

AN 18th-CENTURY MINUTE BOOK
MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS HELD BY THE
COMMISSIONERS FOR THE INCLOSURE OF
THE PARISH OF WESTON TURVILLE,
1798-1800

ERIC J. DAVIS

PART II

THIS Minute Book differs from that of Hartwell and Stone in certain minor respects but, apart from these differences, the following résumé should accord with the method already adopted, as every endeavour has been made to preserve uniformity of presentation as much as possible. In this instance, the meetings are numbered, as the consecutive daily sessions of the Commissioners were not separately minuted, but the duration of each session was recorded.

A comprehensive précis of the work carried out at each meeting is, however, given, and the names of the Inns at which such meetings took place are included in case this information should prove of value or interest.

FIRST MEETING. *Wednesday, 20th June, 1798.* (George Inn, Aylesbury.)

The Commissioners appointed by the Act of Inclosure, viz. 'Thomas Bainbridge of Gray's Inn in the County of Middlesex, Richard Davis, of Lewknor in the County of Oxford, and John Fellows of Foscott in the County of Buckingham, Gentlemen', met pursuant to the following Notice:

'Notice is hereby given that Thomas Bainbridge, Richard Davis and John Fellows Gentlemen the Commissioners appointed in and by an Act of Parliament lately passed Intituled "An Act for dividing and Inclosing the Open and Common fields Common Meadows and other Commonable Lands within the parish of Weston Turville in the County of Buckingham" will hold their first Meeting for carrying into Execution the powers thereby vested in them on Wednesday the twentieth day of June instant at the house of Mr. Daniel Holloway known by the name of the George Inn in Aylesbury in the said County of Buckingham.

'Dated this tenth day of June One thousand seven hundred and ninety eight'; and continued their meeting 'until and on Thursday 21st instant'.

Before 'executing any of the powers vested in them by the said Act', the Commissioners took and subscribed the Oath as directed by the Act.¹ They then pro-

¹ 'I do swear, That I will faithfully, impartially, and honestly, according to the best of my Skill and Judgment, execute the Trusts reposed in me, as a Commissioner by virtue of an Act of Parliament for dividing and inclosing the Open and Common Fields, Common Meadows, and other Commonable Lands, within the parish of Weston Turville, in the County of Buckingham, without Favour or Affection, Prejudice or Ill-will, to any Person or Persons whomsoever

'So help me GOD.'

ceeded to appoint Acton Chaplin² as their Clerk, and William Collisson of Brackley, Northamptonshire, as Surveyor 'for taking a General and particular Survey of the said parish of Weston Turville and for doing and transacting all such other necessary business on this Inclosure as the said Commissioners shall require of him'.

It was reported that the fields 'which would have been fallow in case the said Act had not been made and passed have been sown with barley and oats and also with broad Clover', to which approval and confirmation were given.

- Ordered: (i) That rights of common for sheep and cattle on all arable, open and common fields and meadow land which would have been depastured if the fallow field had not been cropped, be suspended 'until the Crop of Hay and Corn is carried off the same'.
- (ii) That all owners and occupiers of land affected by the proposed inclosure should attend the Surveyor 'in setting out the several pieces of Common ground Jointways Headlands and Furlongs and all other necessary divisions of property in the said fields so soon and as often as they may be required to do so'.
- (iii) That all owners and occupiers of the said land 'do mark their respective lands and leys and other their property at both ends thereof distinguishing the Freehold Copyhold and Leasehold or land held by any other tenure from each other by different marks', or to give the Surveyor such information as would enable him to compile a Terrier of the properties.
- (iv) That the Clerk should write to the proprietors asking them to deliver their claims 'to the arable land, meadow or pasture ground within the said Common fields', giving 'the number of estimated acres thereof . . . with the number of Horses Cows and Sheep Commons and Manorial or other rights belonging thereto' by the date of the next meeting. At the same time, the proprietors were to compute the quantity of their old Inclosures 'setting forth the quality thereof' (viz. whether arable, meadow or pasture), giving details of tenure and the names of their respective tenants.

It was further ordered that copies of these Orders should be affixed to the 'principal door' of the Church on Sunday, 24th June, 1798.

SECOND MEETING. *Wednesday, 18th July, 1798.* (George Inn, Aylesbury.)

