI | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | F |  | 608 |
| EMED | 156 | 7089 | TI | E Q | F | 3265 | 632 |
| EMED | 120 | 5552 | TI | EQ | F |  | 741 |
| EMED | 140 | 5606 | TI | EQ | F |  | 662 |
| EMED | 45 | 2007 | TI | EQ | UE |  | 642 |
| LSAX | 129 | 6762 | TI | EQ | F | 3172 | e 630 |


| PER | Box | UN | eLem | tax | GH | gв | BFd | LmT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | AS | EQ | 569 | c 586 | 510 | 564 |
| EPMED | 7 | , | ${ }^{\text {AS }}$ | EQ | 588 | 588 | 494 | 584 |
| EPMED | 84 | 6206 | AS | EQ | 510 | 553 | 479 | 545 |
| LMED | 12 | 249 | AS | EQ | 465 | 485 | 416 | 465 |
| LMED | 30 | 1209 | AS | EQ | 544 | 577 | 483 | 544 |
| LMED | 62 | 4164 | AS | Eq | 537 | 589 | 515 | 556 |
| LMED | 79 | 6138 | AS | EQ | 554 | 565 | 474 | 571 |
| ${ }_{\text {MMED }}$ | ${ }_{91}^{22}$ | 696 4277 | ${ }_{\text {AS }}$ | EQ | 553 | 599 | 530 445 | 571 487 |
| MMED | 92 | 4282 | ${ }_{\text {AS }}^{\text {AS }}$ | EQ | 475 | 501 | 445 | 487 |
| MMED | 61 | 4158 | AS | EQ | 536 | 560 | 463 | 559 |
| MMED | 38 | 1578 | AS | EQ | 514 | 555 | 501 | 513 |
| MMED | 26 | 881 | as | EQ | 471 | 512 | 445 | c 482 |
| MMED | 87 | 6334 | AS | EQ | 575 | 590 | 524 | 573 |
| MMED | 63 | 4216 | AS | EQ | 538 | 579 | 497 | 548 |
| EMED | 34 | 1469 | AS | EQ | 541 | 566 | 452 | 557 |
| EMED | 45 | 2007 | AS | EQ | 573 | 552 | 475 | 563 |
| EMED | 49 | 3056 | ${ }^{\text {as }}$ | EQ | 510 | 571 | 458 | 542 |
| EMED | 155 | 7077 | as | EQ |  |  | 468 |  |
| EMED | 38 | 1594 | AS | EQ | 574 | 559 | 506 | 561 |
| EMED | 145 | 6905 | AS | EQ | c 578 | 610 | 481 | C 592 |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | fus | GL | Bd | Dd | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | mт | EQ | F |  | 464 | 378 | 283 |
| EPMED | 18 | 466 | MT | EQ | F |  | 470 |  |  |
| EPMED | 82 | 6168 | mT | EQ | F | 2617 |  |  |  |
| LMED | 21 | 650 | MT | Eq | F |  | e 485 |  |  |
| LMED | 30 | 1209 | MT | EQ | F |  | 482 | 367 |  |
| LMED | 23 | 761 | MT | EQ | F |  | 447 | 347 | 281 |
| LMED | 18 | 475 | MT | EQ | F | 2428 | 412 | 334 | 260 |
| LMED | 78 | 6127 | MT | EQ | F | 2564 | 466 | 372 | 285 |
| LMED | 78 | 6127 | ${ }_{\text {MT }}$ | EQ | F | c 2548 | 461 | 362 | 272 |
| LMED | 79 | 6138 | MT | EQ | F |  | 439 |  |  |
| MMED | 29 | 1135 | MT | EQ | F |  | 462 | c 350 |  |
| MMED | 33 | 1396 | MT | Eq | F | 2572 | 464 | 347 | 282 |
| MMED | 19 | 504 | MT | ${ }^{\text {EQ }}$ | F | c 244 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 38 | 1590 | MT | EQ | F |  | 441 | 348 |  |
| MMED | 63 | 4215 | MT | Eq | F | 2497 | 475 | 347 | 306 |
| EMED | 34 | 1469 | MT | Eq | F | 2531 | 448 | 351 | 282 |
| EMED | 45 | 2007 | ${ }_{\text {MT }}$ | EQ | F |  | 433 |  |  |
| EmED | 28 | 1066 | MT | EQ | F |  | 472 | 368 |  |
| Emed | 27 | 1047 | MT | EQ | F |  | C 461 | c 363 |  |
| EmED | 27 | 1047 | MT | EQ | F | 2530 | 464 | 358 |  |
| Emed | 76 | 3096 | MT | EQ | F |  | 502 | 381 |  |
| EMED | 120 | 6551 | ${ }^{\text {MT }}$ | EQ | F | 2494 |  |  | 247 |
| EMED | 124 | 6603 | mT | EQ | F |  | 491 | 382 |  |
| EMED | 28 | 1075 | MT | EQ | ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | 2640 | 451 | 350 | 234 |
| LSAX | 129 | 6762 | MT | ${ }_{\text {EQ }}$ | F | 2354 | -436 | c 330 | 266 |
| SAXN | 148 | 7109 | MT | EQ | F | 2621 | 452 | 365 | 301 |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | Bd | Dd |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LPMED | 15 | 347 | MP | EQ | F | e 420 |  |
| EPMED | 9 | 70 | MP | EQ | F | C 420 |  |
| LMED | 22 | 685 | MP | EQ | F | 466 |  |
| LMED | 14 | 309 | MP | EQ | F | 418 | 418 |
| LMED | 14 | 343 | MP | EQ | F | C 464 | 341 |
| EMED | 43 | 1711 | MP | EQ | F | 477 | 379 |
| EMED | 122 | 6573 | MP | EQ | F | 511 | 365 |



