

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

sumo

Survey

**GEOPHYSICS FOR
ARCHAEOLOGY &
ENGINEERING**

Land South of Nailsea, Somerset

Client
Cotswold Archaeology

Survey Report
11434 v2

Date
November 2017

Incorporating
GSB PROSPECTION LTD
and
STRATASCAN LTD

SUMO Services Ltd
Cowburn Farm
Market Street
Thornton
Bradford
BD13 3HW
T: 01274 835016

SUMO Services Ltd
Vineyard House
Upper Hook Road
Upton upon Severn
Worcestershire
WR8 0SA
T: 01684 592266

geophysics@sumoservices.com
www.sumoservices.com

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

Project name:
Land South of Nailsea, Somerset

SUMO Job reference:
11434

Client:
Cotswold Archaeology

Survey date:
**26 June, 14-19 July, 6-7 November
2017**

Report date:
20 November 2017

Field co-ordinator:
Stephen Weston BA
Jonathan Hunter BA

Field Team:
James Lorimer BA
Robert Smail PhD
Olivier Vansassenbrouck MSc
Jose Almendros Fernandez BSc

Report written by:
Rebecca Davies BSc

CAD illustrations by:
Rebecca Davies BSc

Project Manager:
Simon Haddrell BEng AMBCS PCIfA

Report approved by:
Dr John Gater BSc DSc(Hon) MCIfA FSA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	1
2	INTRODUCTION	1
3	METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION	2
4	RESULTS	3-4
5	DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT	4
6	CONCLUSION	4
7	REFERENCES	4

Appendix A Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method

Appendix B Technical Information: Magnetic Theory

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	1:25 000	Site Location Diagram
Figure 2	1:2500	Location of Survey Areas
Figure 3	1:2500	Magnetometer Survey - Greyscale Plots - Overview
Figure 4	1:2500	Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - Overview
Figure 5	1:1250	Magnetometer Survey - Greyscale Plots – North-West
Figure 6	1:1250	Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - North-West
Figure 7	1:1250	Magnetometer Survey - Greyscale Plots - North-East
Figure 8	1:1250	Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - North-East
Figure 9	1:1250	Magnetometer Survey - Greyscale Plots - South-West
Figure 10	1:1250	Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - South-West
Figure 11	1:1250	Magnetometer Survey - Greyscale Plots - South-East
Figure 12	1:1250	Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - South-East

DIGITAL CONTENT (Archive Data)

- Minimally Processed Greyscale Images and XY Trace Plots in DWG format
- DWG Viewer
- Digital Copies of Report Text and Figures (both PDF and native formats)

1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A detailed magnetometer survey was conducted over approximately 24.1 ha of grassland and arable land. Although no archaeological features have been detected, several linear anomalies and areas of enhanced response have been classified as having an uncertain origin. The line of an old tramway and the location of a former quarry have been detected, along with former field boundaries, ridge and furrow, and underground services.

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background synopsis

SUMO Services Ltd were commissioned to undertake a geophysical survey of an area outlined for development. This survey forms part of an archaeological investigation being undertaken by **Cotswold Archaeology**.

2.2 Site details

NGR / Postcode	ST 463 691 / BS48 4NP
Location	The site is located to the south of Nailsea, Somerset, with Youngwood Lane forming the southern boundary and Netherton Wood Lane the western limits. Young Wood Farm lies to the east and agricultural land to the north.
HER/SMR	North Somerset
Unitary Authority	North Somerset
Parish	Nailsea CP
Topography	Sloping gently down from north to south
Current Land Use	Pasture
Weather	Overcast, dry
Geology	Solid: Downend Member - sandstone is recorded across the majority of the site. Two bands of Downend Member - mudstone protrude into the site from the west. Superficial: None recorded (BGS 2017).
Soils	Neath Association (541h), well drained, fine loamy soils often over rock (SSEW 1983).
Archaeology	The site of a former quarry, c.120m west of Bizley Farm (44120) is the only recorded archaeological site within the survey area on North Somerset HER (NSC 2017). The line of a former tramway is visible on OS maps dating from 1884 to 1889 in the westernmost field of the survey area.
Survey Methods	Magnetometer survey (fluxgate gradiometer)
Study Area	24.1 ha - Areas 3 and 8 are wooded and are unsurveyable.

2.3 Aims and Objectives

To locate and characterise any anomalies of possible archaeological interest within the study area.

