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## RESEARCH ARCHIVE REPORT FOR EXCAVATIONS OFF BROADLANDS, PETERBOROUGH, CAMBRIDGESHIRE

## 1 INTROUDUCTION

1.1 This report comprises the research archive for excavations off Broadlands, Peterborough, Cambridgeshire (NGR TF 2142 0001) (Fig 1), carried out in four stages by Archaeological Solutions Ltd between 1998 and 2006 (Fig 2). It has been compiled in accordance with EH MAP 2, Section 7 and Appendix 6. It follows the evaluation report (Vaughan 1998) ${ }^{1}$, interim site narratives (Vaughan and Last 1999; Crank and Ralph 2001; Hounsell 2002; Hallybone and Nicholson 2006) and post excavation assessment and updated project design (Nicholson 2006), and anticipates the publication report. It supersedes the grey report written on the completion of Stage III excavation (Wotherspoon 2003).
1.2 Part I of the report comprises the analytical reports which have arisen from post-excavation research. This is supported by Part II, in which the relevant catalogues and other records are presented, as well as by photographs taken/ illustrations drawn during finds analysis (Plates; Figs 31-32) and plan/ section drawings (Figs 2-30).

## PART I ANALYTICAL REPORTS

## 2 SITE NARRATIVE

### 2.1 Overview

The investigations revealed five phases of activity, identified on the basis of datable artefacts, stratigraphic relationships and spatial/ functional associations. The earliest features at the site were middle Bronze Age boundary ditches (Phase 1), though there is very sparse evidence to hint at a Neolithic presence prior to this. The main period of activity at the site was the late Bronze Age/ early Iron Age (Phase 2); features of this date include a stockyard, water-holes and a crouched burial. Although some isolated Phase 2 features may extend into the middle Iron Age, there was a clear hiatus in activity following this period of pastoral activity. Phase 3 dates to the late Iron Age and comprises only a small cluster of pits; early Romano-British activity (Phase 4) activity followed on directly from this and included pits cutting their Phase 3 predecessors. The main elements of the Phase 4 site were a square enclosure (probably used for livestock) and a significant boundary ditch, alongside which ran a gully from which a votive deposit of cattle bone was recovered.

A similar deposit model was identified in all four stages of the investigation (see Section 4.1); this comprised recent deposits and topsoil overlying a palaeosol which sealed all Phase 1 to 4 features and the vast majority of the site's undated features. In Stages I-III of the investigation only the B horizon of the palaeosol was present; in the southern part of the Stage IV area only, its A horizon (which had been subjected to

[^0]alluvial aggradation from over bank flooding) was also present (French in Vaughan 1998). The palaeosol sealed natural deposits of yellowish orange silty sand with gravel, into which Phase 1 to 4 features were cut.

The final pre-modern phase of activity (Phase 5) at the site comprised two large pits and a small oven, all of which cut the palaeosol, dating to the late $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ century AD.

In addition to the phased archaeological features, a number of undated features, sealed by the palaeosol, and a few modern features were identified (see Sections 4.11 to 17).

### 2.2 Possible early activity

The earliest features to be securely dated by their artefact content were those assigned to Phase 2 (late Bronze Age/ early Iron Age; see Section 2.4). However, a series of ditches have been dated to the middle Bronze Age (Phase 1) on the grounds of a probable relationship to features at an adjacent site (see Section 2.3), and there are hints of still earlier (Neolithic) activity at the site.

Later Neolithic activity is well attested at the adjacent Edgerley Drain Road site (Beadsmoore 2005), c. 300m east of the Stage IV excavation area (see Fig 1), by tree hollows and by pits containing grooved ware pottery sherds and flint working waste. Tree hollows and pits containing Neolithic worked flint and a possible sherd of Peterborough ware pottery were identified in an evaluation south of this site (Morris and Mudd 2001). Irregular features in the Stage I and IV excavation areas at Broadlands are thought to be tree hollows (Sections 4.12, 4.15 and 4.16), but the chronological relationship between tree-clearance and early features at the site could not be discerned. A single tree hollow (F4498) contained large flint scrapers, considered typical of the middle Neolithic, which may be indicative of its date and not residual. Other flint indicative of Neolithic activity in the area was recovered residually in later contexts, notably in Phase 2 Pit F4562.

One of the five undated structures at the site (Stage I, trench 11) differed markedly from the other four (see Section 2.8) in construction, being represented by two slots and three postholes in a sub-circular configuration (max. external diameter 1.87 m ), with a further posthole offset c. 0.6 m to the south-west (Fig 12; Undated Structure 5). No finds were recovered from the features of this structure, though a few small flecks of charcoal, some identified as oak, were recovered from a sample taken from Slot F2040.

It was commented at the time of excavation that this structure may have been of Neolithic date (B. Robinson, pers. comm. to Vaughan and Last 1999), but it as not been possible to prove this. The only Neolithic structures identified in the Fengate area to date (at Padholme Road and Cat's Water) are thought to have had ritual/ mortuary functions (Pryor 2001, 49). Undated Structure 5 did not resemble either of these in dimensions (they were much larger) or configuration. Though an apparently domestic Neolithic structure (not resembling Undated Structure 5) has been identified at Over (Pollard 1998), it is likely that settlement of this period in lowland Britain is represented archaeologically by informal groupings of features with no clear patterns to denote spatially discrete houses (Thomas 1991, 15; Pryor 2001, 49). A Neolithic
date for Undated Structure 5 does not seem likely, and all that can be said with any confidence is that it predated the formation of the palaeosol in the late $2^{\text {nd }} /$ early $3^{\text {rd }}$ centuries AD. The function of this building, which is very small, remains unknown.

### 2.3 Phase 1: middle Bronze Age land divisions

(Feature and context descriptions: Section 4.2)
Four parallel linear ditches (F4320, F4338, F4463/ F4465 and F4293) in the Stage IV excavation area represent activity in the middle Bronze Age (Figs 2 and 6). The Phase 1 ditches had similar profiles, with moderate sides and concave bases, but F4338 and F4463 were generally wider than F4320 and F4293; they varied in depth along their lengths (Fig 13). The ditches typically contained a single fill per excavated segment, generally a loose silty sand, though with a small number of more clayey deposits present. These were generally of light to mid shade, though of varying colour (greyish brown, brown, bluish brown and bluish grey deposits all being present), with red or orange mottling identified in some segments. Small amounts of animal bone were recovered from F4320 and F4328 during the excavation, and two pieces of struck flint from F4463 (=EF1040) during the evaluation. Ditch F4320 was the only one to contain charcoal flecks in its fill. With the exception of F4465, a possible (narrower) recut of the northern end of F4463, the ditches showed no evidence of clearing or maintenance.

The approximately north-north-east/ south-south-west alignment of these ditches is parallel to that of the southern end of the middle Bronze Age boundary system at the Edgerley Drain Road site (Beadsmoore 2005), though not to the northern end of this system, which lies c. 200 m due east of the Stage IV area. The ditches at the two sites had similar profiles, though some elements of the Edgerley Drain Road system were both wider and deeper than the Broadlands ditches. The $c .10 \mathrm{~m}$ spacing between F4293 and F4463/ F4465 is narrower than that between the ditches of the southerly droveway at Edgerley Drain Road (c. 15m), and both are significantly wider than the main droveways of the Fengate system (e.g. Ditches 3 and 4, 2-3m; Ditches 8 and 9, 4 m ; Pryor 200143,60 ). It is not claimed that any of the Phase 1 ditches at Broadlands represent droveway edges, rather they are thought to indicate land divisions peripheral to the fen edge system at Edgerley Drain Road.

### 2.4 Phase 2: late Bronze Age to early Iron Age

### 2.4.1 Stock management features

The stockyard: description of features
(Features and context descriptions: Section 4.3)
Phase 2 at Broadlands was dominated by a sub-square ditched enclosure (internal dimensions c. $40 \times 45 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in the Stage III (sprinkler tank) and Stage IV areas (F4286, F4328 (recut as F4311), F4029 (=F4011), F1035 and F1028). The enclosure was aligned almost parallel/ perpendicular to the Phase 1 ditches, though the parallel alignment tended more towards north-west/south-east than had been the case in Phase 1 (see Figs 2, 5 and 6). Two entrances to the enclosure were identified, one $(3.4 \mathrm{~m}$ wide) at the centre of its eastern side and one ( 1.40 m wide) at its south-eastern corner. The stratigraphic relationship between Ditches F1035 and F1028 suggests that in the
later part of its use, the enclosure was unbounded (or incompletely bounded, by gullies F1061 and F1065) on the western part of its northern side (see Figs 2 and 5).

The north and south sides of the enclosure were flanked by additional ditches (F1018, recut as F1016, to the north and F4316 ( $=$ F4033, F4021) to the south), separated from them by gaps of $c .4-6 \mathrm{~m}$. The western edge of the enclosure lay at the boundary of the excavated area, but a similar flanking ditch ran along the southern part of its eastern side. This ditch (F4084) cut and followed the line of Phase 1 Ditch F4320; its northern and southern termini were in line with those of F4328, and it seems likely that its recutting (as Gully F4178 or possibly as a double boundary also incorporating Gully F4071 (=F4076)) was contemporary with the recutting of F4328 as F4311. The courses of the northern and southern flanking ditches beyond the corners of the enclosure remain unknown. F1018 and F1016 did not terminate within the sprinkler tank area, but showed no signs of turning parallel to the corners of the enclosure; the same was true of the western end of F4316 (=F4033, F4021), but its eastern end terminated in line with that of Ditch F4029.

The ditches of the enclosure had similar, moderate to steep sided, flat or concave based, profiles but those within the Stage III (sprinkler tank) area were much narrower and shallower than those in the Stage IV area (see Fig 14). The same pattern was observed in the flanking ditches, though F1018 and F1016 were more substantial than the northern enclosure ditches (compare Figs 14 and 15). The ditches were filled by multiple deposits of light to mid greyish or orangey brown silty clay, though those in F4084 and F4178 tended to be sandier.

Very few finds were recovered from the enclosure ditches, the only datable items being seven small pot sherds from F4328, but Ditch F4316 contained a dumped deposit of large assemblage of late Bronze Age to early Iron Age pottery ( 317 sherds, 1269 g ) and crude daub ( 370 fragments, 2350 g ) in its penultimate fill, just west of its terminus. Much of the pottery in this deposit had been burnt post-firing. The general absence of finds from the ditches is consistent with their interpretation as parts of a sock handling system.

F4412 was located at the south-eastern entrance to the enclosure, between the termini of Ditches F4316 (=F4033, F4021), F4029 and F4328. It is interpreted as a posthole in spite of its great size, and is thought to have held a sizable post. The configuration of its fills suggests that this was removed, and the feature left to silt up for a time, though the presence of two (undated) smaller, consecutive recuts probably indicate that the post was re-erected twice after this. An undated pit and posthole (F4309 and F4253) were located at the eastern entrance to the enclosure; their relationship to it is unknown: they have been contemporary with its construction, a later addition to block this entranceway, or still later cuts, unassociated with its use.

To the south of the south-eastern entrance, undated Ditches F4526 and F4528 ran on the same alignment as F4084 and F4328. Though they may have been contemporary with Phase 1 features, it is also possible that these ditches represent the northern part of the extension of late Bronze Age/ early Iron Age land divisions southward from the stockyard.

There were no datable features present within the enclosure, and the undated pits, gullies and postholes which were sealed by the palaeosol showed no sign of spatial/ functional patterning to suggest any association with the enclosure.

## The stockyard: interpretation of features

The enclosure and its associated features are thought to represent a stockyard, either with a double boundary, or flanked by ditches forming part of a droveway system, extending westwards from the site. If the latter, then the presence of additional ditches to 'partner' F1018/ F1016 and F4316 (=F4033, F4021) as they run westwards from the enclosure is postulated.

Double ditches (and a flanking droveway) are attested at the middle Bronze Age 'community stockyards' at Newark Road, c. 750 m south of Broadlands. This system was earlier and undoubtedly far more complex than that at Broadlands, acting as a focus for trade and social interaction and being positioned at the western landfall of the Flag Fen post alignment (see Pryor 1996, 317; 2001, 415-416). Nonetheless, the principles of its use, and that of the Storey's Bar Road stock-handling system, as explored and discussed by Pryor (1996; 2001, 415-420; 2006 89-109) are of relevance to considerations of how the Broadlands stockyard was used.

The narrow droveways and 'races' around the edges of the yards at Newark Road are thought to have been designed to allow animals to be easily inspected, taking advantage of the tendency of sheep to behave more docilely in restricted spaces; the layout of the entrances/ exits to the droveways and races allowed the animals to be sorted into groups following inspection. The use of two- or three- way drafting gates at strategic points would have allowed animals to be directed into the appropriate enclosures. A feature of this system, but more markedly of the simpler stock handling system at Storey's Bar Road, is the location of entrances at the corners of enclosed spaces, allowing animals to be easily channelled from a larger space into a smaller one.

The spaces between the enclosure and flanking ditches at Broadlands would have formed races, within which animals could be inspected and sorted. Posthole F4413 is thought to have supported a drafting gate, allowing animals to be sorted at this point. The direction in which animals would have been moved is not known. If they approached from the west, between F4316 ( $=$ F4033, F4021) and F4029, they could have been inspected in this confined space and sorted at F4413 into three groups one channelled into the enclosure, another into the space (maybe a second enclosure, bounded to the east by F4526/ F4528) south of the race, and the third into a second race between F4084 and F4328. It is possible that undated Posthole F4253 represents another drafting gate at the eastern entrance to the stockyard.

The water-holes
(Feature and context descriptions: Section 4.4)
Pits F4562 and F4402, located (respectively) c. 54 m south east of and 51 m east of the south-eastern entrance to the stockyard, have been identified as water-holes (Figs 2, 6 and 7). F4562 was exceptionally large, though waterholes of similar size have previously been excavated in the Fengate area (e.g. Pryor 1978, 39), though F4402
was also sizable. In profile, both resembled water-holes from other sites in the Fengate area, having steep or moderately sloping sides and flattish bases; both also contained multiple layered fills (Figs 16 and 17), the lower of which were waterlogged. There was some confusion on-site over the relationship between F4562 and Pit F4208. The latter may have been dug as a smaller replacement for F4562 after it had been filled in, but it is thought more likely that F4208 was a small pit cut into the lower fills of F4562 and sealed by L4209; the upper fills attributed to it are thus considered to be fills of F4562 (see Figs 6, 16 and 17).

Wood was preserved in the waterlogged deposits of both pits. The wood from F4402 was predominantly roundwood, some of it coppiced or trimmed, but pieces of bark, timber debris and a wood chip were also present. The wood from F4562 was also composed mainly of roundwood and debris, but included two partial log ladders. One of these (SF19) was found driven into the base of F4562, to a depth of 0.15 m and leaning at angle of $c .45^{\circ}$ against the side of the feature. It is thought to have been found in the position of its last use, confirming the theory that such ladders were used for access to water in deep water-holes, especially those cut into gravelly deposits (see Section 8.7). The concretion of L4572 and accumulation of clay silt deposits L4572 and L4574 around the broken-off base of SF19 whilst the water-hole was still in use enabled it to remain in situ. The second log ladder (SF26) was found lying horizontally within L4572.

Apart from waterlogged wood, F4562 contained few finds (12 sherds of late Bronze Age/ early Iron Age pottery, a small assemblage of struck flint and a few animal bone fragments). Finds from F4402 were more plentiful, and included three pieces of a (residual) Deverel-Rimbury type bucket urn (Fig 31.1), as well as 36 sherds representing two early Iron Age fine ware vessels. Animal bone from the lowest fill of this water-hole included three fragmented cattle skulls, one of which was substantially complete at the time of excavation.

The presence of water-holes, to provide water for livestock, is consistent with the interpretation of the Phase 2 enclosure as a stockyard.

### 2.4.2 The burial

(Feature and context descriptions: Section 4.5)
A single human burial was identified at Broadlands. The individual, an adolescent or young adult whose sex could not be determined, was buried in a crouched position in a sub circular pit (F4295) on the eastern edge of the Stage IV area, cutting Phase 1 Ditch F4293 (Figs 2, 6 and 8). No items which could be specifically identified as grave goods were identified but the fill of the pit contained a large assemblage (60 sherds) of early Iron Age pottery and two tertiary flint flakes.

The position of the burial is thought to have been marked by a wooden post, the base of which (SF10) was preserved in deposits into which it had been driven, through the base of the pit. Though grave markers like this one may have been used commonly in the early Iron Age, the preservation and recovery of this item is thought to be unique.

In the middle Bronze Age droveways and related features of the Fengate system, human burials occurred in the bases of the large ditches, placed either directly on the
ditch bottom, or in shallow scrapes cut through it (Pryor 1980, 5, 39, 168, 175). Two further crouched burials of similar date, deposited in the same manner, were found in a ring ditch at Storey's Bar Road (Pryor 1978, 34). The Broadlands burial bears little resemblance to these earlier examples, being apparently unassociated with the site's landscape division/ stock management features, and being placed in a deliberately cut (and clearly marked) sub-circular grave. In this respect, it has greater affinities with the six (probable ) middle Iron Age crouched burials at the Cat's Water settlement (Pryor 1984, 116-122).

The position of the burial, $c .100 \mathrm{~m}$ from the stockyard and 440 m from the nearest Phase 2 feature (water-hole F4402), may have been influenced by the presence of a barrow (HER 3002) c. 100 m to its north-north-east. The barrow, known as Herdsman's Hill, had been destroyed by gravel quarrying before 1912, but records indicate that it contained a Beaker period inhumation accompanied by two flint daggers and a quartzite axe hammer. It is one of three barrows known in this area, the other two being located c. 200 m to its north-north-east (HER 50420) and c. 300 m to its north-east (HER 3111).

### 2.4.3 Other Phase 2 features <br> (Feature and context descriptions: Section 4.6)

The remaining Phase 2 features comprised two isolated pits in the north of the site and two in the Stage IV excavation area.

Pit F1013 may post-date other Phase 2 features, as the pottery it contained comprised 11 sherds of an early or middle Iron Age jar. It was located almost 100 m from the stockyard, and is not thought to have been associated with other Phase 2 activity at the site (Figs 2, 4 and 14). Pit F3072 (Figs 2, 5 and 14), located c. 275m north of the stockyard contained a few fragments of prehistoric pottery and an iron knife blade with parallels at Danebury, Hampshire. Charcoal recovered from samples from its two fills has been identified as oak and hazel. Its precise date is not clear, and it may also post-date other Phase 2 activity.

Pits F4112 and F43127 were located in isolation from each other, and from other Phase 2 features, in the Stage IV excavation area (Figs 2 and 6). They had similar dimensions in plan but F 4317 was deeper (up to 0.4 m ) and less regular in both plan and section (See Fig 9). The configuration of fills in F4112 was distinctive: a mound of mid brownish orange firm sandy silt was deposited in the centre of the pit (L4114), and an additional deposit of similar material apparently packed around it (L4115); a light brown silt (L4116) then accumulated over these to fill the feature. Both of the initial deposits were described on-site as being burnt and 'containing burnt material'. Samples from these deposits were found to contain charred hazelnut shell fragments as well as charcoal. Finds were recovered only from L4115, though small amounts of animal bone (including a few burnt fragments) were recovered from the residues of samples from L4114. L4115 was found to contain 70 sherds of early Iron Age pottery all in the same fabric; a similarly large assemblage ( 74 sherds) from the two fills of F4317 is thought to derive from a single vessel of the same fabric and of similar date. Charcoal was the only non-contaminant material present in a sample taken from F4317.

Pits F4412 and F4317 seem to represent the same kind of activity, characterised by the deposition of pottery and burnt plant material. The flots and residues of samples taken from these features were carefully examined, but no evidence was found to support the theory that they represent damaged cremations. The nature and significance of these features thus remains unknown.

### 2.5 Phase 3: late Iron Age pits

(Feature and context descriptions: Section 4.7)
After a hiatus of up to 300 years, activity at Broadlands resumed in the late Iron Age, though on a very small scale. Activity of this period is represented only by the cutting of three pits (F4625, F4604 and F4442) in the eastern part of the Stage IV area (Figs 2 and 6). These formed the beginning of a sequence of pit cutting in this area, which continued into Phase 4.

Pits F4604 and F4625 were comparatively small. They were cut into a localised sequence of natural deposits, and F4604 also cut Phase 1 Ditch F4463 (Figs 18 and 19). Both of these pits contained waterlogged basal fills in which wood (roundwood, debris fragments and, F4625, bark) was preserved. F4625 also contained animal bone and six sherds of late Iron Age and late Iron Age to early Roman pottery.

Pit F4442 was larger, but also had waterlogged fills and contained preserved wood (roundwood and bark). Other finds from this feature included animal bone, a broken flint flake, four sherds of residual late Bronze Age to early Iron Age pottery and 71 sherds of late Iron Age pottery

### 2.6 Phase 4: early Romano British

### 2.6.1 The pits

(Feature and context descriptions: Section 4.8)
The pitting which began in Phase 3 continued in Phase 4 with the cutting of an additional seven pits (F4610, F4583, F4590, F4626, F4436, F4448 and F4597) in the sequence in the east of the Stage IV area (Figs 2, 6 and 18-20). These were all stratified between their Phase 3 predecessors and the palaeosol, whose deposition is thought to date to the late $2^{\text {nd }} /$ early $3^{\text {rd }}$ century AD. One of them, F4597, located on the northern edge of the group, contained a complete early Roman jar which had been placed upright in its fill. Another, F4436, located on the southern edge of the group, contained the near complete, articulated skeleton of a pig whose head had been deliberately removed. Both the jar and the pig remains could represent acts of structured deposition (cf. Hill 1995).

A second group of Phase 4 pits (F3025, F3027, F3083 and F3085) was located in the western part of the Stage II excavation area (Figs 2, 4 and 21). All except F3027, which cut F3025, contained small amounts of Roman pottery and all were sealed by the palaeosol.

### 2.6.2 The enclosure, boundary ditch and gully <br> (Feature and context descriptions: Section 4.9)

## Description of features

The Phase 4 site was dominated by a suite of north-west/ south-east aligned features, centred in the Stage I (trenches 6 and 10) and II areas (Figs 2-6).

A sub square ditched enclosure measuring approximately $30 \times 35 \mathrm{~m}$ was identified in the Stage I and II areas (Ditches F2100, F2186, F3005 and F3011). A further ditch (F3015) ran parallel to the north west side of the enclosure in the Stage II area, separated by a gap of $c .5 .7 \mathrm{~m}$, but did not continue into the Stage I (Trench 6) area. The ditches had similar moderate sided, concave based profiles and dimensions, though F3005 and (especially) F3011 were narrower and shallower than the rest (Fig 22). Their fills were of mid grey/ greyish brown silty sand. The few finds recovered from them comprised a few fragments of animal bone and pottery, the latter recovered almost exclusively from Ditch F3005 ( 65 sherds, late $1^{\text {st }}$ to mid $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD).

No contemporary features were identified within the enclosed area, though it should be noted that this was not fully excavated and that several undated (probably natural) features were present.

A large ditch, F3029 (=F1037, F4326), ran parallel to the south-east side of the Phase 4 enclosure, separated from it by a gap of $c .6 \mathrm{~m}$. Its north-western terminus lay outside of the excavated areas, but it terminated to the south-east within the Stage IV area, having been recorded over a distance of 125 m (Figs 2 and 4-6). Its profile and dimensions varied along its length (Fig 23). The only datable finds recovered from this ditch were a fragment of tegula and part of a jet/ shale armlet of Roman date; both are consistent with its being contemporary with the enclosure.

In the Stage IV area only, Gully F4289 (=F4355) ran parallel to the large ditch, c. 3m to its north-east, terminating $c$. 28 m further to the south-east (Figs 2, 6 and 23). Approximately 16 m north-west of this terminus, the partial remains of at least three cattle were recovered from an enlarged section of this gully (Fig 10). The cattle remains included an articulated skull and spine as well as six articulated hind limbs and three articulated fore limbs (described in detail in Section 3.9.3 and discussed in Section 3.9.4). This deposit stands out clearly among the otherwise sparse animal bone assemblage, though 11 cattle bones representing two limbs were also recovered from Ditch F3029 ( $=$ F1037, F4326) in the Stage II area during the trial trench evaluation, when it was identified as EF1083 (Trench 5).

## Interpretation of landscape divisions

The Phase 4 features represent a second period of large scale delineation of land divisions at Broadlands. The enclosure is likely to have had an agricultural function, perhaps similar to that of the Phase 2 stockyard, though the evidence for this is less clear. The large ditch and gully are thought to mark a significant boundary in the landscape, perhaps indicating that the pits in the Stage IV area were on land under different ownership to those in the Stage II area; certainly there was no striking resemblance between the two groups of features.

Most of the significant earlier sites remained flooded in the Roman period; there may have been a brief drier period in the late $1^{\text {st }}$ century AD, when the Fen Causeway was constructed, but wetter conditions had resumed by the $3^{\text {rd }}$ century (French 2001, 403). The proximity of flood waters, and the fen edge, to Broadlands would have varied on an annual basis, relating to land-use up stream and the growth of the peat (French 2001, 403), but there is no evidence to attest flooding of the site at this time. Roman ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ century) activity at Edgerley Drain Road comprised a single pit capped by peat and alluvial deposits (Beadsmoore 2005), indicating wet conditions to the immediate east of Broadlands.

The north-west/ south-east and south-west/ north-east alignments of the early Romano-British site differed markedly from those of its late Bronze Age/ early Iron Age predecessor, probably indicating that no trace of the former system of land division survived. Roman activity in the southern Fengate area similarly does not follow the alignments of the Bronze Age system of land division; there does not seem to have been a coherent system across the area. At the Depot site, the alignment of a system of fields (possibly used until the $3^{\text {rd }}$ century AD) approximately followed the local fen edge (Pryor and Evans 2001, 24) while at Cat's Water, Roman (mid-late $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD) stockyards followed the alignments of the abandoned middle to late Iron Age settlement (Pryor 1984, 125).

Similar alignments to those of Phase 4 features at Broadlands have been identified in a co-axial field system c. 900 m north-north-east of Broadlands (Williams and Webley 2004), but this area is separated from Broadlands by an expanse of lower lying ground, and the two sites are unlikely to represent a continuous system. Cropmarks show features (parallel ditches and an enclosure with possible circular structures) aligned north-west to south-east $c .300 \mathrm{~m}$ west-south-west and $c .160 \mathrm{~m}$ south of Broadlands (HER 8189 and HER 8189a). No dates have previously been assigned to these, but the similarity of their alignments to that of Phase 4 features at Broadlands allows a tentative suggestion of an early Roman date.

The area immediately north of Broadlands has not been investigated archaeologically, but stray finds (pottery and building materials; HER 2984, 2987, 2969, 2988) and archaeological evaluations incorporating geophysical survey (Meadows 1992) in the Newark/ Newark Hill areas north of Oxney Road suggest (late Iron Age and) Roman settlement. The Phase 4 (and Phase 3) features at Broadlands, just 300 m south of the closest find spot, may well represent agricultural activity on the periphery of this settlement. Pryor $(2001,414)$ notes that although fen-edge paddocks are known from the Roman fen edge at Cat's Water and the Depot site, other Romano-British features have been identified on the higher ground of the Vicarage Farm (pitting from the late $1^{\text {st }}$ century AD)/ Gravel Pits (settlement features, not recorded in detail)/ Tower Works (features including the continuation of the Cat's Water Droveway) areas. He concludes that in the Roman period, settlement at Fengate moved onto higher ground to the south-west (the Vicarage Farm and Gravel Pits areas both lie at/ above 5m OD), and elsewhere beneath the modern city. It seems probable that one of these areas of settlement was the Newark area and its southern periphery.

