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## SUMMARY

| Project Name: | Westmoreland House |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location: | Stokes Croft, Bristol |
| NGR: | ST 59177406 |
| Type: | Evaluation |
| Date: | 3-9 December 2009 |
| Location of Archive: | To be deposited with Bristol's Museums, Galleries and Archives |
| Accession Number: | BRSMG 2009/86 |
| Site Code: | WHB 09 |

An archaeological evaluation was undertaken by Cotswold Archaeology in December 2009 on land at Westmoreland House, Stokes Croft, Bristol. Two trenches were excavated.

The evaluation encountered a number of walls and surfaces, as well as cut features, such as pits and features most likely associated with cultivation. The results of the evaluation indicate that the site is not likely to have been developed until the earlier part of the 18th century.

Contrary to the findings of a previous archaeological investigation, no evidence for the presence of features associated with Bristol's Civil War defences, postulated as extending through the site, was encountered during the current project. Rather, the feature previously interpreted as possibly associated with the Civil War defences would appear to be related to the construction of a wall in the 18th century.

Later features include those most probably associated with occupation of the site by a carriage works dating to the mid 19th century, as well as subsequent activity associated with a rubber company from the early 20th century and the construction of the multi-storey Westmoreland House in 1963.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 In December 2009 Cotswold Archaeology (CA) carried out an archaeological evaluation for Opecprime Development Ltd on land at Westmoreland House, Stokes Croft, Bristol (centred on NGR: ST 5917 7406; Fig. 1). The evaluation was undertaken in advance of submission of a planning application to Bristol City Council (BCC) for redevelopment of the site.
1.2 The evaluation was carried out in accordance with a brief for archaeological evaluation (BCC 2009) prepared by Mr Peter Insole, Archaeological Officer, BCC, archaeological advisor to BCC, and with a subsequent detailed Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) produced by CA (2009) and approved by Mr Robert Jones, City Archaeologist, BCC. The fieldwork also followed the Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation issued by the Institute for Archaeologists (2008), the Management of Archaeological Projects (English Heritage 1991) and and the Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (EH 2006). It was monitored by Mr Jones, including a site visit on 7 December 2009.

## The site

1.3 The site occupies an area of approximately $5080 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and lies entirely within the Stokes Croft Conservation Area (Fig. 2). The site comprises the former showroom of the Perry and Co Carriageworks, No. 4 Ashley Road and No. 104 Stokes Croft, as well as areas of hardstanding. It is currently overgrown and occupied by a number of caravans, lorries and mobile homes.
1.4 The site lies at approximately $25-26 \mathrm{~m}$ AOD and is relatively flat. The underlying solid geology of the area is mapped as Redcliffe Sandstone of the Triassic period (BGS 1971). The natural substrate, comprising pink clay, was exposed at the base of both trenches.

## Archaeological background

1.5 The site and its environs have been the subject of two separate client funded DeskBased Assessments (Leech 2006 and Randall 2006) as well as an evaluation conducted by Avon Archaeological Unit (AAU 2007). Collectively they detail activity
and occupation on the site from the 17th century onwards and the subsequent urbanisation of the area. The desk-based work postulates the existence of 17thcentury Civil War defences on the site. The report upon the evaluation suggested that there was a possibility that 17th and/or 18th century deposits possibly reflecting Civil War military structures survived on the site.
1.6 Civil War defences comprising a system of fieldworks with projecting V-shaped strong points or "Spurworks" linking forts at Brandon Hill via St Michaels Hill to Stokes Croft were constructed. Sources indicate that the defences took the form of earthen fieldworks (bank and ditch) with one or two (predominately one) V-shaped projections of the ramparts, known variously as Spurworks, Redoubts or Redans, some descriptions of these features can also be interpreted to include an element of masonry wall (AAU 2007, 9).
1.7 The fortification of the defensive line at Stokes Croft was built in 1642 to control the road into Bristol from the north. The fortification is described by contemporary Royalist engineer Bernard de Gomme:
"a great Spurreworck in the Line, and a strong high Traverse, or Fore worck, watching \& shutting up the highwaye, with a strong port of timber barres on the East side of it" (Leech 2006).
1.8 The precise location of this fortification is unclear, however several sources place it running through the site, notably Rocque's map of 1742 which shows a gate, possibly that described by de Gomme, blocking the highway across Stokes Croft, to the south of what is now Nine Tree Hill and Ashley Road, from this the location of the spurwork can be suggested to be within the site. The layout of buildings seen on later 19th-century maps (notably the 1828 Ashmead and Plumley Map, 1885 1st Edition OS and a solicitors plan of 1890, suggest the possible location of the Civil War defences fossilised in the later building plan (AAU 2007, 9).
1.9 Other sources however place the location of the Civil War defences south of the Study Area; Russell $(1995,24)$ shows the layout of the defences running from Priors Hill Fort, on modern day Somerset Street, running in a straight line south-east to the junction of City Road and Stokes Croft. During the construction of Jamaica Road in 1867 a section of what was assumed to be the Civil War ditch was exposed, the ditch was roughly 3 m wide and contained a burial (Russell 1995, 26). Ashmead's

