

Project name: Hanchurch

Client: J N Bentley Ltd

Job ref: **J10570** 

December 2016

# **GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT**

Project name:	Job ref:	
Hanchurch	J10570	
Client:		
J N Bentley Ltd		
Survey date:	Report date:	
30 November, 5-6 December 2016	December 2016	
Field team:	Project Manager:	
Rob Usher BA	Simon Haddrell BEng(Hons) AMBCS PCIFA	
David Stockwell BA		
Rebecca Bowran BA		
Report written by:	Report approved by:	
Dr John Gater MCIFA FSA	David Elks MSc ACIFA	
CAD illustrations by:	Site Director:	
Rebecca Davies BSc (Hons)	Dr John Gater MCIFA FSA	
Version number and issue date:	Amendments:	
V1 22/12/16		

# **STRATASCAN LTD**

Vineyard House Upper Hook Road Upton upon Severn Worcestershire WR8 0SA United Kingdom



T: 01684 592266 F: 01684 594142 info@stratascansumo.com <u>www.stratascan.co.uk</u>

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	1
	INTRODUCTION	
3	METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION	2
4	RESULTS	2
5	DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT	3
6	CONCLUSION	3
7	REFERENCES	4
Арр	pendix A - Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method	5
Арр	pendix B - Technical Information: Magnetic Theory	7

Job ref: **J10570** 

Date: December 2016

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 01	1:25 000	Location plan of survey area
Figure 02	1:1250	Location of survey grids and referencing
Figure 03	1:1250	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values
Figure 04	1:1250	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data
Figure 05	1:1250	Interpretation of gradiometer anomalies

# **1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

The geophysical survey at Hanchurch has not identified any features of archaeological interest.

Job ref: **J10570** 

Date: December 2016

# **2 INTRODUCTION**

# 2.1 Background synopsis

Stratascan were commissioned to undertake a geophysical survey of an area outlined for construction of a new reservoir. This survey forms part of an archaeological investigation being undertaken on behalf of J N Bentley Ltd.

#### 2.2 Site Details

2.2 Site Details		
NGR / Postcode	SJ 844 393 / ST4 8SL	
Location	The site lies approximately 6km south-south-west of Newcastle under Lyme and 1km west of the M6 and A519 roads. The new reservoir is at Hanchurch Hill, off Harley Thorn Lane, south of an existing Severn Trent Water reservoir.	
HER/SMR	Stafford County	
District	Stafford	
Parish	Swynnerton CP	
Topography	Sloping downhill from north to south.	
<b>Current Land Use</b>	Arable	
<b>Weather Conditions</b>	Overcast / foggy	
Soils	The soils are either of the Delamere (631b) or Bridgnorth (551a) Associations: well-drained, sandy soils (Soil Survey of England and Wales, Sheet 3 Midland and Western England).	
Geology	The underlying geology is Kidderminster Formation conglomerate and Wildmoor Sandstone; Butterton-Swynnerton Dykes – igneous intrusions pass through the field. There is no recorded drift geology (British Geological Survey website).	
Archaeology	There are no known heritage assets within the site.	
Survey Methods	Detailed magnetic survey (fluxgate gradiometer)	
Study Area	3 ha	

# 2.3 Aims and objectives

To locate and characterise any anomalies of possible archaeological interest within the study area.

# 3 METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION

#### 3.1 Standards & Guidance

This report and all fieldwork have been conducted in accordance with the latest guidance documents issued by Historic England (2008) and the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2002 & 2014).

Job ref: **J10570** 

Date: December 2016

Stratascan Ltd are a Registered Organisation with the CIfA and are committed to upholding its policies and standards.

#### 3.2 Survey methods

Detailed magnetic survey was used as an efficient and effective method of locating archaeological anomalies. More information regarding this technique is included in Appendix A.

#### 3.3 **Processing**

The following schedule shows the basic processing carried out on the data used in this report:

- 1. De-stripe
- 2. De-stagger

#### 3.4 Presentation of results and interpretation

The presentation of the data for each site involves a plot of the minimally processed data as a greyscale plot and a colour plot showing extreme magnetic values. Magnetic anomalies have been identified and plotted onto the 'Interpretation of Anomalies' drawing.

