



Roseland Parc Retirement Village Tregony Cornwall

Archaeological Strip, Map and Sample Investigation



for Retirement Villages Developments Ltd

CA Project: 880009 CA Report: 16661

November 2016

Andover Cirencester Exeter Milton Keynes

Roseland Parc Retirement Village Tregony Cornwall

Archaeological Strip, Map and Sample Investigation

CA Project: 880009 CA Report: 16661



	Document Control Grid							
Revision	Date	Author	Checked by	Status	Reasons for revision	Approved by		
A	24 November 2016	Martin Gillard	Derek Evans	Internal review	N/A	Duncan Coe		
В	12 December 2016	Martin Gillard	Derek Evans	Client review	Added WSI as appendix	Duncan Coe		

This report is confidential to the client. Cotswold Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability to any third party to whom this report, or any part of it, is made known. Any such party relies upon this report entirely at their own risk. No part of this report may be reproduced by any means without permission.

© Cotswold Archaeology

CONTENTS

SUMM	ARY	2
1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND	4
3.	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	5
4.	METHODOLOGY	5
5.	RESULTS	6
6.	THE FINDS	8
7.	DISCUSSION	10
8.	CA PROJECT TEAM	10
9.	REFERENCES	11
APPEN	IDIX A: CONTEXT DESCRIPTIONS	12
APPEN	IDIX B: THE FINDS	14
APPEN	IDIX C: OASIS REPORT FORM	16
APPEN	IDIX D: WRITTEN SCHEME OF INVESTIGATION	17

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

- Fig. 1 Site location plan (1:25,000)
- Fig. 2 Site plan, showing archaeological features (1:400)
- Fig. 3 Sections and photographs (1:20)
- Fig. 4 Sections and photographs (1:20)
- Fig. 5 Photographs

SUMMARY

Project Name:	Roseland Parc Retirement Village
Location:	Tregony, Cornwall
NGR:	SX 9276 4498
Туре:	Strip, Map and Sample (SMS) investigation
Date:	26 September-12 October 2016
Planning Reference:	PA14/12143
Location of Archive:	To be deposited with the Royal Cornwall Museum
Site Code:	PHT 16

In September and October 2016, Cotswold Archaeology carried out an archaeological strip, map and sample investigation within the grounds of Roseland Parc Retirement Village, Tregony, Cornwall.

A small number of archaeological features dating to the Roman, medieval and late postmedieval/modern periods was recorded. These included a Roman ditch, several medieval ditches apparently relating to agricultural activity, and the foundations of a 19th century garden wall.

2

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 In September and October 2016, Cotswold Archaeology (CA) carried out an archaeological Strip, Map and Sample (SMS) investigation for Retirement Villages Developments Ltd within the grounds of Roseland Parc Retirement Village, Tregony, Cornwall (centred on NGR: SX 9276 4498; Fig. 1).
- 1.2 Cornwall Council have granted planning permission (ref. PA14/03531) for the construction of additional care dwellings within a walled garden at the site, as well as the restoration of the walled garden area and associated walls. Condition 9 of this planning permission requires: "a programme of archaeological mitigation work, to include additional measured survey work as necessary." A brief issued subsequently by Cornwall Council (2015) defined the required archaeological works as:
 - the archaeological SMS investigation of the proposed new build footprints; and
 - a historic building recording survey of the garden walls.
- 1.3 The present report presents the results of the SMS investigation; a separate report will be issued on the results of the historic building recording survey.
- 1.4 The SMS investigation was carried out in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) produced by CA (2016) and approved by the Cornwall Council Historic Environment Service. The fieldwork also followed Standard and guidance for archaeological excavation (CIfA 2014), Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE) PPN 3: Archaeological Excavation (Historic England 2015) and Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE): Project Manager's Guide (Historic England 2015). The fieldwork was monitored by Charles Johns (Senior Development Officer (Historic Environment) – Archaeologist, Cornwall Council Historic Environment Service), including a site visit on 11 October 2016.

The site

1.5 The walled garden is within the grounds of the Roseland Parc Retirement Village, which lies on the eastern edge of Tregony village. The main retirement village complex lies to the immediate south of the walled garden; Penlee House (a 19th century house; now part of the retirement village) lies to the west; agricultural fields lie to the east and north.

- 1.6 The walled garden measures *c*. 32.5m by 37.5m in plan. Prior the archaeological works it was unutilised, overgrown wasteland. The site slopes downward from *c*.
 47m AOD in the western part of the site to *c*. 40m AOD in the eastern part.
- 1.7 The underlying bedrock geology of the area is mapped as Portscatho Formation sandstone and argillaceous rocks, of the Devonian Period (BGS 2016); this was the geology encountered on site, in somewhat weathered form. No superficial deposits are recorded (ibid).

2. ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

- 2.1 The archaeological background of the site and its immediate environs has been detailed in:
 - a report on the results of archaeological works undertaken in advance of the construction of the main retirement village complex (Taylor 2012);
 - a condition survey of the walled garden (Scott and Company 2012);
 - a heritage statement (Cornwall Archaeology Unit 2014);
 - an archaeological assessment of the garden walls (Cornwall Council 2013); and
 - an assessment and schedule of surviving historic planting in and adjacent to the walled garden (Gallagher 2015).
- 2.2 The following presents a summary of this information.
- 2.3 The archaeological works undertaken prior to the construction of the main retirement village complex (to the immediate south-west of the walled garden) identified part of a Roman enclosure, within which was a single buried human cremation. A broadly contemporary drying oven was also recorded, as were several pits; some of these pits were Roman in date, although at least one was early medieval.
- 2.4 The site lies on the eastern side of medieval Tregony, probably within an area of former burgage plots and/or strip fields. The site appears to have remained substantially undeveloped from the medieval period through to the beginning of the 19th century.
- 2.5 Penlee House (to the immediate west of the site) is a Grade II listed building, built *c*.
 1820 and set within landscaped grounds. The ground slopes down to the east from the house and the central part of this slope is occupied by the walled garden. This

walled garden was in existence by 1841, when it was recorded on the tithe map of that year; it is considered to date from the construction of the house.

3. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

- 3.1 As defined in the WSI (CA 2016), the objectives of the archaeological SMS investigation were to:
 - record the nature of the main stratigraphic units encountered;
 - assess the overall presence, survival and potential of structural and industrial remains;
 - assess the overall presence, survival, condition, and potential of artefactual and ecofactual remains;
 - record any evidence of past settlement or other land use;
 - recover artefactual evidence to date any evidence of past settlement that may be identified;
 - sample and analyse environmental remains to create a better understanding of past land use and economy; and
 - identify and record any buried archaeological features which relate to the 19thcentury ornamental garden.

4. METHODOLOGY

- 4.1 The archaeological fieldwork comprised the SMS investigation of the proposed new build footprints (Areas A and B, Fig. 2).
- 4.2 Topsoil and subsoil deposits were stripped from the SMS areas by a mechanical excavator equipped with a toothless grading bucket. All machine excavation was undertaken under constant archaeological supervision to the top of the natural substrate. Where archaeological deposits were encountered, they were excavated by hand in accordance with *CA Technical Manual 1: Fieldwork Recording Manual*.
- 4.3 Deposits were assessed for their palaeoenvironmental potential in accordance with CA Technical Manual 2: The Taking and Processing of Environmental and Other Samples from Archaeological Sites. No deposits were identified that required sampling. All recovered artefacts were processed in accordance with CA Technical Manual 3: Treatment of Finds Immediately after Excavation.

4.4 The project archive and artefacts are currently held by CA, who will make arrangements for deposition with the Royal Cornwall Museum (artefacts to be deposited subject to the agreement of the legal landowner). A summary of information from this project, as set out in Appendix C, will be entered onto the OASIS online database of archaeological projects in Britain.

5. RESULTS

5.1 This section provides an overview of the SMS investigation results. Detailed summaries of the recorded contexts can be found in Appendix A. Details of the artefactual material recovered from the site are given in Section 6 and Appendix B. Figure 2 presents a plan of the SMS areas, showing the recorded archaeological features.

General stratigraphy

- 5.2 The natural substrate comprised grey-yellow clay silt with very common sandstone and mudstone inclusions. It was exposed at a depth of 0.2m–0.8m below the present ground level (bpgl) within the walled garden, being deepest around the edges. Where the site extended eastwards outside of the walled garden, the natural substrate lay 0.4m–1.15m bpgl, with the depth increasing downslope to the east.
- 5.3 The natural substrate was overlain by 0.1m–0.7m of silty subsoil, which was sealed in turn by 0.1m–0.45m of topsoil.
- 5.4 On the basis of recovered artefactual material, the archaeological features recorded at the site have been assigned to the Roman, medieval and late postmedieval/modern periods.