1828 Plan of the City of Bristol and its Suburbs possibly indicates that the line of the defences lay south of the site on the same line as that suggested by Russell. However it does not indicate the presence of spurworks (AAU 2007, 9).

No detailed sources relating to the site have so far been traced dating earlier than the 18th century. However the site had been part of the lands of St James's Priory in the Middle Ages. Rocque's map of 1742 is the earliest detailed map depicting the site and shows buildings fronting Stokes Croft and a building on the corner of the junction with Ashley Road. Ashmead's map of 1828 shows the same building plan as on Rocque's map, although the south side of Ashley Road had been redeveloped with housing by this time. Ashmead's map is the first to indicate the presence of a triangular structure within the site, which appears on later maps and on aerial photographs dating to 1947; the triangular structure was presumably demolished along with other buildings to make way for redevelopment in the 1960s. In 1862 Edwin W. Godwin was commissioned to design a new coach works (No. 104) to the south of the original Westmoreland House (No. 106N). In 1916 the building was sold into private ownership to Andersons Rubber Company who occupied the building at least until 1933. Residential and commercial occupation of the site continues at least into the 1950s. The site appears to have been unaffected by World War Two bombing. Plans for the current Westmoreland House were submitted in 1963 when approval of Listed Building Consent was granted for the demolition of No. 2 Ashley Road (Leech 2006). The last remaining residential building on the site (No. 4 Ashley Road) was still extant at the time of study (AAU 2007, 10).

## Archaeological objectives

1.11 The objectives of the evaluation were to:

- enable the importance of the surviving archaeologicy in national and local terms to be assessed and to guide the production of a suitable mitigation strategy.
- attempt to establish a full date range of occupation of the site, by means of selected areas for detailed examination of the full stratigraphic sequence.
- establish the nature and quality of survival of the archaeological resource within the site, and in particular the presence or absence of Civil War defensive structures within their site, and their character and extent.
- establish the degree to which archaeological deposits and features have been disturbed by more recent activity, if any.
- establish the importance of surviving archaeological features and thus the proper strategy for managing those features.


## Methodology

1.12 The fieldwork comprised the excavation of two trenches (trench 1; 10m long and 2 m wide and trench 2; 10 m long and 5 m wide), in the locations shown on the attached plan (Fig. 2).
1.13 All trenches were excavated by mechanical excavator equipped with a toothless grading bucket. All machine excavation was undertaken under constant archaeological supervision to the top of the first significant archaeological horizon or the natural substrate, whichever was encountered first. Where archaeological deposits were encountered they were excavated by hand in accordance with CA Technical Manual 1: Fieldwork Recording Manual (2007).
1.14 Deposits were assessed for their palaeoenvironmental potential in accordance with CA Technical Manual 2: The Taking and Processing of Environmental and Other Samples from Archaeological Sites (2003) and no deposits were identified that required sampling. All artefacts recovered were processed in accordance with CA Technical Manual 3: Treatment of Finds Immediately After Excavation (1995). The large bulk of material dates to the 18th and 19th centuries and none of the finds will be retained.
1.15 The archive from the evaluation is currently held by CA at their offices in Kemble. The site archive will be deposited with Bristol's Museums, Galleries and Archives under accession number BRSMG 2009/86. A summary of information from this project, set out within Appendix D, will be entered onto the OASIS online database of archaeological projects in Britain.

## 2. RESULTS (FIGS 2-3)

2.1 This section provides an overview of the evaluation results; detailed summaries of the recorded contexts and finds are to be found in Appendices $A$ and $B$ respectively. Details of the relative heights of the principal deposits and features expressed as metres Above Ordnance Datum (m AOD) appear in Appendix C.
2.2 Archaeological features were identified within both of the evaluation trenches, however a deeper stratigraphical sequence of deposits was encountered within trench 2. The natural clay substrate was identified at a depth of approximately 0.55 m below present ground level (bpgl; c. 25.1m AOD) within trench 1, whilst in trench 2 it was exposed at a depth of 1.7 m bpgl (c. 24.2 m AOD).

