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## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.

Fig. 1 Site location plan (1:25,000).
Fig. 2 Trench location plan (1:2000).
Fig. 3 Trench 1: plan, section and photograph of Ditch [104].
Fig. 4 Trench 2: plan, section and photograph of Tank Trap [202].

## SUMMARY

| Project Name: | Land at White House Farm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location: | Chichester |
| NGR: | SU 84700570 |
| Type: | Evaluation |
| Date: | 31st July to 1st August |
| Location of Archive: | TBC |
| Accession Number: | TBC |
| Site Code: | WHIF14 |

An archaeological evaluation was undertaken by Cotswold Archaeology in July and August 2014 at Whitehouse Farm Chichester. Two trenches were excavated.

The evaluation comprised of the excavation of two trial trenches measuring 45 m by 1.6 m (Trench 1 ) and 25 m by 1.6 m (Trench 2 ) respectively. Within Trench 1 an east to west aligned ditch was recorded from which two sherds of Romano-British pottery dating to the late 2nd to 4th centuries along with Roman ceramic building material was recovered. At the southern end of both trenches a cut which has been identified as a World War II tank trap was recorded. It is likely that the tank trap may have impacted upon the survival of earlier archaeological remains relating to the Hook Dyke or postulated line of the Roman road that issued out of the Roman West Gate at Chichester. However, the east to west ditch from which Romano-British pottery was recovered could be remnant evidence of a drainage ditch associated with the Roman Road.

1. Introduction
1.1 In July/August 2014 Cotswold Archaeology (CA) carried out an archaeological evaluation at the request of EDP on behalf of Linden Homes and Miller Homes (The Clients) on land at Whitehouse Farm Chichester West Sussex, hereafter referred to as the site (centred on NGR: 484247; 105302; Fig. 1).
1.2 The Clients are proposing to submit a planning application to Chichester District Council the Local Planning Authority (LPA) for the residential development of the site. In order to inform the archaeological potential of the site a programme of predetermination archaeological evaluation and reporting was recommended to support the planning application for the development.
1.3 Following consultation by EDP with James Kenny, the Archaeology Officer (AO) at Chichester District Council acting on behalf of the LPA, it was confirmed that an initial programme of trial trench evaluation to investigate the line of the "Hook Dyke", comprising the excavation of two trial trenches should be undertaken.
1.4 An archaeological desk based assessment of the site detailing its archaeological and historical background has previously been prepared (EDP 2008)
1.5 The evaluation was carried out in accordance a detailed Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) which was produced by CA (2014) and approved by James Kenny the Archaeology Officer at Chichester District Council (CDD). The fieldwork also followed the Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (IFA 2009), Management of Archaeological Projects (English Heritage 1991) and the Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MORPHE): Project Manager's Guide (English Heritage 2006). It was monitored by James Kenny, including a site visit on 31/07/14.

## The site

1.6 The whole development site, covering an area of c. 122 hectares, is located on the western edge of Chichester, between the $\mathrm{A} 27(\mathrm{~T})$ in the south and, for the most part, the B2178 in the north. However, a small area of land is located, in the north, between the B2178 and Brandy Hole Lane, which is a minor road, which runs eastwest from the A286, Midhurst Road. The eastern boundary of the site follows the west side of Centurion Way. The southern boundary follows the mainline railway to

Portsmouth, as well as the north side of Clay Lane and extant property boundaries around Mead House. The western site boundary follows the course of a narrow stream northwards, and the east side of Upper Rouse Copse to a point just south of the B2178. Thereafter, it turns east, following the edge of the trees, and then south around the property known as "Fairyhill" to cross the B2178. It then follows the edge of East Broyle Copse, before rejoining Centurion Way .
1.7 The two trial trenches were located toward the southern end of the site lying c. 300 m to the south of Salthill Lane and 230m to the north of Clay Lane. The trenches were located along the southern boundary of a field which still lay in crop. A public footpath runs along the line of the field boundary.
1.8 The land within the site occupies the lower reaches of a gentle, south-facing slope, falling from a height of $c .32$ metres Above Ordnance Datum (AOD), in the north, to approximately eight metres AOD in the south. At its northern end the site is broadly level, falling only gently, from north to south, to a field boundary c .300 m to the north of Salthill Lane. From there, the land falls sharply, to the south, as far as Salthill Lane itself (c.14m AOD), from where the slope becomes less pronounced again. Moreover, the central "core" of the site forms a tongue of higher ground, which extends about as far south as Salthill Lane. To the east and west of this "tongue", the land falls away into shallow valleys, which are occupied by streams running south towards Chichester Harbour.
1.9 The site is located on an area of "head deposits", comprising a mixture of sands, clays and gravels, which have accumulated between the foot of the chalk escarpment of the South Downs, to the north, and the coastal plain, further to the south.

