

Geophysical Survey Report

Lutterworth STW, Leicestershire

for

ULAS

August 2005

J 2036

David Elks MSc.



Geophysical Survey Report Document Title:

Lutterworth STW, Leicestershire

Client: **University of Leicester Archaeological Services**

Stratascan Job No: J 2036

Techniques: **Detailed magnetic survey (gradiometry)**

National Grid Ref: SP 531 829



Field Team: Steven Russell BSc., Andrew Seal MGeophys.

Project Manager: Simon Stowe BSc.

Project Officer: David Elks MSc.

Report written by: David Elks MSc.

CAD illustration by: David Elks MSc.

Checked by: Simon Stowe BSc.

Stratascan Ltd.

Vineyard House Upper Hook Road Upton upon Severn WR8 0SA

Tel: 01684 592266 Fax: 01684 594142

Email: ppb@stratascan.co.uk

www.stratascan.co.uk

1	SUN	SUMMARY OF RESULTS				
2 INTRODUCTION						
	2.1	Background synopsis	1			
2.2 Site		Site location 1	1			
2.3 Des		Description of site	1			
	2.4	Geology and soils	1			
2.5 Site history and archaeological potential		Site history and archaeological potential	1			
2.6 Surv		Survey objectives	1			
	2.7	Survey methods1	1			
3	3 METHODOLOGY		1			
	3.1	Date of fieldwork	1			
3.2		Grid locations1				
3.3 St		Survey equipment 1	1			
3.4 Sampling interval, depth of scan, resolution and da		Sampling interval, depth of scan, resolution and data capture	1			
3.4.		1 Sampling interval1	1			
	3.4.	2 Depth of scan and resolution1	1			
	3.4	3 Data capture	1			
3.5		Processing, presentation of results and interpretation	1			
3.5.		1 Processing 1	1			
	3.5.	2 Presentation of results and interpretation	1			
4	RES	RESULTS				
5 CONCLUSION						
	APPENDIX A – Basic principles of magnetic survey					

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	1:25 000	General location plan
Figure 2	1:1000	Site plan showing location of grids and referencing
Figure 3	1:500	Plot of raw gradiometer data
Figure 4	1:1000	Trace plot of raw gradiometer data showing positive values
Figure 5	1:1000	Trace plot of raw gradiometer data showing negative values
Figure 6	1:500	Plot of processed gradiometer data
Figure 7	1:500	Abstraction and interpretation of gradiometer anomalies

1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A geophysical survey was carried out over 0.6ha of land at Lutterworth Sewage Treatment Works.

The results show extensive magnetic disturbance across the site. There is no evidence of any archaeological features. Although it is possible the magnetic disturbance may be masking weak responses from archaeological features.

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background synopsis

Stratascan were commissioned by ULAS to undertake a geophysical survey of an area outlined for development.

2.2 Site location

The site is located at Lutterworth Sewage Treatment Works, Lutterworth, Leicestershire at OS ref. SP 531 829.

2.3 <u>Description of site</u>

The survey area is approximately 0.6ha of recently harvested agricultural land.

2.4 Geology and soils

The underlying geology is the Lower Lias from the Jurassic period (British Geological Survey South Sheet, Fourth Edition Solid, 2001). The overlying soils are of the Beccles 3 association. These consist of slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy over clayey soils and similar soils with slight seasonal waterlogging. There are some calcareous clayey soils on steeper slopes (Soil Survey of England and Wales, Sheet 3 Midland and Western England).

2.5 <u>Site history and archaeological potential</u>

No specific details were made available to Stratascan.

2.6 Survey objectives

The objective of the survey was to locate any features of possible archaeological origin.

2.7 Survey methods

Detailed magnetic survey (gradiometry) was used as an efficient and effective method of locating archaeological anomalies. More information regarding this technique is included in the Methodology section below.

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Date of fieldwork

The fieldwork was carried out one day, 28th July 2005. Weather conditions during the survey were mixed.

3.2 Grid locations

The location of the survey grids has been plotted in Figure 2 together with the referencing information. Grids were set out using a Leica 705auto Total Station and referenced to suitable topographic features around the perimeter of the site.

3.3 Survey equipment

The magnetic survey was carried out using a dual sensor Grad601-2 Magnetic Gradiometer manufactured by Bartington Instruments Ltd. The Grad601-2 consists of two high stability fluxgate gradiometers suspended on a single frame. Each sensor has a 1m separation between the sensing elements increasing the sensitivity to small changes in the Earths magnetic field.