The minutes record that 'divers of the Proprietors attended and delivered in their claims' at this meeting, which continued until the following day. As many claims, however, were outstanding, the Clerk was ordered to write to all defaulters,³ and to cause a notice to be shown requiring claimants to deliver their claims to the Clerk's office by Saturday, 18th August, 1798, or to the Commissioners at their next meeting.

THIRD MEETING. *Monday, 20th August, 1798.* (George Inn, Aylesbury.)

Further claims were received, but apparently not all, as the Clerk was ordered to write further letters to the defaulting proprietors,⁴ requiring them to send in

² Clerk of the Peace for Bucks., 1787-1813.

³ A succinct marginal note states '23 letters wrote'!

⁴ A further marginal note says '7 letters wrote'.

their claims immediately. It was also ordered that Mr. Chaplin, upon receipt of the outstanding claims, should publish an alphabetical list of them on the Church door, together with a notice stating the manner in which objections should be made and the place to which they should be delivered. A further copy was ordered to be made and left at 'the public house called the Plough in Weston Turville for the inspection of all parties interested therein'.

The meeting, which extended over two days, then adjourned until 24th September, 1798.

FOURTH MEETING. *Monday, 24th September, 1798.* (Red Lion Inn, Wendover.)

Twenty-nine objections to the claims are listed in the minutes of this meeting, which lasted until Saturday, 29th September. The Clerk was accordingly instructed to notify the persons concerned of the next meeting of the Commissioners, at which evidence to support claims and objections would be heard; and also to publish a notice to the same effect on the Church door.

The Commissioners next 'proceeded upon the valuation of the open and Common fields Common meadows and other Commonable lands'. At this meeting it was also ordered that the Clerk should give notice of the Commissioners' intention 'to perambulate and set out the boundaries of the said parish of Weston Turville on Friday the 19th day of October', and that they intended 'beginning such perambulation at the Bridge near Aston Clinton Toll Gate adjoining to the parish of Aston Clinton'. The Clerk was further ordered to leave 'the like notice at the respective parsonage houses of each of the said parishes of Aston Clinton and Halton and also at the Dwelling house of one of the Churchwardens or Overseers of the said two parishes'.

After viewing and setting out the 'several roads passing through and over the said open and Common fields of Weston Turville', the Commissioners ordered the Surveyor to stake out the public carriage roads in accordance with their directions. They similarly ordered the Clerk to insert in the 'Oxford Journal and County Herald on Saturday the 6th day of October and the following week' an advertisement giving details of the following public roads and highways:

'One Public Carriage road of the width of forty feet leading from the village of Weston Turville in its present direction into the Wendover and Aylesbury Turnpike road'.

A second public Carriage road of the same width, from the village in a 'north-westward direction over Middle field into the Turnpike road from Aylesbury to Tring'.

A third road of like width 'leading over Weston Green in its present direction into the parish of Halton towards Aston Clinton'.

The Turnpike roads were left unaltered and directed to 'continue as heretofore'.

All objections to the above roads were to be made in writing to the Commissioners at their next meeting; and it was ordered that a copy of this advertisement should be left 'at the Plow Public house in Weston Turville for public inspection'.

Lastly, the Clerk was ordered to write to the proprietors of old inclosures 'who have no open field property', requiring them to state, in writing, whether they wished to make an annual money payment or Corn rent for the tithes of their inclosed

land or 'to discharge their Estates of the Tythes by giving up part of their Inclosures in lieu thereof'.

FIFTH MEETING. *Thursday, 18th October, 1798.* (George Inn, Aylesbury.)

At this meeting, which lasted for three days, evidence in support of claims and objections was heard by the three Commissioners.⁵ It is recorded that the boundaries of the parish had been perambulated in accordance with the notice, and Mr. Collisson, the Surveyor, was ordered to 'set out a Boundary Line to divide the said Parish of Weston Turville from the adjoining Parishes of Aston Clinton and Halton and give Notice thereof to the parties interested', reporting back to the Commissioners at their next meeting.⁶ The next entry states simply that 'the Commissioners proceeded to complete their valuation of the old Inclosures'.

Notice that 'the Fallow Field be immediately cleared of Hogs, and of Sheep from and after the first day of November next' and requiring persons to make their requests as to the siting of their allotments to the Commissioners by the date of the next meeting, was ordered to be affixed to the Church door on the following Sunday.

SIXTH MEETING. *Monday, 10th December, 1798.* (White Hart Inn, Aylesbury.)