Roman (AD 43–AD 410)

5.5 North-west/south-east aligned ditch 1065/1071 (Fig. 3, Sec. AA) lay at the northeastern limit of Area A. This ditch was exposed to 1.98m in width; it was a maximum of 1.08m in depth. It contained a sequence of three fills, the uppermost of which (1062/1071) yielded two worked flints, 17 sherds of Roman pottery/ceramic building material (CBM) and three sherds of medieval pottery. The medieval material was recovered at the point where this ditch was cut by medieval Ditch A (see below) and is considered potentially intrusive; as such, ditch 1065/1071 has tentatively been assigned to the Roman period.

Medieval (1066–1539)

- 5.6 Roman period ditch 1065/1071 was cut by a south-east/north-west aligned ditch, which extended across Area A. As there were several sections excavated across the length of this ditch (cut numbers 1019, 1021, 1027, 1059, 1061, 1069), it is referred to in this report as Ditch A (Fig. 3, Secs. BB and CC). Ditch A coincided with a change in level in the natural substrate, which dropped by 0.2m–0.4m from north to south as it passed over the ditch; this may be the result of deliberate terracing or heavy plough truncation. Ditch A measured 0.66m–1.34m in width and 0.04m–0.28m in depth, depending on the extent of this truncation; the ditch was generally more truncated in the eastern part of the site, where it had been removed completely for *c*. 7m of its length. Ditch A contained a single fill, from six sherds of medieval pottery/CBM were recovered.
- 5.7 North-east/south-west-aligned ditch 1054/1067 (Fig. 3, Sec. DD) lay in the eastern part of Area A. This ditch was 0.8m wide and 0.2m deep. It contained a single fill, from which five sherds of medieval pottery were recovered.
- 5.8 Pit 1017 (Fig. 4, Sec. FF) lay in the north-central part of Area A. This pit had largely been truncated by the construction cut for 19th-century garden wall 1003 (see below), but survived to 0.54m in width and 0.13m in depth. It contained a single fill (1016), from which eight sherds of medieval pottery were recovered.
- 5.9 Area B was characterised by a series of parallel north-west/south-east aligned ditches, two of which extended into the south-western part of Area A (Fig. 4, Secs. GG and HH). These features were all shallow (0.06m–0.22m in depth). They contained similar single fills, from which a total of 11 sherds of medieval pottery was recovered; one of these ditches (1073) also contained a (presumably intrusive) single sherd of post-medieval pottery.

Undated (pre-modern)

- 5.10 Two features were undated artefactually but were cut into the natural substrate and sealed by the subsoil, indicating that they are pre-modern in date.
- 5.11 North-east/south-west aligned ditch 1014 entered into the northern limit of Area A. This ditch was very truncated and shallow, measuring 0.05m in depth and 0.6m in width. It contained a single undated fill (1013).
- 5.12 Oval pit 1050 lay in the north-central part of Area B. This pit was 0.9m in width, 1.36m in length and 0.27m in depth. It contained a single undated fill (1049).

Late post-medieval/modern (1701-present)

- 5.13 North/south aligned ditch 1057 (Fig. 4, Sec. EE) lay towards the eastern limit of Area A. This ditch was cut through the subsoil and sealed by the topsoil, indicating that it is post-medieval in date. It was 1.75m wide and 0.9m deep, with two fills. Lower fill 1055 was very stony; upper fill 1056 contained a single residual/redeposited Roman pottery sherd.
- 5.14 Area A contained the below-ground foundation of 19th-century garden wall 1003 (Fig. 5), the upstanding part of which had previously collapsed in this area. The backfilled construction cut for the wall (1004/1025) was apparently overlain by the subsoil, which would indicate either that the original topsoil and subsoil were stripped from the site prior to the construction of the wall, or that the topsoil and subsoil deposits within the garden were extensively reworked after the wall's construction, removing any trace of the construction cut. Wall foundation 1003 was 0.6m wide and up to 1m high; it was of similar construction to the standing walls.

6. THE FINDS

6.1 Artefactual material was recovered from 19 deposits (topsoil, subsoil and fills of pits, ditches and a foundation cut). The recovered material dates to the Roman, medieval and post-medieval/modern periods. Quantities of the artefact types recorded are given in Appendix B. Where applicable, National Roman Fabric Reference Collection codes are also given in Appendix B (Tomber and Dore 1998).

Pottery

6.2 In total, 122 sherds with a combined weight of 1,480g were recovered. Sooting was noted on single sherds of medieval pottery from fill 1016 (pit 1017) and fill 1066 (ditch 1067).

Roman

6.3 There was one small but definite stratified group of Roman wares (fill 1062 of ditch 1065), attributable on the basis of its most dateable vessel to the late 2nd or early 3rd century AD. Two other deposits (fill 1053 of ditch 1054 and fill 1055 of ditch 1056) each contained single Roman sherds. As is normal in west Cornwall, the material was dominated by gabbroic pottery. This included sherds from two or three bead-rim jars (Quinnell 2004, 117, type 11), dating from the late 1st to early 3rd centuries AD, and sherds from a bowl of Quinnell Type 20, dating from the late 2nd

to early 3rd centuries AD. Sherds from a single vessel from south-east Dorset reflected the coastal trade in Black-Burnished ware; one of these sherds featured an edge of central band with acute-angled lattice, dating pre-c. 250 AD (Holbrook and Bidwell 1991, 91–113).

Medieval

6.4 There was a scatter of medieval (13th or 14th century) pottery. All of this material was in the local fabric, containing large amounts of fine (i.e. sieved) quartz sand intermixed with minerals washed down from the granite, and hence known as 'granite-derived'. A notable aspect of the fabric is that the mica consists predominantly of white (muscovite) plates, rather than the black micas which (being more robust) tend to be more frequent in granite-derived fabrics distant from the parent granite. It is therefore likely that the medieval pottery was produced locally, perhaps in a medieval predecessor of one or more of the post-medieval potteries mentioned below.

Post-medieval

6.5 A small collection of post-medieval pottery was recovered, dating from the 16th to 18th centuries. Several of these sherds probably originated from known postmedieval potteries in the nearby parishes of Veryan, Ruan, Lanihorne, Feock, Kenwyn, Constantine and Truro (Douch 1969, 33–64).

Lithics

6.6 A total of eight worked flints (76g), and one piece of burnt, unworked flint (7g) was recovered residually from five later deposits (ditch fills, topsoil and subsoil). The assemblage comprised six flakes (three of which were broken), one retouched flake and one multi-platform flake core. The debitage from fill 1070 of medieval-dated ditch 1071 was a fragmentary flake or blade, which displayed evidence of preparation of the striking platform of the parent core, which was a strategy in use during the Mesolithic and Early Neolithic periods. This is the only item which can be dated more closely than to the prehistoric period. The other flake from fill 1070 featured very fine nibbled retouch along portions of both lateral edges.

Ceramic building material

6.7 One fragment of Roman ceramic building material (51g) was retrieved from fill 1062 (ditch 1065). Two fragments of ceramic building material (114g) of late medieval/post-medieval date were also recorded. Only that from topsoil 1034 was sufficiently complete for further classification, as flat roof tile.

7. DISCUSSION

7.1 The SMS investigation recorded a small number of archaeological features dating to the Roman, medieval and late post-medieval/modern periods.

Roman (AD 43–AD 410)

7.2 The SMS investigation recorded a single Roman ditch (1065), which presumably represents limited outlying activity associated with the Roman remains recorded during the previous archaeological works to the south-west (Taylor 2012; see Section 2, above).

Medieval (1066–1539)

- 7.3 The SMS investigation recorded several medieval ditches, principally aligned northwest/south-east. The site lies on the eastern side of medieval Tregony, probably within an area of former burgage plots and/or strip fields (Cornwall Archaeology Unit 2014). The low amount of medieval artefactual material present suggests that the recorded ditches are part of a former field system, rather than a burgage plot; the very shallow ditches in Area B may represent plough marks.
- 7.4 Medieval Ditch A corresponded to a drop in the level of the natural substrate, which dropped by 0.2m–0.4m from north to south as it passed over the ditch. This may be the result of deliberate terracing or heavy plough truncation in the later medieval or early post-medieval periods.

