GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

STRATASCAN™

SUMO Survey Services
SUMO Group Member

Project name:

Holt, Norfolk

Client:

Archaeological Solutions Ltd

April 2013

Job ref:

J3317

Report author:

Richard Smalley BA (Hons) AIFA

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

Project name:
Holt, Norfolk
Client:
Archaeological Solutions Ltd

Job ref:
J3317

Techniques:
Detailed magnetic survey – Gradiometry

Survey date:
25th-28th March 2013

Site centred at:
TG 086 384

Post code:
NR25 6ES

Field team:
Adam Cooper
James McKinnon
Liam Tasney

Project manager:
Simon Haddrell BEng(Hons) AMBCS PIFA

Report written By:
Richard Smalley BA (Hons) AIFA

CAD illustrations by:
Richard Smalley BA (Hons) AIFA

Checked by:
David Elks MSc AIFA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The geophysical survey undertaken at Holt, Norfolk has identified a number of anomalies that may be related to cut features, such as pits and ditches, of a possible archaeological origin. Magnetic variation likely to be caused by changes in geology or pedology dominates the data set. No anomalies of a probable archaeological origin have been identified in the survey data.

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 *Background synopsis*

Stratascan were commissioned to undertake a geophysical survey of an area outlined for development. This survey forms part of an archaeological investigation being undertaken by Archaeological Solutions Ltd.

2.2 *Site location*

The site is located near Holt, Norfolk at OS ref. TG 086 384.

2.3 *Description of site*

The survey area comprises approximately 13.5ha of agricultural land on the eastern limits of Holt, Norfolk. The A148 highway forms the northern limits of the site. The southern boundary is marked by the Hempstead Road.

2.4 *Geology and soils*

The underlying geology is chalk (British Geological Survey website). The drift geology is sand and gravel (British Geological Survey website).

The overlying soils are known as Wick 3 which are typical brown earths. These consist of deep, well drained, coarse loamy soils (Soil Survey of England and Wales, Sheet 4 Eastern England).

2.5 *Site history and archaeological potential*

The following is an extract from a brief for geophysical survey provided by the Client:

"The area is marked as warrens on Faden's Map of Norfolk (1797), and there are a few possible cropmarks visible on aerial photographs. The nature of these cropmarks is unclear" (Hamilton, 2012).

2.6 *Survey objectives*

The objective of the survey was to locate any features of a possible archaeological origin in order that they may be assessed prior to development.

2.7 *Survey methods*

This report and all fieldwork have been conducted in accordance with both the English Heritage guidelines outlined in the document: *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation, 2008* and with the Institute for Archaeologists document *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey.*

Detailed magnetic survey (gradiometry) was used as an efficient and effective method of locating archaeological anomalies. More information regarding this technique is included in the Methodology section below and in Appendix A.

2.8 *Processing, presentation and interpretation of results*

2.8.1 *Processing*

Processing is performed using specialist software. This can emphasise various aspects contained within the data but which are often not easily seen in the raw data. Basic processing of the magnetic data involves 'flattening' the background levels with respect to adjacent traverses and adjacent grids. Once the basic processing has flattened the background it is then possible to carry out further processing which may include low pass filtering to reduce 'noise' in the data and hence emphasise the archaeological or man-made anomalies.

The following schedule shows the basic processing carried out on all minimally processed gradiometer data used in this report:

1. *Destripe* (Removes striping effects caused by zero-point discrepancies between different sensors and walking directions)
2. *Destagger* (Removes zigzag effects caused by inconsistent walking speeds on sloping, uneven or overgrown terrain)

2.8.2 *Presentation of results and interpretation*

The presentation of the data for each site involves a print-out of the raw and minimally processed data both as greyscales and a colour plot showing extreme magnetic values. Magnetic anomalies have been identified and plotted onto the 'Abstraction and Interpretation of Anomalies' drawing for the site.

3 RESULTS

The detailed magnetic gradiometer survey conducted at Holt, Norfolk has identified a number of anomalies that have been characterised as being of a *possible* archaeological origin.

