

Project name: Land West of Langford Road, Henlow, Bedfordshire

Client: CgMs Consulting

Job ref: **J9910** 

June 2016

# **GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT**

Project name:	Job ref: <b>J9910</b>	
Land West of Langford Road,	19910	
Henlow, Bedfordshire		
Client:		
CgMs Consulting		
Survey date:	Report date:	
16th - 17th May 2016	June 2016	
Field team:	Project Manager:	
Stephen Weston BA (Hons)	Simon Haddrell BEng(Hons) AMBCS PCIFA	
Christian Adams BA (Hons)		
Samuel Wood		
Lukasz Krawec BSC		
Rosie Everett BA (Hons)		
Report written by:	Report approved by:	
Rosie Everett BA (Hons)	David Elks MSc ACIFA	
Rebecca Davies BSc (Hons)		
CAD illustrations by:	Site Director:	
Rebecca Davies BSc (Hons)	Peter Barker CEng MICE MCIWEM MICFA FCInstCES	
Version number and issue date:	Amendments:	
V2 06/06/2016	New area surveyed in south.	

# STRATASCAN LTD

Vineyard House Upper Hook Road Upton upon Severn Worcestershire WR8 0SA United Kingdom



T: 01684 592266 F: 01684 594142 info@stratascansumo.com <u>www.stratascan.co.uk</u>

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	1
2	INTRODUCTION	1
	METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION	
4	RESULTS	3
5	DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT	4
6	CONCLUSION	. 4
7	REFERENCES	5
App	endix A - Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method	6
Apr	endix B - Technical Information: Magnetic Theory	8

Job ref: **J9910** 

Date: June 2016

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 01	1:25 000	Location plan of survey area
Figure 02	1:1500	Location of survey grids and referencing
Figure 03	1:1500	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values - overview
Figure 04	1:1000	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values - north
Figure 05	1:1000	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values - south
Figure 06	1:1500	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data - overview
Figure 07	1:1000	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data - north
Figure 08	1:1000	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data - south
Figure 09	1:1500	Abstraction and interpretation of gradiometer anomalies - overview
Figure 10	1:1000	Abstraction and interpretation of gradiometer anomalies - north
Figure 11	1:1000	Abstraction and interpretation of gradiometer anomalies - south

Job ref: **J9910 CgMs Consulting** Date: June 2016 Client:

#### 1 **SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

A detailed gradiometry survey was conducted over approximately 6.1 hectares of arable farmland. No features of archaeological origin have been detected, despite the potential for prehistoric remains, and there is no evidence to substantiate the speculative route of a Roman road. Evidence of modern ploughing and a trackway indicate that the site has been used for agricultural purposes in recent times. The remaining features are natural or modern and include area of natural magnetic variation, possible land drains, areas of magnetic disturbance and magnetic spikes.

#### 2 INTRODUCTION

## 2.1 Background synopsis

Stratascan were commissioned to undertake a geophysical survey of an area outlined for residential development. This survey forms part of an archaeological investigation being undertaken by CgMs Consulting.

## 2.2 Site Details

NGR / Postcode	TI 175 393 / SG16 6AF		
Location	The site is lies to the north of Henlow, Bedfordshire and is bound to the east by Langford Road and a tributary to the River Ivel to the west.		
Planning Authority	Central Bedfordshire		
HER/SMR	Central Bedfordshire and Luton		
Unitary Authority	Central Bedfordshire		
Parish	Henlow Civil Parish		
Topography	Mostly flat		
Current Land Use	Arable		
Weather Conditions	Dry, clear		
Soils	The overlying soils are known as Sutton 1, which are typical argillic brown earths. These consist of fine and coarse loamy soils (Soil Survey of England and Wales, Sheet 4 Eastern England).		
Geology	The underlying geology comprises sandstone of Woburn Sands Formation. The drift geology across the majority of the site comprises Glaciofluvial Deposits of sand and gravel. Superficial deposits of Alluvium - clay, silt, sand and gravel, are recorded across the western edge of the site (British Geological Survey website).		

Project Name: Land West of Langford Road, Henlow, Bedfordshire

Job ref: **J9910 CgMs Consulting** Client: Date: June 2016

Archaeology	Extract from: "Land West of Langford Road, Henlow - Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment" (CgMs Consulting, 2016):  "There are no Scheduled Monuments or other designated assets on the application site.  A highly speculative route of a Roman road is recorded on the HER traversing the site in a south-west to north-east alignment. In addition, a moderate potential is identified for Bronze Age and Iron Age evidence."	
Survey Methods	Detailed magnetic survey (gradiometry)	
Study Area	c. 7.5ha - approximately 1.4ha could not be surveyed due to plastic sheeting over crops, reducing the total area surveyed to 6.1ha.	

