

Project name:

Land North of Teversham Drift, Cherry Hinton, Cambridge

Client:

University of Cambridge Archaeological Unit

Job ref: **J10260**

September 2016

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

Project name:	Job ref:
Land North of Teversham Drift,	J10260
Cherry Hinton, Cambridge	
Client:	
University of Cambridge	
Archaeological Unit	
Survey date:	Report date:
30 August-2 September, 8-9	September 2016
September, 12-15 September 2016	
Field team:	Project Manager:
Lukasz Krawec BSc Rob Knight BA Sam	Simon Haddrell BEng(Hons) AMBCS PCIFA
Wood Stewart Hawthorn BSc	
Matthew Wetton BSc Joe Perry BSc	
Alistair Galt BSc MSc Dr Jennifer	
Peacock	
Report written by:	Report approved by:
Dr John Gater MCIFA FSA	David Elks MSc ACIFA
CAD illustrations by:	Site Director:
Rebecca Davies BSc (Hons)	Dr John Gater MCIFA FSA
Version number and issue date:	Amendments:
V1 06/10/2016	Amenuments:
VI 00/10/2010	

STRATASCAN LTD

Vineyard House Upper Hook Road Upton upon Severn Worcestershire WR8 0SA United Kingdom



T: 01684 592266 F: 01684 594142 info@stratascansumo.com www.stratascan.co.uk

Client: University of Cambridge Archaeoloigcal Unit

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	. 1
2	INTRODUCTION	1
	METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION	
4	RESULTS	3
5	DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT	. 4
6	CONCLUSION	. 4
7	REFERENCES	. 5
App	endix A - Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method	. 6
App	endix B - Technical Information: Magnetic Theory	. 8

Job ref: **J10260**

Date: September 2016

Job ref: **J10260** Client: University of Cambridge Archaeoloigcal Unit Date: September 2016

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 01	1:25 000	Site location, survey area & referencing	
Figure 02	1:2500	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values – overview	
Figure 03	1:1000	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values – viewport 1	
Figure 04	1:1000	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values – viewport 2	
Figure 05	1:1000	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values – viewport 3	
Figure 06	1:1000	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values – viewport 4	
Figure 07	1:2500	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data – overview	
Figure 08	1:1000	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data – viewport 1	
Figure 09	1:1000	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data – viewport 2	
Figure 10	1:1000	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data – viewport 3	
Figure 11	1:1000	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data – viewport 4	
Figure 12	1:2500	Interpretation of gradiometer anomalies – overview	
Figure 13	1:1000	Interpretation of gradiometer anomalies – viewport 1	
Figure 14	1:1000	Interpretation of gradiometer anomalies – viewport 2	
Figure 15	1:1000	Interpretation of gradiometer anomalies – viewport 3	
Figure 16	1:1000	Interpretation of gradiometer anomalies – viewport 4	

Job ref: **J10260** Date: September 2016 Client: University of Cambridge Archaeoloigcal Unit

1 **SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

The survey has identified a number of magnetic responses which would appear to be of archaeological interest. Two large 'enclosures' are visible plus two smaller features – one a partial ring, the other sub-rectangular in shape. They would all appear to be plough-damaged by later ridge and furrow cultivation. On this basis, and given the morphology of the archaeological features, they could be of Iron Age or Romano British date; like the previously recorded features to the south.

INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background synopsis

Stratascan were commissioned to undertake a geophysical survey of an area outlined for development. This survey forms part of an archaeological investigation being undertaken by University of Cambridge Archaeological Unit.

2.2 Site Details

2.2 Site Details			
NGR / Postcode	TL 493 576 / CB1 9XG		
Location	The survey lies adjacent to Marshall Airport on the eastern side of Cambridge; south-west of Teversham and north of Cherry Hinton.		
HER/SMR	Cambridgeshire		
District	South Cambridgeshire		
Parish	Teversham CP		
Topography	Mostly level		
Current Land Use	Mainly arable plus grassland / airfield		
Weather Conditions	Variable – clear, dry but with rain showers		
Soils	The overlying soils are known as Wantage 2 which are typical grey rendzinas. These consist of shallow calcareous silty over argillaceous chalk (Soil Survey of England and Wales, Sheet 4 Eastern England).		
Geology	The underlying geology is West Melbury Chalk Formation - Cretaceous. The drift geology is unrecorded (British Geological Survey website).		
Archaeology	The site lies adjacent to an airfield but in an area rich in archaeologic remains. Earlier geophysical survey, to the immediate south of the presensite, identified a ring ditch and a number of enclosures, thought to be Iro Age and Romano British in date (Stratascan 2014).		
Survey Methods	Detailed magnetic survey		
Study Area	c. 48 hectares		

Job ref: J10260

2.3 Aims and objectives

To locate and characterise any anomalies of possible archaeological interest within the study area.

