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#### Abstract

Summary John Moore Heritage Services conducted an archaeological watching brief as a condition of planning permission for redevelopment at 54 Ock Street, Abingdon, Oxfordshire. The watching brief was successful in locating and recording a series of archaeological features dating from at least the $11^{\text {th }}$ century onwards. The pottery assemblage recovered suggests the site to have been occupied between the $11^{\text {th }}$ to the late $13^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ century before probably being abandoned until the $19^{\text {th }}$ century. The presence of a relatively large quantity of horncores hints at an industrial usage relating to tanning or horn working similar to those known at the Morlands Brewery site and 75 Ock Street nearby. Evidence was also recorded of a $19^{\text {th }}$ century building occupying the site prior to the more recent example that was demolished to allow the construction of the new dwellings.


Deep trenching and the unusual foundation design hindered the investigation.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Site Location (Figure 1)

The development site is located on the south side of Ock Street in Abingdon, west of Coopers Lane and north of the River Ock (NGR SU 4939 9698). The underlying geology is First Terrace gravel and the previous use prior to development was light industrial. The site lies at $c .52 \mathrm{~m}$ OD. Slightly gravely sand with patches of clay and decayed chalk was observed during the investigation.

### 1.2 Planning Background

The Vale of White Horse District Council granted planning permission under planning application number 09/00282/FUL to convert and extend the existing frontage building to provide $2 \times 2$ bedroom flats and the redevelopment of the land to the rear, following the demolition of the existing building with $6 \times 2$ bed dwellings with undercroft car parking. Due to the potential of the site to contain archaeological remains a condition was attached requiring that a full archaeological watching brief be carried out during the period of construction works. This was in line with PPG 16 and local plan policies. Oxfordshire County Archaeological Services (OCAS), on behalf of the Vale of White Horse District Council, prepared a Design Brief for Archaeological Watching Brief.

### 1.3 Archaeological Background

The site is located in an area of known archaeological interest. The adjacent Brewery site (38-52 Ock Street) has been redeveloped for housing. Prior to construction works being undertaken extensive archaeological investigations were carried out (Anthony et al 2006). The area running south from the Ock Street frontage contained a number of medieval pits and two buildings. One building dated to the earlier $13^{\text {th }}$ century while the other appears to have been in use, in a series of phases, from the $13^{\text {th }}$ century to the $18^{\text {th }}$ century. An area near the river contained a concentration of $15^{\text {th }}$ and $16^{\text {th }}$ century pits that appear to have formed part of an extensive tanning site. Similar

evidence of this activity has also been found to the north on the north side of Ock Street at No. 75 (ibid).

On both sites residual Mesolithic and Mesolithic to Bronze Age flints have been found. A few sherds of Iron Age pottery have also been recovered in addition to limited Roman features comprising ditches and pits. Some late Saxon pits and a possible ditch were found on the Brewery site.

## 2 AIMS OF THE INVESTIGATION

To make a record of any significant remains revealed during the course of any operations that may disturb or destroy archaeological remains.

In particular

- To record any remains relating to prehistoric or Roman activity on the site
- To record evidence of remains relating to the medieval and post-medieval settlement


## 3 STRATEGY

### 3.1 Research Design

John Moore Heritage Services carried out the work to a Written Scheme of Investigation agreed with OCAS. Standard John Moore Heritage Services techniques were employed throughout, involving the completion of a written record for each deposit encountered, with scale plans and section drawings compiled where appropriate and possible.

The recording was carried out in accordance with the standards specified by the Institute of Field Archaeologists (1994).

### 3.2 Methodology

An archaeologist was present on site during the course of groundworks associated with the development. This involved monitoring the excavation for new foundation trenches. The ground reduction for the undercroft car parking were designed not to be deep enough to damage potential archaeology and was not monitored.

Any archaeological features revealed in plan were cleaned by hand where possible. All remains were recorded in plan and section as being excavated. All archaeological features or other remains were recorded by written, drawn and photographic record. All artefacts were collected and retained except for concentrations of building material where a representative sample would be kept if apparent. Finds ranging from pottery, tile, bone and slag were recovered.


## 4 RESULTS

All deposits and features were assigned individual context numbers. Context numbers in ( ) indicate feature fills or deposits of material. Those without brackets refer to features themselves.

### 4.1 Results (Figure 2)

An archaeologist was present during the excavation of all the foundation trenches associated with the new development. All the features encountered were recorded as best as the circumstances dictated. The earliest deposit encountered across the site was natural pale yellow slightly gravely sand with patches of decayed chalk and mid orange-brown clay (03). Where later features or deposits were not present, sealing the natural was a brown-grey clayey loam topsoil (02), (10), (36), (123), (125), (153), (162). This was in turn covered by the remnants of the former industrial structure recorded as (01), (99), (100), (101), (154) and (155) which previously occupied the middle section of the site prior to its recent demolition.