## Archaeological background

A desk-based assessment of the site has been prepared detailing the known archaeological and historical background of the site. A brief summary of this is presented below.
1.11 A section of the Chichester Entrenchments, a dispersed system of Late Iron Age defensive alignments designated as a Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM),
comprising of a bank and ditch runs along the east side of East Broyle Copse and extending down towards the B2178, Old Broyle Road. Further sections of the Chichester Entrenchments lie to the north of the site.
1.12 The site contains known undesignated remains of Iron Age and Romano-British date. These include a section of the "Hook Dyke", which runs east-west through the centre of the site. Previous limited field investigation could not confirm a date for the construction of this linear bank and ditch, which has previously been described as a section of the Chichester Entrenchments or a former road issuing from the Roman West Gate.
1.13 The B2178 "Old Broyle Road", which passes through the site from south-east to north-west, is also thought to follow the course of a road issuing from the Roman North Gate. Both it and the course of the "Hook Dyke" are focal points for concentrations of contemporary activity defined by known archaeological sites, monuments and findspots. Sections of Roman ceramic water pipe were found along the Old Broyle Road in the 19th century, whilst Iron Age and Roman pottery was collected by workmen excavating the cutting at the point where it crosses the former railway line, which now forms a recreational footpath and cycle track (Centurion Way).
1.14 The collection of pottery, which was apparently found along with a segment of tessellated pavement, may indicate the site of a villa, or a substantial "Romanised" Iron Age farmstead. A similar concentration of pottery, and associated artefactual material, south of the site at Fishbourne Rectory, may also denote the site of another Romano-British villa. Close by, a substantial Romano-British midden, adjacent to the western site boundary, was excavated in the 1930s.

HER 4533 (EDP 2008) records a length of anti-tank ditch, which ran along the eastern edge of the site, discontinuously from just to the north of the bridge that carries Old Broyle Road across the former railway line (see above) southwards to Newlands Lane and then on to the Hook Dyke. The ditch may then have continued along the Hook Dyke and then south towards the head of the Fishbourne Channel.

## Archaeological objectives

1.16 The objectives of the evaluation were to provide information about the archaeological resource within the site, including its presence/absence, character, extent, date, integrity, state of preservation and quality, in accordance with the Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (IFA 2009). This information will enable the Chichester District Council to identify and assess the particular significance of any heritage asset, consider the impact of the proposed development upon it, and to avoid or minimise conflict between the heritage asset's conservation and any aspect of the development proposal, in line with the National Planning Policy Framework (DCLG 2012).

## Methodology

1.17 The fieldwork comprised the excavation of two trenches. Trench One was 45 m long, Trench 2 was 25 m long both were excavated with a 1.6 m wide toothless bucket. These were excavated in the locations shown on the attached plan (Fig. 2). Trenches were set out on OS National Grid (NGR) co-ordinates using Leica GPS and surveyed in accordance with CA Technical Manual 4 Survey Manual (2012).
1.18 All trenches were excavated by mechanical excavator equipped with a toothless grading bucket. All machine excavation was undertaken under constant archaeological supervision to the top of the first significant archaeological horizon or the natural substrate, whichever was encountered first. Where archaeological deposits were encountered they were excavated by hand in accordance with CA Technical Manual 1: Fieldwork Recording Manual (2007).
1.19 Deposits were assessed for their palaeoenvironmental potential in accordance with CA Technical Manual 2: The Taking and Processing of Environmental and Other Samples from Archaeological Sites (2003). No deposits were identified that required sampling. All artefacts recovered were processed in accordance with Technical Manual 3 Treatment of Finds Immediately after Excavation (1995).
1.20 The archive and artefacts from the evaluation are currently held by CA at their offices in Andover. Subject to the agreement of the legal landowner the artefacts will be deposited with Fishbourne Roman Palace under accession number 2014.5. along with the site archive. A summary of information from this project, set out within Appendix C, will be entered onto the OASIS online database of archaeological projects in Britain.