3 METHODS, PROCESSING & PRESENTATION

3.1 Standards & Guidance

This report and all fieldwork have been conducted in accordance with the latest guidance documents issued by Historic England (2008) and the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2002 & 2014).

Stratascan Ltd are a Registered Organisation with the CIfA and are committed to upholding its policies and standards.

3.2 Survey methods

Earlier work by Stratascan (2014) had successfully mapped archaeological features, therefore, detailed magnetic survey was used as a proven and effective geophysical technique.

More information regarding this technique is included in Appendix A.

3.3 Processing

The following schedule shows the basic processing carried out on the data used in this report:

- 1. De-stripe
- 2. De-stagger

3.4 Presentation of results and interpretation

The presentation of the data for each site involves a plot of the minimally processed data as a greyscale plot and a colour plot showing extreme magnetic values. Magnetic anomalies have been identified and plotted onto the 'Interpretation of Anomalies' drawing.

When interpreting the results several factors are taken into consideration, including the nature of archaeological features being investigated and the local conditions at the site (geology, pedology, topography etc.). Anomalies are categorised by their potential origin. Where responses can be related to very specific known features documented in other sources, this is done (for example: Abbey Wall, Roman Road). For the generic categories levels of confidence are indicated, for example: probable, or possible archaeology. The former is used for a confident interpretation, based on anomaly definition and/or other corroborative data such as cropmarks. Poor anomaly definition, a lack of clear patterns to the responses and an absence of other supporting data reduces confidence, hence the classification "possible".

4 **RESULTS**

The detailed magnetic gradiometer survey conducted at Teversham Drift has identified a number of anomalies that have been characterised as being either of a *probable* or *possible* archaeological origin. The following list of anomalies refers to numerical labels on the interpretation plots.

Job ref: **J10260**

4.1 Probable Archaeology

- A small curvilinear anomaly which forms an arc approximately 14m across and may be part of a ring ditch. The results are confused by the ridge and furrow cultivation to the extent that the northern 'arm' of the arc may be misshapen by the subsequent ploughing.
- A cluster of short ditch- and pit-like anomalies which could indicate a small rectangular feature approximately 20m in size. Again the ridge and furrow cultivation appears to have damaged the buried features.
- A number of ditch-like anomalies form a three sided 'enclosure'; the southeastern and north-western sides have breaks, possible 'entrances', but the south-western side is missing, perhaps plough-damaged. The feature measures approximately 80m across. There are no obvious internal pits visible in the data.
- 4 Another incomplete 'enclosure', measuring very approximately 50m by 60m in size, which has been cut by a modern pipe. The magnetic effect from this pipe in effect masks the whole south-eastern quadrant.

4.2 Possible Archaeology

Scattered across the field which lies in the north-east of the survey area are a number of pit-like anomalies. Some are relatively isolated while others are close to the anomalies [2] described above. Deeply buried ferrous objects can result in magnetic anomalies which have similar characteristics as pits; they have been highlighted as being of possible interest due to the presence of other archaeological features in the results.

4.3 Medieval/Post-Medieval Agriculture

6-7 Ridge and furrow cultivation patterns are visible in the south of the survey as anomalies [6] aligned approximately north-south and responses [7] aligned east-west in the northern field. A ploughing headland is clearly visible along the eastern boundary.

A number of old field boundaries have been recorded; some are visible on old mapping while others follow courses which suggest they once marked field divisions. The boundaries visible on mapping are all visible on the 1886 OS map.

4.4 Other Anomalies

A few anomalies fall into the category of uncertain origin as it is not possible to assign a specific interpretation category.

Job ref: **J10260**

- 8 Small areas of magnetic disturbance are visible on aerial photographs dated 1945 and could indicate barrage balloon mooring points or other airfield paraphernalia; the linear responses probably indicate an old track or path.
- 9 This anomaly equates with an old well shown on mapping.
- Other areas of magnetic disturbance are the result of substantial nearby ferrous metal objects such as fences and underground services [e.g.10]. These effects can mask weaker archaeological anomalies, but on this site are largely confined to the area which overlaps with the old airfield.

A number of magnetic 'spikes' (strong positive values with associated negative halos) indicate ferrous metal objects. These are likely to be modern rubbish, especially given the presence of the airfield.

5 DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT

The magnetic survey has successfully identified a number of responses of interest, confirming that the technique is well-suited to detecting features on the chalk bedrock.

6 **CONCLUSION**

The results from Teversham Drift identify several responses of archaeological potential: a possible ring ditch and a small four-sided feature of similar size, plus two much bigger enclosures. Possible pits are also highlighted. Ridge and furrow cultivation covers a large portion of the survey area and several old boundaries have been plotted.

