


T V A S



SOUTH

**Land to the North of Scant Road West,
Hambrook, West Sussex**

Archaeological Evaluation

by Odile Rouard and Sean Wallis

Site Code: SRH22/51

(TQ 7900 0680)

Land to the North of Scant Road West, Hambrook, West Sussex

**An Archaeological Evaluation
for Cala Homes (South Home Counties) Ltd**

by Odile Rouard and Sean Wallis

TVAS South

Site Code SRH 22/51

August 2022

Summary

Site name: Land to the North of Scant Road West, Hambrook, West Sussex

Grid reference: TQ 7900 0680

Site activity: Evaluation

Date and duration of project: 19th July to 3rd August 2022

Project manager: Steve Ford

Site supervisor: Sean Wallis

Site code: SRH 22/51

Area of site: c. 9.9 ha

Summary of results: The archaeological evaluation on land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook, successfully investigated those areas which will be most affected by the development of the site. Three pits were identified in three of the eighty-four trenches excavated. They were scattered across the eastern field, but none contained any finds. The western field had been heavily disturbed during the construction of the A27 and no archaeological features were identified in that part of the site.

Location and reference of archive: The archive is presently held at Thames Valley Archaeological Services, Brighton and will be deposited with a suitable repository in due course.

*This report may be copied for bona fide research or planning purposes without the explicit permission of the copyright holder. All TVAS unpublished fieldwork reports are available on our website:
www.tvas.co.uk/reports/reports.asp.*

Report edited/checked by:	Steve Ford✓	31.08.22
	Steve Preston✓	31.08.22

Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook, West Sussex An Archaeological Evaluation

by Odile Rouard and Sean Wallis

Report 22/51

Introduction

This report documents the results of an archaeological field evaluation carried on land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook, West Sussex (TQ 7900 0680) (Fig. 1). The work was commissioned by Mr Ian Humble of CALA Homes (South Home Counties) Ltd, Tilford House, Farnham Business Park, Weydon Lane, Farnham, GU9 8QT.

Planning permission (CH/20/01826/FUL) has been gained from Chichester District Council on appeal (APP/L3815/W/21/3274502) for residential development of the site. The consent was subject to a standard condition (7) relating to archaeology. As a consequence of the possibility of archaeological deposits on the site which may be damaged or destroyed by the proposed development, it was proposed to carry out a field evaluation in order to assess the site's archaeological potential and to provide information on which to base a mitigation strategy as appropriate.

This is in accordance with the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government *National Planning Policy Framework* (NPPF 2019), and the District Council's policies on archaeology. The field investigation was carried out to a specification approved by Mr James Kenny, the Chichester District Council Archaeological Officer who advises the District Council on archaeological matters. The fieldwork was undertaken by Jake Flower, Paul Greenslade, Odile Rouard and Sean Wallis between 19th July and 3rd August 2022, and the site code is SRH 22/51. The archive is presently held at TVAS South, Brighton, and will be deposited with a suitable repository in due course.

Location, topography and geology

The site is located to the north-west of Chichester and is centred on NGR TQ 7900 0680 (Fig. 1). It consists of an near-triangular shaped area bordered by the A27 to the north-east and by residential housing to the south and west (Fig. 2). The general topography of the site is on a slight slope from north to south and the height above Ordnance Datum varied between approximately 13m (in the north) and 10m (in the south-western corner). According to the British Geological Survey the underlying geology consists of Brickearth (BGS 1980), and this

was confirmed during the evaluation. The geology recorded in the trenches generally consisted of a mottled yellow orange sandy silty clay, with trenches in the northern part of the site containing patches of natural flint gravel.

