

T H A M E S     V A L L E Y

ARCHAEOLOGICAL

S E R V I C E S

NORTH MIDLANDS

**Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk**

**Archaeological Evaluation**

**by Garreth Davey**

**Site Code HRH 17/03**

**(TG 1883 1733)**

# **Land to the east of Holt Road, Horsford, Norfolk**

**An Archaeological Evaluation**

**For David Wilson Homes**

by Garreth Davey

Thames Valley Archaeological Services Ltd

Site Code HRH 17/03

**March 2017**

## Summary

**Site name:** Land to the east of Holt Road, Horsford, Norfolk

**Grid reference:** TG 1883 1733

**Site activity:** Evaluation

**Date and duration of project:** 27th February – 10th March 2017

**Project manager:** Steve Ford

**Site supervisor:** Garreth Davey

**Site code:** HRH 17/03

**Summary of results:** The evaluation revealed few deposits or artefacts of archaeological interest. A small number of charcoal-rich pits, considered to represent charcoal production were identified dispersed across the site. These were not dated except for one containing a small piece of iron slag. Two neolithic or Bronze Age flints were also found.

**Location of archive:** The archive is presently held at Thames Valley Archaeological Services, Reading and will be deposited with the Norfolk Museums and Archaeology Service in due course.

*This report may be copied for bona fide research or planning purposes without the explicit permission of the copyright holder. All TVAS unpublished fieldwork reports are available on our website:  
[www.tvas.co.uk/reports/reports.asp](http://www.tvas.co.uk/reports/reports.asp).*

Report edited/checked by: Steve Ford✓ 27.03.17 Steve Preston✓ 29.03.17
---

# **Land to the east of Holt Road, Horsford, Norfolk**

## **An Archaeological Evaluation**

by Garreth Davey

**Report 17/03**

### **Introduction**

This report documents the results of an archaeological evaluation carried out at land east of Holt Road, Horsford, Norfolk (TG 1883 1733) (Fig. 1). The work was commissioned by Shea Doran of BDW Eastern Counties, 7 Springfield Lyons Approach, Chelmsford, Essex, CM2 5EY.

Planning consent (app no. 20161770) has been sought from Broadland District Council to redevelop the site for residential housing. A programme of archaeological work has been requested in order to inform the decision with regards to potential archaeological implications and to guide the formulation of a mitigation strategy if appropriate. This is in accordance with the Department for Communities and Local Government's *National Planning Policy Framework* (NPPF 2012), and the District's policies on archaeology.

The field investigation was carried out to a specification approved by the Mr James Albourne, Archaeological Officer with Norfolk County Council, archaeological adviser to the District. The fieldwork was undertaken by Garreth Davey and Graham Hull between 27th February and 9th March 2017 and the site code is HRH 17/03. The archive is presently held at Thames Valley Archaeological Services, Reading and will be deposited with the Norfolk Museums and Archaeology Service in due course.

### **Location, topography and geology**

The site is located to the north of Horsford, and approximately 9.5km north-west of Norwich, centred on TG 1883 1733 (Figs 1 and 2) and spreads across three fields. The area lies on a generally flat area at approximately 30m above Ordnance Datum. The underlying geology is mapped as Wroxham Crag Formation sand and gravel (BGS 1975) and this was confirmed during the evaluation.

### **Archaeological background**

The archaeological potential of the site has been presented in a desk-based assessment (WA 2016) and summarized below.

The site lies within an area of recorded Bronze and Iron Age activity. Surrounding the site are a number of recorded barrows, primarily within Horsford Woods with several others dotted around within the surrounding

area. The fields located to immediately to the west of the site have been subject to archaeological excavation prior to the development of a new residential estate. These excavations recorded small fire pits with charcoal-rich deposits, some containing slag fragments and hammerscale. These have been dated as likely Late Iron Age or Roman. Other discrete features were also identified during these excavations.

## **Methodology**

The purpose of the evaluation was to determine the presence/absence, extent, condition, character, quality and date of any archaeological deposits within the area of development.

Specific aims of the project were:

- to determine if archaeology relevant levels have survived on this site;
- to determine if archaeological deposits of any period are present;
- to determine the nature and date of any crop marks or earthworks on the site;
- to determine if archaeological deposits associated with Bronze Age funerary practices are present;
- to determine if archaeological deposits associated with Iron Age ironworking practices are present;
- to determine if deposits from the Roman period are present;
- to determine if deposits from the medieval period are present;
- to determine if deposits from the post-medieval period are present; and
- to provide sufficient information to enable an appropriate mitigation strategy if necessary.

