

**T H A M E S      V A L L E Y**

**ARCHAEOLOGICAL**

**S E R V I C E S**

**Marnel Park, Popley,  
Basingstoke, Hampshire**

**An Archaeological Fieldwalking Survey**

**by Steve Ford**

**Site Code: MPB11/125**

**(SU6350 5500)**

# **Marnel Park, Popley, Basingstoke, Hampshire**

**An Archaeological Fieldwalking Survey**

**For CgMs Consulting**

by Steve Ford

Thames Valley Archaeological Services

Ltd

Site Code MPB11/125

**December 2011**

## Summary

**Site name:** Marnel Park, Popley, Basingstoke, Hampshire

**Grid reference:** SU6350 5500

**Site activity:** Fieldwalking

**Date and duration of project:** 8th December 2011

**Project manager:** Steve Ford

**Site supervisor:** Steve Ford

**Site code:** MPB11/125

**Area of site:** c. 6.8 ha

**Summary of results:** A small amount of struck flint, largely or wholly of later Neolithic or Bronze Age date was recovered from the proposal site, well dispersed across the area fieldwalked, without any clustering. It is not considered that this material is indicative of an occupied area but demonstrates no more than widespread use and discard of flint tools across the landscape. The few sherds of late post-medieval pottery recovered along with brick/tile fragments are thought to be indicative of the manuring of farmland at this time.

**Location and reference of archive:** The archive is presently held at Thames Valley Archaeological Services, Reading and will be deposited with Hampshire Museum Service in due course.

*This report may be copied for bona fide research or planning purposes without the explicit permission of the copyright holder. All TVAS unpublished fieldwork reports are available on our website: [www.tvas.co.uk/reports/reports.asp](http://www.tvas.co.uk/reports/reports.asp).*

Report edited/checked by: Steve Preston ✓ 13.12.11
--

# **Marnel Park, Popley, Basingstoke, Hampshire**

## **An Archaeological Fieldwalking Survey**

By Steve Ford

Report 11/125

### **Introduction**

This fieldwalking study was commissioned by Mr Paul Chadwick of CgMs Consulting, Morley House, 26 Holborn Viaduct, London, EC1A 2AT as a preliminary part of an assessment of the archaeological potential of a plot of land at Marnel Park, Popley, Basingstoke, Hampshire. This report constitutes a non-invasive stage of a process to determine the presence/absence, extent, character, quality and date of any archaeological remains that may be affected by development within the area.

### **The site**

The whole proposal site comprises a sub-rectangular parcel of arable land centred at NGR SU6350 5500 covering about 6ha, on the northern margins of Basingstoke. The site comprises a single field on land that slopes very gently from north to south. The site lies at a height of 80m above Ordnance Datum. The underlying geology is Reading Beds with upper chalk just to the south (BGS 1981).

### **Planning background and development proposals**

The site is to be promoted for housing development.

### **Archaeological background**

The archaeological potential of the site stems from its location close to the rich chalklands of north Basingstoke with a wealth of earlier prehistoric, Iron Age and Roman sites recorded in the area, many during the expansion of the suburbs of the town, and others recorded by aerial photography. Recent excavations on earlier phases of Marnel Park to the south located prehistoric and Roman settlement and landscape (Wright *et al.* 2009) and a Roman enclosure was present to the south-west (Coles *et al.* 2011).

### **Objectives and methodology**

The fieldwalking took place along north-south lines spaced at 10m intervals and based on the National Grid. Material was collected from units of 10m intervals along these lines with an average search width of 1m. This approximates to a 10% sample of the surface area of the site. The methodology is comparable with that practised

in other regions of central southern England (Richards 1990; Ford 1987a, appendix 1) though the sample fraction here is higher. All pre-19th century artefacts (primarily struck flint and pottery) were to be collected and retained. Dense scatters of brick/tile or burnt flint were to be recorded in the field but only a sample of material collected from these for dating purposes.

A record was made of conditions which may have influenced recovery rates, such as stoniness of ground, vegetation cover, bright sunlight and which individual walked which line. The topography was also recorded to assist in interpretation of the finds.

## **Results**

A total area of c. 6.8ha was fieldwalked by 3 individuals.

### *Collection conditions*

All of the fieldwalked areas had been planted with a wheat/barley crop which was of very low growth. In effect the whole ground surface was observable. The weather was overcast all of the time of the survey and the ground damp. The presence of a low to moderate amount of flint cobbles and pebbles was noted for the site.

## **Finds**

### *Pottery*

Just three sherds of late post-medieval pottery were recovered as detailed Appendix 3 and shown on Figure 2.

### *Struck flint*

In all, just 7 struck pieces were recovered as detailed in Appendices 1 and 2. The collection comprised 5 flakes, 1 core, 1 and a spall (piece less than 20x20mm). The distribution is shown in Figure 2. The flint collection is dominated by broad flakes and contains no closely datable items. It is considered that the collection is largely or wholly of later Neolithic or Bronze Age date (Ford 1987b).

