

Project name: Bull Ring Farm Road, Harbury, Warwickshire

> Client: John Moore Heritage Services

> > December 2015

Job ref: J9110

Report author: Rebecca Davies BSc (Hons)

# **GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT**

Project name: Bull Ring Farm Road, Harbury, Warwickshire Client: John Moore Heritage Services



Job ref: **J9110** 

Techniques: Detailed magnetic survey – Gradiometry

Survey date: 13th November 2015

Site centred at: **SP 372 601** 

Post code: CV33 9HJ Field team: Lukasz Krawec BSC Sophie Nicholson BSC (Hons)

Project manager: Simon Haddrell BEng(Hons) AMBCS PCIFA

Report written By: Rebecca Davies BSC (Hons)

CAD illustrations by: Rebecca Davies BSC (Hons)

Checked by: Peter Barker C.Eng MICE MCIWEM MCIFA FCINISTCES

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

LIS	LIST OF FIGURES					
1	SUMMARY OF RESULTS					
2	ΙΝΤΙ	INTRODUCTION				
	2.1	Background synopsis3				
	2.2	Site location3				
	2.3	Description of site				
	2.4	Geology and soils				
	2.5	Site history and archaeological potential3				
	2.6	Survey objectives4				
	2.7	Survey methods4				
	2.8	Processing, presentation and interpretation of results4				
	2.8.	1 Processing				
	2.8.	2 Presentation of results and interpretation4				
3	RES	ULTS5				
	3.1	Probable Archaeology5				
	3.2	Possible Archaeology				
	3.3	Medieval/Post-Medieval Agriculture5				
	3.4	Other Anomalies				
4	DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT6					
5	CON	ICLUSION				
6	REFERENCES					
APPENDIX A – METHODOLOGY & SURVEY EQUIPMENT						
AP	APPENDIX B – BASIC PRINCIPLES OF MAGNETIC SURVEY					
AP	APPENDIX C – GLOSSARY OF MAGNETIC ANOMALIES					

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 01	1:25 000	Location plan of survey area
Figure 02	1:750	Location of survey grids and referencing
Figure 03	1:750	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values
Figure 04	1:750	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data
Figure 05	1:750	Abstraction and interpretation of gradiometer anomalies

# 1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A detailed gradiometry survey was conducted over approximately 1.1 hectares of grassland. No features of archaeological origin have been identified, despite the potential for medieval remains. Ridge and furrow cultivation across the site along with a former trackway and field boundaries indicate that the site has an agricultural past, supporting information from the HER search of the site having potential for medieval agricultural remains. The remaining features are modern and include scattered magnetic debris and magnetic disturbance from nearby ferrous metal objects.

# 2 INTRODUCTION

## 2.1 Background synopsis

Stratascan were commissioned to undertake a geophysical survey of an area outlined for residential development. This survey forms part of an archaeological investigation being undertaken by John Moore Heritage Services.

## 2.2 Site location

The site is located west of Bull Ring Farm Road, Harbury at OS ref. SP 372 601.

## 2.3 Description of site

The survey area is approximately 1.1 hectares of mostly flat unobstructed grassland.

## 2.4 Geology and soils

The underlying geology comprises interbedded mudstone and limestone of Rugby Limestone Member (British Geological Survey website). The drift geology comprises mid-Pleistocene till diamicton (British Geological Survey website).

The overlying soils are known as Evesham 2 which are typical calcareous pelosols. These consist of slowly permeable calcareous clayey soils (Soil Survey of England and Wales, Sheet 3 Midland and Western England).

## 2.5 Site history and archaeological potential

A search of Warwickshire HER (Warwickshire County Council, 2015) within a 1km radius of the site identifies a number of medieval remains and two find spots of Roman coins (MWS820, MWA630) to the south and south-east of the site respectively. The village of Harbury itself has medieval origins, and the possible extent of the settlement has been identified from the first edition Ordnance Survey map (MWA9055). An estate map from 1813 marks the site of two houses, which are now visible as earthwork house platforms to the west of the church (MWA636). A fishpond of unknown date is recorded to the east of the site (MWA8918) and is

visible in the grounds of Harbury House (MWA3696). A number of locations of extant ridge and furrow earthworks are also recorded to the north of the site (MWA19518, MWA19520 & MWA19521). From these records it can be determined that the site has a moderate potential for medieval remains, particularly those related to agricultural activity.

## 2.6 Survey objectives

The objective of the survey was to locate any features of possible archaeological origin in order that they may be assessed prior to development.