3 METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION

3.1 Standards & Guidance

This report and all fieldwork have been conducted in accordance with the latest guidance documents issued by Historic England (EH 2008) (then English Heritage), the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA 2014) and the European Archaeological Council (EAC 2016).

3.2 Survey methods

Detailed magnetic survey was chosen as an efficient and effective method of locating archaeological anomalies.

Technique	Instrument	Traverse Interval	Sample Interval
Magnetometer	Bartington Grad 601-2	1.0m	0.25m

More information regarding this technique is included in Appendix A.

3.3 Data Processing

The following basic processing steps have been carried out on the data used in this report:

De-stripe; de-stagger; interpolate

3.4 Presentation of results and interpretation

The presentation of the results for each site involves a grey-scale plot of processed data. Magnetic anomalies are identified, interpreted and plotted onto the 'Interpretation' drawings. The minimally processed data are provided as a greyscale image in the Archive Data Folder with an XY trace plot in CAD format. A CAD viewer is also provided.

When interpreting the results, several factors are taken into consideration, including the nature of archaeological features being investigated and the local conditions at the site (geology, pedology, topography etc.). Anomalies are categorised by their potential origin. Where responses can be related to other existing evidence, the anomalies will be given specific categories, such as: *Abbey Wall* or *Roman Road*. Where the interpretation is based largely on the geophysical data, levels of confidence are implied, for example: *Probable*, or *Possible Archaeology*. The former is used for a confident interpretation, based on anomaly definition and/or other corroborative data such as cropmarks. Poor anomaly definition, a lack of clear patterns to the responses and an absence of other supporting data reduces confidence, hence the classification *Possible*.

4 RESULTS

The survey has been divided into eleven survey areas (Areas 1-11) and specific anomalies have been given numerical labels [1] [2] which appear in the text below, as well as on the Interpretation Figure(s).

4.1 **Probable / Possible Archaeology**

4.1.1 No magnetic responses have been recorded that could be interpreted as being of archaeological interest.

4.2 **Uncertain**

4.2.1 Several weak linear anomalies and trends [1] have been detected in Areas 1, 2, 6 and 11. These could be associated with former field boundaries, with those in the north possibly reflecting a former field system, or drains. Given the lack of any archaeological context of the responses, they have been categorised as being of 'uncertain' origin.

4.2.2 Two areas of enhanced magnetic response [2] in Area 6 have also been characterised as being of 'uncertain' origin. These could be associated with the old tramway [6] which is visible immediately to their east; however, they could equally be of natural origin, as their response is similar to the widespread natural variations across the site.

4.3 **Former Field Boundary**

4.3.1 Weak linear responses [3a-3b] in Areas 2 and 11 along with a strong magnetic linear anomaly [4] in Area 6 are associated with former field boundaries, visible on historic OS mapping. Anomaly [3b] can be seen from 1884 to 1904, Anomaly [4] is observed from 1903-1904 and Anomaly [3a] from 1884 to 1978.

4.3.2 A negative linear anomaly [5] runs approximately northwest-southeast through Areas 5 and 9, while a linear trend [6] runs roughly east-west across Area 1. . These could relate to former field boundaries that are not present on available mapping. Anomaly [5] could equally be related with a plastic pipe or service, while Anomaly [6] could be of natural origin.

4.4 **Agricultural – Ploughing, Land Drains**

4.4.1 Widely spaced, parallel linear anomalies in the south of Area 6 are likely to be associated with ridge and furrow cultivation.

4.4.2 Parallel linear anomalies at the south-west of Area 1 are likely to be related to land drains.

4.5 **Old Tramway**

4.5.1 A curving, linear anomaly and areas of magnetic disturbance [7] in Area 6 corresponds with the line of a former tramway, recorded on OS maps from 1884 to 1889.

4.6 **Natural / Geological / Pedological / Topographic**

4.6.1 Large areas of moderate strength magnetic variation are visible across the site. These are likely to be of natural origin, and are typical of responses detected over sandstone.

4.7 ***Ferrous / Magnetic Disturbance***

- 4.7.1 A discrete area of strong magnetic disturbance [8] is visible in the south-west of Area 9. This corresponds with the location of a former quarry (44120) recorded on North Somerset HER (2017).
- 4.7.2 Strong, bipolar linear anomalies have been detected in Areas 4, 6 and 10. These are associated with underground services, such as pipes or cables.
- 4.7.3 Ferrous responses close to boundaries are due to adjacent fences and gates. Smaller scale ferrous anomalies ("iron spikes") are present throughout the data and their form is best illustrated in the XY trace plots. These responses are characteristic of small pieces of ferrous debris (or brick / tile) in the topsoil and are commonly assigned a modern origin. Only the most prominent of these are highlighted on the interpretation diagram.