## Trench 1

2.3 In the central part of the trench, it was evident that the foundation cut for wall 106 cut directly into the natural clay and this was not investigated further by hand excavation. The wall was aligned approximately north/south and comprised limestone blocks bonded with a lime mortar containing cinder inclusions, typical of an early 18th to 19th-century date. Within trench 1 it survived to a height of at least 0.5 m and was 0.6 m wide. Further south, this wall survived above ground level to a height of approximately 3 m , preserved behind the rear wall of Westmoreland House.
2.4 Towards the eastern end of trench, the natural substrate was cut by broad, shallow feature 120, which possibly represents the western side of a cultivation feature. It was filled by deposit 115 , up to 0.3 m thick, which comprised charcoal-rich clayey silt. This material was overlain by deposit 114, again 0.3 m thick, and consisting of clayey silt. Finds recovered from context 114 included pottery dated to the late 18th to 19th centuries, and those from 115 comprised pottery of a similar date to that within 114, as well as small quantities of clay tobacco pipe, animal bone and window glass.
2.5 Deposit 114 was cut by linear feature 116, which was aligned north/south and measured 1.2 m in width and 0.3 m in depth. It was steep-sided, had a flat base and contained primary fill 118, comprising loose demolition debris, including mortar, limestone, brick rubble and slate. This material contained finds of late 17th to 18th-
century date or later and was overlain by laminated, compacted gravel deposit 117, up to 0.1 m thick. It is likely that this material represents a surface, possibly a path.
2.7 Context 117 was sealed by dark brown clayey silt layer 110 , which was 0.1 m thick. This deposit was then overlain by levelling material 109 and concrete floor and screed material 107. Surface 107 was abutted by modern debris 108, possibly associated with the previous evaluation in 2007 (see Fig. 2 for location), as was the eastern side of wall 106.
2.8 To the west of wall 106, the natural clay was overlain by a thin layer of greyish brown clayey silt 104 which represented levelling for overlying flagstone surface 103. This contained artefactual material dated to the 18th to 19th centuries. The floor comprised greenish-grey Pennant Sandstone flags, some square and complete and others irregularly shaped. The surface abutted wall 119, which was constructed from brick laid on Pennant Sandstone foundations. Both materials were bonded with the same lime mortar, which contained crushed ceramic building material, unlike that used in wall 106. Wall 119 survived up to 0.6 m in height and was visible on the existing surface prior to excavation of the trench.
2.9 In the north-western corner of the trench, the flagged surface was cut through for the insertion of modern brick-built drain 101. The drain was abutted by a loose layer of modern demolition rubble, 102, up to 0.45 m thick. This was in turn sealed by overburden 100, probably representing a spread of material generated by the previous evaluation.