# 2.3 Aims and objectives

To locate and characterise any anomalies of possible archaeological interest within the study

# **METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION**

## 3.1 Standards & Guidance

This report and all fieldwork have been conducted in accordance with the latest guidance documents issued by Historic England (2008) and the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2002 & 2014).

Stratascan Ltd are a Registered Organisation with the CIfA and are committed to upholding its policies and standards.

## 3.2 Survey methods

Due to the moderate potential for Bronze Age and Iron Age remains, detailed magnetic survey was used as an efficient and effective method of locating archaeological anomalies.

More information regarding this technique is included in Appendix A.

# 3.3 **Processing**

The following schedule shows the basic processing carried out on the data used in this report:

- 1. Destripe
- 2. Destagger

## 3.4 Presentation of results and interpretation

The presentation of the data for each site involves a plot of the minimally processed data as a greyscale plot and a colour plot showing extreme magnetic values. Magnetic anomalies have been identified and plotted onto the 'Interpretation of Anomalies' drawing.

When interpreting the results several factors are taken into consideration, including the nature of archaeological features being investigated and the local conditions at the site (geology, pedology, topography etc.). Anomalies are categorised by their potential origin. Where responses

can be related to very specific known features documented in other sources, this is done (for example: Abbey Wall, Roman Road). For the generic categories levels of confidence are indicated, for example: probable, or possible archaeology. The former is used for a confident interpretation, based on anomaly definition and/or other corroborative data such as cropmarks. Poor anomaly definition, a lack of clear patterns to the responses and an absence of other supporting data reduces confidence, hence the classification "possible".

#### 4 **RESULTS**

The detailed magnetic gradiometer survey conducted at Henlow has not identified any anomalies that have been characterised as being either of a probable or possible archaeological origin. The following list of numbered anomalies refers to numerical labels on the interpretation plots.

#### 4.1 Probable Archaeology

No probable archaeology has been identified within the survey area.

#### Possible Archaeology 4.2

No possible archaeology has been identified within the survey area.

#### Medieval/Post-Medieval Agriculture 4.3

Evidence of modern ploughing [1] is visible across the site in the form of magnetically weak, closely spaced, parallel linear anomalies. Areas of scattered magnetic debris, forming a linear anomaly, [2] are related to a trackway visible on OS mapping from 1975-1993.

#### **Other Anomalies** 4.4

Positive linear anomalies [3] in the south-west of the site may be related to land drains, though their exact origin is unknown. Areas of enhanced magnetic variation across the site [4] are of natural origin. The responses focussed along the western edge of the site correspond with an area of alluvium (BGS, 2016). Areas of magnetic disturbance [5] are the result of nearby ferrous metal objects, such as fences while smaller ferrous anomalies, or 'magnetic spikes' [6], indicate ferrous objects which are likely to be modern rubbish.

Job ref: **J9910** Client: CqMs Consulting Date: June 2016

#### 5 **DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT**

Both Woburn Sands Formation sandstone and Glaciofluvial deposits of sand and gravel can provide variable results for gradiometer survey, while alluvial deposits have the potential to mask weaker archaeological anomalies. The alluvial deposits on the site are of moderate strength, however possible land drains are visible among the responses. Evidence of ploughing shows a relatively high contrast in comparison to the background magnetic response, and as such it can be determined that the survey has been effective.

#### 6 CONCLUSION

The survey at Henlow has not identified any features of archaeological origin, despite the moderate potential for prehistoric remains. There is also no evidence of the speculative route of the Roman road mentioned in the desk-based assessment. A trackway and evidence of modern ploughing indicate that the site has a recent agricultural past. Large areas of amorphous magnetic variation are natural in origin, with the areas in the west related to alluvial deposits. The remaining features are modern in origin and relate to possible land drains, areas of magnetic disturbance, and magnetic spikes which are likely to be modern rubbish.

Job ref: **J9910** Client: **CgMs Consulting** Date: June 2016

#### 7 **REFERENCES**

British Geological Survey (BGS), n.d., website: (http://www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion1=1#maps) Geology of Britain viewer. [Accessed 11/01/2015]

CgMs Consulting, 2016. Land West of Langford Road, Henlow - Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment

Chartered Institute For Archaeologists. Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey. (http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GGeophysics 1.pdf)

English Heritage, 2008. Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation.