### 2.7 The palaeosol and Phase 5

(Feature and context descriptions: Section 4.1 and 4.10)
All Phase 4 and earlier features were sealed by a palaeosol (see above). This must have developed in the later $2^{\text {nd }}$ and earlier $3^{\text {rd }}$ centuries, as it was cut in the Stage I (trench 10) and IV areas by Phase 5 features in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ century AD. In the southern part of the site, the A horizon of this palaeosol, which had been subjected to alluvial aggradation from overbank flooding, was deposited later, sealing Phase 5 Pit F4438.

Phase 5 features comprised a small oven (F2003) with two associated postholes, located c. 4.6 m south-south-east of a large pit (F2188) in the Stage I (trench 10) area (Figs 2 and 3), and a single large pit (F4438) cut into the cluster of Phase 3 and 4 pits in the Stage IV area (Figs 2 and 6).

The oven contained burnt sandstone and baked clay, the remains of its lining (Figs 3 and 24). A baked clay pilaster in the same fabric was recovered from the palaeosol in the Stage I (Trench 7) area; its distance from F2003 may imply that it derived from a second oven at the site. Three sherds of (residual) $2^{\text {nd }}$ century pottery were recovered from F2003.

The two large pits are tentatively interpreted as ponds or water-holes (Fig 24). Unworked limestone blocks in the base of F2188 are thought to have acted as a revetment to support its sides; concretion, iron staining and peat formation in its lower fills are thought to indicate a fluctuating water table. A complete $3^{\text {rd }}$ century bowl $/ \mathrm{jar}$ in its secondary fill (L2258) is considered to accurately date this feature. F4438 contained (residual and non-residual) pottery and animal bone throughout its fills, but the most significant find recovered from it was the base of a two piece of stave built wooden vessel. The fills of this feature form horizontal bands, consistent with gradual silting and a rising water table; the lack of slumping or in-washing deposits suggests that F4438 was well maintained.

Like their Phase 4 predecessors, these features are likely to represent activity peripheral to settlement in the Newark area, to the north.

### 2.8 Significant undated features <br> (Feature and context descriptions: Section 4.11)

Though a large number of features from all areas of the investigation remain undated, only a few are considered to be significant and potentially illuminating to the interpretation of the site.

Ditches F2084 and F2178 (Stage I, trench 10) ran parallel to one another, separated by a distance of c. 11.5 m , on an approximate north-north-west/ south-south-east alignment (Fig 3). They were sealed by the palaeosol, and datable finds recovered from them comprised a single sherd of Roman pottery, thought to have been intrusive from the palaeosol. Their alignment is similar, though not identical, to that of features associated with the Phase 2 stockyard, but in profile they are more similar to the ditches of the Phase 4 enclosure (compare Figs 22 and 27), within which they are
located, and (like those of the Phase 4 ditches) their fills were sandy, rather than clayey.

Four arcs/ partial circles of postholes in the Stage (trench 6), Stage II and Stage IV areas are thought to represent parts of circular post-built structures (Structures 1-4; Figs 11 and 12). Crude, semi-circular post-built structures of similar dimensions to Structures 1 and 2 (c. 4to 5.5 m 'diameter'), were found in association with middle Bronze Age droveways at the Fourth Drove and Newark Road sites (Pryor 1980, 60, $61,135,137$ ); Structures 3 and 4 were both larger (c. 10.5 and $c .9 \mathrm{~m}$ diameter, respectively). Structure 3 was the only one whose features contained any datable finds, but these included both prehistoric and Roman pot sherds. A piece of Iron Age pit-furnace slag was also recovered from one of this structure's postholes (F3033) but (like other slags of this type recovered in this country) is thought to be residual in this feature; the profiles of F3033 and others of this structure (see Fig 11) are thought to result from their function as postholes, and not from deign for use as pit furnaces. The fifth undated structure is that described in Section 2.2. As stated above, this structure is considered to be undated, despite early suggestions of Neolithic date.

All five of the undated structures predate the palaeosol (late $2^{\text {nd }} /$ early $3^{\text {rd }}$ century). Given the dominance of Phase 2 (late Bronze Age/ early Iron Age) features at the site, it may be that the structures were associated with agricultural activity in that period; the lack of finds recovered in association with them would be consistent with such a function. However, only Undated Structure 4 (immediately south of the Stockyard) has any spatial association with Phase 2 activity. A 'roundhouse' similarly lacking in finds evidence for occupation is set within Yard C at Newark Road and is considered more likely to have been a part of the stock management system than to have had a domestic function (Pryor 1996, 316; 2001, 416). The remaining circular buildings were clustered in the area of the Phase 4 enclosure and may represent associated activity. However, elements of Structure 3 stratigraphically preceded the Phase 4 enclosure, and a possible element of Structure 2 stratigraphically post-dated it.

## 3 ARTEFACT AND ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS

### 3.1 The flint

## Martin Tingle

(See Section 5.1 for data)

### 3.1.1 Introduction

The assemblage is composed of 133 pieces weighing 914 g , although if natural flint and burnt but apparently unworked flint is excluded, the worked flint totals 113 pieces weighing 693 g . The whole assemblage was recovered from 44 contexts forming components within features dating to Phases 1 (middle Bronze Age) to 5 (late $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ century AD), as well as unphased features. The site is located on the prehistoric fen edge just to the north of Fengate and the Flag Fen Basin (Pryor, 1980, 23-129).

### 3.1.2 Raw Materials

The flint with surviving dorsal cortex appears to derive from secondary deposits and there are also water rolled examples. Most of the flint is unpatinated and varies in
colour from light grey and pale brown to black, with a thin pitted and abraded cortex similar to the material that was found during excavations at Etton (Middleton 1998, 216). There are some patinated examples notably the 38 pieces from Pit F4317, L4319.

### 3.1.3 Composition and Technology

| Find | No | Weight (g) | Mean weight (g) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Broken Flake | 31 | 41 | 1.3 |
| Primary Flake | 2 | 17 | 8.5 |
| Secondary Flake | 6 | 40 | 6.6 |
| Tertiary Flake | 33 | 126 | 3.8 |
| Uncorticated Flake | 23 | 53 | 2.3 |
| Blade | 3 | 2 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Core | 2 | 30 | 15 |
| Core Fragment | 3 | 43 | 14.3 |
|  |  |  |  |
| ?Broken Scraper | 1 | 7 | 7 |
| Scraper | 7 | 257 | 36.7 |
| Retouched | 1 | 13 | 13 |
| ? Retouched Lump | 1 | 64 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Natural Flint | 8 | 17 | 2.1 |
| Burnt Flint | 11 | 94 | 8.5 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 133 | 914 |  |
| Worked Flint Total | 113 | 693 |  |

Table 1:The composition of the assemblage
The low numbers and mean weights of primary and secondary flakes compared with tertiary flakes suggests that the latter may have been initially worked away from the site. The high mean weight of retouched tools compared to all flakes implies that they too were manufactured elsewhere. However there was some in-situ flint working as evidenced by the small number of core fragments and a single, much reduced core.

### 3.1.4 Distribution

The assemblage derived from 44 contexts with the greatest concentration being 38 Pit F4317, L4319. Also of note are the three scrapers and one tertiary flake, weighing $44 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \mathrm{~g}$ and 65 g , found in the fill of a tree bowl (F4498, L4499). The scrapers are in a fresh condition, unusually large and finely made, the largest being completely retouched. The tertiary flake is of a near identical flint to that of the two smaller scrapers and could well have been a scraper blank. The association of Neolithic worked flint and tree bowls has been noted during excavations at the Eton Rowing Lake (Allen and Welsh 1998, 27). It is possible that this association resulted from tree bowls acting as "traps" for unstratified objects however it is possible particularly in a case involving a group of unusual retouched tools, that deliberate deposition was involved.

### 3.1.5 Dating

None of the flint can be definitely associated with a particular period of prehistory although large scrapers such as those from F4498, L4499 are often found in middle Neolithic contexts. The scraper and borer found in Phase 2 Pit F4562, L4252, are both unusually fine pieces and of a type found in Neolithic assemblages.

### 3.1.6 Conclusion

It would seem likely that most of the assemblage formed part of an accumulated assemblage that became incorporated within the fills of later features. One possible exception to this is group of scrapers in Tree Hollow F4498, L4499, however the rest of assemblage is too small and too dispersed for any firm conclusions to be drawn about its origins, functions and taphonomy.

### 3.1.7 Terminology

Throughout this analysis the term 'cortex' refers to the natural weathered exterior surface of a piece of flint while 'patination' denotes the colouration of the flaked surfaces exposed by human or natural agency. Following Andrevsky (1998, 104) dorsal cortex is divided into four categories; the term primary flake refers to those with cortex covering $100 \%$ of the dorsal face while secondary flakes have cortex on between $50 \%$ to $99 \%$ of the dorsal face. Tertiary flakes have cortex on $1 \%$ to $49 \%$ of the dorsal face while flakes with no dorsal cortex are referred to as non-cortical

A blade is defined as an elongated flake whose length is at least twice as great as its breadth. These often have parallel dorsal flake scars, a feature that can assist in the identification of broken blades that, by definition, have an indeterminate length/breadth ratio

### 3.2 The prehistoric pottery

Peter Thompson
(See Section 5.2)

### 3.2.1 Introduction

This report is a synthesis of the reports on the prehistoric pottery from Stages I to III of the Broadlands investigation (Last in Wotherspoon 2003) and the analysis of the (larger) Stage IV pottery assemblage. The late Iron Age and Roman pottery recovered from the site has been reported separately by Andrew Peachey.

The combined excavations recovered a total of 739 prehistoric sherds weighing 3.498 kg . The pottery is generally poorly preserved comprising small and often abraded sherds with a mean weight of just 4.73 g . This suggests that some of the pottery is residual. The fabrics are predominantly in shelly wares ( $>96 \%$ ) although in many cases the actual shell has dissolved due to the acidity of the soil leaving voids and pitted surfaces. However, some contexts (including F4402, L4454 and L4561, and F1013, L1014) contained pottery preserved in relatively good condition; L1014 was also the only example to provide an almost complete vessel profile.

### 3.2.2 Fabrics

The sherds have been examined under x35 binocular microscope and divided into broad fabric groups based on the nature of the main inclusions. Other attributes noted, where applicable, are rim type, profile, base, and decoration. These have been recorded on Excel database by sherd count and weight per context which can be found in the site research archive. The main fabric groups are listed below using site specific codes only. Some of the shell tempered sherds can also contain a little sand and/or grog whilst there is evidence of grading between finer and coarser wares. Only 25 small sherds contained alternative inclusions: 7 sherds with crushed flint from Ditch F4328, 5 sherds with very coarse quartz from Ditch F4361 and Pit F4208, 2 grog and sand tempered sherds from Posthole F2046 and unstratified from Palaeosol L2001, and 11 sand tempered sherds from Pits F3033 and F3052 and unstratified from Palaeosol L2001.

F1: Shell tempered, dark grey or black sometimes with brown or orange external surfaces; abundant white platy shell up to 3 mm across and irregular voids from dissolved shell. Walls 8 $\mathrm{mm}-1 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick. Middle Bronze Age?
F1a: Shell tempered, dark grey or black with moderate white platy shell up to 7 mm across.
Late Bronze Age - early Iron Age
F1b: Shell tempered, dark brown with abundant white fine to medium crushed shell. Surfaces vesiculated but smoothed. Walls $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ across. Early Iron Age
F2 Shell tempered, dark grey or brown sometimes with paler brown surfaces. Irregular voids from dissolved shell. Late Bronze Age - early Iron Age
F2a: Shell tempered, mottled red but can be mottled with grey and brown. Surfaces very pitted and uneven with voids. Rarely white shell survives. Late Bronze Age - early Iron Age
F2b: Sparse shell temper. Usually hard pale orange fabric with sparse small vesicles from dissolved shell/calcareous. Late Bronze Age - early Iron Age.
F2d: Shell tempered. Very rough, pitted surfaces, pale orange/brown occasionally with grey cores. Voids from dissolved shell. Middle Bronze Age?
F3: Flint tempered, dark grey/ brown containing moderate to common crushed white flint up to 1 mm across. Late Bronze Age - early Iron Age
F4: Shell tempered, pale grey hard fired fabric with moderate to common white platy shell and occasional large rounded pieces of fired clay. Walls $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ across. Early Iron Age?
F4a: Shell tempered, dark grey/brown well fired fabric with occasional small voids on surfaces. Contains moderate voids and calcareous matter with rare to sparse coarse subrounded quartz. Early Iron Age?
F6: Quartz tempered, dark grey with surfaces oxidised orange. Contains very coarse angular to sub-angular grey quartz up to 3 mm across. Early Iron Age?
F7: Shell \& sand tempered, dark grey/black with burnished external surface. Sparse to moderate shell with sub-rounded to rounded quartz up to 1.5 mm across. Early Iron Age

In the Fengate area, fabrics are not generally diagnostic of period although Barrett (in Pryor 2001, 251) notes some trends over time. Shelly wares are predominant in nearly all periods in prehistory whilst grog, although less common is also not a particularly diagnostic trait (Last in Wotherspoon 2003, 20).

### 3.2.3 Forms

Forms, where they can be identified, are more useful for dating the pottery and although the assemblage is fragmentary there are a dozen or so partial profiles that are more informative than the rest of the assemblage. In particular there is a large urn
base from Ditch F4402, two necked forms from Pit F3021, two carinated jar forms from Pit F3083 and Pit F4402, a small bowl from Pit F4402 and a jar rim with a flaring neck from Pit F1013. The diagnostic sherds are discussed by period below.

### 3.2.4 Early to middle Bronze Age

A number of rough sherds from thick coarse ware vessels of $0.8-1 \mathrm{~cm}$ width identified in several features are in the Deverel-Rimbury tradition c.1400-1000 BC (Gibson and Woods 1997, 145). However, their abraded nature and in some cases occurrence with sherds of later date indicates most if not all of this pottery is residual.

Posthole F2046 (L2047) contained potentially the earliest sherd, a piece of urn base in grog and sand temper of early to middle Bronze Age date. Ditch F4316 (=F4033, F4021) contained a thick body sherd with a single example of finger tip decoration in the Deverel-Rimbury tradition (L4022; Fig 31.1) and the inturned rim and body sherd of a barrel urn (L4315; Fig 31.2). Pit F4402 (L4454) contained a thick slightly pinched out base of a large Deverel-Rimbury type bucket urn with sooting adhering to the interior surface (Fig 31.3). The latter is in good condition other than dissolution of shell but comes from a context dated to the early Iron Age so the vessel is either residual or else is actually a large early Iron Age jar or urn manufactured in a form reminiscent of Deverel-Rimbury wares.

### 3.2.5 Late Bronze Age - early Iron Age

The majority of the prehistoric assemblage is datable to the late Bronze Age/ early Iron Age. Fabrics are still mainly coarse and shelly (vesicular where it has dissolved) but the sherds are thinner and generally less coarse than the earlier Deverel-Rimbury wares. However, a fine ware component is also apparent (described below) along with an increase in the number of vessel types.

Ditch F4316 (=F4033, F4021) yielded 317 sherds ( $48 \%$ of all prehistoric sherds from the site) of which 302 came from L4533. Many of the latter were pink or red throughout having apparently been burnt post-firing. The only diagnostic sherd present in this feature is a simple upright rim in excess of 35 cm diameter from a large vessel possibly of cylindrical shape (Fig 31.4). This, together with a fairly upright simple rim containing very coarse platy shell from L4209 (Pit F4208 or Pit F4561), bears similarities to post Deverel-Rimbury forms of the East Midlands region (D. Knight 2002, 129). At Aldermarston Wharf in Berkshire an assemblage of this type, one of the relatively few well-stratified assemblages of the late Bronze Age, consisted mainly of undecorated bowls, plain straight-sided jars and rounded jars with little decoration, and was assigned a date, partly through radiocarbon dating, between the 11th-9th centuries be (Bradley et al 1980, 232-248).

Pit F3083 (L3084) at Broadlands contained three carinated forms in shell with sand and grog temper including a jar rim (Fig 31.5). Such S-profile or carinated hollow necked forms can be found both in post Deverel-rimbury assemblages and Iron Age proper, the latter seen on early Iron Age sites at neighbouring Fengate and at Gretton on the river Welland, Northamptonshire (D. Knight 2002, 128, No. 9; Hawkes and Fell 1945, 202 No.F2; Jackson and Knight 1985, 78 No. 26). In a similar vein Pit F3021 (L3022) contained two partial necked profiles with flattened or expanded rims
(Figs 31.6 and 31.7) and a body sherd with a single finger-tip impression which is almost the only example of decoration from the site. Again general lack of decoration suggests post Deverel-rimbury 'plain wares' although the profiles are also quite similar to decorated and undecorated early Iron Age examples from Gretton (Jackson and Knight 1985, 78). Similar forms to Figs 31.5, 31.6 and 31.7 were found at Tower Works site, Fengate, some with finger tip decoration, and it is suggested these date to the period c. 900-700 BC (Last in Wotherspoon 2003, 21). A date of $10^{\text {th }}$ century BC is therefore possible as the earliest Deveral-rimbury wares are not typified by angular forms (Last in Wotherspoon 2003, 21). However, this needs to be treated with caution due to the general lack of decoration on the site even from the contexts containing typical early Iron Age pottery.

Pit F4317 (L4318 and L4319) contained a flaring rim profile with outurned lip (Fig 31.8) which is similar to a larger version from Pit F3021 (Fig 31.6) but the slightly pinched out lip is indicative of a very early Iron Age date, as is another example from Pit F4112 of a flattened, squared rim (M. Knight, pers.com).

### 3.2.6 Finer Wares

Fragments from several finer ware vessels were recovered from Broadlands including two black burnished carinated body profiles in sand and sparse fine shell from Grave Pit F4295 and Pit F4402 respectively (Fig 31.9). These are in an early Iron Age Fengate-Cromer tradition with parallels at Fengate that include a vessel described as a degenerate situlate jar, although the latter example contained flint temper (Hawkes and Fell 1945, 210 Fig. 8 U8). The Broadlands sherds are similar in appearance to burnished shelly wares from Bradley Fen on the south-eastern edge of the Flag Fen basin where an Iron Age settlement overlay middle Bronze Age field systems (M. Knight, pers. com). Pit F4402 also contained sherds (from L4561 and L4556) of a third fine vessel, a thin walled cup or tiny bowl (Fig 31.10). This is also of the Fengate-Cromer tradition which includes globular bowls with flaring rims and encircling grooved lines (M. Knight pers. com) and can be matched with an S-profile example from Fengate (Hawkes and Fell 1945, Fig 7 No. R6). A similar vessel excavated from a roundhouse in a settlement at Kings Dyke West, Whittlesey, provided a radiocarbon date centred on circa 500 BC (Knight 1999). Another fine bowl example came from Pit F3072 which contained a partial angled small bowl profile in a similar finer shelly fabric. The only virtually complete profile of the Broadlands assemblage came from Pit F1013 (L1014) which contained eleven sherds comprising a jar with a flaring neck (Fig 31.11). This profile could be early or middle Iron Age in date, and is again similar to an early Iron Age example from Gretton (Jackson and Knight 1985, 79 No. 64) indicating that it need not be later than any of the Iron Age pottery discussed above.

Following the early (or middle) Iron Age there is a gap in the site's pottery chronology until the very late Iron Age or Roman period (see Section 3.3).

### 3.2.7 Discussion

The earliest pottery from Broadlands is Deverel-rimbury ware dating to the middle Bronze Age. These include fragments from a barrel and bucket urn (Figs 31.1 and
31.2), however, the pottery is largely eroded and rounded and likely to have been redeposited

The remaining pottery is datable between the late Bronze Age and early Iron Age. Fragments of rim sherds from Ditch F4316 and Pit F4208 or F4562 (Figs 31.4 and 31.5) are possibly of post Deverel-Rimbury 'plainware' type but could also be particularly coarse early Iron Age wares. The squared rim from Pit F4112 is of a very early Iron Age date; the black burnished carinated body sherds and the thin walled cup with a single ridged cordon from Pit F4402 (Figs 31.7 and 31.8) are of the Fengate tradition, which was current from the $8^{\text {th }}$ century BC until the end of the early Iron Age (Barrett 1980, 313). Pit F4402 and possibly Pit F1013 are the latest demonstrably Phase 2 features from Broadlands. The absence of middle Iron Age forms or scored decoration, which first appeared in the $5^{\text {th }} / 4^{\text {th }}$ centuries BC , in the Broadlands assemblage indicates that none of the assemblage can be much later than c. 500 BC .

One slightly unusual feature is the virtual lack of decoration, particularly where the pottery can be demonstrated to be of the terminal Bronze Age/ early Iron Age periods. Pryor suggests from his work at Vicarage Farm that the area was separated from East Anglia by the Fens and should be seen rather as a site of the south-east Midlands and western Fen Margins with possible contacts further in along the Welland and Nene valleys (Pryor 1974, 39). This is supported to a degree by the similarity of some of the pottery with the early Iron Age assemblage from Gretton.

### 3.2.8 List of Figures

Fig 31.1 F4021 (L4022) Deverel-rimbury body sherd with finger decoration
Fig 31.2 F4316 (L4315) Rim and body sherd to Deverel-rimbury barrel urn
Fig 31.3 F4402 (L4454) Lower profile and base to Deverel-rimbury type bucket urn
Fig 31.4 F4316 (L4533) Upright rim to Late Bronze Age/Early Iron Age vessel
Fig 31.5 F3083 (L3084) S-profile or carinated form
Fig 31.6 F3021 (L3022) Necked form with flattened rim
Fig 31.7 F3021 (L3022) Necked form with expanded rim
Fig 31.8 F4317 (L4318) Outurned rim with pinched out lip
Fig 31.9 F4402 (L4454 and L4561) Black burnished carinated profile
Fig 31.10 F4402 (L4556 and L4561) Globular cup with ridged cordon
Fig 31.11 F1013 (L1014) Flaring jar rim

### 3.3 The late Iron Age and Roman pottery

Andrew Peachey
(See Section 5.3)

### 3.3.1 Introduction

Excavations produced a total of 302 sherds (4483g) of late Iron Age and RomanoBritish pottery with a total R.EVE of 1.97. The assemblage includes an abraded group of $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD pottery in Ditch F3005, and a complete $2^{\text {nd }}$ century vessel in Pit F4597. A well-preserved $3^{\text {rd }}$ century vessel was also recorded in Pit F2188, L2258.

### 3.3.2 Fabric Codes and Descriptions

BOG SH: Bourne-Greetham shelly ware (Tomber \& Dore 1998, 156)
GRS: Un-sourced sandy grey wares. Description: A coarse or fine, hard, reduced fabric that is medium grey throughout with inclusions of abundant ill sorted quartz sand and sparse calcite.
HOR RE: Horningsea reduced ware (Tomber \& Dore 1998, 116)
LEZ SA 2: Lezoux samian ware 2 (Tomber \& Dore 1999, 32-33)
LNV CC: Lower Nene Valley colour-coated ware (Perrin 1999; Tomber \& Dore 1998, 118)
LNV RE: Lower Nene Valley reduced ware (Perrin 1999, 78)
TSG: Late Iron Age to early Roman transitional shell-tempered ware (Perrin 1996, 119), also includes highly abraded, sparse sherds of probable Late Iron Age origin
UNS OX: Un-sourced oxidised wares. Description: This fabric may be soft or hard with a sandy-soapy feel, pale-mid orange surfaces and either a grey or black reduced core. The fabric contains abundant ill-sorted quartz, sparse-common silver mica and calcite with varying quantities of red iron ore. This fabric was probably produced locally and is very similar to Fabric 1 from the Stanground kilns (Cooper 1989, 60).
ROB SH: Un-sourced Romano-British shell-tempered ware (Perrin 1996, 119)
UNS WH: Un-sourced white ware. Description: the fabric is composed of fine but ill sorted quartz with common red iron ore, sparse black iron ore and calcite. This fabric was probably produced locally and is possibly associated with the Stanground kilns (Cooper 1989, 60:
Fabric 1).

### 3.3.3 Discussion

The prehistoric pottery in the assemblage is limited to slightly abraded late Iron Age sherds in Pit F2625 (L4623 and L4624; total 6 sherds, 188g) and highly abraded, very small probable Iron Age sherds in Pit F4442 (in total 72 sherds, 272g). The fabrics in all these prehistoric features are inconsistently tempered with sparse to common, medium to coarse shell and sparse grog. Also present in Pit F2442 are three grittier sherds $(9 \mathrm{~g})$, otherwise similar to the Iron Age fabrics, that may date to the late Bronze Age/early Iron Age but appear residual with later material. Beyond the low quantity of sherds in Pit F2625 the prehistoric sherds are extremely limited in their diagnostic/chronological potential due to very poor preservation. The pottery in Pit F2625 is solely comprised of late Iron Age to early Roman transitional shell-tempered ware and includes sherds from a handmade base of an unknown vessel type, probably a jar.

The early Roman pottery is principally comprised of a $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD pottery group from Ditch F3005, complemented by a complete vessel in Pit F4597 and residual material in Pit F2188. The pottery in Ditch F3005 is all abraded and comprises 66 sherds $(1218 \mathrm{~g})$ including 4 diagnostic vessels with a total R.EVE of 0.97 . Two of the vessels, in locally produced oxidised ware, are a platter/dish imitating samian form 18 or 18/31 (Fig. 32.2) comparable to an example recorded at Chelmsford (Going 1987, type A4/6.1); and a dish with a slightly undercut rim (Fig. 32.3) comparable to an example from Verulamium (Wilson 1984, No.2548) both dating from the late $1^{\text {st }} /$ early $2^{\text {nd }}$ to mid $2^{\text {nd }}$ centuries AD. Also present are smaller rim and neck sherds from a small necked jar in sandy grey ware (Fig. 32.1) comparable to a vessel recorded at Orton Hall Farm (Perrin 1996, No.136); and from a storage jar with a bifid rim in unsourced (Romano-British) shell-tempered ware comparable to a vessel recorded at Chesterton (Perrin 1999, No.460). Both of these vessel types are also associated with the $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD. The single, complete vessel in Pit F4597 (L4598) is in an
identical un-sourced (Romano-British) shell-tempered fabric to the storage jar in Ditch F3005 and is equally abraded. The vessel is a cavetto rim jar with rilling on the body comparable to examples at Orton Hall Farm (Perrin 1996, No.180), Chesterton (Perrin 1999, No.428) and Longthorpe (Dannell and Wild 1987, Type 68b) dating from the late $1^{\text {st }} /$ early $2^{\text {nd }}$ to $\mathrm{mid} /$ late $2^{\text {nd }}$ centuries. The un-sourced (Romano-British) shell-tempered fabric is the most common fabric in the assemblage and was probably produced locally throughout the Romano-British period (Perrin 1996, 119; Perrin 1999, 118). Alongside the shell-tempered fabric and other vessels discussed above, Ditch F3005 also contained a base in un-sourced white ware, and fragments of three bases in Lower Nene Valley reduced ware: the next most common fabric after the unsourced (Romano-British) shell-tempered fabric. Residual $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD sherds in $3^{\text {rd }}$ century AD Pit F2188 also include un-sourced white ware and Lower Nene Valley reduced ware body sherds, as well as fragments of a shell-tempered fabric vessel from a different source. This vessel comprises a jar with an everted rim and two shoulder grooves in Bourne-Greetham shelly ware (Fig. 32.5) comparable to a vessel recorded at Orton hall Farm (Perrin 1996, No.12) dating to the late $1^{\text {st }}$ to mid $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD. Further sparse sherds that are probably associated with $2^{\text {nd }}$ century activity include abraded body sherds of Lower Nene Valley reduced ware in Oven/Kiln F2003 and a single abraded sherd of Lezoux samian ware in Palaeosoil L3001.