When interpreting the results, several factors are taken into consideration, including the nature of archaeological features being investigated and the local conditions at the site (geology, pedology, topography etc.). Anomalies are categorised by their potential origin. Where responses can be related to very specific known features documented in other sources, this is done (for example: Abbey Wall, Roman Road). For the generic categories levels of confidence are indicated, for example: probable, or possible archaeology. The former is used for a confident interpretation, based on anomaly definition and/or other corroborative data such as cropmarks. Poor anomaly definition, a lack of clear patterns to the responses and an absence of other supporting data reduces confidence, hence the classification "possible".

# 4 **RESULTS**

The detailed magnetic gradiometer survey conducted at Hanchurch has identified no anomalies that have been characterised as being either of a *probable* or *possible* archaeological origin.

### 4.1 Probable / Possible Archaeology

No *probable* or *possible archaeology* has been identified in the magnetic data from the survey area.

#### 4.2 Medieval/Post-Medieval Agriculture

There is no evidence for any former field boundaries of ridge & furrow cultivation.

**Geophysical Survey Report** Project Name: Hanchurch Client:

Job ref: **J10570** Date: December 2016 J N Bentley Ltd

#### 4.3 Other Anomalies

The magnetic results from the site are dominated by three bands of very strong anomalies which follow a broadly similar north-south alignment.

Two of the responses clearly equate with pipelines which are connected to the existing reservoir site to the north. The anomalies are very straight; one comprises a continuous positive anomaly, with a negative halo, while the other is a chain of dipole (positive and negative) anomalies. These reflect the different types of pipes or the backfill of the trenches.

The third anomaly is at first sight unusual because it seems to have both straight and curvilinear magnetic components. Analysis of the geological maps shows that an igneous dyke is recorded as traversing below the field on exactly this alignment, which it would seem coincidentally matches the course of water pipelines. The magnetic responses are typical of such an intrusive geological feature; however, if records indicate that there is a third pipeline it could be that it lies immediately above the dyke but that its magnetic signature is partially masked by the thermoremanent igneous responses.

A band of magnetic disturbance along the eastern edge of the survey could be the result of nearby metal fences or the presence of a fourth pipeline lying outside of the survey area.

Minor variations in the data are likely to be agricultural or natural in origin, or they may result from the laying of the pipelines.

#### **DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT** 5

Sandstone bedrocks are classified as poor to average for magnetic survey and igneous intrusions can totally mask even the strongest of archaeological responses. At this site, the presence of two or more existing pipelines have also dominated the results. As a consequence, confidence levels in the interpretation is reduced in the disturbed areas.

#### **CONCLUSION** 6

The magnetic survey has not identified any responses of archaeological interest.

Two or more pipelines have been recorded as has an igneous dyke crossing the field.

Geophysical Survey Report
Project Name: Hanchurch
Client: J N Bentley Ltd

# **REFERENCES**

British Geological Survey, n.d., website:

(http://www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion1=1#maps) Geology of Britain viewer. [Accessed 20/12/2016]

Job ref: **J10570** 

Date: December 2016

Chartered Institute For Archaeologists. *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*. (<a href="http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GGeophysics">http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GGeophysics</a> 1.pdf)

English Heritage, 2008. Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation.

IfA 2002. The Use of Geophysical Techniques in Archaeological Evaluations, IFA Paper No 6, C. Gaffney, J. Gater and S. Ovenden. Institute for Archaeology, Reading

# Appendix A - Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method

#### **Grid Positioning**

For hand held gradiometers the location of the survey grids has been plotted together with the referencing information. Grids were set out using a Trimble R8 Real Time Kinematic (RTK) VRS Now GNSS GPS system.