The minutes of this meeting, which continued all the week, make but scant reference to the work which must have been done by the three Commissioners. Mr. Collisson duly reported that the boundary-line between Weston Turville and the parishes of Aston Clinton and Halton had been set out; but consideration thereof was postponed. The Commissioners then 'proceeded to scheme the respective allotments', having taken into consideration the 'several claims for situation'. The Surveyor was accordingly ordered to stake out the allotments by 8th January, 1799; and the Clerk ordered to acquaint the respective proprietors of such staking out, that they might inspect same and make any objections by the date of the next meeting.

The appointment of Francis Pursell as 'Surveyor for the making forming and compleating [of] the Public roads and Highways' was made, and the Clerk was ordered to collect the 'several Bills of expences'.

SEVENTH MEETING. *Wednesday, 9th January, 1799.* (White Hart Inn, Aylesbury.)

This meeting, extending over three days, was one of considerable importance in the progress of the inclosure. It is recorded that several of the proprietors appeared, either in person or by agent, and agreed their respective allotments, in consequence of which the Surveyor was instructed to stake out the appropriate allotments. The next matter to be considered was that of fencing, and the Surveyor was ordered to deliver to each proprietor an account of their 'open field Estate' and of

⁵ It is interesting to record that the draft minutes of part of this meeting give details of some of the claims and objections, with a note of the decisions arrived at.

⁶ There is no specific reference in the minutes to the boundary between the parish of Weston Turville and the other adjoining parishes of Broughton, Stoke Mandeville, Walton and Wendover; although, presumably, this was perambulated by the Commissioners in accordance with the terms of their Notice.

The Inclosure Award, however, sheds light on the situation, as it states that the Commissioners 'having accordingly proceeded with such perambulation and ascertained and set out the Boundaries of the said Parish of Weston Turville accordingly and having found on such their perambulation that the Boundary Lines between the said Parish of Weston Turville and the respective Parishes of Aston Clinton and Halton ran very crooked and irregular in many Places they the said Commissioners did further proceed to set out such Line as to them seemed Expedient for Shortening Straightening Altering and Varying the said Boundaries . . . as to the said Commissioners appeared proper'.

the old Inclosures retained, together with an 'account of the fencing directed to be done'. In this respect an apportionment was to be made of the quantity of fencing amongst the proprietors, and the Surveyor had to 'ascertain what each Proprietor should pay or receive for having too little or too much fencing after the rate of 30 shillings per chain'.⁷

The expenses incurred in obtaining the Act of Parliament were next considered, and the making of the following rate by Mr. Collisson which, for the sake of convenience, is tabulated, was approved:

Open field estate	45s. 0d.	in the £1 value.
Old inclosed estate exonerated from tithes	10s. 0d.	do.
Old inclosed estate exonerated by a Corn rent	10s. 0d.	do.
Old inclosed estate claiming to be ex- empted by a Modus ⁸	3s. 0d.	do.

A valuation by Mr. Collisson of all hedges and trees serving as boundaries, and growing on or in the old inclosures taken in exchange was ordered, a 'Debtor and Creditor account' to be made accordingly. At the same time, he had to send a 'stated account of what each Proprietor has to pay towards the expences of the Act the Grass seeds the roads and the public fencing and for the inequality of fencing as well as for the hedges and trees bought and sold' to the Clerk, so that the proprietors could be informed of the payments expected from them.

A further order extinguished all rights of common over the land forthwith, and voided all leases for a term of years or agreements at rack rents as from the 4th February, 1799. Tenants claiming to be entitled to compensation had to state their claims to the Commissioners on the 14th February following. The several occupiers of land were also requested to inform the Surveyor of the dates on which they entered upon their respective farms, so that rental payments could be computed and 'settled by the Commissioners'.

The last order of this meeting instructed the Clerk to publish a statutory notice to the effect that the boundary-line between the parish of Weston Turville and the parishes of Aston Clinton and Halton as set out 'will be deemed to be the boundaries of the said parishes' unless some 'reasonable objection' be made to the Commissioners at their next meeting on 14th February.

EIGHTH MEETING. Tuesday, 12th February, 1799. (George Inn, Aylesbury.)

In accordance with his instructions at the last meeting, the Surveyor delivered in a rate showing the proportional sums due to be paid by the respective proprietors. This is scheduled in the minutes, and the product of the Commissioners' rate is shown to be £3,163 1s. 4d. Payments for inequality of fencing amounted to £171 6s. 0d., and those for balance of trees and hedges £564 10s. 6d. In all, some thirty-eight proprietors were affected, and contributions to the rate varied from £1 4s. 5d. (William Brill) up to £922 4s. 7d. (the Mercers Company). It is stated that several of the proprietors attended and paid their share,⁹ but, as there were 'many

⁷ This was to level up any inequality in the amount of fencing, in comparison with the size of the holding allotted to them, that the respective proprietors were called upon to perform.