Late post-medieval/modern (1701–present)

7.5 The SMS investigation recorded the below-ground remains of the previouslydemolished northern portion of the eastern garden wall. There were no other features clearly relating to the 19th-century formal garden, although post-medieval ditch 1057 may represent associated activity.

8. CA PROJECT TEAM

Fieldwork was undertaken by Martin Gillard, assisted by Victoria Parsons. This report was written by Martin Gillard. The finds report was written by Jacky Sommerville and John Allan. The report illustrations were prepared by Sam O'Leary. The project archive has been compiled and prepared for deposition by Jessica Cook. The project was managed for CA by Derek Evans.

9. **REFERENCES**

- British Geological Survey 2016 Geology of Britain viewer http://www.bgs.ac.uk/discoveringGeology/geologyOfBritain/viewer.html Accessed 14 October 2016
- CA (Cotswold Archaeology) 2016 Penlee House and Roseland Court, Tregony, Cornwall: Written Scheme of Investigation for Historic Building and Archaeological Recording
- Cornwall Archaeological Unit 2014 The Coach House, Roseland Parc retirement village, Tregony, Cornwall: Heritage statement
- Cornwall Council 2013 Roseland Park, Penlee House walled garden, Tregony, Cornwall: Archaeological Assessment Cornwall Council report **2013R014**
- Cornwall Council 2015 Brief for Historic Building & Archaeological Recording
- Douch, H.L. 1969 'Cornish earthenware potters' J. Roy. Inst. Cornwall, new ser., 6, 33–64
- Gallagher, C. 2015 Penlee House, Tregony, Cornwall: report & assessment of planting following a site visit of June 29th 2015
- Holbrook, N. and Bidwell, P.T. 1991 'Roman Finds from Exeter' *Exeter Archaeol. Rep.* **4**
- Quinnell, H. 2004 Excavations at Trethurgy Round, St Austell: Community and Status in Roman and Post-Roman Cornwall Cornwall County Council & English Heritage
- Scott and Company 2012 Condition Survey of Walled Garden, Penlee House, Roseland Parc Retirement Village, Tregony
- Taylor, S. 2012 'Excavations of a Roman and post-Roman site at Penlee House, Tregony: a cremation burial and other burning issues' *Cornish Archaeology* Vol. **51**
- Tomber. R. and Dore. J. 1998 *The National Roman Fabric Reference Collection: A Handbook* MoLAS Monograph **2**













Ditch 1019 (Ditch A), looking north-west (scale 1m)



Ditch 1054, looking south-west (scale 0.5m)



Ditch 1061 (Ditch A), looking north-west (scale 0.5m)



1m



Andover 01264 347630 Cirencester 01285 771022 Exeter 01392 826185 Archaeology Milton Keynes 01908 564660 www.cotswoldarchaeology.co.uk e enquiries@cotswoldarchaeology.co.uk

PROJECT TITLE Roseland Parc Retirement Village Tregony, Cornwall

FIGURE TITLE Sections and photographs

DRAWN BY SO CHECKED BY DJB APPROVED BY DE

 PROJECT NO.
 880009

 DATE
 09/11/2016

 SCALE@A3
 1:20

FIGURE NO.

3









Pit 1017, looking north-east (scale 0.5m)







Wall 1003, looking west (scales 0.5m and 2m)



DRAWN BY SC	PROJECT NO.	880009	FIGURE NO. 5
CHECKED BY DJ	DATE	09/11/2016	
APPROVED BY DE	SCALE@A4	N/A	

APPENDIX A: CONTEXT DESCRIPTIONS

Context	Туре	Fill of	Context	Description	Length	Width	Depth	Spot-
1000			Interpretation	Void	(11)	(11)	(11)	uale
1001				Void				
1002	Layer		Topsoil within walled garden	Brown-grey silt loam			0.1– 0.4	C20
1003	Masonry	1004	Footings of garden wall	Lime-mortar bonded slate	10	0.6	1.0	C19
1004	Cut		Foundation cut	Vertical-sided, flat-based straight N/S trench	10	0.7	0.3	C19
1005	Layer		Subsoil within walled garden	Grey-brown silt loam			0.1– 0.4	C16
1006				Void				
1007				Void				
1008				Void				
1009				Void				
1010				Void				
1011				Void				
1012	Layer		Natural	Yellow-grey/orange-brown				
1012	 :!!	1014	substrate	clay silt; stone very common			0.05	
1013	FIII	1014	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.05	
1014	Cut		Ditch	Straight N/S linear, flat based, shallow and truncated	>0.5	0.6	0.05	- 1 -
1015	Fill	1004	Foundation fill	Grey-brown sand silt			>0.2	C19
1016	Fill	1017	Pit fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.13	C13–C14
1017	Cut		Pit, truncated by wall 1003	Semi-circular, concave sides, rounded base	0.6	0.56	0.13	
1018	Fill	1019	Ditch A fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.17	C13–C14
1019	Cut		Ditch A	Straight E/W linear, rounded sides and base	>1	1.27	0.17	
1020	Fill	1021	Ditch A fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.2	
1021	Cut		Ditch A	Straight E/W linear, rounded sides and base	>1	1.34	0.2	
1022				Void				
1023				Void				
1024	Fill	1025	Foundation fill	Same as 1015				C19–C20
1025	Cut		Foundation cut	Same as 1004				
1026	Fill	1027	Ditch A fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.22	C13– C14+
1027	Cut		Ditch A	Straight E/W linear, rounded sides and base	>1	>0.7	0.22	
1028	Fill	1029	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.05	C13– C14+
1029	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, shallow and somewhat irregular	>0.3	0.43	0.05	
1030	Fill	1031	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.09	
1031	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, shallow and somewhat irregular	>1.2	0.51	0.09	
1032	Fill	1033	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.06	
1033	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, shallow and somewhat irregular	>0.3	0.52	0.06	
1034	Layer		Topsoil to east of walled garden	Brown-grey silt loam			0.2– 0.3	C19–C20
1035	Layer		Subsoil to east of walled garden	Grey-brown silt loam			0.2– 0.4	C15–C16
1036	Deposit		Rubble of wall 1003	Brown and grey-white silt sand with abundant slate	>10	2	1	
1037	Fill	1038	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.11	C13–C14

Context	Туре	Fill of	Context	Description	Length	Width	Depth	Spot-
1038	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, shallow	>1	0.55	0.11	uale
1039	Fill	1040	Ditch fill	and somewhat irregular Orange-brown sand silt			0.07	
1040	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, shallow	>1	0.33	0.07	
1041	Fill	1042	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.2	
1042	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, rounded base and sides; same as 1048 & 1073	>1	0.51	0.2	
1043	Fill	1044	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.22	
1044	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, shallow and somewhat irregular	>1	0.8	0.22	
1045	Fill	1046	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.16	
1046	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, shallow and somewhat irregular	>1	0.84	0.16	
1047	Fill	1048	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt			0.14	C13–C14
1048	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, rounded base and sides; same as 1042 & 1073	>1	0.4	0.14	
1049	Fill	1050	Pit fill	Mixed orange-brown and grey- brown sand silt; quite stony	>0.48		0.27	
1050	Cut		Pit	Oval pit, somewhat irregular	>0.48	1.36	0.27	
1051	Fill	1052	Ditch fill	Orange-brown sand silt				
1052	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, somewhat irregular	>1	0.56		
1053	Fill	1054	Ditch fill	Orange-brown clay silt			0.2	C13–C14
1054	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, flat based	>0.6	0.81	0.2	
1055	Fill	1057	Upper ditch fill	Grey-brown clay silt, stone common			0.7	C1–MC3
1056	Fill	1057	Lower ditch fill	Brown-grey clay silt, stone very common			0.4	
1057	Cut		Ditch	Straight N/S linear, steep sides and flat base	>1	1.75	0.9	
1058	Fill	1059	Ditch A fill	Orange-brown sand silt, stone common		0	0.04	
1059	Cut		Ditch A	Straight E/W linear, flat based and very shallow	>0.9	0.66	0.04	
1060	Fill	1061	Ditch A fill	Orange-brown clay silt			0.16	
1061	Cut		Ditch A	Straight E/W linear, rounded base	>1	0.95	0.16	
1062	Fill	1065	Upper ditch fill	Slightly red-brown clay silt, stone common			0.7	C2–MC3
1063	Fill	1065	Middle ditch fill	Red-brown clay silt, stone common			0.2	
1064	Fill	1065	Lower ditch fill, eroded natural?	Brown-grey sand silt, stone very common			0.2	
1065	Cut		Ditch	Straight N/S linear, steep sided and flat based; same as 1071	>1		1.23	
1066	Fill	1067	Ditch fill	Orange-brown clay silt		0	0.13	C13–C14
1067	Cut		Ditch terminus	Terminus of straight N/S linear, concave base and sides	>1	0.76	0.13	
1068	Fill	1069	Ditch A fill	Light red-brown clay silt, stone common			0.28	
1069	Cut		Ditch A	Straight E/W linear, rounded base	>1.8	>0.34	0.28	
1070	Fill	1071	Ditch fill	Slightly red-brown clay silt, stone common			>0.3	C13–C14
1071	Cut		Ditch	Same as 1065	>0.61	>1.2	>0.3	
1072	Fill	1073	Ditch fill	Orange-brown clay silt; same as 1042 & 1048			0.06	MC18– LC18
1073	Cut		Ditch	Straight E/W linear, rounded base, shallow and truncated	>1.7	0.6	0.06	