## Trench 2

The earliest feature identified within trench 2 comprised angular, stepped cut 248 of which, due to truncation, little evidence survived. It was filled by loose clinker 241, and was cut to the east and west by features 240 and 247 respectively.
2.11 Context 240 would appear to represent the foundation cut for the construction of wall 213, and investigation revealed that the cut corresponds broadly with the base of the wall. It measured 1.2 m in width and 0.65 m in depth and had a vertical western side and a flat base. It was filled by three deposits, the latest two of which abutted the wall. Deposit 250 comprised pink clay associated with primary silting and contained inclusions of mortar, as well as finds comprising residual medieval pottery, and clay tobacco pipe fragments. Secondary deposit 244 comprised loose clinker, up to 0.15 m thick, whilst the latest fill, 242 , comprised greyish brown silt which contained finds of 18th to 19th-century date.
2.12 Wall 213 appears to represent a continuation of the alignment of wall 106 in trench 1, and was of the same construction. Within trench 2 it survived to a height of 1.7 m and was 0.6 m wide. Two fragments of clay pipe were recovered from the mortar of the wall.
2.13 Clinker fill 241 was cut by broad feature 247, which extended beyond the western end of the trench. It was at least 3.7 m wide and 0.65 m deep and contained brown silty clay 243 , which was represented in the opposite section by context 206. This material was covered by deposit 237, which equated to context 205 in the southfacing section and comprised reddish-brown silty clay, up to 0.4 m thick. These two deposits appear to represent cultivation soils and feature 247 is likely to be a cultivation feature similar to feature 120 in Trench 1. Deposit 206/243 contained finds of pottery of 18th to 19th-century date, as well as small quantities of clay tobacco pipe and animal bone. Later deposit 205/237 contained pottery dated as 18th century or later, clay pipe, animal bone, window glass and a copper-alloy mount.
2.14 In the north-facing section of the trench, foundation cut 240 and layers 205 and 206 were cut by irregular feature 249 which contained multiple fills, including contexts 232 and 236 which comprised two different deposits of redeposited natural pink clay. Stratigraphically later than deposit 205 and feature 249 was a series of modern levelling, or dumped, deposits 201, 233 and 234, above which was concrete surface 200.
2.15 Trench 3 of the previous evaluation had removed some of the relationships between later deposits within trench 2, therefore it was not possible to define their exact limits. Within the south facing section of trench 2, layer 205 was cut by steep-sided
pit 207, which measured 2.05 m in width and 0.7 m in depth. It was filled by deposit 208 which comprised a loose mix of the same material as context 205, together with limestone rubble and lime mortar. Pit 207 was sealed by layer 204 (not illustrated (ni)) which equated to AAU's context 318 and contained finds dated to the 19th century (AAU 2007, 14). This deposit was cut by vertically-sided pit 210, 1.15 m wide and at least 0.8 m deep, although the base was difficult to define where it cut through lose deposit 208. The fill of pit 210, context 209, contained pottery dated to the 18th to 19th centuries, as well as a small quantity of ceramic building material and window glass.
2.16 Layer 204 (ni) was sealed by the same sequence of deposits as recorded in the AAU evaluation, and the composition of structure 211, together with its relationships with adjacent contexts was found to be the same as those recorded by AAU. However, cut 215 (ni), which equates to AAU context 309 would appear to represent a continuation of cut 240, the foundation cut for wall 213.
2.17 The wall of a cellar, previously exposed in the earlier evaluation, was bonded to the eastern side of wall 213. The modern rubble backfill was partially removed by mechanical excavation to expose the base of the cellar. The top of this floor was revealed at a depth of 24.08 m AOD, which is deeper than the surface of the natural substrate, and generally deeper than the bases of the deepest features.

## The Finds

Artefactual material comprising quantities of pottery, ceramic building material, glass, clay tobacco pipe, iron and copper-alloy objects, plaster and animal bone were recovered from 18 separate deposits (Appendix B). The large bulk of material dates to the 18th and 19th centuries and none of the finds will be retained.

## 3. DISCUSSION

3.1 Building on the results of the previous AAU evaluation, the current evaluation has further characterised the archaeological deposits surviving within the site and the archaeological potential for the proposed development as a whole.
3.2 The results of the latest fieldwork concur in the main with the results of the previous investigations, demonstrating that the site is not likely to have been developed until the earlier part of the 18th century. No certain evidence for the presence of features associated with the Civil War defences, postulated as extending through the site, was encountered during the current project. It would now appear that the feature previously interpreted as possibly associated with the Civil War defences is related to the construction of wall 106/213 in the 18th century. Although much truncated and currently undated, there is a possibility that feature 248 encountered in the current evaluation may relate to the defences, however it should be noted that it did not appear in the northern half of the trench and it is unlikely to be a defensive feature.
3.3 The documentary evidence supports an early 18th century date for the construction of wall $106 / 213$, probably as a boundary to the rear of properties fronting onto Stokes Croft. It is documented that John Brock secured the rights to build in Upper Stokes Croft in 1729 and subsequently constructed No. 106, together with a similar house on its south side (BCC 2009).
3.4 Records also attest to part of Upper Stokes Croft being leased to George Adams, a market gardener (ibid.). It is possible therefore, that the presumed cultivation features recorded within both trenches 1 and 2 (features 111, 120 and 247) may relate to this use of the site at that time.
3.5 Rocque's map of Bristol, dated 1742, depicts buildings adjacent to the site, fronting Stokes Croft, with a building on the south corner of the junction with Ashley Road. This may suggest a date for construction of wall $106 / 213$ as sometime between around 1729 and 1742.
3.6 Features post-dating the earliest development of the site undoubtedly relate to occupation of the site by the carriage works dating to the mid 19th century, as well as subsequent activity associated with Andersons Rubber Company from the early 20th century and the construction of Westmoreland House in 1963.