The difference between *probable* and *possible* archaeological origin is a confidence rating. Features identified within the dataset that form recognisable archaeological patterns or seem to be related to a deliberate historical act have been interpreted as being of a probable archaeological origin.

Features of possible archaeological origin tend to be more amorphous anomalies which may have similar magnetic attributes in terms of strength or polarity but are difficult to classify as being archaeological or natural.

The following list of numbered anomalies refers to numerical labels on the interpretation plots.

3.1 Probable Archaeology

No anomalies have been identified within the survey area that can be confidently attributed as being of a probable archaeological origin.

3.2 Possible Archaeology

1 A number of positive linear and area anomalies have been identified within the survey area. These anomalies may be related to cut features of a possible archaeological origin; however their amorphous character or weak magnetic value means that a natural origin cannot be ruled out at this stage.

3.3 Other Anomalies

2 A number of magnetic 'spikes' (strong focussed values with associated antipolar response) indicate the presence of ferrous metal objects. These are likely to be related to modern debris.

3 Two large areas of magnetic variation can be noted in the northern half of the survey area. This variation is likely to be of a geological or pedological origin.

4 An area of magnetic enhancement is present in the southern limits of the survey area. This anomaly is likely to be related to a spread of ferrous debris.

5 An area of strong magnetic disturbance indicative of made ground can be seen in the south western limits of the survey area.

6 Areas of magnetic disturbance are the result of substantial nearby ferrous metal objects such as fences and underground services. These effects can mask weaker archaeological anomalies.

4 CONCLUSION

The detailed magnetic gradiometer survey undertaken over land at Holt, Norfolk has identified no anomalies that can be confidently attributed as being of a probable archaeological origin. A number of positive anomalies evident within the survey area may be related to cut features such as pits and ditches of a possible archaeological origin. However the amorphous character and weak magnetic values of these anomalies means that a natural origin, such as changes in geology or pedology, cannot be ruled out.

The northern half of the survey area is dominated by anomalies interpreted as being of a geological or pedological origin; whereas the southern part of the site has been greatly affected by the presence of disturbed ground and modern ferrous objects such as pipes etc.

5 REFERENCES

British Geological Survey South Sheet, 1977. *Geological Survey Ten Mile Map, South Sheet First Edition (Quaternary).* Institute of Geological Sciences.

British Geological Survey, 2001. *Geological Survey Ten Mile Map, South Sheet, Fourth Edition (Solid)*. British Geological Society.

British Geological Survey, n.d., *website*: (http://www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion1=1#maps) Geology of Britain viewer.

Hamilton, K. (2012) *Brief for Archaeological Evaluation by Geophysical Survey at Hempstead Road, Holt*. Norfolk County Council.

Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1983. *Soils of England and Wales, Sheet 4 Eastern England*.

English Heritage, 2008. *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation.*

Institute For Archaeologists. *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey.* http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/nodefiles/Geophysics2010.pdf

APPENDIX A – METHODOLOGY & SURVEY EQUIPMENT

Grid locations

The location of the survey grids has been plotted together with the referencing information. Grids were set out using a Leica 705auto Total Station and referenced to suitable topographic features around the perimeter of the site or a Leica Smart Rover RTK GPS.

An RTK GPS (Real-time Kinematic Global Positioning System) can locate a point on the ground to a far greater accuracy than a standard GPS unit. A standard GPS suffers from errors created by satellite orbit errors, clock errors and atmospheric interference, resulting in an accuracy of 5m-10m. An RTK system uses a single base station receiver and a number of mobile units. The base station re-broadcasts the phase of the carrier it measured, and the mobile units compare their own phase measurements with those they received from the base station. A SmartNet RTK GPS uses Ordnance Survey's network of over 100 fixed base stations to give an accuracy of around 0.01m.

Survey equipment and gradiometer configuration

Although the changes in the magnetic field resulting from differing features in the soil are usually weak, changes as small as 0.2 nanoTeslas (nT) in an overall field strength of 48,000nT, can be accurately detected using an appropriate instrument.