## Earlier activity

## Foundation Area 1 (Figure 3, Sections 1-4)

The foundation trench furthest south within this plot revealed a series of pits. Cutting natural sand here were pits 20, 21 and 22 (Section 1). Although no relationships could be established between them, they are likely to be contemporary and backfilled at the same time as they all contained a seemingly identical fills (16), (17) and (18) respectively. Pit 20 was at least 1.36 m wide and at least 0.64 m deep and contained fill (16), a dark brown-grey silty clay. To the west of this was pit 21 measuring at least 1.30 m wide and 0.24 m deep containing fill (17) identical to (16). Closest to the boundary wall was pit 22 measuring at least 1.20 m wide and 0.60 m deep. Unlike pits 20 and 21, this contained two fills. The earliest fill (19) was a dark grey-brown silty, sandy clay with a maximum thickness of 0.60 m that that was partly overlaid by fill (18), identical to both fill (16) and (17) with a maximum thickness of 0.38 m .

Overlaying fills (16), (17) and (18) was deposit (15), a mixed dark grey-brown and dark orange silty clay 0.32 m thick and 2.70 m wide that was covered by deposit (23), a dark greenish grey-brown sandy, silty clay 0.32 m thick and 2.60 m wide as seen. Deposit (23) was overlain to the east by deposit (11), a mid grey-brown silty clay 0.50 m thick and at least 1.00 m wide. To the west deposit (23) was cut by pit 13 measuring 1.10 m wide and 0.68 m deep with fairly steep curving sides and a curving base. This was filled with a patchy mid yellow-brown and mid to dark grey-brown sandy, silty clay (12).

Overlying deposit (11) was a silty clay that was a mixed dark grey-brown in colour with patches of mid orange-brown (14) that was noted to be similar to deposit (12). It could be part of a continuation of feature 13 but this was not clear.

Towards the middle of Foundation Area 1 another three features were identified. Cutting natural sand (03) here were pit 25 and gully 26 (Section 2). Pit 25 was at least 1.60 m wide and 0.70 m deep, continuing below the depth of the trench (Fig. 2). Its fill
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comprised a mid grey-brown clayey, sandy silt (27). Gully 26 was aligned approximately E-W and was 0.35 m wide and 0.36 m deep and was filled with a dark grey-brown silty, sandy clay (31). Both fill (27) and fill (31) were cut by pit 24 . Pit 24 was 2.40 m wide and 0.70 m deep as seen. This pit contained three fills. The earliest was a dark brown-grey sandy clayey silt (30) containing three sherds of pottery dating from $1050-1500 \mathrm{AD}$ that was 0.12 m thick and was overlain by a dark grey-brown clayey sandy silt (29) that was 0.30 m thick containing six sherds of pottery dating from $1000-1300 \mathrm{AD}$, suggesting the feature to have a late $11^{\text {th }}$ to late $13^{\text {th }}$ century date. Sealing this was fill (28) comprising a mid grey-brown clayey, sandy silt measuring 0.28 m in thickness.

Further north within Foundation Area 1 and cutting through natural sand (03) was ditch 32 aligned N-S (Fig. 2 \& Fig. 3, Sections $3 \& 4$ ). This feature was 1.10 m wide, at least 2.70 m long and at least 0.95 m deep and contained three fills. The lowest fill (35) was a mixed mid orange-grey colour and was composed of silty gravel at least 0.08 m in thickness. Above this was fill (34), a dark brown-grey clayey sand with a maximum thickness of 0.62 m which in turn was covered by fill (33), a mid orangebrown clayey sand 1.00 m deep.

## Foundation Area 2 (Sections 5-7, 19)

Towards the NW part of this plot large pit 44 was observed cutting natural sand (03) (Sections 6 \& 7). In section it appeared to be at least 1.20 m wide and 1.50 m deep and was not seen in the opposite section. Its fill (41) was composed of a dark black-grey clayey silt. A cow skull and a cow horn core were noted well set within the fill close to its base but were not removed for health and safety reasons. Cutting fill (41) were both pit 42 and pit 40.

Pit 42 was 1.02 m deep and at least 1.10 m wide. An attempt at drawing its full profile in section was not possible as the newly excavated foundation trench close to the property boundary in this area was roughly dug where it was set back. The pit was filled with a dark brown-grey clayey silt (43). It was uncertain whether the fill of this pit was sealed by the demolished remains of the former building or the former garden soil (36).

Pit 40 was at least 1.60 m wide and 0.76 m deep with a fill composed of light yellowbrown clayey silt (39) and was cut by pit 174 and pit 171. Pit 174 (Section 5) measured at least 1.00 m in width and 0.50 m in depth and was filled with a very dark grey-black silty clay with frequent ash and charcoal inclusions (176). Two sherds of pottery with a mid $11^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ century date were recovered from this fill. Cutting pit 40 in the NE / N facing section opposite was pit 171 (Fig. 4, Section 19). This had steep, straight sides curving onto a relatively flat base. It was 1.06 m wide and 0.82 m deep and was filled with a mid grey-brown clayey, sandy silt (172).

A relationship between pit 174 and pit 173 to the SE could not be established, as their fills (176) and (175) respectively appeared very similar, and could have been backfilled as part of the same event. Pit 173 was at least 0.52 m wide and 0.14 m deep and like fill (175) it also contained two sherds of pottery suggesting a mid $11^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ century date.

To the SE of pit 173 was large pit 178 measuring at least 2.70 m wide and 0.48 m deep that was seen to contain at least two fills and found to continue below the arbitrary depth of the trench. The lowest fill identified (179) was composed of a very dark black-grey ash deposit that was at least 0.04 m deep as seen. Above this was a very dark grey-black silty clay with frequent ash and charcoal inclusions (38) similar to both fill (175) and fill (176). Again this could have been part of the same backfilling episode although it is possible that pit 173 was cut into this horizon. However, this was far from clear.