There is a modest presence of struck flint across the area with no marked clustering. The density of material recovered is very low when compared to data produced by large scale surveys (e.g. Ford 1987a). The density of struck flint here is lower than the threshold for designation as a 'site' from these surveys which included geological outcrops both rich and poor in the presence of natural flint raw material. The presence of struck flint here as a background scatter, presumably represents off-site, landscape scale activity such as casual loss or discard, or dispersal by manuring practice (Foley 1981).

### *Burnt flint and brick/tile*

A small volume of burnt flint and brick/tile fragments was observed on the site without any clustering. This material was not collected.

### **Conclusion**

The fieldwalking has resulted in the recovery of very little lithic material despite the nearby outcropping of chalk which could have provided abundant raw material. These fieldwalked finds indicate some prehistoric activity in the wider area, but the few finds recovered do not appear to be indicative of the presence of occupation sites. It may have no more relevance than demonstrating the widespread use and discard of flint tools across the landscape. The few sherds of late post-medieval pottery, along with the presence of brick/tile fragments, are unlikely to be indicative of anything other than the manuring of farmland at this time.

### **References**

- BGS, 1981, *British Geological Survey*, 1:50 000, Sheet 284, Solid and Drift Edition, Keyworth
- Coles, S, Lowe J and Ford, S, 2011, 'Excavation of a Roman enclosure at Park Prewett Hospital, Basingstoke, Hampshire', *Hampshire Studies*, **66**, 59–94
- Foley, R, 1981, *Off-site Archaeology and Human Adaption in East Africa*, BAR (Int Ser) **97**, Oxford
- Ford, S, 1987a, *The East Berkshire Archaeological Survey*, Berkshire County Council Dept Highways Planning, Occas Pap **1**, Reading
- Ford, S, 1987b, 'Chronological and functional aspects of flint assemblages', in A G Brown and M R Edmonds, (eds), *Lithic Analysis and Later British Prehistory: Some Problems and Approaches*, Brit Archaeol Rep **162**, Oxford, 67–85
- Richards, J C, 1990, *The Stonehenge Environs Project*, Hist Buildings Monuments Comm (Engl) Rep **16**, London
- Wright J, Powell B, Powell, A B and Barclay, A 2009, *Excavations of prehistoric and Romano-British sites at Marnel Park, (Popley), Basingstoke, 2004-8*, Wessex Archaeology, Salisbury

## APPENDIX 1: Struck flint Summary

Flakes	5
Cores	1
Spalls	1


**APPENDIX 2: Struck flint detailed locations**

<i>NGR East (SU)</i>	<i>NGR North</i>	<i>Intact flake</i>	<i>Broken flake</i>	<i>Spall</i>	<i>Core</i>
63440	54970	1(burnt)			
63520	54910	1			
63570	55050		1		
63580	54890				1
63600	54890	1	1		
63610	55040			1	



### APPENDIX 3: Pottery detailed locations


<i>NGR East (SU)</i>	<i>NGR North</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Date</i>
63480	55120	Post-medieval glazed red earthenware	Post-medieval
63500	55120	Transfer printed earthenware 'china'	Post-medieval
63530	54830	Stoneware	Post-medieval



**Marnel Park, Popley, Basingstoke,  
Hampshire, 2011  
Archaeological fieldwalking survey**

Figure 1. Location of site within Basingstoke and Hampshire.

Reproduced from Ordnance Survey Explorer 171 at 1:12500  
Ordnance Survey Licence 100025880



MPB11/125



**Marnel Park, Popley, Basingstoke, Hampshire  
Archaeological Fieldwalking Survey**

Figure 2. Detailed location of site and artefacts recovered.





THAMES VALLEY  
ARCHAEOLOGICAL  
SERVICES

## TIME CHART

	Calendar Years
Modern _____	AD 1901
Victorian _____	AD 1837
Post Medieval _____	AD 1500
Medieval _____	AD 1066
Saxon _____	AD 410
Roman _____	AD 43
Iron Age _____	BC/AD 750 BC
Bronze Age: Late _____	1300 BC
Bronze Age: Middle _____	1700 BC
Bronze Age: Early _____	2100 BC
Neolithic: Late .....	3300 BC
Neolithic: Early .....	4300 BC
Mesolithic: Late .....	6000 BC
Mesolithic: Early .....	10000 BC
Palaeolithic: Upper .....	30000 BC
Palaeolithic: Middle .....	70000 BC
Palaeolithic: Lower .....	2,000,000 BC





**Thames Valley Archaeological Services Ltd,  
47-49 De Beauvoir Road, Reading,  
Berkshire, RG1 5NR**

**Tel: 0118 9260552  
Fax: 0118 9260553  
Email: [tvas@tvas.co.uk](mailto:tvas@tvas.co.uk)  
Web: [www.tvas.co.uk](http://www.tvas.co.uk)**