The later Roman pottery in the assemblage is in noticeably better condition than the $2^{\text {nd }}$ century pottery and is only slightly abraded. Pit F2188 (L2258) contains a complete, well-preserved wide-mouthed bowl/jar with a burnished exterior in sandy grey ware (Fig. 32.4) comparable to a 3rd century AD example recorded at Orton Hall Farm (Perrin 1996, No.377). Probably contemporary with this vessel, possibly in the $\mathrm{mid} /$ late $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ century AD, are fragments of a Lower Nene Valley colour-coated ware flanged dish (too incomplete for further comparison) in Palaeosoil L3001 and fragments from the base of a jar in Pit F4438 (L4619) in the same fabric. Also present, and probably contemporary, in Pit F4438 (L4619) are body sherds in Lower Nene Valley reduced ware and in F4438 (L4621) body sherds from a Horningsea reduced ware storage jar.

### 3.3.4 List of Illustrations

Fig. 32.1: Small necked jar, GRS, Ditch F3005 L3006
Fig. 32.2: Platter/dish imitating samian form 18 or 18/31, UNS OX, Ditch F3005, L3006
Fig. 32.3: Dish with a slightly undercut rim, UNS OX, Ditch F3005, L3006
Fig. 32.4: Wide-mouthed bowl/jar with a burnished exterior, GRS, Pit F2188, L2258
Fig. 32.5: Everted rim jar with two shoulder grooves, BOG SH, Pit F2188, L2257

### 3.4 The ceramic building materials and baked clay

Andrew Peachey
(See Section 5.4 for data)

### 3.4.1 Introduction

Low quantities of CBM and sparse concentrations of daub and fired clay were recovered from excavations at Broadlands, Peterborough. The fabric of each material was examined at x20 magnification and recorded by fragment count and weight (g)
with additional notes on intact surfaces or impressions. The data and notes were entered onto a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet that will be deposited as part of the archive.

### 3.4.2 Fabric Codes and Descriptions

Daub1: Soft sun-dried clay or ?baked clay, mottled reddish yellow to reddish brown throughout ( 2.5 YR $5-6 / 6$ to 5 YR $6 / 4-6$ ). Inclusions comprise common fine quartz ( $<0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$, sparse larger grains), sparse organic voids and grog/clay pellets $(<5 \mathrm{~mm})$. Intact surfaces are rare, but those that are demonstrate a darker, reddish brown (5YR 5/3-4) slight 'crust', although it is not clear if this is the result of baking or surface drying. The intact surfaces, though small, appear curved and were crudely shaped, clearly not flat or smoothed. Prehistoric.
RB1: Hard Oxidised CBM ( $2.5-5$ YR $6 / 8$ ) with some reduced cores. Inclusions comprise poorly sorted quartz sand-temper (0.1-0.5mm). Romano-British
RB2: Baked Clay, generally in a variety of reduced grey-brown tones, with oxidised surfaces where it was exposed to a relatively low heat (it cannot be described as fired). Inclusions are of poorly sorted quartz sand-temper ( $0.1-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with sparse flint and quartzite $(5-15 \mathrm{~mm})$. Romano-British
MOD1: Modern machine cut, 65 mm thick brick. Modern

### 3.4.3 Commentary

The most common and concentrated material in the assemblage is Baked Clay (RB2), totaling 108 fragments $(7490 \mathrm{~g})$ in three features. The most significant of these concentrations ( 79 fragments, 5165 g ) is in Oven F2003, L2004, where the fabric was partially or wholly in situ as the lining of the oven. The clay appears to have been tempered to prevent excessive shrinkage before being applied as the lining of the oven. It is not possible to tell whether/ how often the oven was re-used but the oxidation/reduction of the clay indicates that it did not reach a very high temperature. One of the Baked Clay fragments in Palaeosoil L2001 (Stage I, Trench 7) suggests that this kiln or another nearby incorporated a clay pilaster shaped from the lining (not pre-fabricated) as part of its structure. The fragment is incomplete but appears to have been part of a cylinder, with a diameter of at least 30 cm and a height of at least 15 cm , that exhibits oxidation, thus exposure to relatively low heat, around its circumference. The Baked Clay recovered from Ditch F4328, L4333 (22 fragments, 153 g ), may be clay lining deposited after an oven furnace or stoke hoe was raked out; it was, however, intrusive in this Phase 2 context. Clay lined ovens or furnaces are a common feature of Romano-British sites and include a furnace with a simple coarse clay lining recorded at Longthorpe (Dannel \& Wild 1987, 79). Uses for low temperature ovens/furnaces such as this in the Romano-British period may have included food, salt or iron preparation/production.

The remaining Romano-British CBM (RB1) is sparsely distributed in the assemblage, totalling 13 fragments $(2205 \mathrm{~g})$. The only small concentration, seven fragments (1694g), is in Palaeosoil L2001 (Stage I, Trench 10) and includes a highly abraded fragments of Romano-British bessalis brick (?x200x40mm) with a slightly laminated surface suggesting it may have been incorporated into the structure of an oven such as F2003 (in the same trench). A fragment of tegula was recovered from Ditch F4326, L4327.

The only other CBM in the assemblage is Modern Brick (MOD1) recovered from the palaeosoil, subsoil and (intrusively) Ditch F4293 and is not discussed further.

The function of the remaining clay fabric (Daub1) remains unclear because of its exceptionally poor preservation. This material may simply represent clay packed together to form part of a structure, but other possibilities remain. The lack of any wattle or straw impressions mean the daub would have been extremely crude and friable, and the presence of grog/clay pellets in the fabric has more in common with prehistoric loom weights. This function would also explain the lack of flat surfaces on the fragments recorded. The only significant concentration of this fabric was recorded in Ditch F4316, L4533 (370 fragments, 2350g), alongside a considerable quantity of late Bronze Age to early Iron Age pottery. Unfortunately the preservation of this fabric is very poor with a very low average fragment size of 6.35 g and does not allow any further conclusions to be drawn.

### 3.5 The metal and stone objects

Nina Crummy

### 3.5.1 Introduction

A small assemblage of metal and stone items were recovered during excavations at Broadlands. Items of interest were a Roman period jet/ shale armlet and an Iron Age iron knife blade. Other items recovered were iron nails, fittings and sheet fragments, and pieces of stone which probably occurred naturally.

### 3.5.2 The jet/shale armlet

A small fragment of a jet/shale armlet was found in the fill of Ditch F3029 (L3030). Until recently jet and shale have been identified by eye on the basis that shiny black object were jet, while dull grey-black objects were shale. On that basis the fragment would be identified as shale. However, recent analysis of jet objects from northern Britain has shown them to be made of a range of black minerals, not only jet and shale, but also cannel coal and other grey-black minerals. In consequence, unless analysis has taken place, the term jet/shale is now generally used.

Jet comes from the Yorkshire coast and cannel coal is also chiefly from Yorkshire, while the main source of shale was the Kimmeridge beds in Dorset. The latter does, however, also outcrop further north (Allason-Jones 1996, 6-7). The source of the mineral used for this object is therefore far from certain. Waste cores from the manufacture of shale armlets have been found at Silchester, Hampshire, suggesting that the raw material was not necessarily worked at the point of extraction, but could be transported for manufacture into objects at town centres (Lawson 1976, fig 6, 578). Similarly, raw Yorkshire jet was transported to York and South Shields (AllasonJones 1996, 6, 17, note 5).

The armlet's internal ridge is a characteristic of jet/shale armlets produced on a lathe, and marks the point of detachment from the core. A solid disc of shale would have been fixed in position on the lathe, the rim worked into the required shape, and then two grooves cut into each face. When the grooves were close to meeting, the remaining narrow band of solid shale split and the armlet broke away from the core completely. The remaining ridge was then filed to remove any sharp edges (Calkin 1955; Allason-Jones 1996, 11).

The armlet fragment is of a thin bangle form, a type common in Roman Britain in the $4^{\text {th }}$ century, when several bangles might be worn together on one arm (Clarke 1979, 301; Crummy et al 1993, 136-7). They do, however, also occur as site finds throughout the Roman period.

### 3.5.3 The iron blade

The blade is leaf shaped in general outline, and has corroded to form a hollow, distorting the section. Given the outline and the centrally placed tang, it must have been double-edged. Blades of this form are not common in the Iron Age, but there are two of this shape and size among the assemblage from Danebury, Hampshire (Sellwood 1984, fig 7.10, 2.33; Cunliffe and Poole 19991, fig 7.11, 2.231).

The scarcity of the form suggests it may have had a specialised use. Craft knives are most likely to be single-edged, as is shown by medieval illustrations of blades in use (Cowgill et al 1987, 51-7). Double-edged blades are designed for penetration, and may have been used as hunting knives or daggers.

### 3.5.4 Catalogue

## Iron objects

Stage IV. (L4002). Topsoil. Nail with round flat head. Length 35 mm .
Stage IV. (L4010) F4009. Ditch fill. Modern. 1) Right-angled corner fragment from a piece of iron sheet. Maximum dimensions 156 by 96 mm . 2) Fitting consisting of a long stout tapering round-section shank and flattened, probably perforated, terminal. Length 215 mm . 3) Nail shank fragment. Length 50 mm .

Stage IV. (L4172). Palaeosol A horizon. Fragment of thick sheet; one edge is straight, the others may not be original. Maximum dimensions 39 by 37 mm .

Stage II. SF2. (L3073) F3072. Pit fill. Phase 2. Fragment of a tanged iron blade with traces of organic material from a handle, probably wood. Length 73 mm , maximum width 20 mm .

## Stone objects

Stage IV. SF 18. (L4468) F4467. Pit fill. Unphased. Fragment of a worn sandstone block, with rough base but worn and rounded sides and upper face. The lack of wear on the base and its size (it is too large to be comfortably held in the hand for any length of time) make it unlikely to be a hand tool, and it shows no sign of having been used for grinding or polishing. Probably part of a water-worn pebble used as building stone. Maximum dimensions 105 by 83 mm .

Stage IV. (L4315) F4316. Ditch fill. Phase 2. Fragment of iron-rich lava or slag-like material, with haematite filling many of the vesicles. Either a naturally-occurring stone or debris from iron-working. Weight 84 g .

Stage II. SF1. (L3030) F3029. Ditch Fill. Phase 4. Small fragment of jet/ shale armlet of a thin bangle form, a type common in Roman Britain in the $4^{\text {th }}$ century but found throughout the Roman period. Split in section, so that only about two thirds of the original height remains. Section appears to have been oval to D shaped, with an internal ridge. Internal diameter approx. 54 mm , thickness 5 mm , height (incomplete) 4.5 mm . Only a 22.5 mm length remains from an original circumference of about $170-$ 180 mm .

### 3.6 Slag

Jane Cowgill
(See Section 5.5 for catalogue)

### 3.6.1 Introduction

During the excavation by Archaeological Solutions (formerly the Hertfordshire Archaeological Trust), pits and ditches of late Bronze Age and early Iron Age date were revealed.

### 3.6.2 Description

Two pieces of slag were recovered. One of these was a large (488g) piece of smithing slag from a modern ditch (F4009); it was in a fresh condition and is thought to have been deposited direct from the smithy.

The other piece (1260g) was recovered from Phase 2 Pit F3033 (L3034). The maximum height on the slightly curving edge is 90 mm , but this is considerably reduced towards the centre where it is only 40 mm high. The edge is covered in reduced-fired sandy clay, presumably the lining of the pit. The piece is either abraded or leached, or possibly both. The slag is dense and has large grains indicating that it cooled slowly. There are no charcoal fuel or wood imprints in the core or on the edge. There is no clay on the uneven base so it may have fractured off a larger block. This is an iron smelting slag, generated during the smelting of iron in a pit furnace.

### 3.6.3 Discussion

Iron Age pit-furnace slags are characteristically very large and often weigh several kilos when complete, in contrast to the much smaller, more common tap slags. They formed in pits below or beside the smelting furnace and once the pit was full (possibly after just one smelt) the evidence from the continent suggests that a new furnace would be built over a new pit. This contrasts with the method used on some late Iron Age sites and in the Romano British period when the slag was tapped out of the base of the bloomery furnace producing the characteristic flowed and ropey tap slags. Very little is known or understood about how the pit-slag technology worked in this country; the pit-slag are usually single isolated finds in secondary contexts. Vast numbers, indeed whole fields, of pits containing slags have been found on hundreds of sites on the Continent from the Polish-German border to the Netherlands. Most of these are, however, dated to the Roman Iron Age/ Migration periods. This suggests that the technology in Britain is somehow different but until some of the slag is found in situ, or a furnace is excavated it is difficult to reconstruct the process used. The fact that in Britain the slag is always found in secondary contexts suggests that here
the slag was removed from the pit before the next smelting operation commenced, in stark contrast to Northern Europe where batteries of furnace pits have been identified. The only likely explanation for this is the pit and the furnace were both being reused, a significant difference in process and concept to that which was happening in Europe (Godfrey and McDonnell 2002).

The pit-slag was recovered from oval Pit F3033 which has a maximum diameter of 0.38 m and a depth of 0.19 m . This pit had vertical sides and a flat base, similar to nearby Pit F3019, which was 0.39 m in diameter and 0.29 m deep. These may be approximately the proportions and form that could be expected for a pit for a pit-slag furnace but one has never been recorded in Britain with the slag in situ. Isolated examples of smelting slags have also been recovered during the nearby Fengate excavations. Two pieces have been analysed and published but these have not been seen by the author (Sample 6 Padholme Road Area XI and Sample 7 Cat's Water Area IV; Craddock 1984). There is also a third piece from the excavations at Cat's Water Area VI, F989, which weighs 637 g . This piece is in many respects similar to that that from Broadlands. It has again extensive amounts of reduced clay attached but in this instance a silty clay, probably reflecting a difference in the subsoil into which the pits to contain the slag were dug. It also has large grains, no evidence for fuel attached and is leached and/ or abraded. It is a smaller fragment and its orientation is rather unclear but it may have a height of 60 mm . These two pieces differ from the majority of pit slags in that they do not have huge (several centimetres long) charcoal imprints within them or on the surface and neither are magnetic, both of which are usually characteristics of the slag type.

The presence of these scattered slags, albeit single pieces from the four excavations, suggests that some iron was produced in the area. Certainly the raw material required (ore, wood and clays) would have been available, probably in some quantity (pers. comm.. M. Taylor). This is a fairly southerly find spot for these slags, although some were found in Egham, Surrey (Starley 1998), the majority being from north of the Humber Estuary. It is possible, given the differences between these examples and the other pit-slags that there was a local, or regional, difference in the technology employed.

### 3.7 The waterlogged wood

Maisie Taylor
(See Section 5.6 for catalogue)

### 3.7.1 Quantity of material

63 pieces of wood were examined in detail. A number of samples were also taken from material that appeared to be 'natural' deposits or root, eg. F4208, L4578.

### 3.7.2 Provenance

The material came from eight features:
F4402: Phase 2 pit
F4562/F4208: Phase 2 pits (see Section 2.3.6 on relationship between F4562 and F4208)

F4295: Phase 2 grave pit
F4562: Phase 2 pit
F4442: Phase 3 pit
F4604: Phase 3 pit
F4625: Phase 3 pit
F4438: Phase 5 pit

### 3.7.3 Variation

Although the features represent wood-working activity over a long time scale, there is no 'development' as such. This is partly due to the fact that some of the individual assemblages are small (e.g. only 2 items from Phase 5 Pit F4438). The light woodland in the area would have been cleared by the middle Bronze Age; after this time people would have continued coppicing and producing material from domestic use from local material. The gradual flooding of the adjacent fen might have affected the quantities and species of coppiced roundwood, but the same type of woodworking (coppicing, hurdling, bodging etc) would have continued.

### 3.7.4 Condition of material

Using the scoring scale developed by the Humber Wetlands Project (Van de Noort, Ellis, Taylor and Weir 1995 Table 15.1) most of the material scores 4 or 5.

|  | Museum <br> conservation | Technology <br> analysis | Woodland <br> management | Dendro- <br> chronology | Species <br> identification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | + | + | + | + | + |
| 4 | - | + | + | + | + |
| 3 | - | +- | + | + | + |
| 2 | - | +- | +- | $+/-$ | + |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | $+/-$ |
| 0 | - | - | - | - |  |

Table 2: Scoring scale developed by Humber Wetlands Project (Van de Noort, Ellis, Taylor and Weir 1995 Table 15.1)

### 3.7.5 Categories of material

The wood is derived from the following categories: artefacts; bark; debris (timber debris and woodchips) and roundwood. By far the biggest category is 'roundwood'.

## Artefacts

Altogether 4 artefacts have been examined in detail. Phase 2 grave Pit F4295 contained a grave marker (SF10) which seems to be unique, and Phase 2 Pit F4562 contained two log ladders (SF19 and SF 26). The fourth artefact was recovered from Phase 5 Pit F4438 and is the base of a two-piece, or stave built vessel (SF35).

The grave marker (SF10) is very soft, partly mineralised roundwood. The grain of the wood towards the base is slightly swirly and it is possible that it has a coppiced end.

The first $\log$ ladder (SF19) is a $1 / 2$ split log, which has 1 end trimmed from three out of four sides to a point. $11 / 2$ steps have survived, one with a toolmark on the step, which is 42 mm wide and 6 m deep (42:6). The second log ladder (SF26) is more complete, with 3 steps surviving, and is generally in better condition, though no tool marks are preserved. The log is slightly curved.

The fourth artefact (SF35) is oak (Quercus sp.), and comprises is slightly less than half of the circular base of a two-piece or stave built vessel (Plate 1). It is 178 mm across, which would make the original diameter slightly larger. The piece is hewn from a tangentially split or sawn board. The maximum thickness of the base is 9 mm , chamfered down to 6 mm . There are faint marks of hewing on the base, suggesting that it was hewn down from a thicker piece. The circular edge has been chamfered.

## Bark

Five pieces of bark were examined. Three were from the Phase 2 Pit F4402. One of these pieces is 8 mm thick, and the other two are 15 mm thick. This bark came from reasonably mature trees, possibly bigger than anything recorded from this context or from any other at the site.

One piece came from the Phase 3 Pit F4442 and is too decayed to measure. The last piece, from Phase 3 Pit F4625, is very thin ( 2 mm ).

## Debris

Phase 2 Pit F4402 produced 2 pieces of timber debris, one derived from roundwood, and one a hacked about lump of heartwood.

Phase 2 Pit F4562 produced one piece of timber debris derived from roundwood, diameter $66 / 49 \mathrm{~mm}$ and a charred stake tip.

A radial woodchip came from Phase 3 Pit F4442, and a tangential woodchip from Phase 5 Pit F4438.

## Roundwood

48 pieces have been examined in detail across all periods. Much of the material is probably derived from coppice with long, straight stems, and mostly below 50 mm in diameter. This is classic debris from coppice which is being harvested for wattle and fencing (Forestry Commission 1956). There is some evidence for slightly larger (over 90 mm diameter) trees. Phase 2 Pit F4402 contained roundwood with a diameter of $90 / 110 \mathrm{~mm}$, showing clear evidence for felling. The log ladders are derived slightly larger trees: 180 mm diameter in the case of SF19 and $80 / 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the case of SF26. The grave marker (SF10) was slightly smaller at $85 / 50 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Toolmarks

The assemblage of toolmarks (two) is too small assemblage to discuss in detail but it is interesting that both of the toolmarks recorded on the wood from this site are quite small. Both are only 42 mm wide but one has a deeper curve on the blade. The mark
on the trimmed Roundwood from Pit F4402 is almost straight, with a curve only 2 mm deep, while the one on the step of the log ladder (SF19) from Pit F4562 is more deeply curved at 6 mm deep. Given the dates for the two deposits which produced these toolmarks, it is not surprising to note that the blade width falls right in the middle of the range for socketed axes in the area. (Taylor 2001 Table 7.28)

### 3.7.6 Discussion

The vessel base (SF35) could be from a two piece or stave-built vessel, but it is so rare that there are few pieces for comparison. Carved two piece vessels or tubs first appear in the Neolithic. The early ones sometimes have the bases 'sewn' to the body as at Wilsford (Earwood 1993 Fig.30), held in place by dowels (Earwood 1993 Fig.31.2) and finally (at some time between the 6th and 9th centuries BC) slotted into a groove cut in the body. Vessels made in this way continued in use, but during the Iron Age it became more and more common to stave build buckets and similar vessels. By the 3rd century AD it would have been commonplace to build vessels from staves rather than a single carved body. Few stave built vessels survive as wood, but a number survive in the form of metal fittings.

Until recently, log ladders were comparatively rare finds. One of the first to be recorded was found further down Newark Road, between Newark Road and Fengate (Pryor 1978 Fig. 27 and Plate 12). Pryor could only offer ethnographic parallels as nothing similar was known at the time. A number of these ladders have been found recently, particularly in the Peterborough area, but also in the Thames Valley and other gravel areas. They seem to be a feature of access to deep water-holes, particularly where the water-hole or well has been cut in fairly loose sand/gravel. The pair of ladders from this site are of two different designs: one (SF19), is a $1 / 2$ split log, while the other (SF26) is a full $\log$ (i.e. roundwood). The ends are different with SF19 trimmed on 3 out of 4 sides to a point and SF 26 trimmed from 2 directions to a flat tapered point. SF26 is also slightly curved, and this may have helped the log lay securely against the side of the pit.

The assemblage of bark ( 5 pieces, one unmeasureable) is far too small for any useful discussion.

The assemblage of wood working debris is also too small for extensive discussion. The material from Phase 2 Pit F4402 is appropriate to the other material from the same pit, which is roundwood. Similarly the small amount of material from Phase 2 Pit F4562 is derived from roundwood of similar size and character.

The tangential woodchip from Phase 5 Pit F4438, on the other hand, has no connection with the fabrication of the artefact from the same context (the vessel base).

The roundwood from the site is all relatively small and seems to be derived from local material. None of the material is from forest trees, but this is often the case with domestic material.

### 3.8 Human bone

Carina Phillips
(See Section 5.7)

### 3.8.1 Introduction

The only human bone recovered from excavations of Broadlands, Peterborough was inhumation SK4382, dated to Phase 2, late Bronze Age to early Iron Age. The bones of SK4382 were poorly preserved, exhibiting erosion, splintering and incompleteness. Age estimations suggest it to have been aged as a late adolescent/young adult at time of death. Estimation of sex and stature was not possible due to the incompleteness of the skeleton, related to the poor bone survival. Due to the recovery of only one skeleton, analysis and discussion is limited to the osteological.

### 3.8.2 Method

The human remains were examined to determine sex and age, stature and record any pathological evidence. It was not possible to base age and sex estimations on the usual pelvic and cranial features due to fragmentation of these bones (see Buikstra \& Ubelaker 1994 and Ferembach et al 1980 for details). Estimation of age was therefore based on dental eruption and bone fusion (see Buikstra and Ubelaker 1994 and Ferembach et al 1980 for details). Dentition was recorded, including details of dental pathologies and dental attrition ages for adults will also be considered following Miles (1963). Assessment of the remains for other skeletal pathologies and non-metric traits took place, but were not observed; a factor associated partly with fragmentation of the bone. All data is available in the site archive.

### 3.8.3 SK4382

Inhumation SK4382 was c. $50-75 \%$ complete. Age was indicated through the dentition and the epiphyseal fusion of two bones. Eruption of the mandibular and maxilla $3^{\text {rd }}$ molars, and absence of wear on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ molars gives an age estimate of $15-$ 21 years (Buikstra \& Ubelaker 1994). Most of the long bones were incomplete; however it was possible to record the fusion state of the right distal humerus and right iliac crest. The distal humerus was completely fused which occurs between the ages of 14-18 years. The iliac crest was un-fused, fusion of this occurs between the ages of 21-24 years. The epiphyseal lines are visable for approximately 1-2 years after ossification (Ferembach et al 1980, 531). It thus seems that the individual was aged 15-21 years. Both the dentition and fusion therefore suggest the SK4832 was in late adolescence/young adulthood at time of death. Dental attrition fell in the 17-25 age group (Miles 1963). It was not possible to estimate stature, although the remains were observed to be small and gracile. Although gracile appearance is usually associated with females it may be due to the young age of the individual and cannot therefore be used to infer sex

### 3.9 Animal bone

Carina Phillips
(see Section 5.8)

### 3.9.1 Introduction

The majority of the bone from the Broadlands investigation came from early Roman (Phase 4) Gully F4289 (L4290). The partially articulated remains of at least three cattle in various stages of completeness were present in this feature. Articulated limbs were most frequent. Also recovered from a Phase 4 feature (Pit F4436, L4437) was a headless pig skeleton. Both may be examples of special deposits. 390 other animal bone fragments were recovered, these dated to Phase 2 (late Bronze Age to early Iron Age), Phase 3 (late Iron Age), Phase 4 (early Roman) and Phase 5 (later Roman). One fragment came from a modern feature, three came from palaeosol and 31 from undated features; these have been excluded from further analysis.

The majority of the bone was poorly preserved, with concretion of salts (caused by a waterlogged anaerobic environment) affecting a large proportion. The friable nature of the bone resulted in much of the assemblage fragmenting during excavation. This is likely to result in a large amount of the bone being unidentifiable to species and element. The poor preservation may also have obliterated butchery marks, particularly cut marks. The hand recovery technique used may be biased towards the recovery of larger bones, possibly resulting in an under-representation of small species particularly bird, fish and small mammals.

### 3.9.2 Method

When possible the bones were identified and recorded to species and element. Unless a clear identification was possible the category sheep/goat has been used due to the difficulties in clearly identifying the species sheep (Ovis sp.) or goat (Capra sp.). The term cattle has been used to refer to bones that are not distinguishable to cow or bull. Tooth wear for cattle, sheep and pig were recorded using the method of Grant (1982) and ages assigned following the method of Hambleton (1999). Tooth wear ageing was not possible for horses due to the absence of observable teeth. Measurements were taken when viable following the methods of Jones et al (1976) and von den Driesch (1976), and are contained in the site archive. The conversion of measurements to withers heights was only possible for cattle; it was carried out using Matolcsi (1970). When available the fusion state of identifiable bones was also recorded and ages were assessed following Silver (1969). Fragments not identified to a particular species were recorded under the categories of 'large sized', consisting of cattle (Bos sp.), large deer, and horse (Equus sp.), sized fragments and 'small sized' consisting of sheep/goat, pig (Sus sp.) and dog (Canis familiaris) sized bone fragments. The unidentifiable bone fragments were recorded as such. Evidence of burning, sawing, chopping, knife-cutting and gnawing was also recorded, as was deliberately smashed bone.