Truncating pits $173,174,178$ and earlier pit 40 was feature 177 noted to be at least 5.30 m wide and 0.72 m deep with a moderately steep curving western edge and a relatively flat base. This was filled with a dark grey-brown clayey silt (37).

Foundation Area 3 (Sections 8 -14)
Cutting natural sand (03) furthest west within this plot next to and running underneath the boundary wall was pit 118, a probable rectangular pit that was at least 0.90 m wide and 0.38 m deep with very steep sides with rounded corners and a flat base (Section 13). It was filled with a mixture of mid orange-brown clay and mid grey-brown silty clay with occasional charcoal flecking (117) and was cut by pit 104 (Section 12).

Pit 104 was 1.34 m deep and at least 1.30 m wide with steep sides curving onto a rounded base. This pit also contained five fills. The earliest fill (112) was a dark greyblack ash with frequent charcoal inclusions 0.22 m thick containing a single sherd of pottery suggesting a mid $11^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ century date. This fill appeared to lens out beyond the feature into deposit (114) but this is likely to have either been caused by animal disturbance or leaching. Above this was a mid grey ash fill (111) containing moderate charcoal inclusions that was 0.24 m thick that was overlain by fill (110), a mid brown-grey silty clay with moderate chalk and charcoal flecking 0.46 m thick found to contain a single sherd of pottery also suggesting a mid $11^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ century date. Covering this was a mid yellow grey-brown sandy, silty, clay with moderate chalk and charcoal flecking (109). Finally, the latest fill of pit 104 was fill (108), a mid brown-grey sandy-silty clay 0.30 m thick with moderate charcoal flecking and frequent burnt bone fragments towards it base.

Pit 104 cut deposit (113), a mid grey-brown sandy, clayey, silt with occasional fragments of ceramic building material (CBM) and charcoal flecking. 0.44 m thick which in turn overlay deposit (116), a deposit that was 0.46 m thick and which seemed almost identical to deposit (113) but appearing with a slight green hue and with no evidence of CBM. Deposit (116) sealed deposit (114), a mid orange-yellow slightly silty sand 0.16 m thick thought to be a redeposited natural which in turn overlay deposit (115), a mid brown-grey sandy, silty clay 0.16 m thick which sealed natural (03) in this part of the trench. Deposits (113), (114), (115) and (116) could be fills of pit 62 further NW but this is not certain.

Slightly further SE and through much of the rest of the plot another series of features and deposits were identified. Stratigraphically, the earliest features identified cutting natural sand (03) here were pit 63 (the same as pit 47) and pits $51,87,82,80,78$ and 75.
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Pit 78 furthest west was at least 0.55 m wide and 0.60 m deep (Section 10). Next to this was pit 80 measuring 0.60 m wide and 0.25 m deep which was also adjacent to pit 82 revealed to be 0.80 m wide and 0.25 m deep. A very similar fill consisting of a mid brown slightly clayey, sandy silt, (79), (81) and (83) respectively, filled these pits. Slightly further SE was pit 87 measuring 0.65 m wide and 0.35 m deep, filled with a light yellow-brown sandy silt (88). A single sherd of pottery with a probable mid $13^{\text {th }}$ to early $15^{\text {th }}$ century date was recovered from this fill.

Sealing fills (79) and (81) was a light yellow-brown slightly clayey sandy-silt (85) with a maximum thickness of 0.10 m . This is thought to be the same as deposit (84) sealing fill (83) with a piece of limestone separating the deposits in section.

Overlying deposit (85) was a dark brown-black silty clay with frequent charcoal flecking (86) 0.15 m thick. This deposit was identical in composition and colour to deposit (105) overlying fill (88) further SE that was 0.10 m thick. Sealing both deposit (86) and deposit (105) was a mid brown sandy silt 0.50 m thick with occasional small to large angular stone inclusions (89).

Cutting through deposit (89) were a small pit/posthole 90 and another possible pit or area of disturbance 93. Pit 90 had a ' $U$ ' shaped profile that was 0.50 m wide and 0.50 m deep and contained a fill composed of dark brown clayey silty sand (91) and a single sherd of pottery dating from 1680-1750AD. Feature 93 however had an irregular profile and was at least 0.58 m wide and 0.85 m deep as seen with a dark brown-black sandy silt fill (94) with a residual sherd of probable late $11^{\text {th }}$ century pottery. Pit 93 is thought to be evidence of later activity and was observed to truncate pit 51 slightly further north (Section 9).

Pit 51 was 1.30 m wide and 0.70 m deep with moderately steep curving sides and a curving base that was filled with a dark black-grey clayey sand (52). Like large pit 47 (the same as pit 63) it was cut by small pit 49 measuring 0.70 m wide and 0.24 m deep containing a light brown-grey clay-sand fill (50).