It was proposed to dig 119 trenches, each 25m long and between 1.6m and 2m wide. These were dug using 360° tracked machines fitted with toothless ditching buckets under constant archaeological supervision. All spoil heaps were monitored for finds. Where archaeological features were certainly or probably present, the stripped areas were to be cleaned using appropriate hand tools, and sufficient of the archaeological features and deposits exposed were to be excavated or sampled by hand to satisfy the aims outlined above.

## **Results**

The 119 trenches were dug as close to possible to their intended locations, although some repositioning was required due to field boundaries (Figs 3, 4 and 5). A further trench (120) was added and several were extended in order to confirm the absence of archaeological features. 112 trenches were 1.8m wide, with the remaining 8 being 1.6m wide. All the trenches measured between 22.2m and 36m long and between 0.27m and 0.50m deep.

A complete list of trenches detailing lengths, breadths, depths and a description of sections is given in Appendix 1. Appendix 2 summarizes the excavated features.



#### Trenches 39, 47, 89, 94, 95 and 113

Each of these trenches contained small pits (1, 3–7) (Figs 6 and 7; Pls 13–16, 18–19), interpreted as fire pits given their uniform charcoal rich fills. Each of the features was sub circular with an approximate diameter of 1–1.5m. Whilst these pits contained no finds during excavation, samples taken from the features contained fragments of burnt flint and feature 5 (Trench 95) (Pl. 17) also contained a small slag fragment.

#### Trench 36 (Figs 6 and 7; Pl. 15)

Trench 36 was 26.6m long and 0.34m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of topsoil overlying natural geology. A linear feature (3) aligned east to west was identified within this trench (Pl. 15). The location and alignment of this feature correlated with the former field boundary evident on the 1888 Tithe map of the area. A slot was dug through the feature to confirm its nature and small sherds of modern pottery were recorded. This feature is also evident (but not excavated) in Trenches 15, 16, 46 and 47.

#### Trench 39 (Fig. 6 and 7; Pl. 13)

Trench 39 was 25.36m long and 0.39m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of topsoil overlying natural geology. Pit 1 was 1m across and 0.16m deep with a shallow bow-shaped profile. It contained a single charcoal-rich fill in a brown sandy matrix (52).

#### Trench 47 (Fig. 6 and 7; Pl. 14)

Trench 47 was 25.1m long and 0.39m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of topsoil overlying natural geology. Pit 2 was 1.56m across and 0.2m deep with an irregular base. It contained a single charcoal-rich fill in a brown sandy matrix (53).

#### Trench 89 (Fig. 6 and 7; Pl. 19)

Trench 89 was 26.9m long and 0.44m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of topsoil overlying natural geology. Pit 7 was 1m across and 0.18m deep with a flat-based profile. It contained a charcoal-rich fill in a brown sandy matrix becoming noticeably charcoal rich towards the base (59).

#### Trench 94 (Fig. 6 and 7; Pl. 16)

Trench 94 was 26.2m long and 0.37m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of topsoil overlying natural geology. Pit 4 was at least 0.7m across and 0.1m deep with a shallow bow-shaped profile. It contained a single charcoal-rich fill in a brown sandy matrix (55). Some burnt flint was recovered.

#### Trench 95 (Fig. 6 and 7; Pl. 17)

Trench 95 was 27.1m long and 0.4m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of topsoil overlying natural geology. Pit 5 was 1m across and 0.16m deep with a shallow bow-shaped profile. It contained a single charcoal-rich fill in a brown sandy matrix (52). A small fragment of slag and some burnt flint was recovered.

#### Trench 113 (Fig. 6 and 7; Pl. 18)

Trench 113 was 27m long and 0.48m deep. The stratigraphy consisted of topsoil overlying natural geology. Pit 6 was at least 1m across and 0.096m deep with a flat based profile. It contained two fills; lenses of charcoal on the base and sides of the pit (58) and a grey brown sandy fill for the remainder (57). Some burnt flint was recovered.

