

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

STRATASCAN™



Project name:
Rushden Parks and Lakes, Northamptonshire

Client:
LXB RP (Rushden) Ltd

Job ref:
J10319

November 2016

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

Project name: Rushden Parks and Lakes, Northamptonshire Client: LXB RP (Rushden) Ltd	Job ref: J10319
Survey date: 24th October 2016	Report date: November 2016
Field team: Lukasz Krawec BSc Mathew Wetton MScI Adam Clark BA	Project Manager: Simon Haddrell BEng(Hons) AMBCS PCIfA
Report written by: Rebecca Davies BSc (Hons)	Report approved by: David Elks MSc ACIfA
CAD illustrations by: Rebecca Davies BSc (Hons)	Site Director: Dr John Gater MCIfA FSA
Version number and issue date: V1 15/11/2016	Amendments:



STRATASCAN LTD
Vineyard House Upper Hook Road Upton upon Severn
Worcestershire WR8 0SA United Kingdom

T: 01684 592266 F: 01684 594142
info@stratascansumo.com www.stratascan.co.uk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	SUMMARY OF RESULTS.....	1
2	INTRODUCTION	1
3	METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION.....	2
4	RESULTS	3
5	DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT	4
6	CONCLUSION	4
7	REFERENCES	5
	Appendix A - Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method.....	6
	Appendix B - Technical Information: Magnetic Theory	8

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 01	1:25 000	Location plan of survey area
Figure 02	1:1250	Location of survey grids and referencing
Figure 03	1:1250	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values
Figure 04	1:1250	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data
Figure 05	1:1250	Interpretation of gradiometer anomalies

1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A detailed gradiometry survey was conducted over approximately 4.1 hectares of grassland within Ditchford Reserve Local Wildlife Site, to the west of Rushden Lakes development. No probable archaeological anomalies have been detected. A small number of possible backfilled pits have been detected, though their exact origin cannot be determined with confidence. A series of positive linear anomalies and areas of enhanced response may be archaeological, though it is equally possible that they are a result of agricultural activity. Evidence of ridge and furrow cultivation across the site suggests it has a largely agricultural past. The remaining features are natural or modern and include an underground service and areas of magnetic disturbance.

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background synopsis

Stratascan were commissioned to undertake a geophysical survey of an area outlined for development. This survey forms part of an archaeological investigation being undertaken by LXB RP (Rushden) Ltd.

2.2 Site Details

NGR / Postcode	SP 934 678 / NN10 6AP
Location	The site is located to the north-west of Rushden, Northamptonshire.
HER/SMR	Northamptonshire
District	East Northamptonshire
Parish	Rushden CP
Topography	Mostly flat
Current Land Use	Peaty wetland, predominantly used for livestock grazing.
Weather Conditions	Fair, dry
Soils	The overlying soils are known as Fladbury 1 which are typical pelo-alluvial gley soils. These consist of stoneless clayey soils mostly overlying peat (Soil Survey of England and Wales, Sheet 4 Eastern England).
Geology	The underlying geology is Whitby Mudstone Formation. There is no recorded drift geology (British Geological Survey website).

Archaeology	A number of probable prehistoric remains are recorded within close proximity of the site. All of the features have been recorded from aerial photographs, and include possible Bronze Age round barrows to the north-west of the site, a prehistoric settlement to the south-west and a number of further undated ditches to the west. Within the site itself a possible pit alignment is recorded (Northamptonshire County Council, 2016).
Survey Methods	Detailed magnetic survey (gradiometry)
Study Area	c. 4.1 hectares

2.3 Aims and objectives

To locate and characterise any anomalies of possible archaeological interest within the study area.

3 METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION

3.1 Standards & Guidance

This report and all fieldwork have been conducted in accordance with the latest guidance documents issued by Historic England (2008) and the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2002 & 2014).

Stratascan Ltd are a Registered Organisation with the CIfA and are committed to upholding its policies and standards.

3.2 Survey methods

Due to the potential for prehistoric remains to be detected, detailed magnetic survey was used as an efficient and effective method of locating archaeological anomalies.