In the east facing section opposite to pit 49, the earliest feature encountered here was pit 75 with a ' $U$ ' shaped profile and was 0.80 m wide and 0.42 m deep (Section 11). It contained fill (76), a mid brown sandy silt fill and a large single sherd of pottery dating to the late $11^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ century. This pit was cut on its southern edge by an undercutting feature 77 that was 0.70 m wide and 0.20 m deep as seen, containing a fill composed of dark brown sandy silt (72) and on its northern edge by pit 74 noted to be at least 0.28 m wide and 0.12 m deep and to contain a mid brown silty sand fill (73) that was slightly lighter the (76). Pit 74 is thought likely to also cut pit 63 , but this was unclear from section and thorough hand cleaning was not possible due to health and safety concerns.

Large pit 63 had steep, relatively straight sides curving onto a flat base (Section14). This pit was at least 1.84 m wide and 1.34 m deep and contained four fills. The earliest fill (67) was a mid brown-grey slightly sandy, silty clay with occasional gravel and charcoal flecking 0.10 m thick. This was covered by fill (66) (the same as fill (48)), a mid grey-brown sandy, silty clay noted to contain moderate gravel and chalk flecking with occasional charcoal flecking measuring 0.90 m in thickness. Overlaying this was a much thinner deposit of mid grey-brown silty clay with occasional chalk flecking (65) that was sealed by a mid grey-brown sandy, silty clay layer containing moderate
chalk and occasional charcoal flecking (64). Three sherds of pottery from this fill were dated to the late $11^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ century. As well as being cut by pit 49 , pit 63 was also cut by pit 62 to the northwest and possibly pit 74 to the west although this was not clear from the section.

Pit 62 was 2.60 m wide as seen and at least 0.90 m deep continuing below the depth of the trench with an uncertain relationship with pit 57 to the NW (Section 14). It appeared to have moderately steep sides with a break in slope noted along its SE edge where the side became steeper close to the base of the trench and contained five fills. The earliest fill was a mid grey-brown sandy silty clay (60) at least 0.10 m thick. Above this was a mid orange/brown to light yellow sandy clay (59) also at least 0.10 m thick. Covering this, deposit (61) composed of dark black-grey silty, sandy clay that was at least 0.30 m thick containing a single sherd of pottery dating from the late $11^{\text {th }}$ century or slightly later. Overlying this was fill (58), a dark grey-brown clayey, sandy silt 0.10 m thick containing a single sherd of pottery initially thought to be from the mid $11^{\text {th }}$ century but with a date range of $1050-1400 \mathrm{AD}$ is more likely to be from the late $11^{\text {th }}$ century onwards. This in turn was sealed by fill (55) comprising of a mid grey-brown clayey, sandy silt 0.70 m thick.

Pit 57 to the NW of pit 62 had moderately steep curving sides onto a slightly curving base being at least 0.26 m wide and 0.22 m deep. It was filled with a mid grey-brown clayey, sandy silt (56) that appeared identical to fill (55) of pit 62.

Pit 68 , measuring 1.60 m wide and 0.56 m deep cut pit 62 . This pit had moderately steep curving sides and a curving base with a single fill (69) composed of dark greybrown sandy, clayey silt with frequent pieces of quartz observed towards its base.

Furthest NW within this plot cutting natural (03) was possible pit/ditch 45, with a fairly steep, ' V ' shaped profile and a curving base measuring 1.00 m wide and 0.80 m deep (Section 8). This feature could be a pit, although its shape suggested it to be a possible ditch on an approximate N-S alignment. Despite the fact it was not observed in the opposite section, it is conceivable that the trench cut through the features terminus. It was filled with a dark brown-grey clayey silty sand (46).

## Foundation Area 4 (Sections 15-18)

Close to the eastern boundary the footing dug up against the wall was roughly excavated and was quickly shored allowing only a limited inspection. From this however, a mid brown clayey silt (152) 0.15 m thick was observed overlying natural (03) (Section 17). Cutting through this was pit 149 with moderately steep curving sides and a rounded base that was 2.60 m wide and 1.10 m deep as seen containing two fills. The lowest, fill (150) was a dark black-brown clayey silt 0.40 m thick that was overlain by fill (151), a dark brown sandy silt containing occasional charcoal and oyster shell pieces 0.70 m thick.

Slightly further SE more features were revealed (Section 15). The earliest of these were pits 119,129 and 134 . Pit 119 was at least 0.60 m wide and 0.35 m deep and contained a mid brown clayey silt (120). Pit 129 and pit 134 further SE are likely to be contemporary with each other as their fills appeared identical and relationship between the two could not be found. Pit 129 was at least 0.95 m wide and 0.50 m deep whilst pit 134 was at least 1.50 m wide and 0.60 m deep. The lowest fill in pit 129 was
a mid green-brown silt (130) 0.10 m thick that seemed the same as fill (133), the lowest fill within pit 134 also 0.10 m thick. Above this was a dark black-brown clayey silt with frequent charcoal flecking and occasional angular pieces of quartz referred to as fill (131) in pit 129 and fill (128) within pit 134 with fill (131) both being 0.25 m thick. Sealing this was a mid brown slightly clayey silt referred to as fill (132), measuring 0.15 m thick in pit 129 and fill (92) measuring 0.25 m thick in pit 134 . As the fills were so similar, it is possible that this is simply one large pit with an irregular base.