IfA 2002. The Use of Geophysical Techniques in Archaeological Evaluations, IFA Paper No 6, C. Gaffney, J. Gater and S. Ovenden. Institute for Archaeology, Reading

Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1983. Soils of England and Wales, Sheet 4 Eastern England

Job ref: **J9910** CgMs Consulting Date: June 2016 Client:

# **Appendix A - Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method**

## **Grid Positioning**

For hand held gradiometers the location of the survey grids has been plotted together with the referencing information. Grids were set out using a Trimble R8 Real Time Kinematic (RTK) VRS Now GNSS GPS system.

An RTK GPS (Real-time Kinematic Global Positioning System) can locate a point on the ground to a far greater accuracy than a standard GPS unit. A standard GPS suffers from errors created by satellite orbit errors, clock errors and atmospheric interference, resulting in an accuracy of 5m-10m. An RTK system uses a single base station receiver and a number of mobile units. The base station re-broadcasts the phase of the carrier it measured, and the mobile units compare their own phase measurements with those they received from the base station. This results in an accuracy of around 0.01m.

Technique	Instrument	Traverse Interval	Sample Interval
Magnetometer	Bartington Grad 601-2	1m	0.25m

## Instrumentation: Bartington Grad601-2

Bartington instruments operate in a gradiometer configuration which comprises fluxgate sensors mounted vertically, set 1.0m apart. The fluxgate gradiometer suppresses any diurnal or regional effects. The instruments are carried, or cart mounted, with the bottom sensor approximately 0.1-0.3m from the ground surface. At each survey station, the difference in the magnetic field between the two fluxgates is measured in nanoTesla (nT). The sensitivity of the instrument can be adjusted; for most archaeological surveys the most sensitive range (0.1nT) is used. Generally, features up to 1m deep may be detected by this method, though strongly magnetic objects may be visible at greater depths. The Bartington instrument can collect two lines of data per traverse with gradiometer units mounted laterally with a separation of 1.0m.

The readings are logged consecutively into the data logger which in turn is daily down-loaded into a portable computer whilst on site. At the end of each site survey, data is transferred to the office for processing and presentation.

### **Data Processing**

Zero Mean **Traverse Step Correction** (Destagger)

This process sets the background mean of each traverse within each grid to zero. The operation removes striping effects and edge discontinuities over the whole of the data set. When gradiometer data are collected in 'zig-zag' fashion, stepping errors can sometimes arise. These occur because of a slight difference in the speed of walking on the forward and reverse traverses. The result is a staggered effect in the data, which is particularly noticeable on linear anomalies. This process corrects these errors.

## Display

Greyscale/ Colourscale Plot This format divides a given range of readings into a set number of classes. Each class is represented by a specific shade of grey, the intensity increasing with value. All values above the given range are allocated the same shade (maximum intensity); similarly all values below the given range are represented by the minimum intensity shade. Similar plots can be produced in colour, either using a wide range of colours or by selecting two or three colours to represent positive and negative values. The assigned range (plotting levels) can be adjusted to emphasise different anomalies in the data-set.

Project Name: Land West of Langford Road, Henlow, Bedfordshire

Job ref: **J9910** CgMs Consulting Date: June 2016 Client:

## **Interpretation Categories**

In certain circumstances (usually when there is corroborative evidence from desk based or excavation data) very specific interpretations can be assigned to magnetic anomalies (for example, Roman Road, Wall, etc.) and where appropriate, such interpretations will be applied. The list below outlines the generic categories commonly used in the interpretation of the results.

Archaeology/Probable This term is used when the form, nature and pattern of the response are clearly or very Archaeology probably archaeological and /or if corroborative evidence is available. These anomalies,

whilst considered anthropogenic, could be of any age.

Possible Archaeology These anomalies exhibit either weak signal strength and / or poor definition, or form

> incomplete archaeological patterns, thereby reducing the level of confidence in the interpretation. Although the archaeological interpretation is favoured, they may be the result of variable soil depth, plough damage or even aliasing as a result of data collection

orientation.

Industrial / Strong magnetic anomalies that, due to their shape and form or the context in which they **Burnt-Fired** 

are found, suggest the presence of kilns, ovens, corn dryers, metalworking areas or hearths. It should be noted that in many instances modern ferrous material can produce

similar magnetic anomalies.

