



## ENGLISH HERITAGE

### Centre for Archaeology Scientific Dating Service

#### Details of Radiocarbon Sample

#### For Dating Lab use

Lab No

Result

$\delta^{13}C$ :

$\delta^{15}N$ :

Other lab nos

Please complete this form for every radiocarbon sample which you wish to submit for dating. The detailed information requested is essential for the assessment and full scientific publication of your samples and may be published verbatim. Incorrect or incomplete submissions will cause delay.

<b>Name of site</b>		Hengrave							
<b>Name or code of series</b>		Hengrave							
<b>Your sample reference</b>		Hengrave 299cm							
<b>Type of material</b> Please mark with X									
Animal bone		Charcoal		Leather		Shell		Water	
Antler		Fabric		Peat		Slag		Wood	
Bone		Grain		Plant macrofossil		Soil			
Carbonised residue		Human bone		Sediment		Thatch			
<b>Specific identification</b> eg left tibia, <i>Quercus</i> sp., sapwood,				<b>Weight of sample</b> eg less than 5g					
<b>Name of person carrying out identification and institution affiliated to</b>				<b>Date identified</b>					
<b>Collector's name</b> Dr Tom Hill				<b>Date collected</b>				July 2006	
<b>Submitter's name</b> Dr Ben Gearey				<b>Date submitted</b>				October 2006	
<b>Estimated archaeological period</b> Please mark with X									
Palaeolithic	Until 10,000 BP			Post medieval			1540 – 1955 cal AD		
Mesolithic	10,000 BP – 4,000 cal BC			Holocene					
Neolithic	4,000 – 2,500 cal BC			GS-1 (Younger Dryas)					
Bronze Age	2,500 – 600 cal BC			GI-1a (Allerød)					
Iron Age	cal BC 600 – 43 cal AD			GI-1b+c (Older Dryas)					
Roman	43 – 410 cal AD			GI-1d+e (Bølling)					
Early medieval	410 – 1066 cal AD			GS-2 (Middle Weichselian)					
Medieval	1066 – 1540 cal AD								

#### For AML use

AML approval  
AML no

Financial year  
Deadline

Notes for dating laboratory

Context																
<b>Was the sample</b> Please mark with X	x	Sealed in recognisable layer?														
		Sealed in a localised feature? <i>eg a grave or pit</i>														
		Unstratified														
		Other <i>eg wooden pile foundation</i>														
<b>This is known</b> Please mark with X	x	Confidently														
		Probably														
		Doubtfully														
<b>Stratigraphic details</b> Please give details of the contextual and stratigraphic location of the sample, attaching plan or section. Please discuss the possibility of intrusion or residuality <i>eg inhumation G76 overlying posthole P27 and inhumation G124 and cut by inhumation G128. The skeleton was fully articulated, removing any possibility of disturbance or exhumation.</i>  Analysis of aerial photographs, LiDAR and grey literature as part of the Suffolk River Valleys Project resulted in the identification of a possible palaeochannel within the floodplain of the River Lark, proximal to Hengrave. The lack of palaeoenvironmental research undertaken within the region resulted in the site being chosen for further analysis. A sedimentary core, representative of the Hengrave floodplain stratigraphic archive, was sampled:  <table border="0"> <tr> <td>0-24</td> <td>Dark brown very well humified peat, occasional sand and silt</td> </tr> <tr> <td>24-60</td> <td>Grey-brown herbaceous very well humified silty peat</td> </tr> <tr> <td>60-100</td> <td>Dark brown/red-brown herbaceous humified peat with occasional wood</td> </tr> <tr> <td>100-151</td> <td>Dark brown/grey-brown herbaceous well humified silty peat</td> </tr> <tr> <td>151-164</td> <td>Dark grey-brown herbaceous very well humified slightly sandy peat</td> </tr> <tr> <td>164-200</td> <td>Dark grey-brown herbaceous well humified sandy peat with occasional sand horizons</td> </tr> <tr> <td>200-300</td> <td>Dark brown/grey-brown very herbaceous humified slightly silty peat with occasional wood fragments.</td> </tr> </table> Sample Hengrave 299m was taken from the base of a herbaceous well humified slightly silty peat.			0-24	Dark brown very well humified peat, occasional sand and silt	24-60	Grey-brown herbaceous very well humified silty peat	60-100	Dark brown/red-brown herbaceous humified peat with occasional wood	100-151	Dark brown/grey-brown herbaceous well humified silty peat	151-164	Dark grey-brown herbaceous very well humified slightly sandy peat	164-200	Dark grey-brown herbaceous well humified sandy peat with occasional sand horizons	200-300	Dark brown/grey-brown very herbaceous humified slightly silty peat with occasional wood fragments.
0-24	Dark brown very well humified peat, occasional sand and silt															
24-60	Grey-brown herbaceous very well humified silty peat															
60-100	Dark brown/red-brown herbaceous humified peat with occasional wood															
100-151	Dark brown/grey-brown herbaceous well humified silty peat															
151-164	Dark grey-brown herbaceous very well humified slightly sandy peat															
164-200	Dark grey-brown herbaceous well humified sandy peat with occasional sand horizons															
200-300	Dark brown/grey-brown very herbaceous humified slightly silty peat with occasional wood fragments.															
<b>Environmental Details</b> Please give full details of the burial environment of the sample, including local geology, nearness to water table, calcareous environment, rootlet penetration, disturbance etc. <i>eg grave 1.7m from surface, waterlogged in winter, cut into natural chalk (pH 7.5). Possible contamination from modern septic tank to NW.</i>  The underlying geology of this part of the River Lark catchment is comprised predominantly of glaciofluvial drift.  The stratigraphy and sedimentology of the deposits suggests the area infilled naturally through biogenic in-situ sedimentation. The variation in minerogenic content within the peat units suggests changing environmental conditions during the development of the stratigraphic archive. The natural water table was located c. 0.8m from the surface. Rootlet penetration was not evident within the core upon extraction.																