5 DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT

- 5.1 Historic England guidelines (EH 2008) Table 4 states that the average magnetic response on sandstone and mudstone is variable. Given that former field boundaries, ridge and furrow and the former tramway have been detected, it can be determined that the technique has been effective. The data are dominated by responses of natural magnetic variation, which may have the potential to mask weaker archaeological anomalies.

6 CONCLUSION

- 6.1 The survey at Nailsea has not identified any anomalies of definite archaeological origin. The line of the former tramway has been detected in the west, along with the location of an old quarry. Former field boundaries and evidence of ridge and furrow indicate that the site has a largely agricultural past. Anomalies associated with natural magnetic variation are present across the site, while the remaining responses relate to underground services, and nearby ferrous metal objects.

7 REFERENCES

- BGS 2017 British Geological Survey, Geology of Britain viewer [Accessed 15/08/2017] *website:* (<http://www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion1=1#maps>)
- ClfA 2014 *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*. Amended 2016. ClfA Guidance note. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, Reading
http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/ClfAS%26GGeophysics_2.pdf
- EAC 2016 *EAC Guidelines for the Use of Geophysics in Archaeology*, European Archaeological Council, Guidelines 2.
- EH 2008 *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation*. English Heritage, Swindon
<https://content.historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/geophysical-survey-in-archaeological-field-evaluation/geophysics-guidelines.pdf/>
- NSC 2017 North Somerset Council, Historic Environment Record. [Accessed 15/08/2017] *website:* map.n-somerset.gov.uk/HER.html
- SSEW 1983 *Soils of England and Wales. Sheet 5, South West England*. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.

Appendix A - Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method

Grid Positioning

For hand held gradiometers the location of the survey grids has been plotted together with the referencing information. Grids were set out using a Trimble R8 Real Time Kinematic (RTK) VRS Now GNSS GPS system.

An RTK GPS (Real-time Kinematic Global Positioning System) can locate a point on the ground to a far greater accuracy than a standard GPS unit. A standard GPS suffers from errors created by satellite orbit errors, clock errors and atmospheric interference, resulting in an accuracy of 5m-10m. An RTK system uses a single base station receiver and a number of mobile units. The base station re-broadcasts the phase of the carrier it measured, and the mobile units compare their own phase measurements with those they received from the base station. This results in an accuracy of around 0.01m.

Technique	Instrument	Traverse Interval	Sample Interval
Magnetometer	Bartington Grad 601-2	1m	0.25m

Instrumentation: **Bartington Grad 601-2**

Bartington instruments operate in a gradiometer configuration which comprises fluxgate sensors mounted vertically, set 1.0m apart. The fluxgate gradiometer suppresses any diurnal or regional effects. The instruments are carried, or cart mounted, with the bottom sensor approximately 0.1-0.3m from the ground surface. At each survey station, the difference in the magnetic field between the two fluxgates is measured in nanoTesla (nT). The sensitivity of the instrument can be adjusted; for most archaeological surveys the most sensitive range (0.1nT) is used. Generally, features up to 1m deep may be detected by this method, though strongly magnetic objects may be visible at greater depths. The Bartington instrument can collect two lines of data per traverse with gradiometer units mounted laterally with a separation of 1.0m. The readings are logged consecutively into the data logger which in turn is daily down-loaded into a portable computer whilst on site. At the end of each site survey, data is transferred to the office for processing and presentation.

Data Processing

Zero Mean Traverse	This process sets the background mean of each traverse within each grid to zero. The operation removes striping effects and edge discontinuities over the whole of the data set.
Step Correction (De-stagger)	When gradiometer data are collected in 'zig-zag' fashion, stepping errors can sometimes arise. These occur because of a slight difference in the speed of walking on the forward and reverse traverses. The result is a staggered effect in the data, which is particularly noticeable on linear anomalies. This process corrects these errors.