The data was separated by phase and analysed separately. The minimum number of individuals (MNI) of a species was calculated from most frequent left or right skeletal element (MNE, minimum number of elements).

### 3.9.3 Results

## Phase 1: middle Bronze Age

Phase 1 contained only three fragments of bone. All were identifiable as cattle and were eroded and concreted.

## Phase 2: late Bronze Age to early Iron Age

| Species | NISP | MNI | Chopped | Cut | Smashed | Gnawed | Burnt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cattle | 35 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sheep/goat | 15 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Pig | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Horse | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Large sized | 10 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Small sized | 16 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unidentifiable | 53 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 133 | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 |

Table 3: The Number of Identified Specimens/fragments (NISP), Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) and counts of cut, smashed and gnawed bone in Phase 2

A small assemblage of 133 fragments came from Phase 2 features (Table 3). Small numbers of cattle, sheep/goat, pig and horse bones were identified, of which cattle bones were most frequent, followed by sheep/goat. Two cut marks were the only evidence of butchery in the assemblage. Carnivore gnawing was evident on three bone fragments. Age estimates based on tooth wear were possible for two cattle mandibles, aged as young adult and senile and one sheep/goat mandible aged at 4-6 years.

The fragmented remains of at least three cattle skulls were recovered, in addition to other disarticulated animal bone, from Pit F4402 (L4454). One of the skulls is assumed to have been substantially complete when excavated as it was noted in excavation records. However it was not possible to tell which of the skulls it was as all animal bone from this context was collected together. It is possible that these skulls could represent a special deposit, but due to the presence of other animal bone and the mixing of all the skulls this cannot be discussed any further.

Samples from two Phase 2 burnt features, F4112 (L4115, Sample 3; L4114, Sample 4) and F4317 (L4319, Samples 14 and 26) were processed (see Fryer, this report) and the resultant residues were sorted. No bone was present in the residues from F4317 (L4319). The bone from the sample residues from F4112 comprised two bone fragments exhibiting evidence of burning (a partly calcinated small sized long bone fragment and an additional unidentified calcinated fragment); the only bone to be hand recovered from this feature was a calcinated small sized long bone fragment, possibly from the same bone as that found in the sample residue. Also recovered from the sample residues were five teeth from the mandible and maxilla of a pig aged c. 21-27 months (Hambleton 1999), fragments of a small sized rib and long bone exhibiting iron oxide staining, and fragments of a sheep/goat molar.

Phase 3: late Iron Age

| Species | NISP | MNI | Chopped | Cut | Smashed | Gnawed | Burnt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cattle | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Sheep/goat | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pig | 24 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Horse | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Large sized | 12 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Small sized | 11 | - | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Unidentifiable | 17 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 98 | - | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 0 |

Table 4: The Number of Identified Specimens/fragments (NISP), Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) and counts of cut, smashed and gnawed bone in Phase 3

The Phase 3 assemblage consisted of 98 fragments of animal bone. Pig bones were most frequent in NISP counts; sheep/goat followed, and produced the same MNI. Three pig mandibles gave three age estimates, two at 2-7 months and one 21-27 month. Cattle and horse bones were also present in small numbers. Butchery evidence was present in small numbers, smashed bone was most frequent. One horse bone exhibited cut marks suggestive of de-fleshing. Four fragments exhibited carnivore gnawing.

## Phase 4: early Roman

| Species | NISP | MNI | Chopped | Cut | Smashed | Gnawed | Burnt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cattle | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sheep/goat | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pig | $64\left({ }^{*} 63\right)$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Horse | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Red deer | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Large sized | 8 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Small sized | 6 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unidentifiable | 9 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 99 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* number from a single skeleton

Table 5: The Number of Identified Specimens/fragments (NISP), Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) and counts of cut, smashed and gnawed bone in Phase 4 excluding Gully F4290

The majority of the Phase 4 assemblage came from Gully F4289 (L4290) (see below). 99 other bone fragments were recovered from features of this phase; identifiable bones included cattle, sheep/goat, pig, horse and red deer (Cervus elaphus) (Table 5). Two cattle mandibles were aged as senile.

Of the bone from contexts other that L4290, 64\% came from a pig skeleton in Pit F4436 (L4437). The pig was partially complete. All bones exhibited severe concretion. The skull and mandibles were absent. It was not possible to observe the cervical vertebrae for evidence of cut marks associated with removal of the skull due to the poor preservation of the bone. Considering the presence of the rest of the skeleton and the absence of teeth, which have a high survival rate, it is likely the head was removed before deposition. The completeness of the rest of the skeleton also
suggests that the carcass was deposited articulated with at least the muscle present. Due to the absence of all teeth age estimation can only be made based on bone fusion, the fusion of all observable bones indicates the pig to have been aged over $31 / 2$ years at time of death.

An enlarged section of Gully F4289 (L4290) between Segs B and E contained the bones from a minimum of three cattle. No other species were present in this feature. As the bones were poorly preserved and likely to fragment during excavation, they were recorded in situ in addition to post-excavation recording. The overall count of bone fragments during post-excavation analysis was grossly exaggerated due to severe fragmentation of the bone ( 1235 fragments), the bones from this feature are therefore considered by identifiable elements and articulated bones. Most of the bones were fragmented, hindering measurements; however the dense structure of the astragali enabled measurements of most. The size of the astragali indicated which hind limbs came from the same individual (Table 6).

| Gully position | Observable articulations | Bones present | Associated with | Butchery | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Art. 1 (skull \& spine) | Skull, mandible, cervical \& thoracic vertebrae, ribs | - | - | Senile |
|  | Art. 2 (left hind limb) | Femur to Tibia | Art. 3 | - | $>31 / 2-4 \mathrm{yrs}$ |
| Middle | Art. 3 (right hind limb) | Femur to Metatarsal | Art. 2 | - | $>2-21 / 2 \mathrm{yrs}$ |
|  | Art. 4 (left hind limb) | Pelvis to Phalanges | Art. 5 | cut marks on 1st phalanges | $>2-21 / 2 \mathrm{yrs}$ |
|  | Art. 5 (right hind limb) | Pelvis to Phalanges | Art. 4 | - | $>2-21 / 2 \mathrm{yrs}$ |
|  | Art. 6 (right hind limb) | Femur to Phalanges | Art. 10 | - | $>2-21 / 2 \mathrm{yrs}$ |
|  | Art. 7 (left front limb) | Humerus to Metacarpal | - | - | - |
|  | Art. 8 (right front limb) | Scapula to Radius/Ulna | Possibly Art. 9 | - | $>1-1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{yrs}$ |
|  | Art. 9 (right lower front limb) | Metacarpal to Phalanges | Possibly <br> Art. 8 | cut marks on 1st phalanx | $>1-1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{yrs}$ |
| North | Art. 10 (left hind limb) | Femur to Phalanges | Art. 6 | cut marks on 1st phalanges | $>2-21 / 2 \mathrm{yrs}$ |
|  | Art. 11 (right front limb) | Humerus to Radius | - | - | $>1-1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{yrs}$ |
|  | Possible Art. 12 | Mandibles (left \& right), skull \& atlas vertebrae | - | - | Senile |

Table 6: The cattle bones from Gully F4289 (L4290), detailing articulations, associated articulations (from the same individual), butchery evidence and age (based on tooth wear for mandibles, and bone fusion for long bones).

The southern end of the enlarged part of Gully F4289 (L4290) contained a (cattle) skull, mandible, cervical and thoracic vertebrae and ribs, which appear to have been articulated (Art.1) at time of deposition, although the mandible was moved from it natural position possibly through post depositional disturbance. Ageing using the teeth of this mandible indicate the individual to have been in very old age ('senile' following Hambleton 1999) at time of death. Abutting the spine was a left hind limb (Art.2). The right hind limb from the same individual was deposited in the middle of the enlarged section of the gully (Art.3). Three other hind limbs were deposited in this middle area (Art. 4, 5 and 6). A right and left hind limb from the same animal (Art. 4 and 5) appear to have been deposited whilst articulated with the pelvises. Both $1^{\text {st }}$ phalanges from the left hind limb (Art.4) of this pair exhibited cut marks on the
mid-shaft indicative of skinning. The fourth hind limb in this area was also substantially complete consisting of the femur and most foot bones (Art.6).

Two front limbs, one left (Art. 7) and one right (Art.8, from which the lower limb bones were absent) were also present in the middle of the enlarged section of the gully. It was not possible to determine whether these limbs came from the same individual as the bones were too fragmented for measurements. A right metacarpal and articulating foot bones (Art. 9) were also present in this area, these were out of anatomical position with the right front limb (Art 8), but may have been associated. The right $1^{\text {st }}$ phalanx associated with this metacarpal exhibited cut marks indicative of skinning.

In the northern part of the enlarged section of the gully were two mandibles (from the same animal) with an age of 'senile' based on tooth wear. In addition to these were the very fragmented remains of a left hind limb (Art.10) (probably from the same individual as Art .6), the phalanges of which exhibited cut marks associated with skinning. Also present in the northern area were the fragmented remains of a right front limb (Art.11), a fragmented skull and part of an axis vertebra (possible Art.12).


Table 7: The present cattle body parts in L4290, and the actual count that should be present for three cattle

Hind limbs were more frequently deposited than any other body part. Table 7 illustrates the counts of the body parts present and the count that should actually be present for a minimum of three cattle carcasses. Fore limbs and spines are below the expected count suggesting that the absent parts of the carcasses were deposited or utilised elsewhere.

Butchery was only evident on the phalanges from a minimum of two cattle, the position of these cut marks is indicative of skinning. Although other examples of butchery are absent (probably due to fragmentation of the bones), the deposition of separate body parts strongly suggests that the carcasses were butchered into parts/joints before deposition.

Phase 5: later Roman

| Species | NISP | MNI | Chopped | Cut | Smashed | Gnawed | Burnt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cattle | 24 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Pig | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Horse | 19 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Large sized | 12 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Small sized | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unidentifiable | 40 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 97 | - | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 |

Table 9: The Number of Identified Specimens/fragments (NISP), Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) and counts of cut, smashed and gnawed bone in Phase 5

The Phase 5 assemblage consisted of 97 animal bone fragments (Table 9). $41 \%$ of the assemblage was identifiable to species. Cattle bones were most frequent, followed by horse. Only one bone was identifiable to pig. Butchery marks were present on $12 \%$ of the assemblage. Cut and smashed bones were most common. Two of the horse bones exhibited cut marks one, the position of these indicates skinning and defleshing.

Some of the bone from F2188 could not be recorded for this analysis. This assemblage had been previously recorded by Rhodri Gardner using the method of Davis (1992), which resulted in only identifiable bones being counted (Gardner in Wotherspoon 2003). The counts of the bones unidentifiable to species in F2188 may therefore be an under-representation. One of the previously recorded bones was a cattle radius with a length (Gl) of 311 mm , suggesting a withers height in the region of 134 cm (Matolcsi, 1970). This is larger than expected for Romano-British cattle; an average of $c .112 \mathrm{~cm}$ is suggested by Davis (1987). The radius is larger than the largest radius listed in Jones et al (1985, 160), which was 305 mm in length (from Maltby 1979). Gardner (in Wotherspoon 2003) suggests this is more consistent with the size of post-medieval/modern improved breeds. However, the ceramic evidence which dates this feature as later Roman is strong, and it is possible that the bone in question came from a very large animal, possibly a bull. It is larger than the metatarsal found in early Roman Phase 4 (evaluation assemblage, see below). However, the lack of other complete bones in the assemblage hinders further consideration of this.

## Bone from the evaluation

53 of the 61 fragments recovered during the evaluation excavations came from F1083, L1084. This feature was a part of the large Phase 4 boundary ditch, labelled during the excavations as F3029 (=F1037, F4326), which ran parallel to Phase 4 Gully F4290 (see above). Eleven of the bones from this feature come from two hind cattle limbs. The right leg was present from the tibia to the phalanges and the left from the tibia to the metatarsal. There was no evidence of butchery. Measurements indicate they came from the same individual. The right metatarsal was complete ( $\mathrm{GL}=211.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) enabling calculation of withers height. This was calculated at 118 cm , falling within the height range found at other Roman sites (Jones et al 1985, 159-161). The remaining bone from F1083 (L1084) was disarticulated, and no articulated bone was recovered from this boundary ditch during the excavations.

It is possible that the articulated lower hind cattle limbs from F1083 are similar to the cattle deposit in Gully L4290. However, this suggestion can only be speculative as two limbs were present, and these were recovered with a mixture of other, disarticulated, bone.

### 3.9.4 Discussion

Excavation records suggest that there were a high number of animal mandibles on site. Consideration of these in the recording stage indicates that mandibles, maxillae and teeth were present, but not in exceptionally high numbers. These bones, particularly the teeth, have a higher survival rate than most due to their dense structure and are therefore more likely to survive and be recognisable in a poorly preserved assemblage.

Phase 1 (middle Bronze Age) contained too few fragments of animal bone to enable a discussion. Phases 2, 3, 4 (excluding Gully F4290) and 5 produced small animal bone assemblages consisting of 83-133 fragments. The small size of these assemblages is likely to be associated with both the poor preservation of the bone and the use of the area for agricultural activity rather than settlement.

The main domestic species, cattle, sheep/goat, pig and horse formed the majority of the identifiable assemblages in all phases. In each phase proportions of these species varied. However these results are considered tentative due to the small amount of identifiable bones in each phase. Phase 2 was dominated by cattle, then sheep/goat, Phase 3 by pigs and sheep/goat, Phase 4 is biased by the cattle and pig deposits and Phase 5 has a dominance of cattle and then horse. The only wild species to be identified was red deer present in Phase 4. The presence of bone and antler suggests hunting of this species was taking place. Red deer have a natural habitat of woodland or forest, although today they have adapted to more open environments. This may imply that woodland was situated within a close enough proximity for hunting to take place.

Butchery evidence on cattle, sheep/goat and pig bones is indicative of meat and marrow utilisation. Use of horse carcasses for skin and meat was indicated by butchery marks in Phase 3 (late Iron Age) and Phase 5 (later Roman). Horses are likely to have exploited primarily for their speed; they were probably kept in small numbers and may only have been useful for riding until the development of the harness for ploughing in the $9^{\text {th }}$ to $10^{\text {th }}$ century AD (Trow-Smith 1957). Utilisation of the horse carcass would have been a secondary benefit of keeping horses. In the late Iron Age (Phase 2) it is not unusual for horse meat to have been used for food by humans, but the Romans influenced opinion away from eating horse meat, which was not the practice elsewhere in the empire (Wilson 1991, 71-72). Horse meat may however, have been used to feed dogs as has been found at occurring on some postmedieval sites (Wilson \& Edwards 1993, Thomas \& Locock 2000).

## Unusual animal bone deposits

Unusual deposits were found in Phases 2 (one example) and Phase 4 (three examples). In all cases, the excavated evidence may be consistent with the much-debated phenomenon of structured, or special, animal bone deposits (cf. Grant 1984a, Wait
1985), otherwise referred to as Associated Animal Bone Groups (ABGs; cf. Hill 1995). The existence, meaning and methods for identifying such deposits are subjects of continuing debate. The earlier stages of this debate largely comprised attempts to set out the criteria by which such deposits could be defined (e.g. Grant 1984a; Wait 1985), but these were robustly challenged (e.g. Wilson 1992), and later contributions have described and discussed a much more loosely defined phenomenon, encompassing artefact categories other than animal bone (e.g. Hill 1995; Cunliffe 1995). Attempts to understand the significance of such deposits have been made (e.g. Grant 1984a; Cunliffe 1992, 1995), but this area remains open to speculation.

The three fragmented cattle skulls from Phase 2 Pit F4402 could represent a special animal bone deposit but this cannot be verified owing to the fragmentation and mixing of the skulls, and to the presence of other animal bone in the same context. The presence of two articulated cattle lower limbs in Phase 4 Ditch F1083 (= F3029, F1037, F4326) is similarly ambiguous, given the presence of other (disarticulated) bone in the same context, though the case for these representing a structured deposit is supported by the relationship of the ditch to Gully F4290 (see below). The partial pig skeleton from Phase 4 Pit F4437 is likely to represent a special deposit, though this cannot be proven; the pig carcass is thought to have been near complete when deposited, the major exception being its head which is thought to have been deliberately removed.

The least ambiguous example of a special deposit at the Broadlands site came from and enlarged section of Gully F4289 (L4290), which contained an articulated skull (probable mandible) and spine, six hind limbs, three/four front limbs and a skull with possible associated mandibles. The mandibles from two individuals were aged at senile using tooth wear, and all bones with observable fusion points were fully fused, suggesting adult animals. Articulated limbs (particularly hind limbs) were most common and positioned mainly in the middle of the enlarged section of the gully. A skull and probable associated mandibles and vertebrae were found in the north and south parts of the enlarged section of the gully, in addition to at least one articulated limb. The positioning of the skulls at either end of the gully and the limbs mainly in the middle may be indicative of deliberate patterning of the bones.

The limbs were substantially complete, in some cases the scapula or pelvis was present. Most included the metapodials and foot bones. These bones bear little usable meat and because of this are commonly removed during butchery, or sometimes removed with the skins (Serjeantson 1989, 137). Cut marks were present on the phalanges from a minimum of two cattle indicating that the skin was removed before deposition. There was no other evidence of butchery, probably due to the fragmentation of the bone. However, it is likely that butchery occurred to separate the carcass into the pieces (limbs, skulls/mandibles, vertebrae) in which they were deposited. There was no evidence for the filleting of meat and it is unlikely, given the completeness of a number of the deposited limbs, that their meat was utilised, though this possibility cannot be discounted. The adult age (and 'senile' age of at least two) of the cattle suggest these were older than prime meat animals. They are therefore likely to have been used for produces other than meat, i.e. traction, milk, breeding, resulting in their survival to old age.

The butchery of these carcasses into joints (i.e. limbs, spine) could have been to enable easy disposal in the gully, as a complete carcass would be extremely hard to move. Not all the skeletal elements from three cattle were present, suggesting that the remaining parts of the carcasses were deposited or utilised elsewhere. A practical explanation for the deposition of the meat bearing limbs of these cattle would be that the beasts were diseased and so not suitable for consumption (the old age of the animals is unlikely to have been a factor in determining whether or not they would be consumed). There is evidence for the skinning of at least two of the cattle, and presumably these skins would have been put to some practical use; it would not necessarily have been realised by the Roman community at Broadlands that the skins of diseased animals could be a potential health hazard (cf. Roberts and Cox 2003, 40). However, neither the consistency of carcass parts selected nor their deliberate positioning within Gully F4290 would be expected if their presence were explained by factors such as disposal of diseased animals.

Mixed deposits of limbs and crania, such as that in F4290 have previously been identified as special/ structured animal bone deposits, for example at Mount Farm, Oxfordshire (Wilson 1999, 1996) and at Danebury, where (as in Ditch F4290) deliberate positioning of the bones within the feature was seen as an important factor in the identification of deposits as non-mundane (Grant 1984a; Wilson 1992, 1999). The pig skeleton in Pit F4436 is a clear example of deposition of a near complete carcass, and skulls (as recovered from Phase 2 Pit F4402 are another recognised category of special/structured deposit (e.g. Wait 1985).

Early studies of special animal deposits (e.g. Wait 1985, 151) were strongly based on the evidence from Danebury (Grant 1984b), and proposed deposition in pits (not ditches) as a criterion for recognising such deposits. However, more examples of special/ structured deposition have since been identified, and Hill (1995) discusses the symbolic use of enclosure ditches drawing on the presence of ABGs. An example of structured deposition recently identified at Haddenham (on the fen edge c. 35 km south east of Broadlands) comprised the burial of numerous articulated cattle and horse skeletons in a ditch dating to the (very) late Iron Age or early to mid RomanoBritish period (Phillips and Grassam 2006).

The pig in Pit F4436 is thought to have been deposited with its muscle in place, and the meat from the cattle limbs in Gully F4290 does not seem to have been utilised. A failure to exploit animal carcasses/ carcass parts 'in the normal manner' is one of Wait's (1985) criteria for recognition of special deposition. However, the evidence from F4290 suggests that at least two of the cattle were exploited for their skins prior to deposition. Grant (1984a, 225) discusses ways in which a community could make a symbolic sacrifice whilst minimising the real loss to the community: the choice of old animals (rather than prime meat, milk or draught animals), the removal of skins and the deposition of carcass parts rather than whole carcasses may all be explained in this way.

The frequency of limbs, by comparison to other body parts, is noticeable in the deposit in Gully F4290 and is likely (along with the absence of other species from the feature) to be significant. Wait (1985) writes that consistency in the choice of body parts chosen implies choice and deliberation in the creation of the deposit. This comes very close to the point made by Hill (1995) that it is the structuring (i.e. the
deliberate and conscious choice) behind the act of deposition which sets certain bone deposits (those previously labelled as 'special') and artefact deposits apart from others.

The similarity between the cattle limb and skull deposit in Gully F4290 and the linear multiple burial of horses and cattle at Haddenham (Grassam and Phillips 2006) may point to similarities in belief between the communities inhabiting different part of the fen edge in the Roman period. Similarities noted between a deposit including sheep mandibles and metatarsals at Flag Fen and a similar Romano-British deposit at Haddenham's Romano-Celtic temple (Halstead and Cameron 1992, 500) further attest this theory, and also suggest longevity of the beliefs in question.

### 3.9.5 Conclusion

Little can be said about the husbandry practices and butchery practices for this site due to the small and fragmented assemblages. More can be said regarding the three unusual deposits in Phase 4. The burial of three complete cattle would normally result in a great loss to the community, for cattle are the most valuable domestic species in farming, providing, not only meat, skins, sinew, bone and horn in death, but calves, milk, manure and draught/traction in life. The age of the cattle in F4289 (L4290) suggests that they were likely to have been at the near or at end of their working life. The removal of the skin of at least two of the carcasses and the exclusion of some parts suggest that meat was likely to have been the main sacrifice. The deposition of the skulls at both ends and limbs in the middle of the gully is suggestive of deliberate deposition. Although special 'limb' deposits and the use of linear features are less common there are examples of these at other sites, therefore suggesting that these cattle deposits in Gully L4290 could be a special deposit. The partial pig skeleton in Phase 4 is probably also an example of a special deposit.

### 3.10 Charred plant macrofossils and other remains

Val Fryer
(See Section 5.9 for data)

### 3.10.1 Introduction and method statement

Excavations prior to development at Ideal Shopping, Peterborough, undertaken by Archaeological Solutions, revealed features of middle Bronze Age, late Bronze Age to early Iron Age, late Iron Age and Roman date. Although samples for the retrieval of the plant macrofossil assemblages were taken from across the excavated area, a number were from undated contexts and were unsuitable for assessment, and others, once processed, were so severely contaminated with silt concretions that effective sorting was not possible. As a result of this pre-selection, seventeen assemblages were finally submitted for assessment.

The samples were bulk floated by Archaeological Solutions, and the flots were collected in a 500 micron mesh sieve. The dried flots were scanned under a binocular microscope at magnifications up to x 16 , and the plant macrofossils and other remains noted are listed in Section 5.9. Nomenclature within the table follows Stace (1997). All plant remains were charred. Samples containing material possibly suitable for C14/AMS determinations have been denoted by an asterisk (*), although it should be
noted that suitability may have been compromised by the incomplete drying of the flots and subsequent fungal growth. Modern contaminants including roots, straw, moss, arthropods, seeds and fungal sclerotia were present throughout, and formed the major component of some assemblages.

### 3.10.2 Results

With the exception of charcoal/charred wood fragments, which were present at varying densities in all assemblages studied, plant remains were exceedingly scarce, and were confined solely to the cremations. Hazel (Corylus avellana) nutshell fragments were noted within Samples 3 and 4 from Pit F4112, and a single sheep's sorrel (Rumex acetosella) fruit was recorded from Pit F4413 (Sample 45). Other remains were equally scarce; occasional fragments of burnt bone and stone were recorded along with black porous and tarry concretions, both of which are possible residues of the combustion of organic remains at very high temperatures.

### 3.10.3 Conclusions

In summary, the assemblages are all very small ( $<0.1$ litres in volume) and, in most instances, plant remains, including charcoal fragments, are extremely scarce. The assemblages are almost certainly largely composed of low densities of scattered/windblown refuse, much of which was probably accidentally incorporated within the feature fills.

### 3.11 Charcoal

Rowenna Gale

### 3.11.1 Introduction

Small quantities of charcoal were recovered from environmental samples taken from an early Iron Age pit (F3072) and a slot associated with an undated (possibly Neolithic, definitely pre $3^{\text {rd }}$ century AD) structure (see Sections 2.2 and 2.8). Species identification was undertaken to provide environmental evidence.

### 3.11.2 Materials and methods

Bulk soil samples were processed by flotation and sieving. Charcoal was sparse in both contexts and in a poor state of preservation, especially that from L2041 from which none of the fragments measured $>2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in radial cross section. In view of the paucity of the material every effort was made to examine as much of the material as possible. The samples were prepared for examination using standard methods (Gale and Culter 2000). The anatomical structures were examined using incident light on a Nikon Labophot-2 microscope at magnifications of up to $x 400$. The taxa identified were matched to prepared reference slides of modern wood.

### 3.11.3 Results

The charcoal analysis is summarised in Table 10 and discussed below. Where a genus is represented by a single species of British flora this is named as the most likely origin of the wood, given the provenance and period, but it should be noted that
it is rarely possible to name individual species from wood features, and exotic species of trees and shrubs were introduced to Britain from an early period (Godwin 1956; Mitchell 1974). Classification follows that of Flora Europea (Tutin, Heywood et al 1964-80). The anatomical structure of the charcoal was consistent with the following taxa:
Corylaceae. Corylus avellana L. , hazel.
Fagaceae. Quercus sp., oak.

| Sample | Feature | Context | Description | Corylus | Quercus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | F3072 | L3073 | Upper fill of pit | 1 | 3 h |
| 11 | F3072 | L3074 | Lower fill of pit | 1 | $9 \mathrm{~h}, 1 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 7 | F2040 | L2041 | From slot relating to <br> possible building | - | 1 |

Table 10: Charcoal from Pit F3072 and Slot F2040
Phase 2 Pit F3072
Charcoal was examined from the upper (L3073) and lower (L3074) fills of Phase 2 Pit F3072, which also contained an iron knife (see Sections 2.4.3 and 3.5). The charcoal included oak (Quercus sp.), mostly heartwood, and hazel (Corylus avellana).

## Undated Slot F2040

Slot F2040 (L2041) was related to an undated circular structure from which there were no associated finds. The charcoal consisted of a few tiny flecks and included oak (Quercus sp.).