| PER | B0X | UN | BLEM | TAX | fus | GL | Bd | Dd | HTC | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMED | 151 | 7008 | Hu | CEE | F |  |  |  | 274 |  |
| SAXN | 157 | 7109 | MT | CEE | F | 2913 | c 389 | c 267 |  | 207 |


| PSR | 30x | un | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL | Bd | HTC | LAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMED | 12 | 245 | нu | LeE | F |  | 123 | 68 |  |
| MMED | 17 | 443 | HU | ${ }^{\text {LE }}$ | F |  | 122 | 68 |  |
| EMED | 116 | 6538 | Hu | LeE | F |  |  | 66 |  |
| EMED | 148 | 6995 | Hu | LEE | F |  | 131 | 65 |  |
| EPMED | 16 | 390 | RA | Le | F | 1023 |  |  |  |
| LMED | 16 | 400 | PE | LE | F |  |  |  | 125 |
| LMED | 21 | 652 | PE | LE | F |  |  |  | 124 |
| LMED | 16 | 400 | TI | LE | F | c 1383 | 150 |  |  |
| LMED | 81 | 6158 | TI | LE | F |  | 156 |  |  |
| MMED | 82 | 6183 | TI | LE | F |  | 164 |  |  |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | Bd | HTC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMED | 78 | 6116 | HU | ORC | F | 93 | 46 |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL | Bd | LAR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MMED | 18 | 477 | PE | RA | F |  |  |  |
| EMMD | 117 | 6540 | FE | RA | G | 362 | 67 | 36 |
| EMED | 117 | 6540 | FE | RA | G | c 352 | 56 |  |
| EMED | 118 | 6540 | TI | RA | F | 395 |  |  |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | LAR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMED | 79 | 6136 | PE | ART | F | 39 |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | Bd | HTC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LPMED | 76 | 6000 | HU | RAV | F | 63 | 16 |
| LMED | 79 | 6131 | TI | RAV | F | 38 |  |
| LMED | 64 | 4224 | TI | RAV | F | 40 |  |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAS | FUS | GL | Bd | Dd |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAXN | 158 | 7109 | FE | CAS | F | e 1180 | 420 | 281 |


| PER | Box | un | Elem | TAX | FUS | GI | 3d |  | HTC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMED | 11 | 243 | Hu | CAF | F |  | 279 | c | 99 |
| MMED | 65 | 4244 | HU | CAF | F |  | 253 |  | 89 |
| MMED | 92 | 4302 | HU | CAF | F |  | 408 |  | 160 |
| MMED | 76 | 3098 | HU | CAF | F |  | 317 |  | 128 |
| EMED | 51 | 3100 | HU | CAF | F |  | 303 |  | 122 |
| EMED | 148 | 6995 | HU | CAF | F |  | 324 |  | 123 |
| EMED | 98 | 4370 | HU | CAF | F |  | 278 |  | 108 |
| EMED | 120 | 6552 | HU | CAF | F | 1399 | 253 |  | 196 |
| LMED | 17 | 425 | CA | CAF | F | 401 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 49 | 3051 | CA | CAF | F | 434 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 148 | 6995 | CA | CAF | F | 448 |  |  |  |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL | Bd | LAR | SLC |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MMED | 62 | 4165 | PE | CAF | F |  |  | 235 |  |
| MMBD | 76 | 3098 | PE | CAF | F |  |  | 212 |  |
| EMED | 51 | 3100 | PE | CAF | F |  |  | 201 |  |
| EMED | 98 | 4370 | RA | CAF | F | 1514 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 30 | 1200 | SC | CAF | F |  |  |  | 296 |
| MMD | 149 | 6995 | SC | CAF | F |  |  |  | 241 |
| EMED | 46 | 2037 | SC | CAF | F |  |  |  | 234 |
| EPMED | 13 | 268 | TI | CAF | F |  | 224 |  |  |
| MMD | 48 | 3016 | TI | CAF | F |  | 225 |  |  |
| EMMD | 148 | 6995 | TI | CAF | F |  |  |  |  |
| EMMD | 126 | 6643 | TI | CAF | F |  | 142 |  |  |
| EMMD | 138 | 4896 | TI | CAF | F |  | 162 |  |  |
| EMED | 123 | 6588 | TI | CAF | G |  | 245 |  |  |