Archaeological background

The archaeological potential of the site stems from its location on the archaeologically rich Sussex coastal plain within the wide hinterland of the Iron Age and Roman town of Chichester (e.g. Manley 2008; Rudling 2003; McNicoll-Norbury *et al.* 2017; Taylor *et al.* 2017; Wallis and Ford 2014; 2019; Bray *et al.* 2019; Wallis 2019a; Rouard in prep). Fieldwork at Nutbourne, 1.2km to the south-west, revealed Middle Bronze Age through to Middle Iron Age occupation in the form of a series of unenclosed post-built roundhouses (Wallis 2019b). The county's Historic Environment Record notes the presence of a modest range of stray finds of prehistoric and Roman date in the general vicinity.

Objectives and methodology

The purpose of the evaluation was to determine the presence/absence, extent, condition, character, quality and date of any archaeological deposits within the area of the proposed development.

Specific aims of the project were:

to determine if archaeologically relevant levels have survived on this site; and

to determine if archaeological deposits of any period are present.

Eighty-four trenches were to be dug, each measuring 25m in length and 1.80m in width. However, most trenches in the western field (trenches 1 to 40) were shortened and some even dug as test pits as the amount of made-ground made it clear that any archaeology that might ever have been present would have been obliterated by modern disturbance as the site was used as a compound and dumping ground during the roadworks associated with the construction of the A27 in the late 20th century. The trenches were to be dug using a 360° type machine fitted with a toothless ditching bucket under constant archaeological supervision. All spoilheaps were to be monitored for finds.

Results

The eighty-four trenches were dug close to their original planned positions (Fig. 2), although most of the trenches in the western field had to be shortened due to modern disturbances. All the trenches were 1.80m wide.

A complete list of the trenches giving lengths, breadths, depths and a description of sections and geology is given in Appendix 1. The excavated features are summarized in Appendix 2.

Western Field (Trenches 1–40) (Fig. 2; Pls 1–6)

It became apparent very early on that most of the western field had been heavily disturbed in the past, as made ground deposits were recorded immediately above the natural geology. Several trenches had to be shortened due to modern disturbances. A local resident mentioned that this field had been used as a site compound when the A27 was built in the late 20th century, and James Kenny confirmed that this was likely and that very little archaeological work had been undertaken at the time. Following discussions with James Kenny, it was decided that shorter trenches could be excavated in those areas which had clearly been truncated. As a result, trenches in this field measured between 2.50m and 26.50m in length, and between 0.47m and 0.98m in depth. Made-ground was encountered in most of the trenches immediately below the topsoil, and sometimes this modern material had been pressed into the natural geology (Trenches 6–19, 21–30, 37–39). In many of the trenches, a layer of reddish clay made-ground (57) was revealed beneath the topsoil (Trenches 1–15, 17–19, 21–26, 28–30, 33, 35–39). The reddish clay sealed a dump layer which contained modern brick rubble and concrete, which probably relates to the compound that once occupied the site. The stratigraphy recorded showed an average of 0.20m of topsoil overlying roughly 0.30m of made ground. In this field only, Trenches 31, 32, 34 and 40 showed undisturbed subsoil above the natural geology and were excavated to their full extent.

No archaeological features were identified in any of the forty trenches excavated in this part of the site.

Eastern Field (Trenches 41–84) (Figs 2 and 3; Pls 7–15)

Forty-four trenches were dug in the eastern field, measuring between 20m and 28.40m in length and 0.30m and 0.51m in depth. In general the natural geology was revealed beneath about 0.25m of topsoil (50) and 0.08m of subsoil (51). None of these trenches showed any of the disturbance seen in the western field. Three archaeological features (undated pits) were identified in Trenches 42, 64 and 84. None of these pits contained any finds but two contained a fair amount of charcoal and environmental samples were taken. A description of the three trenches containing archaeology is given below. The rest of the trenches are summarized in Appendix 1.

Trench 42 (Figs 3, 4 and 5; Pls 7 and 18)

This trench was orientated WSW-ENE, and was 26m long and up to 0.40m deep. The natural geology was observed beneath 0.30m of topsoil (50) and 0.07m of subsoil (51). Pit 3 was identified in the eastern part of the

trench. It had a diameter of 1.10m and a depth of 0.18m. It contained a single fill (56) that did not yield any finds and remains undated.