## 2. Results (Figs 2-4)

2.1 This section provides an overview of the evaluation results; detailed summaries of the recorded contexts, and finds are to be found in Appendices $A$, and $B$ respectively. The topsoil, a mid grey, friable silty clay, between 0.42 and 0.40 m thick lay directly on top of the natural substrate an orange brown friable silty clay with a light grey silty clay mottling. All recorded features cut the natural and were sealed by the topsoil.

## Trench 1 (Figs 2 \& 3)

2.2 Ditch $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ had an east/west alignment and was 1.6 m long (within the confines of the trench), 2.12 m wide and 0.35 m deep. The sides were shallow concave and the ditch had a concave base. The ditch contained a single fill 105 of light grey loose sandy silt with rare sub-angular stone inclusions. Fill 105 contained two sherds of heavily abraded Romano-British sandy greyware pottery along with ceramic building material dating to the Roman period.
2.3 Ditch $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ had an east/west alignment and was 1.6 m long (within the confines of the trench) and 2.2 m wide. The ditch was not excavated in agreement with James Kenny as it was clearly part of a World War II tank trap, which continued into and was excavated within Trench 2 to the west. The recorded upper surface fill 103, was a heavily compacted mid brown, friable silty clay, with common sub angular and rounded flint inclusions.

## Trench 2 (Figs 2 \& 4)

2.4 Ditch 202, had an east/west alignment and was 1.6 m long (within the confines of the trench) 2.4 m wide and 1.15 m deep. It had moderate concave sides and a flat base,. The basal fill $\mathbf{2 0 7}$, followed by 206 and 205 were a mid brown, friable silty clay. The final fill 204 was a mid orange brown, friable silty clay, which was heavily compacted on the surface. 204 and $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ contained modern ceramic building material._Ditch 202 has been identified as a World War II tank trap, which continued to the east into Trench 1.

## The Finds.

2.5 Finds recovered from the evaluation consisted of pottery and ceramic building material.

## Pottery: Roman

2.6 Two sherds of pottery in a coarse, sandy greyware fabric, in a heavily abraded condition, were recovered from the single fill 105 of ditch 104. These included a rimsherd from a plain rim dish, which is a form dateable to the late 2 nd to 4th centuries.

## Ceramic building material

2.7 Ditch fill 105 also produced nine fragments of tile of Roman date.
2.8 Modern ceramic building material was recovered from the fills of the tank trap 102 and 202, but was not retained and was discarded on site.
3. DISCUSSION
3.1 Ditch 104 is a shallow east to west aligned boundary or drainage ditch of possible late 2nd to 4th century Romano-British date based on the recovered dateable material. It should be noted however that the pottery sherds recovered were highly abraded and may therefore be residual. It is unlikely that the ditch is part of the Chichester Entrenchments or Hook Dyke, but could be a remnant (drainage ditch) of the postulated line of the Roman road leading out of the Roman West Gate at Chichester (Noviomagus). However within the confines of the evaluation trench this remains unclear.
3.2 Ditches 102 and 202, have been identified as a World War II tank trap that from documentary and aerial photographic evidence is known to have run in an east to west direction along the line of the field boundary in this part of the site before heading south towards the head of the Fishbourne Channel. It is also likely that the digging of the tank trap would have impacted upon evidence relating to the Hook Dyke and/or postulated line of the east to west Roman road leading out of the West Gate of Chichester (Noviomagus).

## 4. CA PROJECT TEAM

Fieldwork was undertaken by Matt Nichol, assisted by Colin Forrestal. The report was written by Adam Howard.. The illustrations were prepared by Leo Heatley. The archive has been compiled by Adam Howard, and prepared for deposition by Jennie Hughes. The project was managed for CA by Damian De Rosa.
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## APPENDIX A: CONTEXT DESCRIPTIONS