APPENDIX B: THE FINDS

	Inds concordance		r			
Context	Category	Description	Fabric Code/ NRFRC*	Count	Weight (g)	Spot-date
1002	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	7	767	C20
	Medieval pottery	Transitional Cornish, granite-	TCGD	7		
	Post-medieval pottery	Post-medieval Cornish,	PMCG	4		
	De et me e dieu et mette me	granite-derived ware	NIDOE	4		
	Post-medieval pottery	North Devon gravel-free ware	NDGF	1		
	Post-medieval pottery	woro	NDGT	1		
	Modern potton/	Modorn pottory		10		
	Worked flipt	Flakes core	MODIN	3	56	
1005	Medieval potten/	Transitional Cornish granite	TCCD	8	164	C16
1005	Wedeval pottery	derived ware	TOOD	0	104	010
	Post-medieval pottery	North Devon gravel-free ware	NDGE	2		
	Post-medieval pottery	North Devon gravel-tempered	NDGT	1		
	r ost medieval pottery	ware	I DOI			
	Late medieval/post-	Fragment		1	11	
	medieval ceramic	riaginoni		•		
	building material					
	Worked flint	Flake		2	12	
1015	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	1	2	C15+
		derived ware				
	Unclassifiable pottery	Coarse fabric	-	1		
1016	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	3	8	C13-C14
	, ,	derived ware				
1018	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	2	12	C13-C14
		derived ware				
	Medieval ceramic	Fragment		1		
	building material?					
1020	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	2	15	C13-C14+
		derived ware			-	
1024	Modern pottery	Art pottery	-	1	3	C19-C20
1026	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	1	2	C13-C14+
1029	Madiaval potton/	Mediaval Carpiah, grapita	MCCD	1	2	C12 C14
1028	wedieval pottery	derived ware	MCGD	1	3	013-014+
1034	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish granite-	MCGD	3	106	C19-C20
1004	Wedeval pottery	derived ware	MOOD	5	100	010-020
	Post-medieval pottery	Post-medieval Cornish	PMCG	3		
		granite-derived ware		°		
	Modern pottery	Transfer-printed refined	MODN	4		
		whiteware; Flowerpot				
		(unglazed earthenware)				
	Late medieval/post-	Flat roof tile		1	103	
	medieval ceramic					
	building material					
1035	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	7	83	C15-C16
100-		derived ware	Maaa			040.044
1037	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	3	7	C13-C14
		derived ware		_		0.40.04.4
1047	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	1	28	C13-C14
1052	Doman notton/	derived ware		1	10	C12 C14
1055	Roman pollery	Gabbioic labiic Medievel Corpieb, grapite	GABR	1	10	013-014
		derived ware	MCGD	2		
1055	Roman potten/	Gabbroic fabric	GABR	1	53	C1-MC3
1000	Fired clay			5	50	01-1000
	Burnt flint			1	7	
1062	Roman potterv	Southeast Dorset Black-	BB1/	2	147	C2-MC3
		burnished ware	DOR BB1	1 -		
	Roman pottery	Gabbroic fabric	GABR	14		
	Roman ceramic building	Fragment		1	51	
	material					
1066	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite-	MCGD	3	9	C13-C14
		derived ware				
	Worked flint	Flake		1	4	

Context	Category	Description	Fabric Code/ NRFRC*	Count	Weight (g)	Spot-date
1070	Medieval pottery	Medieval Cornish, granite- derived ware	MCGD	3	2	C13-C14
	Worked flint	Flake		2	4	
1072	Post-medieval pottery	Staffordshire white salt- glazed stoneware	SWSGS	1	1	MC18-LC18

* National Roman Fabric Reference Collection codes in bold

Table B2: Pottery fabrics

Period	Description	Fabric code	Date range
Roman	Southeast Dorset Black-burnished ware	BB1	C1-C4
	Gabbroic fabric	GABR	RB
Medieval	Medieval Cornish, granite-derived	MCGD	MC13-EC15
	Transitional Cornish, granite-derived	TCGD	C15-C16
Post-medieval	Post-medieval Cornish, granite-derived	PMCG	C16-C18
	North Devon Gravel-free ware	NDGF	C17-C19
	North Devon Gravel-tempered ware	NDGT	LC15-EC19
	Staffordshire White salt-glazed stoneware	SWGS	MC18-LC18
Modern pottery	Refined whitewares; English stonewares; Flowerpot (unglazed	MODN	C19-C20
	earthenware)		

APPENDIX C: OASIS REPORT FORM

PROJECT DETAILS					
Project Name	Roseland Parc Retirement Villag	e, Tregony, Cornwall:			
	Archaeological Strip, Map and Sample In	vestigation			
Short description	In September and October 2016, Cotswo	old Archaeology carried out			
	an archaeological strip, map and samp	ble investigation within the			
	grounds of Roseland Parc Retirement Vi	llage, Tregony, Cornwall.			
	A small number of archaeological feature	ares dating to the Roman,			
	These included a Demon ditch sourcel mediaval ditches				
	I nese included a Roman ditch, several medieval ditches				
	apparently relating to agricultural activity, and the foundations of a				
Project dates	26 Soptombor 12 Octobor 2016				
Project dates	Arehaaological strip, map and completing	vectigation			
Provious work	Archaeological stilp, map and sample investigation				
FIEVIOUS WORK	Heritage statement: Cornwall Archaeological Unit 2014				
Future work	Unknown				
PROJECT LOCATION					
Site Location	Roseland Parc Retirement Village, Tregony, Cornwall				
Study area (M ² /ha)	0.3ha				
Site co-ordinates	SX 9276 4498				
PROJECT CREATORS					
Name of organisation	Cotswold Archaeology				
Project Brief originator	N/A				
Project Design (WSI) originator	Cotswold Archaeology				
Project Manager	Derek Evans				
Project Supervisor	Martin Gillard				
MONUMENT TYPE	None				
SIGNIFICANT FINDS	None				
PROJECT ARCHIVES	Intended final location of archive	Content			
Physical	The Royal Cornwall Museum	Ceramics			
Paper	The Royal Cornwall Museum Site recording forms and drawings				
Digital	Archaeology Data Service (ADS) Born-digital data				
BIBLIOGRAPHY					
Cotswold Archaeology 2016 Roseland Par	c Retirement Village, Tregony, Cornwall:	Archaeological Strip, Map			
and Sample Investigation CA typescript report 1661					

APPENDIX D: WRITTEN SCHEME OF INVESTIGATION



Cotswold Archaeology

Penlee House and Roseland Court Tregony Cornwall

Written Scheme of Investigation for Historic Building and Archaeological Recording



for Retirement Villages Developments Ltd

CA Project: 880009 and 880010

July 2016



Andover Cirencester Exeter Milton Keynes

Penlee House and Roseland Court Tregony Cornwall

Written Scheme of Investigation for Historic Building and Archaeological Recording

CA Project: 880009 and 880010



	DOCUMENT CONTROL GRID							
REVISION	DATE	AUTHOR	CHECKED BY	STATUS	REASONS FOR	APPROVED		
					REVISION	BY		
В	26 JULY 2016	LAURENT COLEMAN AND HANNAH ARMSTRONG	Laurent Coleman	INTERNAL REVIEW	REVISED RECORDING METHODOLOGY FOR ROSELAND COURT GARDEN WALL	LAURENT COLEMAN		

This report is confidential to the client. Cotswold Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability to any third party to whom this report, or any part of it, is made known. Any such party relies upon this report entirely at their own risk. No part of this report may be reproduced by any means without permission.