More information regarding this technique is included in Appendix A.

3.3 Processing

The following schedule shows the basic processing carried out on the data used in this report:

1. *De-stripe*
2. *De-stagger*

3.4 Presentation of results and interpretation

The presentation of the data for each site involves a plot of the minimally processed data as a greyscale plot and a colour plot showing extreme magnetic values. Magnetic anomalies have been identified and plotted onto the 'Interpretation of Anomalies' drawing.

When interpreting the results several factors are taken into consideration, including the nature of archaeological features being investigated and the local conditions at the site (geology, pedology, topography etc.). Anomalies are categorised by their potential origin. Where responses can be related to very specific known features documented in other sources, this is done (for example: Abbey Wall, Roman Road). For the generic categories levels of confidence are indicated, for example: probable, or possible archaeology. The former is used for a confident interpretation, based on anomaly definition and/or other corroborative data such as cropmarks. Poor anomaly definition, a lack of clear patterns to the responses and an absence of other supporting data reduces confidence, hence the classification "possible".

4 RESULTS

The detailed magnetic gradiometer survey conducted at Rushden Lakes has identified a small number of anomalies that have been characterised as being of *possible* archaeological origin. The following list of numbered anomalies refers to numerical labels on the interpretation plots.

4.1 *Probable Archaeology*

No probable archaeology has been identified within the survey area.

4.2 *Possible Archaeology*

A group of three, discrete positive anomalies in the west of the site are indicative of possible former backfilled pits. The anomalies appear to form an alignment, though do not correspond with the location of a possible pit alignment recorded on the site through aerial photographs, and would not be interpreted as such without the knowledge of the photographs.

4.3 *Medieval/Post-Medieval Agriculture*

Widely spaced, slightly curved, parallel linear anomalies are visible across the large, western field. These are a result of ridge and furrow cultivation. The anomalies in the east are oriented approximately north-south, while in the west they are oriented approximately east-west.

4.4 *Other Anomalies*

A small series of positive linear anomalies and areas of increased response in the centre of the area are of uncertain origin. The linear anomalies are indicative of former cut features, such as ditches. It is possible that they are archaeological in origin, though they are more likely to be a result of agricultural activity given their close proximity to a footpath visible on OS mapping.

Areas of enhanced magnetic response across the large field are natural in origin. A strong bipolar linear anomaly in the east of the site is associated with an underground service, such as a pipe or cable.

Areas of magnetic disturbance across the site are a result of substantial nearby ferrous metal objects, such as fencing and the underground service. A linear anomaly is visible in the disturbance, and may possibly be associated with a former fence line or pipe.

5 DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT

Mudstone geologies, such as those present across the site, can provide variable results for magnetic survey. In this instance, the data across the site shows a relatively high contrast between possible cut features and the background magnetic response. It is therefore likely that the data collected is a provides a good indicator of buried features.

6 CONCLUSION

The survey of land to the west of Rushden Lakes, within Ditchford Reserve, has identified a small number of possible backfilled pits, though their exact origin cannot be determined with confidence. A series of positive linear anomalies and areas of enhanced response may be archaeological, though they are more likely to be a result of agricultural activity. Evidence of ridge and furrow cultivation across the site suggests it has a largely agricultural past. The remaining features are natural or modern and include areas of magnetic disturbance, an underground service and the possible line of a former fence.

7 REFERENCES

British Geological Survey, n.d., *website*:
(<http://www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion1=1#maps>) Geology of Britain viewer. [Accessed 11/01/2015]

Chartered Institute For Archaeologists. *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*. (http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GGeophysics_1.pdf)

English Heritage, 2008. *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation*.

IfA 2002. The Use of Geophysical Techniques in Archaeological Evaluations, IFA Paper No 6, C. Gaffney, J. Gater and S. Ovenden. Institute for Archaeology, Reading

Northamptonshire County Council, 2016. *Historic Environment Record*

Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1983. *Soils of England and Wales, Sheet 4 Eastern England*

Appendix A - Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method

Grid Positioning

For hand held gradiometers the location of the survey grids has been plotted together with the referencing information. Grids were set out using a Trimble R8 Real Time Kinematic (RTK) VRS Now GNSS GPS system.