| Trench No. | Context No. | Type | Context interpretation | Description | L (m) | W (m) | Depth/ thickness (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100 | Layer | Topsoil | Mid greyish brown friable silty clay with rounded and sub angular flint inclusions throughout. | 44.6 | 1.6 | 0.42 |
| 1 | 101 | Layer | Natural | Orange brown friable silty clay with light grey silty clay mottling throughout with sub angular and rounded flint nodules. | 44.6 | 1.6 | +0.20 |
| 1 | 102 | Cut | Tank Trap | Linear, not excavated, possibly same as 202. E/W alignment. | 1.6 | 2.2 | n/a |
| 1 | 103 | Fill | Fill of 102 | Mid Brown friable silty Clay with common sub-angular and rounded flint. | 1.6 | 2.2 | n/a |
| 1 | 104 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | Linear, rounded corners, shallow concave sides, concave base, e/w alignment. | 1.6 | 2.12 | 0.35 |
| 1 | 105 | Fill | Fill of 104 | Light grey sandy silt loose subangular rare stone. | 1.6 | 2.12 | 0.35 |
| 1 | 106 | Cut | of Land Drain | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 1 | 107 | Fill | Fill of 106 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 1 | 108 | Fill | Fill of 102 | Mid orange brown silty clay with abundant rounded and subangular flint nodules throughout. | 1.6 | 1.6 | n/a |
| 2 | 200 | Layer | Topsoil | Mid greyish brown very friable silty clay with rounded and subangular flint inclusions. | 25 | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| 2 | 201 | Layer | Natural | Orange brown friable silty clay with light grey sandy silt clay mottling and sub-angular and sub-rounded flint. | 25 | 1.6 | 0.48 |
| 2 | 202 | Cut | Tank Trap | Linear in plan moderate concave sides, flat base, e/w alignment machine excavated. Same as 102 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.15 |
| 2 | 203 | Fill | Fill of 202 | Mid orange brown, silty clay, with sub angular and rounded flint inclusions. | 1.5 | 2.34 | 0.2 |
| 2 | 204 | Fill | Fill of 202 | Mid orange brown, friable silty clay, with sub-angular flint inclusions. | 1.5 | 1.35 | 0.16 |
| 2 | 205 | Fill | Fill of 202 | Mid brown, friable silty clay, subangular with flint nodule inclusions. | 1.5 | 0.85 | 0.28 |
| 2 | 206 | Fill | Fill of 202 | Mid brown, friable silty clay, with sub-angular flint nodules. | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.36 |
| 2 | 207 | Fill | Fill of 202 | Mid brown, friable silty clay. | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.3 |
| 2 | 208 | Layer | natural | Blue/grey, silty clay, very waterlogged. | 25 | 1.6 | 0.1 |

## APPENDIX B: THE FINDS

Table 1: Finds concordance

| Context | Description | Count | Weight(g) | Spot-date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 105 | Roman pottery: black-firing, sand-tempered fabric | 2 | 18 | LC2-C4 |
|  | Roman ceramic building material | 9 | 148 |  |

## APPENDIX C: OASIS REPORT FORM

| PROJECT DETAILS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name | Land at Whitehouse Farm, Chichester, West Sussex |  |
| Short description | An archaeological evaluation was undertaken by Cotswold Archaeology in July and August 2014 at Whitehouse Farm Chichester. The evaluation comprised of the excavation of two trial trenches measuring 45 m by 1.6 m (Trench 1 ) and 25 m by 1.6 m (Trench 2) respectively. Within Trench 1 an east to west aligned ditch was recorded from which two sherds of Romano-British pottery dating to the late 2 nd to 4 th centuries along with Roman ceramic building material was recovered. At the southern end of both trenches a cut which has been identified as a World War II tank trap was recorded. It is likely that the tank trap may have impacted upon the survival of earlier archaeological remains relating to the Hook Dyke or postulated line of the Roman road that issued out of the Roman West Gate at Chichester. However, the east to west ditch from which Romano-British pottery was recovered could be remnant evidence of a drainage ditch associated with the Roman Road. |  |
| Project dates | 31 July - 1 August 2014 |  |
| Project type | Evaluation |  |
| Previous work (reference to organisation or SMR numbers etc) | None |  |
| Future work | Unknown |  |
| PROJECT LOCATION |  |  |
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| Digital |  | Finds Database, digital photos illustrations |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY |  |  |
| CA (Cotswold Archaeology) 2014 Land typescript report 14352 | Whitehouse Farm, Chichester, Arc | ological Evaluation CA |





Trench 1 , ditch 104, looking east (1m scale)
archaeological feature
modern