### *Field 1*

The remaining trenches in Field 1 (1–35, 37–38, 40–47, 49–86, 120) were between 22.2m and 36m long and between 0.27m and 0.50m deep (Pls 1–9, 12). The natural geology was consistent across the site, as simply topsoil typically 0.3–0.4m deep onto natural geology of sand and gravel, with only minor variations in depth. Further details of these are listed in Appendix 1. No archaeological finds or features were recorded in any of these.

### *Field 2*

The remaining trenches in Field 2 (87–88, 90–93, 96–111) were between 22.3m and 32.9m long and between 0.30m and 0.50m deep (Pls 10, 11). The natural geology was consistent across the field, as in Field 1, with only variations in depth near field boundaries. No archaeological finds or features were recorded in any of these.

### *Field 3*

The remaining trenches in Field 3 (112, 114–119) were 1.6m wide, between 22.8m and 28.1m long and between 0.34m and 0.50m deep. The natural geology was consistent across the field, as topsoil directly above natural sand/gravel with only minor variations in depth. No archaeological finds or features were recorded in any of these trenches.

### **Finds**

No finds of archaeological interest were recorded within features excavated during the evaluation however two struck flints were recovered from the spoil heaps as detailed below and a number of burnt flint fragments and slag pieces were identified from bulk soil samples taken.

#### *Struck Flint by Steve Ford*

Two struck flints were recovered from the spoil heaps of trenches 38 and 82. Both were flakes. The flints are not closely datable, but are likely to be of Neolithic or Bronze Age

#### *Macrobotanical plant material and charcoal by Jo Pine*

Bulk soil samples were taken from all the features excavated, except the obviously modern ditch. Six sub-samples of 8L each were wet sieved using standard processing methods to 0.25mm and air dried. The flots were examined under a low-power binocular microscope at magnifications between x10 and x40.

No charred plant macrofossils were present in any of the samples. However, substantial amounts of charcoal were present in several of the samples (Appendix 3). The material was of size and structure that would allow species identification (no detailed analysis has been undertaken). The amounts and size of the fragments suggest they are the results of charcoal production.

#### *Slag by Jo Pine*

A small fragment of slag (5g) came from the sample from pit 5 (56). It is undiagnostic and the origins of this material are unclear.



## **Conclusion**

The evaluation trenches were successfully excavated as intended. However, despite the site's potential for archaeology being present, very little of archaeological interest has been revealed. One 19th-century to modern feature was investigated and a couple of unstratified prehistoric struck flints recovered. The other features identified are a number of small fire pits which contained charcoal-rich fills with some burnt flint fragments and one with a fragment of iron slag, but no other dating evidence. These features perhaps reflect charcoal production. Recent fieldwork to the west revealed a similar range of deposits but included quantities of iron slag thought likely to be of Iron Age or Roman date. The features discovered here may be of similar date though charcoal rich pits for charcoal production are also recorded in Saxon and medieval times.

## **References**

- BGS, 2014, *British Geological Survey*, 1:50,000, Sheet 147, Solid and Drift Edition, Keyworth  
NPPF, 2012, *National Planning Policy Framework*, Dept Communities and Local Government, London  
WA, 2016, 'Land to the east of Holt Road, Horsford, Norfolk. Archaeological Desk-based Assessment', Wessex Archaeology Project 101672, Maidstone