The mapping of the anomaly in a systematic manner will allow an estimate of the type of material present beneath the surface. Strong magnetic anomalies will be generated by buried iron-based objects or by kilns or hearths. More subtle anomalies such as pits and ditches can be seen if they contain more humic material which is normally rich in magnetic iron oxides when compared with the subsoil.

To illustrate this point, the cutting and subsequent silting or backfilling of a ditch may result in a larger volume of weakly magnetic material being accumulated in the trench compared to the undisturbed subsoil. A weak magnetic anomaly should therefore appear in plan along the line of the ditch.

The magnetic survey was carried out using a dual sensor Grad601-2 Magnetic Gradiometer manufactured by Bartington Instruments Ltd. The instrument consists of two fluxgates very accurately aligned to nullify the effects of the Earth's magnetic field. Readings relate to the difference in localised magnetic anomalies compared with the general magnetic background. The Grad601-2 consists of two high stability fluxgate gradiometers suspended on a single frame. Each gradiometer has a 1m separation between the sensing elements so enhancing the response to weak anomalies.

Sampling interval

Readings were taken at 0.25m centres along traverses 1m apart. This equates to 3600 sampling points in a full 30m x 30m grid.

Depth of scan and resolution

The Grad 601-2 has a typical depth of penetration of 0.5m to 1.0m, though strongly magnetic objects may be visible at greater depths. The collection of data at 0.25m centres provides an optimum methodology for the task balancing cost and time with resolution.

Data capture

The readings are logged consecutively into the data logger which in turn is daily down- loaded into a portable computer whilst on site. At the end of each site survey, data is transferred to the office for processing and presentation.

APPENDIX B – BASIC PRINCIPLES OF MAGNETIC SURVEY

Detailed magnetic survey can be used to effectively define areas of past human activity by mapping spatial variation and contrast in the magnetic properties of soil, subsoil and bedrock.

Weakly magnetic iron minerals are always present within the soil and areas of enhancement relate to increases in *magnetic susceptibility* and permanently magnetised *thermoremanent* material.

Magnetic susceptibility relates to the induced magnetism of a material when in the presence of a magnetic field. This magnetism can be considered as effectively permanent as it exists within the Earth's magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can become enhanced due to burning and complex biological or fermentation processes.

Thermoremanence is a permanent magnetism acquired by iron minerals that, after heating to a specific temperature known as the Curie Point, are effectively demagnetised followed by re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling. Thermoremanent archaeological features can include hearths and kilns and material such as brick and tile may be magnetised through the same process.

Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil creates a relative contrast against the much lower levels of magnetism within the subsoil into which the feature is cut. Systematic mapping of magnetic anomalies will produce linear and discrete areas of enhancement allowing assessment and characterisation of subsurface features. Material such as subsoil and non-magnetic bedrock used to create former earthworks and walls may be mapped as areas of lower enhancement compared to surrounding soils.

Magnetic survey is carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer which is a passive instrument consisting of two sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the top sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field whilst the lower sensor measures the same field but is also more affected by any localised buried field. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of a magnetic field created by a buried feature, if no field is present the difference will be close to zero as the magnetic field measured by both sensors will be the same.

Factors affecting the magnetic survey may include soil type, local geology, previous human activity, disturbance from modern services etc.

APPENDIX C – GLOSSARY OF MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

Bipolar



A bipolar anomaly is one that is composed of both a positive response and a negative response. It can be made up of any number of positive responses and negative responses. For example a pipeline consisting of alternating positive and negative anomalies is said to be bipolar. See also dipolar which has only one area of each polarity. The interpretation of the anomaly will depend on the magnitude of the magnetic field strength. A weak response may be caused by a clay field drain while a strong response will probably be caused by a metallic service.

Dipolar



This consists of a single positive anomaly with an associated negative response. There should be no separation between the two polarities of response. These responses will be created by a single feature. The interpretation of the anomaly will depend on the magnitude of the magnetic measurements. A very strong anomaly is likely to be caused by a ferrous object.