A former airfield has resulted in areas of magnetic disturbance. A large pipe extends across the southern edge of the survey area.

Job ref: **J10260**

7 REFERENCES

British Geological Survey, n.d., website: (http://www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion1=1#maps) Geology of Britain viewer. [Accessed 03/10/2016]

Chartered Institute For Archaeologists. *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*. (http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GGeophysics 1.pdf)

English Heritage, 2008. *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation*.

IfA 2002. The Use of Geophysical Techniques in Archaeological Evaluations, IFA Paper No 6, C. Gaffney, J. Gater and S. Ovenden. Institute for Archaeology, Reading

Stratascan 2014. Land North of Cherry Hinton, Cambridge, Geophysical Survey Report, J7245.

Job ref: J10260 Date: September 2016 Client: University of Cambridge Archaeoloigcal Unit

Appendix A - Technical Information: Magnetometer Survey Method

Grid Positioning

For hand held gradiometers the location of the survey grids has been plotted together with the referencing information. Grids were set out using a Trimble R8 Real Time Kinematic (RTK) VRS Now GNSS GPS system.

An RTK GPS (Real-time Kinematic Global Positioning System) can locate a point on the ground to a far greater accuracy than a standard GPS unit. A standard GPS suffers from errors created by satellite orbit errors, clock errors and atmospheric interference, resulting in an accuracy of 5m-10m. An RTK system uses a single base station receiver and a number of mobile units. The base station re-broadcasts the phase of the carrier it measured, and the mobile units compare their own phase measurements with those they received from the base station. This results in an accuracy of around 0.01m.

Technique	Instrument	Traverse Interval	Sample Interval
Magnetometer	Bartington Grad 601-2	1m	0.25m

Instrumentation: Bartington Grad601-2

Bartington instruments operate in a gradiometer configuration which comprises fluxgate sensors mounted vertically, set 1.0m apart. The fluxgate gradiometer suppresses any diurnal or regional effects. The instruments are carried, or cart mounted, with the bottom sensor approximately 0.1-0.3m from the ground surface. At each survey station, the difference in the magnetic field between the two fluxgates is measured in nanoTesla (nT). The sensitivity of the instrument can be adjusted; for most archaeological surveys the most sensitive range (0.1nT) is used. Generally, features up to 1m deep may be detected by this method, though strongly magnetic objects may be visible at greater depths. The Bartington instrument can collect two lines of data per traverse with gradiometer units mounted laterally with a separation of 1.0m.

The readings are logged consecutively into the data logger which in turn is daily down-loaded into a portable computer whilst on site. At the end of each site survey, data is transferred to the office for processing and presentation.

Data Processing

7ero Mean Traverse **Step Correction** (Destagger)

This process sets the background mean of each traverse within each grid to zero. The operation removes striping effects and edge discontinuities over the whole of the data set. When gradiometer data are collected in 'zig-zag' fashion, stepping errors can sometimes arise. These occur because of a slight difference in the speed of walking on the forward and reverse traverses. The result is a staggered effect in the data, which is particularly noticeable on linear anomalies. This process corrects these errors.

Display

Greyscale/ Colourscale Plot This format divides a given range of readings into a set number of classes. Each class is represented by a specific shade of grey, the intensity increasing with value. All values above the given range are allocated the same shade (maximum intensity); similarly all values below the given range are represented by the minimum intensity shade. Similar plots can be produced in colour, either using a wide range of colours or by selecting two or three colours to represent positive and negative values. The assigned range (plotting levels) can be adjusted to emphasise different anomalies in the data-set.

Project Name: Land North of Teversham Drift, Cherry Hinton, Cambridge

Client: University of Cambridge Archaeoloigcal Unit Date: September 2016

Interpretation Categories

In certain circumstances (usually when there is corroborative evidence from desk based or excavation data) very specific interpretations can be assigned to magnetic anomalies (for example, *Roman Road, Wall,* etc.) and where appropriate, such interpretations will be applied. The list below outlines the generic categories commonly used in the interpretation of the results.

Archaeology/Probable This term is used when the form, nature and pattern of the response are clearly or very Archaeology probably archaeological and /or if corroborative evidence is available. These anomalies,

whilst considered anthropogenic, could be of any age.

Possible Archaeology These anomalies exhibit either weak signal strength and / or poor definition, or form

incomplete archaeological patterns, thereby reducing the level of confidence in the interpretation. Although the archaeological interpretation is favoured, they may be the result of variable soil depth, plough damage or even aliasing as a result of data collection

Job ref: **J10260**

orientation.