## 2.7 Survey methods

This report and all fieldwork have been conducted in accordance with both the English Heritage guidelines outlined in the document: *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation, 2008* and with the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists document Standard and *Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey.* 

Due to the moderate potential for medieval remains, detailed magnetic survey (gradiometry) was used as an efficient and effective method of locating archaeological anomalies. More information regarding this technique is included in Appendix A.

## 2.8 Processing, presentation and interpretation of results

## 2.8.1 Processing

Processing is performed using specialist software. This can emphasise various aspects contained within the data but which are often not easily seen in the raw data. Basic processing of the magnetic data involves 'flattening' the background levels with respect to adjacent traverses and adjacent grids. Once the basic processing has flattened the background it is then possible to carry out further processing which may include low pass filtering to reduce 'noise' in the data and hence emphasise the archaeological or man-made anomalies.

The following schedule shows the basic processing carried out on all minimally processed gradiometer data used in this report:

1.	Destripe	(Removes striping effects caused by zero-point discrepancies between different sensors and walking directions)
2.	Destagger	(Removes zigzag effects caused by inconsistent walking speeds on sloping, uneven or overgrown terrain)

## 2.8.2 Presentation of results and interpretation

The presentation of the data for each site involves a print-out of the minimally processed data both as a greyscale plot and a colour plot showing extreme magnetic values. Magnetic anomalies have been identified and plotted onto the 'Abstraction and Interpretation of Anomalies' drawing for the site.

# 3 **RESULTS**

The detailed magnetic gradiometer survey conducted at Harbury has not identified any anomalies that have been characterised as being either of a *probable* or *possible* archaeological origin.

The following list of numbered anomalies refers to numerical labels on the interpretation plots.

## 3.1 Probable Archaeology

No probable archaeology has been identified within the survey area.

## 3.2 Possible Archaeology

No possible archaeology has been identified within the survey area.

## 3.3 Medieval/Post-Medieval Agriculture

- **1** A number of widely spaced, slightly curved parallel linear anomalies across the site. These are related to medieval ridge and furrow cultivation.
- **2-4** A number of linear anomalies running roughly east-west across the site. These are likely to be related to former field boundaries that are not present on available OS mapping dating back to 1887.

## 3.4 Other Anomalies

- **5** A negative linear anomaly running east-west through the centre of the site. This is related to a former trackway that is visible on available OS mapping from 1887 to 1955.
- 6 A small area of weak scattered magnetic debris in the centre of the site. This is likely to be modern in origin or related to the former trackway to the south.
- 7 Areas of magnetic disturbance are the result of substantial nearby ferrous metal objects such as fences and underground services. These effects can mask weaker archaeological anomalies, but on this site have not affected a significant proportion of the area.

8 A number of magnetic 'spikes' (strong focussed values with associated antipolar response) indicate ferrous metal objects. These are likely to be modern rubbish.

## 4 DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT

Interbedded limestone and mudstone geologies generally provide good responses to gradiometer survey. The data across the site shows a high contrast between anomalies, with evidence of ridge and furrow and former trackways and field boundaries clearly visible. This suggests that the survey has been effective.

# 5 **CONCLUSION**

The survey at Harbury has not identified any features of archaeological origin, despite the potential for medieval remains. Evidence of ridge and furrow cultivation across the site has been identified, supporting information from the HER search of the site having a moderate potential for medieval agricultural remains. A number of possible former field boundaries and a track-way further indicate that the site has had a largely agricultural past. The remaining features are modern in origin and include an area of scattered magnetic debris, magnetic disturbance from nearby ferrous objects and magnetic spikes which are likely to be modern rubbish.

## 6 **REFERENCES**

British Geological Survey South Sheet, 1977. *Geological Survey Ten Mile Map, South Sheet First Edition* (*Quaternary*). Institute of Geological Sciences.

British Geological Survey, 2001. *Geological Survey Ten Mile Map, South Sheet, Fourth Edition (Solid)*. British Geological Society.

British Geological Survey, n.d., *website*: (http://www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion1=1#maps) Geology of Britain viewer.

Chartered Institute For Archaeologists. *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*. <u>http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/nodefiles/Geophysics2010.pdf</u>

English Heritage, 2008. Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation.

Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1983. *Soils of England and Wales, Sheet 3 Midland and Western England*.

Warwickshire County Council, 2015. *Warwickshire HER [online]* Available through: <u>www.heritagegateway.org.uk</u> Accessed 04/12/15

# **APPENDIX A – METHODOLOGY & SURVEY EQUIPMENT**

#### Grid locations

The location of the survey grids has been plotted together with the referencing information. Grids were set out using a Leica 705auto Total Station and referenced to suitable topographic features around the perimeter of the site or a Leica Smart Rover RTK GPS.