⁸ A money payment in lieu of tithe.

⁹ The schedule lists some 23 proprietors as having paid (including the Mercers Company), but it is not clear whether such payment was made at this meeting.

defaulters', the Clerk was ordered to write to them demanding immediate payment, 'as the Commissioners mean to conclude the business with all possible dispatch'.

After instructing the Surveyor to make out the sums of money due to be paid by the tenants to their respective landlords for having held over on the farms beyond the expiry of their terms, the Commissioners proceeded to confirm the boundary-line between Weston Turville and the parishes of Aston Clinton and Halton, no objection thereto having been received by them.

Ordered: (i) That the Surveyor should prepare, forthwith, a regular assessment for payment of Land Tax for the parish in accordance with the 'Quality price' set by the Commissioners.

(ii) That he should give the Clerk all necessary information for the preparation of the Draft Award which was to be laid before the next meeting.

On this, the meeting, which had continued until Saturday, 16th February, 1799, adjourned until 27th March following.

At this point is inserted in the Minute Book a schedule dated 18th February, 1799, and entitled 'Broken rents settled by the Commissioners to be paid from the several times hereinafter mentioned to the time of vacating the several Leases and Agreements—viz. to the 4th February instant'. This is followed by a copy of the Notice extinguishing all rights of common, and voiding Leases for a term of years, and Agreements at rack rent (as ordered to be published by the Clerk, at the seventh meeting of the Commissioners), and requesting claims to be made in writing to the said Commissioners on 29th March, 1799.¹⁰

NINTH MEETING. *Wednesday, 27th March, 1799.* (Bell Inn, Aston Clinton.)

Claims to compensation from their Lessors were made by two tenants (William Brill and Francis Pursell), and payments of £45 and £22 respectively were awarded to them.

The Draft Award was then produced and examined. At the conclusion of this examination, the Clerk was ordered to demand immediate payment of a sum of £109 4s. 0d., due from one of the proprietors in respect of trees and hedges, and to take all steps to enforce the payment of arrears due upon the rate. For this purpose a notice requiring payment by 1st May, 1799, was to be published in the usual way, and copies sent to all defaulters.

The meeting, having lasted four days, then adjourned until 13th May, 1799.

TENTH MEETING. *Monday, 13th May, 1799.* (Bell Inn, Aston Clinton.)

One of the proprietors, Mr. Lowndes, requested the Commissioners to make an allowance to him for drawing and levelling part of his allotment, because of the turning of the watercourse into the ditch beside Bedgrove Farm. This application, however, was not granted, as full consideration had been given to such matters in the allocation of allotments. Mr. Lowndes then raised the point that he considered

¹⁰ In view of this revised date, and the note appended to this copy Notice, viz. '1 copy of the [sic] this Notice stuck on the Church Door at Weston Turville. 1 Copy left at the public house on Sunday 3rd March by direction of Mr. Fellows', it would appear that the Clerk was prevented from publishing this Order in time for claims to be made to the February meeting as stipulated.

the Commissioners had made a mistake by rating his old inclosures towards the expenses of the Act, and stated 'that he could not consent to pay the proportion of the expences assessed on the same'.

An appeal 'on the behalf of Mr. Alnutt and Mr. Lowndes'¹¹ was made by Mr. Chaplin [the Clerk ?] against the allowances to be made to William Brill and Francis Pursell, as awarded at the last meeting. The 'final determination' was suspended until the next meeting.

A claim by one John Newman, and counterclaim by the Rector, to certain lands in Bedgrove Farm, were next considered, but, in view of the many complications, 'it appeared to the Commissioners to be too much a matter of Title'. Accordingly, 'the same was not fully determined on but left for the parties to settle themselves or try the same by an Issue at Law'.

Explanations to several persons next followed as to the payments for broken rents, and apportionments of Land Tax payments were made, as between landlords and tenants (payments of two-thirds and one-third respectively by landlord and tenant in all the cases in question).

The next entry records that the Commissioners 'procured the average price of a Winchester bushell of wheat in the County of Buckingham for the space of 14 years', in order to ascertain the amount of corn rents in lieu of tithes.