Former Field Boundary Anomalies that correspond to former boundaries indicated on historic mapping, or which

(probable & possible) are clearly a continuation of existing land divisions. Possible denotes less confidence where the anomaly may not be shown on historic mapping but nevertheless the anomaly

displays all the characteristics of a field boundary.

Ridge & Furrow Parallel linear anomalies whose broad spacing suggests ridge and furrow cultivation. In

some cases the response may be the result of more recent agricultural activity.

Agriculture Parallel linear anomalies or trends with a narrower spacing, sometimes aligned with

(ploughing) existing boundaries, indicating more recent cultivation regimes.

Land Drain Weakly magnetic linear anomalies, quite often appearing in series forming parallel and

> herringbone patterns. Smaller drains will often lead and empty into larger diameter pipes and which in turn usually lead to local streams and ponds. These are indicative of clay fired

land drains.

Natural These responses form clear patterns in geographical zones where natural variations are

known to produce significant magnetic distortions.

Magnetic Disturbance Broad zones of strong dipolar anomalies, commonly found in places where modern

ferrous or fired materials (e.g. brick rubble) are present. They are presumed to be modern.

Service Magnetically strong anomalies usually forming linear features indicative of ferrous

pipes/cables. Sometimes other materials (e.g. pvc) cause weaker magnetic responses and

can be identified from their uniform linearity crossing large expanses.

This type of response is associated with ferrous material and may result from small items Ferrous

in the topsoil, larger buried objects such as pipes, or above ground features such as fence lines or pylons. Ferrous responses are usually regarded as modern. Individual burnt

stones, fired bricks or igneous rocks can produce responses similar to ferrous material.

Uncertain Origin Anomalies which stand out from the background magnetic variation, yet whose form and

lack of patterning gives little clue as to their origin. Often the characteristics and distribution of the responses straddle the categories of Possible Archaeology and Possible Natural or (in the case of linear responses) Possible Archaeology and Possible Agriculture;

occasionally they are simply of an unusual form.

Where appropriate some anomalies will be further classified according to their form (positive or negative) and relative strength and coherence (trend: weak and poorly defined).

Job ref: **J9910** CgMs Consulting Date: June 2016 Client:

# Appendix B - Technical Information: Magnetic Theory

Detailed magnetic survey can be used to effectively define areas of past human activity by mapping spatial variation and contrast in the magnetic properties of soil, subsoil and bedrock. Although the changes in the magnetic field resulting from differing features in the soil are usually weak, changes as small as 0.2 nanoTeslas (nT) in an overall field strength of 48,000nT, can be accurately detected.

Weakly magnetic iron minerals are always present within the soil and areas of enhancement relate to increases in magnetic susceptibility and permanently magnetised thermoremanent material.

Magnetic susceptibility relates to the induced magnetism of a material when in the presence of a magnetic field. This magnetism can be considered as effectively permanent as it exists within the Earth's magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can become enhanced due to burning and complex biological or fermentation processes.

Thermoremanence is a permanent magnetism acquired by iron minerals that, after heating to a specific temperature known as the Curie Point, are effectively demagnetised followed by re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling. Thermoremanent archaeological features can include hearths and kilns and material such as brick and tile may be magnetised through the same process.

Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil creates a relative contrast against the much lower levels of magnetism within the subsoil into which the feature is cut. Systematic mapping of magnetic anomalies will produce linear and discrete areas of enhancement allowing assessment and characterisation of subsurface features. Material such as subsoil and non-magnetic bedrock used to create former earthworks and walls may be mapped as areas of lower enhancement compared to surrounding soils.

Magnetic survey is carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer which is a passive instrument consisting of two sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the top sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field whilst the lower sensor measures the same field but is also more affected by any localised buried field. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of a magnetic field created by a buried feature, if no field is present the difference will be close to zero as the magnetic field measured by both sensors will be the same.

Factors affecting the magnetic survey may include soil type, local geology, previous human activity, disturbance from modern services etc.



# **Your Survey Partner**

For a complete and complementary range of survey services.

# Survey services you can rely on

- Archaeological
- As Built Records
- Boundary Disputes
- CCTV
- Geophysical
- Laser Scanning
- Measured Building
- Pipeline Routes
- Railway
- Retrofit
- Setting Out
- Statutory Plan Collation
- Topographic
- Utility Mapping
- UXO Detection
- Void Detection