### 3.11.4 Discussion

The site was located on the edge of the fenlands area which appears to have been used intensively for stock rearing since the Neolithic period, when large-scale woodland clearance made way for field-systems. Climatic fluctuations during the late Bronze Age and Iron Age led to flooding and consequently the reorganisation of land use, before a return to drier conditions in the late Iron Age.

The origin of the charcoal from the fill of the slot cut (L2041) is unknown, although it seems likely to represent fuel debris. Similar origins apply to charcoal from Pit F3072. Charcoal was sparse in both features but suggested that fuel was supplied fro oak (Quercus sp.) and hazel (Corylus avellana). In addition to other wood species, it is probable that reeds and peat were also used, although there was no evidence of such from the site.

As indicated above, the early Iron Age environment presented a landscape of ditches and field boundaries, and was mainly or totally devoid of woodland. Evidence from the charcoal analysis indicated the availability and use of oak (Quercus sp.) and hazel (Corylus avellana), probably as fuel. Contemporary posthole alignments attested the use of stakes or posts for wooden boundary fences or post-built structures, which would have required relatively wide poles or trunks. These may have undergone regular replacement and the discarded wood from rotten posts or collapsed hurdles was probably consigned to fuel stocks. It is not possible to assess from the charcoal
residues whether they originated from managed woodland, but given the character of the environment and its long history of land-use, this would seem a strong possibility if the wood was of local origin. Ready access to wood fuel (in this instance charcoal) is also suggested by iron-smelting slag in Pit F3033 (Cowgill, this report), which may imply on-site industrial activity. However, the use of alternative fuels such as peat charcoal (which has successfully been used in areas where wood fuel is unavailable, e.g. the Orkneys and Shetland; Fenton 1978), may have been relevant at Broadlands.

Clearly, wood was available at Broadlands and, possibly, from trees of fairly large dimensions. The fens would have offered suitable habitats for wetland species such as willow (Salix sp.), alder (Alnus glutinosa) and alder buckthorn (Frangula alnus), but the majority of trees and shrubs, including oak and hazel, would not have tolerated these persistently waterlogged soils. Oak/ hazel woodland would have been restricted to confines of drier land. If hedgerows were used to define field boundaries, they would have supplied a source of poles and could also have provided a useful means of procuring firewood. Hedgerows may also have included mature trees.

Given the small amount of charcoal available, it was impossible to assess the woodland element at the site with any certainty. Wood was evidently a necessity for various aspects of daily life but whether this was brought to the site from further afield or was obtained from very local sources is not known.

### 3.11.5 Conclusion

This report presents the analysis of charcoal from two contexts associated with settlement or agricultural structures and has been attributed as fuel debris. The deposits indicated the use of oak (Quercus sp.) and hazel (Corylus avellana). The site was located in a landscape given over to pastoral farming and it is not clear whether the fuel was obtained from small stands of trees retained, for example, within the field systems or from associated hedgerows, or was imported from elsewhere. There was no evidence to indicate the use of managed woodland or fenland species.

## PART II CATALOGUES AND OTHER RECORDS

## 4 FEATURE AND CONTEXT DESCRIPTIONS

### 4.1 Site deposit model

| Layer | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| L2000 (=L3000, <br> L1000, L4002) | Topsoil. Dark greyish black silty clay. Absent in the Stage III <br> (loading dock) area where it had been replaced with hardcore and <br> gravel; compacted in the Stage IV area. |
| L4172 | A horizon of palaeosol, subjected to alluvial aggradation from over <br> bank flooding (present in Stage IV area only). |
| L2001 (=L3001, <br> L1001, L4003) | B horizon of palaeosol, pale yellowish brown loamy sand to silt <br> loam. |
| L2002 (=L3002, <br> L1002, L4004) | Natural deposits of yellowish orange silty sand with gravel. |

Phase 1 ditches

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ profile | Fill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4320 | L4321 (Seg A only) | c. $8 \times 0.81 \times 0.50-0.52$ | Linear/ steep straight sides, slightly concave base | Dark bluish grey mottled with darker patches and red patches compact silty sand; occasional sub angular and rounded stones and flecks of charcoal |
|  | L4357 (Seg A only) |  |  | Light brownish grey with red friable sand; very occasional stone |
|  | L4358 (Seg B only) |  |  | Dark bluish grey mottled with darker patches and red patches, compact silty sand; occasional stone and charcoal |
|  | L4359 (Seg B only) |  |  | Light brownish grey with red friable sand; very occasional stone |
|  | L4360 (Seg C only) |  |  | Dark bluish grey mottled with darker patches and red patches, compact silty sand; occasional stone |
| F4338 | L4339 (Seg A only) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } 33 \times 1.15-1.33 \times 0.45- \\ & 0.50 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderately sloping sides, concave base | Mid dark grey with orange patches loose clayey silt; occasional gravel inclusions |
|  | L4428 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid grey brown with occasional orange flecks friable sandy silt; moderate angular and sub angular stone inclusions |
|  | L4431 (Seg C only) |  |  | Mid grey brown with orange flecks friable silty sand; moderate angular and sub angular stone inclusions |
| F4463 | L4464 (Segs B, C and F only) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { c. } 52 \times 0.93-1.39 \times 0.25- \\ 0.59 \end{array}$ | Linear/ moderate sides, concave base (flat towards terminus) | Mid bluish brown loose sandy silt; occasional small sub rounded and sub angular inclusions of stone and flint |
|  | L4469 (Segs A and C only) |  |  | Fairly loose sandy silt; occasional sub angular gravel inclusions |
|  | L4484 (Segs C and F only) |  |  | Mid bluish reddish brown firm sandy silt; occasional small sub angular and sub rounded inclusions of stone and flint |
|  | L4495 (Seg. F only) |  |  | Light bluish grey compact silty clay |
|  | L4534 (Seg. F only) |  |  | Mid brownish orange compact silty sand with gravel |
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4.3 The Phase 2 stockyard

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill | Finds Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The enclosure |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1035 | L1036 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.5 \times 0.3-0.7 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.08-0.16 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ steep sides, sloping base | Light greyish brown clayey silt; sand and gravel | - |
| F1028 | L1029 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.2 \times 0.8 \times 0.15- \\ & 0.57 \end{aligned}$ | Right-angled/ steep sides, variable base | Mid to light brown silty loam, clayey to east and south |  |
| F4311 | L4312 (Seg A only) | $\begin{aligned} & 18.80 \times 0.87-1.73 \\ & \times 0.42-0.80 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid grey firm clayey silt; occasional small pebbles | - |
|  | L4313 (Seg A only) |  |  | Mid brown orange firm clayey silt; occasional small gravel | - |
|  | L4329 (Seg A only) |  |  | Mid orange brown firm clayey silt; occasional small gravel | - |
|  | L4330 (Seg A only) |  |  | Light grey orange firm clayey silt; occasional small gravel | - |
|  | L4345 ( Seg B only) |  |  | Mid grey firm clayey silt | - |
|  | L4346 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid orange brown firm sandy silt | - |
|  | L4347 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid grey brown firm clayey silt; occasional small rubble | - |
|  | L4348 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid brown orange firm clayey silt; moderate small gravel | - |
|  | L4349 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid brown grey firm clayey silt; occasional small gravel | - |
|  | L4364 (Seg C only) |  |  | Light grey brown with occasional orange mottling compact clayey silt; moderate sub angular gravel | - |
|  | L4393 (Seg D only) |  |  | Light grey brown firm clayey silt; occasional small gravel | - |
|  | L4459 (Seg E only) |  |  | Mid brown grey firm clayey silt; occasional small pebble | - |


|  | L4460 (Seg E only) |  |  | Light grey firm clayey silt; occasional gravel and small pebbles | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4286 | L4287 (All segs) | $\begin{aligned} & 9.60 \times 1.85-2.65 \times \\ & 0.43-0.75 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid orangey brown firm clayey silt; occasional gravel and small pebbles | - |
|  | L4288 (All segs) |  |  | Mid grey brown firm clayey silt; occasional gravel and small pebbles | - |
| F4328 | L4331 (Seg A only) | $\begin{aligned} & 19.20 \times 0.57-0.97 \\ & \times 0.33-0.92 \end{aligned}$ | Right-angled/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid grey firm clayey silt | LBA-EIA |
|  | L4332 (Seg A only) |  |  | Mid orangey brown firm clayey silt; moderate inclusions of gravel | - |
|  | L4333 (Seg A only) |  |  | Mid greyish brown firm clayey silt; occasional rounded pebbles | - |
|  | L4334 (Seg A only) |  |  | Light greyish brown firm clayey silt; occasional gravel | - |
|  | L4340 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid grey firm clayey silt | - |
|  | L4341 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid orangey brown firm clayey silt | - |
|  | L4342 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid greyish brown firm clayey silt; occasional rubble | - |
|  | L4343 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid greyish brown firm clayey silt; occasional rubble | - |
|  | L4344 (Seg B only) |  |  | Mid greyish brown firm clayey silt; moderate gravel | - |
|  | L4365 (Seg C only) |  |  | Light-mid orangey brown compact clayey silt; occasional sub angular gravel | - |
|  | L4396 (Seg D only) |  |  | Mid orangey brown firm clayey silt; occasional gravel | - |
|  | L4227 (Seg E only) |  |  | Mid greyish brown firm clayey silt | - |
|  | L4228 (Seg E only) |  |  | Light greyish brown with orange flecking firm clayey silt; occasional gravel | - |
|  | L4229 (Seg E only) |  |  | Light brown grey firm clayey silt; occasional small pebbles and gravel | - |
| F4029 ( $=\mathrm{F} 4011$ ) | L4030 (F4029) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } 65.60 \times 1.50- \\ & 1.80 \times 0.49-0.50 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid/dark brown with orange flecking firm clayey silt; occasional flint and gravel | - |

Land off Broadlands, Peterborough.

|  | L4012 (F4011) |  |  | Light grey orange compact clay; occasional inclusions of possible orange ferric oxide | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1065 | L1066 | $8.8 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ | Linear/ steep sides, concave base | Light grey silty clay; occasional gravel | - |
| F1061 | L1062 | $5.1 \times 0.25 \times 0.32$ | Linear/ near vertical sides, concave base | Light grey silty clay; occasional gravel | - |
| The flanking ditches |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1018 | L1019 | $\begin{aligned} & 40+\mathrm{x} \quad 1.1-1.4 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.58-0.92 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ steep sides, flat base | Mid orangey brown silty clay; occasional gravel (slumped deposit) | - |
|  | L1034 |  |  | Mid grey silty clay; occasional gravel | - |
| F1016 | L1017 | $\begin{aligned} & 40+\text { x } 0.47-0.73 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.24-0.45 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderate to steep sides, flat base | Dark greyish brown sandy silt | - |
| F4084 | L4085 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } 22 \times 0.80-1.55 \times \\ & 0.30-0.50 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderatelysloping $\quad$ sides,irregularly flat base | Dark grey with orange and red mottles friable sandy silt; occasional inclusions of charcoal and rounded pebbles | - |
|  | L4086 |  |  | Dark grey loose sandy silt; occasional inclusions of rounded gravel, crushed burnt stone and charcoal | - |
|  | L4397 |  |  | Mid brown grey friable sandy silt; moderate angular and sub angular stones | LBA-EIA |
|  | L4398 |  |  | Orange, similar to redeposited natural, friable sandy silt | - |
|  | L4399 |  |  | Light to mid grey compact sandy clay; occasional inclusions of charcoal | - |
|  | L4400 |  |  | Dirty grey and orange friable sandy silt; frequent angular and sub angular stone inclusions | - |
|  | L4401 |  |  | ```Pale orange friable sandy silt with gravel inclusions; similar to wet gravel``` | - |
|  | L4335 |  |  | Mid grey friable sandy silt; occasional flecks of charcoal and degraded pottery. Medium to small sub angular flint and pebble inclusions | - |



|  |  |  |  | gravel inclusions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L4315 (F4316 Seg A) |  |  | Light brown grey firm clayey silt; occasional gravel inclusions | MBA |
|  | L4531 (F4316 Seg B) |  |  | Light brown grey firm clayey silt; occasional gravel inclusions | - |
|  | L4532 (F4316 Seg B) |  |  | Light brown grey firm clayey silt | - |
|  | L4533 (F4316 Seg B) |  |  | Dark purple brown firm clayey silt; moderate burnt material | LBA-EIA |
|  | L4570 (Seg B only) |  |  | Light brown firm clayey silt; occasional small angular inclusions of flint | - |
| Posthole |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4413 | L4414 | $2.60 \times 1.54 \times 1.12$ | Oval/ steep sloping sides, slightly rounded base | Reddish mottled with grey compact but friable sandy silt; occasional stone | LBA-EIA |
|  | L4470 |  |  | Dark reddish material mottled with darker brown and brownish grey compact sandy silt; frequent stone | - |
|  | L4471 |  |  | Mid grey waterlogged clayey silt; preserved organic material | - |
|  | L4472 |  |  | Mid greyish brown waterlogged clayey silt; occasional stone | - |
|  | L4473 |  |  | Greyish brown mottled with some red patches cohesive sandy clay; very occasional stone | LBA-EIA |
|  | L4474 |  |  | Orangey reddish brown compact but friable silty sand; occasional sub angular stones | - |
| Phase 2 pits with stratigraphic relationships to the enclosure ditches |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4361 | L4362 | $2.90 \times 1.50 \times 0.39$ | Sub oval/ moderate concave sides, even concave base | Mid orange brown firm clayey silt; occasional small gravel inclusions | EIA |
|  | L4363 |  |  | Light grey firm clayey silt; occasional large patches of burnt material | - |
| F1041 | L1042 | $0.7+$ x $0.6 \times 0.4$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid orangey grey sandy clay | - |

4.4 The Phase 2 water-holes

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill | Spot Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4562 | L4577 | $7.40 \times 7.00 \times c .1 .33$ | Circular/ moderate to steep, almost flat base | Green clay natural at base of pit (stained by overlying deposits) |  |
|  | L4576 |  |  | Green sand gravel natural (Stained by overlying deposits) | - |
|  | L4563 |  |  | Very dark grey plastic clay silt; infrequent small angular stone | - |
|  | L4575 |  |  | Mottled mixture of pale grey and dark grey plastic, soft clay; infrequent sub angular and sub rounded stone | - |
|  | L4574 |  |  | Pale grey beige extremely compact concrete layer, frequent sub angular and sub rounded stone | - |
|  | L4572 |  |  | Dark grey plastic soft clay silt; occasional sub angular stone | - |
|  | L4573 |  |  | Very dark grey plastic clay silt; infrequent small sub angular stone | LBA-EIA |
|  | L4571 |  |  | Mid grey plastic clay; infrequent small sub angular | - |
|  | L4209 |  |  | Black plastic silty clay; waterlogged | LBA-EIA |
|  | L4350 |  |  | Bluish grey plastic silty clay; occasional charcoal and rounded pebbles | - |
|  | L4351 |  |  | Yellowish grey orange friable sandy silt; moderate angular and sub angular stone | - |
|  | L4581 |  |  | Blackish grey friable clayey silt; moderate charcoal | - |
|  | L4352 |  |  | Light orange grey friable sandy silt; moderate angular and sub angular stone | - |
|  | L4582 |  |  | Mid grey with orange mottles firm clayey silt; occasional charcoal and angular and sub angular stone | - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L4580 (W of } \\ & \text { pit only) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Mid to dark grey plastic silty clay; occasional charcoal | - |



### 4.5 Phase 2 grave pit

| Feature | Context | Dimensions <br> (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill | Finds date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F4295 | L4296 | $1.05 \times 0.96$ <br> x $c .0 .56$ | Sub circular/ <br> vertical sides, <br> undulating <br> base | Dark grey friable silty <br> sand; frequent charcoal <br> flecks | EIA |
|  |  | L4297 |  | Mid orangey brown <br> friable sandy silt; <br> occasional small stone | - |

### 4.6 Other Phase 2 features

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ profile | Fill | Finds date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pits in the north of the site |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1013 | L1014 | $2.7 \times 2.6 \times 0.55$ | Sub oval/ steep sides, slightly undulating base | Mid greyish brown clayey silt; lenses of weathered redeposited natural gravel/ sand | E-MIA |
| F3072 | L3074 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.45 \times 1.45 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ steep sides (NW undercut) flattish base | Mid orangey brown silty sand; occasional flint \& pebbles | - |
|  | L3073 |  |  | Mid greyish brown silty sand; moderate pebbles | EIA |
| Burnt pits in the Stage IV area |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4112 | L4113 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \times 0.80 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | Oval/ steep sides, slightly rounded base | Burnt material including pottery and building material | - |
|  | L4114 |  |  | Mid brown orange firm sandy silt; contained a large amount of burnt material | ${ }^{-}$ |
|  | L4115 |  |  | Mid brown orange firm sandy silt; contained a large amount of burnt material | LBA-EIA |
|  | L4116 |  |  | Light brown orange firm sandy silt | - |
|  | L4127 |  |  | Mid brown orange firm sandy silt | - |
| F4317 | L4318 | $1.40 \times 1 \times 0.40$ | Irregular oval/ moderate sides, slightly rounded base | Brown grey firm clayey silt; occasional rounded and angular pebbles | LBA-EIA |
|  | L4319 |  |  | Dark brown black firm clayey silt; moderate small pebbles and occasional charcoal and burnt material | LBA-EIA |

Phase 3 pits

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill | Find date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F4604 }= \\ & \text { F4588 } \end{aligned}$ | L4607 | $1.51 \times 1.34+\mathrm{x} 0.49$ | Sub circular/ moderate to steeply sloping concave sides, rounded base | Dark grey compact waterlogged clay | - |
|  | L4606 |  |  | Light grey compact silty clay | - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L4605 }= \\ & \text { L4589 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Mid orangey grey compact silty clay | - |
| F4625 | L4623 | $1.75 \times 1.55 \times 0.81$ | Sub circular/ near vertical straight sides, rounded | Mid to dark orangey grey compact silty clay; moderate inclusions of small sub rounded stones and gravel | LIA/ early <br> Roman  |
|  | L4624 |  |  | Mid to dark greyish brown firm gravely sand with inclusions of dark bluish grey clay | LIA |
|  | L4622 |  |  | Mid orangey brown friable silty sand; frequent inclusions of small sub rounded stones and gravel | - |
| F4442 | L4443 | $8.50 \times 10 \times 1.30$ | Sub circular/ gradually sloping concave sides, rounded base | Light to mid grey friable clay and gravel | - |
|  | L4444 |  |  | Blackish grey plastic clayey silt; occasional charcoal flecks | - |
|  | L4451 |  |  | Yellowish orange loose silty sand; occasional small rounded stone | - |
|  | L4445 |  |  | Brownish grey plastic clayey silt | LIA |
|  | L4446 |  |  | Reddish orange with grey mottles firm sandy silt; occasional angular and sub angular gravel | LIA |
|  | L4447 |  |  | Light grey friable clayey silt; occasional small rounded pebbles | LIA |

Phase 4 pits

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill | Finds date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pits in the Stage IV area |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4610 | L4611 | $\begin{array}{\|llll} \hline c .13 .25 & \mathrm{x} & c .8 .50 & \mathrm{x} \\ \hline c .0 .30 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub oval/ gentle sides, flat base | Light orangey grey compact silty sand | - |
| F4583 | L4584 | $2.85 \times 0.55 \times 0.34$ | Curvilinear/ moderate to near vertical sides, concave base (slope to the south east) | Light orangey grey friable silty sand; infrequent inclusions of rounded river pebbles | - |
| F4590 | L4591 | $2.89 \times 1.57 \times 0.19$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, flat to slightly rounded base | Light to mid greyish brown firm silty clay; occasional flecks of charcoal and degraded pottery | - |
| F4626 | L4627 | $4.60 \times 1.60 \times 0.03$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, flat base | Dark grey sandy silt | - |
| F4436 | L4437 | $6 \times 1.40 \times 0.35$ | Elongated oval/ gentle sides, concave base | Greyish orange firm sandy silt; Occasional angular and sub angular pebbles | EIA (residual) |
| F4448 | L4449 | $1.70 \times 1.20 \times 0.42$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, irregular sloping base | Dark brown with orange mottles compact silty clay; occasional small angular gravel | - |
| F4597 | L4598 | $1 \times 0.50+\mathrm{x} 0.40$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Mid grey compact silty clay; frequent small sub angular stones and flint | Early Roman |
| Pits in the Stage II area |  |  |  |  |  |
| F3025 | L3035 | $2.00 \times 2.12 \times 0.55$ | Oval/ Steep/ near vertical sides, flat base | Mid to light reddish grayish brown sandy silt; occasional charcoal and flint pebbles | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | Roman |
|  | L3026 |  |  | Mottled dark brown and grey sandy silt | - |
| F3083 | L3084 | $1.35 \times 0.75+\mathrm{x} 0.13$ | Sub oval/ gentle sides, concave base | Mid orange brown silty sand | LIA/ early Roman |
| F3085 | L3086 | $1.35 \times 1.05 \times 0.12$ | Oval/ gentle sides, flat base | Mid grayish brown silty sand; occasional flint pebbles | Roman |
| F3027 | L3028 | $1.8+\mathrm{x} 0.6 \times 0.3$ | Oval/ moderate irregular sides, flattish base | Light grey soft sandy silt; occasional charcoal | - |

4.9 Phase 4 enclosure, boundary ditch and gully
4.10 Phase 5 features

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill | Finds date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oven (F2003) and related postholes |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2003 | L2004 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.5 \times 0.55-0.83 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.26 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular, bulbous northern end/ steep sides, flat base | Dark brown fine sandy loam; extensive charcoal fragments | C2 (residual) |
| F2068 | L2069 | $0.2 \times 0.17 \times 0.1$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, flat base | Dark greyish brown, fine compact sandy silt; moderate pebbles, occasional charcoal | - |
| F2070 | L2071 | $0.48 \times 0.26 \times 0.07$ | Sun oval/moderate sides, concave base | Mid to dark greyish brown compact sandy silt | - |
| Large pits/ ponds |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2188 | L2252 | $12 \times 10 \times 1.3$ (max) | Oval/ moderate sides, flattish base | Blackish grey peaty clay and silt; decayed wood | Roman |
|  | L2259 |  |  | Grey silty clay | - |
|  | L2256 |  |  | Sticky grey silty clay | - |
|  | L2258 |  |  | Partially concreted orange sandy gravel; iron stained | C3 |
|  | L2260 |  |  | Mottled grey compact sandy gravel with silt; iron stained | - |
|  | L2257 |  |  | Mottled grey and orange sandy silt | Early Roman (residual) |
|  | L2255 |  |  | Partially concreted orange sandy gravel; iron stained | Early Roman (residual) |
|  | L2264 |  |  | Mottled orange and grey sandy silty gravel | MC2-MC3 |
|  | L2262 |  |  | Grey sandy silt | Early Roman (residual) |
|  | L2263 |  |  | Slightly concreted orange sandy gravel | - |
|  | L2261 |  |  | Mottled orange and grey sandy silt | Early Roman (residual) |
|  | L2253 |  |  | Grey sandy loam | - |
|  | L2189 |  |  | Mid grey clayey silt; iron stain and occasional gravel | MC2-C4 |
|  | L2254 |  |  | Blocky light brown silty clay | Post-med (intrusive) |
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| F4438 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L4439 = } \\ & \text { L4621 } \end{aligned}$ | $8 \times 8 \times 0.70$ | Sub oval/ steep sides, rounded base | Mid blue, grey brown friable sandy silt; occasional angular gravel | C2-C4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { L4440 = } \\ & \text { L4620 } \\ & \text { \&L4596 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Light grey with orange mottles firm clayey silt | - |
|  | L4619 |  |  | Dark grey compact clay; frequent angular and sub angular stones | MC3-C4 |
|  | L4441 |  |  | Blackish brown compact clay | - |


| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill | Finds Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parallel ditches |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2084 | L2085 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.2+x 0.45-1.1 \times 0.15- \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ steep sides, flat base | Light brownish grey sandy silt; occasional iron stain and pebbles/ gravel | - |
| F2178 | L2179 | $8.7+$ x 0.97-1.15 x 0.46 | Linear/ moderate sides, narrow concave base | Light grey sandy silt; occasional charcoal and pebbles/ gravel | (Roman) |
| Structure 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2210 | L2211 | $0.29 \times 0.22 \times 0.12$ | Oval/ near vertical sides, flat base | Pale orangey grey compact slightly sandy silt | - |
| F2212 | L2213 | $0.17 \times 0.13 \times 0.05$ | Oval/ steep sides, concave base | Pale orangey grey compact slightly sandy silt | - |
| F2222 | L2223 | $0.3 \times 0.29 \times 0.08$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, flat base | Mid to light grey compact sandy silt; occasional iron stain | - |
| F2224 | L2225 | $0.37 \times 0.29 \times 0.12$ | Sub oval/ steep sides, concave base | Pale yellowish grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional iron stain | - |
| F2208 | L2209 | $1.5 \times 0.69 \times 0.29$ | Sub oval/ steep sides, flat base | Pale greyish orange compact slightly sandy silt | - |
| Structure 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2116 | L2117 | $0.78 \times 0.43 \times 0.12$ | Sub rectangular/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain | - |
| F2118 | L2119 | $0.39 \times 0.37 \times 0.18$ | Circular/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt | - |

Land off Broadlands, Peterborough.
© Archaeological Solutions Ltd 2007

| F2124 | L225 | $0.82 \times 0.38 \times 0.28$ | Sub oval/ steep sides, flat base | Pale brownish grey compact slightly sandy silt | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2126 | L2127 | $0.34 \times 0.31 \times 0.15$ | Circular/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain | - |
| F2128 | L2129 | $0.48 \times 0.42 \times 0.13$ | Sub oval/ moderate-steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | - |
| Structure 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F3040 | L3041 | $0.52 \times 0.25 \times 0.14$ | Oval/ steep sides, flat base | Grey silty clay; charcoal flecks | - |
| F3042 | L3043 | $0.39 \times 0.39 \times 0.06$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Dark reddish brown silty sand; charcoal flecks | - |
| F3044 | L3045 | $0.9 \times 0.75 \times 0.24$ | Oval/ steep sides, flat base | Mid orange brown silty sand; occasional flint pebbles | - |
| F3023 | L3024 | $0.6 \times 0.38 \times 0.2$ | Oval/ gentle-moderate sides, concave base | Mid greyish brown silty sand | (Roman) |
| F3003 | L3004 | $0.6 \times 0.45 \times 0.19$ | Oval/ sides truncated, flat base | Mid orange brown silty sand; occasional charcoal | - |
| F3017 | L3018 | $0.6 \times 0.52 \times 0.1$ | Oval/ gentle sides, concave base | Mid greyish brown silty sand ; moderate flint pebbles | - |
| F3013 | L3014 | $3.6+$ x $0.3 \times 0.13-0.19$ | Curvilinear/ moderate sides, flat base | Mid greyish brown silty sand | - |
| F3054 | L3055 | $1.35 \times 0.52 \times 0.22$ | Oval/ steep sides, flat base | Mid orangey brown silty sand | - |
| F3021 | L3022 | $0.75 \times 0.43 \times 0.25$ | Oval/ moderate-steep sides, flat base | Dark greyish brown silty sand | (LBA-EIA) |
| F3019 | L3020 | $0.39 \times 0.39 \times 0.3$ | Circular/ vertical sides, flat base | Mid greyish brown silty sand; moderate flint pebbles | (LIA/ early Roman) |
| F3007 | L3008 | $0.6 \times 0.52 \times 0.2$ | Oval/ vertical sides, flat base | Dark greyish brown silty sand; moderate flint pebbles | - |
| F3009 | L3010 | $0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.19$ | Circular/ vertical sides, flat base | Mid greyish brown silty sand; moderate charcoal and flint pebbles | - |
| F3050 | L3051 | $0.46 \times 0.46 \times 0.18$ | Circular/ (near) vertical sides, flat base | Dark orangey brown silty sand | - |
| F3052 | L3053 | $0.55 \times 0.55 \times 0.2$ | Sub circular/ vertical sides, flat base | Mid orangey brown loose silty sand; occasional charcoal | (LBA-EIA) |
| F3048 | L3049 | $0.55 \times 0.55 \times 0.1$ | Circular/ steep sides, flat base | Mid orangey brown silty sand; charcoal flecks | (BA) |