Further examination of the Draft Award then ensued, and many alterations were made. At the conclusion of this, the Clerk was instructed to engross the Award and produce the same at the next meeting. Mr. Collisson was likewise instructed to 'prepare and draw two reduced plans of the parish of Weston Turville with the proper and necessary References to the same and produce to the Commissioners at their next Meeting'.

Other business transacted at this meeting, which lasted for seven days, was (a) the consideration and settlement of bills for public fencing and for cutting and making the 'New Brook and Watercourse as varied'; and (b) the hearing of an 'application from Mr. Minshull respecting an allowance to be made him for inequality of fencing and for building a Bridge across the Brook in his allotment', the determination of which was postponed until the next meeting. In conclusion, the Clerk was instructed to draw up an agreement with William Poulton and Michael Messer for 'doing the public fencing', but reserving the sum of £100 to be paid in two equal instalments, the first on or about 1st May, 1801, and the remainder to be paid 'when the quickset hedges shall be good and sufficient fencing of themselves'; and also to pay the said William Poulton and Michael Messer £200 on account, and John Yeates the sum of £57 14s. 6d., 'his Bill for Brook work'.

ELEVENTH MEETING. *Monday, 15th July, 1799.* (George Inn, Aylesbury.)

Arising out of the minutes of the last meeting, it is recorded that both the engrossment of the Award and the fair plans were produced by the Clerk and Surveyor respectively, as instructed. Certain 'Blanks which had been left in the Draft at the last meeting' were 'filled up' after examination by the Commissioners.

Mr. Minshull's application for compensation was then reconsidered and the sum of £18 13s. 2d. ordered to be deducted from the amount of rate payable by him.

¹¹ This reference to Mr. Lowndes is presumably a clerical error, as the landlord in the case of Francis Pursell was Joseph Jackson. This is further confirmed by the entry in the minutes of the final meeting.

Complaint was received from Thomas Simons concerning the boundary-ditch between his allotment and that belonging to the Marquis of Buckingham, in which he alleged that he 'would probably loose one Foot of Land the whole length of his Allotment'. It was accordingly ordered that the Surveyor should make allowance to Mr. Simons 'to give him an opportunity of making a proper Fence and Ditch', and that the 'Marquiss should have the opportunity of widening his Ditch . . . to its proper width of Four Feet'. In his turn, the Marquis of Buckingham, through Mr. Chaplin and Mr. George Parrott, applied for a right of road from his allotment, along the side of the Grand Junction Canal and across allotments held by others. A somewhat similar request was also made by Thomas Simons. As a result, Mr. Collisson was ordered to make the necessary additions and alterations in the respective allotments, and to correct the draft Award so that the Clerk could amend the engrossment accordingly.

Sanction was given to a payment of £100 to Mr. Collisson on further account of the survey.

The business of this meeting was completed when Mr. Collisson was ordered (a) to value the crops growing on lands given or taken in exchange, and which were in dispute between Thomas Verey and George Rawlinson, making due allowance and deductions for expenses, etc.; and (b) 'to ascertain the annual value of each divided Estate and the proportion of the Poores Rate to each respective tenant'. In the latter case, he was empowered to 'settle the same', and instructed to deliver a copy of his settlement to the Overseers of the Poor.

TWELFTH MEETING. *Monday, 16th September, 1799.* (White Hart Inn, Aylesbury.)

Owing to the number of proprietors still remaining in arrear in the payment of their rate, which accordingly prevented the Commissioners from finishing their business, it was ordered that the meeting should adjourn until 18th October, 1799. The Clerk was instructed to write to such proprietors 'as now remain in Arrear to explain the Injury that arises from this delay—And to desire that it may be no longer procrastinated'.

THIRTEENTH MEETING. *Friday, 18th October, 1799.* (White Hart Inn, Aylesbury.)

A number of the proprietors attended at this meeting and asked the Commissioners to inspect the new roads and give instructions for their completion; also to 'ascertain the amount of the damage done to the respective allotments in searching for and digging gravel and carting away the same'.

As there still remained several defaulters in respect of the payment of the rate, the Clerk was again ordered to write them, requesting immediate payment of the arrears, and to inform the Commissioners when he had received the outstanding amounts so that they could fix the date of the next meeting. (Mr. Chaplin stated that he thought the money might be paid by about February 13th, 1800.)

