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## Summary

Site name: Land at Westford Park Farm, Chard Junction, Dorset
Grid reference: ST 33780392
Site activity: Magnetometer survey
Date and duration of project: $21^{\text {st }}$ to $22^{\text {nd }}$ August 2018
Project manager: Agata Socha-Paszkiewicz
Site supervisor: Nicholas Dawson
Site code: WCJ 18/65
Area of site: 11.9ha
Summary of results: The survey identified a small number of magnetic anomalies across the site, with those of archaeological potential being two possible buried linear features located in the main field.

Location of archive: The archive is presently held at Thames Valley Archaeological Services South West, Taunton in accordance with TVAS digital archiving policies.

This report may be copied for bona fide research or planning purposes without the explicit permission of the copyright holder. All TVAS unpublished fieldwork reports are available on our website: www.tvas.co.uk/reports/reports.asp.
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# Land at Westford Park Farm, Chard Junction, Dorset <br> A Geophysical Survey (Magnetic) 

by Nicholas Dawson

## Report 18/65c

## Introduction

This report documents the completion of a geophysical survey (magnetic) carried out at land at Westford Park Farm, Chard Junction, Dorset (NGR ST 3378 0392) (Fig. 1), in order to survey areas that were inaccessible during the initial survey carried out on the $10^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ of July 2018 (Dawson 2018). The work was commissioned by Ms Joanne Baker of Aggregate Industries UK Ltd, Frome Area Office, Edwin Sims House, Vallis Road, Frome, BA11 3EG.

Planning permission is to be sought from Dorset County Council to extend Chard Junction Quarry onto neighbouring Westford Park Farm for gravel extraction.

The fieldwork was undertaken by Nicholas Dawson and Piotr Wrobel, on the $21^{\text {st }}$ to $22^{\text {nd }}$ August 2018 and the site code is WCJ 18/65. The archive is presently held at Thames Valley Archaeological Services, Reading in accordance with TVAS digital archiving policies.

## Location, topography and geology

The site is located 4.88 km to the south east of Chard and 6.8 km north east of Axminster. It comprises an area of 2.6ha of the sites original 11.9 ha in a contiguous south to north row of fields on the lower west-facing slopes overlooking the floor of the Axe valley, the river's meanders coming within 60 m of its west side. In addition, a proposed 530 m -long haul road would link the east side of the site's north field to the existing quarry's grading facilities to the north-east (Fig. 2). The site lies at a height of $60-70 \mathrm{~m}$ above Ordnance Datum (aOD). Current land use of the field is for the most part pastoral land with one field of now harvested wheat crop and one of corn.

## Site history and archaeological background

The archaeological potential of the site has been highlighted in the desk-based assessment (Tabor 2018). In summary the site lies in an area of moderate archaeological potential with recent fieldwork having revealed a number of sites of Bronze Age, Roman and medieval date as well as finds of lower Palaeolithic date. The initial
phase of geophysical survey (Dawson 2018) identified a small number of magnetic anomalies across the site, with those of archaeological potential being concentrated in the north and mid south fields. In the north field two semi circular linear anomalies and in the mid south field a system of weak positive linear trends all possibly representing buried cut features of archaeological origin. There are no known heritage assets within the site itself. However, one known heritage asset (a listed building) lies on its periphery. By analogy with known nearby sites, the site would have been well-situated for past settlement and can be considered to have moderate archaeological potential for all post-glacial periods, probably increasing on account of the size of the site area.

## Methodology

## Sample interval

Data collection involved the traversing of the survey area along straight and parallel lines using two cartmounted Bartington Grad601-2 fluxgate gradiometers. Even coverage was achieved with the use of regularly spaced markers at the ends of traverses and the real-time positional trace plot. Readings were taken at 0.25 m intervals along traverses 1 m apart, providing an appropriate methodology balancing cost and time with resolution. Traverses were walked at an alternating east to west zig-zag orientation across the survey area. The upper central field and the route of the haul road were not surveyed at this stage due to the presence of crops in the fields.

The Grad 601-2 has a typical depth of penetration of 0.5 m to 1.0 m . This would be increased if strongly magnetic objects have been buried in the site. Under normal operating conditions it can be expected to identify buried features $>0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter. Features which can be detected include disturbed soil, such as the fill of a ditch, structures that have been heated to high temperatures (magnetic thermoremnance) and objects made from ferro-magnetic materials. The strength of the magnetic field is measured in nano Tesla ( nT ), equivalent to 10-9 Tesla, the SI unit of magnetic flux density.

## Equipment

The purpose of the survey was to identify geophysical anomalies that may be archaeological in origin in order to inform a targeted archaeological investigation of the site prior to development. The survey and report generally follow the recommendations and standards set out by both English Heritage (2008) and the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2002, 2011, 2014).

Magnetometry was chosen as a survey method as it offers the most rapid ground coverage and responds to a wide range of anomalies caused by past human activity. These properties make it ideal for the fast yet detailed surveying of an area.