An RTK GPS (Real-time Kinematic Global Positioning System) can locate a point on the ground to a far greater accuracy than a standard GPS unit. A standard GPS suffers from errors created by satellite orbit errors, clock errors and atmospheric interference, resulting in an accuracy of 5m-10m. An RTK system uses a single base station receiver and a number of mobile units. The base station re-broadcasts the phase of the carrier it measured, and the mobile units compare their own phase measurements with those they received from the base station. A SmartNet RTK GPS uses Ordnance Survey's network of over 100 fixed base stations to give an accuracy of around 0.01m.

#### Survey equipment and gradiometer configuration

Although the changes in the magnetic field resulting from differing features in the soil are usually weak, changes as small as 0.2 nanoTeslas (nT) in an overall field strength of 48,000nT, can be accurately detected using an appropriate instrument.

The mapping of the anomaly in a systematic manner will allow an estimate of the type of material present beneath the surface. Strong magnetic anomalies will be generated by buried iron-based objects or by kilns or hearths. More subtle anomalies such as pits and ditches can be seen if they contain more humic material which is normally rich in magnetic iron oxides when compared with the subsoil.

To illustrate this point, the cutting and subsequent silting or backfilling of a ditch may result in a larger volume of weakly magnetic material being accumulated in the trench compared to the undisturbed subsoil. A weak magnetic anomaly should therefore appear in plan along the line of the ditch.

The magnetic survey was carried out using a dual sensor Grad601-2 Magnetic Gradiometer manufactured by Bartington Instruments Ltd. The instrument consists of two fluxgates very accurately aligned to nullify the effects of the Earth's magnetic field. Readings relate to the difference in localised magnetic anomalies compared with the general magnetic background. The Grad601-2 consists of two high stability fluxgate gradiometers suspended on a single frame. Each gradiometer has a 1m separation between the sensing elements so enhancing the response to weak anomalies.

#### Sampling interval

Readings were taken at 0.25m centres along traverses 1m apart. This equates to 3600 sampling points in a full 30m x 30m grid.

#### Depth of scan and resolution

The Grad 601-2 has a typical depth of penetration of 0.5m to 1.0m, though strongly magnetic objects may be visible at greater depths. The collection of data at 0.25m centres provides an optimum methodology for the task balancing cost and time with resolution.

#### Data capture

The readings are logged consecutively into the data logger which in turn is daily down-loaded into a portable computer whilst on site. At the end of each site survey, data is transferred to the office for processing and presentation.

# **APPENDIX B** – BASIC PRINCIPLES OF MAGNETIC SURVEY

Detailed magnetic survey can be used to effectively define areas of past human activity by mapping spatial variation and contrast in the magnetic properties of soil, subsoil and bedrock.

Weakly magnetic iron minerals are always present within the soil and areas of enhancement relate to increases in *magnetic susceptibility* and permanently magnetised *thermoremanent* material.

Magnetic susceptibility relates to the induced magnetism of a material when in the presence of a magnetic field. This magnetism can be considered as effectively permanent as it exists within the Earth's magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can become enhanced due to burning and complex biological or fermentation processes.

Thermoremanence is a permanent magnetism acquired by iron minerals that, after heating to a specific temperature known as the Curie Point, are effectively demagnetised followed by re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling. Thermoremanent archaeological features can include hearths and kilns and material such as brick and tile may be magnetised through the same process.


Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil creates a relative contrast against the much lower levels of magnetism within the subsoil into which the feature is cut. Systematic mapping of magnetic anomalies will produce linear and discrete areas of enhancement allowing assessment and characterisation of subsurface features. Material such as subsoil and non-magnetic bedrock used to create former earthworks and walls may be mapped as areas of lower enhancement compared to surrounding soils.

Magnetic survey is carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer which is a passive instrument consisting of two sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the top sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field whilst the lower sensor measures the same field but is also more affected by any localised buried field. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of a magnetic field created by a buried feature, if no field is present the difference will be close to zero as the magnetic field measured by both sensors will be the same.

Factors affecting the magnetic survey may include soil type, local geology, previous human activity, disturbance from modern services etc.