Land off Broadlands, Peterborough.
C Archaeological Solutions Ltd 2007

| F3046 | L3047 | $0.19 \times 0.19 \times 0.13$ | Circular/ vertical sides, flat base | Mid orangey brown silty sand | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F3033 | L3034 | $0.38 \times 0.38 \times 0.19$ | Sub-rectangular/ near vertical sides, flat base | Mid greyish brown silty sand; moderate flint pebbles | (Iron Age) |
| F3036 | L3037 | $0.6 \times 0.52+\mathrm{x} 0.2$ | Sub rectangular/ vertical sides, flat base | Orangey brown silty sand; charcoal flecks | (Roman) |
| Structure 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4088 | L4089 | $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$ | Sub circular/ vertical sides, flat base | Dark orangey brown friable silty clay; occasional stones | - |
| F4090 | L4091 | $0.18 \times 0.17 \times 0.14$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Dark orangey grey to brown firm clayey silt; occasional redeposited L4004 | - |
| F4092 | L4093 | $0.21 \times 0.18 \times 0.18$ | Sub circular/ vertical sides, rounded base | Dark brown firm clayey silt; occasional charcoal | - |
| F4094 | L4095 | $0.37 \times 0.26 \times 0.11$ | Sub oval/ vertical sides, rounded base | Dark reddish brown compact clayey silt; frequent gravel | - |
| F4096 | L4097 | $0.17 \times 0.15 \times 0.16$ | Sub circular/ moderate to vertical sides, rounded base | Dark reddish brown firm clayey silt; occasional gravel | - |
| F4100 | L4101 | $0.26 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ | Sub circular/ near vertical sides, rounded base | Dark orangey brown firm clayey silt; occasional gravel | - |
| F4104 | L4105 | $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.13$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Dark orangey brown firm clayey silt; moderate gravel | - |
| F4106 | L4107 | $0.20 \times 0.18$ | Circular/ vertical sides, rounded base | Dark brown black firm clayey silt; frequent charcoal | - |
|  | L4108 |  |  | Dark orangey brown firm clayey silt | - |
| F4152 | L4153 | $0.24 \times 0.19 \times 0.08$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, rounded base | Dark reddish grey firm clayey silt; occasional charcoal | - |
| F4154 | L4155 | $0.12 \times 0.13 \times 0.08$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Dark reddish brown firm clayey silt | - |
| F4156 | L4157 | $0.19 \times 0.86 \times 0.09$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, rounded base | Dark reddish brown firm clayey silt; moderate charcoal | - |
| F4158 | L4159 | $0.20 \times 0.25 \times 0.06$ | Circular/ gentle sides, rounded base | Dark reddish brown firm clayey silt; occasional charcoal | - |
| F4197 | L4198 | $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.12$ | Circular/ steep sides, rounded | Dark orangey grey friable clay with a moderate | - |


|  |  |  | base | mixture of sandy silt |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F4098 | L4099 | $0.13 \times 0.11 \times 0.19$ | Sub circular/ vertical sides, flat <br> base | Dark orangey brown firm clayey silt |  |
| F4102 | L4103 | $0.10 \times 0.12$ | Circular/ vertical sides, flat base | Dark brown compact clayey silt |  |
| F4160 | L4161 | $0.12 \times 0.18$ | Circular/ vertical sides, rounded <br> base | Dark brown grey fill firm silty clay; occasional <br> organic material |  |
| F4162 | L4163 | $0.15 \times 0.08$ | Circular/ steep sides, rounded <br> base | Dark grey firm silty clay; occasional charcoal |  |
| F4164 | L4165 | $0.13 \times 0.11 \times 0.12$ | Sub circular/ gentle to vertical <br> sides, rounded base | Dark grey firm silty clay; occasional charcoal |  |
| F4166 | L4167 | $0.32 \times 0.15 \times 0.18$ | Linear/ vertical sides, rounded <br> base | Dark grey firm silty clay; occasional charcoal and <br> black organic material | - |
| F4181 | L4182 | $0.06 \times 0.09$ | Circular/ vertical sides, rounded <br> base | Dark reddish grey friable sandy silty clay |  |
| F4183 | L4184 | $0.17 \times 0.08$ | Circular/ moderate to steep <br> sides, rounded base | Dark orangey grey firm sandy silty clay |  |
| F4185 | L4186 | $0.12 \times 0.10$ | Circular/ vertical sides, rounded <br> base | Dark orangey grey firm silty sandy clay |  |
| F4187 | L4188 | $0.17 \times 0.12 \times 0.08$ | Circular/ vertical sides, rounded <br> base | Dark reddish grey friable sandy silty clay |  |
| F4189 | L4190 | $0.09 \times 0.11$ | Circular/ vertical sides, rounded <br> base | Dark orangey grey firm silty sandy clay |  |
| F4191 | L4192 | $0.09 \times 0.08$ | Circular/ vertical sides, flat base | Dark orangey grey firm silty clay |  |
| F4193 | L4194 | $0.07 \times 0.06$ | Circular/ vertical sides, rounded <br> base | Dark orangey grey firm sandy silty clay |  |
| F4195 | L4196 | $0.05 \times 0.09$ | Circular/ near vertical sides, <br> rounded base | Dark reddish grey friable sandy silty |  |
| Ftructure 5 | L2035 | $1.48 \times 0.37 \times 0.1$ | Linear/ moderate - steep sides, <br> flat base | Pale orangey grey compact sandy silt; occasional <br> gravel | - |
| F2034 | L2041 | $1.32 \times 0.35 \times 0.17$ | Slightly curvilinear/ steep sides, <br> flat base | Pale orangey grey compact sandy silt; occasional <br> pebbles | - |

Land off Broadlands, Peterborough.

| F2042 | L2043 | $0.36 \times 0.32 \times 0.15$ | Sub oval/ moderate to vertical sides, concave base | Pale orangey grey compact sandy silt; occasional pebbles and charcoal | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2032 | L2033 | $0.26 \times 0.24 \times 0.11$ | Sub circular/ moderate to steep sides, flat base | Mid grey compact sandy silt; occasional flint fragments | - |
| F2036 | L2037 | $0.5 \times 0.46 \times 0.13$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, flat base | Mid orangey grey compact sandy silt; occasional pebbles | - |
| F2038 | L2039 | $0.29 \times 0.28 \times 0.07$ | Sub circular/ sides truncated, concave base | Very pale greyish orange compact silty sand; occasional gravel | - |

### 4.12 Unphased features in the Stage I area

\section*{| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ profile |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |} Trench 6 F2220 F220 F2218 sandy silt

Fill

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ profile | Fill | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trench 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2220 | L2221 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.18 \times 0.15 \times \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale orangey grey compact sandy silt | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 1 |
| F2218 | L2219 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.52 \times 0.62 \times \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, narrow concave base | Pale orangey grey compact sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 1 |
| F2214 | L2215 | $1.7 \times 0.93 \times 0.29$ | Sub rectangular/ near vertical sides, concave base | Mid brownish grey slightly compact sandy silt | Pit |
| F2216 | L2217 | $1.6 \times 1.16 \times 0.21$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, flat base | Pale orangey yellow compact very sandy silt; occasional charcoal | Pit |
| F2226 | L2227 | $2.9 \times 0.82 \times 0.34$ | Linear/ steep sides, flat base | Pale greyish orange compact slightly sandy silt | Natural |
| F2228 | L2228 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.14 \times 0.42 \times \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | Curvilinear/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale greyish orange compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Natural |
| F2122 | L2123 | $0.58 \times 0.47 \times 0.12$ | Sub circular/ moderate -near vertical sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain | Posthole; cuts Ditch F2100, cut by Pit F2130 |
| F2130 | L2131 | $1.56 \times 1.0 \times 0.29$ | Irregular/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Pit; cuts Posthole F2122, cut by Posthole F2128 |
| F2134 | L2135 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.31 \times 0.27 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 2 |


| F2140 | L2141 | $0.16 \times 0.16 \times 0.1$ | Circular/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain, occasional gravel | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2142 | L2143 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \times 0.48 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, slightly concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain, occasional gravel | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 2 |
| F2150 | L2151 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.71 \times 0.65 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ steep - vertical sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain, occasional gravel | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 2 |
| F2232 | L2233 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \times 0.20 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 2 |
| F2234 | L2235 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \times 0.24 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ near vertical sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 2 |
| F2236 | L2237 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \times 0.24 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 2 |
| F2330 | L2231 | $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.05$ | Circular/ sides truncated, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole; ?associated with Structure 2 |
| F2238 | L2239 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.06 \times 0.83 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, flat base | Yellowish grey compact sandy silt | Natural |
| F2102 | L2103 | $2 \times 0.72 \times 0.38$ | Curvilinear/ moderate - steep sides, irregular base | Very pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Natural |
| F2120 | L2121 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.57 \times 0.98 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.28 \end{aligned}$ | Irregular linear/ moderate - near vertical sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Natural |
| F2240 | L2241 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \times 1.28+\mathrm{x} \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ gentle sides, flat base | Orangey pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | ?Natural |
| F2242 | L2243 | $3.27+$ x $1 \times 0.22$ | Irregular linear/ gentle - moderate sides, flattish base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel and charcoal | Natural |
| F2154 | L2155 | $3.4 \times 0.5 \times 0.19$ | Curvilinear/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Natural |
| F2148 | L2149 | $0.34 \times 0.24 \times .01$ | Sub oval/ moderate - steep sides, slightly concave base | Pale brownish grey compact slightly sandy silt | ?Posthole |
| F2152 | L2153 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.86+\text { x } 0.54 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ steep sides, concave base | Pale orangey grey compact slightly sandy silt; very occasional gravel | ?Natural/ pit |
| F2146 | L2147 | c. $7 \times 0.48 \times 0.24$ | Irregular/ moderate-undercut sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Natural |


| F2138 | L2139 | $4.2+$ x $1.1 \times 0.41$ | Irregular linear/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel and charcoal | Natural; cuts F2108, cut by PostholesF2104 and F2106 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2106 | L2107 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \times 0.18 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel and charcoal | Posthole; cuts F2138 |
| F2104 | L2105 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \times 0.22 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ steep sides, flat base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel and charcoal | Posthole; cuts F2138 |
| F2108 | L2109 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.55 \times 0.88 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.48 \end{aligned}$ | Irregular/ moderate - undercut sides, concave base | Pale brownish grey compact silt; occasional gravel and charcoal | Natural; cut by F2138 |
| F2250 | L2251 | c. $6 \times 1.56 \times 0.37$ | Irregular linear/ gentle sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain, occasional gravel | Natural |
| F2122 | L2123 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.58 \times 0.47 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ moderate to steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole |
| F2144 | L2145 | $1.74 \times 0.5 \times 0.24$ | Sub linear/ steep sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel, iron stain | Natural |
| F2136 | L2137 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.27+\mathrm{x} 0.6 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.39 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ steep sides, flat base | Mid greyish brown compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel, iron stain | ?Natural/ pit |
| F2110 | L2111 | $1.4 \times 0.69 \times 0.25$ | Curvilinear/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional pebbles, iron stain | ?Natural/ pit |
| F2114 | L2115 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \times 0.26 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ sides truncates, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole |
| F2098 | L2099 | $1+\mathrm{x} 0.5+\mathrm{x} 0.32$ | Sub rectangular/ steep sides, flat base | Mid brownish grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | ?Pit/ natural |
| F2244 | L2245 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45 \times 0.27+\mathrm{x} \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, flat base | Mid/ pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole |
| F2246 | L2247 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.69 \times 0.42+\mathrm{s} \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, flat base | Mid/ pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole |
| F2166 | L2167 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.66 \times 1.08 \times \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ gentle sides, concave base | Mid - dark brownish orange compact silty sand | Pit |
| F2160 | L2161 | $5.8 \times 0.5 \times 0.19$ | Curvilinear/ uneven moderate sides, flat base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Natural |
| F2162 | L2163 | $3.5 \times 0.72 \times 0.19$ | Irregular linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain | Natural |


| F2158 | L2159 | $1+$ x $0.58 \times 0.17$ | Sub rectangular/ sides truncates, concave base | Pale orangey grey compact slightly sandy silt | Natural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2164 | L2165 | $2.4 \times 0.7 \times 0.25$ | Sub rectangular/ steep sides, flattish base | Pale grey compact silt; occasional gravel, iron stain | ?Pit/ natural |
| F2248 | L2249 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.12 \times 0.57 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.22 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid to pale greyish brown compact very sandy silt | Pit |
| F2156 | L2157 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.26 \times 0.82 \times \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ steep irregular sides, variable base | Pale grey compact silt; occasional gravel and iron stain | Natural |
| Trench 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2052 | L2053 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \times 0.09 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ vertical sides, concave base | Pale grey compact silty sand; occasional charcoal and iron stain | Stakehole |
| F2058 | L2059 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8+\text { x } 0.45+x \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | ?Oval near vertical sides, flat base | Greyish orange cohesive slightly sandy silt; iron stain and concretions, occasional small pebbles | Pit |
| F2056 | L2057 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.56 \times 1.04 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate to steep sides, flattish base | Pale orangey grey compact silty sand; iron stain and concretions; occasional charcoal | Natural. ?Part of a N-S boundary incorporating trees/ shrubs as well as posts. |
| F2060 | L2061 | $1.4 \times 1 \times 0.3$ | Irregular/ moderate sides, flat base | Mid greyish brown compact silty sand; iron stain and concretions, moderate flint pebbles | Natural. ?Part of a N-S boundary incorporating trees/ shrubs as well as posts. |
| F2054 | L2055 | $2.4 \times 0.49 \times 0.29$ | Linear/ near vertical sides, flat base | Mid greyish brown compact silty sand; pockets of light grey silt | Natural. |
| F2062 | L2063 | $0.4 \times 0.6 \times 0.28$ | Irregular (ill defined)/ gentle sides, concave base | Mid greyish brown sandy silt; pockets of orangey sand; moderate pebbles, occasional iron stain | Natural. ?Part of a N-S boundary incorporating trees/ shrubs as well as posts. |
| F2048 | L2049 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23 \times 0.15 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ steep sides, concave base | Mid greyish brown compact sandy silt; occasional/ moderate pebbles | Posthole. ?Part of a N-S boundary incorporating trees/ shrubs as well as posts. |
| F2046 | L2047 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.52 \times 0.50 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ (near) vertical sides, flat base | Mid greyish brown compact sandy silt; pockets of orangey sand; moderate pebbles and charcoal | Posthole. ?Part of a N-S boundary incorporating trees/ shrubs as well as posts. |
| F2044 | L2055 | $0.7 \times 0.3+$ x 0.15 | ?Sub circular/ moderate sides, flattish | Mid greyish brown compact silty sand; | Posthole. ?Part of a N-S |

Land off Broadlands, Peterborough.

|  |  |  | base | occasional pebbles and charcoal | boundary incorporating trees/ shrubs as well as posts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trench 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2076 | L2077 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5+\text { x } 1.34+\text { x } \\ & 028+ \end{aligned}$ | Irregular/ gentle sides, concave base | Pale brownish grey slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel and iron stain | Natural. |
| F2074 | L2075 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.22 \times 0.56 \times \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, flattish base | Pale grey slightly compact sandy silt; iron stain and occasional gravel | Pit |
| F2072 | L2073 | $2.25 \times 0.8 \times 0.28$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate to near vertical sides, concave base | Pale grey compact silt; occasional iron stain and gravel | Natural. |
| F2206 | L2207 | $1.26 \times 0.6 \times 0.25$ | Sub oval/ moderate to steep sides, variable base | Pale greyish yellow compact sandy silt; occasional charcoal and gravel | Pit |
| F2204 | L2205 | $1.85 \times 0.45 \times 030$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale greyish yellow compact sandy silt; occasional charcoal and gravel | Pit |
| F2088 | L2089 | $0.47 \times 0.25 \times 0.1$ | Sub circular/ near vertical sides, flat base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain, occasional gravel | Posthole |
| F2078 | L2079 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.54+\text { x } 0.64 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | Oval/ stepped sides, flattish base | Very pale brownish grey compact silt; occasional iron stain and gravel | Pit |
| F2066 | L2067 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \times 0.19 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ near vertical sides, concave base | Dark greyish brown compact sandy silt; moderate pebbles | Posthole |
| F2064 | L2065 | $2.89 \times 0.8 \times 0.33$ | Linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid greyish brown compact silty sand; pockets of orange sand, gravel, iron stain and concretions, moderate pebbles | Natural |
| F2112 | L2113 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8+\mathrm{x} 0.68+\mathrm{x} \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ truncated sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional iron stain and pebbles | Pit |
| F2080 | L2081 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \times 0.29+\mathrm{x} \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | ?Sub circular/ moderate sides, flattish base | Orangey pale grey compact sandy silt; occasional gravel | Pit |
| F2082 | L2083 | $0.3 \times 0.24 \times 0.19$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, concave base | Orangey pale grey compact sandy silt; occasional gravel and pebbles | Posthole |
| F2092 | L2093 | $0.5 \times 0.2+\times 0.11$ | ?Sub circular/ gentle sides, concave base | Pale orangey grey compact sandy silt | ?Posthole |
| F2090 | L2091 | $0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.2$ | Circular/ near vertical sides, concave base | Light grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel and pebbles | Posthole |


| F2086 | L2087 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.14 \times 0.82+\mathrm{x} \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ irregular sides and base | Pale yellowish orangey grey compact slightly sandy silt; frequent gravel | Pit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2096 | L2097 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \times 0.23 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate to steep sides, V-shaped base | Orangey grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional pebbles and gravel | Posthole |
| F2094 | L2095 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \times 0.16 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ near vertical sides, flat base | Pale orangey grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel and pebbles | Posthole |
| F2194 | L2195 | $1.48 \times 0.480 .16$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale yellowish brown compact silt | ?Pit |
| F2168 | L2169 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.32 \times 0.73 \times \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional iron stain, moderate gravel | Pit |
| F2200 | L2201 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \times 0.45+\mathrm{x} \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, flat base | Pale brownish grey compact silt; occasional gravel | Posthole |
| F2170 | L2171 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.87 \times 0.76 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ steep sides, concave base | Greyish brown compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain, charcoal, pebbles and gravel | Natural |
| F2172 | L2173 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \times 0.83 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ moderate to steep sides, irregular base | Pale brownish grey sandy silt occasional gravel | Pit |
| F2180 | L2181 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.83 \times 0.66 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ steep to vertical sides, concave base | Pale brownish grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional iron stain, gravel and charcoal | Natural |
| F2196 | L2197 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.62+\mathrm{x} 0.75 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | Oval/ truncated sides, concave base | Greyish brown compact slightly sandy silt; occasional iron stain and pebbles | Pit |
| F2176 | L2177 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9+\text { x } 1.08 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.22 \end{aligned}$ | Irregular curvilinear/ near vertical sides, irregular base | Mid greyish brown compact slightly sandy silt; occasional iron stain and pebbles | Natural |
| F2198 | L2199 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.58 \times 0.57 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ truncated sides, flattish base | Yellowish pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole |
| F2190 | L2191 | $0.2 \times 0.22 \times 0.09$ | Sub circular/ near vertical sides, flattish base | Mid orangey brown compact slightly sandy silt; occasional pebbles and gravel | Posthole |
| F2202 | L2203 | $2.8 \times 0.8 \times 0.31$ | Irregular/ moderate sides, slightly concave base | Mid greyish yellow compact slightly sandy silt; iron stain, occasional charcoal | Natural |
| F2192 | L2193 | $1.18 \times 0.56 \times$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate to vertical | Pale orangey grey compact silt; | Natural |

Land off Broadlands, Peterborough.

|  |  | 0.23 | sides | occasional gravel |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2182 | L2183 | $3.5 \times 0.95 \times 0.13$ | Irregular linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional iron stain, gravel and charcoal (especially towards top of fill) | Natural. |
| F2174 | L2175 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.44 \times 0.35 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ irregular moderate sides, irregular slightly concave base | Pale greyish orange compact silt; occasional gravel and pebbles | Posthole |
| F2184 | L2185 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \times 0.36+\mathrm{x} \\ & 0.23 \end{aligned}$ | ?/ vertical sides, flat base | Pale orangey grey compact silty sand | Pit |
| Trench 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2007 | L2008 | $0.47 \times 0.27 \times 0.11$ | Sub oval/ steep sides, concave base | Light greyish brown compact sandy clay; pockets of light orange sand; moderate pebbles | Posthole |
| F2009 | L2010 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \times 0.14 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, flat base | Dark greyish brown sandy silt; frequent pebbles | ?Stakehole |
| F2022 | L2023 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.15 \times 0.15 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.04 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ sides truncated, concave base | Mid to dark brown compact gravelly sand; frequent pebbles | Posthole/ stakehole |
| F2019 | L2020 | $2.1 \times 0.9 \times 0.52$ | ?Sub rectangular/ irregular steep stepped sides, irregular concave base | Mid to dark greyish brown coarse sandy silt | Pit |
| F2028 | L2029 | $0.4 \times 0.37 \times 0.13$ | ?Sub rectangular/ gentle stepped sides, flat base | Dark greyish brown coarse sandy silty loam; pockets of lighter greyish brown sand; moderate pebbles | Posthole |
| F2026 | L2027 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.27+\mathrm{x} 0.36 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | ?Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, flattish base | Mid to dark grey compact sandy silty loam; gravel near base | ?Natural |
| F2024 | L2025 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.56 \times 0.44 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid to dark grey compact sandy silt loam | ?Natural |
| F2030 | L2031 | $2.0 \times 0.69 \times 0.26$ | Linear/ steep sides, flat base | Mid orangey grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional charcoal and pebbles | Natural |
| F2017 | L2018 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.85 \times 0.83 \times \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ gentle to steep sides, concave base | Pale orangey/ yellowish grey compact sandy silt; occasional pebbles | Natural |
| F2013 | L2014 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23 \times 0.20 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ near vertical sides, concave base | Pale grey compact silty sand; patches of greyish orange silty sand, occasional pebbles | Posthole |


| F2015 | L2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.89 \times 0.49 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Pale to mid orangey grey compact sandy silt; occasional pebbles | Natural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2011 | L2012 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.31 \times 0.16 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ near vertical to undercut sides, flat base | Compact dark grey silt mixed with redeposited natural gravel | Posthole |
| F2005 | L2006 | $2.19 \times 0.86 \times 0.2$ | Irregular linear/ moderate to near vertical sides, concave base | Mid brownish grey compact slightly sandy silt; occasional pebbles | Natural |

4.13 Unphased features in the Stage II area

|  |  | 0.3 | sides, flat base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F3068 | L3069 | $0.8 \times 0.6 \times 0.05$ | Oval/ gentle sides, flat base | Pale grey soft slightly clayey sandy silt | ?Pit (truncated) |
| F3066 | L3067 | $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.03$ | Sub rectangular/ gentle sides, concave base | Pale grey soft slightly clayey sandy silt | ?Posthole (truncated) |
| F3062 | L3063 | $1.2 \times 1.1 \times 0.16$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid orangey brown loose silty sand; occasional flint pebbles | Pit. Cut F3060. |
| F3060 | L3061 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.64 \times 1.54 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, concave base | Mid greyish/ orangey brown loose silty sand; occasional pebbles | Pit. Cut by F3062 |
| F3064 | L3065 | $0.2 \times 0.45 \times 0.1$ | 'U-shaped'/ gentle to moderate sides, sloping base | Pale grey soft slightly clayey sandy silt; moderate flint | Natural. |
| F3056 | L3057 | $1.2 \times 0.8 \times 0.2$ | Sub oval/ steep sides, slightly concave base | Dark orangey brown loose silty sand | Pit. |
| F3058 | L3059 | $0.8 \times 0.69 \times 0.12$ | Sub circular/ gentle sides, concave base | Mid greyish brown loose silty sand | Pit. |
| F3077 | L3078 | $1.15 \times 0.45 \times 0.2$ | Sun rectangular/ steep to undercutting sides, flat base | Mid to light mottled greyish brown soft sandy silt; occasional charcoal flecks and pebbles | Natural. |