Positive anomaly with associated negative response

See bipolar and dipolar.

Positive linear



A linear response which is entirely positive in polarity. These are usually related to in-filled cut features where the fill material is magnetically enhanced compared to the surrounding matrix. They can be caused by ditches of an archaeological origin, but also former field boundaries, ploughing activity and some may even have a natural origin.

Positive linear anomaly with associated negative response



A positive linear anomaly which has a negative anomaly located adjacently. This will be caused by a single feature. In the example shown this is likely to be a single length of wire/cable probably relating to a modern service. Magnetically weaker responses may relate to earthwork style features and field boundaries.

Positive point/area



These are generally spatially small responses, perhaps covering just 3 or 4 reading nodes. They are entirely positive in polarity. Similar to positive linear anomalies they are generally caused by in-filled cut features. These include pits of an archaeological origin, possible tree bowls or other naturally occurring depressions in the ground.

Magnetic debris



Magnetic debris consists of numerous dipolar responses spread over an area. If the amplitude of response is low (+/-3nT) then the origin is likely to represent general ground disturbance with no clear cause, it may be related to something as simple as an area of dug or mixed earth. A stronger anomaly (+/-250nT) is more indicative of a spread of ferrous debris. Moderately strong anomalies may be the result of a spread of thermoremanent material such as bricks or ash.

Magnetic disturbance



Magnetic disturbance is high amplitude and can be composed of either a bipolar anomaly, or a single polarity response. It is essentially associated with magnetic interference from modern ferrous structures such as fencing, vehicles or buildings, and as a result is commonly found around the perimeter of a site near to boundary fences.

Negative linear



A linear response which is entirely negative in polarity. These are generally caused by earthen banks where material with a lower magnetic magnitude relative the background top soil is built up. See also ploughing activity.

Negative point/area

Opposite to positive point anomalies these responses may be caused by raised areas or earthen banks. These could be of an archaeological origin or may have a natural origin.

Ploughing activity



Ploughing activity can often be visualised by a series of parallel linear anomalies. These can be of either positive polarity or negative polarity depending on site specifics. It can be difficult to distinguish between ancient ploughing and more modern ploughing, clues such as the separation of each linear, straightness, strength of response and cross cutting relationships can be used to aid this, although none of these can be guaranteed to differentiate between different phases of activity.

Polarity

Term used to describe the measurement of the magnetic response. An anomaly can have a positive polarity (values above 0nT) and/or a negative polarity (values below 0nT).

Strength of response

The amplitude of a magnetic response is an important factor in assigning an interpretation to a particular anomaly. For example a positive anomaly covering a 10m^2 area may have values up to around 3000nT, in which case it is likely to be caused by modern magnetic interference. However, the same size and shaped anomaly but with values up to only 4nT may have a natural origin. Colour plots are used to show the amplitude of response.

Thermoremanent response

A feature which has been subject to heat may result in it acquiring a magnetic field. This can be anything up to approximately +/-100 nT in value. These features include clay fired drains, brick, bonfires, kilns, hearths and even pottery. If the heat application has occurred in situ (e.g. a kiln) then the response is likely to be bipolar compared to if the heated objects have been disturbed and moved relative to each other, in which case they are more likely to take an irregular form and may display a debris style response (e.g. ash).

Weak background variations



Weakly magnetic wide scale variations within the data can sometimes be seen within sites. These usually have no specific structure but can often appear curvy and sinuous in form. They are likely to be the result of natural features, such as soil creep, dried up (or seasonal) streams. They can also be caused by changes in the underlying geology or soil type which may contain unpredictable distributions of magnetic minerals, and are usually apparent in several locations across a site.