## Appendix 1. Trench Details

<i>Trench</i>	<i>Length (m)</i>	<i>Breadth (m)</i>	<i>Depth (m)</i>	<i>Comment</i>
1	26.5	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology. [PL 1]
2	25.4	1.8	0.33-0.35	0-0.35m topsoil; 0.35m+ natural geology.
3	25.4	1.8	0.31-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
4	25.6	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
5	25.4	1.8	0.32-0.35	0-0.35m topsoil; 0.35m+ natural geology. A sondage was dug at the SE end to a depth of 0.9m to confirm natural geology
6	25.8	1.8	0.30-0.33	0-0.33m topsoil; 0.33m+ natural geology.
7	24.9	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
8	36	1.8	0.32-0.37	0-0.37m topsoil; 0.37m+ natural geology.
9	25.4	1.8	0.33-0.35	0-0.35m topsoil; 0.35m+ natural geology.
10	25.3	1.8	0.29-0.33	0-0.33m topsoil; 0.33m+ natural geology.
11	27.3	1.8	0.3	0-0.30m topsoil; 0.30m+ natural geology.
12	27.1	1.8	0.30-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
13	25.5	1.8	0.30-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
14	26	1.8	0.30-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
15	25.2	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
16	24.7	1.8	0.30-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
17	25.7	1.8	0.32-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
18	25.3	1.8	0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology. [PL 2]
19	26.1	1.8	0.30-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
20	25.8	1.8	0.34-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
21	25.2	1.8	0.31	0-0.31m topsoil; 0.31m+ natural geology.
22	27.1	1.8	0.28-0.33	0-0.33m topsoil; 0.33m+ natural geology. [PL 3]
23	26.2	1.8	0.30-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
24	27.4	1.8	0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
25	23.2	1.8	0.28-0.31	0-0.31m topsoil; 0.31m+ natural geology.
26	23.6	1.8	0.29-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
27	22.2	1.8	0.29-0.30	0-0.30m topsoil; 0.30m+ natural geology.
28	24.6	1.8	0.29-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
29	26.2	1.8	0.29-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
30	25.7	1.8	0.32-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
31	26.8	1.8	0.29-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology. [PL 4]
32	23.4	1.8	0.30-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
33	24.9	1.8	0.32-0.35	0-0.35m topsoil; 0.35m+ natural geology.
34	24.6	1.8	0.32-0.36	0-0.36m topsoil; 0.36m+ natural geology.
35	25.2	1.8	0.30-0.33	0-0.33m topsoil; 0.33m+ natural geology.
36	26.6	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology. [PL 15]
37	24.9	1.8	0.29-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
38	25.3	1.8	0.33-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
39	25.3	1.8	0.34-0.39	0-0.39m topsoil; 0.39m+ natural geology. Feature 1. [PL 13]
40	25.2	1.8	0.31-0.36	0-0.36m topsoil; 0.36m+ natural geology.
41	27.8	1.8	0.31-0.37	0-0.37m topsoil; 0.37m+ natural geology.
42	27.2	1.8	0.32-0.41	0-0.41m topsoil; 0.41m+ natural geology.
43	24.9	1.8	0.37-0.45	0-0.45m topsoil; 0.45m+ natural geology.
44	24	1.8	0.39-0.43	0-0.43m topsoil; 0.43m+ natural geology.
45	27.1	1.8	0.30-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
46	26	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology. [PL 5]
47	25.1	1.8	0.34-0.39	0-0.39m topsoil; 0.39m+ natural geology. Feature 2. [PL 14]
48	23.7	1.8	0.30-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
49	25.5	1.8	0.32-0.35	0-0.35m topsoil; 0.35m+ natural geology.
50	26.9	1.8	0.31-0.33	0-0.33m topsoil; 0.33m+ natural geology.
51	26.7	1.8	0.31	0-0.31m topsoil; 0.31m+ natural geology.
52	24.6	1.8	0.30-0.35	0-0.35m topsoil; 0.35m+ natural geology.
53	26.2	1.8	0.29-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
54	25.6	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology. [PL 6]
55	25.6	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
56	25.8	1.8	0.32-0.36	0-0.36m topsoil; 0.36m+ natural geology.
57	24.7	1.8	0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
58	25.1	1.8	0.30-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
59	26.5	1.8	0.29-0.36	0-0.36m topsoil; 0.36m+ natural geology.
60	25	1.8	0.32-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
61	26.4	1.8	0.35-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
62	24	1.8	0.30-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
63	26.7	1.8	0.30-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
64	26.5	1.8	0.30-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
65	24.5	1.8	0.35-0.36	0-0.36m topsoil; 0.36m+ natural geology.
66	25.5	1.8	0.33-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
67	27.5	1.8	0.41-0.50	0-0.50m topsoil; 0.50m+ natural geology.