# **APPENDIX C – GLOSSARY OF MAGNETIC ANOMALIES**

#### Bipolar



A bipolar anomaly is one that is composed of both a positive response and a negative response. It can be made up of any number of positive responses and negative responses. For example a pipeline consisting of alternating positive and negative anomalies is said to be bipolar. See also dipolar which has only one area of each polarity. The interpretation of the anomaly will depend on the magnitude of the magnetic field strength. A weak response may be caused by a clay field drain while a strong response will probably be caused by a metallic service.

#### Dipolar




This consists of a single positive anomaly with an associated negative response. There should be no separation between the two polarities of response. These responses will be created by a single feature. The interpretation of the anomaly will depend on the magnitude of the magnetic measurements. A very strong anomaly is likely to be caused by a ferrous object.

#### Positive anomaly with associated negative response


See bipolar and dipolar.

#### **Positive linear**




A linear response which is entirely positive in polarity. These are usually related to in-filled cut features where the fill material is magnetically enhanced compared to the surrounding matrix. They can be caused by ditches of an archaeological origin, but also former field boundaries, ploughing activity and some may even have a natural origin.

#### Positive linear anomaly with associated negative response




A positive linear anomaly which has a negative anomaly located adjacently. This will be caused by a single feature. In the example shown this is likely to be a single length of wire/cable probably relating to a modern service. Magnetically weaker responses may relate to earthwork style features and field boundaries.

#### Positive point/area




These are generally spatially small responses, perhaps covering just 3 or 4 reading nodes. They are entirely positive in polarity. Similar to positive linear anomalies they are generally caused by in-filled cut features. These include pits of an archaeological origin, possible tree bowls or other naturally occurring depressions in the ground.

#### Magnetic debris




Magnetic debris consists of numerous dipolar responses spread over an area. If the amplitude of response is low (+/-3nT) then the origin is likely to represent general ground disturbance with no clear cause, it may be related to something as simple as an area of dug or mixed earth. A stronger anomaly (+/-250nT) is more indicative of a spread of ferrous debris. Moderately strong anomalies may be the result of a spread of thermoremanent material such as bricks or ash.

#### **Magnetic disturbance**



Magnetic disturbance is high amplitude and can be composed of either a bipolar anomaly, or a single polarity response. It is essentially associated with magnetic interference from modern ferrous structures such as fencing, vehicles or buildings, and as a result is commonly found around the perimeter of a site near to boundary fences.

#### Negative linear




A linear response which is entirely negative in polarity. These are generally caused by earthen banks where material with a lower magnetic magnitude relative to the background top soil is built up. See also ploughing activity.

#### Negative point/area

Opposite to positive point anomalies these responses may be caused by raised areas or earthen banks. These could be of an archaeological origin or may have a natural origin.

#### **Ploughing activity**



Ploughing activity can often be visualised by a series of parallel linear anomalies. These can be of either positive polarity or negative polarity depending on site specifics. It can be difficult to distinguish between ancient ploughing and more modern ploughing. Clues such as the separation of each linear, straightness, strength of response and cross cutting relationships can be used to aid this, although none of these can be guaranteed to differentiate between different phases of activity.

#### Polarity

Term used to describe the measurement of the magnetic response. An anomaly can have a positive polarity (values above 0nT) and/or a negative polarity (values below 0nT).


#### Strength of response

The amplitude of a magnetic response is an important factor in assigning an interpretation to a particular anomaly. For example a positive anomaly covering a  $10m^2$  area may have values up to around 3000nT, in which case it is likely to be caused by modern magnetic interference. However, the same size and shaped anomaly but with values up to only 4nT may have a natural origin. Colour plots are used to show the amplitude of response.

#### Thermoremanent response

A feature which has been subject to heat may result in it acquiring a magnetic field. This can be anything up to approximately +/-100 nT in value. These features include clay fired drains, brick, bonfires, kilns, hearths and even pottery. If the heat application has occurred in situ (e.g. a kiln) then the response is likely to be bipolar compared to if the heated objects have been disturbed and moved relative to each other, in which case they are more likely to take an irregular form and may display a debris style response (e.g. ash).