An RTK GPS (Real-time Kinematic Global Positioning System) can locate a point on the ground to a far greater accuracy than a standard GPS unit. A standard GPS suffers from errors created by satellite orbit errors, clock errors and atmospheric interference, resulting in an accuracy of 5m-10m. An RTK system uses a single base station receiver and a number of mobile units. The base station re-broadcasts the phase of the carrier it measured, and the mobile units compare their own phase measurements with those they received from the base station. This results in an accuracy of around 0.01m.

Technique	Instrument	Traverse Interval	Sample Interval
Magnetometer	Bartington Grad 601-2	1m	0.25m

Instrumentation: Bartington *Grad601-2*

Bartington instruments operate in a gradiometer configuration which comprises fluxgate sensors mounted vertically, set 1.0m apart. The fluxgate gradiometer suppresses any diurnal or regional effects. The instruments are carried, or cart mounted, with the bottom sensor approximately 0.1-0.3m from the ground surface. At each survey station, the difference in the magnetic field between the two fluxgates is measured in nanoTesla (nT). The sensitivity of the instrument can be adjusted; for most archaeological surveys the most sensitive range (0.1nT) is used. Generally, features up to 1m deep may be detected by this method, though strongly magnetic objects may be visible at greater depths. The Bartington instrument can collect two lines of data per traverse with gradiometer units mounted laterally with a separation of 1.0m.

The readings are logged consecutively into the data logger which in turn is daily down-loaded into a portable computer whilst on site. At the end of each site survey, data is transferred to the office for processing and presentation.

Data Processing

Zero Mean Traverse This process sets the background mean of each traverse within each grid to zero. The operation removes striping effects and edge discontinuities over the whole of the data set.

Step Correction (Destagger) When gradiometer data are collected in 'zig-zag' fashion, stepping errors can sometimes arise. These occur because of a slight difference in the speed of walking on the forward and reverse traverses. The result is a staggered effect in the data, which is particularly noticeable on linear anomalies. This process corrects these errors.

Display

Greyscale/ Colourscale Plot This format divides a given range of readings into a set number of classes. Each class is represented by a specific shade of grey, the intensity increasing with value. All values above the given range are allocated the same shade (maximum intensity); similarly all values below the given range are represented by the minimum intensity shade. Similar plots can be produced in colour, either using a wide range of colours or by selecting two or three colours to represent positive and negative values. The assigned range (plotting levels) can be adjusted to emphasise different anomalies in the data-set.

Interpretation Categories

In certain circumstances (usually when there is corroborative evidence from desk based or excavation data) very specific interpretations can be assigned to magnetic anomalies (for example, *Roman Road, Wall*, etc.) and where appropriate, such interpretations will be applied. The list below outlines the generic categories commonly used in the interpretation of the results.