3.4 Sampling interval, depth of scan, resolution and data capture

3.4.1 Sampling interval

Readings were taken at 0.25m centres along traverses 1m apart. This equates to 3600 sampling points in a full 30m x 30m grid.

3.4.2 Depth of scan and resolution

The Grad601-2 has a typical depth of penetration of 0.5m to 1.0m. This would be increased if strongly magnetic objects have been buried in the site. The collection of data at 0.25m centres provides an appropriate methodology balancing cost and time with resolution.

3.4.3 Data capture

The readings are logged consecutively into the data logger which in turn is daily down-loaded into a portable computer whilst on site. At the end of each job, data is transferred to the office for processing and presentation.

3.5 Processing, presentation of results and interpretation

3.5.1 Processing

Processing is performed using specialist software known as *Geoplot 3*. This can emphasise various aspects contained within the data but which are often not easily seen in the raw data. Basic processing of the magnetic data involves 'flattening' the

background levels with respect to adjacent traverses and adjacent grids. 'Despiking' is also performed to remove the anomalies resulting from small iron objects often found on agricultural land. Once the basic processing has flattened the background it is then possible to carry out further processing which may include low pass filtering to reduce 'noise' in the data and hence emphasise the archaeological or man-made anomalies.

The following schedule shows the basic processing carried out on all processed gradiometer data used in this report:

1. *Despike* (useful for display and allows further processing functions to be carried out more effectively by removing extreme data values)

```
Geoplot parameters:

X radius = 1, y radius = 1, threshold = 3 std. dev.

Spike replacement = mean
```

2. Zero mean traverse (sets the background mean of each traverse within a grid to zero and is useful for removing striping effects)

Geoplot parameters: Least mean square fit = on, threshold = +/- 3000

3.5.2 Presentation of results and interpretation

The presentation of the data for each site involves a print-out of the raw data both as greyscale (Figure 3) and trace plots (Figure 4 and 5), together with a greyscale plot of the processed data (Figure 6). Magnetic anomalies have been identified and plotted onto the 'Abstraction and Interpretation of Anomalies' drawing for the site (Figure 7).

4 RESULTS

The gradiometer survey has identified extensive areas of magnetic disturbance across the site. This is likely to be caused by a large amount of ferrous debris. It is impossible to determine if any weaker anomalies which may have an archaeological origin are present amidst the strong disturbance.

Two very faint negative linear anomalies are observed in the north of the site. Their exact nature and origin is difficult to interpret due to the general magnetic noise levels.

5 CONCLUSION

The site shows strong levels of magnetic disturbance throughout the survey area. This may mask weaker responses from any archaeological remains which may exist on the site.

REFERENCES

British Geological Survey, 2001. *Geological Survey Ten Mile Map, South Sheet, Fourth Edition (Solid)*. British Geological Society.

Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1983. Soils of England and Wales, Sheet 3 Midland and Western England.

APPENDIX A – Basic principles of magnetic survey

Detailed magnetic survey can be used to effectively define areas of past human activity by mapping spatial variation and contrast in the magnetic properties of soil, subsoil and bedrock.

Weakly magnetic iron minerals are always present within the soil and areas of enhancement relate to increases in *magnetic susceptibility* and permanently magnetised *thermoremnant* material.

Magnetic susceptibility relates to the induced magnetism of a material when in the presence of a magnetic field. This magnetism can be considered as effectively permanent as it exists within the Earth's magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can become enhanced due to burning and complex biological or fermentation processes.

Thermoremnance is a permanent magnetism acquired by iron minerals that, after heating to a specific temperature known as the Curie Point, are effectively demagnetised followed by re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling. Thermoremnant archaeological features can include hearths and kilns and material such as brick and tile may be magnetised through the same process.

Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil creates a relative contrast against the much lower levels of magnetism within the subsoil into which the feature is cut. Systematic mapping of magnetic anomalies will produce linear and discrete areas of enhancement allowing assessment and characterisation of subsurface features. Material such as subsoil and non-magnetic bedrock used to create former earthworks and walls may be mapped as areas of lower enhancement compared to surrounding soils.

Magnetic survey is carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer which is a passive instrument consisting of two sensors mounted vertically either 0.5 or 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the top sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field whilst the lower sensor measures the same field but is also more affected by any localised buried field. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of a magnetic field created by a buried feature, if no field is present the difference will be close to zero as the magnetic field measured by both sensors will be the same.

Factors affecting the magnetic survey may include soil type, local geology, previous human activity, disturbance from modern services etc.