Overlying both pit 129 and pit 134 was a mid brown sandy silt (124) containing a single sherd of pottery suggesting a $13^{\text {th }}$ century date with a maximum thickness of 0.40 m . This is thought to be the same as deposit (121) which also contained a single sherd of pottery with a suggested $13^{\text {th }}$ century date, separated by a small area of disturbance in section seen covering (120), the fill of pit 119. Deposit (121)/(124) underlay a slightly lighter silty clay (122) 0.10 m thick.

Further SE a series of inter-cutting pits were observed (Section 16). The earliest feature identified was pit 169 . Pit 169 was at least 1.00 m wide and 1.05 m deep and contained two fills. This pit is possibly part of pit 63 (the same as pit 47) noted in Foundation Area 3. The lowest fill (168) was similar in colour and composition to fill (67) and was 0.50 m thick whilst the secondary fill (98) was similar in colour and composition to fill (66) and was 0.55 m thick.

Cutting into pit 169 were pit 180 and pit 96. Pit 180 was at least 1.20 m wide and 0.55 m deep and contained two fills. The lower fill (156) was composed of a dark black-brown silty clay with occasional charcoal flecking 0.20 m thick containing a single sherd of pottery dating to the $11^{\text {th }}$ century that was overlain by fill (165), a light brown slightly silty clay 0.35 m thick. Pit 96 was 1.20 m wide and 0.60 m deep with a 'U' shaped profile and was filled with a mid brown silty clay (97) similar to fill (98) but slightly lighter.

Cutting into and truncating the top of pit 180 was pit 166 measuring 1.5 m wide and 0.90 m deep. This pit was filled with a dark brown-black silty clay (167) 0.10 m thick that was covered by a dark brown slightly clayey sandy silt (157) 0.80 m thick containing three sherds of pottery dating to the $13^{\text {th }}$ century.

Pit 166 was in turn cut by pit 158 . Pit 158 was at least 1.30 m wide and 0.60 m deep. Its fill was composed of a mid brown slightly clayey, sandy silt (159) containing a single sherd of pottery thought to date from the early $13^{\text {th }}$ century. Cutting this feature was pit 160 noted to be at least 0.80 m wide and 0.40 m deep containing one single fill comprising of a dark brown slightly clayey sandy silt (161). Two sherds of pottery recovered from this deposit were initially dated to the mid $11^{\text {th }}$ century, however, the stratigraphic relationship between this fill in relation to earlier deposits (159) and (157) suggest a later date. With a pottery date range between 1050-1400 AD, a later $13^{\text {th }}$ century date is more likely.

Due to health and safety concerns it was not possible to enter the trench furthest north and closest to the main road. From observations made from the surface, three potential large pits physically cutting through natural (03) and a wall were identified. Smearing from the bucket however could well have masked any subtle changes. Furthest NW was pit 170 containing two fills (Section 18). This pit appeared to have been bottomed
in section although this was not certain. The sides of the feature were also unclear as too was its relationship to pit 142 to the SE but it is likely to have a curving base and was at least 2.15 m wide and 1.20 m deep. It primary fill (140) appeared to be a very dark grey-black silty clay with frequent charcoal inclusions and was 0.35 m thick. This was covered by fill (139), a dark grey-brown sandy, silty clay 0.85 m thick. Pit 142 did not appear to be bottomed. This was at least 2.5 m wide and 1.10 m deep and was filled by a mid grey-brown sandy, silty clay (141). A relationship could not be established with either pit 170 to the NW or pit 145 to the SE but it is likely to have had steep curving sides judging by the way it cut through natural (03). Pit 145 was 1.10 m deep and at least 2.25 m wide with a curving base. It contained two fills. Its primary fill (144) comprised of a dark green-grey sandy, silty clay that was 0.18 m thick that was overlain by a mid orange grey-brown sandy, silty clay (143).

## $\underline{\text { Later activity }}$

Overlying all the above features and deposits was a dark brown/grey clayey to silty loam (02), (10), (36), (123), (125), (153) and (162) varying in depth from 0.60 m in Foundation Area 1 to 0.18 m in Foundation Area 3. This was thought to represent a buried garden soil that appeared to be present across all investigated site. Two sherds of pottery recovered from this horizon suggest a late $15^{\text {th }}$ to mid $16^{\text {th }}$ century date for this deposit.

Noted cutting the buried soil horizon was a wall aligned approximately NNE-SSW, labelled with construction cuts 183, 137 and 147 (Sections 14, 15, \& 18), but collectively referred to as 184 where seen in sections which had not be disturbed. Construction cut 184 was dug for a wall constructed using roughly hewn limestone blocks with a pale yellow sandy mortar recorded individually as (182), (138), (146) respectively but collectively referred to as (185). This wall was typically c. 0.50 m wide with two courses present. This was observed towards the eastern side of the site and was seen throughout the investigation area. In Foundation Areas 1 and 2, the position of this wall was noted but the sections here were not drawn.

Within Foundation Area 3, another wall referred to individually in section as (71) and (103) (Sections $10 \& 11$ ) but collectively as (187) with construction cuts 70 and 102 respectively but collectively referred to as 186 . This was of similar construction to wall (185) and was observed aligned approximately E-W within sections 10 and 11 at a right angles to it. These two walls are likely to have formed part of the same structure.

From looking at cartographic evidence of the site (OS 1874 onwards), these walls appear to be part of a large rectangular building occupying the middle of the site area dating from at least 1874. This building was joined to a smaller rectangular building which in turn joined the rear 54 Ock Street.