Job ref: **J10570** 

Date: December 2016

An RTK GPS (Real-time Kinematic Global Positioning System) can locate a point on the ground to a far greater accuracy than a standard GPS unit. A standard GPS suffers from errors created by satellite orbit errors, clock errors and atmospheric interference, resulting in an accuracy of 5m-10m. An RTK system uses a single base station receiver and a number of mobile units. The base station re-broadcasts the phase of the carrier it measured, and the mobile units compare their own phase measurements with those they received from the base station. This results in an accuracy of around 0.01m.

Technique	Instrument	Traverse Interval	Sample Interval
Magnetometer	Bartington Grad 601-2	1m	0.25m

#### Instrumentation: Bartington Grad601-2

Bartington instruments operate in a gradiometer configuration which comprises fluxgate sensors mounted vertically, set 1.0m apart. The fluxgate gradiometer suppresses any diurnal or regional effects. The instruments are carried, or cart mounted, with the bottom sensor approximately 0.1-0.3m from the ground surface. At each survey station, the difference in the magnetic field between the two fluxgates is measured in nanoTesla (nT). The sensitivity of the instrument can be adjusted; for most archaeological surveys the most sensitive range (0.1nT) is used. Generally, features up to 1m deep may be detected by this method, though strongly magnetic objects may be visible at greater depths. The Bartington instrument can collect two lines of data per traverse with gradiometer units mounted laterally with a separation of 1.0m.

The readings are logged consecutively into the data logger which in turn is daily down-loaded into a portable computer whilst on site. At the end of each site survey, data is transferred to the office for processing and presentation.

#### **Data Processing**

Zero Mean Traverse Step Correction (Destagger) This process sets the background mean of each traverse within each grid to zero. The operation removes striping effects and edge discontinuities over the whole of the data set. When gradiometer data are collected in 'zig-zag' fashion, stepping errors can sometimes arise. These occur because of a slight difference in the speed of walking on the forward and reverse traverses. The result is a staggered effect in the data, which is particularly noticeable on linear anomalies. This process corrects these errors.

# Display

Greyscale/ Colourscale Plot This format divides a given range of readings into a set number of classes. Each class is represented by a specific shade of grey, the intensity increasing with value. All values above the given range are allocated the same shade (maximum intensity); similarly all values below the given range are represented by the minimum intensity shade. Similar plots can be produced in colour, either using a wide range of colours or by selecting two or three colours to represent positive and negative values. The assigned range (plotting levels) can be adjusted to emphasise different anomalies in the data-set.

**Geophysical Survey Report** Project Name: Hanchurch Client: J N Bentley Ltd

#### **Interpretation Categories**

In certain circumstances (usually when there is corroborative evidence from desk based or excavation data) very specific interpretations can be assigned to magnetic anomalies (for example, Roman Road, Wall, etc.) and where appropriate, such interpretations will be applied. The list below outlines the generic categories commonly used in the interpretation of the results.

Archaeology/Probable This term is used when the form, nature and pattern of the response are clearly or very Archaeology probably archaeological and /or if corroborative evidence is available. These anomalies, whilst considered anthropogenic, could be of any age.

Possible Archaeology These anomalies exhibit either weak signal strength and / or poor definition, or form incomplete archaeological patterns, thereby reducing the level of confidence in the interpretation. Although the archaeological interpretation is favoured, they may be the result of variable soil depth, plough damage or even aliasing as a result of data collection orientation.

Job ref: **J10570** 

Date: December 2016

Strong magnetic anomalies that, due to their shape and form or the context in which they are found, suggest the presence of kilns, ovens, corn dryers, metalworking areas or hearths. It should be noted that in many instances modern ferrous material can produce similar magnetic anomalies.

Former Field Boundary Anomalies that correspond to former boundaries indicated on historic mapping, or which (probable & possible) are clearly a continuation of existing land divisions. Possible denotes less confidence where the anomaly may not be shown on historic mapping but nevertheless the anomaly

> Parallel linear anomalies whose broad spacing suggests ridge and furrow cultivation. In some cases the response may be the result of more recent agricultural activity.

> Parallel linear anomalies or trends with a narrower spacing, sometimes aligned with existing boundaries, indicating more recent cultivation regimes.