<i>Trench</i>	<i>Length (m)</i>	<i>Breadth (m)</i>	<i>Depth (m)</i>	<i>Comment</i>
68	24	1.8	0.27-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology. [Pl. 7]
69	26.6	1.8	0.30-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
70	24.9	1.8	0.29-0.33	0-0.33m topsoil; 0.33m+ natural geology.
71	26.2	1.8	0.29-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
72	25.3	1.8	0.29-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
73	26.4	1.8	0.34-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
74	25.5	1.8	0.32-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
75	25.7	1.8	0.30-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
76	25.5	1.8	0.29-0.30	0-0.30m topsoil; 0.30m+ natural geology. [Pl. 8]
77	24.1	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
78	26.7	1.8	0.33-0.37	0-0.37m topsoil; 0.37m+ natural geology.
79	27.3	1.8	0.28-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
80	25.3	1.8	0.30-0.31	0-0.31m topsoil; 0.31m+ natural geology.
81	26.5	1.8	0.29-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology. [Pl. 9]
82	25.5	1.8	0.28-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
83	26.5	1.8	0.29-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
84	25.7	1.8	0.30-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
85	23.7	1.8	0.36	0-0.36m topsoil; 0.36m+ natural geology.
86	26.5	1.8	0.32-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
87	23.7	1.8	0.32-0.46	0-0.46m topsoil; 0.46m+ natural geology. A sondage was dug at the SW extent to depth of 1.0m to confirm natural geology.
88	26.4	1.8	0.33-0.36	0-0.36m topsoil; 0.36m+ natural geology.
89	27.9	1.8	0.44	0-0.44m topsoil; 0.44m+ natural geology. Feature 7. [Pl. 19]
90	24.9	1.8	0.32-0.34	0-0.34m topsoil; 0.34m+ natural geology.
91	26.3	1.8	0.32-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
92	26.2	1.8	0.32-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
93	24.2	1.8	0.32-0.50	0-0.50m topsoil; 0.50m+ natural geology.
94	26.2	1.8	0.32-0.37	0-0.37m topsoil; 0.37m+ natural geology. Feature 4. [Pl. 16]
95	27.1	1.8	0.36-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology. Feature 5. [Pl. 17]
96	25.6	1.8	0.32-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
97	25.9	1.8	0.32-0.35	0-0.35m topsoil; 0.35m+ natural geology.
98	24.1	1.8	0.38-0.43	0-0.43m topsoil; 0.43m+ natural geology. [Pl. 10]
99	27.2	1.8	0.32-0.42	0-0.42m topsoil; 0.42m+ natural geology.
100	26.8	1.8	0.34-0.50	0-0.50m topsoil; 0.50m+ natural geology.
101	29.4	1.8	0.33-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
102	26.4	1.8	0.36	0-0.36m topsoil; 0.36m+ natural geology.
103	32.9	1.8	0.36-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
104	29.8	1.8	0.32-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
105	24.4	1.8	0.32-0.37	0-0.37m topsoil; 0.37m+ natural geology.
106	25.2	1.8	0.30-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology.
107	25.6	1.8	0.34-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
108	25.6	1.8	0.34-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
109	28.2	1.8	0.32-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
110	23.8	1.8	0.30-0.32	0-0.32m topsoil; 0.32m+ natural geology. [Pl. 11]
111	25.1	1.8	0.35-0.38	0-0.38m topsoil; 0.38m+ natural geology.
112	23.8	1.6	0.4	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
113	27	1.6	0.40-0.48	0-0.48m topsoil; 0.48m+ natural geology. Pit 6. [Pl. 18]
114	25.5	1.6	0.39-0.50	0-0.50m topsoil; 0.50m+ natural geology.
115	26.3	1.6	0.35-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
116	25	1.6	0.38-0.49	0-0.49m topsoil; 0.49m+ natural geology.
117	28.1	1.6	0.37-0.39	0-0.39m topsoil; 0.39m+ natural geology.
118	27.5	1.6	0.39-0.42	0-0.42m topsoil; 0.42m+ natural geology.
119	27.3	1.6	0.34-0.40	0-0.40m topsoil; 0.40m+ natural geology.
120	22.4	1.8	0.3	0-0.30m topsoil; 0.30m+ natural geology. [Pl. 12]

## Appendix 2. Feature Details

<i>Trench</i>	<i>Cut</i>	<i>Fill (s)</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Sample Contents</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Dating Evidence</i>
39	1	52	Pit			
47	2	53	Pit			
36	3	54	Ditch		Modern	Pottery, cartographic
94	4	55	Pit	47g Burnt Flint		
95	5	56	Pit	15g Burnt Flint, 5g Slag		
113	6	57-8	Pit	5g Burnt Flint		
89	7	59	Pit			

### Appendix 3. Charred plant remains (charcoal)

<i>Cut</i>	<i>Deposit</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>sample no</i>	<i>Sample volume (L)</i>	<i>Wt (g)</i>
1	52	Pit	1	8	390
2	53	Pit	2	8	52
6	57,58	Pit	3	8	642
4	55	Pit	4	8	473
7	59	Pit	5	8	631
5	56	Pit	6	8	22





**Land to the east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk, 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation**


Figure 1. Location of site within Horsford, Norfolk.