Trench 64 (Figs. 3, 4 and 5; Pls 12 and 17)

This trench was orientated approximately SSE-NNW, and was 25.40m long and up to 0.37m deep. The natural geology was observed beneath 0.21m of topsoil (50) and 0.11m of subsoil (51). A single pit, 2, was identified towards the north end of this trench. It had a diameter of 0.90m and a depth of 0.14m. It contained two fills: the primary fill (55), consisted of a dark grey black silty clay and contained a fair amount of charcoal, which was sampled. Secondary fill 54 consisted of a mid-blue grey silty clay that contained occasional charcoal flecks. This feature did not contain any finds and remains undated.

Trench 84 (Figs. 3, 4 and 5; Pls 15 and 16)

Trench 84 was orientated approximately SE-NW, and was 26.70m long and up to 0.50m deep. The natural geology was recorded beneath 0.30m of topsoil (50) and 0.15m of subsoil (51). Pit 1 was investigated in the central part of the trench. It had a diameter of 1.10m and a depth of 0.17m. It contained two fills: primary fill 53 consisted of a dark grey black silty clay with frequent charcoal inclusions and was sampled. Secondary fill 52 was a light grey silty clay with no inclusions. This feature did not yield any finds and remains undated.

Finds

The environmental material

Two samples from the lower fills of pits 2 (55) and 1 (53) were wet sieved using standard water flotation techniques. Both contained considerable quantities of charred organic material and (uncharred) roots. All of the flots only contained charcoal with no evidence for material such as nut shells or cereal grains. A sub sample (five fragments) of charcoal from pit 2 was examined by Rosalind McKenna and identified as Oak charcoal.

Conclusion

The archaeological evaluation on land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook successfully investigated those areas which will be most affected by the re-development of the site. Archaeological features were identified in three of the eighty-four excavated trenches, all shallow undated (probably modern) pits. The pits are considered likely to be a product of scrub clearance in relatively recent times. The western field had been heavily disturbed when the roadworks for the A27 took place and no archaeology was visible in that part of the site.

References

- BGS, 1980, *British Geological Survey*, 1:50000, Sheet 320/321, Solid and Drift Edition, Keyworth
- Bray, D, Porter, S and Wallis, S, 2019, *Late Iron Age, Roman and Saxon Occupation at Courtwick Lane, Littlehampton, West Sussex*, TVAS Monograph **33**, Reading
- Manley, J (ed), 2008, *The Archaeology of Fishbourne and Chichester, a framework for its future*, Sussex Archaeological Society, Lewes
- McNicoll-Norbury, J, Sanchez, D, Taylor, A, Thompson, F and Wallis, S, 2017, *Archaeological Investigations in Sussex: Prehistoric and Roman features in Selsey, Worthing, Angmering and Horsham, and Medieval occupation in Hailsham, Horsham and Crawley*, TVAS Occas Pap **17**, Reading
- NPPF, 2019, *National Planning Policy Framework* (revised), Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, London
- Rouard, O, in prep, 'Iron Age and Roman Occupation at Water Lane, Angmering', TVAS South project 21/01, Brighton
- Rudling, D (ed), 2003, *The Archaeology of Sussex to AD2000*, Brighton
- Taylor, A, Weale, A and Ford, S, 2014, *Bronze Age, Iron Age and Roman Landscapes of the Coastal Plain, and a Late Iron Age Warrior Burial at North Bersted, Bognor Regis, West Sussex*, TVAS Monogr **19**, Reading
- Wallis, S and Ford, S, 2014, *Bronze Age and Middle Iron Age Occupation and Roman Fields at Lidsey Landfill, Woodgate, West Sussex*, TVAS Occas Pap **5**, Reading
- Wallis, S, 2018, *Middle Bronze Age to Middle Iron Age Occupation at the Paddocks, Nutbourne, Chichester*, TVAS South project 15/232, Brighton
- Wallis, S, 2019a, *Bronze Age, Iron Age and Roman Settlement at Toddington Lane, Littlehampton, West Sussex*, TVAS Monogr **37**, Reading
- Wallis, S, 2019b, *Middle Bronze Age to Middle Iron Age Occupation at The Paddocks, Nutbourne, Chichester, West Sussex*, TVAS Occas Pap **36**, Reading
- Wallis, S and Ford, S, 2019, *Bronze Age, Iron Age and Roman Occupation at Northbrook College, Littlehampton Road, West Durrington, West Sussex*, TVAS Monogr **34**, Reading