Weakly magnetic linear anomalies, quite often appearing in series forming parallel and herringbone patterns. Smaller drains will often lead and empty into larger diameter pipes and which in turn usually lead to local streams and ponds. These are indicative of clay fired land drains.

These responses form clear patterns in geographical zones where natural variations are known to produce significant magnetic distortions.

displays all the characteristics of a field boundary.

Magnetic Disturbance Broad zones of strong dipolar anomalies, commonly found in places where modern

ferrous or fired materials (e.g. brick rubble) are present. They are presumed to be modern. Magnetically strong anomalies usually forming linear features indicative of ferrous

pipes/cables. Sometimes other materials (e.g. pvc) cause weaker magnetic responses and can be identified from their uniform linearity crossing large expanses.

This type of response is associated with ferrous material and may result from small items in the topsoil, larger buried objects such as pipes, or above ground features such as fence lines or pylons. Ferrous responses are usually regarded as modern. Individual burnt stones, fired bricks or igneous rocks can produce responses similar to ferrous material.

Anomalies which stand out from the background magnetic variation, yet whose form and lack of patterning gives little clue as to their origin. Often the characteristics and distribution of the responses straddle the categories of Possible Archaeology and Possible Natural or (in the case of linear responses) Possible Archaeology and Possible Agriculture;

occasionally they are simply of an unusual form.

Where appropriate some anomalies will be further classified according to their form (positive or negative) and relative strength and coherence (trend: weak and poorly defined).

Stratascan Ltd 6

Industrial / **Burnt-Fired** 

Ridge & Furrow

Agriculture (ploughing)

Land Drain

Natural

Service

Ferrous

Uncertain Origin

# **Appendix B - Technical Information: Magnetic Theory**

Detailed magnetic survey can be used to effectively define areas of past human activity by mapping spatial variation and contrast in the magnetic properties of soil, subsoil and bedrock. Although the changes in the magnetic field resulting from differing features in the soil are usually weak, changes as small as 0.2 nanoTeslas (nT) in an overall field strength of 48,000nT, can be accurately detected.

Job ref: **J10570** 

Date: December 2016

Weakly magnetic iron minerals are always present within the soil and areas of enhancement relate to increases in *magnetic susceptibility* and permanently magnetised *thermoremanent* material.

Magnetic susceptibility relates to the induced magnetism of a material when in the presence of a magnetic field. This magnetism can be considered as effectively permanent as it exists within the Earth's magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can become enhanced due to burning and complex biological or fermentation processes.

Thermoremanence is a permanent magnetism acquired by iron minerals that, after heating to a specific temperature known as the Curie Point, are effectively demagnetised followed by re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling. Thermoremanent archaeological features can include hearths and kilns and material such as brick and tile may be magnetised through the same process.

Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil creates a relative contrast against the much lower levels of magnetism within the subsoil into which the feature is cut. Systematic mapping of magnetic anomalies will produce linear and discrete areas of enhancement allowing assessment and characterisation of subsurface features. Material such as subsoil and non-magnetic bedrock used to create former earthworks and walls may be mapped as areas of lower enhancement compared to surrounding soils.

Magnetic survey is carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer which is a passive instrument consisting of two sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the top sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field whilst the lower sensor measures the same field but is also more affected by any localised buried field. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of a magnetic field created by a buried feature, if no field is present the difference will be close to zero as the magnetic field measured by both sensors will be the same.

Factors affecting the magnetic survey may include soil type, local geology, previous human activity, disturbance from modern services etc.

# Your Survey Partner

# For a complete and complementary range of survey services

Survey services you can rely on

Archaeological **As Built Records BIM Ready 3D Models Boundary Disputes CCTV** Geophysical **Laser Scanning Measured Building Pipeline Routes** Railway Retrofit **Setting Out Statutory Plan Collation Topographic Utility Mapping UXO Detection Void Detection** 

# STRATASCAN LTD

Vineyard House Upper Hook Road Upton upon Severn Worcestershire WR8 0SA United Kingdom

T:01684 592266 F: 01684 594142 info@stratascan.co.uk www.stratascan.co.uk