Display

Greyscale/ Colourscale Plot	This format divides a given range of readings into a set number of classes. Each class is represented by a specific shade of grey, the intensity increasing with value. All values above the given range are allocated the same shade (maximum intensity); similarly, all values below the given range are represented by the minimum intensity shade. Similar plots can be produced in colour, either using a wide range of colours or by selecting two or three colours to represent positive and negative values. The assigned range (plotting levels) can be adjusted to emphasise different anomalies in the data-set.
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Interpretation Categories

In certain circumstances (usually when there is corroborative evidence from desk-based or excavation data) very specific interpretations can be assigned to magnetic anomalies (for example, *Roman Road, Wall, etc.*) and where appropriate, such interpretations will be applied. The list below outlines the generic categories commonly used in the interpretation of the results.

<i>Archaeology / Probable Archaeology</i>	This term is used when the form, nature and pattern of the responses are clearly or very probably archaeological and /or if corroborative evidence is available. These anomalies, whilst considered anthropogenic, could be of any age.
<i>Possible Archaeology</i>	These anomalies exhibit either weak signal strength and / or poor definition, or form incomplete archaeological patterns, thereby reducing the level of confidence in the interpretation. Although the archaeological interpretation is favoured, they may be the result of variable soil depth, plough damage or even aliasing as a result of data collection orientation.
<i>Industrial / Burnt-Fired</i>	Strong magnetic anomalies that, due to their shape and form or the context in which they are found, suggest the presence of kilns, ovens, corn dryers, metal-working areas or hearths. It should be noted that in many instances modern ferrous material can produce similar magnetic anomalies.
<i>Former Field Boundary (probable & possible)</i>	Anomalies that correspond to former boundaries indicated on historic mapping, or which are clearly a continuation of existing land divisions. Possible denotes less confidence where the anomaly may not be shown on historic mapping but nevertheless the anomaly displays all the characteristics of a field boundary.
<i>Ridge & Furrow</i>	Parallel linear anomalies whose broad spacing suggests ridge and furrow cultivation. In some cases, the response may be the result of more recent agricultural activity.
<i>Agriculture (ploughing)</i>	Parallel linear anomalies or trends with a narrower spacing, sometimes aligned with existing boundaries, indicating more recent cultivation regimes.
<i>Land Drain</i>	Weakly magnetic linear anomalies, quite often appearing in series forming parallel and herringbone patterns. Smaller drains may lead and empty into larger diameter pipes, which in turn usually lead to local streams and ponds. These are indicative of clay fired land drains.
<i>Natural</i>	These responses form clear patterns in geographical zones where natural variations are known to produce significant magnetic distortions.
<i>Magnetic Disturbance</i>	Broad zones of strong dipolar anomalies, commonly found in places where modern ferrous or fired materials (e.g. brick rubble) are present. They are presumed to be modern.
<i>Service</i>	Magnetically strong anomalies, usually forming linear features are indicative of ferrous pipes/cables. Sometimes other materials (e.g. pvc) or the fill of the trench can cause weaker magnetic responses which can be identified from their uniform linearity.
<i>Ferrous</i>	This type of response is associated with ferrous material and may result from small items in the topsoil, larger buried objects such as pipes, or above ground features such as fence lines or pylons. Ferrous responses are usually regarded as modern. Individual burnt stones, fired bricks or igneous rocks can produce responses similar to ferrous material.
<i>Uncertain Origin</i>	Anomalies which stand out from the background magnetic variation, yet whose form and lack of patterning gives little clue as to their origin. Often the characteristics and distribution of the responses straddle the categories of <i>Possible Archaeology / Natural</i> or (in the case of linear responses) <i>Possible Archaeology / Agriculture</i> ; occasionally they are simply of an unusual form.

Where appropriate some anomalies will be further classified according to their form (positive or negative) and relative strength and coherence (trend: weak and poorly defined).

Appendix B - Technical Information: Magnetic Theory

Detailed magnetic survey can be used to effectively define areas of past human activity by mapping spatial variation and contrast in the magnetic properties of soil, subsoil and bedrock. Although the changes in the magnetic field resulting from differing features in the soil are usually weak, changes as small as 0.1 nanoTeslas (nT) in an overall field strength of 48,000 (nT), can be accurately detected.

Weakly magnetic iron minerals are always present within the soil and areas of enhancement relate to increases in *magnetic susceptibility* and permanently magnetised *thermoremanent* material.

Magnetic susceptibility relates to the induced magnetism of a material when in the presence of a magnetic field. This magnetism can be considered as effectively permanent as it exists within the Earth's magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can become enhanced due to burning and complex biological or fermentation processes.

Thermoremanence is a permanent magnetism acquired by iron minerals that, after heating to a specific temperature known as the Curie Point, are effectively demagnetised followed by re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling. Thermoremanent archaeological features can include hearths and kilns; material such as brick and tile may be magnetised through the same process.

Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil creates a relative contrast against the much lower levels of magnetism within the subsoil into which the feature is cut. Systematic mapping of magnetic anomalies will produce linear and discrete areas of enhancement allowing assessment and characterisation of subsurface features. Material such as subsoil and non-magnetic bedrock used to create former earthworks and walls may be mapped as areas of lower enhancement compared to surrounding soils.

Magnetic survey is carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer which is a passive instrument consisting of two sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the top sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field whilst the lower sensor measures the same field but is also more affected by any localised buried feature. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of a magnetic field created by this feature, if no field is present the difference will be close to zero as the magnetic field measured by both sensors will be the same.

Factors affecting the magnetic survey may include soil type, local geology, previous human activity and disturbance from modern services.




71

70

69

68

67



44

45

46


47

48



Site Location

Reproduced from Ordnance Survey's 1:25 000 map of 1998 with the permission of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown Copyright reserved. Licence No: AL 50125A



Title: Site Location Diagram

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset







Fig No: 01



 Survey Area - showing 30m grid






Title: Location of Survey Areas

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset

Scale: 0 metres 125
1:2500 @ A3

Fig No: 02



Title: Magnetometer Survey - Grayscale Plots - Overview

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset






Fig No: A



KEY

	Uncertain Origin (discrete anomaly / trend / area of increased response)
	Former field boundary (corroborated)
	Former field boundary (conjectural)
	Agriculture (ridge and furrow)
	Land drain
	Natural (e.g. geological / pedological)
	Former tramway (corroborated)
	Former quarry / pit
	Service
	Ferrous





Title: Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - Overview

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset

Scale: 0 metres 125
1:2500 @ A3

Fig No: 04



Title: Magnetometer Survey - Greyscale Plots - North-West

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset






Fig No: 05



KEY

	Uncertain Origin (discrete anomaly / trend / area of increased response)
	Former field boundary (corroborated)
	Former field boundary (conjectural)
	Agriculture (ridge and furrow)
	Land drain
	Natural (e.g. geological / pedological)
	Former tramway (corroborated)
	Former quarry / pit
	Service
	Ferrous





Title: Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - North-West

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset

Scale: 0 metres 62.5
1:1250 @ A3

Fig No: 06



Title: Magnetometer Survey - Greyscale Plots - North-East

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset






Fig No: 07



KEY

	Uncertain Origin (discrete anomaly / trend / area of increased response)
	Former field boundary (corroborated)
	Former field boundary (conjectural)
	Agriculture (ridge and furrow)
	Land drain
	Natural (e.g. geological / pedological)
	Former tramway (corroborated)
	Former quarry / pit
	Service
	Ferrous





Title: Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - North-East

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset

Scale: 0 metres 62.5
1:1250 @ A3

Fig No: 08



Title: Magnetometer Survey - Greyscale Plots - South-West

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset






Fig No: 09



KEY

	Uncertain Origin (discrete anomaly / trend / area of increased response)
	Former field boundary (corroborated)
	Former field boundary (conjectural)
	Agriculture (ridge and furrow)
	Land drain
	Natural (e.g. geological / pedological)
	Former tramway (corroborated)
	Former quarry / pit
	Service
	Ferrous






Title: Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - South-West

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset

Scale: 0 metres 62.5
1:1250 @ A3

Fig No: 10



Title: Magnetometer Survey - Greyscale Plots - South-East

Client: Cotswold Archaeology

Project: Land South of Nailsea, Somerset






Fig No: 11




KEY

	Uncertain Origin (discrete anomaly / trend / area of increased response)
	Former field boundary (corroborated)
	Former field boundary (conjectural)
	Agriculture (ridge and furrow)
	Land drain
	Natural (e.g. geological / pedological)
	Former tramway (corroborated)
	Former quarry / pit
	Service
	Ferrous



Title:	Magnetometer Survey - Interpretation - South-East	
Client:	Cotswold Archaeology	
Project:	Land South of Nailsea, Somerset	
Scale:	 0 metres 62.5 1:1250 @ A3	Fig No: 12



- Archaeological
- Geophysical
- Laser Scanning
- Measured Building
- Topographic
- Utility Mapping

SUMO Services Ltd, incorporated under the laws of England and Wales,
Company Registration No.4275993.
Registered Office Unit 8 Hayward Business Centre, New Lane, Havant, Hampshire, PO9 2NL