## 4. CA PROJECT TEAM

Fieldwork was undertaken by Mark Brett, assisted by Andrew Donald, Ray Holt, Alex Mulhall and Sian Reynish. The report was written by Mark Brett, assisted by Jessica

Cook. The illustrations were prepared by Lorna Gray. The archive has been compiled by Mark Brett, and prepared for deposition by Victoria Taylor. The project was managed for CA by Richard Young.
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## APPENDIX A: CONTEXT DESCRIPTIONS

Trench 1

| No. | Type | Description | Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | Width <br> (m) | Depth <br> (m) | Spot- date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | Layer | Modern spread, mid red brown and mottled pink brown gritty clay with very frequent modern inclusions. Associated with AAU evaluation |  |  | <0.18 |  |
| 101 | Masonry | Modern brick drain |  |  | <0.5 |  |
| 102 | Layer | Modern rubble, brick and stone layer with glass and tile |  |  | $<0.45$ |  |
| 103 | Masonry | Pennant sandstone flagstone floor, butts wall 119 |  | <0.7 |  |  |
| 104 | Layer | Dark grey brown clay silt with frequent mortar, cbm, and sandstone tile |  |  | <0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C18- } \\ & \text { C19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 105 | Layer | Natural substrate, clay |  |  | n/k |  |
| 106 | Masonry | Poss. 18th Century limestone E-W wall |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | Layer | Modern concrete floor |  |  | <0.15 |  |
| 108 | Deposit | Modern brick and concrete rubble overburden, possible AAU evaluation trench backfill |  |  | <0.25 |  |
| 109 | Layer | Levelling deposit for 107, dark grey clay with abundant stone, cbm and mortar |  |  | n/k |  |
| 110 | Layer | Probable buried ground, dark brown clayey silt with frequent charcoal and occasional mortar, cbm and shell |  |  | <0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LC18- } \\ & \text { C19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 111 | Cut | Possible linear garden feature, filled by 112 and 113 |  | 1.25 | <0.27 |  |
| 112 | Deposit | Upper fill of 111, mid pink brown clayey silt with frequent mortar, gravel and charcoal. Possible domestic cultivation material |  |  | <0.15 |  |
| 113 | Deposit | Lower fill of 111, mid brown clayey silt with frequent charcoal and occasional gravel, shell and mortar. Possible domestic cultivation material |  |  | 0.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C18- } \\ & \text { C19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 114 | Layer | Possible garden soil, mid red brown clayey silt with frequent charcoal and occasional stones, coal and shell |  |  | n/k | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LC18- } \\ & \text { C19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 115 | Layer | Fill of 120, mid brown and mottled dark pink clayey silt with abundant charcoal and occasional cbm, mortar and shell. Possible domestic cultivation material |  |  | 0.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LC18- } \\ & \text { C19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 116 | Cut | Linear N-S trench, filled by 117 and 118, purpose unknown |  | <1.2 | 0.3 |  |
| 117 | Deposit | Upper fill of 116, mid pink brown sand and gravel. Possible compacted surface |  |  | <0.1 |  |
| 118 | Deposit | Lower fill of 116 , limestone rubble in a crushed mortar matrix with frequent plaster, cbm, slate and charcoal. Possible foundation for 117 |  |  | n/k | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LC17- } \\ & \text { C18+ } \end{aligned}$ |
| 119 | Masonry | Brick wall on pennant sandstone foundation, butts wall 106 |  | $>0.23$ | >0.6 |  |
| 120 | Cut | Linear NNW-SSE cut, possible garden feature, filled by 115 |  |  | 0.3 |  |

## Trench 2

| No. | Type | Description | Length <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Width <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Depth <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Spot- <br> date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 200 | Layer | Modern concrete, same as 301 in AAU evaluation <br> trench |  |  | 0.28 |  |
| 201 | Layer | Rubble, same as 326 in AAU evaluation trench |  |  | 0.4 | modern |
| 202 | Layer | Silt, same as 325 in AAU evaluation trench |  |  | 0.2 | C19+ |
| 203 | Layer | Rubble, same as 324 in AAU evaluation trench |  |  | 0.24 | modern |