© Cotswold Archaeology

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	.2	
2.	ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND	. 3	
3.	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	.4	
4.	METHODOLOGY	. 5	
5.	STAFF AND TIMETABLE	.9	
6.	POST-EXCAVATION, ARCHIVING AND REPORTING	. 10	
7.	HEALTH AND SAFETY	. 11	
8.	INSURANCES	. 11	
9.	MONITORING	. 11	
10.	QUALITY ASSURANCE	. 11	
11.	REFERENCES	. 12	
APPENDIX A: COTSWOLD ARCHAEOLOGY SPECIALISTS			
APPENDIX B: ARCHAEOLOGICAL STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES			

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This document sets out details of a *Written Scheme of Investigation* (WSI) by Cotswold Archaeology (CA) for Historic Building and Archaeological Recording at Penlee House and Roseland Court, Tregony, Cornwall (centred at NGR: SX 9271 4500 (Penlee House) and SX 9276 4498 (Roseland Court) at the request of Retirement Villages Developments Ltd.
- 1.2 Penlee House: Planning permission for extension and internal reconfiguration from staff and visitor accommodation to 2 no. extra care units and extension to form a sun lounge was granted by Cornwall Council (CC) (ref. PA14/12143), conditional (no. 8) on a programme of archaeological recording in the area to the rear of the existing coach house.
- 1.3 Roseland Court: Planning permission for the construction of 5 no. 2 bed extra care dwellings and 1 no. 1 bed extra care dwelling and restoration of the walled garden area and associated walls was granted by CC (ref. PA14/03531), conditional (no. 9) on a programme of archaeological mitigation work (to include additional measured survey work as necessary).

Building Recording

1.4 This WSI has been guided in its composition by the Standard and Guidance for the Archaeological Investigation and Recording of Standing Buildings or Structures (CIfA, 2014a); the Management of Archaeological Projects 2 (English Heritage 1991); the Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MORPHE) and the Project Manager's Guide (HE 2015). This specification should be read in conjunction with Understanding Historic Buildings; A Guide to Good Recording Practice (English Heritage 2006b).

Archaeological Recording

1.5 This WSI has been guided in its composition by the Brief for Historic Building & Archaeological Recording (CC 2015), the Brief, Standard and guidance: Archaeological excavation (ClfA 2014b), the Management of Archaeological Projects 2 (English Heritage 1991), the Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MORPHE): Project Manager's Guide (HE 2015) and any other relevant standards or guidance contained within Appendix B.

The site

- 1.6 Penlee House and Roseland Court are adjacent to each other in the village of Tregony. The ground level drops downward to the east from *c*. 47m AOD in the western part of the site to *c*. 40m AOD to the east.
- 1.7 The underlying bedrock geology of the area is mapped as Portscatho Formation-Sandstone and Argillaceous rocks of the Devonian Period (BGS 2015).

2. ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

- 2.1 The site comprises Penlee House and its associated walled garden; Roseland Court.
- 2.2 The archaeological background for the site (and adjacent areas) has been summarised in a number of documents including an Archaeological Assessment (CC 2013), a Heritage Statement (CAU 2014) and a Brief for Historic Building and Archaeological Recording (CC 2015) and the salient points are outlined below:
- 2.3 Penlee House is Grade II listed (Listed Building 62931) and dates to *c*. 1820. Construction is of slate rubble granite quoins with a hipped grouted scantle slate roof with brick chimneys over side walls. The associated ornamental garden (Roseland Court) lies immediately to the east of Penlee House and comprises a 19th-century walled garden with associated structures (including glasshouses, water tanks and a sunken path). The house and garden lie on the eastern edge of the historic core of Tregony within an area of former medieval burgage plots and/or strip fields (CC 2015).
- 2.4 A programme of archaeological investigation was also undertaken to the south-west of the site. This identified the eastern part of a funerary enclosure of Roman date and two pottery vessels (containing the cremated remains of a single individual) were recovered from within it. The remains were found to date to the 2nd century AD and to represent an elderly female. Broadly contemporary pits and a drying oven were identified within and to the east of the enclosure. A number of the pits contained large quantities of charred grain and a Radiocarbon date obtained from one of these features was post-Roman (Taylor, S. forthcoming).

3. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

Building Recording

Penlee House

3.1 The objective of the historic building recording at Penlee House is to provide a comprehensive descriptive record of the structure supplemented by a photographic record and annotated drawings.

Roseland Court

3.1 The objective of the historic building recording at Roseland Court is to provide a comprehensive descriptive record of the walled garden structure supplemented by a photographic record and annotated drawings. The record will also take identify any standing built remains or horticultural features associated within the former use of the site.

Archaeological Recording

- 3.3 The objectives of the archaeological mitigation are to:
 - record the nature of the main stratigraphic units encountered
 - assess the overall presence, survival and potential of structural and industrial remains
 - assess the overall presence, survival, condition, and potential of artefactual and ecofactual remains
- 3.4 The specific aims of the work are to:
 - record any evidence of past settlement or other land use
 - recover artefactual evidence to date any evidence of past settlement that may be identified
 - sample and analyse environmental remains to create a better understanding of past land use and economy
 - identify and record any buried archaeological features which relate to the 19th-century ornamental garden

4. METHODOLOGY

Building Recording

Penlee House

- 4.1 The building recording will be carried out to meet the requirements of a Level 2 / 3 survey as defined in the Historic England publication *Understanding Historic Buildings: A Guide to Good Recording Practice* (English Heritage, 2006), prior to the redevelopment of the structure.
- 4.2 The Level 2 / 3 survey will comprise of photographs, annotating drawings and descriptive elements recording both the interior and exterior of the structure. An account of the historical development of the structure will also be included within the report, based on the previous research conducted.
- 4.3 The drawn record will include annotated plans (based on those provided by the client's planning consultant) indicating the form and location of any structural features and/or detail of historic significance; and a site plan at 1:500 or 1:1250 relating the building to other structures, topographical and landscape features.
- 4.4 The photographic record will include general views of the building, shots of their external appearance and the overall appearance of internal areas. Photographs of specific areas of detailed architectural or historic features will also be included.
- 4.5 The photographic record will only comprise digital photographs, taken using a DSLR camera.
- 4.6 Further surveys / recording will be conducted, if required, following the 'soft stripping' of the structure if further historical features / detail are reveal.

Roseland Court

- 4.7 Prior to the building recording and vegetation clearance of the walled garden at Roseland Court, a survey will be undertaken by an experience horticulturalist to identify any plant species retained within the site which relate to it historic use.
- 4.8 The building recording will be carried out to meet the requirements of a Level 2 / 3 survey as defined in the Historic England publication Understanding Historic Buildings: A Guide to Good Recording Practice (English Heritage, 2006), prior to the redevelopment of the structure.

- 4.9 The Level 2 / 3 survey will comprise of photographs, annotating drawings and descriptive elements recording the extant remains of the walled garden. An account of the historical development of the structure will also be included within the report, based on the previous research conducted.
- 4.10 Measured elevation drawing will be produced for the walled garden walls, for both internal and external elevations, noting important architectural features. Such drawings will not however include a 'brick by brick' representation of the elevations nor will rectified photographs of the elevations be produced. Any other structural features present in the garden will also be surveyed.
- 4.11 Measured survey will be carried out using a GeoSLAM Zeb-Revo, a handheld laser scanner which uses a simultaneous localisation and mapping technology to automatically create a 3D point cloud. The system allows the user to walk through the survey environment and rapidly record points at a rate of 43,200pts/sec with a relative accuracy of 2-3cm. The rotating design of the scanner allows for scanning with a 360° field of view and can capture points at a range of up to 30m. Overlapping scans will be taken of each structural surface to guarantee a high point density, ensuring that no voids are left in the dataset. The OS co-ordinates of the survey stations will be established using a Leica Viva GNSS, with an expected accuracy of +/- 20 mm.
- 4.12 The laser scanning of the structural elements of the site will be carried out in conjunction with Historic England guidelines '3D Laser Scanning for Heritage 2nd Edition 2011' and 'Measured and Drawn; Techniques and practice for the metric survey of historic buildings 2nd Edition'. Data will be processed and quality assured using GeoSLAM Cloud and Cloud Compare and will then be generated into a fully registered point cloud. The scan point cloud will be cleaned to ensure that all extraneous data is excluded. 'Our proposed deliverables will be scaled 2d floor plans and elevations in AutoCAD .dwg format.
- 4.13 All horizontal and vertical control shall be derived directly or indirectly from the OS Net. For guidance on good practice, the RICS Guidelines for the use of GNSS in Surveying and Mapping (ISBN 1842190938) has been used as reference.