| PER | 30x | UN | EM | TaX | FUS | GL | вd | HTC | LAR | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPMED | 7 | 4 | sc | FBC | F |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| ${ }_{\text {LMED }}$ | ${ }_{36}^{17}$ | 1505 | Sc | ${ }_{\text {PBC }}^{\text {PBC }}$ | ${ }_{F}^{\text {F }}$ |  |  |  |  | 102 |
| MMED | 111 | 6476 | sc | ${ }_{\text {FBC }}$ | ${ }_{\text {F }}$ |  |  |  |  | 109 |
| LMED | 28 | 1058 | Hu | FEC | F |  | 157 | 51 |  |  |
| LMED | 16 | 402 | HU | ${ }^{\text {PrC }}$ | F |  | 176 | 56 |  |  |
| LMED | 29 | 1153 | HU | FEC | F |  | 173 | 61 |  |  |
| MMED | 25 | 837 | Hu | $\stackrel{\text { PrC }}{ }$ | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 779 | 141 | 50 |  |  |
| EMED | 10 | 148 | Hu | ${ }_{\text {FPC }}$ | ${ }_{\text {F }}$ |  | 1149 | 52 |  |  |
| EMED | ${ }^{68}$ | 5008 | HU | $\stackrel{\text { FBC }}{ }$ | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  | 147 | ${ }_{53}^{49}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMSD }}$ | 99 | 4388 | Hu | ${ }_{\text {PBCC }}$ | ${ }_{\text {F }}^{\text {F }}$ |  | ${ }_{173}^{163}$ | 53 61 |  |  |
| EMED | 115 | 6524 | HU | PBC | F |  |  | 57 |  |  |
| EMED | 115 | 6526 | Hu | FBC | F |  | 158 | 55 |  |  |
| EMED | 125 | 6527 | Hu | ${ }_{\text {PBC }}$ | F |  | 165 | 57 |  |  |
| EMED | 142 | 5623 | H | ${ }^{\text {PEC }}$ | F |  | 144 | 47 |  |  |
| EMED | 125 | 6627 | Hu | FBC | F |  |  | 53 |  |  |
| SAXN | 97 | 4359 | HU | FEC | F | 840 | 159 | 51 |  |  |
| Lsax | 137 | 4795 | $\mathrm{PE}^{\text {P }}$ | FEC | F |  |  |  | 103 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {EMED }}$ | 102 | 4447 | ${ }^{\text {PE }}$ | ${ }^{\text {PBC }}$ | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 917 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | ${ }^{30}$ | 1259 | TI | ${ }_{\text {PBC }}$ | ${ }_{\text {F }}$ |  | ${ }_{123}$ |  |  |  |
| EMED | ${ }_{28}$ | 1076 | $\mathrm{TI}^{\text {r }}$ | FBC | ${ }_{F}$ | 1011 | 132 |  |  |  |
| EMBD | ${ }_{28}^{28}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1076 \\ & 1076 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{CA}}{\mathrm{AS}}$ | ${ }_{F B C}^{F B C}$ | F | $\begin{gathered} 162 \\ 260 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |



| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | FUS | GL | Bd | HTC | SD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EMRD | 49 | 3066 | HU | MUX | F | 284 | 62 | 18 | 21 |
| EMED | 95 | 4339 | TI | MUX | F | 324 | 43 |  |  |



| PER | box | un | blem | tax | GL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMED | 14 | 321 | TI | AMP | 343 |
| LMED | 79 | 6136 | TI | AMP | 323 |
| EMED | 113 | 6500 | FE | AMP | 308 |


| PER | BOX | UN | ELEM | TAX | SPUR | GL | Bd | SC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EMED | 139 | 4921 | MT | GAG | S |  | 133 | 53 |
| EMED | 139 | 4921 | MT | GAG | S | 760 | 130 | 64 |