## Objective

Please describe explicitly the relevance of this sample to the specific dating objective(s) of the project. This information should hold good regardless of the final result of the analysis. This is **your** chance to justify the expense of dating **your** samples!

*eg to establish the period of use of the cemetery to the W of the church and N of the fourteenth-century boundary ditch, the absolute date of this burial in comparison to G124 which it seals and G128 which cuts it, and to provide useful comparative information for the osteology since this skeleton has also provided a stable isotope measurement ( $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  6.2‰).*

- To determine the timescale involved for in-situ organic sedimentation in the valley floodplain of the River Lark.
- To determine the duration of sedimentation and variations in the rates of sedimentation during the depositional history.

### Relationship of sample to objective Please mark with X

x	Certain	The sample came from the object itself <i>eg skeleton in grave</i>
	Very likely	There is a direct functional relationship between the sample and the objective <i>eg coffin in grave</i>
	Likely	The nature and position of the sample suggests a functional relationship <i>eg worked antler in an occupation layer</i>
	Possible	Relationship less obvious because material small and scattered <i>eg bone fragments in grave</i>

### Estimated age of sample at death Please mark with X

x	Less than 20 years <i>eg twigs, grain, bone</i>
	Could be several decades but less than 100 years <i>eg charcoal from short lived woody species (eg Corylus avellana, Prunus sp., Pinus sp., Salix/populus sp.)</i>
	Could be centuries old <i>eg charcoal from long lived woody species (eg Quercus sp., Fraxinus sp., Taxus baccata)</i>
	Unknown <i>eg 'dark earth', soil</i>

<b>Sample collection, storage and treatment</b>
<p><b>How was the sample collected?</b> Please include details of size and type of monolith tins or coring equipment if appropriate <i>eg concentration of charcoal trowelled into polythene bags (double bagged), charcoal separated by water floatation</i></p> <p>Sedimentary core was extracted using a 7cm Russian Corer</p>
<p><b>How has it been stored?</b> <i>Eg double bagged in polythene in cardboard box</i></p> <p>The core was extracted an preserved in 1m guttering sections, wrapped and transported to the laboratory for sub-sampling and refrigeration storage</p>
<p><b>Have any preservatives, fungicides, glues etc been used?</b> Please give details of chemicals</p> <p>No</p>
<p><b>Was the sample waterlogged when collected?</b></p> <p>No</p>
<p><b>Has it been dried and if so how?</b></p> <p>No</p>
<p><b>Can the whole sample be used for dating?</b></p> <p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Is more material available?</b></p> <p>We could collect more material from appropriate samples</p>
<p><b>Has this or any related sample been sent to another laboratory for dating?</b> Please give laboratory references and radiocarbon ages</p> <p>No</p>

23 Savile Row  
London  
W1S 2ET

Tel 020 7973 3299  
Fax 020 7973 3330  
Email [alex.bayliss@english-heritage.org.uk](mailto:alex.bayliss@english-heritage.org.uk)