Reproduced from Ordnance Survey Digital Mapping at 1:12500  
Ordnance Survey Licence 100034184

T V A S

NORTH MIDLANDS





HRH 17/03



**Land to the east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk, 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation**

Figure 2. Detailed location of the site and cropmarks.

Reproduced from Ordnance Survey Digital Mapping at 1:5000  
Ordnance Survey Licence 100034184







HRH 17/03



**Land to the east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk, 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation**

Figure 3. Location of excavated trenches.















**Land to the east of Holt Road,  
 Horsford, Norfolk, 2017  
 Archaeological Evaluation**  
 Figure 5. Location of excavated trenches, cropmarks and features in  
 Fields 2 and 3.

0 250m














HRH 17/03

Land to the east of Holt Road  
Horsford, Norfolk, 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation

Figure 6. Trench Feature Plans





HRH 17/03

Land to the east of Holt Road  
Horsford, Norfolk, 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation

Figure 7. Feature Sections



T V A S  
NORTH MIDLANDS



Plate 1. Trench 1, looking north west, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.



Plate 2. Trench 18, looking south west, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.

HRH 17/03

**Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation**

Plates 1 - 2







Plate 3. Trench 22, looking south west, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.



Plate 4. Trench 31, looking north east, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.

HRH 17/03

**Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation**

Plates 3 - 4







Plate 5. Trench 46, looking north, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.



Plate 6. Trench 54, looking south west, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.

HRH 17/03

**Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation**

Plates 5 - 6







Plate 7. Trench 68, looking north, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.



Plate 8. Trench 76, looking north, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.

HRH 17/03

**Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation**

Plates 7 - 8







Plate 9. Trench 81, looking north, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.



Plate 10. Trench 98, looking north west, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.

HRH 17/03

**Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation**

Plates 9 - 10







Plate 11. Trench 110, looking south east, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.



Plate 12. Trench 120, looking south east, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.

HRH 17/03

**Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation**

Plates 11 - 12







Plate 13. Trench 39, pit [01], looking north east, Scales: 0.1m and 1m.



Plate 14. Trench 47, pit [02], looking north west, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.

HRH 17/03

Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation

Plates 13 - 14







Plate 15. Trench 36, ditch [03], looking east, Scales: 0.3m and 1m.



Plate 16. Trench 94, pit [04], looking south west, Scales: 0.3m.

HRH 17/03

Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation

Plates 15 - 16







Plate 17. Trench 95, ditch [05], looking south east, Scales: 1m.



Plate 18. Trench 113, pit [06], looking east, Scales: 0.3m and 0.1m.

HRH 17/03

Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation

Plates 17 - 18

T V A S  
NORTH MIDLANDS





Plate 19. Trench 89, pit [07], looking south west, Scales: 0.3m and 0.1m.



Plate 20. Backfilled trenches. Looking north west.

HRH 17/03


Land east of Holt Road,  
Horsford, Norfolk 2017  
Archaeological Evaluation

Plates 19 - 20



## TIME CHART

	Calendar Years
Modern _____	AD 1901
Victorian _____	AD 1837
Post Medieval _____	AD 1500
Medieval _____	AD 1066
Saxon _____	AD 410
Roman _____	AD 43
	AD 0 BC
Iron Age _____	750 BC
 Bronze Age: Late _____	 1300 BC
Bronze Age: Middle _____	1700 BC
Bronze Age: Early _____	2100 BC
 Neolithic: Late .....	 3300 BC
Neolithic: Early .....	4300 BC
 Mesolithic: Late .....	 6000 BC
Mesolithic: Early .....	10000 BC
 Palaeolithic: Upper .....	 30000 BC
Palaeolithic: Middle .....	70000 BC
Palaeolithic: Lower .....	2,000,000 BC
↓	↓



**TVAS (North Midlands),  
2B Stanton Road, Meir  
Stoke-on-Trent, ST3 6DD**

**Tel: 01782 595648  
Email: [northmidlands@tvas.co.uk](mailto:northmidlands@tvas.co.uk)  
Web: [www.tvas.co.uk/northmidlands](http://www.tvas.co.uk/northmidlands)**

***Offices in:  
Reading, Brighton, Taunton and Ennis (Ireland)***