| 204 | Layer | Possible levelling deposit, same as 318 in AAU evaluation trench |  | 0.3 | C19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 205 | Layer | Possible levelling deposit, red brown clay with frequent charcoal and occasional cbm, and limestone fragments |  | 0.3 |  |
| 206 | Layer | Possible buried ground, mid brown clay with moderate charcoal and occasional limestone fragments |  | 0.45 |  |
| 207 | Cut | Cut of unknown shape filled by 208, purpose unknown | 2.1 | >0.3 |  |
| 208 | Deposit | Fill of 207, yellow white lime mortar and limestone fragments with patches of re-deposited 205 at the base |  | >0.3 |  |
| 209 | Deposit | Fill of 210, mid brown silty clay with patches of redeposited red clay | 0.64 | 0.86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} 18- \\ & \mathrm{C} 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 210 | Cut | Cut of unknown shape, filled by 209, purpose unknown | 0.64 | 0.86 |  |
| 211 | Masonry | Wall, same as 311 in AAU evaluation trench |  |  |  |
| 212 | Cut | Foundation trench for 211, same as 327 in AAU evaluation trench |  |  |  |
| 213 | Masonry | Wall, same as 307 in AAU evaluation trench |  |  |  |
| 214 | Masonry | Concrete N-S cellar wall, butts 213 | 0.2 |  |  |
| 215 | Cut | Foundation trench for 211, same as 309 in AAU evaluation trench |  |  |  |
|  |  | Contexts 216 - 229 not used |  |  |  |
| 230 | Layer | Modern concrete, same as 200 |  | 0.2 |  |
| 231 | Layer | Rubble, levelling for 230, same as 201 |  | 0.5 |  |
| 232 | Deposit | Possible levelling deposit, dark red brown clay with occasional large stones |  | 0.75 |  |
| 233 | Deposit | Possible levelling deposit, dark brown silt with occasional rubble |  | 0.40 | modern |
| 234 | Deposit | Possible levelling deposit, dark grey brown silt with occasional rubble |  | 0.25 |  |
| 235 | Deposit | Lens of dark brown silt with patches of red brown clay | 0.7 | 0.85 |  |
| 236 | Deposit | Dump of possible re-deposited natural, mid red brown clay | 2.6 | 0.7 |  |
| 237 | Deposit | Possible levelling, dark grey brown silty clay, same as 205 |  | 0.45 | C18+ |
| 238 | Deposit | Secondary capping deposit, dark blue brown clayey silt | 0.80 | 0.15 |  |
| 239 | Deposit | Primary capping deposit, mid brown clay | 1.2 | 0.3 |  |
| 240 | Cut | Construction cut for wall 246, filled by 250, 244 and 242 | 1.2 | 0.65 |  |
| 241 | Deposit | Primary fill of 248, black ashy sandy grit | 0.5 | 0.6 |  |
| 242 | Deposit | Upper fill of 240, dark grey brown silt | 1.2 | 0.55 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} 18- \\ & \mathrm{C} 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 243 | Deposit | Primary fill of 247, mid brown silty clay, possible redeposited buried ground |  | 0.4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} 18- \\ & \mathrm{C} 19 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 244 | Deposit | Primary fill of 240, black grey ashy backfill | 1.2 | 0.15 |  |
| 245 | Layer | Natural substrate, mid red brown clay |  | n/k |  |
| 246 | Masonry | 18th Century limestone wall |  | 1.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} 17- \\ & \mathrm{C} 19+ \end{aligned}$ |
| 247 | Cut | Cut of unknown shape, filled by 243, purpose unknown |  | 0.45 |  |
| 248 | Cut | Cut of unknown shape, filled by 241, purpose unknown |  |  |  |
| 249 | Cut | Re-cut, filled by 232, 239, 236 and 235 | 3.8 |  |  |
| 250 | Deposit | Primary silting in 240 | 1.2 | 0.05 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LC17- } \\ & \text { C18 } \end{aligned}$ |

## APPENDIX B: THE FINDS

Artefactual material comprising quantities of pottery, ceramic building material, glass, clay tobacco pipe, iron and copper-alloy objects, plaster and animal bone were recovered from 18 separate deposits (Appendix B). The large bulk of material dates to the 18th and 19th centuries and none of the finds will be retained. Pottery codes referred to in this report relate to the Bristol Pottery type series (summarised in Ponsford 1998).

A small quantity of medieval pottery was recovered from fill 250 . This small group comprised mainly bodysherds from Bristol Redcliffe ware (BPT 118), including a sherd from a jug with applied decoration dating to $c .1300$. The medieval sherds from this deposit are heavily abraded and seemingly residual, occurring with clay pipe fragments of late 17 th or early 18th century date. The remainder of the recovered pottery dates to the post-medieval or modern period, with most of 19th century date. Most abundant and largely dating after c. 1750 are unglazed 'flowerpot' type earthenwares (BPT 201); earthenwares with clear internal glaze (BPT 264) and refined whitewares (Creamware/Pearlware BPT 326). In addition there are a few sherds dateable to the later 17th or early/mid 18th century, including white salt-glazed stoneware (BPT 179); tin-glazed earthenware (BPT 100) and Westerwald stoneware (BPT 95). The latter type, occurring as a bodyshherd from deposit 237, is a German import and the only non-English piece recovered.