### 4.14 Unphased features in the Stage III area

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ profile | Fill |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Features in the loading dock trench | Comment |  |  |  |  |
| F1003 | L1004 | $0.95+\times 0.4 \times$ <br> 0.19 | Elongated or linear/ moderate sides, <br> V-shaped base | Dark grey silty clay |  |
| F1011 | L1012 | $0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.1$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, concave <br> base | Dark orangey brown friable sandy silt; <br> moderate pebbles | Posthole |
| F1007 | L1008 | $2.45 \times 0.89 \times$ <br> 0.14 | Linear/ moderate sides, flat base | Light grey soft sandy silt | ?Pit/ natural. Cut by F1005. |
| F1005 | L1006 | $0.71 \times 0.65 \times$ <br> 0.35 | Sub circular/ near vertical sides, <br> sloping base | Mixed dark and lighter grey soft sandy <br> silt | Pit. Cut F1007. |
| F1009 | L1010 | $2.5 \times 0.59-0.7 \times$ | Linear/ gentle to moderate sides, | Light Yellowish grey friable sandy silt; | ?Pit/ natural. |


|  |  | 0.4 | concave to flat base | occasional pebbles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | L1015 | $3.5 \times 3.1 \times 0.08$ | Irregular | Mid greyish brown soft sandy silt | Natural silt layer. Cut by Pit F1013. |
| Features in the Sprinkler tank trench |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1020 | L1021 | $1.25 \times 1.25 \times 0.6$ | Circular/ steep sides, slightly concave base | Mid greyish yellow firm clayey sand; ; moderate stones, manganese and charcoal | Pit. Cut by F1022. |
| F1022 | L1023 | $0.8 \times 0.62 \times 0.16$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid slightly yellowish grey firm clayey sand | Pit. Cuts F1020. |
| F1024 | L1025 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \times 0.66 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ gentle to moderate sides, irregular concave base | Light greyish yellow firm sand; stones | Pit. |
| F1026 | L1027 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \times 0.21 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Light/ mid greyish yellow firm clayey sand; stones | Pit/ posthole |
| F1063 | L1064 | $1.0 \times 0.7 \times 0.36$ | Sub oval/ moderate to steep sides, concave / V-shaped base | Dark grey slightly plastic silty clay; occasional gravel | Pit. Cut by Gully F1061. |
| F1043 | L1044 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4+\text { x } 1.05+\mathrm{x} \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | ?Sub circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Light brownish orange loose and friable sandy silt; occasional gravel. | Pit. Cut by Ditch F1035. |
| F1030 | L1031 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0.89 \times 0.48 \mathrm{x} \\ 0.24 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Irregular sub rectangular/ gentle to steep sides, concave base | Mid yellowish grey firm clayey sand; stones | Pit. Cuts Ditch F1028. |
| F1067 | L1068 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.37 \times 0.31 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ near vertical sides, concave base | Dark orangey brown soft sandy clay; occasional pebbles | Posthole/ small pit |
| F1069 | L1070 | $0.85 \times 0.3 \times 0.11$ | Irregular sub oval/ moderate sides, flat base | Mid greyish brown soft sandy silt | ?Pit/ natural. |
| F1032 | L1033 | $0.4 \times 0.3 \times 0.05$ | Sub circular/ gentle to moderate sides, concave base | Light yellowish grey firm sand; moderate stones | ? Pit |
| F1039 | L1040 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5+\text { x } 0.45+x \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | ?Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Light brownish orange loose sandy silt | Pit. Cut by Ditch F1028. |
| F1073 | L1074 | $0.5 \times 0.35 \times 0.08$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid grey soft sand; occasional stones | ? Pit. |
| F1071 | L1072 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.15 \times 1.15 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.28 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ gentle sides, concave base with dip at centre | Dark orangey brown soft sandy silt; occasional pebbles | Pit. Disturbed by rooting. |
| F1057 | L1058 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \times 0.23 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, flat base | Dark blackish grey soft sandy silt; moderate gravel | ?Pit/ posthole/ natural. |


| F1059 | L1060 | $0.3 \times 0.16 \times 0.15$ | Sub oval/ near vertical sides, concave base | Mid greyish brown soft sandy silt; occasional gravel | Pit/ posthole |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1055 | L1056 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.62 \times 0.47 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ gentle sides, irregular base | Mid grey soft sandy silt; occasional gravel and charcoal | Pit/ natural |
| F1053 | L1054 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45 \times 0.24 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | Oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Dark blackish grey soft sandy silt; occasional gravel | Pit/ posthole |
| F1049 | L1050 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.31 \times 0.27 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, concave base | Mid greyish brown soft sandy silt; occasional gravel | Posthole/ pit |
| F1051 | L1052 | $3.2 \times 0.7 \times 0.3$ | Linear/ moderate to steep irregular sides, uneven base | Mid greyish brown soft sandy silt; occasional gravel | Natural |
| F1045 | L1046 | $0.95 \times 0.5 \times 0.17$ | Sub oval/ gentle to moderate sides, concave base | Mid brownish grey soft sandy silt; frequent gravel | ?Pit/ natural |
| F1047 | L1048 | $1.55 \times 0.6 \times 0.28$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, uneven base | Dark grey soft clayey silt | ?Pit/ natural |

### 4.15 Unphased features with a terminus post/ ante quem in the Stage IV area

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Features stratigraphically predating Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |


|  | L4566 | 0.45 | base | Dark orangey brown friable silty sand | (possibly an earlier cut of that feature) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Features stratigraphically predating Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4013 | L4014 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \times 0.13 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ near vertical sides, rounded base | Light bluish grey compact clay | Posthole. Cut by Ditch F4011. Seen only in section. |
| F4408 | L4409 | $4 \times 2.4 \times 0.6$ | Irregular/ moderate sides, irregularly rounded base | Brown orange firm clayey silt; occasional rounded pebbles | Irregular pit/ natural feature. Cut by burnt feature F4317. |
| F4435 | L4410 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \times 0.20 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ gentle to vertical sides, pointed base | Light brown loose clayey sand; occasional small pebbles | Posthole, Cut by burnt feature F4317. |
| F4366 | L4367 | $9 \times 8 \times 1.06+\mathrm{x}$ ? | Linear (although very difficult to see)/ moderate sides, flat base | Mottled bluish green grey plastic clay | Pit/ natural (periglacial). Cut by Pit F4562. |
|  | L4368 |  |  | Mid brownish grey with mottles of light grey loose silty sand; moderate small gravel |  |
|  | L4369 |  |  | Light grey with white grey and mid brown mottles friable clay silt; occasional angular and sub angular gravel |  |
| F4301 | L4302 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3.80 \times 1.60 \mathrm{x} \\ 0.74+ \end{array}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, flat base | Mid brownish grey loose silty sand; occasional small angular gravel | Pit/ natural (periglacial). Cut by Pit F4562. |
|  | L4303 |  |  | Darkish grey brown firm silty sand; frequent angular and sub angular gravel |  |
|  | L4304 |  |  | Whiteish grey firm clayey silt; occasional charcoal |  |
|  | L4305 |  |  | Blackish grey compact silty clay; occasional charcoal |  |
|  | L4306 |  |  | Light orange grey firm clayey silt; occasional small gravel and charcoal |  |
| F4425 | L4426 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.95+\text { x } 0.95 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.25 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ steep sides, heavily disturbed base | Light reddish grey firm silty sand | Gully/ plough scar. Cut by Pit F4402 |
| Features stratigraphically post-dating Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4248 | L4249 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.30 \times 1.00 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.25 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/moderate sides, rounded base | Mid orange grey friable clay silt; occasional angular and sub angular | Pit. Cut Pit F4562. |


|  |  |  |  | pebbles |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F4452 | L4456 | $1.46 \times 1.35 \times$ <br> 0.55 | Circular/ moderate sides, uneven <br> concave base | Light reddish grey firm silty sand |  |
| F4453 | L4404 | $1.78 \times 1.70 \times$ <br> 0.76 | Sub circular/ moderate sides, concave <br> base | Mid bluish grey firm silty clay |  |
|  | L4406 |  |  | Mid reddish grey firm silty sand; <br> occasional angular and sub angular stone <br> and flint |  |
| F4475 | L4476 | c. $2 \times 0.50 \times 0.56$ | Sub oval/ steep sides, concave base | Orangey red compact silty sand; moderate <br> stones | Posthole. Cut Posthole F4413. |
| F4477 | L4478 | c. $2 \times 0.97 \times 0.45$ | Sub oval/ near vertical sides, slightly <br> rounded but mainly flat base | Mid brownish grey compact clayey sand; <br> frequent stones | Posthole. Cut Posthole F4413. |
| F4118 | L4119 | $1.60 \times 1.50 \times$ <br> 0.65 | Sub circular/ steep sides, rounded <br> base | Light orange grey friable clayey silt; <br> occasional angular and sub angular gravel | Pit. Cut Ditch F4084 and Gully <br> F4120 |


| F4291 | L4292 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.30 \times 1.42 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.26 \end{aligned}$ | Irregular sub oval/ moderate sides, irregular base | Dark brown friable silty clay; occasional angular chert fragments | Pit. Cut by Gully F4289 (=F4355) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Features stratigraphically post-dating the palaeosol |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F4035 } \quad(= \\ & \text { F4060, } \\ & \text { F4125) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L4036 } \\ & \text { (F4035) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & c .66 \text { (as visible) } \\ & \mathrm{x} 0.44-1.03 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.19-0.37 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderate to steep sides, rounded / flat base | Light-mid grey brown firm to friable clayey silt; occasional orange redeposited L4004 | Ditch/ gully. Contained 3 sherds of residual LBA-EIA pottery. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L4061 } \\ & \text { (F4060) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Light-mid orangey reddish grey friable clayey silt; occasional angular and sub angular small stones and gravel, moderate ironstone |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { L4126 } \\ & \text { (F4125) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Mid grey to light brown friable clayey silt; frequent small stones |  |
| F4281 | L4283 | $? \times 0.51 \times 0.33$ | Linear/ steep sides, flat base | Mid browny orange friable sandy silt; moderate small stones | Ditch/ gully. Cut by F4035 and F4009. Not clearly visible in plan |
| F4284 | L4285 | ? x ? x 0.18 | Irregular/ irregular sides, concave base | Mottled light sandy brown friable silty sand | Uncertain feature type. Not clearly visible in plan |
| F4130 | L4131 | $0.60 \times 0.18$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, irregular concave base | Dark grey black friable clayey silt; occasional small sub angular stones | Posthole. Part of a cluster with F4134, F4136 and F4146 |
| F4134 | L4135 | $0.30 \times 0.24$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, concave base | Dark grey black friable loamy silt | Posthole. Part of a cluster with F4134, F4130 and F4146 |
| F4136 | L4137 | $0.29 \times 0.12$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, rounded base | Mid grey friable clay silt | Posthole. Part of a cluster with F4134, F4130 and F4146 |
| F4146 | L4147 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0.40 \text { (as visible) } \mathrm{x} \\ 0.19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub circular/ gentle sides, rounded base | Dark greyish brown firm to loose silty sand; occasional small gravel | Posthole. Part of a cluster with F4134, F4136 and F4130 |

4.16 Unphased features with no stratigraphic relationships in the Stage IV area

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Features within the Phase 2 stockyard |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F4051 (?= } \\ & \text { F4056) } \end{aligned}$ | L4054 | $5+\mathrm{x} 0.82 \times 0.23$ | Linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid red brown friable loose silty clay | Gully. Part of intercutting cluster. |
|  | L4055 |  |  | Mid-dark grey plastic silty clay; moderate sub angular chert |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F4056 (?= } \\ & \text { F4051) } \end{aligned}$ | L4057 | $5+\mathrm{x} 0.40 \times 0.37$ | Linear/ moderate sides, rounded base | Orangey grey firm clay silt; occasional angular and sub angular pebbles | Gully. Part of intercutting cluster. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F4053 (?= } \\ & \text { F4058) } \end{aligned}$ | L4052 | $5+\mathrm{x} 0.60 \times 0.22$ | Linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid grey plastic clay; moderate sub angular chert | Gully. Part of intercutting cluster. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F4058 (?= } \\ & \text { F4053) } \end{aligned}$ | L4059 | $5+\mathrm{x} 0.90 \times 0.25$ | Linear/ steep sides, undulating base | Yellowish orange with areas of grey mottling compact clayey silt; occasional angular and sub angular pebbles | Gully. Part of intercutting cluster. |
| F4062 | L4063 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.80+\text { x } 0.45 \times \\ & 0.18-0.22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ gentle sides, irregular base | Orange grey friable sandy silt; occasional angular and sub angular gravel | Ditch/ gully. Part of intercutting cluster. |
| F4064 | L4065 | $\begin{aligned} & 3+\times 0.79 \times 0.14- \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid grey-red brown friable silty clay with a little sand; occasional rounded chalk fragments and sub angular chert | Gully. Part of intercutting cluster. |
| F4380 | L4381 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.90+\mathrm{x} 0.66 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.23 \end{aligned}$ | Curvilinear/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid greyish brown firm clayey silt | Ditch. Cut by Ditch F4378 |
| F4023 | L4024 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } 1.60 \times 0.85 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.17+ \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ gentle sides, flattish base | Mid orangey brown friable clayey silt; occasional small angular and sub angular stones | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ditch. Cut Ditch F4021 } \\ & (=\text { F4316, F4033) } \end{aligned}$ |
| F4039 | L4040 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \times 0.42 \times \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ straight steep sides, flat base | Mid brownish grey with occasional orange mottles firm clayey silt; occasional charcoal and small rounded and sub angular pebbles | Posthole. Close to intercutting gullies. |
| F4018 | L4019 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.60 \times 0.32 \times \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ gentle sides, rounded base | orange grey firm silty sand | Posthole. |
|  | L4020 |  |  | Dark grey compact clay; occasional rounded pebbles |  |


| F4240 | L4241 | $0.25 \times 0.25$ | Circular/ near vertical sides, slightly concave base | Mid-light grey friable silty sand | Posthole. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4246 | L4247 | $0.47 \times 0.21$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Light grey brown firm clayey silt | Posthole. |
| F4461 | L4462 | $0.28 \times 0.09$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Light grey brown firm clayey silt; frequent rounded river pebbles used as packing stones | Posthole. |
| F4066 | L4067 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45 \times 0.40 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.23 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ steep sides, flat base | Reddish orange and grey friable sandy silt | Posthole. Cut Gully F4062. |
| F4253 | L4254 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \times 0.49 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ | Oval/ steep sides, concave base | Mid brown grey firm clayey silt; occasional small stone chips and gravel | Posthole. Between ditch termini at eastern entrance to enclosure. |
| F4309 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L4310 } \\ & \text { (Seg A } \\ & \text { only) } \\ & \hline \text { L4483 } \\ & \text { (Seg B } \\ & \text { only) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } 2 \times 0.96-1.03 \times \\ & 0.25-0.39 \end{aligned}$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Light grey firm clayey silt; occasional gravel and small pebbles <br> Light grey compact clayey silt; occasional inclusions of small pebbles | Pit. Between ditch termini at eastern entrance to enclosure. |
| F4015 | L4016 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60 \times 0.80 \times \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | Sub rectangular/ gentle sides, rounded base | Dark blackish grey firm clay silt; moderate charcoal inclusions and occasional inclusions of crushed burnt limestone | Pit. |
| F4025 | L4026 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33 \times 1.23 \times \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, irregular base | Dark to mid grey blue brown plastic silty clay; occasional small chert fragments | Pit. Cuts Pit F4027. |
| F4027 | L4028 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } 1.30 \times 0.80 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, rounded base | Dark-mid blue grey brown plastic silty clay | Pit. Cut by Pit F4025. |
| F4485 | L4486 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.02 \times 0.93 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.25 \end{aligned}$ | Irregularly oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Light brown grey firm clayey silt | Pit in SE corner of enclosure. |
| Features south of the Phase 2 stockyard/ close to Structure 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4244 | L4245 | c. $2 \times 1.08 \times 0.31$ | Linear/ moderate sides, rounded base | Light-dark orangey grey firm to friable clay with silt and sand inclusions | Ditch. S of enclosure; cut by several postholes. |
| F4299 | L4300 | c. $3.40 \times 1.48 \mathrm{x}$ | Linear/ moderate sides, rounded base | Light orangey grey friable clayey sand | Ditch. S of enclosure; cut by |


|  |  | 0.34-0.37 |  |  | several postholes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4232 | L4233 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \times 0.20 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ near vertical sides, rounded base | Dark grey friable to firm silty clay | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4244 and Pit F4324. |
| F4234 | L4235 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 1.29 & x & 0.63 & x \\ 0.30 & & & \end{array}$ | Irregular sub oval/ gentle to steep sides, rounded base | Dark brown friable silty clay | Pit. Cuts Ditch F4244, cut by Posthole F4232. |
| F4256 | L4257 | $0.16 \times 0.12$ | Circular/ moderate sides, rounded base | Dark grey firm clayey silt | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4244. |
| F4258 | L4259 | $0.12 \times 0.08$ | Circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Dark grey friable sandy silty clay | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4299. |
| F4260 | L4261 | $0.11 \times 0.08$ | Circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Dark grey friable sandy silty clay | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4299. |
| F4262 | L4263 | $0.14 \times 0.11$ | Circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Dark grey friable sandy silty clay | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4299. |
| F4264 | L4265 | $0.19 \times 0.11$ | Circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Dark brown friable clayey silt | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4299. |
| F4266 | L4267 | $0.18 \times 0.09$ | Circular/ moderately sloping sides, concave base | Dark brown friable clayey silt | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4299. |
| F4268 | L4269 | $0.17 \times 0.05$ | Circular/ gentle sides, rounded base | Dark grey firm clayey silt | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4299. |
| F4270 | L4271 | $0.19 \times 0.11$ | Circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Dark brown firm clayey silt | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4299. |
| F4272 | L4273 | $0.20 \times 0.08$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Dark brown firm clayey silt | Posthole E of Structure 4. Cuts Ditch F4299. |
| F4274 | L4275 | $0.19 \times 0.09$ | Circular/ steep sides, rounded base | Dark brown firm clayey silt | Posthole E of Structure 4. |
| F4276 | L4277 | $0.41 \times 0.11$ | Circular/ shallow sides, rounded base | Dark brown firm clayey silt; occasional charcoal inclusions | Posthole E of Structure 4 |
| F4278 | L4279 | $0.23 \times 0.06$ | Circular/ shallow sides, rounded base | Dark brown firm clayey silt | Posthole E of Structure 4 |
| F4031 | L4032 | $0.23 \times 0.12$ | Circular/ steep sides, flat base | Grey brown plastic clay; moderate small decayed stone | Posthole W of Structure 4. |
| F4041 | L4042 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29 \times 0.24 \times \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | Oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Dark brown grey firm silty sand | Posthole W of Structure 4. |
| F4043 | L4044 | $0.18 \times 0.10$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave | Mid-dark brown firm clayey silt | Posthole W of Structure 4. |

Land off Broadlands, Peterborough.

|  |  |  | base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4045 | L4046 | $0.18 \times 0.07$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid brown firm clayey silt | Posthole W of Structure 4. |
| F4047 | L4048 | $0.15 \times 0.05$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid brown firm clayey silt | Posthole W of Structure 4. |
| F4199 | L4200 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 0.31 & \mathrm{x} & 0.27 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.05 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, rounded base | Dark orangey grey friable sandy silty clay | Pit. Formed a line with Pits F4201 and F4214. |
| F4201 | L4202 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 0.20 & x & 0.25 & x \\ 0.04 & & & \end{array}$ | Sub rectangular/ moderate sides, flat base | Dark orangey grey friable sandy silty clay | Pit. Formed a line with Pits F4201 and F4199. |
| F4214 | L4215 | $\begin{array}{llll} 0.43 & x & 0.46 & x \\ 0.12 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub rectangular/ steep sides, flat base | Grey orange friable silty clay | Pit. Formed a line with Pits F4199 and F4214. |
| F4049 | L4050 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \times 0.77 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.25 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ steep sides, flat base | Brown with orange mottling compact clay; moderate redeposited L4004 | Gully. Cut by Ditch F4035 |
| F4212 | L4213 | $\begin{array}{llll} 0.97 & \mathrm{x} & 1.02 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.46 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Irregular/ steep sides, rounded base | Grey orange friable sandy silty clay | Pit. |
| F4526 | L4527 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.70+x 0.60-1.50 \\ & \text { x } 0.32-0.65 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ gently (near terminus) to moderate sides, concave base (flat towards terminus) | Mid greyish brown friable silty sand; moderate stone | Gully. Parallel and close to F4320 (Phase 1) and F4084 (Phase 2) |
| F4528 | L4529 | $1.10 \times 0.30$ | Linear/ gentle sides, relatively flat base | Light reddish grey compact sand; very occasional stone | Gully. Parallel and close to F4320 (Phase 1) and F4084 (Phase 2) |
| Features west of Phase 1 Ditch F4338 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4121 | L4177 | 5+ x 1.10+ x 0.42 | Linear/ steep sides, rounded base | Mid orangey grey plastic clayey silt; occasional angular and sub angular gravel Dark reddish grey friable clayey silt; occasional small angular gravel | Short ditch. Cut by Pit F4118. |
| F4123 | L4124 | $0.90 \times 0.20$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, slightly concave base | Light grey white loose silty sand; moderate re-deposited L4004 | Posthole with adjacent tree hollow. |
| F4073 | L4074 <br> L4075 | $\begin{array}{llll} 1.47 & x & 0.83 & x \\ 0.23 & & & \end{array}$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Reddish grey friable silty sand; moderate re-deposited natural <br> Mid grey loose silty sand; occasional large and small angular chert fragments | Posthole. |


|  |  |  |  | and rounded pebbles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L4083 |  |  | Light grey white loose silty sand; occasional large and small angular chert fragments and rounded pebbles |  |
| F4173 | L4174 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 0.55 & \mathrm{x} & 0.18 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.08 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid-light orangey brown firm clayey silt | Pit. |
| F4179 | L4180 | $1.72 \times 0.11$ | Sub oval/ irregular sides, irregular base | Mid brown friable clayey silt; moderate small stones | ?Pit / natural depression |
| F4140 | L4141 | $1.5 \times 0.95 \times 0.30$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid orangey brown firm clayey silt; occasional small angular stones and gravel | Pit. Cuts Pit F4142. |
| F4142 | L4143 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 1.55 & x & 0.75 & x \\ 0.32 & & & \end{array}$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid grey <br> occasional <br> gravelsmall firmangularclayey <br> stonessilt; <br> and | Pit. Cut by Pit F4140. |
| F4148 | L4149 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 0.72 & \mathrm{x} & 0.40 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.27 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub oval/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid brown grey firm clayey silt | Pit. |
| F4170 | L4171 | $\begin{array}{llll} 0.21 & \mathrm{x} & 0.21 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.07 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid orangey brown firm clayey silt | Posthole. Formed a line with Postholes F4168 and F4150. |
| F4168 | L4169 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 0.21 & \mathrm{x} & 0.21 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.11 & & & \end{array}$ | Sub circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid brown grey firm clayey silt; occasional small angular stones and gravel | Posthole. Formed a line with undated Postholes F4170 and F4150. |
| F4150 | L4151 | $0.18 \times 0.09$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Mid orangey brown firm clayey silt | Posthole. Formed a line with undated Postholes F4170 and F4168. |
| F4307 | L4308 | $1.33 \times 0.17$ | Sub circular/ gentle sides, relatively flat base | Mid greyish brown friable silty sand; occasional sub angular stones | Pit. |
| F4069 | L4070 | $0.48 \times 0.16$ | Sub circular/ irregular sides, irregular rounded base | Dark greyish brown firm silty sand; occasional small to medium sub rounded pebbles, frequent gravel | ?Posthole (much vegetational disturbance in area) |
| F4109 | L4110 | $0.30 \times 0.19$ | Circular/ moderate sides, flat base | Dark reddish brown friable silty clay; occasional small angular pieces of chert and small pebbles | Posthole |


|  | L4111 |  |  | Dark brown friable silty clay; occasional small angular pieces of chert and small pebbles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4230 | L4231 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 0.33 & \mathrm{x} & 0.20 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.05 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub circular/ uneven sides, flat base | Light brown grey friable silty sand | Posthole. |
| Features in the east of the site |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4353 | L4354 | $0.39 \times 0.11$ | Circular/ moderate sides, slightly convex base | Dark grey friable silty clay | Posthole. Immediately E of Gully F4289 (=F4355). ?Part of a line with Posthole F4324 |
| F4132 | L4133 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 2.45 & x & 0.82 & x \\ 0.31 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Irregularly oblong/ steep sides, irregular base | Dark grey black friable loam | Pit (much root damage to profile). |
| F4128 | L4129 | $0.58 \times 0.25$ | Circular/ steep sides, slightly concave base | Mid grey brown friable sandy silt; occasional to moderate sub angular stones | Pit |
| F4144 | L4145 | $0.38 \times 0.35$ | Circular/ near vertical sides, irregular flat base | Light greyish brown friable silty sand; occasional small to medium sub rounded pebbles | Posthole. Cut by Posthole F4146 |
| F4389 | L4390 | $\begin{array}{llll} 1.35 & x & 0.94 & x \\ 0.26 & & & \end{array}$ | Sub circular/ gentle sides, slightly curved base | Dark greenish brown compact sandy silt; occasional small sub angular and rounded stones | Pit. |
| F4324 | L4325 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 0.23 & x & 0.21 & x \\ 0.16 & & & \end{array}$ | Circular/ moderate sides, concave base | Dark grey friable silty clay with sandy inclusions | Posthole. Immediately E of Gully F4289 (=F4355). ?Part of a line with Posthole F4353. |
| F4203 | L4204 | $\begin{array}{llll} 1.30 & \mathrm{x} & 0.80 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.28 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Oval/ steep sides, rounded base | Yellowish orange friable sandy silt; occasional rounded pebbles | Pit (truncated). Recut as F4205. |
| F4205 | L4206 | $1 \times 0.87 \times 0.20$ | Oval/ moderate sides, rounded base | Mid grey firm clayey silt; occasional charcoal and rounded pebbles | Pit (truncated). Recut of F4203. |
| F4391 | L4392 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 1.06 & \mathrm{x} & 0.88 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.21 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub circular/ gentle sides, concave base | Dark blackish brown firm sandy silt | ?Pit/ natural. Several tree hollows in area. |
| F4371 | L4372 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 1.51 & x & 0.92 & x \\ 0.41 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Oval/ steep sides, flat base | Dark grey black loose organic loam | ?Pit/ natural. Several tree hollows in area. |
| F4373 | L4374 | $\begin{array}{llll} 3.80 & x & 0.92 & x \\ 0.27 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub rectangular/ concave sides, fairly flat base | Dark grey black loose organic loam; very occasional sub angular gravel | ?Pit/ natural. Several tree hollows in area. |


| F4216 | L4217 | $\begin{array}{llll} 1.30 & \mathrm{x} & 0.90+\mathrm{x} \\ 0.25 & & \end{array}$ | Sub circular/ steep sides, flat base | Yellowish orange friable sandy silt; moderate rounded pebbles | Pit (truncated). Recut F4219. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L4218 |  |  | Dark-mid grey firm clayey silt; occasional charcoal and rounded pebbles |  |
| F4219 | L4220 | $\begin{array}{\|cccc} \hline 1.13 & x & 1.06 & x \\ 0.20 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub circular/ gentle sides, flat base | Yellowish orange friable sandy silt; occasional rounded and sub angular pebbles | Pit (truncated). F4216. |
|  | L4221 |  |  | Blackish grey firm clayey silt; charcoal |  |
| F4500 | L4501 | $1.88 \times 1 \times 0.29$ | Oval/ gentle sides, conc | Light grey compact sandy silt; moderate small angular flint | ?Pit/ natural. Vegetational disturbance in area. |
| F449 | L4499 | $\begin{array}{\|llll} \hline 0.14 & \mathrm{x} & 0.20 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.25 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Irregular linear/ gentle sides, uneven base | Mid-dark brown compact silty clay sand; frequent stones | Natural (tree hollow ). Contained 3 flint scrapers and one tertiary flake (?scraper blank) of Neolithic date. |
| F4489 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4491 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4496 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4508 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4479 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4506 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4510 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4493 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4487 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modicher |  |  |  |  |
| F4518 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modr |  |  |  |  |
| F4481 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4514 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4516 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4504 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4502 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration is apparent. Posthole F4524 of this structure was modern. |  |  |  |  |
| F4255 | L4551 | $\begin{array}{\|llll} \hline 0.37 & \mathrm{x} & 0.36 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.10 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Circular/ gentle sides, concave base | Light brown compact sand; occasional small angular flint fragments | Not recorded on plan. Located in area of 'Structure 4523' |


| F4457 | L4458 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \times 0.66 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.22 \end{aligned}$ | Circular/ steep sides, flat base | Brown/ orange firm clayey sand; occasional charcoal, burnt bone and pebbles | Pit. Contained a flint core fragment and tertiary flake. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4538 | L4539 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 3.07 & \mathrm{x} & 1.18 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.23 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub rectangular/ gentle sides, flat base | Light orangey brown loose sandy silt; occasional to moderate small sub rounded gravel | Pit. Cut by Ditch F4463. |
| F4601 | L4603 <br> L4602 | $\begin{array}{\|llll} \hline 4.45 & x & 1.25 & x \\ 0.45 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub rectangular/ steep to vertical sides, irregular concave base | Light and mid greyish orange friable silty sand; mixed natural with occasional flecks of charcoal and degraded pottery Mid grey with orange mottles firm silty sand; moderate inclusions of gravel and river rolled pebbles | Pit. |
| F4520 | L4521 <br>  <br> L4522 | $\begin{array}{\|llll} \hline 2.80 & \mathrm{x} & 1.20 & \mathrm{x} \\ 0.29 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sub oval/ gentle sides, sub flat base | Dark grey brown with red and yellow flecks compact sandy clay; occasional small sub angular stones, high organic content <br> Very pale grey beige with yellow mottling compact silty clay; occasional charcoal and small sub angular stones | Pit. |
| F4412 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { L4417 } \\ \hline \text { L4418 } \\ \hline \text { L4419 } \\ \hline \text { L4420 } \\ \hline \text { L4421 } \\ \hline \text { L4422 } \\ \hline \text { L4423 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $? \times 2.25+\times 0.94$ | Horizontal sides at first before becoming steeper towards the rounded base, | Dark grey loose sandy silt <br> Light orangey brown very loose sand <br> Light bluey grey compact silty clay; <br> occasional to moderate inclusions of <br> angular pebbles <br> Light brown very loose sand <br> Mid-dark orange compact sand <br> Light grey fairly compact clayey silt <br> Light orangey brown fairly compact silty <br> sand | Natural (Palaeochannel/ glacial crack). |
| F4628 | L4629 <br> L4630 | $1.25+\mathrm{x}$ ? x 0.42 | Feature not visible in plan/ sides not visible in section, possibly rounded base | Mid reddish grey friable silty sand <br> Mid yellowish orange with light grey | Natural (peri-glacial)/ pit |


|  |  |  |  | mottles friable silty sand; occasional <br> flecks of charcoal |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F4631 | L4632 | $1.35 \times ? \times 0.39$ | Feature not visible in plan/ concave <br> sides on western edge, convex on <br> eastern edge, rounded base sloping <br> eastwards | Pale to mid reddish orange with a light <br> grey mottling friable silty sand; <br> occasional rounded stones up to 50mm |  |
| - | L4635 | - | - | Mid brownish compact orange sand with <br> gravel pockets | ?Natural deposit sealing Pits <br> F4631 and F4628 |
| - | L4636 | - | - | Mid greyish brown with orange mottling <br> firm silty sand | ?Natural deposit overlying <br> L4635. |