FOURTEENTH MEETING. *Thursday, 13th February, 1800.* (White Hart Inn, Aylesbury.)

From an examination of the 'state of the receipts', it was found that several 'defaulters on payment of the rate' yet remained, and Mr. Chaplin was instructed to demand instant payment thereof, on penalty of a warrant for Distress, pursuant to the powers vested by the Act.

Following the instructions given to him at the eleventh meeting, the Surveyor

brought in his valuation of the wheat crop belonging to Richard¹² Verey, to be paid by George Rawlinson (taking all allowances into account), viz. £93 7s. 7d.; the Clerk to notify both parties of this valuation.

After authorizing Mr. Chaplin to pay a further sum of £60 to Mr. Collisson 'on account of this Inclosure', the meeting adjourned until 20th May, 1800.

FIFTEENTH MEETING. *Tuesday, 20th May, 1800.* (George Inn, Aylesbury.)

Several bills in connexion with the Act were produced and examined; and it was arranged to enter details of them in a book,¹³ together with the remainder of the accounts when fully ascertained, so that any interested person might inspect them.

The Commissioners then proceeded to inspect the damage done to the land when gravel-digging was in progress, and settled such compensation payments as were justified. They also ordered that the Pound be removed 'to the open space on the Upper Green between the Glebe Cottage and Rawlinson's Cottage', by Francis Pursell, and the expense charged to the road account.

As, despite repeated warnings, some proprietors were still in arrear in their payment of the rate, the Clerk was ordered to prepare the necessary Distress warrants. The next minutes record that the Clerk was to inform Mr. Bainbridge (one of the Commissioners), who does not appear to have been present at the last three meetings, of the date of the next meeting.

This meeting, which lasted for five days, then adjourned after the Commissioners had attended to 'divers other matters which were brought before them'.

SIXTEENTH MEETING. *Thursday, 3rd July, 1800.* (White Hart Inn, Aylesbury.)

This, the final, meeting appears to have been spent, naturally enough, in settling outstanding points. It is first minuted that the balance amounting to £58 16s. 8d., in the hands of the Clerk, should be paid over to Francis Pursell, the road Surveyor, 'to be by him paid and applied in and about the making forming and compleating all such roads and putting them in good and sufficient repair'. If any should remain 'unapplied' after this, such money should be 'applied in repairing the private roads . . . and the other roads within the parish', and should be accounted for by the said Francis Pursell.

The sum of £2 5s. 0d. was also awarded to Richard Pursell (to be paid by the personal representatives of John Parrott deceased) for rent and taxes on Mill Piece.

Settlement of the appeals by Mr. Alnutt and Mr. Jackson against the compensation to be paid by them to their respective tenants, William Brill and Francis Pursell, which were heard by the Commissioners at their tenth meeting in May, 1799, was made known. In the former case, the amount of compensation payable was reduced by £15, as the said William Brill had not performed the covenants of his Lease; but in the latter case, the payment as awarded was 'ratified and confirmed'.

The last entry of all in this Minute Book is, of course, the culminating point of the sixteen meetings, and states that 'the Commissioners having examined all the

¹² Obviously a clerical error, as the entry in the minutes of the eleventh meeting shows the Christian name to be Thomas. A corresponding correction is made, in pencil, when the name is again mentioned in the Surveyor's valuation.

¹³ This book is also in the possession of the Clerk of the Peace for Bucks., and is the source from which details of the cost of the Inclosure, which are shown at the end, were obtained.

matters committed to them by the Act of Parliament and having satisfied themselves relative thereto and finished all the business of the said Inclosure continued this Meeting until and on this 5th day of July instant and then executed their Award or Instrument in writing pursuant to the directions of the said Act'.

COST OF THE INCLOSURE OF THE PARISH OF WESTON TURVILLE

Estimated area of the parish according to the Inclosure		
Act of 1798		1,000 acres.
Actual area of land inclosed as given in the		
Inclosure Award	1373a. 3r. 15p.	
Roads and Ways	<u>27a. 3r. 13p.</u>	
		<u>1401a. 2r. 28p.</u>
		£ s. d.
Act of Parliament		522 13 8
Husbandry		137 11 0
Commissioners		450 0 0
Clerk (fees)		182 0 5
Award		150 19 9
Survey		341 7 4
Fencing		566 2 0
Drains		87 14 6
Roads		579 11 6
Sundries		63 17 2
		<u>£3,081 17 4</u>

Average cost of the Inclosure per acre £2 4s. 0d.