APPENDIX 1: Trench details


Trench	Length (m)	Breadth (m)	Depth (m)	Comment
1	2.50	1.80	0.65	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.57m made-ground (57); 0.57m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
2	3.40	1.80	0.77	0-0.18m topsoil (50); 0.18-0.77m made-ground (57); Natural not reached.
3	3.50	1.80	0.94	0-0.25m topsoil (50); 0.25-0.80m made-ground (57); 0.80m+ natural geology (Brickearth). [Pl. 1]
4	4.30	1.80	0.51	0-0.25m topsoil (50); 0.25-0.34m made-ground (57); 0.34m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
5	4.30	1.80	0.47	0-0.22m topsoil (50); 0.22-0.36m made-ground (57); 0.36m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
6	4.00	1.80	0.74	0-0.26m topsoil (50); 0.26-0.48m made-ground (57); 0.48m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
7	3.20	1.80	0.89	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.68m made-ground (57); 0.68m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
8	3.10	1.80	0.98	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.88m made-ground (57); 0.88m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
9	3.20	1.80	0.76	0-0.16m topsoil (50); 0.16-0.70m made-ground (57); 0.70m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
10	7.00	1.80	0.79	0-0.23m topsoil (50); 0.23-0.72m made-ground (57); 0.72m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
11	3.00	1.80	0.80	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.68m made-ground (57); 0.68m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it. [Pl. 2]
12	3.00	1.80	0.94	0-0.23m topsoil (50); 0.23-0.89m made-ground (57); 0.89m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
13	3.40	1.80	0.75	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.75m made-ground (57); Natural not reached.
14	3.00	1.80	0.72	0-0.27m topsoil (50); 0.27-0.62m made-ground (57); 0.62m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
15	5.50	1.80	0.53	0-0.19m topsoil (50); 0.19-0.43m made-ground (57); 0.43m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
16	8.00	1.80	0.60	0-0.41m topsoil (50); 0.41m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
17	6.00	1.80	0.69	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.61m made-ground (57); 0.61m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
18	4.40	1.80	0.75	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.75m made-ground (57); Natural not reached.
19	4.50	1.80	0.66	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.60m made-ground (57); 0.60m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it. [Pl. 3]
20	12.20	1.80	0.65	0-0.40m topsoil (50); 0.40-0.60m subsoil (51); 0.60m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
21	4.00	1.80	0.52	0-0.30m topsoil (50); 0.30-0.50m made-ground (57); 0.50m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
22	3.80	1.80	0.59	0-0.23m topsoil (50); 0.23-0.54m made-ground (57); 0.54m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
23	4.50	1.80	0.59	0-0.32m topsoil (50); 0.32-0.55m made-ground (57); 0.55m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
24	5.50	1.80	0.57	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.53m made-ground (57); 0.53m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
25	6.40	1.80	0.52	0-0.21m topsoil (50); 0.21-0.46m made-ground (57); 0.46m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
26	6.50	1.80	0.54	0-0.25m topsoil (50); 0.25-0.40m made-ground (57); 0.40m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
27	16.00	1.80	0.48	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.44m subsoil (51); 0.44m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it. [Pl. 4]
28	7.40	1.80	0.50	0-0.15m topsoil (50); 0.15-0.35m made-ground (57); 0.35m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
29	4.20	1.80	0.57	0-0.25m topsoil (50); 0.25-0.52m made-ground (57); 0.52m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
30	6.50	1.80	0.47	0-0.14m topsoil (50); 0.14-0.41m made-ground (57); 0.41m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
31	25.00	1.80	0.50	0-0.31m topsoil (50); 0.31m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
32	22.10	1.80	0.50	0-0.32m topsoil (50); 0.32m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
33	6.00	1.80	0.54	0-0.21m topsoil (50); 0.21-0.51m made-ground (57); 0.51m+ natural geology (Brickearth). [Pl. 5]
34	26.50	1.80	0.80	0-0.50m topsoil (50); 0.50-0.77m subsoil (51); 0.77m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
35	12.00	1.80	0.76	0-0.42m topsoil (50); 0.42-0.64m made-ground (57); 0.64m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
36	5.90	1.80	0.55	0-0.22m topsoil (50); 0.22-0.51m made-ground (57); 0.51m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
37	22.80	1.80	0.64	0-0.44m topsoil (50); 0.44-0.57m made-ground (57); 0.57m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
38	24.00	1.80	0.76	0-0.45m topsoil (50); 0.45-0.66m made-ground (57); 0.66m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it. [Pl. 6]