Industrial / Strong magnetic anomalies that, due to their shape and form or the context in which they Burnt-Fired are found, suggest the presence of kilns, ovens, corn dryers, metal- working areas or

are found, suggest the presence of kilns, ovens, corn dryers, metal- working areas or hearths. It should be noted that in many instances modern ferrous material can produce

similar magnetic anomalies.

Former Field Boundary Anomalies that correspond to former boundaries indicated on historic mapping, or which

(probable & possible) are clearly a continuation of existing land divisions. Possible denotes less confidence where the anomaly may not be shown on historic mapping but nevertheless the anomaly

displays all the characteristics of a field boundary.

Ridge & Furrow Parallel linear anomalies whose broad spacing suggests ridge and furrow cultivation. In

some cases the response may be the result of more recent agricultural activity.

Agriculture Parallel linear anomalies or trends with a narrower spacing, sometimes aligned with

(ploughing) existing boundaries, indicating more recent cultivation regimes.

Land Drain Weakly magnetic linear anomalies, quite often appearing in series forming parallel and

herringbone patterns. Smaller drains will often lead and empty into larger diameter pipes and which in turn usually lead to local streams and ponds. These are indicative of clay fired

land drains.

Natural These responses form clear patterns in geographical zones where natural variations are

known to produce significant magnetic distortions.

Magnetic Disturbance Broad zones of strong dipolar anomalies, commonly found in places where modern

ferrous or fired materials (e.g. brick rubble) are present. They are presumed to be modern.

Service Magnetically strong anomalies usually forming linear features indicative of ferrous

pipes/cables. Sometimes other materials (e.g. pvc) cause weaker magnetic responses and

can be identified from their uniform linearity crossing large expanses.

Ferrous This type of response is associated with ferrous material and may result from small items

in the topsoil, larger buried objects such as pipes, or above ground features such as fence lines or pylons. Ferrous responses are usually regarded as modern. Individual burnt

stones, fired bricks or igneous rocks can produce responses similar to ferrous material.

Uncertain Origin Anomalies which stand out from the background magnetic variation, yet whose form and

lack of patterning gives little clue as to their origin. Often the characteristics and distribution of the responses straddle the categories of *Possible Archaeology* and *Possible Natural* or (in the case of linear responses) *Possible Archaeology* and *Possible Agriculture*;

occasionally they are simply of an unusual form.

Where appropriate some anomalies will be further classified according to their form (positive or negative) and relative strength and coherence (trend: weak and poorly defined).

Client: University of Cambridge Archaeoloigcal Unit

Appendix B - Technical Information: Magnetic Theory

Detailed magnetic survey can be used to effectively define areas of past human activity by mapping spatial variation and contrast in the magnetic properties of soil, subsoil and bedrock. Although the changes in the magnetic field resulting from differing features in the soil are usually weak, changes as small as 0.2 nanoTeslas (nT) in an overall field strength of 48,000nT, can be accurately detected.

Job ref: J10260

Date: September 2016

Weakly magnetic iron minerals are always present within the soil and areas of enhancement relate to increases in *magnetic susceptibility* and permanently magnetised *thermoremanent* material.

Magnetic susceptibility relates to the induced magnetism of a material when in the presence of a magnetic field. This magnetism can be considered as effectively permanent as it exists within the Earth's magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can become enhanced due to burning and complex biological or fermentation processes.

Thermoremanence is a permanent magnetism acquired by iron minerals that, after heating to a specific temperature known as the Curie Point, are effectively demagnetised followed by re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling. Thermoremanent archaeological features can include hearths and kilns and material such as brick and tile may be magnetised through the same process.

Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil creates a relative contrast against the much lower levels of magnetism within the subsoil into which the feature is cut. Systematic mapping of magnetic anomalies will produce linear and discrete areas of enhancement allowing assessment and characterisation of subsurface features. Material such as subsoil and non-magnetic bedrock used to create former earthworks and walls may be mapped as areas of lower enhancement compared to surrounding soils.

Magnetic survey is carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer which is a passive instrument consisting of two sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the top sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field whilst the lower sensor measures the same field but is also more affected by any localised buried field. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of a magnetic field created by a buried feature, if no field is present the difference will be close to zero as the magnetic field measured by both sensors will be the same.

Factors affecting the magnetic survey may include soil type, local geology, previous human activity, disturbance from modern services etc.



Your Survey Partner

For a complete and complementary range of survey services.

Survey services you can rely on

- Archaeological
- As Built Records
- Boundary Disputes
- CCTV
- Geophysical
- Laser Scanning
- Measured Building
- Pipeline Routes
- Railway
- Retrofit
- Setting Out
- Statutory Plan Collation
- Topographic
- Utility Mapping
- UXO Detection
- Void Detection