Further probable evidence of this construction could be seen in Sections 12 and 13 of Foundation Area 3. Here cutting through the buried soil horizon (02) underneath and following the same line as the modern boundary wall appeared to be a possible construction cut 106 backfilled with a dark grey-brown silty loam containing moderate limestone block fragments (107), thought possibly to be the remains of a robbed out wall. This was not clearly demonstrable elsewhere however either because the modern boundary wall had removed any further indication of this feature or
because the fill of the feature was very similar to the buried soil horizon it cut through with less limestone inclusions apparent. The depth of the sections hindered a thorough investigation.

Also cutting into the buried soil horizon in the N facing section furthest south within Foundation Area 1, recorded in here as deposit (02), was construction cut 181 for a stone built structure (04) (Section 1). This was made up of roughly hewn limestone blocks appearing poorly constructed with no sign of any bonding material. The limestone blocks however were noted to have been reddened through exposure to heat so it is likely that this feature is the remains of a fireplace. The feature also appeared to be open sided to the north with no clear evidence of an E-W wall being observed within the trench during machining to suggest an enclosed square shaped structure. The fireplace is likely to have served the $19^{\text {th }}(?)$ century building noted earlier. This feature contained five fills. The lowest, a soft mid orange grey-brown ash (09) was 0.30 m thick. Above this was a dark black-brown silty sandy ash containing frequent fragments of pottery (08). A representative sample of five sherds were recovered dating the deposit to the $19^{\text {th }}$ century. Sealing this was another layer of ash, with a maximum thickness of 0.18 m that was off white in colour and contained bands of dark grey-black ash (07). Overlying (07) was a pale yellow sandy gravel (06) with a maximum thickness of 0.38 m . This was in turn covered by a dark black-brown slightly clayey sandy-silt (05) with a maximum thickness of 0.32 m . Three sherds of pottery recovered from this deposit were dated to the $19^{\text {th }}$ century.

A series of late post-medieval/modern services were also observed across the site cutting through the garden soil (02), (10), (36), (123), (125), (153), (162). These were noted in section but only one of these was issued with context numbers due to its position in relation to the trench section. In Section 9, within Foundation Area 3 a service cut 53 was observed cutting through all earlier deposits containing a ceramic foul water pipe and a light brown-grey clayey loam backfill (54). Other service trenches were not given context numbers because of their relatively recent date and function.

Completing the stratigraphic sequence were the remains of the former industrial building demolished to allow the construction of the new project typically recorded as (01), (99) and (100). Within Foundation Area 3, a flooring layer (101) of the demolished buildings was also noted (Section 10). Further to this, the presence of a concrete footing (153) for brick wall (155), which also formed part of the demolished building, was noted in Section 17 of Foundation Area 4. Indications of recent disturbance of these remains were evident as 95 and 127 in Section 15 and 163 in Section 16, presumably caused through disturbance associated by the excavation of the new foundation trenches. These contained modern backfills of (148), (126) and (164) respectively.

### 4.2 Reliability of Techniques and Results

The reliability of the results has to be treated with an element of caution. The sheer depth of some of the trenches and their narrow width made recording them problematic due to health and safety concerns. The trench closest to the building fronting onto Ock Street for example was impossible to enter safely at all without shoring or battering the sides back. As these two options were not available, the trench was simply recorded from the surface as best as possible. The unusual trench
design also made judging the extent of some of the larger features difficult especially with the apparent complexity of some of the features encountered.

## 5 FINDS

### 5.1 Pottery (By Paul Blinkhorn)

The pottery assemblage comprised 45 sherds with a total weight of 1643 g . The bulk of the material was medieval, with most dating to within the mid $11^{\text {th }}-13^{\text {th }}$ century, although a few sherds of late-medieval and post-medieval material were also present, along with a single sherd of residual early/middle Saxon hand-built material. It was recorded utilizing the coding system and chronology of the Oxfordshire County typeseries (Mellor 1984; 1994), as follows:

OXAC: Cotswold-type ware, AD975-1350. 7 sherds, 533 g .
OXBF: North-East Wiltshire Ware, AD1050-1400. 11 sherds, 195 g.
OXY: Medieval Oxford ware, AD1075-1350. 2 sherds, 41 g .
OXAG: Abingdon ware, $\mathrm{mid} /$ late $11^{\text {th }}-$ mid $14^{\text {th }}$ century. 7 sherds, 163 g .
OXBB: Minety-type ware. Early $13^{\text {th }}-16^{\text {th }}$ century. 1 sherd, 27 g .
OXAM: Brill/Boarstall ware, AD1200 - 1600.5 sherds, 50 g .
OXBG: Surrey Whiteware, mid $13^{\text {th }}-\operatorname{mid} 15^{\text {th }} \mathrm{C} .1$ sherd, 51 g .
OXCL: Cistercian ware, AD1475-1700. 1 sherd, 11 g .
OXDR: Red Earthenwares, AD1550+. 2 sherds, 144 g .
OXEST: London stoneware, AD1680-1750. 1 sherd, 5g.
WHEW: Mass-produced white earthenwares, $19^{\text {th }}-20^{\text {th }}$ C. 7 sherds, 319 g .
The following, not covered by the Oxfordshire type-series, was also noted:
E/MS: Early-middle Saxon handmade ware, AD450 - 850. Moderate to dense sub-angular quartz up to 0.5 mm . 1 sherd, 4 g .