Little among the other material recovered was notable. Quantities of ceramic building material comprise mainly pan tile roofing fragments, probably of 18th or earlier 19th century date. Clay tobacco pipe fragments comprise mainly stem fragments which are broadly dateable. A cast copper-alloy object from deposit 237 is an openwork mount, although its specific function is unclear. It occurs with pottery of late 17th to 18th century date and itself probably dates to this period or later.

Animal bone was recovered from seven deposits (Appendix B). Fragments securely identifiable to species include a cow tooth from layer 115 and a sheep/goat scapula from fill 243 . Other species probably present include dog and pig. Fragments not identifiable to species are classified as cow-sized and sheep-sized. Burnt bone was present in the form of a cow-sized fragment from fill 118. Fragments from a juvenile individual were present in construction cut fill 242 and butchery was noted in the form of saw marks on a sheep or pig radius fragment form layer 1

## References:
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| Context | Description | Count | Weight(g) | Spot-date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 | Animal bone: sheep or pig; sawn <br> Fe object: nail? <br> Metallurgical residues: ironworking slag <br> Window glass: clear <br> Vessel glass: green (bottle) <br> Post-medieval/modern pottery: flowerpot (BPT 201) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & - \\ & 10 \\ & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | C18-C19 |
| 110 | Post-medieval/modern pottery: flowerpot; creamware | 5 | 16 | LC18-C19 |
| 113 | ```Post-medieval/modern pottery: clear glazed earthenware (BPT264) Clay tobacco pipe: stem Vessel glass: green (bottle) Plaster Glass waste``` | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | C18-C19 |
| 114 | Post-medieval/modern pottery: creamware (BPT 326); flowerpot (BPT 201) <br> Animal bone: dog (?) | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $4$ $7$ | LC18-C19 |
| 115 | Clay tobacco pipe: stem <br> Post-medieval/modern pottery: clear glazed earthenware (BPT264); Somerset glazed earthenware (BPT 285); creamware (BPT 326) <br> Animal bone: cow, cow-sized <br> Window glass: clear | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & 5 \\ & \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 87 \\ & 11 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | LC18-C19 |


| 118 | Post-medieval/modern pottery: unglazed earthenware; North Devon <br> Gravel-tempered (BPT 112) <br> CBM: pantile <br> Plaster <br> Fe object: strip <br> Animal bone (burnt): cow-sized | 2 1 1 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 12 \\ & 7 \\ & - \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LC17- } \\ & \text { C18+ } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201 | CBM: misc tile <br> Post-medieval/modern pottery: ref. whiteware (BPT 202) <br> Window glass: safety glass | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 154 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | mod |
| 202 | Window glass: clear CBM: pantile | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9 \\ & 149 \end{aligned}$ | C19+ |
| 203 | CBM: pantile <br> Window glass: safety glass Copper-alloy object: strip | 4 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 282 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | modern |
| 204 | CBM: pantile; brick <br> Clay tobacco pipe: mould-decorated bowl frag. <br> Copper-alloy obj: strip | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \\ & 1 \\ & -\quad \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | C19 |
| 209 | CBM: pantile <br> Post-medieval/modern pottery: porcelain (BPT 185) Window glass: clear | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 198 \\ & 209 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | C18-C19 |
| 233 | Ceramic drainpipe: | 1 | 26 | modern |
| 237 | Clay tobacco pipe: stem <br> Animal bone: sheep-sized <br> Post-medieval/modern pottery: clear glazed earthenware (BPT264); <br> Window glass: clear <br> Westerwald stoneware (BPT 95) <br> Copper-alloy object: openwork mount | 3 1 2 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 7 \\ & 110 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | C18+ |
| 242 | Clay tobacco pipe: stem <br> Post-medieval/modern pottery: tin-glazed earthenware; white saltglaze stoneware; flowerpot <br> CBM: misc. tile <br> Coal <br> Animal bone: sheep (juvenile), cow-sized (juvenile) <br> Marine shell: oyster | 2 5 1 1 1 2 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 24 \\ & \\ & 11 \\ & 7 \\ & 31 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | C18-C19 |
| 243 | Clay tobacco pipe: stem <br> Post-medieval/modern pottery: flowerpot (BPT 201); white saltglaze stoneware (BPT 179); tin glazed earthenware (BPT 99) <br> Animal bone: sheep/goat, sheep-sized | 4 5 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 30 \\ & \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | C18-C19 |
| 246 | Clay tobacco pipe: stem | 2 | 7 | C17-C19+ |
| 250 | Medieval pottery: Bristol glazed ware (BPT 118); Ham Green coarseware (BPT 32); Minety ware (BPT 18/84) <br> Clay tobacco pipe: bowl frag.; stem <br> Stone: Pennant; limestone; burnt slate | 6 2 4 | 28 <br> 6 <br> 45 | LC17-C18 |
| 250 | CBM: misc. tile | 1 | 19 | Pmed+ |