4.14 A detailed site plan for the walled garden and surrounding ornamental garden will also be produced. The plan will show all evident structural features and will be georeferenced to OS British National Grid.

Archaeological Recording

Archaeological Strip, Map and Sample

- 4.15 Following the completion of the building recording works, the archaeological strip, map and sample will be undertaken throughout the area of development within Roseland Court walled garden. Excavation areas will be set out on OS National Grid (NGR) co-ordinates using a Leica GPS and scanned for live services by trained staff using CAT and Genny equipment in accordance with the Cotswold Archaeology *Safe System of Work for avoiding underground services*. The position and size of excavation areas may be adjusted on site to account for services and other constraints, with the approval Phil Copleston, Senior Development Officer (Historic Environment) Archaeologist, Cornwall Council. The final 'as dug' areas will be recorded with GPS
- 4.16 Initially works will comprise the mechanical removal of non-archaeologically significant soils, under constant archaeological supervision, using a toothless ditching bucket. The generated spoil will be monitored in order to recover artefacts. Hand-cleaning of the stripped surface, to better define any identified archaeological deposits/features, will be undertaken where necessary. All machining will be conducted under archaeological supervision and will cease when the first archaeological horizon or natural substrate is revealed (whichever is encountered first). All archaeological features will be recorded in plan using Leica GPS.
- 4.17 Following the completion of machine excavation a plan of the identified archaeological features will be produced and submitted to Phil Copleston so that the excavation methodology can be discussed. Examination of features will concentrate on recovering the plan and any structural sequences. Particular emphasis will be placed upon retrieving a stratigraphic sequence and upon obtaining details of the phasing of the site. It is currently anticipated that: All funerary/ritual activity and domestic/industrial deposits will be 100% excavated. All discrete features (post holes, pits) will be sampled by hand excavation (average sample unlikely to exceed 50%) unless their common/repetitious nature suggests they are unlikely to yield significant new information. All linear features (ditches, pathways etc) will be sampled to a maximum of 10%. Bulk horizontal deposits will as a minimum be 10% by area hand

excavated, after which a decision may be taken (in conjunction with Phil Copleston) to remove the remainder with machinery. Priority will be attached to features which yield sealed assemblages which can be related to the chronological sequence of the site.

Archaeological watching brief

- 4.18 All groundworks outside the strip, map and sample area will be subject to archaeological watching brief. Where practicable that excavation methodology will be as per paragraphs 4.* and 4.* to 4.*.
- 4.19 All archaeological features revealed will be planned and recorded in accordance with CA Technical Manual 1 *Fieldwork Recording Manual*. Each context will be recorded on a pro-forma context sheet by written and measured description; principal deposits will be recorded by drawn plans (scale 1:20 or 1:50, or electronically using Leica GPS or Total Station (TST) as appropriate) and drawn sections (scale 1:10 or 1:20 as appropriate). Where detailed feature planning is undertaken using GPS/TST this will be carried out in accordance with CA Technical Manual 4 *Survey Manual*. Photographs (digital colour) will be taken as appropriate. All finds and samples will be bagged separately and related to the context record. All artefacts will be recovered and retained for processing and analysis in accordance with CA Technical Manual 3 *Treatment of Finds Immediately after Excavation*.
- 4.20 Due care will be taken to identify deposits which may have environmental potential, and where appropriate, a programme of environmental sampling will be initiated. Samples will be taken, processed and assessed for potential in accordance with CA Technical Manual 2 *The Taking and Processing of Environmental and Other Samples from Archaeological Sites*.
- 4.21 If human remains are encountered, the client and Phil Copleston will be informed immediately. Where excavation of human remains is undertaken, this will be conducted following the provisions of the Coroners Unit in the Ministry of Justice.
- 4.22 CA will comply fully with the provisions of the Treasure Act 1996 and the Code of Practice referred to therein.

5. STAFF AND TIMETABLE

- 5.1 This project will be under the management of Duncan Coe MCIFA, Project Manager, CA (building recording) and Laurent Coleman MCIfA, Project Manager, CA (archaeological recording).
- 5.2 The staffing structure will be organised thus; the Project Managers will direct the overall conduct of the building recording and archaeological recording as required during the period of fieldwork. Day to day responsibility however will rest with the Historic Building Specialist and the Project Leader..

Building Recording

- 5.3 The field team will consist of a maximum of 3 staff, comprising a Historic Building Specialist and two members of the CA Geomatics department.
- 5.4 It is envisaged that the building recording will require approximately 4 days fieldwork. This is dependent on the available of simultaneous access to both Roseland Court and Penlee House, and the potential for further survey work at Penlee House.

Archaeological Recording

- 5.5 The field team (archaeological recording) will consist of a maximum of 3 staff (eg 1 Project Officer and 2 Archaeologists.
- 5.6 It is envisaged that the project will require approximately 5 days fieldwork (archaeological recording).
- 5.7 Specialists who will be invited to advise and report on specific aspects of the project as necessary are.

Ceramics	Ed McSloy (CA)
Metalwork	Ed McSloy (CA)
Flint	Ed McSloy (CA)
Animal Bone	Dr Philip Armitage (freelance)
Human Bone	Dr Annsofie Witkin (freelance)
Environmental Remains	Sarah Cobain (CA)
Conservation	Wiltshire Conservation Service

Geoarchaeology Building Recording Dr Keith Wilkinson (ARCA) Peter Davenport (CA)

5.8 Depending upon the nature of the deposits and artefacts encountered it may be necessary to consult other specialists not listed here. A full list of specialists currently used by Cotswold Archaeology is contained within Appendix A.

6. POST-EXCAVATION, ARCHIVING AND REPORTING

- 6.1 Following completion of fieldwork, all artefacts and environmental samples will be processed, assessed, conserved and packaged in accordance with CA Technical Manuals and the relevant recipient Museum guidelines.
- 6.2 An illustrated and integrated report will be compiled on the results of the building recording and archaeological recording. It will include an assessment of the artefacts, palaeoenvironmental samples etc and reproduction of appropriate documentary and cartographic sources. Copies of the report will be distributed to the client and Phil Copleston (PDF and hard copies).
- 6.3 Should no further work be required, an ordered, indexed, and internally consistent site archive will be prepared and deposited in accordance with *Archaeological Archives: A Guide to Best Practice in Creation, Compilation, Transfer and Curation* (Archaeological Archives Forum 2007).
- 6.4 As the limited scope of this work is likely to restrict its publication value, it is anticipated that a short publication note only will be produced, suitable for inclusion within Cornish Archaeology. A summary of information from the project will also be entered onto the OASIS online database of archaeological projects in Britain.
- 6.5 CA will make arrangements with the appropriate museum (add accession number if known) for the deposition of the site archive and, subject to agreement with the legal landowner(s), the artefact collection.

7. HEALTH AND SAFETY

7.1 CA will conduct all works in accordance with the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 and all subsequent Health and Safety legislation, CA Health and Safety and Environmental policies and the CA Safety, Health and Environmental Management System (SHE), as well as any Principal Contractor's policies or procedures. A sitespecific Project Health and Safety Plan (form SHE 017) will be formulated prior to commencement of fieldwork.

8. INSURANCES

8.1 CA holds Public Liability Insurance to a limit of £10,000,000 and Professional Indemnity Insurance to a limit of £5,000,000.

9. MONITORING

9.1 Notification of the start of site works will be made to Phil Copleston so that there will be opportunities to visit the excavation and check on the quality and progress of the work.

10. QUALITY ASSURANCE

- 10.1 CA is a Registered Organisation (RO) with the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (RO Ref. No. 8). As a RO, CA endorses the *Code of Conduct* (CIfA 2014) and the *Code of Approved Practice for the Regulation of Contractual Arrangements in Field Archaeology* (CIfA 2014). All CA Project Managers and Project Officers hold either full Member or Associate status within the CIfA.
- 10.2 CA operates an internal quality assurance system in the following manner. Projects are overseen by a Project Manager who is responsible for the quality of the project. The Project Manager reports to the Chief Executive who bears ultimate responsibility for the conduct of all CA operations. Matters of policy and corporate strategy are determined by the Board of Directors, and in cases of dispute recourse may be made to the Chairman of the Board.