The range of fabric types is typical of contemporary sites in Abingdon, including in Ock Street (eg Blinkhorn 2006), comprising a fairly wide range of local and regional sources from areas to the north, east and west of the town. The pottery occurrence by number and weight of sherds per context by fabric type is shown in Table 1. Each date should be regarded as a terminus post quem.

The single sherd of early/middle Saxon hand-built material is worthy of mention, as such pottery was not noted at previous excavations in Ock Street at no. 75 (ibid.), nos. 83-88 (Whittingham 1997) and, adjacent to this site, nos. 38-52, the Morlands Brewery site (Blinkhorn 2005b). Large assemblages have been noted elsewhere in the town, such as at the Spring Road cemetery site (Blinkhorn 2008). The sherd from this site is residual and undecorated, and cannot be dated other than to within the broad early-middle Saxon period (AD450-850).

A small assemblage of late Saxon St. Neots ware pottery was noted at the brewery site, but none was present here. The bulk of the pottery dates to the mid $11^{\text {th }}-$ $\mathrm{mid} /$ late $13^{\text {th }}$ centuries, with very little material dateable to the $14^{\text {th }}$ or $15^{\text {th }}$ centuries, other than the single sherd of Cistercian ware from context [2], and the fragment of Surrey Whiteware, a rim from a bowl, from context [88]. It would appear therefore that the site was largely abandoned during the late $13^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ century, or was given over to a function which did not result in pottery being deposited there. This is

Table 1: Pottery occurrence by number and weight (in g) of sherds per context by fabric type

|  | E/MS |  | OXAC |  | OXBF |  | OXAG |  | OXY |  | OXBB |  | OXAM |  | OXBG |  | OXCL |  | OXDR |  | OXEST |  | WHEW |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cntxt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | No | Wt | Date |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | L15thC |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 144 | 19thC |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 130 |  |  | 4 | 175 | 19thC |
| 29 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 60 | 4 | 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L11thC |
| 30 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13thC |
| 58 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M11thC |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L11thC |
| 64 |  |  | 1 | 33 |  |  | 1 | 9 | 2 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L11thC |
| 76 |  |  | 1 | 423 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L11thC |
| 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M13thC |
| 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | L18thC |
| 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L11thC |
| 110 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M11thC |
| 112 |  |  | 1 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M11thC |
| 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13thC |
| 123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 32 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 14 |  |  |  |  | M16thC |
| 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13thC |
| 156 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11thC |
| 157 |  |  | 2 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13thC |
| 159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E13thC |
| 161 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M11thC |
| 175 |  |  | 1 | 23 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M11thC |
| 176 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M11thC |
| Total | 1 | 4 | 7 | 533 | 11 | 195 | 7 | 163 | 2 | 41 | 1 | 27 | 5 | 50 | 1 | 51 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 144 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 319 |  |

supported by the relatively small amount of Brill/Boarstall ware which occurred (5 sherds, 50 g ), one of which was of later or early post-medieval date. At 75 Ock Street, around $50 \%$ of the pottery dating to the $13^{\text {th }}$ and $14^{\text {th }}$ centuries was of Brill/Boarstall type, so the small amount present here suggests that the site was certainly in decline by the middle of the $13^{\text {th }}$ century.

The range of vessel types is typical of earlier medieval sites, comprising primarily jars, along with smaller quantities of bowls and jugs. Most vessels were only represented by one or two sherds, although the full profile of an OXAC jar occurred in context [76].

### 5.2 Animal Bone (By Milena Grzybowska)

An assemblage of animal bone was recovered from a variety of features including occupation layers and pits.

## Methods

The assemblage was assessed in June 2009. All hand collected bone fragments were examined, with the number of potentially identifiable and unidentifiable bones being counted for each context, to provide a basic NISP (Number of Identified Specimens Present). Bones and teeth were identified using following references: Schmid (1972), Lasota-Moskalewska (1997), France (2009). The number of bones or teeth that could provide ageing or sexing information was recorded, and the presence of butchery was noted.

In order to estimate the potential of an assemblage to provide taphonomic information, the condition of the bone is graded on a scale of 0 to 5 . That assigned to ' 0 ' is deemed to be in excellent condition, demonstrating little post-depositional damage whilst bone material classed as ' 5 ' has suffered severe surface erosion and can be identified only as 'bone'. The majority of the bones were assigned to grade ' 2 ' indicating that the general condition of the assemblage recovered from Abingdon Ock Street is good (Table 2).

Table 2. Taxa representation (NISP)/ Condition

| Context <br> number | Sheep/ <br> goat | Cow | Bird | Pig | Cat | Deer | Unk | Total | Weight <br> (gram) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unstrat. |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 329 |
| 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 132 |
| 41 | 7 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 12 | 140 |
| 81 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 70 |
| 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 20 |
| 86 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 54 |
| 89 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 7 | 13 | 135 |
| 110 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 17 |
| 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| 123 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 35 |
| 132 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 35 |
| 159 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 20 |
| 161 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| Total | 14 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 50 | 540 |

## Results

A total of 50 fragments of animal bone were recovered of which two-thirds are identifiable to taxa (Table 2). The material was recovered by hand collection.