APPENDIX D – REPEATED SURVEY GRIDS



Grid 045 collected by Liam Tasney

26-3-13



Grid 077 collected by Adam Cooper

26-3-13



Grid 110 collected by James McKinnon

26-3-13

STRATASCAN™

SUMO Group Member

STRATASCAN LTD
Vineyard House Upper Hook Road Upton upon Severn
Worcestershire WR8 0SA United Kingdom

T:0 1684 592266 F: 01684 594142
info@stratascan.co.uk www.stratascan.co.uk



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

Site centred on NGR: TG 086 384

Client: ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title: GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Job No. 3317

Subject: LOCATION PLAN OF SURVEY AREA

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

SUMO GROUP MEMBER

Scale		
1:25000	0m 500 1000m	
Plot: A3	Checked by: DGE	Issue No.: 01
Survey date: MAR 13	Drawn by: RAJS	Figure No.: 01



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

KEY

	Survey area
	Survey grid
A	GPS referencing point

OS GRID REFERENCES

A	608693.46 , 338616.13
B	608993.46 , 338616.13

Client

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title — Job No. 3317

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Subject

LOCATION OF SURVEY GRIDS AND REFERENCING

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

SUMO GROUP MEMBER

Scale 1:2500

0m 50 100m

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A3	DGE	01
Survey date	**Drawn by**	**Figure No.**
MAR 13	RAJS	02



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

Plotting parameters

Maximum +2nT (black)
Minimum -2nT (white)



Client
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title
GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Job No. 3317

Subject
PLOT OF MINIMALLY PROCESSED GRADIOMETER DATA- NORTH

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

SUMO GROUP MEMBER

Scale 1:1500

0m 10 20 30 40 50m

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A3	DGE	01
Survey date	Drawn by	Figure No.
MAR 13	RAJS	03



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

KEY

PROBABLE ARCHAEOLOGY

- Positive anomaly / weak positive anomaly - probable cut feature of archaeological origin
- Negative anomaly / weak negative anomaly - probable bank or earthwork of archaeological origin
- Moderate strength discrete anomaly - probable thermoremanent feature
- Widely spaced curving parallel linear anomalies - probably related to ridge-and-furrow

POSSIBLE ARCHAEOLOGY

- Positive anomaly / weak positive anomaly - possible cut feature of archaeological origin
- Negative anomaly / weak negative anomaly - possible bank or earthwork of archaeological origin
- Moderate strength discrete anomaly - possible thermoremanent feature

OTHER ANOMALIES

- Closely spaced parallel linear anomalies - probably related to agricultural activity such as ploughing
- Linear anomaly - probably related to pipe, cable or other modern service
- Magnetic spike - probable ferrous object
- Magnetic disturbance associated with nearby metal object such as service or field boundary
- Strong magnetic debris - possible disturbed or made ground
- Scattered magnetic debris
- Area of amorphous magnetic variation - probable natural (e.g. geological or pedological) origin

Client

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title — Job No. 3317

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Subject

ABSTRACTION AND INTERPRETATION OF GRADIOMETER ANOMALIES- NORTH

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

Scale 1:1500 — 0m 10 20 30 40 50m

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A3	DGE	01
Survey date	Drawn by	Figure No.
MAR 13	RAJS	04



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

Plotting parameters

Maximum +2nT (black)
Minimum -2nT (white)



Client

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title — Job No. 3317

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Subject

PLOT OF MINIMALLY PROCESSED GRADIOMETER DATA- SOUTH

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

EUROPEAN GPR ASSOCIATION — REGISTERED ORGANISATION

SUMO GROUP MEMBER

ISO 9001 certified — ISO 14001 certified

Scale 1:1500 — 0m 10 20 30 40 50m

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A3	DGE	01
Survey date	**Drawn by**	**Figure No.**
MAR 13	RAJS	05

Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

KEY

PROBABLE ARCHAEOLOGY

- Positive anomaly / weak positive anomaly - probable cut feature of archaeological origin
- Negative anomaly / weak negative anomaly - probable bank or earthwork of archaeological origin
- Moderate strength discrete anomaly - probable thermoremanent feature
- Widely spaced curving parallel linear anomalies - probably related to ridge-and-furrow