| PER | box | un | Elem | tax | Spur | GL | Bd | Dd | sc | La |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMED | 78 | 6127 | HU | GnP |  | 623 | 154 |  | 59 |  |
| MMED | 20 | 602 | HU | GNP |  |  | c 149 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 36 | 1546 | Hu | GNP |  | e 620 |  |  |  |  |
| MMED | 38 | 1585 | Hu | GNP |  |  |  |  | 61 |  |
| MMED | 25 | 853 | HU | GNP |  | 661 | 145 |  | 73 |  |
| MMED | 21 | 632 | HU | GNP |  | 701 | 150 |  | 62 |  |
| MMED | 32 | 1315 | HU | GNP |  |  | 137 |  | 61 |  |
| EMED | 40 | 1645 | HU | GNP |  | 672 | 141 |  | 62 |  |
| EMED | 76 | 3094 | HU | GNP |  | 656 | 150 |  | 65 |  |
| EMED | 118 | 6540 | HU | GNP |  | 634 | 137 |  | 62 |  |
| EMED | 133 | 4575 | Hu | GNP |  | 648 | 139 |  | 66 |  |
| EMED | 111 | 6466 | нu | GNP |  | 706 | 159 |  | 69 |  |
| MMED | 22 | 687 | FE | GNP |  |  | 138 |  |  |  |
| MMED | 14 | 339 | FE | GNP |  |  | 146 | 133 |  |  |
| LSAX | 105 | 4494 | FE | GNP |  |  | 105 | 84 |  |  |
| EPMED | 11 | ${ }_{2} 22$ | TI | GNP |  |  | 108 | 114 | 57 | 972 |
| EPMED | 13 | 296 | TI | GNP |  |  | 123 | 125 |  |  |
| LMED | 14 | 343 | TI | GNP |  |  | 109 | 113 |  |  |
| LMED | 83 | 6199 | TI | GNP |  |  | 111 | c 106 |  |  |
| MMED | 37 | 1554 | TI | GNP |  |  | 97 | 102 | 53 |  |
| MMED | 36 | 1543 | TI | GNP |  |  | 99 | 102 |  | 917 |
| EMED | 33 | 1418 | TI | GNP |  | 1155 | 120 | 120 |  | 1111 |
| EMED | 26 | 980 | TI | GNP |  |  | 108 | 108 | 51 |  |
| EMED | 48 | 3032 | TI | GNP |  |  | 102 | 108 |  |  |
| EMED | 31 | 1245 | TI | GNP |  | 1045 | 107 | 115 | 60 | 1015 |
| EMED | 40 | 1657 | TI | GNP |  |  | 116 | 121 | 63 |  |
| EMED | 100 | 4392 | TI | GNP |  |  | 104 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 13 | 292 | TI | GNP |  |  | 113 | 127 | 67 |  |
| EmEd | 138 | 4884 | TI | GNP |  | c 1015 |  |  | 54 |  |
| EMED | 114 | 6509 | TI | GnP |  | c 1002 | 101 | 110 | 53 | 977 |
| EMED | 114 | 6517 | TI | GNP |  |  | 102 | 97 |  |  |
| EMED | 104 | 4475 | TI | GNP |  |  | 132 | 137 | 71 |  |
| EMED | 96 | 4358 | TI | GNP |  | 1108 | 117 | 123 | 64 |  |
| EMED | 139 | 4921 | TI | GNP |  | 1150 | 118 | 122 | 62 | 1106 |
| EMED | 96 | 4357 | TI | GNP |  |  | 111 | c 101 |  |  |
| LSAX | 42 | 1681 | TI | GNP |  |  | 101 | 105 |  |  |
| SAXN | 97 | 4359 | TI | GNP |  |  | 92 | 101 |  |  |
| MMED | 21 | 676 | MT | GNP |  | 715 | 57 |  |  |  |
| EMED | 26 | 939 | MT | GNP | A | 679 |  |  | 57 |  |
| EMED | 125 | 6629 | MT | GNP |  |  | 118 |  |  |  |


| E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| u |  |  |
| \％ |  |  |
| 汤 |  |  |
| 붕 |  |  |
| 总 |  | atasusacas |
| ${ }^{\times}$ |  |  |
| 省 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |
| 呂 |  | の¢¢ |
| 总 |  |  |




| E |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| u | ¢゙® | ずずから品 | $\mathfrak{m m}$ |  |
| 砣 |  | \％\％io |  | Fmonnoinio m |
| \％ | － | の |  |  |
| 匂 | 5 ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 「ツMyy | 出 | ®® |
| $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ | 88888888888 | 888888 | 88 | 888888888 |
| 鿬 |  | 思疄思思罗罗 | 妾岳 |  |
| 3 | － | ホ | ¢ |  |
| 免 |  | Nmanionm | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |
| 苟 |  <br>  |  | 畄嘪 |  |



## \#
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## Centre for Archaeology

The Centre for Archaeology
was formed by the merger of the Ancient Monuments Laboratory and the Central Archaeology Service. Its strength lies in the breadth and diversity of specialisms that it includes, and in the integration of these skills in a wide range of projects.
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[^0]:    * A small number of prehistoric bones was also studied and will be dealt with elsewhere.