The detailed magnetometry survey was carried out using two dual sensor Bartington Instruments Grad 6012 fluxgate gradiometers mounted upon a Bartington non-magnetic cart. A two-wheeled lightweight structure pushed by hand, the cart consisted a bank of four vertically-mounted Bartington Grad601-2 magnetic sensor tubes at 1 m apart and a Trimble Geo 7 x centimetre edition GPS. Readings were collected by two Bartington Grad601-2 loggers and collated using MLgrad601 software on a Linx $12 \times 64$ tablet running Windows 10 mounted at the rear of the cart. This enables readings to be taken of both the general background magnetic field and any localised anomalies with the difference being plotted as either positive or negative buried features. All sensors are calibrated to cancel out the local magnetic field and react only to anomalies above or below this base line. On this basis, strong magnetic anomalies such as burnt features (kilns and hearths) will give a high response as will buried ferrous objects. More subtle anomalies such as pits and ditches can be seen from their infilling soils containing higher proportions of humic material, rich in ferrous oxides, compared to the undisturbed subsoil. This will stand out in relation to the background magnetic readings and appear in plan following the course of a linear feature or within a discrete area.

The Trimble Geo7x centimetre edition GPS system with centimetre real-time accuracy was used to tie the cart traverses into the Ordnance Survey national grid. This unit offers both real-time correction and post-survey processing; enabling a high level of accuracy to be obtained both in the field and in the final post-processed data. Data gathered in the field was positioned using the MultiGrad601 logging software and processed using the TerraSurveyor software package. This allows the survey data to be collated and manipulated to enhance the visibility of anomalies, particularly those likely to be of archaeological origin. The table below lists the processes applied to this survey, full survey and data information is recorded in Appendix 1.

## Process

Clip from -1.80 to 2.20 nT

De-stripe: median, all sensors

De-spike: threshold 1 , window size $3 \times 3$

## Effect

Enhance the contrast of the image to improve the appearance of possible archaeological anomalies.
Removes the striping effect caused by differences in sensor calibration, enhancing the visibility of potential archaeological anomalies.
Compresses outlying magnetic points caused by interference of metal objects within the survey area.

The raw data plot was processed and the resulting data then presented as three figures (Figs. 3, 4 and 5), followed by a second three plans to present the abstraction and interpretation of the magnetic anomalies (Figs. 6,

7 and 8). Anomalies are shown as colour-coded lines, points and polygons. The grid layout and georeferencing information (Fig. 2) is prepared in EasyCAD v.7.58.00, producing a .FC7 file format, and printed as a .PDF for inclusion in the final report.

The greyscale plot of the processed data is exported from TerraSurveyor in a georeferenced portable network graphics (.PNG) format, a raster image format chosen for its lossless data compression and support for transparent pixels, enabling it to easily be overlaid onto an existing site plan. The data plot is combined with grid and site plans in QGIS 2.16.2 and exported again in .PNG format in order to present them in figure templates in Adobe InDesign CS5.5, creating .INDD file formats. Once the figures are finalised they are exported in .PDF format for inclusion within the finished report.

## Results

## Main field

A small number of magnetic anomalies were recorded across this field (Figs. 3 and 6). Running east to west across the centre of the field are two weak positive anomalies. The northern most linear [Fig 6:2] runs for just over 100 m . The second and more southerly linear [Fig 6:3] is much shorter at approximately 20 m and has a right angle bend off to the north at its western end. Both of these anomalies represent possible buried cut features of archaeological interest. The large area of magnetic disturbance in the south west corner of the field [Fig 6:1] is likely cause but the metal gate at the field entrance as well as a number of metal debris found in the hedge including a fire extinguisher. A number of magnetic spikes are spread throughout the field, these most likely represents buried ferrous objects or agricultural debris.

## South west section of haul road

No magnetic anomalies of archaeological potential were identified within this part of the survey (Figs. 4 and 7), only a scatter of magnetic spikes indicating buried ferrous objects or agricultural debris and magnetic disturbance towards the field boundaries caused by the post for an electric fence.

## South east section of haul road

No magnetic anomalies of interest were identified within this field (Figs. 4 and 7), only the usually scatter of magnetic spikes indicating buried ferrous objects or agricultural debris and magnetic disturbance caused by debris in the boundary ditch or hedge.

## North section of haul road

Nothing of archaeological potential were identified in the magnetic anomalies recorded within this field (Figs. 5 and 8), only a single magnetic spike indicating buried ferrous objects or agricultural debris and magnetic disturbance near the field boundary and along the north west edge caused by the track running along that field edge. A small gap had to be left between the first and second traverses due to the presence of a low but sleep incline that the cart could not traverse safely.

## Conclusion

The survey was successfully completed across the full field missed due to crop presence in the initial survey. The southern section of the haul road running east west across two fields and the most northerly section adjacent to the existing quarry were also surveyed successfully. The largest of the fields that the haul road passes through in a north east to south west direction was not surveyed due to the presence of crop. Of the areas surveyed the main field was the only one to produced anomalies with archaeological potential. In this field two linear anomalies [2] and [3] possibly representing cut features that may have archaeological origins.
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Appendix 1. Survey and data information












Plate 1. Mid north field, looking north west from south east entrance.


Plate 2. South west haul road field, looking north from centre of field.


Plate 3. North field of haul road, looking south from north west edge of field.
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