<i>Archaeology/Probable Archaeology</i>	This term is used when the form, nature and pattern of the response are clearly or very probably archaeological and /or if corroborative evidence is available. These anomalies, whilst considered anthropogenic, could be of any age.
<i>Possible Archaeology</i>	These anomalies exhibit either weak signal strength and / or poor definition, or form incomplete archaeological patterns, thereby reducing the level of confidence in the interpretation. Although the archaeological interpretation is favoured, they may be the result of variable soil depth, plough damage or even aliasing as a result of data collection orientation.
<i>Industrial / Burnt-Fired</i>	Strong magnetic anomalies that, due to their shape and form or the context in which they are found, suggest the presence of kilns, ovens, corn dryers, metal- working areas or hearths. It should be noted that in many instances modern ferrous material can produce similar magnetic anomalies.
<i>Former Field Boundary (probable & possible)</i>	Anomalies that correspond to former boundaries indicated on historic mapping, or which are clearly a continuation of existing land divisions. Possible denotes less confidence where the anomaly may not be shown on historic mapping but nevertheless the anomaly displays all the characteristics of a field boundary.
<i>Ridge & Furrow</i>	Parallel linear anomalies whose broad spacing suggests ridge and furrow cultivation. In some cases the response may be the result of more recent agricultural activity.
<i>Agriculture (ploughing)</i>	Parallel linear anomalies or trends with a narrower spacing, sometimes aligned with existing boundaries, indicating more recent cultivation regimes.
<i>Land Drain</i>	Weakly magnetic linear anomalies, quite often appearing in series forming parallel and herringbone patterns. Smaller drains will often lead and empty into larger diameter pipes and which in turn usually lead to local streams and ponds. These are indicative of clay fired land drains.
<i>Natural</i>	These responses form clear patterns in geographical zones where natural variations are known to produce significant magnetic distortions.
<i>Magnetic Disturbance</i>	Broad zones of strong dipolar anomalies, commonly found in places where modern ferrous or fired materials (e.g. brick rubble) are present. They are presumed to be modern.
<i>Service</i>	Magnetically strong anomalies usually forming linear features indicative of ferrous pipes/cables. Sometimes other materials (e.g. pvc) cause weaker magnetic responses and can be identified from their uniform linearity crossing large expanses.
<i>Ferrous</i>	This type of response is associated with ferrous material and may result from small items in the topsoil, larger buried objects such as pipes, or above ground features such as fence lines or pylons. Ferrous responses are usually regarded as modern. Individual burnt stones, fired bricks or igneous rocks can produce responses similar to ferrous material.
<i>Uncertain Origin</i>	Anomalies which stand out from the background magnetic variation, yet whose form and lack of patterning gives little clue as to their origin. Often the characteristics and distribution of the responses straddle the categories of <i>Possible Archaeology</i> and <i>Possible Natural</i> or (in the case of linear responses) <i>Possible Archaeology</i> and <i>Possible Agriculture</i> ; occasionally they are simply of an unusual form.

Where appropriate some anomalies will be further classified according to their form (positive or negative) and relative strength and coherence (trend: weak and poorly defined).

Appendix B - Technical Information: Magnetic Theory

Detailed magnetic survey can be used to effectively define areas of past human activity by mapping spatial variation and contrast in the magnetic properties of soil, subsoil and bedrock. Although the changes in the magnetic field resulting from differing features in the soil are usually weak, changes as small as 0.2 nanoTeslas (nT) in an overall field strength of 48,000nT, can be accurately detected.

Weakly magnetic iron minerals are always present within the soil and areas of enhancement relate to increases in *magnetic susceptibility* and permanently magnetised *thermoremanent* material.

Magnetic susceptibility relates to the induced magnetism of a material when in the presence of a magnetic field. This magnetism can be considered as effectively permanent as it exists within the Earth's magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can become enhanced due to burning and complex biological or fermentation processes.

Thermoremanence is a permanent magnetism acquired by iron minerals that, after heating to a specific temperature known as the Curie Point, are effectively demagnetised followed by re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling. Thermoremanent archaeological features can include hearths and kilns and material such as brick and tile may be magnetised through the same process.

Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil creates a relative contrast against the much lower levels of magnetism within the subsoil into which the feature is cut. Systematic mapping of magnetic anomalies will produce linear and discrete areas of enhancement allowing assessment and characterisation of subsurface features. Material such as subsoil and non-magnetic bedrock used to create former earthworks and walls may be mapped as areas of lower enhancement compared to surrounding soils.

Magnetic survey is carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer which is a passive instrument consisting of two sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the top sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field whilst the lower sensor measures the same field but is also more affected by any localised buried field. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of a magnetic field created by a buried feature, if no field is present the difference will be close to zero as the magnetic field measured by both sensors will be the same.

Factors affecting the magnetic survey may include soil type, local geology, previous human activity, disturbance from modern services etc.