<i>Trench</i>	<i>Length (m)</i>	<i>Breadth (m)</i>	<i>Depth (m)</i>	<i>Comment</i>
39	24.40	1.80	0.54	0-0.31m topsoil (50); 0.31-0.40m made-ground (57); 0.40m+ natural geology (Brickearth) with made-ground pressed into it.
40	24.00	1.80	0.53	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.41m subsoil (51); 0.41m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
41	21.00	1.80	0.40	0-0.30m topsoil (50); 0.30-0.37m subsoil (51); 0.37m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
42	26.00	1.80	0.30	0-0.21m topsoil (50); 0.21-0.27m subsoil (51); 0.27m+ natural geology (Brickearth). Pit 3. [Pls 7 and 18]
43	25.40	1.80	0.48	0-0.30m topsoil (50); 0.30-0.43m subsoil (51); 0.43m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
44	24.80	1.80	0.43	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.38m subsoil (51); 0.38m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
45	26.30	1.80	0.45	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.41m subsoil (51); 0.41m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
46	25.80	1.80	0.49	0-0.29m topsoil (50); 0.29-0.42m subsoil (51); 0.42m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
47	23.40	1.80	0.32	0-0.20m topsoil (50); 0.20-0.27m subsoil (51); 0.27m+ natural geology (Brickearth). [Pl. 8]
48	23.70	1.80	0.38	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.33m subsoil (51); 0.33m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
49	26.50	1.80	0.36	0-0.22m topsoil (50); 0.22-0.32m subsoil (51); 0.32m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
50	26.00	1.80	0.41	0-0.22m topsoil (50); 0.22-0.34m subsoil (51); 0.34m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
51	26.20	1.80	0.36	0-0.26m topsoil (50); 0.26-0.31m subsoil (51); 0.31m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
52	24.40	1.80	0.32	0-0.22m topsoil (50); 0.22-0.27m subsoil (51); 0.27m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
53	25.50	1.80	0.37	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.33m subsoil (51); 0.33m+ natural geology (Brickearth). [Pl. 9]
54	26.80	1.80	0.36	0-0.23m topsoil (50); 0.23-0.31m subsoil (51); 0.31m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
55	25.80	1.80	0.42	0-0.25m topsoil (50); 0.25-0.35m subsoil (51); 0.35m+ natural geology (Brickearth). [Pl. 10]
56	25.00	1.80	0.43	0-0.30m topsoil (50); 0.30-0.39m subsoil (51); 0.39m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
57	27.70	1.80	0.36	0-0.25m topsoil (50); 0.25-0.31m subsoil (51); 0.31m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
58	24.20	1.80	0.43	0-0.23m topsoil (50); 0.23-0.39m subsoil (51); 0.39m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
59	25.20	1.80	0.46	0-0.26m topsoil (50); 0.26-0.41m subsoil (51); 0.41m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
60	25.10	1.80	0.47	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.42m subsoil (51); 0.42m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
61	25.20	1.80	0.48	0-0.28m topsoil (50); 0.28-0.39m subsoil (51); 0.39m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
62	24.90	1.80	0.32	0-0.20m topsoil (50); 0.20-0.28m subsoil (51); 0.28m+ natural geology (Brickearth). [Pl. 11]
63	28.20	1.80	0.40	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.35m subsoil (51); 0.35m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
64	25.40	1.80	0.37	0-0.21m topsoil (50); 0.21-0.32m subsoil (51); 0.32m+ natural geology (Brickearth). Pit 2. [Pls 12 and 17]
65	27.00	1.80	0.36	0-0.20m topsoil (50); 0.20-0.31m subsoil (51); 0.31m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
66	28.40	1.80	0.36	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.32m subsoil (51); 0.32m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
67	20.00	1.80	0.46	0-0.30m topsoil (50); 0.30-0.40m subsoil (51); 0.40m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
68	25.60	1.80	0.35	0-0.21m topsoil (50); 0.21-0.28m subsoil (51); 0.28m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
69	25.70	1.80	0.42	0-0.30m topsoil (50); 0.30-0.37m subsoil (51); 0.37m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
70	23.80	1.80	0.44	0-0.27m topsoil (50); 0.27-0.36m subsoil (51); 0.36m+ natural geology (Brickearth). [Pl. 13]
71	24.20	1.80	0.31	0-0.23m topsoil (50); 0.23-0.28m subsoil (51); 0.28m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
72	27.40	1.80	0.41	0-0.25m topsoil (50); 0.25-0.33m subsoil (51); 0.33m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
73	23.80	1.80	0.45	0-0.33m topsoil (50); 0.33-0.41m subsoil (51); 0.41m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
74	24.40	1.80	0.36	0-0.26m topsoil (50); 0.26-0.32m subsoil (51); 0.32m+ natural geology (Brickearth).