POSSIBLE ARCHAEOLOGY

- Positive anomaly / weak positive anomaly - possible cut feature of archaeological origin
- Negative anomaly / weak negative anomaly - possible bank or earthwork of archaeological origin
- Moderate strength discrete anomaly - possible thermoremanent feature

OTHER ANOMALIES

- Closely spaced parallel linear anomalies - probably related to agricultural activity such as ploughing
- Linear anomaly - probably related to pipe, cable or other modern service
- Magnetic spike - probable ferrous object
- Magnetic disturbance associated with nearby metal object such as service or field boundary
- Strong magnetic debris - possible disturbed or made ground
- Scattered magnetic debris
- Area of amorphous magnetic variation - probable natural (e.g. geological or pedological) origin

Client

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title — Job No. 3317

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Subject

ABSTRACTION AND INTERPRETATION OF GRADIOMETER ANOMALIES- SOUTH

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

Scale 1:1500 — 0m 10 20 30 40 50m

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A3	DGE	01
Survey date	Drawn by	Figure No.
MAR 13	RAJS	06

Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

Plotting parameters

Maximum +7nT (black)
Minimum -7nT (white)



Client

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title — Job No. 3317

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Subject

PLOT OF RAW GRADIOMETER DATA

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

SUMO GROUP MEMBER

Scale 1:2000 — 0m 20 40 60 80 100

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A3	DGE	01
Survey date	Drawn by	Figure No.
MAR 13	RAJS	07



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

Plotting parameters

Maximum +100nT (red)
Minimum -100nT (blue)

+100nT

-100nT

Client

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title — Job No. 3317

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Subject

COLOUR PLOT OF GRADIOMETER DATA SHOWING EXTREME VALUES

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

SUMO GROUP MEMBER

Scale 1:2000 — 0m 20 40 60 80 100

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A3	DGE	01
Survey date	Drawn by	Figure No.
MAR 13	RAJS	08



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

Plotting parameters

Maximum +2nT (black)
Minimum -2nT (white)

Client
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title — Job No. 3317
GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Subject
PLOT OF MINIMALLY PROCESSED GRADIOMETER DATA

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

EUROPEAN GPR ASSOCIATION

SUMO GROUP MEMBER

Scale 1:2000 (0m 20 40 60 80 100)

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A3	DGE	01
Survey date	Drawn by	Figure No.
MAR 13	RAJS	09

Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

KEY

PROBABLE ARCHAEOLOGY

- Positive anomaly / weak positive anomaly - probable cut feature of archaeological origin
- Negative anomaly / weak negative anomaly - probable bank or earthwork of archaeological origin
- Moderate strength discrete anomaly - probable thermoremanent feature
- Widely spaced curving parallel linear anomalies - probably related to ridge-and-furrow

POSSIBLE ARCHAEOLOGY

- Positive anomaly / weak positive anomaly - possible cut feature of archaeological origin
- Negative anomaly / weak negative anomaly - possible bank or earthwork of archaeological origin
- Moderate strength discrete anomaly - possible thermoremanent feature

OTHER ANOMALIES

- Closely spaced parallel linear anomalies - probably related to agricultural activity such as ploughing
- Linear anomaly - probably related to pipe, cable or other modern service
- Magnetic spike - probable ferrous object
- Magnetic disturbance associated with nearby metal object such as service or field boundary
- Strong magnetic debris - possible disturbed or made ground
- Scattered magnetic debris
- Area of amorphous magnetic variation - probable natural (e.g. geological or pedological) origin

Client

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

Project Title — Job No. 3317

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - HOLT, NORFOLK

Subject

ABSTRACTION AND INTERPRETATION OF GRADIOMETER ANOMALIES

STRATASCAN™
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266
E: info@stratascan.co.uk
www.stratascan.co.uk

SUMO GROUP MEMBER

Scale 1:2000 (0m 20 40 60 80 100)

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A3	DGE	01
Survey date	Drawn by	Figure No.
MAR 13	RAJS	10