11. **REFERENCES**

- ClfA 2014 Standard and Guidance for the Archaeological Investigation and Recording of Standing Buildings or Structures
- Cornwall Archaeological Unit 2014 The Coach House, Rosland Parc retirement village, Tregony, Cornwall: Heritage Statement (Unpublished)
- Cornwall Archaeological Unit 2014 Roseland Parc, Penlee House walled garden, Tregony, Cornwall: Archaeological Assessment
- EH 2006 Understanding Historic Buildings; A guide to good recording practice. English Heritage (Swindon)
- Historic England (HE) 2015 Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment. The MoRPHE Project Managers' Guide. Historic England (Swindon)

APPENDIX A: COTSWOLD ARCHAEOLOGY SPECIALISTS

Ceramics

Neolithic/Bronze Age	Ed McSloy (CA) Emily Edwards (freelance) Dr Ros Cleal (freelance)	
Iron Age/Roman (Samian) (Amphorae stamps)	Ed McSloy (CA) Gwladys Montell (freelance) David Williams (freelance)	
Anglo-Saxon	Paul Blinkhorn (freelance) Dr Jane Timby (freelance)	
Medieval/post-medieval	Ed McSloy (CA) Duncan Brown (freelance) Paul Blinkhorn (freelance)	
(Clay pipe)	Reg Jackson (freelance)	
Ceramic Building Material	Ed McSloy (CA) Phil Mills (freelance)	
Other Finds		
Small Finds	Ed McSloy (CA)	
Metal Artefacts	Dr Jörn Schuster (freelance) Dr Hilary Cool (freelance)	
Lithics	Ed McSloy (CA)	
(Palaeolithic)	Francis Wenban-Smith (University of Southampton)	
Worked Stone	Ruth Shaffrey (freelance)	
Inscriptions	Dr Roger Tomlin (Oxford)	
Glass	Ed McSloy (CA) Dr Hilary Cool (freelance) Dr David Dungworth (freelance; English Heritage)	
Coins	Ed McSloy (CA) Dr Peter Guest (Cardiff University) Dr Richard Reece (freelance)	
Leather	Quita Mould (freelance)	
Textiles	Penelope Walton Rogers (freelance)	
Iron slag/metal technology	Dr Tim Young (Cardiff University) Dr David Dungworth (English Heritage)	
Biological Remains		
Animal bone	Philip Armitage (freelance)	
Human Bone	Sharon Clough (freelance) Annsofie Witkin (freelance)	
Environmental sampling	Sarah Cobain (CA) Dr Keith Wilkinson (ARCA)	
Pollen	Rob Batchelor (QUEST, University of Reading)	
Diatoms	Nigel Cameron (UCL)	

Charred Plant Remains	Sarah Cobain (CA)
Wood/Charcoal	Sarah Cobain (CA)
Insects	David Smith (Birmingham University) Enid Allison (Canterbury Archaeological Trust)
Mollusca	Dr Keith Wilkinson (ARCA)
Fish bones	Philip Armitage (freelance)
Geoarchaeology	Dr Keith Wilkinson (ARCA)
Scientific Dating Dendrochronology	Robert Howard (NTRDL Nottingham)
Radiocarbon dating	SUERC (East Kilbride) Beta Analytic (USA)
Archaeomagnetic dating	Neil Suttie (University of Liverpool) Cathy Batt (University of Bradford)
TL/OSL Dating	Phil Toms (University of Gloucestershire)
Conservation	Karen Barker (freelance) Wiltshire Conservation Services

APPENDIX B: ARCHAEOLOGICAL STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

- AAF 2007 Archaeological Archives. A guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation. Archaeological Archives Forum
- AAI&S 1988 The Illustration of Lithic Artifacts: A guide to drawing stone tools for specialist reports. Association of Archaeological Illustrators and Surveyors Paper **9**
- AAI&S 1994 The Illustration of Wooden Artifacts: An Introduction and Guide to the Depiction of Wooden Objects. Association of Archaeological Illustrators and Surveyors Paper **11**
- AAI&S 1997. Aspects of Illustration: Prehistoric pottery. Association of Archaeological Illustrators and Surveyors Paper 13
- AAI&S nd *Introduction to Drawing Archaeological Pottery*. Association of Archaeological Illustrators and Surveyors, Graphic Archaeology Occasional Papers **1**
- ACBMG 2004 Draft Minimum Standards for the Recovery, Analysis and Publication of Ceramic Building Material. (third edition) Archaeological Ceramic Building Materials Group
- AEA 1995 Environmental Archaeology and Archaeological Evaluations. Recommendations concerning the environmental archaeology component of archaeological evaluations in England. Working Papers of the Association for Environmental Archaeology No. **2**
- BABAO and IFA, 2004 Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains. British Association for Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology and Institute of Field Archaeologists. Institute of Field Archaeologists Technical Paper 7 (Reading)
 Barber, B., Carver, J., Hinton, P. and Nixon, T. 2008 Archaeology and development. A good practice guide to
- Barber, B., Carver, J., Hinton, P. and Nixon, T. 2008 Archaeology and development. A good practice guide to managing risk and maximising benefit. Construction Industry Research and Information Association Report C672
- Bayley, J. (ed) 1998 Science in Archaeology. An agenda for the future. English Heritage (London)
- Bewley, R., Donoghue, D., Gaffney, V., Van Leusen, M., Wise, M., 1998 Archiving Aerial Photography and Remote Sensing Data: A guide to good practice. Archaeology Data Service
- Blake, H. and P. Davey (eds) 1983 Guidelines for the processing and publication of Medieval pottery from excavations, report by a working party of the Medieval Pottery Research Group and the Department of the Environment. Directorate of Ancient Monuments and Historic Buildings Occasional Paper 5, 23-34, DoE, London
- Brickley, M. and McKinley, J.I., 2004 *Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains*. IFA Paper No 7,Institute of Field Archaeologists (Reading)
- Brickstock, R.J. 2004 The Production, Analysis and Standardisation of Romano-British Coin Reports. English Heritage (Swindon)
- Brown, A. and Perrin, K. 2000 A Model for the Description of Archaeological Archives. English Heritage Centre for Archaeology/ Institute of Field Archaeologists (Reading)
- Brown, D.H. 2007 Archaeological Archives: A guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation. IFA Archaeological Archives Forum (Reading)
- Buikstra, J.E. and Ubelaker D.H. (eds) 1994 Standards for Data Collection from Human Skeletal Remains. (Fayetteville, Arkansas)
- ClfA, 2014, Code of Approved Practice for the Regulation of Contractual Arrangements in Field
- Archaeology. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (Reading)
- ClfA, 2014, Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Desk-based Assessment. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (Reading)
- ClfA, 2014, Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Watching Brief. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (Reading)
- ClfA, 2014, Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Excavation. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (Reading)
- ClfA, 2014, Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Investigation and Recording of Standing Buildings or Structures. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (Reading)
- ClfA, 2014, Standard and Guidance for the Collection, Documentation, Conservation and Research of Archaeological Materials. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (Reading)
- ClfA, 2014, Standard and Guidance for the Creation, Compilation, Transfer and Deposition of
- Archaeological Archives. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (Reading)
- ClfA, 2014, Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (Reading)
- Clark, J., Darlington, J. and Fairclough, G. 2004 Using Historic Landscape Characterisation. English Heritage (London)
- Coles, J.M., 1990 Waterlogged Wood: guidelines on the recording, sampling, conservation and curation of structural wood. English Heritage (London)
- Cowton, J., 1997 Spectrum. The UK Museums Documentation Standard. Second edition. Museums Documentation Association
- Cox, M., 2002 Crypt Archaeology: an approach. Institute of Field Archaeologists Technical Paper 3 (Reading)
- Darvill, T. and Atkins, M., 1991 Regulating Archaeological Works by Contract. IFA Technical Paper No 8, Institute of Field Archaeologists (Reading)

- Davey P.J. 1981 *Guidelines for the processing and publication of clay pipes from excavations*. Medieval and Later Pottery in Wales, IV, 65-87
- Eiteljorg, H., Fernie, K., Huggett, J. and Robinson, D. 2002 CAD: A guide to good practice. Archaeology Data Service (York)
- EA 2005 Guidance on Assessing the Risk Posed by Land Contamination and its Remediation on Archaeological Resource Management. English Heritage/ Environment Agency Science Report P5-077/SR (Bristol)
- EH 1991 The Management of Archaeological Projects. Second Edition (MAP2) English Heritage (London)

EH, 1995a, Archaeometallurgy in Archaeological Projects. English Heritage Scientific and Technical Guidelines No 2