<i>Trench</i>	<i>Length (m)</i>	<i>Breadth (m)</i>	<i>Depth (m)</i>	<i>Comment</i>
75	25.60	1.80	0.31	0-0.18m topsoil (50); 0.18-0.26m subsoil (51); 0.26m+ natural geology (Brickearth). [Pl. 14]
76	25.60	1.80	0.35	0-0.24m topsoil (50); 0.24-0.31m subsoil (51); 0.31m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
77	26.70	1.80	0.40	0-0.22m topsoil (50); 0.22-0.35m subsoil (51); 0.35m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
78	25.00	1.80	0.30	0-0.20m topsoil (50); 0.20-0.26m subsoil (51); 0.26m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
79	24.80	1.80	0.39	0-0.26m topsoil (50); 0.26-0.35m subsoil (51); 0.35m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
80	25.40	1.80	0.40	0-0.25m topsoil (50); 0.25-0.34m subsoil (51); 0.34m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
81	22.00	1.80	0.32	0-0.20m topsoil (50); 0.20-0.27m subsoil (51); 0.27m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
82	26.00	1.80	0.32	0-0.22m topsoil (50); 0.22-0.29m subsoil (51); 0.29m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
83	25.90	1.80	0.51	0-0.35m topsoil (50); 0.35-0.47m subsoil (51); 0.47m+ natural geology (Brickearth).
84	26.70	1.80	0.50	0-0.30m topsoil (50); 0.30-0.45m subsoil (51); 0.45m+ natural geology (Brickearth). Pit 1. [Pls 15 and 16]

APPENDIX 2: Catalogue of Features


<i>Trench</i>	<i>Cut</i>	<i>Fill (s)</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Dating evidence / comments</i>
84	1	52, 53	Pit	Undated	-
64	2	54, 55	Pit	Undated	-
42	3	56	Pit	Undated	-



**Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
West Sussex, 2022
Archaeological Evaluation**

Figure 1. Location of site within Hambrook and West Sussex.