4.17 Modern features

| Feature | Context | Dimensions (m) | Plan/ Profile | Fill | Finds Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4009 | L4010 (Seg A) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } 150 \times 0.74-1 \times 0.30- \\ & 0.56 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ moderate-steep sides, concave base | Dark grey blue brown plastic slightly silty clay with a little sand; moderate chert and occasional small pebbles especially towards the base | Modern |
|  | L4010 (Seg B) |  |  | Dark brown firm silty clay with occasional patches of sand; occasional flint rubble | Modern |
|  | L4010 (Seg C) |  |  | Dark black sticky clayey silt; occasional charcoal | Modern |
|  | L4017 (Seg C) |  |  | Light grey with slight orange and red mottling compact clay; occasional inclusions of ferrous material | - |
| F4005 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L4006 (All } \\ & \text { segs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & c .142 \times 0.29-0.33 \times 0.04- \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | Linear/ gentle sides, flat base | Mid brown friable silty clay; occasional angular stone inclusions | Post medieval (residual) |
| F4007 | L4008 | c. $34 \times 0.45 \times 0.10$ | Linear/ gentle sides, rounded base | Mid grey firm silty clay | - |
| F4210 | L4211 | c. $36 \times 0.80 \times 0.23$ | Curvilinear/ gentle sides, slightly rounded base | Dark greeny blue black compact clay; moderate small stone inclusions | Modern |


| F4175 | L4176 | $10.0+\times 0.68 \times 0.1$ | Curvilinear/ gentle <br> sides, concave base | Dark greyish black compact medium clay; <br> frequent angular/ sub angular gavel |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F4037 | L4038 | $0.29 \times 0.22 \times 0.11$ | Sub rectangular/ gentle <br> sides, flat base | Dark greyish brown friable clayey silt; occasional <br> small rounded pebbles |  |
| F4524 | No details recorded. Part of 'Structure F4523' though no structural configuration was apparent. Contained remains of a <br> painted wooden post and a modern nail. Other postholes of this 'structure' (see Table 20) have not been classed as <br> modern because their association with this one is not clear. | Modern <br> F2050 L2051 | $0.24 \times 0.23 \times 0.14$ | Sub circular/ vertical <br> sides, flat base | Mid brownish grey sandy silt with humic <br> component; occasional charcoal |

## 5 SPECIALISTS DATA (ARTEFACTS AND ENVIRONMENTAL)

### 5.1 Flint data

| Stage | Feature | Context | Phase | Find | No. | Weight | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eval (Tr 12) | None | L1001 | - | Broken flake | 1 | 1 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 12) | None | L1001 | - | Core | 1 | 12 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 12) | None | L1001 | - | Tertiary flake | 2 | 12 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 13) | F1032 | L1033 | - | Broken flake | 1 | 2 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 13) | F1036 | L1037 | - | Tertiary flake | 1 | 6 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 13) | None | L1001 | - | Natural | 1 | 4 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 13) | None | L1001 | - | Tertiary flake | 1 | 8 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 14) | None | L1001 | - | Broken flake | 1 | 3 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 14) | None | L1001 | - | Natural | 1 | 1 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 15) | F1066 | L1067 | - | Natural | 1 | 1 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 15) | F1066 | L1067 | - | Secondary flake | 1 | 7 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 16) | None | L1001 | - | Uncorticated flake | 2 | 2 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 18) | F1040 | L1041 | - | Secondary flake | 1 | 6 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 18) | F1040 | L1041 | - | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 1 g | Systematic |
| Eval (Tr 18) | None | L1001 | - | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 1 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 20) | None | L1001 | - | Broken flake | 2 | 2 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 20) | None | L1001 | - | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 1 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 3) | None | L1001 | - | Broken flake | 1 | 1 g | Fine |
| Eval (Tr 7) | None | L1000 | - | Broken flake | 1 | 1 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 7) | None | L1001 | - | Broken flake | 1 | 1 g |  |
| Eval (Tr 9) | None | L1001 | - | Tertiary flake | 1 | 8 g | ?Blade Seg |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evaluaton }(\mathrm{Tr} \\ & 6) \end{aligned}$ | None | L1001 | - | Tertiary flake | 2 | 2 g |  |
| Evaluaton (Tr <br> 6) | None | L1001 | - | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 6 g |  |
| Evalutaion (Tr 5) | None | L1001 | - | ?Broken Scraper? | 1 | 7 g |  |
| I | F2154 | L2155 | - | Natural | 1 | 1 g |  |
| I | F2188 | L2189 | 5 | Broken flake | 1 | 1 g |  |
| I | F2188 | L2256 | 5 | Natural | 1 | 6 g |  |
| I | F2188 | L2258 | 5 | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 14 g |  |
| I | None | L2001 | pre 5 | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 1 g |  |
| I (Tr 10) | None | L2001 | pre 5 | Secondary flake | 1 | 7 g |  |
| I (Tr 11) | None | L2001 | pre 5 | Tertiary flake | 1 | 6 g |  |
| II | F3015 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L3016 (Seg } \\ & \text { 2) } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 2 g |  |
| II | F3029 | L3030 | 4 | Secondary flake | 1 | 12 g | Tip |
| II | F3070 | L3071 | - | Burnt flint | 1 | 17 g | Broken |
| II | None | L3001 | pre 5 | Primary flake | 1 | 11 g |  |
| II | None | L3001 | pre 5 | Tertiary flake | 1 | 8 g | Brown pebble |
| IV | F4029 | ? | 2 | Tertiary flake | 1 | 2 g | Fine |
| IV | F4029 | L4030 | 2 | Secondary flake | 1 | 5 g |  |
| IV | F4029 | L4030 | 2 | Tertiary flake | 1 | 1 g |  |
| IV | F4029 | L4030 (Seg <br> C) | 2 | ?Retouched Lump | 1 | 64 g | Burnt |


| IV | F4035 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L4036 (Seg } \\ & \text { D) } \end{aligned}$ | - | Broken flake | 1 | 2 g |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IV | F4084 | L4086 | 1 | Core | 1 | 18 g |  |
| IV | F4084 | L4399 | 1 | Scraper | 1 | 29 g |  |
| IV | F4084 | L4399 | 1 | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 5 g |  |
| IV | F4118 | L4120 | - | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 1 g |  |
| IV | F4208 | L4223 | 2 | Scraper | 1 | 8 g |  |
| IV | F4208 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L4224 ( } \mathrm{Seg} \\ & \mathrm{C}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 | Burnt flint | 1 | 32 g |  |
| IV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F4208/ } \\ & \text { F4562 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | L4209 | 2 | Scraper | 1 | 15 g |  |
| IV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F4208/ } \\ & \text { F4562 } \end{aligned}$ | L4209 | 2 | Uncorticated flake | 2 | 6 g |  |
| IV | F4253 | L4254 | - | Broken flake | 1 | 5 g |  |
| IV | F4286 | L4288 (Seg <br> B) | 2 | Retouched Flake | 1 | 13g |  |
| IV | F4293 | L4530 | 1 | Natural | 1 | 3 g |  |
| IV | F4295 | L4296 | 2 | Tertiary flake | 2 | 4 g |  |
| IV | F4311 | L4330 | 2 | Core Fragment | 1 | 27 g |  |
| IV | F4316 | L4315 | 2 | Tertiary flake | 1 | 3 g |  |
| IV | F4317 | L4319 | 2 | Blade | 3 | 2 g |  |
| IV | F4317 | L4319 | 2 | Broken flake | 17 | 12 g |  |
| IV | F4317 | L4319 | 2 | Burnt flint |  | 45 g |  |
| IV | F4317 | L4319 | 2 | Natural | 2 | 1 g |  |
| IV | F4317 | L4319 | 2 | Secondary flake | 1 | 3 g |  |
| IV | F4317 | L4319 | 2 | Tertiary flake | 6 | 27 g |  |
| IV | F4317 | L4319 | 2 | Uncorticated flake | 9 | 9g |  |
| IV | F4328 | L4229 | 2 | Tertiary flake | 1 | 6 g |  |
| IV | F4378 | L4379 | - | Broken flake | 1 | 5 g |  |
| IV | F4378 | L4379 | - | Tertiary flake | , | 9 g |  |
| IV | F4413 | L4473 | 2 | Tertiary flake | 1 | 3 g |  |
| IV | F4413 | L4473 | 2 | Uncorticated flake | 1 | 4 g |  |
| IV | F4438 | L4441 | 5 | Primary flake | 1 | 6 g |  |
| IV | F4442 | L4446 | 3 | Broken flake | 1 | 4 g |  |
| IV | F4442 | L4446 | 3 | Tertiary flake | , | 8 g |  |
| IV | F4457 | L4458 | - | Core Fragment | 1 | 6 g |  |
| IV | F4457 | L4458 | - | Tertiary flake |  | 1 g |  |
| IV | F4498 | L4499 | - | Scraper | 1 | 44g |  |
| IV | F4498 | L4499 | - | Scraper | 1 | 49g |  |
| IV | F4498 | L4499 | - | Scraper | 1 | 96 g |  |
| IV | F4498 | L4499 | - | Tertiary flake |  | 65 g |  |
| IV | F4562 | L4251 | 2 | Tertiary flake | 1 | 11 g |  |
| IV | F4562 | L4252 | 2 | Borer | 1 | 10 g |  |
| IV | F4562 | L4252 | 2 | Core Fragment | 1 | 10 g |  |
| IV | F4562 | L4252 | 2 | Scraper |  | 16 g |  |
| IV | F4562 | L4252 | 2 | Tertiary flake | 2 | 13g |  |
| IV | F4562 | L4350 | 2 | Tertiary flake | 1 | 10 g |  |
| IV | F4564 | L4565 | - | Broken flake | , | 1 g |  |
| IV | None | Unstratified | - | Tertiary flake | 2 | 13g |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | 133 | 914 g |  |

### 5.2 Prehistoric pottery data

Unfortunately, the databases relating to the prehistoric pottery recovered from the Stage I-III excavations have been lost due to a computer malfunction and cannot be recovered; quantifications of the pottery from these stages of the excavations can be found in the interim reports (Vaughan and Last 1999; Crank and Ralph 2001; Hounsell 2002).

The prehistoric pottery from the Stage IV excavation was recorded on a Microsoft Excel database designed for use on-screen; it cannot be effectively reproduced on paper but can be found on the accompanying CD.

### 5.3 Late Iron Age and Roman pottery data

The late Iron Age and Roman pottery was recorded on a Microsoft Excel database designed for use on-screen; it cannot be effectively reproduced on paper but can be found on the accompanying CD.

### 5.4 Ceramic building material and baked clay database

## Fabrics:

Daub1: Soft, mottled reddish yellow to reddish brown throughout (2.5YR 5-6/6 to 5YR 6/46). Intact surfaces are rare, but those that are demonstrate a darker, reddish brown (5YR 5/34 ) slight 'crust'. The intact surfaces appear curved and were crudely shaped, clearly not flat or smoothed. Inclusions comprise common fine quartz ( $<0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$, sparse larger grains), sparse organic voids and clay pellets $(<5 \mathrm{~mm})$.
RB1: Oxidised (2.5-5YR 6/8) with some reduced cores, poorly sorted quartz sand-tempered $(0.1-0.5 \mathrm{~mm})$, and moderate hardness.
RB2: Fired clay, no forms. Fabric as RB1 with sparse flint and quartzite ( $5-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), oxidised surfaces, reduced cores.
MOD1: Modern machine cut, 65 mm thick brick.

|  |  |  | Daub1 |  | RB1 |  | RB2 |  | MOD1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feature | Context |  | Frags | Weight $(\mathrm{g})$ | Frags | Weight $(\mathrm{g})$ | Frags | Weight $(\mathrm{g})$ | Frags | Weight $(\mathrm{g})$ |  |
| 1001 |  | Palaeosoil |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 187 |  |
| 1016 | 1017 | Ditch | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | Palaeosoil |  |  | 7 | 1694 | 7 | 2172 |  |  | RB1 Very highly abraded, dimensions ?x?200x?40, no surfaces intact, probably a highly damaged bessalis brick, RB2 rough cylinder of clay 150 mm high $(1800 \mathrm{~g})$, diameter uncertain, possible structural support or pilaster. |
| 2003 | 2004 | Oven |  |  |  |  | 79 | 5165 |  |  | poss low quality superstructure of kiln, plus also ?pilaster in L2001 |
| 2188 | 2255 | Pit |  |  | 1 | 208 |  |  |  |  | misc roman brick |
| 3013 | 3014 | Gully | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3072 | 3074 | Pit | 3 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4002 |  | Topsoil |  |  | 3 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4172 |  | Palaeosol (A) |  |  | 1 | 19 |  |  | 1 | 43 |  |
| 4293 | 4294 | Ditch |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 618 | 1 brick, illegible (smashed) stamp |
| 4316 | 4533 | Ditch | 370 | 2350 |  |  |  |  |  |  | average weight 6.35 g , extremely highly fragmented, no impressions, poss daub or part of clay objects (?smashed loom weights) |
| 4326 | 4327 | Ditch |  |  | 1 | 197 |  |  |  |  | fragment of tegula |
| 4328 | 4333 | Ditch |  |  |  |  | 22 | 153 |  |  |  |
| 4558 |  | Mod backfill |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 38 |  |
| Total |  |  | 375 | 2416 | 13 | 2205 | 108 | 7490 | 19 | 886 |  |

### 5.5 Slag catalogue

| Stage | Feature | Context | Type | No. | Weight | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| II | F3033 | L3034 | Pit-furnace <br> slag | 1 | 1260 g | No charcoal fuel or wood <br> imprints; no clay on base <br> (uneven). Possibly fractured off a <br> larger block. |
| IV | F4009 | L4010 | Hearth <br> Bottom | 1 | 488 g | Coal Fuel; fresh condition; flint <br> inclusions |
| IV | F4035 | L4036 C | Iron Pan | 1 | 9 g | Natural - discard |
| IV | F4039 | L4040 | Iron Pan | 1 | 27 g | Natural - discard |
| IV | F4062 | L4063 A | Iron Pan | 14 | 81 g | Natural - discard |
| IV | F4064 | L4065 A | Iron Pan | 2 | 382 g | Natural - discard |
| IV | F4212 | L4213 | Iron Pan | 12 | 173 g | Natural - discard |
| IV | F4601 | L4602 | Iron Pan | 1 | 28 g | Natural - discard |

### 5.6 Preserved wood catalogue

| Feature | Context | Phase/ <br> feature | Identifier | Identification | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F4402 | L4403 | Phase 2 pit | SF15 | Roundwood | L.170 D.35/40mm |
| F4402 | L4403 | Phase 2 pit | SF15 | Roundwood | L.120 D.18/26mm |
| F4402 | L4403 | Phase 2 pit | SF15 | Roundwood | 1 end/torn L.110 D.16mm |
| F4402 | L4403 | Phase 2 pit | - | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/2 directions <br> (felling) L.420+ D.90/110mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 47 | Roundwood | Small and twiggy |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 48/ <br> L4544 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/1 direction <br> L.450+ D.150mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 49 | Roundwood | L.295 D.14/19mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | - | Roundwood | L.260 D.14/16mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 50 | Roundwood | Prob. Quercus sp. (oak - field <br> id) L.180+ D.80/100mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 51/ <br> L4541 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/1 direction <br> L.260+ D.70/75mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 52/ <br> L4542 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/1 direction <br> L.280mm D.90mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 54 | Roundwood | Coppiced. L.330+ D.25/26mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 56/ <br> L4546 | Bark | L.140 x 60 x 8mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 57// <br> L4547 | Roundwood | Coppiced L.450 D.30/32mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | - | Wood chip | Tangential L.80 x 80 x 18mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 58 | Wood | Too dry to record |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 60 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/1 direction <br> L.260+ D.19mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 61 | Roundwood | L.190 D.13mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 62 | Wood | Too dry to record |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 63 | Roundwood | L.155 D.27/29mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 53/ <br> L4543 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/1 direction, <br> toolmark 42:2, L.230+ <br> D.44/56mm |
| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | L4543 | Roundwood | Coppiced, trimmed 1 end/1 <br> direction L.330+ D.70mm |


| F4402 | L4454 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 55 | Roundwood | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Torn at heel L. } 225 \\ & \text { D. } 20 / 22 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4402 | L4548 | Phase 2 pit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample 59/ } \\ & \text { L4548 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Timber debris | $1 / 4$ split and modified L. $100+\mathrm{x}$ <br> $80 \times 60 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4402 | L4548 | Phase 2 pit | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sample } 64 \\ \text { / L4549 } \end{array}$ | Bark | L. $165 \times 65 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4402 | L4548 | Phase 2 pit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample 65/ } \\ & \text { L4550 } \end{aligned}$ | Bark | L. $165 \times 39 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4402 | L4548 | Phase 2 pit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample 71/ } \\ & \text { L4560 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Timber debris | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boxed heart, L. } 110 \times 22 \times \\ & 15 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| F4562 | F4209 | Phase 2 pit | SF19 | Log ladder | $1 / 2$ split, tr. 1 end/all directions, toolmark 42:6, L. $980 \times 180 \times$ 90 mm |
| F4562 | L4563 | Phase 2 pit | - | Roundwood twigs and root | Various diameters |
| F4562 | F4563 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 82 | Roundwood | Small and twiggy |
| F4562 | L4571 | Phase 2 pit | - | Roundwood | Twiggy (6) D. $10-32 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4562 | L4571 | Phase 2 pit | - | Roundwood | L. 490 D. $51 / 59 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4562 | L4572 | Phase 2 pit | SF26 | Log ladder | Roundwood with 3 steps, L. 1125 D. $90 / 80$ tapering to $80 / 70 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4562 | L4572 | Phase 2 pit | SF27 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/1 direction, torn side branch L. 690 D. 70 mm |
| F4562 | L4572 | Phase 2 pit | SF28 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/ 1 direction L. 700 D. $49 / 62 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4562 | L4572 | Phase 2 pit | SF29 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/ 1 direction L. $140+\mathrm{mm}$ D. 60 mm |
| F4562 | L4572 | Phase 2 pit | SF30 | Roundwood | ?Wattle? x9 D. $2-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4562 | L4572 | Phase 2 pit | - | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Mostly root, } \\ \text { ?alder (Alnus } \\ \text { glutinosa) } \end{array}$ | V. poor condition, var. diameters, but distorted |
| F4562 | L4573 | Phase 2 pit | - | Roundwood | With eccentric pith |
| F4562 | L4578 | Phase 2 pit | - | Roots and roundwood | Mixed diameters mostly alder (Alnus glutinosa) |
| F4562 | L4578 | Phase 2 pit | - | Timber debris | $1 / 2$ split, trimmed 1 end/1 direction L. 270 D. $66 / 49 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4562 | ? | Phase 2 pit | - | Roundwood | L. 200 D. $30 / 41 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4562 | ? | Phase 2 pit | - | Debris | Trimmed 2 directions, probable charred stake tip L. $100 \times 70 \mathrm{x}$ 60 mm |
| F4562 | ? | Phase 2 pit | - | Roundwood | L. 262 D. $42 / 45 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4208 | L4209 | Phase 2 pit | SF21 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end $/ 1$ direction L. 150 D. $21 / 33 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4208 | L4209 | Phase 2 pit | SF23 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/tapered L.230+ D. $42 / 46 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4208 | L4209 | Phase 2 pit | SF24 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/1 direction L. 255 D. $14 / 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4208 | L4209 | Phase 2 pit | SF25 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/tapered L. 250 D. $40 / 65 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F4562/ } \\ & \text { F4208 } \end{aligned}$ | L4209 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 73 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roundwood } \mathrm{x} \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | D. $30-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F4562/ } \\ & \text { F4208 } \end{aligned}$ | L4209 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 74 | Roundwood | L. 145 D. $32 / 46 \mathrm{~mm}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F4562/ } \\ & \text { F4208 } \end{aligned}$ | L4209 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 67 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/ direction L. 700 D. $49 / 62 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F4562/ } \\ & \text { F4208 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | L4209 | Phase 2 pit | - | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/tapering L. 225 D. $38 / 45 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F4562/ } \\ & \text { F4208 } \end{aligned}$ | L4209 | Phase 2 pit | Sample 10 | Roundwood | Roundwood, trimmed 1 end/1 direction L. 220 D. $40 / 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4295 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phase }{ }^{2} \\ & \text { grave pit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SF10/ } \\ & \text { L4395 } \end{aligned}$ | Roundwood | Very soft, partially mineralized - grave marker L.100+ <br> D. $85 / 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4442 | L4443 | Phase 3 pit | SF08 | Woodchip | Radial, L. $35 \times 30 \times 11 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4442 | L4444 | Phase 3 pit | SF11 | Roundwood | L. 280 D. $26 / 31 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4442 | L4444 | Phase 3 pit | SF38 | Bark | Too decayed for detailed analysis |
| F4442 | L4444 | Phase 3 pit | SF39 | Roundwood | Curved, trimmed 1 end $/ 3$ directions L. $310+$ D. 31 mm |
| F4442 | L4444 | Phase 3 pit | SF40 | Roundwood | Curved, trimmed $1 \mathrm{end} / 2$ directions L .200 D. $35 / 31 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4442 | L4445 | Phase 3 pit | SF34 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/1 direction L. 75 D. 22 mm |
| F4442 | L4445 | Phase 3 pit | SF09 | Roundwood | Trimmed 1 end/ 1 direction, L. $440+$ D. $24 / 29 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4604 | L4607 | Phase 3 pit | SF36 | Roundwood | Coppiced, trimmed 1 end/2 directions (heel) L.680+ D. $36 / 40 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4604 | L4607 | Phase 3 pit | - | Roundwood \& debris fragments | - |
| F4625 | L4623 | Phase 3 pit | - | Bark | L. $20+\mathrm{x} 15+\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| F4625 | L4623 | Phase 3 pit | - | Roundwood \& debris fragments | $-$ |
| F4625 | L4623 | Phase 3 pit | - | Roundwood | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Possibly coppiced (ring pattern) } \\ & \text { L. } 190 \text { D. } 28 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| F4438 | L4621 | Phase 5 pit | SF35 | Slightly less than half of the circular base of a two piece/stavebuilt vessel | W. 178 mm . Tangentially split or sawn and hewn. Max. Th. 9mm. |
| F4438 | L4621 | Phase 5 pit | - | Woodchip | Tangential, L. $70+\mathrm{x} 35 \times 13 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

### 5.7 Human bone data

A single skeleton (SK4382) was recovered. The details of this skeleton were recorded for analysis by hand on an appropriate recording form which can be found (as a .pdf file) on the accompanying CD.

### 5.8 Animal bone

The animal bone was recorded on a Microsoft Excel database designed for use onscreen; it cannot be effectively reproduced on paper but can be found on the accompanying CD.
Charred plant macrofossils and other remains database

| Sample No. | 3 | 4 | 14 | 26 | 45 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 12 | 68 | 72 | 6 | 43 | 31 | 28 | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context No. | 4115 | 4114 | 4319 | 4319 | 4471 | 4251 | 4224 | 4223 | 4350 | 4296 | 4556 | 4561 | 4228 | 4533 | 4445 | 4409 | 4468 |
| Feature No, | 4112 | 4112 | 4317 | 4317 | 4413 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4295 | 4402 | 4402 | 4328 | 4316 | 4442 | 4408 | 4467 |
| Type | Pit | Pit | Pit | Pit | PH | Waterhole | Waterhole | Waterhole | Waterhole | Grave pit | Waterhole | Waterhole | Enc. ditch | Ditch | Pit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pit// } \\ & \text { Nat. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Pit |
| Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | - |
| Plant macrofossils |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corylus avellana L. | xx* | xx* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rumex acetosella L. |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal $<2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | xxx | xxx | xxx | Xx | xxx | xxx | Xx | xxx | XX | Xx | X | X | XX | X | X | xxx | X |
| Charcoal >2mm | XX | xxx | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Charred root/stem |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Mineralised root channels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Other material |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black porous 'cokey' material |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black tarry material |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Bone |  | xb | xpmc |  |  |  | xb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burnt stone |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eggshell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | xpmc |  |
| Pot |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small mammal/amphibian bone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | xpmc |  |
| Sample volume (litres) | 10 | 15 | 90 | 70 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 45 | 20 | 50 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 110 | 30 | 2 | 15 |
| Volume of flot (litres) | <0.1 | 0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| \% flot sorted | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
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Plate 1: the base of a two-piece, or stave built vessel (SF35)
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The findings of the evaluation are largely superseded by those of the later stages of investigation. When mention of features from the evaluation is relevant, these will be designated (e.g.) 'EF1000' to avoid confusion with features revealed in the Stage III investigation, designated (e.g.) 'F1000'.