Reproduced from Ordnance Survey's 1:25 000 map of 1998 with the permission of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown Copyright reserved. Licence No: AL 50125A
 Licencee:
 Stratascan Ltd.
 Vineyard House
 Upper Hook Road
 Upton Upon Severn
 WR8 0SA

OS 100km square = SP




70

69

68

67

66



Survey Area

91

92

93

94

95

STRATASCANTM
 GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY
 AND ENGINEERING



Stratascan Ltd

VINEYARD HOUSE
 UPPER HOOK ROAD
 WORCESTERSHIRE
 WR8 0SA
 TEL: 01684 59 22 66
 FAX: 0845 408 0653

www.stratascanSUMO.com

Title:

LOCATION PLAN OF SURVEY AREA

Client:

LXB RP (Rushden) Ltd


Project:

J10319 - RUSHDEN PARKS AND LAKES,
 NORTHAMPTONSHIRE

Scale: 1:25000 @ A3



Fig No:
 01



OS GRID REFERENCES

A	493310.00, 267810.00
B	493520.00, 267810.00



Stratascan Ltd

VINEYARD HOUSE
UPPER HOOK ROAD
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WORCESTERSHIRE
WR8 0SA
TEL: 01684 59 22 66
FAX: 0845 408 0653
www.stratascanSUMO.com




Title:
REFERENCING

Client:
LXB RP (Rushden) Ltd


Project:
J10319 - RUSHDEN PARKS AND LAKES,
NORTHAMPTONSHIRE

Scale: 1:1250
0m 10 20 30 40 50m

Fig No:
02



Plotting parameters
Maximum +100nT (red)
Minimum -100nT (blue)



STRATASCANTM
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY
AND ENGINEERING



Stratascan Ltd
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPPER HOOK ROAD
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WORCESTERSHIRE
WR8 0SA
TEL: 01684 59 22 66
FAX: 0845 408 0653
www.stratascanSUMO.com

Title:
COLOUR PLOT OF GRADIOMETER DATA
SHOWING EXTREME VALUES

Client:
LXB RP (Rushden) Ltd


Project:
J10319 - RUSHDEN PARKS AND LAKES,
NORTHAMPTONSHIRE

Scale: 1:1250
0m 10 20 30 40 50m
Fig No:
03



Plotting parameters

Maximum +2nT (black)
Minimum -2nT (white)



STRATASCANTM
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY
AND ENGINEERING



Stratascan Ltd
VINEYARD HOUSE
UPPER HOOK ROAD
UPTON UPON SEVERN
WORCESTERSHIRE
WR8 0SA
TEL: 01684 59 22 66
FAX: 0845 408 0653
www.stratascanSUMO.com

Title: PLOT OF MINIMALLY PROCESSED
GRADIOMETER DATA

Client: LXB RP (Rushden) Ltd

Project: J10319 - RUSHDEN PARKS AND LAKES,
NORTHAMPTONSHIRE











Fig No:
04



KEY

	Possible archaeology (positive)
	Ridge and furrow
	Natural (e.g. geological or pedological)
	Service
	Ferrous
	Uncertain (positive/area of increased response/trend)

STRATASCANTM
 GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY
 AND ENGINEERING




Stratascan Ltd
 VINEYARD HOUSE
 UPPER HOOK ROAD
 UPTON UPON SEVERN
 WORCESTERSHIRE
 WR8 0SA
 TEL: 01684 59 22 66
 FAX: 0845 408 0653
 www.stratascanSUMO.com

Title:
**ABSTRACTION AND INTERPRETATION OF
 GRADIOMETER ANOMALIES**

Client:
LXB RP (Rushden) Ltd

Project:
**J10319 - RUSHDEN PARKS AND LAKES,
 NORTHAMPTONSHIRE**

Scale: 1:1250
 Fig No:
05

Survey services you can rely on

Your Survey Partner

For a complete and complementary range of survey services.

*Survey services
you can rely on*

- Archaeological
- As Built Records
- Boundary Disputes
- CCTV
- Geophysical
- Laser Scanning
- Measured Building
- Pipeline Routes
- Railway
- Retrofit
- Setting Out
- Statutory Plan Collation
- Topographic
- Utility Mapping
- UXO Detection
- Void Detection