- EH 1995b, *Guidelines for the Care of Waterlogged Archaeological Leather*. English Heritage Scientific and Technical Guidelines No 4
- EH 1995c, A Strategy for the Care and Investigation of Finds. English Heritage Ancient Monuments Laboratory (London)
- EH 1998a, Dendrochronology. Guidelines on producing and interpreting dendrochronological dates. English Heritage (London)
- EH 1998b, Identifying and Protecting Palaeolithic Remains. Archaeological guidance for planning
- authorities and developers. English Heritage (London)
- EH 1999, Guidelines for the Conservation of Textiles. English Heritage (London)
- EH 2000, Managing Lithic Scatters. Archaeological guidance for planning authorities and developers. English Heritage (London)
- EH, 2002a *Human Bones from Archaeological Sites*. Guidelines for producing assessment documents and analytical reports. English Heritage (London)
- EH 2002b With Alidade and Tape: graphical and plane table survey of archaeological earthworks. English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2003a Where on Earth Are We? The Global Positioning System (GPS) in archaeological field survey. English Heritage (London)
- EH, 2003b (revised 2008), Metric Survey Specifications for English Heritage. English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2003c Twentieth-Century Military Sites. Current approaches to their recording and conservation English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2003d Archaeological Science at PPG16 interventions: Best Practice Guidance for Curators and Commissioning Archaeologists. English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2004a Dendrochronology. Guidelines on producing and interpreting dendrochronological dates.English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2004b Human Bones from Archaeological Sites: Guidelines for producing assessment documents and analytical report. English Heritage Centre for Archaeology Guidelines
- EH 2006a Guidelines on the X-radiography of Archaeological Metalwork. English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2006b Archaeomagnetic Dating. English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2006c Science for Historic Industries: Guidelines for the investigation of 17th- to 19th-century

industries. English Heritage (Swindon)

- EH 2006d Our Portable Past: a statement of English Heritage policy and good practice for portable antiquities/surface collected material in the context of field archaeology and survey programmes (including the use of metal detectors). English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2007a Understanding the Archaeology of Landscapes. A guide to good recording practice. English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2007d Geoarchaeology. Using earth sciences to understand the archaeological record. (London)
- EH 2008a Luminescence Dating. Guidelines on using luminescence dating in archaeology. English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2008b Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation. English Heritage Research and Professional Services Guidelines No 1 (second edition). English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2008c Research and Conservation Framework for the British Palaeolithic. English Heritage/Prehistoric Society (Swindon)
- EH 2008d Investigative Conservation. Guidelines on how the detailed examination of artefacts from archaeological sites can shed light on their manufacture and use. English Heritage (Swindon)
- EH 2010 Waterlogged Wood: Guidelines on the recording, sampling, conservation and curation of archaeological wood. English Heritage (London)
- EH 2011 Environmental Archaeology: A guide to the theory and practice of methods, from sampling and recovery to post-excavation. English Heritage Centre for Archaeology Guidelines (London)
- EH and Church of England, 2005, *Guidance for Best Practice for Treatment of Human Remains Excavated from Christian Burial Grounds in England*. English Heritage (London)
- Ferguson, L. and Murray, D., 1997, Archaeological Documentary Archives. IFA Paper 1, Institute of Field Archaeologists (Reading)
- Gaffney, C. and Gater, J., with Ovenden, S., 2002, *The Use of Geophysical Techniques in Archaeological Evaluations*. IFA Technical Paper 9, Institute of Field Archaeologists (Reading)
- Gillings, M. and Wise, A., 1999, GIS: A guide to good practice. Archaeology Data Service (York)
- Gurney, D.A., 1985, *Phosphate Analysis of Soils: A Guide for the Field Archaeologist*. IFA Technical Paper 3, Institute of Field Archaeologists (Reading)
- Handley, M., 1999, Microfilming Archaeological Archives. IFA Technical Paper 2, Institute of Field

Archaeologists (Reading)

HE, 2006e, Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment. The MoRPHE Project

Managers' Guide. English Heritage (Swindon)

IFA, 1992, Guidelines for Finds Work. Institute of Field Archaeologists (Reading)

IFA, 2004, *Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains*. Institute of Field Archaeologists Paper No 7 (Reading)

- Mays, S., 1991, Recommendations for Processing Human Bone from Archaeological Sites. Ancient Monuments Lab Report 124/91 (London)
- Mays, S., Brickley, M. and Dodwell, N., 2002, *Human Bones from Archaeological Sites. Guidelines for Producing Assessment Documents and Analytical Reports.* Centre for Archaeology Guidelines, English Heritage (Portsmouth)
- McKinley, J.I. and Roberts, C., 1993, *Excavation and Post-excavation Treatment of Cremated and Inhumed Human Remains*. Institute of Field Archaeologists Technical Paper No. 13 (Reading)

MGC, 1992, Standards in the Museum Care of Archaeological Collections. Museums and Galleries Commission

- Murphy, P.L. and Wiltshire, P.E.J. 1994, A Guide to Sampling Archaeological Deposits for Environmental Analysis. English Heritage (London)
- MPRG 2000, A Guide to the Classification of Medieval Ceramics. Medieval Pottery Research Group Occasional Papers No. 1.
- MPRG 2001, *Minimum Standards for the Processing, Recording, Analysis and Publication of Post-Roman Ceramics.* Medieval Pottery Research Group
- Owen, J., 1995, Towards an Accessible Archaeological Archive. The Transfer of archaeological archives to museums: guidelines for use in England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales. Society of Museum Archaeologists

PCRG 1997, *The Study of Later Prehistoric Pottery: General polices and guidelines for analysis and publication*. Prehistoric Ceramics Research Group Occasional Paper 12

Philo, C. and Swann, A., 1992, *Preparation of Artwork for Publication*. Institute of Field Archaeologists Technical Paper No. 10 (Reading)

RCHME 1999, Recording Archaeological Field Monuments: A descriptive specification. RCHME (Swindon)

RCHME 2007, MIDAS: A manual and data standard for monuments inventories. RCHME (Swindon)

Schofield, A J, (ed) 1998, Interpreting Artefact Scatters. Oxbow Monograph 4 (Oxford)

- Richards, J. and Robinson, D. (eds), 2001, Digital Archives From Excavation and Fieldwork: A guide to good practice. Archaeology Data Service
- Robinson, W., 1998, First Aid for Underwater Finds. Archetype Books (London)

RFG and FRG, 1993, *Guidelines for the Preparation of Site and Assessments for all Finds other than Fired Clay Vessels.* Roman Finds Group And Finds Research Group

- Schmidt, A., 2001, Geophysical Data in Archaeology: A guide to good practice. Archaeology Data Service
- SGRP, 1994, Guidelines for the Archiving of Roman Pottery. Study Group for Roman Pottery
- SMA, 1993, *Guidelines on the Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections*. Society of Museum Archaeologists
- UKIC, 1983, *Packaging and Storage of Freshly Excavated Artefacts from Archaeological Sites*. (United Kingdom Institute for Conservation, Conservation Guidelines No 2)
- UKIC, 1984, *Environmental Standards for Permanent Storage of Excavated material from Archaeological Sites*. (United Kingdom Institute for Conservation, Conservation Guidelines No 3)

UKIC, 1990, Guidance for Conservation Practice. United Kingdom Institute for Conservation

UKIC, 1990, *Guidelines for the Preparation of Excavation Archives for Long-term Storage*. United Kingdom Institute for Conservation Archaeology Section

UKIC, 2001, *Excavated Artefacts and Conservation*. (United Kingdom Institute for Conservation, Conservation Guidelines No 1, revised)

Watkinson, D.E., and Neal, V., 1998, *First Aid for Finds*. (3rd edition) RESCUE/United Kingdom Institute for Conservation, Archaeology Section and Museum of London

Willis, S., 1997, (ed) Research Frameworks for the Study of Roman Pottery. Study Group for Roman Pottery

- World Archaeology Congress 1989, The Vermillion Accord Human Remains. Motion Approved at the First Inter-Congress on the Disposal of the Dead (Vermillion)
- Young C., 1980, Guidelines for the Processing and Publication of Roman Pottery. Department of the Environment



Andover Office

Stanley House Walworth Road Andover Hampshire SP10 5LH

t: 01264 347630

Cirencester Office

Building 11 Kemble Enterprise Park Cirencester Gloucestershire GL7 6BQ

t: 01285 771022

Exeter Office

Unit 53 Basepoint Business Centre Yeoford Way Marsh Barton Trading Estate Exeter EX2 8LB

t: 01392 826185

Milton Keynes Office

41 Burners Lane South Kiln Farm Milton Keynes Buckinghamshire MK11 3HA

t: 01908 564660

e: enquiries@cotswoldarchaeology.co.uk