## APPENDIX C: LEVELS OF PRINCIPAL DEPOSITS AND STRUCTURES

Levels are expressed as metres below current ground level and as metres Above Ordnance Datum (AOD), calculated using the benchmark located at 25 Ashley Road ( 24.84 m AOD).

|  | Trench 1 | Trench 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Current ground level | 0.00 m | 0.00 m |
|  | $(25.48 \mathrm{~m}-$ | $(25.83 \mathrm{~m}-$ |
|  | $25.75 \mathrm{~m})$ | $25.92 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Top of C18th wall | 0.08 m | 0.25 m |
|  | $(25.54 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(25.74 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Top of cultivation soils | 0.28 m | 0.75 m |
|  | $(25.21 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(25.11 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Top of natural substrate | 0.43 m | 1.32 m |
|  | $(25.15 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(24.51 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Limit of excavation | 0.95 m | 2.09 m |
|  | $(24.52 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(23.87 \mathrm{~m})$ |

Upper figures are depth below modern ground level; lower figures in parentheses are metres AOD.

## APPENDIX D: OASIS REPORT FORM

| PROJECT DETAILS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name | Westmoreland House, Stokes Croft, Bristol |  |
| Short description (250 words maximum) | An archaeological evaluation was undertaken by Cotswold Archaeology in December 2009 on land at Westmoreland House, Stokes Croft, Bristol. Two trenches were excavated. <br> The evaluation encountered a number of walls and surfaces, as well as cut features, such as pits and features most likely associated with cultivation. The results of the evaluation indicate that the site is not likely to have been developed until the earlier part of the eighteenth century. <br> Contrary to the findings of a previous archaeological investigation, no evidence for the presence of features associated with Bristol's Civil War defences, postulated as extending through the site, was encountered during the current project. Rather, the feature previously interpreted as possibly associated with the Civil War defences would appear to be related to the construction of a wall in the eighteenth century. <br> Later features include those most probably associated with occupation of the site by a carriage works dating to the mid nineteenth century, as well as subsequent activity associated with a rubber company from the early twentieth century and the construction of the multi-storey Westmoreland House in 1963. |  |
| Project dates | 3-9 December 2009 |  |
| Project type | Evaluation |  |
| Previous work (reference to organisation or SMR numbers etc) | Evaluation, AAU 2007. BUAD no. 4338; Accession no. BRSMG 2007/21 |  |
| Future work | Unknown |  |
| PROJECT LOCATION |  |  |
| Site Location | Westmoreland House, Ashley Road, Stokes Croft, Bristol |  |
| Study area ( $\mathrm{M}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) |  |  |
| Site co-ordinates (8 Fig Grid Reference) | ST 59177406 |  |
| PROJECT CREATORS |  |  |
| Name of organisation | Cotswold Archaeology |  |
| Project Brief originator | Bristol City Council |  |
| Project Design (WSI) originator | Cotswold Archaeology |  |
| Project Manager | Richard Young |  |
| Project Supervisor | Mark Brett |  |
| PROJECT ARCHIVES | Intended final location of archive <br> (museum/Accession no.) | Content (e.g. pottery, animal bone etc) |
| Physical | Bristol City Museum BRSMG 2009/86 | Pottery, CBM, clay pipe, metal, animal bone, glass, plaster |
| Paper | Bristol City Museum BRSMG 2009/86 | Context sheets, trench recording forms, levels registers, photographic registers, permatrace drawings, bulk finds record |


| Digital | Bristol City Museum <br> BRSMG 2009/86 | Digital photographs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY |  |  |
| CA (Cotswold Archaeology) 2009 Westmoreland House, Stokes Croft, Bristol: Archaeological Evaluation, CA <br> report 09214 |  |  |
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7 Trench 2, looking north (section BB)
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