Overall sheep/goat is the most frequent taxa, constituting over a third of identified fragments followed by cattle (Table 2). Bird, pig, deer and cat are also present.

Ageing data could be obtained from seven loose teeth or mandibles and maxillae of which belong to sheep/goat and deer (Table 3). None of the specimens could provide an indication of sex.

Table 3. Ageable specimens

| Taxa | Numbers of ageable <br> specimens |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sheep/goat | 7 |
| Deer | 1 |
| Total | 8 |

Evidence for butchery, gnawing and burning was observed during recording.

## Discussion

Part of this collection represents the domestic urban assemblage, with butchery and burning evidence noted. Relatively frequent horncores and skull fragments possibly constitutes waste from hornworking, hence it is inferred that a portion of the discussed assemblage derived from industrial processing. The vast majority of the bone retrieved from medieval contexts belongs to the major domesticated species such as sheep, cattle and pig.

The above finds are principally consistent with the results of the full analysis of an extensive osteological collection from Medieval Abingdon that was carried out by Sheila Hamilton-Dyer (Anthony et al, 2006). The nature of the narrow assemblage discussed here means that no firm conclusions can be drawn, although it is interesting to note the adverse ratio of sheep/goat:cattle fragments from this material when comparing to the collection studied by Hamilton-Dyer.

### 5.3 Ceramic Building Material (CBM)

A total of 13 fragments of CBM were retained weighing a total of c .1680 g . All of these except two were identified as tile, with one example containing evidence of a peg hole.

### 5.4 Shell

A total of five pieces of shell were retained from the watching brief weighing a total of 29 g . This included four oyster shells and one fragment of mussel shell.

### 5.5 Metal

Three iron objects were recovered from the archaeological work. This included two badly corroded nails with probable square shaped heads from pit fills (41) and (49)
and a badly corroded single loop buckle either rectangular or possibly trapezoidal in shape from context (123). None of these objects were closely datable although the buckle was recovered form the cultivation soil dated to the late $15^{\text {th }}$ to $\mathrm{mid} 16^{\text {th }}$ century.

### 5.6 Slag

Six pieces of iron slag weighing 404 g were recovered from context (86) and five pieces of iron slag weighing 502 g were recovered from context (121). All appear to be examples of bloom slag and came from mid to late $13^{\text {th }}$ century deposits.

## 6 DISCUSSION

The watching brief was successful in recording archaeological remains relating to the medieval and post-medieval settlement of the site. It is possible that some of the features identified without datable finds or obvious stratigraphic relationships to those features that were dated could be of Roman origin such as ditch 32 observed in Foundation Area 1 but this is only speculation.

It would appear from the investigation that although some degree of truncation has taken place, as observed in the eastern part of Foundation Area 3, many post-medieval and earlier features have survived, mostly as large pits.

Ock Street is known to be one of the original medieval routeways of Abingdon that was subject to gradual expansion. The site itself is probably located on the periphery of the medieval town, and is likely to have been sensitive to changes in both the economy and population of the town. Abingdon is one of the few towns in the region where there are sufficient medieval finds to give some indication of the extent of the town in different periods (Rodwell (ed) 1975).

The date range of the pottery collected suggests the site to have been occupied at least from the $11^{\text {th }}$ century before being abandoned during the late $13^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ century until the $19^{\text {th }}$ century or at least put aside to some activity which resulted in little pottery deposition after this period. The pottery assemblage from work carried out at 75 Ock Street on the opposite side of the road, suggested that the main focus of activity here was also from the $\mathrm{mid} /$ late $11^{\text {th }}$ century to the late $13^{\text {th }}$ century. However, the residuality in the pottery assemblage from the later $15^{\text {th }}$ or $16^{\text {th }}$ century suggested a significant phase of ground disturbance and implied change of use of the site at that time, and not necessarily abandonment in this period (Anthony et al 2006). The comparative lack of $15^{\text {th }}$ and $16^{\text {th }}$ century pottery at 54 Ock Street could be coincidental rather than symptomatic of the site as a whole and could therefore have been subject to similar disturbance. Nonetheless, the excavation at 75 Ock Street showed the site to have been abandoned for long period following this phase. The Morlands Brewery site located close to the east by contrast demonstrated a continuous sequence of occupation for a significant proportion of the site from the early $11^{\text {th }}$ century through into late post-medieval times.

The bone assemblage from the site, with its relatively frequent occurrence of horncores and skull fragments, could constitute waste from a horn working industry. This would dovetail with the tanning sites located at the Morlands Brewery site and at

75 Ock Street. Horn working was closely associated with hide processing with the horns sometimes sold on by tanners to hornworkers (ibid 2006). A hornworking industry nearby would make sense. However, these bones could simply be the byproduct of the tanning process itself rather than representing a separate hornworking industry.

The presence of iron slag points to some metal working on the site in the mid to late $13^{\text {th }}$ century. The majority of slag from 75 Ock Street was from the $13^{\text {th }}$ century although it was not a high amount (Anthony et al 2006, 38)

The limited nature of the watching brief and the depth of the foundations prevented a thorough investigation of many of the features revealed and it is possible that further features could have been missed. Issues relating to health and safety, as for any site with deep foundation trenches took priority.
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