#### Weak background variations



Weakly magnetic wide scale variations within the data can sometimes be seen within sites. These usually have no specific structure but can often appear curvy and sinuous in form. They are likely to be the result of natural features, such as soil creep, dried up (or seasonal) streams. They can also be caused by changes in the underlying geology or soil type which may contain unpredictable distributions of magnetic minerals, and are usually apparent in several locations across a site. OS 100km square = SP


62









58









N	Issue No.	Date	Amendments Description			
	-	-	-			
	-	- 0	- Stratascan Ltd - 20	)15		
				/10		
2						
		OS GRID REFERENCES				
		05.0				
	Α	43727	0.05, 260195.6	8		
	B 437285.61, 260107.04					
	Client JOHN MOORE HERITAGE SERVICE					
	0011					
	Project Title Job No. 9110 GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY - BULL RING					
arbury Ha						
	Subject					
Cottage	LOCATION OF SURVEY GRIDS AND REFERENCING					
	KEFEKEINUIING					
	STRATASCAN					
	GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY					
	AND ENGINEERING					
		INEYARD H FON UPON		1684 592266 stratascan.co.uk		
	Ur	WR8 0S		tratascan.co.uk		
	B U 1		GISTER			
	G	<b>PR</b>	Carlos and			
	A111	ROITAISC				
	- 2		SUMO GROUP			
	Survey		MEMBER	UKAS certified		
	Scale	Or		30m		
	1					
I -	Plot	Λ <u>2</u>	Checked by	Issue No.		
ardens	Survey	A3 date	PPB Drawn by	01 Figure No.		
		0V 15	RD	02		







			Amendments				
N	Issue N	Io. Date	Descri				
	-	-	-				
	-	-	-				
		©	Stratascan Ltd - 20	015			
1		PROB	ABLE ARCHAEC	DLOGY			
	Positive anomaly / weak positive anomaly - probable						
	• 🥢	cut feature of	archaeological origin				
			naly / weak negative				
		bank or earthwork of archaeological origin					
	POSSIBLE ARCHAEOLOGY						
	<b>•</b> //	Positive anom	aly / weak positive ar	nomaly - possible cut			
	- 🧶	feature of arc	haeological origin	•			
			naly / weak negative				
		bank or earth	nnk or earthwork of archaeological origin				
	MEI	DIEVAL/PC	ST-MEDIEVAL	AGRICULTURE			
	1100 M	Widely spaced	l curving parallel linea	ar anomalies -			
			ed to ridge-and-furro				
		Closely space	l parallel linear anom	alies - probably			
	mme	-	cultural activity such				
			y - probably related t				
		5	present on available	11 0			
			y - related to a forme	er field boundary			
		present on av	ailable mapping				
		O'	THER ANOMALI	ES			
		Linear anomal	y - probably related t	o pipe, cable or			
		other modern	service				
			y - related to former	track-way present			
		on available n					
	$\times \times \times \times$	0	rbance associated wi	•			
	XXX	°	s service or field boun	Ŷ			
		strong magne ground	tic debris - possible d	isturbed or made			
	+ +	ground					
	+ +	Scattered magnetic debris					
	ਨੱਲ	Area of amorp	hous magnetic variat	ion - probable			
	-Q-Q-	natural (e.g. g	eological or pedologi	cal) origin			
	-	Magnetic spike	e - probable ferrous o	biect			
	Т	• ·	Ī				
	Clien	t					
\	JO	HN MOO	RE HERITAG	E SERVICES			
$\backslash$							
	Projo	ct Title		Job No. 9110			
	0		CAL SURVEY -	0110			
arbury Ha	FAR	M ROAD,	HARBURY, WA	RWICKSHIRE			
andary na	Subje	ect					
			ON AND INTE	PRETATION			
Cottage	AD						
		OF GRAL	DIOMETER AN	OMALIES			
	<u></u>		N 1759 16 67				
	•• 10	CEODUVS		A FOLOCY			
	GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING						
	T	VINEYARD H		1684 592266			
	UPTON UPON SEVERN E: info@stratascan.co.uk WR8 0SA www.stratascan.co.uk						
				trataseun.co.un			
		ROPLAN	GISTERR				
		SPR.					
	48	ROITATION	MISE				
_	SUMO SUMO						
	MEMBER UKAS Certified UKAS Certified UKAS						
	Scale 0m 5 15 30m						
		1:750					
	Plot		Checked by	Issue No.			
ardens		A3	PPB	01			
		ey date	Drawn by	Figure No.			
	N	OV 15	RD	05			

# Your Survey Partner For a complete and complementary range of survey services

Survey services you can rely on

Archaeological **As Built Records BIM Ready 3D Models Boundary Disputes CCTV** Geophysical Laser Scanning **Measured Building Pipeline Routes** Railway Retrofit **Setting Out Statutory Plan Collation Topographic Utility Mapping UXO** Detection **Void Detection** 

#### STRATASCAN LTD

Vineyard House Upper Hook Road Upton upon Severn Worcestershire WR8 0SA United Kingdom

> T:01684 592266 F: 01684 594142 info@stratascan.co.uk www.stratascan.co.uk









GP

UKAS

Ims