Reproduced under licence from Ordnance Survey Explorer Digital mapping at 1:12500
Crown Copyright reserved






SRH 22/51

**Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
West Sussex, 2022
Archaeological Evaluation**

Figure 2. Detailed location of site showing the evaluation trenches.






SRH 22/51




**Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
West Sussex, 2022
Archaeological Evaluation**


Figure 3. Plan showing evaluation trenches with archaeological features.




Trench 42



Trench 64




Trench 84




SRH 22/51

**Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
West Sussex, 2022
Archaeological Evaluation**

Figure 4. Plan of trenches 42, 64 and 84.







SRH 22/51

**Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
West Sussex, 2022
Archaeological Evaluation**


Figure 5. Sections of features.




Trench 1




Trench 6




Trench 21




Trench 27



Trench 30




Trench 40




SRH 22/51

**Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
 West Sussex, 2022
 Archaeological Evaluation**


Figure 6. Representative sections (West Field).




Trench 41




Trench 45




Trench 48




Trench 51




Trench 54




Trench 71



Trench 77



Trench 83



SRH 22/51

**Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
West Sussex, 2022
Archaeological Evaluation**

Figure 6. Representative sections (East Field).






Plate 1. Trench 3, looking North-West.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 2. Trench 11, looking South.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 3. Trench 19, looking North-West.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 4. Trench 27, looking South-West.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.50m.



Plate 5. Trench 33, looking North-East.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 6. Trench 38, looking North-East.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.50m.

SRH 22/51

**Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
West Sussex, 2022
Archaeological Evaluation
Plates 1 to 6.**






Plate 7. Trench 42, looking North-East.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 8. Trench 47, looking North.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 9. Trench 53, looking South-West.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 10. Trench 55, looking South-East.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 11. Trench 62, looking North-West.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 12. Trench 64, looking South.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.

SRH 22/51

**Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
West Sussex, 2022
Archaeological Evaluation
Plates 7 to 12.**






Plate 13. Trench 70, looking North.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.50m.



Plate 14. Trench 75, looking South.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.30m.



Plate 15. Trench 84, looking South-West.
Scales: 2m, 1m and 0.50m.



Plate 16. Trench 84, Pit 1, looking South-East.
Scales: 1m and 0.10m.




Plate 17. Trench 64, Pit 2, looking West.
Scales: 0.50m and 0.10m.



Plate 18. Trench 42, Pit 3, looking North.
Scales: 1m and 0.10m.

SRH 22/51


Land to the north of Scant Road West, Hambrook,
West Sussex, 2022
Archaeological Evaluation
Plates 13 to 18.



TIME CHART

	Calendar Years
Modern _____	AD 1901
Victorian _____	AD 1837
Post Medieval _____	AD 1500
Medieval _____	AD 1066
Saxon _____	AD 410
Roman _____	AD 43 AD 0 BC
Iron Age _____	750 BC
Bronze Age: Late _____	1300 BC
Bronze Age: Middle _____	1700 BC
Bronze Age: Early _____	2100 BC
Neolithic: Late	3300 BC
Neolithic: Early	4300 BC
Mesolithic: Late	6000 BC
Mesolithic: Early	10000 BC
Palaeolithic: Upper	30000 BC
Palaeolithic: Middle	70000 BC
Palaeolithic: Lower	2,000,000 BC





**TVAS (South),
77a Hollingdean Terrace
Brighton, BN1 7HB**

**Tel: 01273 554198
Email: south@tvas.co.uk
Web: www.tvas.co.uk/south**

***Offices in:
Reading, Taunton, Stoke-on-Trent, Wellingborough
and Ennis (Ireland)***